**REGULAR PAPER – MORPHOLOGY/ANATOMY/STRUCTURAL BIOLOGY**



# **Floral ontogeny reveals potential synapomorphies for** *Senegalia* **sect.**  *Monacanthea* **p.p. (Leguminosae)**

**Anderson Javier Alvarado‑Reyes<sup>1</sup> · Juliana Villela Paulino2  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0072-639X) Vanessa Terra3  [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5669-1304) Vidal de Freitas Mansano[4](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7204-0744)**

Received: 11 January 2024 / Accepted: 5 June 2024 / Published online: 4 July 2024 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to The Botanical Society of Japan 2024

### **Abstract**

*Senegalia* was recently described as non-monophyletic; however, its sections exhibit robust monophyletic support, suggesting a potential reclassifcation into separate genera—*Senegalia* sect. *Monocanthea* p.p. is the largest section. It contains 164 species of pantropical distribution and includes all of the current 99 neotropical species of *Senegalia*; however, no morphological characteristics are available to diferentiate this section. To characterize this section, we examined foral developmental traits in four species of *Senegalia* sect. *Monocanthea* p.p. These traits were previously considered as potentially distinguishing features within *Acacia* s.l. and include the onset patterns of the androecium, the timing of calyx union, the origin of the staminal disc, and the presence of stomata on the petals. Furthermore, we analyzed previously unexplored traits, such as corolla union types, inforescence development, and micromorphological features related to the indumentum, as well as the presence and location of stomata. The characteristics proposed as potential synapomorphies of the group include the postgenital fusion of the corolla and the presence of a staminal disc formed at the base of the flaments. The other analyzed foral characteristics were not informative for the characterization of the group. Future studies of foral ontogeny will help to establish more precise patterns, mainly whether corolla union and staminal tube formation occur similarly in African and Asian sections of *Senegalia*.

**Keywords** *Acacia* · Floral morphology · Inforescence development · Mimosoid clade

# **Introduction**

*Acacia* s.l. is a genus long known as non-monophyletic within the mimosoid clade (Leguminosae: Caesalpinioideae). It comprises more than 1450 species, among which

 $\boxtimes$  Anderson Javier Alvarado-Reyes a196592@dac.unicamp.br

<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Biologia Vegetal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Rua Monteiro Lobato, 255, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil 13083-862

<sup>2</sup> Departamento de Produtos Naturais e Alimentos, Faculdade de Farmácia, Centro de Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Av Prof Paulo Rocco s/n Bl A 2° andar sala 06, Ilha do Fundão, Rio de Janeiro 21941902, RJ, Brazil

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal de Santa Maria, 97105-900 Santa Maria, RS, Brazil

<sup>4</sup> DIPEQ, Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico Do Rio de Janeiro, Rua Pacheco Leão 915, Rio de Janeiro, RJ 22460-030, Brazil

a polyandrous androecium with >30 free stamens is one of the most striking foral features (Koenen et al. [2020](#page-16-0); Lewis [2005](#page-16-1); Luckow et al. [2003\)](#page-16-2). Currently, it is segregated into seven genera: *Acacia* Mill., *Acaciella* Britton & Rose, *Mariosousa* Seigler & Ebinger, *Parasenegalia* Seigler & Ebinger, *Pseudosenegalia* Seigler & Ebinger, *Senegalia* Raf. and *Vachellia* Wight & Arn. (Maslin et al. [2003;](#page-16-3) Miller and Seigler [2012;](#page-16-4) Seigler et al. [2006](#page-17-0), [2017\)](#page-17-1). The position of these segregate genera varies within the mimosoid clade. All of them, except *Vachellia*, are in the ingoid clade, part of the central mimosoid clade (Koenen et al. [2020\)](#page-16-0). *Senegalia* is one of the most diverse and widespread genera of mimosoid clade, with 219 species having a pantropical distribution (Terra et al. [2017\)](#page-17-2).

Recent analyses have confrmed that *Senegalia* is paraphyletic and that its monophyletic, well-supported sections will probably be treated as separate genera in the future (Koenen et al. [2020](#page-16-0); Ringelberg et al. [2022;](#page-17-3) Terra et al. [2022](#page-17-4)). Within *Senegalia*, the clade "sect. *Monacanthea* p.p." comprises around 164 species with a pantropical distribution, and all neotropical species (99 species) are

positioned within this clade. The morphological features considered critical to distinguishing sections within the genus, like patterns of prickles and the inforescence morphology, are no longer key for most species (Terra et al. [2022\)](#page-17-4). Since foral ontogeny can reveal characters not present in fully developed structures, studying it can be valuable for characterizing the sect. *Monacanthea* p.p. (Maslin and Stirton [1997;](#page-16-5) Tucker [1992a\)](#page-17-5).

Although foral morphology in *Acacia* s.l. seems uniform, there are variations in foral ontogeny traits. Analyses of foral development showed diferent types of calyx initiation (Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990\)](#page-17-6), distinct mechanisms of calyx and tubular corolla formation, as well as diferent pathways of androecium development (Buttrose et al. [1981;](#page-16-6) Derstine and Tucker [1991](#page-16-7); Gómez-Acevedo [2021;](#page-16-8) Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007](#page-16-9); Pedersoli et al. [2023;](#page-17-7) Prenner [2011;](#page-17-8) Rico-Alvarado and Gómez-Acevedo [2022](#page-17-9)). Previous analyses proposed the patterns of androecium initiation, congenital and postgenital union of the perianth and androcecium, the origin of the staminal tube and petal stomata as potential traits for use in the delimitation of genera of *Acacia* s.l. (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007\)](#page-16-9). However, no other study has analyzed these or other ontogenetic traits to characterize the taxa of the Acacia s.l. group. Therefore, a comparative analysis using these and other characteristics of foral ontogeny and anatomy could provide valuable information for the characterization of these groups.

This work aimed to analyze the morphology and ontogenetic sequence of inforescences and fowers of four neotropical species of *Senegalia* belonging to the section *Monacanthea* p.p. (Ringelberg et al. [2022\)](#page-17-3) to determine whether the union and initiation of calyx, the corolla union type, the presence of the staminal tube, and the distribution of stomata and trichomes can be important in the characterization of this section. Inforescences and fower ontogenies were described, giving their potential to provide informative characters considering that they are little explored in mimosoids; the type of petal union was mainly studied in *S.* 

*grandistipula* and *S. polyphylla*. All results were compared with previous studies, especially those conducted in *Acacia* s.l.

# **Materials and methods**

### **Study species**

Four species were selected to cover the diversity of habit and external morphology of inforescences and fowers *Senegalia polyphylla* (DC.) Britton, *S. grandistipula* (Benth.) Seigler & Ebinger, *S. riparia* (Kunth) Britton & Rose ex Britton & Killip and *S. tubulifera* (Benth.) Seigler & Ebinger (Table [1](#page-1-0)). The plant material of the four species was collected in diferent locations in Brazil, and the specimens were deposited in the HUFU and RB herbaria (at the University of Uberlândia and The Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden).

### **Floral organography and development**

Inforescence and foral buds were collected and fxed in FAA 70% (formaldehyde-acetic acid-ethanol; Johansen [1940](#page-16-10)) and stored in 70% ethanol. Ten fowers of each species were dissected and described for organography using the Leica MZ 75 stereomicroscope. We counted the number of fowers in ten head-like inforescence per species to determine the foral abundance per head-like inforescence (refer to Fig. [1](#page-2-0) to observe the head-like inforescence). To defne the structure of inforescence, we used specimens from herbaria (Supplementary Data).

For surface analyses under a scanning electron microscope (SEM), the materials were dissected, dehydrated in an ethanol series (Tucker [1993](#page-17-10)), critical point dried in a Bal Tec CPD 030 (AG, Liechtenstein–JBRJ-RJ) dryer, mounted on metal supports with carbon-coated adhesive tape and then covered with gold in an Emitech K550X (Ashford, UK—JBRJ-RJ). Dissection, measurement, dehydration, and metallization were performed at JBRJ, Rio de

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Table 1** Characteristics of the species studied with their more remarkable characteristic for the comparative analysis along with their collection location

Specie	Inflorescence	Floral insertion	Calvx	Corolla	Collection location (municipality, state)	Voucher
S. grandistipula	spicate	pedicellate	campanulate and puberulent	campanulate and gla- brous or puberulent	Ibiracu, ES	V. Terra & I.A.C. Coutinho 715
S. polyphylla	capitate	sessile	campanulate and gla- brous to sericeous	tubular and glabrous	Aguas Vermelhas, МG	Mansano, V. F. 971
S. riparia	capitate	sessile	campanulate and tomentose	tubular and glabrous	Ibiracu, ES	V. Terra & I.A.C. Coutinho 716
S. tubulifera	capitate	sessile		tubular and puberulent tubular and puberulent Crato, CE		V. Terra & I.A.C. Coutinho 709

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Inforescence morphology of *Senegalia*. **a** Schematic diagram illustrating the inforescence morphology of the four studied *Senegalia* species. **b** Fascicle of head-like inforescences in *S. tubulifera* with a single bract on the peduncle. **c** Flower detail of *S. tubulifera*. **d** Fascicle of headlike inforescences in *S. riparia* displaying a single bract on the peduncle. **e** Flower detail of *S. riparia*. **f** Fascicle of head-like inforescences in *S. grandistipula* supporting two bracts on the peduncle. **g** Flower detail of *S. grandistipula*. **h** Fascicle of head-like inforescences in *S. polyphylla*, exhibiting a peduncle without bracts. **i** Flower detail of *S. polyphylla.* The black arrows indicate the position of the frst-order bracts. The white arrows indicate the position of the second-order bracts. Drawing: Marcus J. A. Falcão



Janeiro, Brazil. Observations and images were obtained at CENABIO-UFRJ using a Zeiss EVO 10, and at the Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Fisicas-CBPF using a JEOL-JSM-6490LV scanning electron microscope at 15, 20, or 30 kV, all located in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. The electron micrographs were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS5.

## **Anatomical study**

For anatomical analyses (light microscopy), foral buds in pre-anthesis were gradually dehydrated in an ethanol series, embedded in historesin (Gerrits et al. [1991](#page-16-11)), and sectioned transversely (4–6 μm thick) using a rotary microtome (Leica RM 2245, Wetzlar, Germany). The sections were stained

with  $0.05\%$  toluidine blue in phosphate buffer (pH=6.8) (O'Brien et al. [1964\)](#page-16-12) and mounted on water in temporary slides (Gerlach [1969\)](#page-16-13). The anatomical sections were observed and photographed under a light microscope (Olympus BX50) coupled to a digital camera (Olympus DP73) with the scale bars under the same optical conditions. All steps were performed in the Rio de Janeiro Botanical Garden (JBRJ) and the Plant Micromorphology Laboratory at the Federal University of Rio Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.

### **Illustrations and terminology**

The images were processed using Adobe Photoshop CS5. The nomenclature for the position and order of initiation of foral organs follows Tucker [\(1987](#page-17-11)) and Teixeira et al. [\(2014\)](#page-17-12). The terminology for inforescences follows Endress [\(2010\)](#page-16-14) and Weberling [\(1992](#page-17-13)). The term "subunits of inforescences" refers to the maximum branching level of the inforescences, i.e. the racemes and spikes that support the fowers (Grimes [1999;](#page-16-15) Troll [1965;](#page-17-14) Weberling [1989](#page-17-15)). The term congenital union refers to when several organs of the same whorl develop as a ring wall, which may form a tubular structure in time. In contrast, postgenital union refers to when the free parts join during foral development after they have emerged (Endress [1994](#page-16-16)). The terminology for the type and extent of corolla connation follows Pedersoli et al. [\(2023\)](#page-17-7); connation-coherence refers to when petals are connate in the basal portion and coherent in the mid and apical portion and complete coherence when petals are interlaced with papillae along their entire length. The initial stage of development refers to the set of stages from which it is possible to observe the foral symmetry, the order of initiation of the appendages, the order of initiation between whorls, the number and type of whorls, the number of organs per whorl and the possible omission of some organs. The intermediate stage begins after the initiation of the organs and mainly concerns the elongation of the organs. The late stage begins when the foral organs show cell specialization (Tucker [1997\)](#page-17-16). Diferentiation between bracts and bracteoles follows Tucker ([1987\)](#page-17-11) and Endress ([1994\)](#page-16-16).

### **Results**

### **Organography of inforescences**

Synforescences in the four species are composed of terminal and lateral panicles, with 30.39±2.18 cm long in *S. grandistipula*,  $40.38 \pm 4.24$  cm in *S. polyphylla*,  $32.04 \pm 1.69$  cm in *S. riparia* and  $18.79 \pm 3.25$  cm in *S. tubulifera*. The axes display alternately and helically inserted head-like subunits arranged in fascicles (Fig. [1a](#page-2-0)). Each fascicle is subtended by three bracts, one abaxial, and two laterals (frst-order bracts).

Multicellular trichomes grow on the peduncle of *S. grandistipula* (Figs. [1](#page-2-0)f and [2](#page-4-0)l), while in the other species, the peduncle has no trichomes. The peduncles of the head-like subunits bear two bracts in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)f), one in *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)) and in *S. riparia* (Fig. [1d](#page-2-0)), and none in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)h) (second-order bracts). The headlike subunits are spiciform in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)f) and capitate in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [1h](#page-2-0)), *S. riparia* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)d), and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [1b](#page-2-0)). The number of flowers per head-like subunit of each inforescence is 60–64 in *S. grandistipula*, 18–21 in *S. polyphylla*, 18–20 in *S. riparia*, and 20–23 in *S. tubulifera*. A single bract subtends each fower in each of the four species.

### **Organography of fowers**

The floral symmetry is radial. The flowers are pedicellate in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [1g](#page-2-0)) and sessile in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)i), *S. riparia* (Fig. [1e](#page-2-0)), and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [1c](#page-2-0)). The calyx and corolla are gamosepalous and gamopetalous with free lobes in all four species. The base of the flaments is united, forming a staminal tube. The anthers are versatile with longitudinal dehiscence. The ovary is stipitate, and the style is curved, exceeding the height of the stamens. The gynoecium length (from the base stipe to the stigma) is about 8 mm long in *S. grandistipula*, 6.5 mm in *S. polyphylla*, 7 mm in *S. riparia*, and 6 mm in *S. tubulifera*. The merism and length of foral organs, and the distribution and type of indument on the foral organs vary among species (Table [2\)](#page-5-0).

### **Organogenesis of inforescences**

Primordia of head-like inforescence subunits emerge in an acropetal and helical order from the inforescence apex along with the three frst-order bracts that subtend them in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [2a](#page-4-0), b), *S. riparia* (Fig. [2e](#page-4-0)), and *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)f). The new head-shaped inforescence primordia emerge and cluster between the frst order bracts forming fascicles. These inforescences emerge asynchronously within each fascicle, but synchronously with other head-shaped inforescences in other parts of the synforescence. (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a, e, f). In *S. grandistipula*, the second-order bracts protect each inforescence head-like subunit in the early developmental stages (Fig. [2f](#page-4-0), l). The formation of floral meristems in each head-like subunit is acropetal (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)c, g, i, j). The development of foral organs begins after all foral meristems are formed on the inforescence subunit.

### **Floral organogenesis**

The foral meristem is elliptic or rounded and subtended by a bract (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)d, h, k), and no bracteoles are initiated. The

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Organogenesis of inforescences in *Senegalia*. **a**-**d**, *S. polyphylla*. **f**, **g**, **h**, **l**, *S. grandistipula*. **e**, **i**, *S. riparia*. J, K, *S. tubulifera.* **a**, **e**, **f** Lateral view of inforescences primordia of *S. polyphylla*, *S. riparia*, and *S. grandistipula.* **b** Detail of the inforescence primordium apex of *S. polyphylla* showing the inception of three bracts. **c**, **g**, **i**, **j** Indeterminate growth of inforescence subunits in *S. polyphylla*, *S. grandistipula, S. riparia*, and *S. tubulifera.* The asterisk shows the apex of the inforescence subunit. **d**, **h**, **k** Rounded or elliptical foral meristems before organ initiation in *S. polyphylla, S. grandistipula*, and *S. tubulifera.* (**l**) Detail of a group of primordia of inforescence subunits forming a fascicle in *S. grandistipula* showing frst and second-order bracts and multicellular trichomes on the peduncle (arrow). b=frst order bract, b1=second order bract,  $f$ =floral meristem, ped = peduncle, si=inforescence subunit. Scale bars: **a, d, e, g, h, k**,  $= 100 \mu m$ ; **b, i** = 20  $\mu$ m; **c**,  $j = 50 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ ;  $l = 200 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ 



sepals in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. tubulifera* arise as individual primordia. In *S. grandistipula* the order of initiation is helical modifed. The frst to emerge is the middle adaxial sepal (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)a), followed by one of the abaxial sepals, the third is the next abaxial lateral sepal (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)b), the fourth is the adaxial lateral sepal, and the last is the next adaxial lateral sepal (Fig.  $3c$  $3c$ ). Some flower buds showed variations of this initiation pattern (Fig. [3d](#page-6-0)-f). In *S. polyphylla*, the order of initiation of the sepals is erratic, with no discernible sequence (Fig. [3g](#page-6-0)-k). There are deviations from the calyx pentamery. Six sepals can emerge in *S. grandistipula*, (Fig. [4a](#page-7-0)), and four in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)l). The petals in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [4a](#page-7-0), b), *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)c, d), and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)f), arise as individualized primordia simultaneously and alternate with the sepals. In *S. polyphylla* petal primordia initiates after a long plastochrone; at this stage, the enlarged sepals cover the foral meristem (Fig. [4g](#page-7-0)). The petal primordia emerge when sepals elongate in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [4h](#page-7-0)) and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)i). There

are variations in the corolla merism. Six petal primordia can emerge in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [4a](#page-7-0)), and in *S. polyphylla*, four (Fig. [4c](#page-7-0)) or even seven petals (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)e).

In *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [5a](#page-8-0), b), *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c), *S. riparia* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)d), and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [5h](#page-8-0)), the androecium and gynoecium inception occur concomitantly and begin after petal elongation. The stamen primordia arise from a ring meristem, initially pentagonal and sectored into fve parts by the emergence of stamen primordia, which arise in an antesepalous position and proliferate laterally and centripetally (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)a, d, f). The carpel primordium is diferentiated as a circular dome in the central region of the foral meristem (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)b, d, f, h).

### **Middle and late stages of foral development**

In the middle stage of development, the sepals enlarge and unite postgenitally, with lobes joined by interlacing epidermal papillae, forming a valvate aestivation in

Whorl		S. grandistipula	S. polyphylla	S. riparia	S. tubulifera
Calyx	Merism	$5 - 6$	$4 - 5$	5	5
	Sepal length	4	2.3	1.7	2.8
	Adaxial indument	Glabrous	Glabrous	Glabrous	Glabrous
	Abaxial indument	Mainly glabrous by some scattered non-glandular trichomes.	Glandular and non-glandular trichomes.	Glabrous	Covered by non-glandular trichomes.
	Presence of stomata		Scattered in the abaxial surface -		
Corolla	Merism	$5 - 6$	$4 - 5$	5	5
	Sepal length	5.6	4.5	5	4.5
	Adaxial indument	Glabrous	Glabrous	Glabrous	Glabrous
	Abaxial indument	Glabrous	Densely covered by non-glan- dular trichomes.	Glabrous	Covered by non-glandular trichomes.
	Presence of stomata		Scattered on the tips of adaxial - surface		
Androecium	Number of stamens	$91 - 101$	$151 - 163$	$113 - 121$	$109 - 135$
	Filament length (mm)	10	6.8	10	8
Gynoecium	Gynoecium length	8	6.5	7	6
	Ovary indument	Densely covered by non-glan- dular trichomes.	Densely covered by non-glan- dular trichomes.	Glabrous	Covered by non-glandular trichomes.
	Presence of stomata				Scattered on the surface

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** Merism, length and indument of each whorl per species

The length of the gynoecium was measured from the stipe at the base to the stigma. Calyx and corolla length were measured from the base of the fower to the apex of the lobe of each whorl. All measurements are in millimeters

*S. grandistipula* (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)m) and *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)n). In *S. tubulifera*, the sepal aestivation is imbricated with the adaxial sepal outermost (cochlear descending) (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)o). In the later stages of development, the calyx is opened by the elongation of the corolla, and the basal part remains united, forming a tubular calyx with free lobes in all *Senegalia* species (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)a, d, g, j). In *S. grandistipula* and *S. riparia*, the calyx of preanthetic fowers reaches approximately twothirds of the corolla length (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)a, g); half the length of the corolla in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [6d](#page-9-0)) and one-third of the corolla length in *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [6j](#page-9-0)). In *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [6a](#page-9-0)), non-glandular scattered trichomes are formed and cover the abaxial sepal surface concentrating mainly on the tips of the lobes of the sepals (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)c). In *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)d), the abaxial sepal surface of preanthetic fowers is covered by stomata, non-glandular trichomes, and glandular trichomes (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)f, i). In *S. riparia* (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)g), the sepal abaxial surface is glabrous (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)l), and in *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)j), the sepal abaxial surface is covered by non-glandular trichomes (Fig. [6n](#page-9-0)).

In the middle stage of development, the petals enlarge and unite postgenitally, with lobes joined by interlacing epidermal papillae, forming a valvate aestivation in all *Senegalia* species (Figs. [4j](#page-7-0)-l and [6](#page-9-0)j). In the later stages of development, petals exceed the sepals in length, involving the androecium and gynoecium inside the foral bud in all *Senegalia* species (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)a, d, g, j). The abaxial surface of the petals is glabrous in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [6a](#page-9-0), b) and *S. riparia* (Fig. [6g](#page-9-0), k), densely covered by non-glandular trichomes in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)d, h), and covered by scattered non-glandular trichomes in *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [6](#page-9-0)j, m). Stomata can occur at the tip of the inner surface of the petals in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [6e](#page-9-0)). In *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [7a](#page-10-0)) the corolla union is postgenital, the apical portion is joined by papillate cells (Fig. [7b](#page-10-0), c), while the middle (Fig. [7d](#page-10-0), e) and basal parts of the petals are joined (Fig. [7f](#page-10-0)), forming a single tissue (connate-coherent type). In *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [7](#page-10-0)g) the postgenital union of the corolla is the type of full coherence, where the apical (Fig. [7h](#page-10-0), i), median (Fig. [7j](#page-10-0),  $k\beta$ ), and basal portions are united by epidermal papillae (Fig. [7](#page-10-0)l, m).

In all *Senegalia* species, the stamens elongate asynchronously, concomitant with their inception, and the diferentiation of the anthers and flaments is synchronous (Fig. [5k](#page-8-0)-m); the stamens remain folded in preanthetic fowers (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)b, g, l, q); fnally a bithecate dorsifxed anther with longitudinal dehiscence develops (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)c, d, h, i, m, n, r, s); and the base of the flaments becomes united forming a staminal tube (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)w-y, aa). In *S. grandistipula*, *S. riparia*, and *S. tubulifera*, the staminal tube has scattered stomata in the inner upper part (Fig. [8v](#page-11-0), z, ab).

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Sepal initiation and elongation in *Senegalia*. **a**-**f**, **m**, *S. grandistipula.***g**-**l**, **n**, *S. polyphylla.* O, *S. tubulifera*; the bracts were removed, and the abaxial side is positioned at the base in all the images. All images are presented from a polar view. **a**-**c** Development of sepals showing a helical modifed order of initiation. All sepal primordia arise free. **d** Floral bud with reversed unidirectional initiation. **e** Floral bud with the frst sepal in a lateral adaxial position. **f** Floral bud with the median sepal in the abaxial position. **g**, **h** Floral bud with the frst sepal in an adaxial and lateral position, respectively. **i** Floral bud showing a reversed unidirectional order of initiation. **j** Floral bud with two lateral sepals emerged. **k** Floral buds with three sepals emerged. **l** Floral bud with four elongated sepals. **m**, **n** Flower bud, calyx closed by conspicuous papillose cells arising from the margins of the sepals. **o** Floral bud, calyx showing cochlear descending aestivation of sepals. b=bract, s=sepal. Scale bars: **a, b, e, j, k, h, n**=20 μm; **c, d, g, i, f, l** = 50  $\mu$ m; **m** = 100  $\mu$ m;  $o = 200 \mu m$ 



In *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c) and *S. tubulifera* (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)i), the carpel cleft forms after the stamen primordia have differentiated and begin to elongate. In contrast, in *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [5g](#page-8-0)) this diferentiates before the ring meristem has fully diferentiated into stamen primordia. In *S. gran*distipula, the orientation of the carpel cleft of each flower in the head-like inforescence is variable (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)e), whereas in *S. polyphylla* is always oriented towards the adaxial side (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)j). In all S*enegalia* species, the carpel cleft closes (Fig. [5](#page-8-0)k-m), the style grows remaining folded in the apical part of the ovary in preanthetic fowers (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)a, f, k, p), and the stigma is cup-shaped (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)e, j, o, t). In *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [8a](#page-11-0)) and *S. polyphylla* (Fig. [8f](#page-11-0)) the ovary of preanthetic fowers is densely covered by non-glandular

trichomes; in *S. riparia* is glabrous (Fig. [8](#page-11-0)k), and in *S. tubulifera* is covered by scattered non-glandular trichomes (Fig. [8p](#page-11-0)) and stomata (Fig. [8u](#page-11-0)).

# **Discussion**

## **Inforescences**

All species of *Senegalia* we studied have inforescences in terminal and lateral panicles that support subunits in headlike inforescences, i.e., racemes with very short internodes. In mimosoids, such inforescences are common; likewise, it is usual for the number of fowers per head-like inforescences to vary among species (Derstine and Tucker <span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 4** Petal initiation and elongation in *Senegalia*. **a**, **b**, **h**, J, *S. grandistipula*. **c**-**e**, **g**, **k**, *S. polyphylla*. L, *S. riparia*. **f**, **i**, *S. tubulifera*; the bracts and sepals were completely removed, and the abaxial side is always at the base. The images **a**-**i**, **k** and **l** are presented from a polar view, the image **j** is presented from a lateral view. **a** Floral bud with six sepals and six petals primordia. **b**, **d**, **f** Flower bud with fve free petal primordia alternate with the sepals. **c** Floral bud with four free petal primordia. **e** Floral bud with seven free petal primordia. **g**-**i** Floral buds show diferences in plastochrones between the beginning of petals and the development of sepals. **j**-**l** Floral bud, elongated free petals with papillae at the tip.  $p =$  petals,  $s =$  sepals. Scale bars: **a, d**=20 μm; **b, c, e, f, h, k**=50 μm; **g, i, j, l**=100 μm



[1991;](#page-16-7) Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1989;](#page-17-17) Stone et al. [1999;](#page-17-18) Tucker [1988](#page-17-19)). The asynchrony in the emergence of head-like inforescences may be because in Leguminosae, perennial species, such as the*Senegalia* species studied by us, have axillary buds that often remain dormant until the next vegetative or fowering period. This would give rise to new head-like inforescences from the same architecture as the previous fowering shoots (Weberling [1989\)](#page-17-15).

In each inflorescence subunit, the organ initiation in each floral bud occurs only after the last flower primordium of that inforescence subunit is formed. This character is typical of mimosoids, where each fower bud pauses its

development after initiation until all fowers are initiated on the inforescence subunit, so fower development on each raceme is synchronous (Tucker [2003a](#page-17-20)). Considering the synchrony in anthesis of each flower of each inflorescence subunit, they would be acting together to attract pollinators, and for this reason, they are considered the unit of pollination in mimosoids (Arroyo [1981](#page-15-0); Harder et al. [2004](#page-16-17)).

### **Initiation and position of the perianth organs**

In flowers of the mimosoid clade, it is possible to find the median sepal in the adaxial position (Tucker [2003a](#page-17-20)).



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Androecium and gynoecium initiation and elongation in *Senegalia*. **a**-**c**, **e**, **k**, *S. grandistipula*. **f**, **g**, **j**, **l**, *S. polyphylla*. **d**, **m**, *S. riparia*. **h**, **i**, **n**, *S. tubulifera*. The bracts, sepals, and petals were completely removed in **a**-**j**. The abaxial side is at the base in **a**-**j**. The images **a**-**j** are presented from a polar view, and the images **k**-N are presented from a lateral view. **a**, **d**, **f**, **h** Floral bud showing an alternipetalous stamens initiation. The inception of the remaining stamens is in lateral and centripetal mode. Arrows show the direction of stamens initiation. The carpel inception is appreciated as a bulge at the center of the foral meristem. **b** Inception of the remaining stamen

Although this condition is common in this group, it can also be found in other Caesalpinioideae outside the mimosoid clade, such as *Gleditsia* (Tucker [1991](#page-17-21)), *Ceratonia*, and *Erythrophleum* (Tucker [1992a](#page-17-5), [b](#page-17-22)). This condition may be related to radial symmetry, as a bilateral symmetry in Leguminosae is not known with the median sepal in the

primordia. The carpel is completely delimited in the central region of the foral meristem. **c**, **g**, **i** Somewhat later stage shows the stamen elongation beginning for the antesepalous regions and the carpel cleft formation (arrow). **e**, **j** Lateral view of the inforescence. The arrows indicate the carpel cleft orientation in each fower. **k**-**n** Longitudinal section of the foral bud shows the carpel cleft fusing (arrow). The asterisk shows flaments with diferent lengths. The anthers have the microsporangia completely differentiated. A=androecium, b=bract, c=Carpel, p=petals, rm=ring meristem, s=sepals. Scale bars: **a, c, d**=20  $\mu$ m; **b**, **e**, **h**, **i**, **k**-**n**=100  $\mu$ m; **f**, **g**, **j**=50  $\mu$ m

adaxial position (Endress [1999](#page-16-18); Sinjushin [2021](#page-17-23)). However, the merism's instability can cause the median sepal's position to present deviations, as observed in *S. grandistipula* (Fig. [4](#page-7-0)a), *Inga grandis* T.D. Penn., and *I. hispida* Schott ex Benth. (Paulino et al. [2017\)](#page-17-24), or instability, as observed in *Inga congesta* T.D. Penn., *Mimosa caesalpiniifolia* Benth. <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Late stage of development of sepals and petals in *Senegalia*. **a**-**c**, *S. grandistipula*. **d**-**f**, **h**, **i**, *S. polyphylla*. **g**, **k**, **l**, *S. riparia*. J, M, N, *S. tubulifera*. **a**, **d**, **g**, **j** Preanthetic fower, lateral view, calyx unite at the base with open aestivation. Protection of the androecium and gynoecium organs is by the valvately closed petals. **b** Magnification of the corolla showing the glabrous surface. **c** Magnifcation of the sepal surface showing non-glandular trichomes. **e** Inner surface of petal tip, frontal view, showing scattered stomata. **f**, **i** Magnifcation of the sepal surface showing stomata, non-glandular, and glandular trichomes. **h** Magnifcation of the corolla surface showing a dense layer of non-glandular trichomes. **k**, **l** Magnifcation of the calyx and corolla shows the glabrous surface. **m** Magnifcation of the calyx showing non-glandular trichomes on the sepal surface. **n** Magnifcation of the corolla showing non-glandular trichomes on the petal surface. Scale bars:  $a = 1$  mm;  $b$ , **h**=100  $\mu$ m; **c, f, k-n**=50  $\mu$ m; **d, j** = 500 μm; **e** = 30 μm; **g**=200 μm; **i** = 10 μm



and *M. bimucronata* (DC.) Kuntze. (Gonçalves et al. [2023](#page-16-19)). Likewise, some flowers of *S. grandistipula* have the middle sepal in the abaxial position (Fig. [3f](#page-6-0)), a feature already found in other mimosoids such as *Inga grandis* T.D. Penn., *Pentaclethra macroloba* (Willd.) Kuntze., *Anadenanthera microsperma* Teijsm &Binn, *Parkia multijuga* Benth., *Stryphnodendron adstringens* (Mart.) Coville. and *Neptunia pubescens* Benth. which also show meristic variation (Barros et al. [2017a](#page-15-1), [b;](#page-15-2) Paulino et al. [2017;](#page-17-24) Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016;](#page-17-25) Ramírez-Domenech [1989;](#page-17-26) Tucker [1988](#page-17-19)).

The erratic pattern of calyx initiation found in *S. polyphylla* has also been reported in the mimosoid *Vachellia pennatula* (=*Acacia pennatula*) (Schltdl. & Cham.) Seigler & Ebinger and *Vachellia cornigera* (=*Acacia cornigera*) (L.) Seigler & Ebinger (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007](#page-16-9); Gómez-Acevedo [2021](#page-16-8)). Valvar estivation of the calyx is common in mimosoid (Tucker [1987](#page-17-11)); however, imbricated aestivations were reported in *Pentaclethra macroloba* (Willd.) Kuntze (Barros et al. [2017a,](#page-15-1) [b\)](#page-15-2), *Adenanthera microsperma* Teijsm. & Binn., *Calliandra angustifolia* Spruce ex Benth. (Prenner [2004a](#page-17-27); Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990](#page-17-6)), and in *S. tubulifera*, reported in this study. The diferent types of sepal initiation may show diferent developmental pathways by which radial symmetry arose in the mimosoid clade (Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990\)](#page-17-6).

The simultaneous petal initiation in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, *S. tubulifera*, and valvar estivation of the corolla in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. riparia* are common and stable characters that defne the mimosoid clade (Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990;](#page-17-6) Tucker [2003a](#page-17-20)). This pattern contrasts with the imbricate corolla estivation of the other groups of Leguminosae (Falcão et al. [2020;](#page-16-20) Kochanovski et al. [2018;](#page-16-21) Mansano et al. [2002;](#page-16-22) Prenner and Klitgaard [2008;](#page-17-28) Tucker [1996\)](#page-17-29). The protective function in fowers is commonly associated with sepals and the foral attraction to petals; however, in some groups of plants, the petals can assume the protective function (Endress [2011\)](#page-16-23). The protective whorls are relatively thicker, covered by tector and glandular trichomes, and are usually green. Also, the valvar estivation has been related to whorls with a protective function (Endress [2004](#page-16-24), [2011](#page-16-23)). In many mimosoids, the fowers have colorful stamens that are the conspicuous foral whorl <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Corolla union in *S. grandistipula* and *S. polyphylla*. **a**-**f**, *Senegalia grandistipula*. **g**-**m**, *Senegalia polyphylla*. **a**, **g** Floral bud, lateral view, representing the height of the anatomical sections shown. **b** Apical portion is united by marginal epidermal papillae (arrows). **c** Magnifcation of (**b**) shows the foral bud margins being closed by papillae (arrow). **d** The middle portion of the fower showing the corolla union forming a continuous tissue. The corolla regions with tissue fused are thinner (arrows). **e** Magnifcation of (**d**) shows a corolla region alternate to the sepals where the tissue is completely fused. **f** Basal portion of the flower with a fused corolla forms a single tissue. Scale bars: **a, g**=1 mm; **b-d, f, h-l**=50 μm; **e, m**=200 μm



responsible for the foral attraction and, as in the case of the species of *Senegalia* studied, the foral protective function is assumed by the petals (Koenen et al. [2020\)](#page-16-0).

### **Lability of perianth merism**

Fluctuations in perianth merism are rare in core eudicots, where the pentamerous and tetramerous pattern predominates (Endress [2011;](#page-16-23) Ronse De Craene [2022](#page-17-30)). This is confrmed in mimosoid, where pentamerous and tetramerous flowers are more common (Tucker [2003a\)](#page-17-20). However, merism changes within core eudicots have been reported in natural populations, being more common in families such as Styracaceae, Polemoniaceae, and Gentianaceae (Ronse De Craene [2016](#page-17-31)). Perianth merism lability in Leguminosae is comparatively an uncommon character; however, there are records for several clades, some examples are in the genus *Acacia* (Prenner [2011](#page-17-8)), *Apuleia* (Falcão et al. [2020](#page-16-20)), *Calliandra* (Prenner [2004a\)](#page-17-27), *Ceratonia* (Tucker [1992b](#page-17-22)), *Dialium* (Marcus José de Azevedo Falcão Junior personal communication; Tucker [1998](#page-17-32)), *Inga* (Paulino et al. [2017](#page-17-24)), *Lecointea* (Mansano et al. [2002](#page-16-22)), *Mimosa* (Gonçalves et al. [2023\)](#page-16-19), *Mendoravia* (Zimmerman et al. [2017](#page-18-0)), *Parkia* (Renan Siqueira Moraes personal communication), *Stryphnodendron* (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016\)](#page-17-25), *Swartzia* (Paulino et al.

This study adds two species having pentamerous fowers with a meristic variation of the merism within the same inforescence: *S. grandistipula*, which can initiate six sepals and petals primordia, and *S. polyphylla* with four sepals

[2013](#page-16-25)) and *Vachellia* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007](#page-16-9)).



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Late stage of development of androecium and gynoecium in *Senegalia*. **a**-**e**, **v**, **w**, *S. grandistipula*. **f**-**j**, **x**, *S. polyphylla*. **k**-**o**, **y**, **z**, *S. riparia*. **p**-**t**, **aa**, **ab**, *S. tubulifera*. **a**, **f**, **k**, **p** Gynoecium of preanthetic fower. The style is conspicuously folded, and the stipe is noticeable. **b**, **g**, **l**, **q** Androecium of preanthetic fower. The stamens remain conspicuously folded. **e** Inner surface of petal tip, frontal view, showing scattered stomata. **c**, **d**, **h**, **i**, **m**, **n**, **r**, **s** Dorsifxed and bithecate anthers of anthetic fowers showing longitudinal dehiscence. **e**, **j**, **o**, **t** Cup-shaped stigma of an anthetic fower. (**u**) Detail

of the ovary surface showing scattered non-glandular trichomes and stomata (arrows). **v**, **z**, **ab** Detail of the upper portion of the staminal tube of an anthetic fower showing stomata. (**w**, **x**, **y**, **aa**) Longitudinal section of an anthetic fower with the fower base magnifed showing the staminal tube.  $A =$ androecium, f=filament,  $G =$ ovary stipe,  $O=$ ovary, p=petals, st=staminal tube, sy=style. s=sepals. Scale bars: **a, b, f, g, p**=500 μm; **c**=100 μm; **d, i, j, m, n, z, ab**=20 μm; **e, h, o, r-v**=50 μm; **k, l, q, w, x, y, aa**=200 μm

and petals primordia. More specifically, changes in the perianth merism between fve and six or seven sepals have already been reported for other mimosoids such as *Calliandra angustifolia* Spruce ex Benth. (Prenner [2004a\)](#page-17-27) *Inga congesta* T.D. Penn., *Inga feuillei* DC., *Inga grandis* T.D. Penn., *Inga hispida* Schott ex Benth. (Paulino et al. [2017](#page-17-24)), *Parkia platycephala* Benth. Renan Siqueira Moraes personal communication), *Stryphnodendron adstringens* (Mart.) Coville (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016](#page-17-25)), *Mimosa bimucronata* (DC.) Kuntze., *Mimosa candollei* R. Grether (Gonçalves et al. [2023\)](#page-16-19), *Neptunia pubescens* Benth. (Tucker [1988](#page-17-19)), and *Vachellia pennatula* (Schltdl. & Cham.) Seigler & Ebinger (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007\)](#page-16-9). On the other hand, perianth merism variation from five to four or fewer members, as observed in *S. polyphylla*, has been less reported, so far reported only in *C. angustifolia* (Prenner [2004a](#page-17-27)), *Inga bella* M. Sousa (Paulino et al. [2017\)](#page-17-24), M. *candollei* and *M. caesalpiniifolia* (Gonçalves et al. [2023](#page-16-19))d *pubescens* (Tucker [1988](#page-17-19)).

The propensity for meristic fuctuations in the mimosoid clade can be explained by the mechanical forces within flowers (Ronse De Craene [2016,](#page-17-31) [2018\)](#page-17-33); however, floral evolution is also strongly infuenced by pollination systems (Hodges and Arnold [1995;](#page-16-26) Xiang et al. [2023](#page-18-1)). Most groups with variations in the perianth merism have actinomorphic fowers (Gonçalves et al. [2023;](#page-16-19) Mansano et al. [2002;](#page-16-22) Paulino et al. [2017](#page-17-24); Ronse De Craene [2016](#page-17-31); Tucker [1999](#page-17-34)), which exhibit, in general, more generalist pollination systems rela-tive to zygomorphic flowers (Buckhari et al. [2017;](#page-16-27) Fenster et al. [2004;](#page-16-28) Sinjushin and Karasyova [2017](#page-17-35)). Zygomorphy allows precision in the placement of pollen on the pollinator; therefore, variations in perianth merism in these fowers may alter the bilateral symmetry, making them non-functional or less attractive to pollinators (Citerne et al. [2010;](#page-16-29) Lázaro and Totland [2014](#page-16-30)). Meristic variation in fowers of highly congested inforescences, such as in *Senegalia* and other mimosoids, would not affect attractiveness because they do not act as a pollination unit by themselves but the inforescence as a whole (Harder et al. [2004](#page-16-17)).

### **Union of perianth organs in mimosoid**

In this study, a postgenital union of the calyx and corolla in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. tubulifera* was evidenced, in which each primordium of sepals and petals initiates separately from the others, elongates, and subsequently unites with the adjacent members. Postgenital calyx unions have been mentioned in other mimosoids such as *Acacia saligna* (Labill.) H.L. Wendl. (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007\)](#page-16-9), A. *microsperma*, *Wallaceodendron celebicum* Koord. (Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990](#page-17-6)), *Parkia multijuga* Benth. and *S. adstringens* (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016](#page-17-25)). Congenital calyx unions have been reported in *Acacia celastrifolia* Benth. (Prenner [2011](#page-17-8)), *Acaciella angustissima* (Mill.) Kuntze (Rico-Alvarado and Gómez-Acevedo [2022](#page-17-9)), *Mimosa albida* Humb. & Bonpl. ex Willd., *Mimosa pigra* L., *Mimosa strigillosa* Torr. & A. Gray (Ramírez-Domenech and Tucker [1990\)](#page-17-6), *S. berlandieri*, *V. pennatula* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007](#page-16-9))d *cornigera* (Gómez-Acevedo [2021](#page-16-8)).

Sympetaly is a remarkable character in the mimosoid clade that differentiates them from other Leguminosae, where the corollas are mostly free (Lewis et al. [2005](#page-16-31); Tucker [2003a](#page-17-20)). The corolla union in the mimosoid clade occurs mainly postgenitally (Pedersoli et al. [2023](#page-17-7)), with exceptions reported in *A. saligna*, *V. cornigera*, and *V. pennatula* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007;](#page-16-9) Gómez-Acevedo [2021](#page-16-8)). Postgenital union of the corolla may occur via papillose cells or wall-to-wall junctions, which may involve the apical, middle, and/or basal parts (Pedersoli et al. [2023](#page-17-7)). In *S. grandistipula*, the corolla shows a connation-coherence type union, where connation occurs in the middle and basal part and coherence in the apical part by the action of papillose cells. In *S. polyphylla*, total coherence occurs, where the apical, middle, and basal parts are joined by papillose cells. Conjunction-coherence type unions have been reported in other mimosoid species: *Abarema cochliacarpos* (Gomes) Barneby & J.W. Grimes, *Inga laurina* (Sw.) Willd., *Inga vera* Kunth, *P. multijuga*, *Pithecellobium dulce* (Roxb.) Benth. and *Samanea saman* (Jacq.) Merr. (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016](#page-17-25); Pedersoli et al. [2023\)](#page-17-7). Corollas with full coherence in the mimosoids: *A. celastrifolia*, *Adenanthera pavonina* L., *Entada acaciifolia* Benth., *Mimosa artemisiana* Heringer & Paula, *P. macroloba*, *Piptadenia gonoacantha* (Willd.) Kuntze, *Stryphnodendron adstringens*, *S.rotundifolium* var. *rotundifolium* Mart. and *Tetrapleura tetraptera* (Schumach. & Thonn.) Taub (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016;](#page-17-25) Pedersoli et al. [2023\)](#page-17-7). Within the ingoid clade, there is a tendency toward connate corollas, except for *A. celastrifolia* (Pedersoli et al. [2023;](#page-17-7) Prenner [2011](#page-17-8)), and the one reported in this study, *S. polyphylla*.

### **Androecium features**

Polyandry can be produced by the action of ring meristems, which allow a prolongation of stamen production after carpel initiation, without depending on the apical meristem of the fower (Endress [2006;](#page-16-32) Kong and Becker [2021\)](#page-16-33). The evolution of polyandry in eudicots is unclear; however, the diversity of ring meristems suggests recurrent emergence in evolution, probably produced by similar pollination environments (Kong and Becker [2021](#page-16-33); Luckow et al. [2003;](#page-16-2) Wessinger and Hileman [2020\)](#page-17-36). Similar developmental mechanisms control the development of the free multistaminate androcecium characteristic of *Acacia* s.l.; however, the underlying molecular mechanisms that generate and regulate ring meristems are unknown and need to be studied (Kong and Becker [2021](#page-16-33); Luckow et al. [2003](#page-16-2)).

The order of emergence of individual stamen from the ring primordium in a centripetal sequence, as described here in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. riparia*, is common in the mimosoid clade (Ramírez-Domenech [1989\)](#page-17-26), with exceptions reported in *A. baileyana*, which showed combined centrifugal and centripetal initiation (Derstine and Tucker [1991](#page-16-7)) and *A. angustissima* with a synchronous initiation of the stamen primordia, this latter is a novel developmental pattern in the mimosoid clade (Rico-Alvarado and Gómez-Acevedo [2022\)](#page-17-9). In Leguminosae, polyandry is present in other groups such as in Detarioideae (*Colophospermum*, *Maniltoa*, *Polystemonanthus*) and Papilionoideae (*Alexa*, *Swartzia*, *Bocoa*, *Cordyla*) (Da Silva [2023;](#page-16-34) Sinjushin [2021](#page-17-23)). In Swartzioid (Papilionoideae), a ring meristem with centrifugal and centripetal initiation has been reported (Paulino et al. [2013](#page-16-25); Tucker [2003b\)](#page-17-37). Nevertheless, to date, there are no precise data on the developmental processes of the multi-staminate androcecium in all legume groups.

At the beginning, the ring meristems in *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. riparia* appear sectored in fve parts around the fower. The formation of these sectors is due to the delayed initiation of the stamen primordia that occurs on the sides of the pentagonal-shaped foral meristem. This delay is probably attributed to the pressure exerted by the petals on the ring meristem during the initial stages of development. Consequently, this pressure induces a temporal mismatch in the meristematic activity of the androecium, leading to the early proliferation of the stamen primordia located between the petal margins (Bull-Hereñu et al. [2022](#page-16-35)). Then, as the fower bud develops, the sectors are no longer evident. This is because the pressure exerted by the petals ceases to exist because the petals have elongated (Ronse de Craene [2018](#page-17-33)). This type of initiation is evident in studies of some mimosoid species with multistaminate androecia, such as *A. baileyana* (Derstine and Tucker [1991](#page-16-7)), *A. saligna*, *S. berlandieri*, *V. cornigera*, and *V. pennatula* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007](#page-16-9); Gómez-Acevedo [2021\)](#page-16-8).

The formation of the staminal tube occurs through the union of the stamen bases as in *P. multijuga* (Pedersoli and Teixeira [2016\)](#page-17-25) or by the growth of the receptacle below the bases of the stamens as in *Calliandra houstoniana* (Mill.) Standl. (Tucker [2003a](#page-17-20)). In the *Senegalia* species studied, the staminal tube was formed by the growth of the base of the flaments. The presence of the staminal tube is directly associated with pollination mechanisms because these can limit the range of foral visitors that can access the nectar that is normally produced and accumulated in the space between the tube and the pistil (Polhill [1981;](#page-17-38) Rodríguez-Riaño et al. [1999](#page-17-39); Tucker [1987](#page-17-11)).

#### **Gynoecium features**

In *S. grandistipula*, *S. polyphylla*, and *S. riparia*, carpel initiation occurred before the initiation of all stamen primordia, a common condition in Leguminosae (Tucker [1987](#page-17-11)). The adaxial orientation of the carpel cleft is also usual in Leguminosae (Sinjushin [2021](#page-17-23); Tucker [1987](#page-17-11)), with some exceptions reported in Caesalpinioideae: *Ceratonia siliqua* L. (Tucker [1992b\)](#page-17-22), *Gleditsia* J. Clayton (Tucker [1991](#page-17-21)), *A. baileyana* (Derstine and Tucker [1991](#page-16-7)), *A. saligna*, *S. berlandieri*, *V. pennatula* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007\)](#page-16-9) and *cornigera* (Gómez-Acevedo [2021\)](#page-16-8). Likewise, slight lateral carpel cleft deviations of the adaxial side have been previously described in some genera of Papilionoideae (Prenner [2004b\)](#page-17-40). In this study, *S. grandistipula* also presented unusual orientations of the carpel cleft (abaxial and lateral). These erratic initiation patterns are associated with radially symmetric taxa (Sinjushin [2021](#page-17-23); Tucker [1999\)](#page-17-34).

#### **Cell specializations**

The presence, timing of formation, and distribution pattern of trichomes on the surfaces of sepals, petals, and ovaries were diferent among the *Senegalia* species analyzed here. These late-expressed characteristics in foral development, such as the size and shape of organs, distribution, and type of indumentum, tend to diferentiate species of the same genus (Tucker [1992a,](#page-17-5) [1997](#page-17-16)). Intertwined papillate epidermal cells in the apical parts of petals and sepals in the middle and late stages of development are responsible for fower bud closure, a common corolla closure mechanism in mimosoids (Pedersoli et al. [2023\)](#page-17-7). This has been proposed as a potential ontogenetic synapomorphy for the mimosoid clade (Gonçalves et al. [2023](#page-16-19)).

In Leguminosae, glandular trichomes on the surface of the sepals have been reported mainly in Caesalpinioideae and Papilionoideae (Marazzi et al. [2019\)](#page-16-36). Likewise, secretory trichomes are located on the inforescence axis, base of the foral receptacle, margins, and surfaces of bracts, bracteoles, sepals, and petals (Barros et al. [2017a](#page-15-1), [b\)](#page-15-2). Floral trichomes and stomata can emit volatile compounds to repel herbivores or attract pollinators (Callow et al. [2000](#page-16-37); Efmert et al. [2005](#page-16-38)). In *S. polyphylla*, glandular trichomes and stomata were found on the outer surface of the sepals, as well as stomata on the inner surface of the petal apex. Considering that petals in Leguminosae are the main sites of fragrance emission (Marinho et al. [2014\)](#page-16-39) and that the sepals mainly have a protective function (Endress [1994](#page-16-16); Ghazoul [2001\)](#page-16-40), trichomes and stomata on the sepals could be more related to protection from herbivory, while the presence of stomata on the petals related to the release of fragrances to attract potential pollinators. However, it is necessary to analyze in detail the compounds produced



<span id="page-14-0"></span>

by these trichomes and stomata to understand better their function in these flowers.

Stomata were found on the surface of the ovary in *S. tubulifera*. This feature has been reported in other angiosperms (Endress and Igersheim [1999\)](#page-16-42) and legumes within Caesalpinioideae, mainly in pluricarpellar species of the mimosoid clade: *Acacia celastrifolia*, *Archidendron glabrum* (K. Schum.) K. Schum. & Lauterb., *A. lucyi* F. Muell., *Inga bella*, *I. congesta*, *I. gereauana* (Pipoly & Vásquez) T.D. Penn., *I. grandis*, *I. hispida* (Paulino et al. [2014](#page-16-41); Prenner [2011](#page-17-8)). The presence of stomata on the surface of the carpel has been related to a photosynthetic function (Galen et al. [1993\)](#page-16-43); however, they may also be associated with the release of volatiles (Efmert et al. [2005](#page-16-38)).

Nectar secretion in *Acacia* s.l. fowers is not common, and polyads are the main foral resource for foral visitors (mainly bees) (Ancibor [1969;](#page-15-3) Stone et al. [2003\)](#page-17-41). Some species of *Acacia* s.l. with reports of nectar production are: *Acacia zanzibarica* (S. Moore) Taub., *A. tortilis* (Forssk.) Hayne, *Senegalia brevispica* (Harms) Seigler & Ebinger, *S. mellifera* (Vahl) Seigler & Ebinger, *S. senegal* (L.) Britton, *S. berlandieri* and *Acaciella angustissima* (Gómez-Acevedo et al. [2007;](#page-16-9) Rico-Alvarado and Gómez-Acevedo [2022;](#page-17-9) Stone et al. [2003;](#page-17-41)). In this study, *S. grandistipula*, *S. riparia*, and *S. tubulifera* showed stomata in the apical part of the staminal tube, which could indicate possible nectar secretion. Still, studies are needed to corroborate nectar production.

## **Ontogenetic traits and phylogenetic relationships in***Acacia* **s.l.**

Ontogenetic characters leading to flower formation in the segregate genera of *Acacia* s.l. show a high variation (see Table [3\)](#page-14-0). The order of appearance of sepals, and the process of calyx and corolla union are the most variable characters. Calyx initiation patterns change even within the same inforescence, which is rarely reported in other legume groups, i.e. in *Astragalus* (Derstine and Tucker [1991;](#page-16-7) Naghiloo et al. [2012\)](#page-16-44). Some floral developmental characteristics that are specifc for genera of *Acacia* s.l. could be the postgenital union of corolla in *Senegalia*, simultaneous initiation and congenital union of the calyx in *Acacia*, and an erratic initiation with congenital union of sepals and petals in *Vachellia*. The presence of a staminal tube was reported in *Acaciella angustissima* and *Senegalia*, and simultaneous initiation of stamen primordia only in *Acaciella*. Due to the large number of species in many of these clades, along with the small number of species studied, it remains difficult to associate developmental trends to specifc genera, and further ontogenetic studies are needed.

### **Conclusions**

The postgenital union of the corolla and the staminal tube may be typical characteristics of the *Senegalia* sect. *Monacanthea* p.p., however, is necessary to analyze if these characteristics also occur in the African and Asian sections *Senegalia* and *Monocanthea* s.s. Potential distinctive characteristics suggested to diferentiate groups within *Acacia* s.l., such as the inception patterns of androecium and the presence of stomata in the petals, were not shown to be characteristic of all *Senegalia* species. Similarly, the mechanisms of postgenital union of the corolla vary among *Senegalia* species, indicating that this is not an informative character for the morphological characterization of the section., The results demonstrate the great diversity of ontogenetic pathways in the construction of the multistaminate fower in *Senegalia* sect. *Monacanthea* p.p. and the mimosoid clade. Further studies of foral anatomy and ontogeny in species of the diferent genera of *Acacia* s.l., as well as in the mimosoid clade, will help to establish patterns more precisely.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10265-024-01554-z>.

**Acknowledgements** The authors thank Elaine Zózimo de Souza (Instituto de Pesquisas Jardim Botânico do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), Raquel Pires (Centro Brasileiro de Pesquisas Físicas, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and Jean Pierre (CENABIO - Centro Nacional de Biologia Estrutural e Bioimagem, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for technical support during electron microscopy work; Marcus José de Azevedo Falcão for technical and theoretical support during the all phases. This research was supported by CAPES with the scholarship for the frst author.

**Author contributions** A.J.A.R., V.S.T. and J.V.P. analysed the anatomical and MEV images. V.S.T. and V.F.M. contributed to the study conception and design. All authors contributed to the writing of the manuscript.

### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** The authors have no conficts of interest to declare.

# **References**

- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Ancibor E (1969) Los Nectarios forales en leguminosas. Darwiniana 15:128–142
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Arroyo MK (1981) Breeding systems and pollination biology in Leguminosae. In: Polhill RM, Raven PH (eds) Advances in legume systematics, part 2. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 723–769
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Barros TC, Marinho CR, Pedersoli GD, Paulino JV, Teixeira SP (2017a) Beyond pollination: diversity of secretory structures during fower development in diferent legume lineages. Acta Bot Brasilica 31:358–373
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Barros TC, Pedersoli GD, Paulino JV, Teixeira SP (2017b) In the interface of caesalpinioids and mimosoids: comparative foral development elucidates shared characters in *Dimorphandra Mollis* and *Pentaclethra macroloba* (Leguminosae). Am J Bot 104:218–232
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>Buckhari G, Zhang J, Stevens PF, Zhang W (2017) Evolution of the process underlying foral zygomorphy development in pentapetalous angiosperms. Am J Bot 104:1846–1856
- <span id="page-16-35"></span>Bull-Hereñu K, dos Santos P, Toni JFG, El Ottra JHL, Thaowetsuwan P, Jeiter J, De Ronse LP, Iwamoto A (2022) Mechanical forces in foral development. Plants 11:661
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Buttrose MS, Grant WJR, Sedgley M (1981) Floral development in *Acacia pycnantha* Benth. In Hook. Aust J Bot 29:385–395
- <span id="page-16-37"></span>Callow JA, Hallahan DL, Gray JC (2000) Plant trichomes, vol 31. Academic, San Diego
- <span id="page-16-29"></span>Citerne H, Jabbour F, Nadot S, Damerval C (2010) The evolution of foral symmetry. Adv Bot Res 54:85–137
- <span id="page-16-34"></span>Da Silva GS, Torke BM, Mansano VDF (2023) *Alexa Duckeana* (Leguminosae-Papilionoideae): a new species from the Brazilian Amazon. Phytotaxa 629:255–265
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Derstine KS, Tucker SC (1991) Organ initiation and development of inforescences and fowers of *Acacia baileyana*. Am J Bot 78:816–832
- <span id="page-16-38"></span>Efmert U, Große J, Röse US, Ehrig F, Kägi R, Piechulla B (2005) Volatile composition, emission pattern, and localization of foral scent emission in *Mirabilis jalapa* (Nyctaginaceae). Am J Bot 92:2–12
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Endress PK (1994) Diversity and evolutionary biology of tropical fowers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Endress PK (1999) Symmetry in fowers: diversity and evolution. Int J Plant Sci 160(S6):S3–S23
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Endress PK (2004) Structure and relationships of basal relictual angiosperms. Austral Syst Bot 17:343–366
- <span id="page-16-32"></span>Endress PK (2006) Angiosperm floral evolution: morphological developmental framework. Adv Bot Res 44:1–61
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Endress PK (2010) Disentangling confusions in inforescence morphology: patterns and diversity of reproductive shoot ramifcation in angiosperms. J Syst Evol 48:225–239
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Endress PK (2011) Evolutionary diversifcation of the fowers in angiosperms. Am J Bot 98:370–396
- <span id="page-16-42"></span>Endress PK, Igersheim A (1999) Gynoecium diversity and systematics of the basal eudicots. Bot J Linn Soc 130:305–393
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Falcão MJ, Paulino JV, Kochanovski FJ, Figueiredo RC, Basso-Alves JP, Mansano VF (2020) Development of inforescences and fowers in Fabaceae subfamily Dialioideae: an evolutionary overview and complete ontogenetic series for *Apuleia* and *Martiodendron*. Bot J Linn Soc 193:19–46
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Fenster CB, Armbruster WS, Wilson P, Dudash MR, Thomson JD (2004) Pollination syndromes and foral specialization. Ann Rev Ecol Evol Syst 35:375–403
- <span id="page-16-43"></span>Galen C, Dawson TE, Stanton ML (1993) Carpels as leaves: meeting the carbon cost of reproduction in an alpine buttercup. Oecologia 95:187–193
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Gerlach G (1969) Botanische Mikrotechnik. George Thieme Verlag, **Stuttgart**
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Gerrits PO, Eppinger B, Goor HV, Horobin RW (1991) A versatile, low-toxicity glycol methacrylate embedding medium for use in biological research, and for recovered biomaterials prostheses. Cells Mater 1:189–198
- <span id="page-16-40"></span>Ghazoul J (2001) Can foral repellents pre-empt potential ant-plant conficts? Ecol Lett 4:295–299
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Gómez-Acevedo SL (2021) Floral development of the myrmecophytic *Acacia cornigera* (Leguminosae). Bot Sci 99:588–598
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Gómez-Acevedo SL, Magallon S, Rico-Arce L (2007) Floral development in three species of *Acacia* (Leguminosae, Mimosoideae). Aust J Bot 55:30–41
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Gonçalves BCF, Mansano VDF, Moraes RS, Paulino JV (2023) Comparative foral development in *Mimosa* (Fabaceae: Caesalpinioideae) brings new insights into merism lability in the mimosoid clade. J Plant Res 1–26
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Grimes J (1999) Inforescence morphology, heterochrony, and phytogeny in the mimosoid tribes ingeae and acacieae (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae). Bot Rev 65:317–347
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Harder LD, Jordan CY, Gross WE, Routley MB (2004) Beyond foricentrism: the pollination function of inforescences. Plant Species Biol 19:137–148

<span id="page-16-26"></span>Hodges SA, Arnold ML (1995) Spurring plant diversifcation: are foral nectar spurs a key innovation. Proc Royal Soc Lond 262:343–348 Johansen DA (1940) Plant microtechnique. McGraw-Hill, London

- <span id="page-16-21"></span><span id="page-16-10"></span>Kochanovski FJ, Paulino JV, Teixeira SP, Tozzi AMGDA, Mansano VF (2018) Floral development of *Hymenaea verrucosa*: an ontogenetic approach to the unusual fower of Fabaceae subfamily detarioideae. Bot J Linn Soc 187:46–58
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Koenen EJ, Kidner C, de Souza ÉR, Simon MF, Iganci JR, Nicholls JA, Gillian KB, de Queiroz LP, Luckow M, Lewis GP, Pennington RT, Hughes CE (2020) Hybrid capture of 964 nuclear genes resolves evolutionary relationships in the mimosoid legumes and reveals the polytomous origins of a large pantropical radiation. Am J Bot 107:1710–1735
- <span id="page-16-33"></span>Kong D, Becker A (2021) Then there were plenty-ring meristems giving rise to many stamen whorls. Plants 10:1140
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Lázaro A, Totland  $\emptyset$  (2014) The influence of floral symmetry, dependence on pollinators and pollination generalization on fower size variation. Ann Bot 114:157–165
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Lewis GP (2005) Tribe Acacieae. In: Lewis G, Schrire B, Mackinder B, Lock M (eds) Legumes of the world. Royal Botanic Gardens, London, pp 187–191
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>Lewis G, Schrire B, Mackinder B, Lock M (2005) Legumes of the world. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Luckow M, Miller JT, Murphy DJ, Livshultz T (2003) A phylogenetic analysis of the Mimosoideae (Leguminosae) based on chloroplast DNA sequence data. In: Klitgaard BB, Bruneau A (eds) Advances in legume systematics, part, 10. Royal Botanic Garden, London, pp 197–220
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Mansano VF, Tucker SC, Tozzi AMGA (2002) Floral ontogeny of *Lecointea*, *Zollernia*, *Exostyles*, and *Harleyodendron* (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae: Swartzieae Sl). Am J Bot 89:1553–1569
- <span id="page-16-36"></span>Marazzi B, Gonzalez AM, Delgado-Salinas A, Luckow MA, Ringelberg JJ, Hughes CE (2019) Extraforal nectaries in Leguminosae: phylogenetic distribution, morphological diversity and evolution. Aust Syst Bot 32:409–458
- <span id="page-16-39"></span>Marinho CR, Souza CD, Barros TC, Teixeira SP (2014) Scent glands in legume fowers. Plant Biol 16:215–226
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Maslin BR, Stirton CH (1997) Generic and infra-generic classifcation in *Acacia* (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae): a list of critical species on which to build a comparative data set. Bull Int Group Stud Mimosoideae 20:22–44
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Maslin BR, Miller JT, Seigler DS (2003) Overview of the generic status of *Acacia* (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae). Aust Syst Bot 16:1–18
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Miller JT, Seigler DS (2012) Evolutionary and taxonomic relationships of *Acacia* s.l. (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae). Aust Syst Bot 25:217–224
- <span id="page-16-44"></span>Naghiloo S, Dadpour MR, Movafeghi A (2012) Floral ontogeny in *Astragalus compactus* (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae: Galegeae): variable occurrence of bracteoles and variable patterns of sepal initiation. Planta 235:793–805
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>O'Brien TP, Feder N, McCully ME (1964) Polychromatic staining of plant cell walls by toluidine blue O. Protoplasma 59:368–373
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Paulino JV, Mansano VF, Teixeira SP (2013) Elucidating the unusual foral features of *Swartzia dipetala* (Fabaceae). Bot J Linn Soc 173:303–320
- <span id="page-16-41"></span>Paulino JV, Prenner G, Mansano VF, Teixeira SP (2014) Comparative development of rare cases of a polycarpellate gynoecium in an otherwise monocarpellate family, Leguminosae. Am J Bot 101:572–586
- <span id="page-17-24"></span>Paulino JV, Mansano VF, Prenner G, Teixeira SP (2017) High developmental lability in the perianth of *Inga* (Fabales, Fabaceae): a neotropical woody rosid with gamopetalous corolla. Bot J Linn Soc 183:146–161
- <span id="page-17-25"></span>Pedersoli GD, Teixeira SP (2016) Floral development of *Parkia multijuga* and *Stryphnodendron adstringens*, two andromonoecious mimosoid trees (Leguminosae). Int J Plant Sci 177:60–75
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Pedersoli GD, Mansano VF, Barros TC, Paulino JV, Teixeira SP (2023) Sympetaly in the Mimosoid Clade (Leguminosae, Caesalpinioideae): an asterid characteristic in a Rosid Group. Perspect Plant Ecol Evol Syst 60:125747
- <span id="page-17-38"></span>Polhill RM, Raven PH (1981) Advances in legume systematics, vol 1. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew
- <span id="page-17-27"></span>Prenner G (2004a) Floral ontogeny in *Calliandra Angustifolia* (Leguminosae: Mimosoideae: Ingeae) and its systematic implications. Int J Plant Sci 165:417–426
- <span id="page-17-40"></span>Prenner G (2004b) The asymmetric androecium in Papilionoideae (Leguminosae): defnition, occurrence, and possible systematic value. Int J Plant Sci 165:499–510
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Prenner G (2011) Floral ontogeny of *Acacia Celastrifolia*: an enigmatic mimosoid legume with pronounced polyandry and multiple carpels. Flowers Tree Life 1:256–278
- <span id="page-17-28"></span>Prenner G, Klitgaard BB (2008) Towards unlocking the deep nodes of Leguminosae: foral development and morphology of the enigmatic *Duparquetia orchidacea* (Leguminosae, Caesalpinioideae). Am J Bot 95:1349–1365
- <span id="page-17-26"></span>Ramírez-Domenech JI (1989) Floral ontogeny of mimosoid legumes. Dissertation, Louisiana State University and Agricultural & Mechanical College
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Ramírez-Domenech JI, Tucker SC (1989) Phylogenetic implications of inforescence and foral ontogeny of *Mimosa strigillosa*. Am J Bot 76:1583–1593
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Ramírez-Domenech JI, Tucker SC (1990) Comparative ontogeny of the perianth in mimosoid legumes. Am J Bot 77:624–635
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Rico-Alvarado D, Gómez-Acevedo S (2022) Desarrollo foral de *Acaciella Angustissima* (Leguminosae: Caesalpinioideae: Acacieae). Bot Sci 100:412–422
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Ringelberg JJ, Koenen EJM, Iganci JR, de Queiroz LP, Murphy DJ, Gaudeul M, Bruneau A, Luckow M, Lewis GP, Hughes CE (2022) Phylogenomic analysis of 997 nuclear genes reveals the need for extensive generic re-delimitation in Caesalpinioideae (Leguminosae). In: Hughes CE, de Queiroz LP, Lewis GP (eds) Advances in Legume Systematics 14. Classifcation of Caesalpinioideae Part 1: New generic delimitations. PhytoKeys 205:3–58. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.205.85866) [org/10.3897/phytokeys.205.85866](https://doi.org/10.3897/phytokeys.205.85866)
- <span id="page-17-39"></span>Rodríguez-Riaño T, Ortega-Olivencia A, Devesa JA (1999) Types of androecium in the Fabaceae of SW Europe. Ann Bot 83:109–116
- <span id="page-17-31"></span>Ronse De Craene LP (2016) Meristic changes in fowering plants: how fowers play with numbers. Flora 221:22–37
- <span id="page-17-33"></span>Ronse de Craene LP (2018) Understanding the role of foral development in the evolution of angiosperm fowers: clarifcations from a historical and physico-dynamic perspective. J Plant Res 131:367–393
- <span id="page-17-30"></span>Ronse de Craene LP (2022) Floral diagrams: an aid to understanding fower morphology and evolution. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Seigler DS, Ebinger JE, Miller JT (2006) *Mariosousa*, a new segregate genus from *Acacia* s.l. (Fabaceae, Mimosoideae) from Central and North America. Novon J Bot Nomenclature 16:413–420
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Seigler DS, Ebinger JE, Riggins CW, Terra V, Miller JT (2017) *Parasenegalia* and *Pseudosenegalia* (Fabaceae): new genera of the Mimosoideae. Novon J Bot Nomenclature 25:180–205
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>Sinjushin AA (2021) Evolutionary history of the leguminous fower. Biol Bull Rev 11:400–413
- <span id="page-17-35"></span>Sinjushin AA, Karasyova TA (2017) Stability of the foral structure in Leguminosae with fag versus non-fag blossom. Wulfenia 24:1–10
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Stone GN, Willmer PG, Rowe JA, Nyundo B, Abdallah R (1999) The pollination ecology of Mkomazi *Acacia* species. In: Coe MJ, McWilliam N, Stone GN, Packer M (eds) Mkomazi, the ecology, biodiversity and conservation of a Tanzanian Savanna. Royal Geogr Soc, London, pp 337–360
- <span id="page-17-41"></span>Stone GN, Raine NE, Prescott M, Willmer PG (2003) Pollination ecology of *Acacia* (Fabaceae, Mimosoideae). Aust Syst Bot 16:103–118
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Teixeira SP, Marinho CR, Paulino JV (2014) A Flor: aspectos morfofuncionais. In: Rech AR, Agostini K, Oliveira PE, Machado IC (eds) Biologia Da Polinização. Editora Projeto Cultural, Rio de Janeiro, pp 45–69
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Terra V, Garcia FC, de Queiroz L, van der Bank M, Miller JT (2017) Phylogenetic relationships in *Senegalia* (Leguminosae-Mimosoideae) emphasizing the south American lineages. Syst Bot 42:458–464
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Terra V, Ringelberg JJ, Maslin B, Koenen EJ, Ebinger J, Seigler D, Hughes CE (2022) Dilemmas in generic delimitation of *Senegalia* and allies (Caesalpinioideae, mimosoid clade): how to reconcile phylogenomic evidence with morphology and taxonomy? PhytoKeys 205:261–278
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Troll W (1965) Botanischer teil. In: Kommission für biologische forschung, Bericht. Akademie der Wissenschaften und Literatur Mainz, Jahrbuch. 1964, pp 93–109
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Tucker SC (1987) Floral initiation and development in legumes. In: Stirton CH (ed) Advances in legume systematics, part 3. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, pp 183–239
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Tucker SC (1988) Heteromorphic fower development in *Neptunia pubescens*, a mimosoid legume. Am J Bot 75:205–224
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>Tucker SC (1991) Helical foral organogenesis in *Gleditsia*, a primitive Caesalpinioideae legume. Am J Bot 78:1130–1149
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Tucker SC (1992a) The role of foral development in studies of legume evolution. Can J Bot 70:692–700
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>Tucker SC (1992b) The developmental basis for sexual expression in *Ceratonia siliqua* (Leguminosae: Caesalpinioideae: Cassieae). Am J Bot 79:318–327
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Tucker SC (1993) Floral ontogeny in Sophoreae (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae). I. *Myroxylon* (*Myroxylon* group) and *Castanospermum* (*Angylocalyx* group). Am J Bot 80:65–75
- <span id="page-17-29"></span>Tucker SC (1996) Trends in evolution of foral ontogeny in *Cassia* sensu stricto, *Senna*, and *Chamaecrista* (Leguminosae: Caesalpinioideae: Cassieae: Cassiineae): a study in convergence. Am J Bot 83:687–711
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Tucker SC (1997) Floral evolution, development, and convergence: the hierarchical-signifcance hypothesis. Int J Plant Sci 158(6 suppl):143–161
- <span id="page-17-32"></span>Tucker SC (1998) Floral ontogeny in legume genera *Petalostylis*, *Labichea*, and *Dialium* (Caesalpinioideae: Cassieae), a series in foral reduction. Am J Bot 85:184–208
- <span id="page-17-34"></span>Tucker SC (1999) Evolutionary lability of symmetry in early foral development. Int J Plant Sci 160(6 suppl):25–39
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>Tucker SC (2003a) Floral development in legumes. Plant Physiol 131:911–926
- <span id="page-17-37"></span>Tucker SC (2003b) Floral ontogeny in *Swartzia* (Leguminosae: Papilionoideae: Swartzieae): distribution and role of the ring meristem. Am J Bot 90:1271–1292
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Weberling F (1989) Structure and evolutionary tendencies of inflorescences in the Leguminosae. Adv Legume Biol 29:35–58
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Weberling F (1992) Morphology of fowers and inforescences. CUP Archive, New York
- <span id="page-17-36"></span>Wessinger CA, Hileman LC (2020) Parallelism in fower evolution and development. Annu Rev Ecol Evol Syst 51:387–408
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>Xiang GJ, Lázaro A, Dai XK, Xia J, Yang CF (2023) Pollinator proboscis length plays a key role in foral integration of honeysuckle fowers (*Lonicera* spp). Plants 12:1629
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>Zimmerman E, Herendeen PS, Lewis GP, Bruneau A (2017) Floral evolution and phylogeny of the Dialioideae, a diverse subfamily of tropical legumes. Am J Bot 104:1019–1041

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.