GPS TOOLBOX

GAMP: An open‑source software of multi‑GNSS precise point positioning using undiferenced and uncombined observations

Feng Zhou1,2,3 · Danan Dong1,2 · Weiwei Li4 · Xinyuan Jiang3,5 · Jens Wickert3,5 · Harald Schuh3,5

Received: 1 September 2017 / Accepted: 5 January 2018 / Published online: 16 January 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

As the number of GNSS satellites and stations increases, GNSS data processing software should be developed that is easy to operate, efficient to run, and has a robust performance. To meet these requirements, we developed a new GNSS analysis software called GAMP (GNSS Analysis software for Multi-constellation and multi-frequency Precise positioning), which can perform multi-GNSS precise point positioning based on undiferenced and uncombined observations. GAMP is a secondary development based on RTKLIB but with many improvements, such as cycle slip detection, receiver clock jump repair, and handling of GLONASS pseudorange inter-frequency biases. A simple, but unifed format of output fles, including positioning results, number of satellites, satellite elevation angles, pseudorange and carrier phase residuals, and slant Total Electron Content, is defned for results analysis and plotting. Moreover, a new receiver-independent data exchange format called RCVEX is designed to improve computational efficiency for post-processing.

Keywords Multi-GNSS · Precise point positioning (PPP) · Undiferenced and uncombined observations · Open-source software

The GPS Tool Box is a column dedicated to highlighting algorithms and source code utilized by GPS engineers and scientists. If you have an interesting program or software package you would like to share with our readers, please pass it along; e-mail it to us at gpstoolbox@ngs.noaa.gov. To comment on any of the source code discussed here, or to download source code, visit our website at<http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox>. This column is edited by Stephen Hilla, National Geodetic Survey, NOAA, Silver Spring, Maryland, and Mike Craymer, Geodetic Survey Division, Natural Resources Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.

 \boxtimes Weiwei Li whereareou@126.com

- ¹ Engineering Center of SHMEC for Space Information and GNSS, East China Normal University, No. 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, China
- ² Shanghai Key Laboratory of Multidimensional Information Processing, East China Normal University, No. 500 Dongchuan Road, Shanghai 200241, China
- ³ German Research Centre for Geosciences GFZ, 14473 Telegrafenberg, Potsdam, Germany
- ⁴ College of Geomatics, Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, China
- ⁵ Technische Universität Berlin, 10623 Berlin, Germany

Introduction

Benefts from the modernized global positioning system (GPS), the revitalization of GLONASS, the newly developed BeiDou navigation satellite system (BDS), and Galileo, create a multi-constellation, multi-frequency global navigation satellite system (GNSS) that has been recognized as a powerful tool not only in positioning, navigation, and timing (PNT) (Li et al. [2015a](#page-8-0)), but also in remote sensing of the troposphere (Li et al. [2015b](#page-8-1)) and the ionosphere (Ren et al. [2016](#page-8-2)). With more and more satellites being in view, multiconstellation and multi-frequency GNSS precise positioning has become a very hot research topic (Cai et al. [2015](#page-8-3)).

To meet the demand for multi-GNSS precise positioning applications, we developed the GAMP (GNSS Analysis software for Multi-constellation and multi-frequency Precise positioning) software. It is a secondary development based on RTKLIB (Takasu and Yasuda [2009](#page-8-4)), which is simply derived from the RTK (real-time kinematic) library. Thanks to its fexible batch processing feature, GAMP is convenient and powerful to use and will maximally beneft multistation and multi-session processing. We mainly focus on the multi-GNSS precise point positioning (PPP) using undiferenced and uncombined observations. It is very fexible when processing multi-frequency GNSS observations, avoiding noise amplifcation and being able to extract ionospheric delays (Liu et al. [2017;](#page-8-7) Xiang et al. [2017](#page-9-0)).

The following sections start with a brief theoretical background. The GAMP software is then introduced, and some important new features, modifcations, and auxiliary tools are highlighted. Afterward, the convergence performance of single- and dual-frequency PPP based on undiferenced and uncombined observations is assessed. Finally, the conclusions and an outlook for future improvements are provided.

Mathematical models

The linearized pseudorange and carrier phase observation equations are frst derived. Then, the models of standard and ionosphere-constrained single- and dual-frequency PPP based on undiferenced and uncombined observations are introduced.

General GNSS observation models

The linearized equations of original pseudorange and carrier phase observations are written as (Leick et al. [2015\)](#page-8-8)

$$
p_{r,j}^{s,T} = \boldsymbol{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + dt_r - dt^{s,T} + M_w \cdot Z_w
$$

$$
+ \gamma_j^T \cdot I_{r,1}^{s,T} + \left(d_{r,j}^{s,T} - d_j^{s,T}\right) + \varepsilon_{r,j}^{s,T}
$$
(1)

$$
I_{r,j}^{s,T} = \boldsymbol{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + dt_r - dt^{s,T} + M_w \cdot Z_w - \gamma_j^T
$$

$$
\cdot I_{r,1}^{s,T} + \lambda_j^{s,T} \cdot \left(N_{r,j}^{s,T} + b_{r,j}^{s,T} - b_j^{s,T} \right) + \xi_{r,j}^{s,T}
$$
 (2)

where indices *s*, *r*, and j ($j = 1, 2$) refer to the satellite, receiver, and carrier frequency band, respectively; superscript *T* denotes satellite system; $p_{r,j}^{s,T}$, and $l_{r,j}^{s,T}$ denote observed minus computed (OMC) values of pseudorange and carrier phase observables, respectively; $u_r^{s,T}$ is the unit vector of the component from the receiver to the satellite; *x* is the vector of the receiver position increments relative to the a priori position; dt_r and $dt^{s,T}$ are the receiver and satellite clock offsets, respectively; M_w is the wet mapping function; Z_w is the zenith wet delay; $I_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is the line-of-sight (LOS) ionospheric delay on the frequency $f_1^{s,T}$; γ_j^T is the frequencydependent multiplier factor $(\gamma_j^T = (f_1^{s,T}/f_j^{s,T})^2)$, which is independent of the satellite pseudorandom noise (PRN) code; $d_{r,j}^{s,T}$ is the frequency-dependent receiver uncalibrated code delay (UCD) with respect to satellite *s*; $d_j^{s,T}$ is the frequencydependent satellite UCD; $\lambda_j^{s,T}$ is the carrier wavelength on the frequency band *j*; $N_{r,j}^{s,T}$ is the integer phase ambiguity; $b_{r,j}^{s,T}$ and $b_j^{s,T}$ are the frequency-dependent receiver and satellite uncalibrated phase delays (UPDs); $\varepsilon_{r,j}^{s,T}$ and $\xi_{r,j}^{s,T}$ are the sum of measurement noise and multipath error for pseudorange and carrier phase observations. The satellite and receiver

antenna phase center offsets (PCOs) and variations (PCVs), relativistic efects, Sagnac efect, LOS hydrostatic delay, tidal loadings, and phase windup (only for carrier phase) should be modeled (Kouba [2009\)](#page-8-5) in advance. Note that all the variables in (1) (1) and (2) (2) are expressed in meters except the ambiguity and UPDs in cycles.

For convenience, the following notations are defned as

$$
\begin{cases}\n\alpha_{mn}^T = \frac{(f_n^{s,T})^2}{(f_m^{s,T})^2 - (f_n^{s,T})^2}, & \beta_{mn}^T = -\frac{(f_n^{s,T})^2}{(f_m^{s,T})^2 - (f_n^{s,T})^2} \\
\text{DCB}_{P_m P_n}^{s,T} = d_m^{s,T} - d_n^{s,T}, & \text{DCB}_{r,P_m P_n}^{s,T} = d_{r,m}^{s,T} - d_{r,n}^{s,T} \\
d_{IF_{mn}}^{s,T} = \alpha_{mn}^T \cdot d_m^{s,T} + \beta_{mn}^T \cdot d_n^{s,T}, & d_{r,IF_{mn}}^{s,T} = \alpha_{mn}^T \cdot d_{r,m}^{s,T} + \beta_{mn}^T \cdot d_{r,n}^{s,T}\n\end{cases} (3)
$$

where $f^{s,T}$ is the signal frequency $(m, n = 1, 2; m \neq n);$ α_{mn}^T and β_{mn}^T are frequency-dependent factors, which are independent of the satellite PRN code; $DCB_{P_mP_n}^{s,T}$ and $DCB_{r,P_mP_n}^{s,T}$ are frequency-dependent satellite and receiver diferential code bias (DCB) between pseudorange $P_{r,m}^{s,T}$ and $P_{r,n}^{s,T}$.

By convention, the IGS precise satellite clock products are generated using the ionospheric-free (IF) observables and the resulting satellite clock ofsets absorb the IF combination of satellite UCDs as follows (Kouba and Héroux [2001](#page-8-6))

$$
dt_{IF_{12}}^{s,T} = dt^{s,T} + (\alpha_{12}^T \cdot d_1^{s,T} + \beta_{12}^T \cdot d_2^{s,T})
$$

= $dt^{s,T} + d_{IF_{12}}^{s,T}$ (4)

Substitute (4) (4) into (1) (1) and (2) (2) and apply the International GNSS Service (IGS) precise satellite orbit and clock products to get

$$
\begin{cases}\np_{r,1}^{s,T} = \mathbf{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{r}_r + d_{r,1}^{s,T} + M_w \cdot Z_w + I_{r,1}^{s,T} \\
-\beta_{12}^T \cdot \text{DCB}_{P_1 P_2}^{s,T} + \varepsilon_{r,1}^{s,T} \\
p_{r,2}^{s,T} = \mathbf{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \mathbf{x} + d\mathbf{r}_r + d_{r,2}^{s,T} + M_w \cdot Z_w + \gamma_2^T \cdot I_{r,1}^{s,T} \\
+\alpha_{12}^T \cdot \text{DCB}_{P_1 P_2}^{s,T} + \varepsilon_{r,2}^{s,T}\n\end{cases} (5)
$$

$$
\begin{cases}\n l_{r,1}^{s,T} = \mathbf{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \mathbf{x} + dt_r + M_w \cdot Z_w - I_{r,1}^{s,T} + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} \\
+ \lambda_1^{s,T} \cdot \left(N_{r,1}^{s,T} + b_{r,1}^{s,T} - b_1^{s,T} \right) + \xi_{r,1}^{s,T} \\
 l_{r,2}^{s,T} = \mathbf{u}_r^{s,T} \cdot \mathbf{x} + dt_r + M_w \cdot Z_w - \gamma_2^T \cdot I_{r,1}^{s,T} + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} \\
+ \lambda_2^{s,T} \cdot \left(N_{r,2}^{s,T} + b_{r,2}^{s,T} - b_2^{s,T} \right) + \xi_{r,2}^{s,T}\n\end{cases} (6)
$$

The receiver UCDs are identical for code-division multiple access (CDMA) signals (i.e., GPS, BDS, and Galileo) for all the satellites at each frequency, while they are diferent for GLONASS due to the application of frequency division multiple access (FDMA) technique. Note that correcting for satellite DCBs or not is both allowed in the standard PPP model, whereas the satellite DCBs must be corrected in the ionosphere-constrained PPP model in advance. If correcting for them, the DCB products from the Center for Orbit Determination in Europe (CODE) or the Multi-GNSS Experiment (MGEX) can be used.

Standard single‑frequency PPP

In the standard single-frequency PPP, the receiver UCDs $(d_{r,1}^T)$ can be absorbed by the receiver clock offset. Assuming m satellites are simultaneously tracked by the receiver r , Eqs. [\(5](#page-1-3)) and ([6\)](#page-1-4) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} p_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ p_{r,1}^{m,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{m,T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_r & 1 & M_w & K & R_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ d\overline{t}_r^T \\ Z_w \\ \overline{t}_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{t}_{r,1}^T \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{t}_{r,1}^T \end{bmatrix}, Q_L
$$
\n(7)

with

$$
\begin{cases} d\bar{t}_r^T = dt_r + d_{r,1}^T \\ \bar{N}_{r,1}^{s,T} = \lambda_1^T \cdot \left(N_{r,1}^{s,T} + b_{r,1}^{s,T} - b_1^{s,T} \right) + d_{IF_{12}}^{s,T} - d_{r,1}^T \end{cases} \tag{8}
$$

where 1 is a vector of $2 \times m$ rows and one column, of which each element is one, corresponding to the receiver clock parameter $d\bar{t}_{rT}$; in matrix K , the element for the corresponding $p_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is 1, while the element for $l_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is − 1, corresponding to the ionospheric parameter $I_{r,1}^T$; R_1 is the matrix corresponding to the ambiguity parameters $\overline{N}_{r,1}^T$; the element for the corresponding $p_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is 0, while for $l_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is 1; Q_L denotes the stochastic model of OMC observables.

Ionosphere‑constrained single‑frequency PPP

Unlike the standard single-frequency PPP, the ionosphereconstrained single-frequency PPP adds virtual observations for ionospheric parameters and their corresponding constraints to the observation equations as follows

$$
\begin{bmatrix} p_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ p_{r,1}^{m,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{m,T} \\ \tilde{l}_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{l}_{r,1}^{m,T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_r & 1 & M_w & K & R_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & I & O \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ d\tilde{t}_r^T \\ Z_w \\ I_{r,1}^T \\ I_{r,1}^T \\ \tilde{N}_{r,1}^T \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \tilde{N}_{r,1}^T \end{bmatrix}, Q_L, Q_I
$$
\n(9)

where $\tilde{I}_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is derived from external ionospheric products, such as global ionosphere maps (GIMs, Hernández-Pajares et al. [2009](#page-8-9)) or an available regional ionosphere model (Yao et al. [2013](#page-9-1)) with corresponding noise $\mathbf{e}_{r,\text{ion}}^T$; \mathbf{Q}_I denotes the stochastic model of virtual ionospheric observables; *O* is zero matrix.

Standard dual‑frequency PPP

In the dual-frequency PPP model, the receiver UCDs are absorbed by both receiver clock offset and LOS ionospheric delay parameters. Equations (5) (5) and (6) (6) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{bmatrix} p_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ p_{r,2}^{m,T} \\ l_{r,2}^{m,T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_r & 1 & M_w & K & R_1 & R_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ d\overline{t}_r^T \\ Z_w \\ \overline{I}_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{N}_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{N}_{r,2}^T \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{S}_{r,1}^T \\ \overline{N}_{r,2}^T \end{bmatrix}, Q_L
$$
\n(10)

with

$$
\begin{cases}\nd\bar{t}_{r}^{T} = dt_{r} + d_{r,\text{IF}_{12}}^{T} \\
I_{r,1}^{s,T} = I_{r,1}^{s,T} + \beta_{12}^{T} \cdot \left(\text{DCB}_{r,P_{1}P_{2}}^{T} - \text{DCB}_{P_{1}P_{2}}^{s,T} \right) \\
\bar{N}_{r,1}^{s,T} = \lambda_{1}^{T} \cdot \left(N_{r,1}^{s,T} + b_{r,1}^{s,T} - b_{1}^{s,T} \right) + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} - d_{r,\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} \\
+ \beta_{12}^{T} \cdot \left(\text{DCB}_{r,P_{1}P_{2}}^{T} - \text{DCB}_{P_{1}P_{2}}^{s,T} \right) \\
\bar{N}_{r,2}^{s,T} = \lambda_{2}^{T} \cdot \left(N_{r,2}^{s,T} + b_{r,2}^{s,T} - b_{2}^{s,T} \right) + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} - d_{r,\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} \\
+ \gamma_{2}^{T} \cdot \beta_{12}^{T} \cdot \left(\text{DCB}_{r,P_{1}P_{2}}^{T} - \text{DCB}_{P_{1}P_{2}}^{s,T} \right) \n\end{cases} \tag{11}
$$

where \mathbf{R}_2 is the matrix corresponding to the ambiguity parameters $\bar{N}^T_{r,2}$, the element for the corresponding $p^{s,T}_{r,2}$ is 0, while for $l_{r,2}^{s,T}$ is 1.

Ionosphere‑constrained dual‑frequency PPP

Ionosphere-constrained dual-frequency PPP adds virtual observations for ionospheric parameters and their corresponding constraints to the observation equations as follows

$$
\begin{bmatrix} p_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ l_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ p_{r,2}^{m,T} \\ r_{r,2}^{n,T} \\ \tilde{I}_{r,1}^{1,T} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{I}_{r,1}^{m,T} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -u_r & 1 & J & M_w & K & R_1 & R_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ d\tilde{I}_r^T \\ y \\ z \\ z \\ r_{r,1}^T \\ \tilde{N}_{r,2}^T \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \varepsilon_{r,1}^T \\ \tilde{N}_{r,2}^T \end{bmatrix} Q_L, Q_l
$$
\n(12)

² Springer

with

$$
\begin{cases}\n\bar{N}_{r,1}^{s,T} = \lambda_1^T \cdot \left(N_{r,1}^{s,T} + b_{r,1}^{s,T} - b_1^{s,T} \right) + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} - d_{r,\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} \\
\bar{N}_{r,2}^{s,T} = \lambda_2^T \cdot \left(N_{r,2}^{s,T} + b_{r,2}^{s,T} - b_2^{s,T} \right) + d_{\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T} - d_{r,\text{IF}_{12}}^{s,T}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(13)

where for matrix *J* the element for the corresponding $p_{r,1}^{s,T}$ is β_{12}^T , while the element for $p_{r,2}^{s,T}$ is $-\alpha_{12}^T$, corresponding to the receiver DCBs ($DCB_{r,P_1P_2}^T$).

GAMP software

The GAMP software was written in the platform-independent ANSI C language. It can compile and run on the popular operating systems, such as Windows, UNIX/Linux, and Macintosh. It is recommended that one debugs GAMP under Microsoft Visual Studio (VS) and then compiles and runs it in UNIX/Linux or Macintosh for batch processing.

GAMP is an open-source software, which includes source code fles, documents, and examples. The source code can be accessed via the Web site of GPS Toolbox [\(https://www.](https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP) [ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP](https://www.ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP)). Instructions on how to compile, install, and run GAMP can be found in the user manual. To use GAMP well, the document of user manual should be frst carefully read and followed. In order to be better familiar with GAMP, Fig. [1](#page-4-0) shows the flowchart of PPP processing using the GAMP software.

To run GAMP, the user only needs to specify one input parameter on the command line: the name of the text fle contains the confguration information of data processing. Details and descriptions of the confguration fle can be found in the user's manual.

New features and modifcations

In the following sections, some key features and modifcations are introduced, such as receiver clock jump repair, cycle slip detection, handling schemes of GLONASS pseudorange inter-frequency biases (IFBs), and so on.

Receiver clock jump repair

For undiferenced processing (i.e., PPP), it is necessary to consider the impact of receiver clock jumps. Failure to properly detect and account for receiver clock jumps may sometimes cause blunders in the PPP solution. Therefore, a consistent set of observables can be reconstructed before fltering according to the method proposed by Guo and Zhang [\(2014\)](#page-8-10).

Cycle slip detection

The detection of cycle slip implements an algorithm based on the work of Blewitt [\(1990](#page-8-11)). The algorithm takes the advantage of the combination of the geometry-free (GF) and Melbourne-Wübbena (MW) observables using the original dual-frequency observations. The GF observables are infuenced by cycle slips, ionospheric variations, carrier phase multipath effects, etc, while the MW observables are afected by cycle slips, pseudorange noise, multipath efects, etc. Hence, the diferences between epoch of GF and MW observables are infuenced by observation interval and elevation angles. After a large number of tests using real observations with diferent intervals and elevation angles, the empirical thresholds are determined as

$$
R_{\text{GF}}(E,R) = \begin{cases} (-1.0/15.0 \cdot E + 2) \cdot b_{\text{GF}} & E \le 15^{\circ} \\ b_{\text{GF}} & E > 15^{\circ} \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
b_{\text{GF}}(R) = \begin{cases} 0.05 \text{ m} & 0 < R \le 1 \text{ s} \\ 0.1/20.0 \cdot R + 0.05 \text{ m} & 1 < R \le 20 \text{ s} \\ 0.15 \text{ m} & 20 < R \le 60 \text{ s} \\ 0.25 \text{ m} & 60 < R \le 100 \text{ s} \\ 0.35 \text{ m} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
\n(14)

$$
R_{\text{MW}}(E, R) = \begin{cases} (-0.1 \cdot E + 3) \cdot b_{\text{MW}} & E \le 20^{\circ} \\ b_{\text{MW}} & E > 20^{\circ} \end{cases}
$$

\n
$$
b_{\text{MW}}(R) = \begin{cases} 2.5 \text{ c} & 0 < R \le 1 \text{ s} \\ 2.5/20.0 \cdot R + 2.5 \text{ c} & 1 < R \le 20 \text{ s} \\ 5.0 \text{ c} & 20 < R \le 60 \text{ s} \\ 7.5 \text{ c} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$
 (15)

where *E* is elevation angle; *R* is observation interval; m and c denote meters and cycles, respectively.

Receiver PCO and PCVs

BDS- and Galileo-specifc receiver PCOs and PCVs are not provided in the current igs14.atx. Besides, some receiver antennas do not have the GLONASS-specifc PCV corrections. In these cases, GPS-specifc receiver PCOs and PCVs are applied instead. Moreover, both elevation- and azimuth-dependent PCV corrections are considered in GAMP.

Fig. 1 Flowchart of PPP processing using GAMP software

Handling of GLONASS pseudorange inter‑frequency biases (IFBs)

Through a re-parameterization process, the following fve handling schemes of GLONASS pseudorange IFBs are proposed: neglecting IFBs, modeling IFBs as a linear or quadratic polynomial function of frequency numbers, estimating IFBs for each GLONASS frequency number, and estimating IFBs for each GLONASS satellite. For more details about the fve handling schemes, we refer to Zhou et al. [\(2018\)](#page-9-2).

Output of GAMP

A simple but unifed format of output fles, including positioning results, number of satellites, satellite elevation angles, pseudorange and carrier phase residuals, and slant Total Electron Content (sTEC), is designed for analysis and plotting. Each line of the output fles starts with 4-digit year, month, day, hour, minute, second, GPS week, and GPS seconds of week. The other columns are the corresponding results. Each element is separated by space, which is very convenient for analysis and plotting tools, such as MAT-LAB, Python.

A new receiver data exchange format—RCVEX

MATLAB or Python is very suitable for GNSS algorithm developers and new GNSS users. However, GNSS data processing may be time-consuming using the software tools written in MATLAB or Python. In order to improve processing efficiency, a new GNSS receiver data exchange format is designed. Following the convention of Receiver Independent Exchange (RINEX), this exchange format can be referred to as "RCVEX." The RCVEX format consists of a header section and a data section. The RCVEX data format should at least allow for exchange of the following information, to ensure interoperability:

- the marker name, the receiver type, and the antenna type
- the precise a priori station coordinates (in XYZ format, mm-level precision), which are used as reference coordinates and obtained from the IGS weekly Solution Independent Exchange (SINEX) fles and the externally supplied site coordinate fle
- the observation sampling interval
- the selected observation type for GPS, GLONASS, BDS, and Galileo
- the tropospheric correction models and mapping functions
- the type of satellite orbit and clock products
- the satellite elevation cutoff angles
- the GLONASS channel numbers
- GPS Solutions (2018) 22:33
- the start and end time of the data

For each satellite, the data section provides:

- the PRNs, the indicator of cycle slip, and eclipse satellite
- the satellite position (xyz) and clock offsets in meters
- the azimuth and elevation angles of satellite in degrees
- the original pseudorange and carrier phase observations
- the tropospheric zenith total delays and the wet mapping function
- the Sagnac effect
- the tidal deformations, including solid earth tides, pole tides, and ocean tides, which are mapped into LOS directions
- the PCO and PCV corrections at each frequency
- the phase windup in cycles

It is noteworthy that the indicator of eclipse satellite represents that a satellite is in the eclipsing period, where the observations should be downweighted in the PPP processing. Furthermore, a MATLAB program is provided to read RCVEX fles and show how the above parameters and information could be used by users.

Auxiliary tools

Some auxiliary tools are provided to enhance the efficiency of data preparation and result analysis. In the following sections, two key auxiliary tools for data download, results analysis, and plotting are introduced. Both of them are very suitable for batch processing.

Data download

Some simple C shell scripts are provided to download GNSS observation data, precise orbit and clock products, IGS SINEX fles, earth orientation parameter fles, broadcast ephemeris fles, and diferential code bias (DCB) fles. For example, the script "sh_mgex_obs" can download RINEX 3 fles from Institut Geographique National (IGN) and Crustal Dynamics Data Information System (CDDIS). It can convert RINEX 3 long flenames to short flenames according to the fle naming rules. Instructions on how to use the scripts are available in the documentation.

Result analysis and plotting

A graphical user interface (GUI) of MATLAB called Mat-Plot is provided for results analysis and plotting. It can run in Windows, UNIX/Linux, and Macintosh. MatPlot selects files by their suffix name. For example, when you push the button of "Plot PPP," the files with ".pos" as suffix name will

Fig. 2 Geographical distribution of the selected 237 SAPOS stations in Germany

Fig. 3 Geomagnetic K_p index in May 2017

be selected. Once you choose the file(s), the figure(s) will be generated automatically in the chosen directory. More details of MatPlot can be found in the user's manual.

Moreover, some C shell scripts are provided for plotting results (similar features as MatPlot) in UNIX/Linux and Macintosh using the Generic Mapping Tools (GMT) soft-ware (Wessel et al. [2013\)](#page-9-3). To check and view the results quickly, a Perl script called "gnup," which is from the GNSS-Inferred Positioning System (GIPSY) software, is provided. It calls the executable program of gnuplot. For this application to work, GMT 4 ([http://www.soest.hawa](http://www.soest.hawaii.edu/gmt) [ii.edu/gmt](http://www.soest.hawaii.edu/gmt)), Perl (<http://www.perl.org>), and gnuplot ([http://](http://www.gnuplot.info) www.gnuplot.info) are required to be installed in advance.

The usage of these C shell and Perl scripts can be found in the user's manual.

Experimental validation

In order to test and validate the GAMP software, the performance of single- and dual-frequency PPP with undifferenced and uncombined observations is evaluated using a 1-month period of data sets in May 2017 from 237 SAtellite POsitioning Service (SAPOS) stations in Germany as shown in Fig. [2.](#page-6-0) These stations can only track GPS and GLONASS signals. Hence, this example is limited to these systems. However, the software and methodology presented can apply to multi-GNSS (i.e., GPS, GLONASS, BDS, and Galileo) processing. To assess the ionospheric conditions, 3-h K_p index values in the selected period are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-1) K_p index is a global indicator of the earth's geomagnetic feld disturbances and can be used to characterize the ionospheric activity levels. It is noted that the K_p index values are less than 3.0 in most of the time, whereas they are abnormally larger on DOY 148, 2017.

GPS-, GLONASS-only, and combined GPS + GLO-NASS PPP in simulated kinematic mode were compared. The initial standard deviation values for pseudorange and carrier phase observations were set to 0.3 and 0.003 m. For combined GPS + GLONASS PPP, the system weighting ratio of GPS to GLONASS was assumed to be 1:1. LOS ionospheric delays were modeled as random walk process with a power density of 4 $\text{cm/s}^{0.5}$ divided by the cosine of zenith distance angles (Geng and Bock [2016](#page-8-12)). The position coordinates were considered as white noise in kinematic PPP mode. Regarding the results evaluation, the positioning performance was assessed with respect to the average coordinates from seven consecutive daily PPP solutions with the Positioning And Navigation Data Analyst (PANDA, Liu and Ge [2003\)](#page-8-13) software in static mode. For PPP tests, we defne "convergence" as obtaining the 3D positioning error less than the predefned threshold at the current epoch and the following twenty epochs, which has been adopted by Li and Zhang ([2014\)](#page-8-14). Only when the positioning errors of all twenty epochs are within the threshold, we consider that the position has converged at the current epoch. Here, the threshold is one decimeter for dual-frequency and three decimeters for single-frequency, which has been suggested by Lou et al. [\(2016](#page-8-15)).

Results and discussion

The PPP performance in terms of convergence time is evaluated in kinematic mode. In total, there are about 7269 convergence tests used in the experiment. The convergence

Fig. 4 Average convergence time of single-frequency GPS-, GLO-NASS-only (GLO), and the combined GPS + GLONASS (CMB) standard PPP per day

Fig. 5 Average convergence time of single-frequency GPS-, GLO-NASS-only (GLO), and the combined GPS + GLONASS (CMB) ionosphere-constrained PPP per day

performance of GPS-, GLONASS-only and combined GPS + GLONASS PPP is assessed using single- and dualfrequency observations.

Single‑frequency approach

Figure [4](#page-7-0) shows the average convergence time of standard single-frequency PPP on each day over all the test stations. For combined GPS + GLONASS PPP, the average convergence time of each day is comparable; the mean of which is about 56 min with an overall standard deviation (STD) of 3.1 min. There are no signifcant daily variations in the average convergence time. From the STD values, it is easier to see that the convergence time of GPS + GLONASS is much steadier, whereas the GLONASS ranks the third. The convergence time of GLONASS-only PPP is twice that of GPS-only, which may be due to the existing pseudorange IFBs and lower accuracy of GLONASS orbit and clock products (Guo et al. [2017](#page-8-16)). Similar results for single-frequency kinematic PPP have been

Fig. 6 Average convergence time of dual-frequency GPS-, GLO-NASS-only (GLO), and the combined GPS + GLONASS (CMB) standard PPP per day

Fig. 7 Average convergence time of dual-frequency GPS-, GLO-NASS-only (GLO), and the combined GPS + GLONASS (CMB) ionosphere-constrained PPP per day

achieved by Lou et al. ([2016\)](#page-8-15) using 105 test stations. By adding GLONASS observations, the convergence performance of GPS + GLONASS PPP is significantly improved by 42.1% from 96.1 to 55.6 min, comparing to GPS-only PPP.

By introducing external ionospheric products, such as GIM from CODE, the average convergence time of the three ionosphere-constrained PPP is all reduced as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-7-1) Comparing with standard PPP, the convergence time is signifcantly reduced by 49.8% from 96.1 to 48.2 min and by 41.9% from 55.6 to 32.3 min for of GPS-only and GPS + GLONASS ionosphere-constrained PPP, respectively. However, the convergence time of GLONASS-only is improved a little bit by only 8.2% from 202.2 to 185.7 min, which should be caused by the strong correlation between pseudorange IFBs and LOS ionosphere delays. It can be seen the convergence time of GPS-only and GPS + GLONASS PPP is much longer on DOY 140 and 148, which corresponds to the larger geomagnetic K_p index in Fig. [3.](#page-6-1)

Dual‑frequency approach

Figure [6](#page-7-2) shows the average convergence time of standard dual-frequency PPP. Similar to single-frequency PPP, by adding GLONASS observations, the convergence time of combined GPS + GLONASS PPP is obviously improved by 40.1% from 34.4 to 20.6 min, comparing to GPS-only PPP.

Figure [7](#page-7-3) illustrates the average convergence time of ionosphere-constrained dual-frequency PPP. The convergence time of ionosphere-constrained GPS + GLONASS PPP is comparable to standard GPS + GLONASS PPP, while the convergence performance of GPS-only shows a little worse, which may be due to the accuracy limitation of GIMs. For instance, GIMs have a modest accuracy of only 2–8 TECU (Total Electron Content Unit) in vertical (Hernández-Pajares et al. [2009\)](#page-8-9), which is an equivalent ranging error of 0.32–1.28 m for the GPS L1 frequency signal. We can see that the convergence performance of ionosphere-constrained GLONASS-only PPP is much worse than the standard GLONASS-only PPP, which is primarily attributed to the inseparable pseudorange IFBs and LOS ionosphere delays. Therefore, introducing GIMs as constraints will seriously deteriorate the convergence performance of dual-frequency GLONASS-only PPP.

From the STD values of Figs. [4](#page-7-0), [5,](#page-7-1) [6,](#page-7-2) and [7,](#page-7-3) we can see that by introducing GIMs as constraints on estimated LOS ionospheric delays, agreement of the average convergence time over days is more or less worse, which is due to the limited accuracy of GIMs.

Summary

Our software package called GAMP can be used for multi-GNSS undiferenced and uncombined PPP processing in single- and dual-frequency modes. The test results have shown that GAMP can implement single- and dual-frequency PPP based on undiferenced and uncombined observations efectively. The source code for GAMP is available at [www.ngs.](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP) [noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP](http://www.ngs.noaa.gov/gps-toolbox/GAMP). GAMP is a convenient tool for PPP performance using undiferenced and uncombined observations. This software package can be easily extended for additional functionality, such as triple-frequency PPP processing. Some bugs may still exist in GAMP. Comments and suggestions from readers and users are welcome to send to the authors.

Acknowledgements Feng Zhou is fnancially supported by the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for his study at the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ). We thank the IGS for providing GNSS ground tracking data, DCBs, precise orbit, and clock products. We are also grateful for providing the SAPOS data via GFZ. Many thanks go to Dr. Shengli Wang for his valuable suggestions. The fgures were generated using the public domain GMT software (Wessel et al. [2013](#page-9-3)). This work is sponsored by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFE0100700), the Scientifc Research Foundation of Shandong University of Science and Technology for Recruited Talents (No. 2017RCJJ074), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 61372086, 41771475), and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai (Nos. 13511500300, 15511101602).

References

- Blewitt G (1990) An automatic editing algorithm for GPS data. Geophys Res Lett 17(3):199–202
- Cai C, Gao Y, Pan L, Zhu J (2015) Precise point positioning with quadconstellations: GPS, BeiDou, GLONASS and Galileo. Adv Space Res 56(1):133–143
- Geng J, Bock Y (2016) GLONASS fractional-cycle bias estimation across inhomogeneous receivers for PPP ambiguity resolution. J Geod 90(4):379–396
- Guo F, Zhang X (2014) Real-time clock jump compensation for precise point positioning. GPS Solut 18(1):41–50
- Guo F, Li X, Zhang X, Wang J (2017) The contribution of multi-GNSS experiment (MGEX) to precise point positioning. Adv Space Res 59:2714–2725
- Hernández-Pajares M, Juan JM, Sanz J, Orús R, Garcia-Rigo A, Feltens J, Komjathy A, Schaer SC, Krankowski A (2009) The IGS VTEC maps: a reliable source of ionospheric information since 1998. J Geod 83(3–4):263–275
- Kouba J (2009) A guide to using international GNSS service (IGS) products. [http://igscb.jpl.nasa.gov/igscb/resource/pubs/Usin](http://igscb.jpl.nasa.gov/igscb/resource/pubs/UsingIGSProductsVer21.pdf) [gIGSProductsVer21.pdf](http://igscb.jpl.nasa.gov/igscb/resource/pubs/UsingIGSProductsVer21.pdf). Accessed 01 Sept 2017
- Kouba J, Héroux P (2001) Precise point positioning using IGS orbit and clock products. GPS Solut 5(2):12–28
- Leick A, Rapoport L, Tatarnikov D (2015) GPS satellite surveying, 4th edn. Wiley, Hoboken
- Li P, Zhang X (2014) Integrating GPS and GLONASS to accelerate convergence and initialization times of precise point positioning. GPS Solut 18(3):461–471
- Li X, Ge M, Dai X, Ren X, Fritsche M, Wickert J, Schuh H (2015a) Accuracy and reliability of multi-GNSS real-time precise positioning: GPS, GLONASS, BeiDou, and Galileo. J Geod 89(6):607–635
- Li X, Zus F, Lu C, Dick G, Ning T, Ge M, Wickert J, Schuh H (2015b) Retrieving of atmospheric parameters from multi-GNSS in real time: validation with water vapor radiometer and numerical weather model. J Geophys Res Atmos 120:7189–7204
- Liu J, Ge M (2003) PANDA software and its preliminary result of positioning and orbit determination. Wuhan Univ J Natl Sci 8(2B):603–609
- Liu T, Yuan Y, Zhang B, Wang N, Tan B, Chen Y (2017) Multi-GNSS precise point positioning (MGPPP) using raw observations. J Geod 91(3):253–268
- Lou Y, Zheng F, Gu S, Wang C, Guo H, Feng Y (2016) Multi-GNSS precise point positioning with raw single-frequency and dualfrequency measurement models. GPS Solut 20(4):849–862
- Ren X, Zhang X, Xie W, Zhang K, Yuan Y, Li X (2016) Global ionospheric modelling using multi-GNSS: BeiDou, Galileo, GLONASS and GPS. Sci Rep 6:33499. [https://doi.org/10.1038](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33499) [/srep33499](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep33499)
- Takasu T, Yasuda A (2009) Development of the low-cost RTK-GPS receiver with an open source program package RTKLIB. International symposium on GPS/GNSS, Seogwipo-si Jungmundong, Korea, 4–6 November
- Wessel P, Smith WHF, Scharroo R, Luis J, Wobbe F (2013) Generic mapping tools: improved version released. EOS Trans AGU 94(45):409–410
- Xiang Y, Gao Y, Shi J, Xu C (2017) Carrier phase-based ionospheric observables using PPP models. Geod Geodyn 8(1):17–23
- Yao Y, Zhang R, Song W, Shi C, Lou Y (2013) An improved approach to model regional ionosphere and accelerate convergence for precise point positioning. Adv Space Res 52(8):1406–1415
- Zhou F, Dong D, Ge M, Li P, Wickert J, Schuh H (2018) Simultaneous estimation of GLONASS pseudorange inter-frequency biases in precise point positioning using undiferenced and uncombined observations. GPS Solut. [https://doi.org/10.1007](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-017-0685-7) [/s10291-017-0685-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10291-017-0685-7)

Feng Zhou is currently a Ph.D. student at East China Normal University. He received his master's degree at China University of Mining and Technology in 2012. His current research mainly focuses on multi-constellation and multi-frequency GNSS PPP.

Jens Wickert holds a joint professorship of Technische Universität Berlin and the German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ) on GNSS Remote Sensing, Navigation and Positioning. His main research interests are interdisciplinary GNSS applications for earth observation.

Danan Dong is currently a professor of East China Normal University. He obtained his Ph.D. degree from Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) and had worked in Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL) for 18 years. His main research interest is in the study of geophysics with application of GPS technology.

Harald Schuh is currently the Director of the Department 1 "Geodesy", German Research Centre for Geosciences (GFZ). He is also the president of the International Association of Geodesy (IAG) since 2016. His research interests are very long baseline interferometry technique and its applications.

Weiwei Li is currently a lecturer of Shandong University of Science and Technology. She received her Ph.D. degree from the Tongji University in 2017. Her research interests are GNSS data processing and time series analysis.