

Existence of at Least Two Periodic Solutions of a Ratio-dependent Predator-prey Model with Exploited Term

De-sheng Tian, Xian-wu Zeng

College of Mathematics and Statistics, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, China
(E-mail: tdshism@sina.com, xwzeng@public.wh.hb.cn)

Abstract In this paper, we study a non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey model with exploited term. By means of the coincidence degree theory, we establish a sufficient condition for the existence of at least two positive periodic solutions of this model.

Keywords Predator-prey model, ratio-dependent, exploited term, periodic solution, coincidence degree
2000 MR Subject Classification 92D25, 34C25, 34K15

1 Introduction

In recent years, the existence of periodic solutions of the predator-prey model is widely studied. Models with exploited terms are often considered. Generally, the model with exploited terms is described as follows:

$$\dot{x} = xf(x, y) - h, \quad \dot{y} = yg(x, y) - k,$$

where x and y are functions of time representing densities of prey and predator, respectively; h and k are exploited terms standing for the harvests (see [5]). Particularly, a non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey model with an exploited term is described by the following system of ordinary differential equations

$$\dot{x} = x \left(a - bx - \frac{cy}{my + x} \right) - h, \quad \dot{y} = y \left(-d + \frac{fx}{my + x} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where a, c, d, f, m are the prey intrinsic growth rate, capture rate, death rate of predator, conversion rate, half saturation-parameter, respectively. Moreover, on account of biological background of Model (1.1), we always assume that all of the parameters are positive constants. For the detailed biological meanings, we refer to [1–3] and references cited therein.

Since realistic models require the inclusion of the effect of changing environment, it motivates us to consider the following model:

$$\begin{cases} x'(t) = x(t) \left(a(t) - b(t)x(t) - \frac{c(t)y(t)}{m(t)y(t) + x(t)} \right) - h(t), \\ y'(t) = y(t) \left(-d(t) + \frac{f(t)x(t)}{m(t)y(t) + x(t)} \right). \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

Correspondingly, we assume the parameters in (1.2) are positive ω -periodic functions. The assumption of periodicity of the parameters is a way of incorporating periodicity of the environment (e.g. seasonal effects of weather, food supplies, mating habits etc).

Our aim of this paper is to establish a sufficient condition for the existence of at least two positive ω -periodic solutions of System (1.2) by applying the continuation theorem of coincidence degree theory, which was proven in [4] by Gaines and Mawhin. Now we briefly introduce the coincidence degree theorem.

Let X, Z be real Banach spaces, $L : \text{dom}L \subset X \rightarrow Z$ a Fredholm operator of index zero and $P : X \rightarrow X, Q : Z \rightarrow Z$ continuous projects such that $\text{Im}P = \text{Ker}L, \text{Ker}Q = \text{Im}L, X = \text{Ker}L \oplus \text{Ker}P$ and $Z = \text{Im}L \oplus \text{Im}Q$. Denote the generalized inverse (of L) by $K_P : \text{Im}L \rightarrow \text{Ker}P \cap \text{dom}L$ and an isomorphism of $\text{Im}Q$ onto $\text{Ker}L$ by $J : \text{Im}Q \rightarrow \text{Ker}L$. The continuation theorem is as follows.

Theorem A. *Let L, P, Q and K_P be defined as above, and let $\Omega \subset X$ be an open bounded set and $N : X \rightarrow Z$ be a continuous mapping, which is L -compact on $\overline{\Omega}$ (i.e., $QN : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow Z$ and $K_P(i - Q)N : \Omega \rightarrow X$ are compact). Assume*

(i) *for each $\lambda \in (0, 1), x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{dom}L, Lx \neq \lambda Nx$;*

(ii) *for each $x \in \partial\Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, QNx \neq 0$;*

(iii) *$\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} \neq 0$.*

Then $Lx = Nx$ has at least one solution in $\overline{\Omega}$.

2 Main Result

In the following discussions, we shall use the notations

$$\bar{g} = \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega g(t)dt, \quad g^L = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} g(t), \quad g^M = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} g(t),$$

where g is a continuous ω -periodic function.

We now state and prove our main result.

Theorem 2.1. *Assume that the following*

$$\bar{f} > \bar{d} \quad \text{and} \quad \left(a - \frac{c}{m}\right)^L > 2\sqrt{b^M h^M}.$$

Then system (1.2) has at least two positive ω -periodic solutions.

Proof. Let $x(t) = \exp\{u(t)\}, y(t) = \exp\{v(t)\}$. Then System (1.2) becomes

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = a(t) - b(t)e^{u(t)} - \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} - h(t)e^{-u(t)}, \\ v'(t) = -d(t) + \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}}. \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

It is easy to see that if System (2.1) has a ω -periodic solution $(u^*(t), v^*(t))^T$, then $(x^*(t), y^*(t))^T = (\exp\{u^*(t)\}, \exp\{v^*(t)\})^T$ is a positive ω -periodic solution of System (1.2). So, to prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that System (2.1) has at least two ω -periodic solutions.

For $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, we consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} u'(t) = \lambda(a(t) - b(t)e^{u(t)} - \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} - h(t)e^{-u(t)}), \\ v'(t) = \lambda\left(-d(t) + \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}}\right). \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

Suppose that $(u(t), v(t))^T$ is a ω -periodic solution of System (2.2) for some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Integrating (2.2) over $[0, \omega]$, we obtain

$$\omega \bar{a} = \int_0^\omega \left(b(t)e^{u(t)} + \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} + h(t)e^{-u(t)} \right) dt, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\omega \bar{d} = \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} dt. \tag{2.4}$$

From (2.2) – (2.4), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega |u'(t)| dt &\leq \lambda \int_0^\omega \left(a(t) + b(t)e^{u(t)} + \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} + h(t)e^{-u(t)} \right) dt \\ &< \omega \bar{a} + \int_0^\omega \left(b(t)e^{u(t)} + \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} + h(t)e^{-u(t)} \right) dt = 2\omega \bar{a}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

and

$$\int_0^\omega |v'(t)| dt \leq \lambda \int_0^\omega \left(d(t) + \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} \right) dt < 2\omega \bar{d}. \tag{2.6}$$

Choose $t_i, \tau_i \in [0, \omega], i = 1, 2$, such that

$$u(t_1) = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} u(t), \quad u(\tau_1) = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} u(t), \tag{2.7}$$

$$v(t_2) = \min_{t \in [0, \omega]} v(t), \quad v(\tau_2) = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} v(t). \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.3) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\omega \bar{a} = \int_0^\omega \left(b(t)e^{u(t)} + \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} + h(t)e^{-u(t)} \right) dt > \int_0^\omega b(t)e^{u(t)} dt \geq \omega \bar{b}e^{u(t_1)},$$

which reduces to $u(t_1) < \ln\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}\right)$. This, together with (2.5), gives

$$u(t) \leq u(t_1) + \int_0^\omega |u'(t)| dt < \ln\left(\frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}}\right) + 2\omega \bar{a} := \delta_1 \tag{2.9}$$

Multiplying the first equality of (2.2) by $e^{u(t)}$, and integrating over $[0, \omega]$, we obtain

$$\int_0^\omega a(t)e^{u(t)} dt = \int_0^\omega b(t)e^{2u(t)} dt + \int_0^\omega \frac{c(t)e^{u(t)+v(t)} dt}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} + \int_0^\omega h(t) dt.$$

Again from (2.7), it follows that $e^{u(\tau_1)}\omega \bar{a} \geq \int_0^\omega a(t)e^{u(t)} dt > \int_0^\omega h(t) dt = \omega \bar{h}$, which reduces to $u(\tau_1) > \ln\left(\frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{a}}\right)$. This, together with (2.5), gives

$$u(t) \geq u(\tau_1) - \int_0^\omega |u'(t)| dt > \ln\left(\frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{a}}\right) - 2\omega \bar{a} := \delta_2. \tag{2.10}$$

From (2.7) and the first equality of (2.2), we also have

$$a(\tau_1) - b(\tau_1)e^{u(\tau_1)} - \frac{c(\tau_1)e^{v(\tau_1)}}{m(\tau_1)e^{v(\tau_1)} + e^{u(\tau_1)}} - h(\tau_1)e^{-u(\tau_1)} = 0.$$

This implies that

$$b(\tau_1)e^{2u(\tau_1)} - \left(a(\tau_1) - \frac{c(\tau_1)}{m(\tau_1)}\right)e^{u(\tau_1)} + h(\tau_1) > 0.$$

Solving this inequality, we obtain

$$e^{u(\tau_1)} < \frac{\left(a(\tau_1) - \frac{c(\tau_1)}{m(\tau_1)}\right) - \sqrt{\left(a(\tau_1) - \frac{c(\tau_1)}{m(\tau_1)}\right)^2 - 4b(\tau_1)h(\tau_1)}}{2b(\tau_1)} \leq l_-,$$

or

$$e^{u(\tau_1)} > \frac{\left(a(\tau_1) - \frac{c(\tau_1)}{m(\tau_1)}\right) + \sqrt{\left(a(\tau_1) - \frac{c(\tau_1)}{m(\tau_1)}\right)^2 - 4b(\tau_1)h(\tau_1)}}{2b(\tau_1)} \geq l_+,$$

where

$$l_{\pm} = \frac{\left(a - \frac{c}{m}\right)^L \pm \sqrt{\left(\left(a - \frac{c}{m}\right)^L\right)^2 - 4b^M h^M}}{2b^M}.$$

Namely,

$$e^{u(\tau_1)} < l_-, \quad \text{or} \quad e^{u(\tau_1)} > l_+.$$

Similarly,

$$e^{u(t_1)} < l_-, \quad \text{or} \quad e^{u(t_1)} > l_+.$$

These, together with (2.9) and (2.10), give

$$\delta_2 < u(t) < \ln l_-, \quad \text{or} \quad \ln l_+ < u(t) < \delta_1. \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.4), (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$\omega \bar{d} < \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)} dt}{m(t)e^{v(t)}} \leq \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)} dt}{m(t)e^{v(t_2)}} < \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{\delta_1} dt}{m(t)e^{v(t_2)}} = \frac{1}{e^{v(t_2)}} \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b}} \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{m}\right) \exp(2\omega \bar{a})\omega,$$

which reduces to $v(t_2) < \ln \left\{ \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b} \cdot \bar{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{m}\right) \right\} + 2\omega \bar{a}$. This, together with (2.6), therefore gives

$$v(t) \leq v(t_2) + \int_0^\omega |v'(t)| dt < \ln \left\{ \frac{\bar{a}}{\bar{b} \cdot \bar{d}} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{f}}{m}\right) \right\} + 2\omega(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) := \delta_3. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.4) and (2.10), noticing that $\int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)} dt}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}}$ is increasing with $u(t)$, we have

$$\omega \bar{d} \geq \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)} dt}{m^M e^{v(\tau_2)} + e^{u(t)}} > \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)(\bar{h}/\bar{a}) \exp(-2\omega \bar{a}) dt}{m^M e^{v(\tau_2)} + (\bar{h}/\bar{a}) \exp(-2\omega \bar{a})},$$

which reduces to, $v(\tau_2) > \ln \left\{ \frac{(\bar{f} - \bar{d})\bar{h}}{m^M \bar{a} \bar{d}} \right\} - 2\omega \bar{a}$.

Hence, this together with (2.6), gives

$$v(t) \geq v(\tau_2) + \int_0^\omega |v'(t)| dt > \ln \left\{ \frac{(\bar{f} - \bar{d})\bar{h}}{m^M \bar{a} \bar{d}} \right\} - 2\omega(\bar{a} + \bar{d}) := \delta_4. \tag{2.13}$$

It follows from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$|v(t)| < |\delta_3| + |\delta_4| + 1 := R_1. \tag{2.14}$$

Clearly, $l_{\pm}, \delta_1, \delta_2, R_1$ are independent of λ .

Now we consider the set of two equations:

$$\begin{cases} \bar{a} - \bar{b}e^u - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{c(t)e^v}{m(t)e^v + e^u} dt - \bar{h}e^{-u} = 0, \\ -\bar{d} + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^u}{m(t)e^v + e^u} dt = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

where $(u, v)^T$ is a constant vector, and satisfies (2.15).

We point out that (2.15) has two solutions. We introduce the function

$$\varphi(z) = -\bar{d} + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)}{m(t)z + 1} dt, \quad z \in [0, +\infty).$$

Clearly, $\varphi(z)$ is decreasing with z and,

$$\varphi(0) = \bar{f} - \bar{d} > 0, \quad \lim_{z \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(z) = -\bar{d} < 0.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique $z^* > 0$ such that $\varphi(z^*) = 0$.

Substituting $z^* = e^v/e^u$ into the first equation in (2.15), we obtain

$$\bar{a} - \bar{b}e^u - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{c(t)z^*}{m(t)z^* + 1} dt - \bar{h}e^{-u} = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

which obviously has two solutions, denoted by u_1 and u_2 ($u_1 < u_2$).

From (2.16), we have $\bar{a} - \bar{b}e^u - (\frac{c}{m}) - \bar{h}e^{-u} < 0$. Solving this inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{u_1} &< \frac{(\bar{a} - \frac{c}{m}) - \sqrt{(\bar{a} - \frac{c}{m})^2 - 4\bar{b} \cdot \bar{h}}}{2\bar{b}} (\leq l_-), \\ e^{u_2} &> \frac{(\bar{a} - \frac{c}{m}) + \sqrt{(\bar{a} - \frac{c}{m})^2 - 4\bar{b} \cdot \bar{h}}}{2\bar{b}} (\geq l_+). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (2.15) has two solutions, denoted by $(u_1, v_1)^T, (u_2, v_2)^T$ ($v_1 < v_2$). Further, it follows from (2.16) that

$$\delta_2 < u_1 < \ln l_- \quad \text{and} \quad \ln l_+ < u_2 < \delta_1. \tag{2.17}$$

Take $X = Z = \{(u(t), v(t))^T \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2) | u(t+\omega) = u(t), v(t+\omega) = v(t)\}$ and $\|(u(t), v(t))^T\| = \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |u(t)| + \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |v(t)|$. Equipped with the norm, X is a Banach space. Let $L : \text{dom}L \subset X \rightarrow X$, $L(u(t), v(t))^T = (u'(t), v'(t))^T$, where $\text{dom}L = \{(u(t), v(t))^T \in X : (u(t), v(t))^T \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^2)\}$. Again let $N : X \rightarrow X$,

$$N \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a(t) - b(t)e^{u(t)} - \frac{c(t)e^{v(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} - h(t)e^{-u(t)} \\ -d(t) + \frac{f(t)e^{u(t)}}{m(t)e^{v(t)} + e^{u(t)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define projectors P and Q by

$$P \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = Q \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega u(t) dt \\ \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega v(t) dt \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} \in X.$$

Obviously, $\text{Ker}L = \text{Im}P = \mathbb{R}^2$, $\text{Im}L = \text{Ker}Q = \{(u(t), v(t))^T \in X : \bar{u} = \bar{v} = 0\}$ is closed in X , and $\dim\text{Ker}L = \dim(Z/\text{Im}L) = 2$. Thus, L is a Fredholm operator of index zero. Moreover, as usual the inverse K_P of L is as follows:

$$K_P : \text{Im}L \rightarrow \text{dom}L \cap \text{Ker}P, \quad K_P \begin{pmatrix} u(t) \\ v(t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t u(s)ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \int_0^t u(s)dsdt \\ \int_0^t v(s)ds - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \int_0^t v(s)dsdt \end{pmatrix}.$$

Again take $R_2 = |v_1| + |v_2|$, and define

$$\Omega_1 = \left\{ (u(t), v(t))^T \in X : \delta_2 < u(t) < \ln l_-, \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |v(t)| < R_1 + R_2 \right\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \left\{ (u(t), v(t))^T \in X : \ln l_+ < u(t) < \delta_1, \max_{t \in [0, \omega]} |v(t)| < R_1 + R_2 \right\}.$$

Both Ω_1 and Ω_2 are open bounded subsets of X . Since $l_- < l_+$, we have $\bar{\Omega}_1 \cap \bar{\Omega}_2 = \emptyset$. From (2.17), we see that $(u_1, v_1)^T \in \Omega_1$ and $(u_2, v_2)^T \in \Omega_2$.

It is easy to show that QN and $K_P(I - Q)N$ are continuous by the Lebesgue convergence theorem, and by Arzela-Ascoli theorem, $QN(\bar{\Omega}_i)$ and $K_P(I - Q)N(\bar{\Omega}_i)$ ($i = 1, 2$) are compact. Therefore, N is L -compact on each $\bar{\Omega}_i$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Since we are concerned with the periodic solutions, $(u(t), v(t))^T$ confined in $\text{dom}L$, System (2.2) can be regarded as the following operator equation $L(u(t), v(t))^T = \lambda N(u(t), v(t))^T$, which is System (2.1) when $\lambda = 1$. According to the above estimation of periodic solutions of (2.2), we have proven that

(i) for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, $(u(t), v(t))^T \in \partial\Omega_i \cap \text{dom}L$, $L(u(t), v(t))^T \neq \lambda N(u(t), v(t))^T$ for $i = 1, 2$. Namely, Condition (i) in Theorem A is satisfied.

According to Theorem A, next we have to prove the following:

(ii) for any $(u, v)^T \in \partial\Omega_i \cap \text{Ker}L$ ($i = 1, 2$), $QN(u, v)^T \neq 0$;

(iii) $\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega_i \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} \neq 0$.

When $(u, v)^T \in \partial\Omega_i \cap \text{Ker}L = \partial\Omega_i \cap \mathbb{R}^2$ for $i = 1, 2$ i.e., $(u, v)^T$ is a constant vector in \mathbb{R}^2 , from (2.14) and (2.17) and the fact that (2.15) has two solutions, it follows that

$$QN \begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{a} - \bar{b}e^u - \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{c(t)e^v}{m(t)e^v + e^u} dt - \bar{h}e^{-u} \\ -\bar{d} + \frac{1}{\omega} \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)e^u}{m(t)e^v + e^u} dt \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

This proves that Condition (ii) in Theorem A is satisfied.

Finally, we will prove that Condition (iii) in Theorem A is satisfied. From (2.16), we see that $e^{u_1} \cdot e^{u_2} = \frac{\bar{h}}{\bar{b}}$. Since $u_1 < u_2$, the above expression implies that $\bar{b}e^{u_i} - \frac{\bar{h}}{e^{u_i}} \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2$. Some straightforward calculations further give

$$\text{deg}\{JQN, \Omega_i \cap \text{Ker}L, 0\} = \text{sgn} \left\{ \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\bar{b}e^{u_i} - \frac{\bar{h}}{e^{u_i}} \right) \int_0^\omega \frac{f(t)m(t)e^{v_i} dt}{(m(t)e^{v_i} + e^{u_i})^2} \right\} \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Summarizing the above discussion, we have proved that each Ω_i ($i = 1, 2$) satisfies all the requirements of Theorem A. Hence, System (2.1) has at least one ω -periodic solution in each of Ω_1 and Ω_2 . Thus, the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.

References

[1] Arditi, R., Ginzburg, L.R. Coupling in predator-prey dynamics: ratio-dependence. *J. Ther. Biol.*, 139: 311–326 (1989)

- [2] Berryman, A.A. The origins and evolution of predator-prey theory. *Ecology*, 75: 1530–1535 (1992)
- [3] Fan, M., Wang, Q., Zou, X. Dynamics of a non-autonomous ratio-dependent predator-prey system. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh*, 133A: 97–118 (2003)
- [4] Gaines, R.E., Mawhin, J.L. Coincidence degree and non-linear differential equations. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1977
- [5] Ma, Z. Mathematical modeling and studying on species ecology. Education Press, Hefei, 1996 (in Chinese)
- [6] Zhang, Z., Zeng, X. A periodic stage-structure Model. *App. Math. Letters*, 16: 1053–1061 (2003)