

# **Hermitian curvature fow on complex locally homogeneous surfaces**

**Francesco Pediconi<sup>1</sup> · Mattia Pujia[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1174-6006)**

Received: 9 March 2020 / Accepted: 26 June 2020 / Published online: 12 July 2020 © Fondazione Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata and Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

## **Abstract**

We study the Hermitian curvature fow of locally homogeneous non-Kähler metrics on compact complex surfaces. In particular, we characterize the long-time behavior of the solutions to the fow. We also provide the frst example of a compact complex non-Kähler manifold admitting a fnite time singularity for the Hermitian curvature fow. Finally, we compute the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of immortal solutions after a suitable normalization. Our results follow by a case-by-case analysis of the fow on each complex model geometry.

Keywords Hermitian curvature flow · Compact complex surfaces · Homogeneous Hermitian metrics

**Mathematics Subject Classifcation** Primary 53C44 · Secondary 53C15 · 53C30 · 53C55

## **1 Introduction**

The *Hermitian curvature fow* (HCF shortly) is a strictly parabolic fow of Hermitian metrics introduced by Streets and Tian [\[22\]](#page-29-0). The fow evolves an initial Hermitian metric in the direction of its second Chern–Ricci curvature tensor modifed with some frst-order terms in the torsion.

More precisely, let  $(X, g_0)$  be a Hermitian manifold. The solution to the HCF starting at  $g_0$  is the family of Hermitian metric  $g(t)$  satisfying

$$
\partial_t g(t) = -S(g(t)) + Q(g(t)), \qquad g(0) = g_0,\tag{1}
$$

 $\boxtimes$  Mattia Pujia mattia.pujia@unito.it

> Francesco Pediconi francesco.pediconi@unif.it

<sup>2</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica "Giuseppe Peano", Università di Torino, Via Carlo Alberto 10, 10123 Turin, Italy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica "Ulisse Dini", Università di Firenze, Viale Morgagni 67/A, 50134 Florence, Italy

where  $S(g)$  is the second Chern–Ricci curvature tensor and  $O(g)$  is a (1, 1)-symmetric tensor which is quadratic in the torsion components of the Chern connection (see Sect. [2](#page-2-0)). The fow arises from the unique Hilbert-type functional of Hermitian metrics for which *S* is the leading term of the respective Euler–Lagrange equation. Moreover, when the starting metric is Kähler the HCF reduces to the Kähler–Ricci fow and, in the compact case, it is stable near Kähler–Einstein metrics with non-positive Ricci curvature [\[22\]](#page-29-0).

Motivated by the above arguments, we carry out an analysis of the HCF on locally homogeneous compact complex surfaces. Actually, one of the main reasons in studying this new fow is to refne the Enriques–Kodaira classifcation of compact complex surfaces, as canonical metrics could appear as limit points of the fow (see e.g., [[20\]](#page-29-1)).

Our frst main result completely characterizes the long-time behavior of locally homogeneous non-Kähler solutions, namely

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem A** *Let X be a compact complex surface and*  $g_0$  *a locally homogeneous non-Kähler metric on X. If the solution to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *develops a finite time singularity*, *then X is a Hopf surface*. *Conversely*, *any locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on a Hopf surface collapses in fnite time*.

It is worth noting that Theorem [A](#page-1-0) provides the frst example of a compact manifold developing a fnite-time singularity for the HCF. Moreover, we restricted our analysis to starting non-Kähler metrics since the behavior of Kähler solutions is already known (see e.g., [[5,](#page-28-0) [17](#page-29-2), [24](#page-29-3)]).

Our second main result concerns the Gromov–Hausdorf limits of immortal normalized solutions to the HCF, namely

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Theorem B** *Let X be a compact complex surface,*  $g_0$  *a locally homogeneous non-Kähler metric on X and*  $g(t)$  *the solution to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$ *.* 

- 1. If X is either a hyperelliptic or Kodaira surface, then  $(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t))$  converges to a *point in the Gromov–Hausdorff topology as*  $t \to \infty$ *.*
- 2. *If X is a non-Kähler properly elliptic surface, then*  $(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t))$  converges to its base *curve*  $(C, g_{\kappa})$  *in Gromov–Hausdorff topology as*  $t \to \infty$ *, where*  $Ric(g_{\kappa}) = −g_{\kappa}$ .
- 3. If X is an Inoue surface, then  $(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t))$  converges to a circle in Gromov–Hausdorff *topology as*  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

We point out that the arguments used to prove  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  in Theorem [B](#page-1-1) are analogous to those used by Tosatti and Weinkove in [\[26](#page-29-4)] for the *Chern-Ricci fow* (see also [[7,](#page-28-1) [25](#page-29-5), [27](#page-29-6)]), and the limit spaces arising in our context are the same. We highlight that cohomological aspects of compact complex surfaces along the Chern-Ricci fow were investigated in [[1](#page-28-2)], and it would be interesting to carry out a similar analysis also for the HCF.

Our results can be thought of as a frst step in the study of the HCF on complex non-Kähler surfaces. In the same spirit of  $[3, 10]$  $[3, 10]$ , we expect the blowdown of any immortal locally homogeneous solution to converge to an expanding soliton. Nonetheless, at the moment we are not able to confrm this statement. In this direction, in [\[9\]](#page-28-5) the second named author, Lafuente and Vezzoni proved that long-time existence of left-invariant solutions to the HCF is always

guaranteed on complex unimodular Lie groups and such solutions always converge under a suitable normalization to an expanding algebraic soliton (see also [[12\]](#page-28-6)).

We stress that diferent choices of the tensor *Q* in [\(1\)](#page-2-1) give rise to an interesting family of geometric fows generalizing the Ricci fow to the Hermitian non Kähler setting. In particular one can choose *Q* to preserve diferent geometric conditions, making each of these new flows well-suited to investigate a certain problem. Among these, one of the most studied is the *pluriclosed flow* (PCF shortly), which preserves the pluriclosed condition  $\partial \overline{\partial} \omega = 0$  (see e.g., [[18](#page-29-7)–[21,](#page-29-8) [23](#page-29-9)]). In [\[3\]](#page-28-3) Boling studied the pluriclosed fow on complex surfaces proving long-time existence and convergences results; while, In [\[2](#page-28-7)] Arroyo and Lafuente showed that normalized left-invariant solutions to the PCF on 2-step nilmanifolds and almost-abelian Lie groups always converge to expanding solitons (see also [\[6,](#page-28-8) [14](#page-29-10)]).

Let us also mention that recently Ustinovskiy [\[28,](#page-29-11) [29](#page-29-12)] found a new fow in the HCF family which preserves both the Grifths-positivity and a fnite dimensional space of distinguished metrics called *induced metrics*. We mention that related works have been recently appeared (see e.g., [\[11,](#page-28-9) [13\]](#page-29-13)) and it would be interesting to analyze Ustinovkiy's fow on compact complex surfaces in the same fashion as we did in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. [2](#page-2-0) we recall some basics on HCF, complex model geometries and Gromov–Hausdorff convergence. In Sect. [3](#page-6-0) we explicitly compute the HCF tensor of each compact complex surface considered throughout the paper. In Sect. [4](#page-9-0) we prove Theorems [A](#page-1-0) and [B](#page-1-1) by a case-by-case analysis of the involved equations. Finally, in the ["Appendix'](#page-23-0)' we explicitly write the components of the HCF tensors *K* for our class of surfaces.

## <span id="page-2-0"></span>**2 Preliminaries**

#### **2.1 Basics on HCF**

In the sequel, we describe the evolution equation of the Hermitian Curvature Flow on a complex manifold  $X = (M, J)$ . Given a Hermitian metric *g* on *X*, we denote by  $\nabla$  its Chern connection, by Ω its Chern curvature tensor  $Ω(X, Y) := [∇<sub>X</sub>, ∇<sub>Y</sub>] – ∇<sub>[X,Y]</sub>$  and by *S* its second Chern-Ricci curvature (we use the same convention adopted in [[22\]](#page-29-0)), i.e.,

<span id="page-2-2"></span><span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
S_{i\bar{j}} := g^{\bar{\ell}k} \Omega_{k\bar{\ell} i\bar{j}}.
$$

Let also *T* be the torsion of  $\nabla$  and consider the tensor  $Q = Q_{i\bar{j}}$  defined by

$$
Q := \frac{1}{2}Q^{1} - \frac{1}{4}Q^{2} - \frac{1}{2}Q^{3} + Q^{4},
$$
\n(1)

where

$$
Q_{i\bar{j}}^1 := g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} T_{ik\bar{q}} T_{\bar{j}\bar{\ell}m}, \quad Q_{i\bar{j}}^2 := g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} T_{km\bar{j}} T_{\bar{\ell}\bar{q}i},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{i\bar{j}}^3 := g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} T_{ik\bar{\ell}} T_{\bar{j}\bar{q}m}, \quad Q_{i\bar{j}}^4 := \frac{1}{2} g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} (T_{mk\bar{\ell}} T_{\bar{q}j\bar{i}} + T_{\bar{q}\bar{\ell}k} T_{m\bar{i}\bar{j}}).
$$
\n(2)

Notice that in the formulas above

$$
T_{ij\bar{k}} := g_{\ell\bar{k}} T^{\ell}_{ij}, \quad T_{\bar{i}jk} := g_{k\bar{\ell}} T^{\bar{\ell}}_{\bar{i}j}, \quad (g^{\bar{i}j}) := (g_{i\bar{j}})^{-1}.
$$

Then, given a Hermitian metric  $g_0$  on *X*, the evolution equation of the HCF on *X* starting from  $g_0$  is given by

$$
\partial_t g(t) = -K(g(t)), \qquad g(0) = g_0,\tag{3}
$$

where  $K := S - Q$ . Henceforth, we will refer to *K* as the *HCF tensor*.

#### **2.2 HCF tensor on Lie groups**

Let  $(G, J, g)$  be a real Lie group *G* equipped with a left-invariant Riemannian metric *g* and a left-invariant complex structure *J* such that  $g(J \cdot, J \cdot) = g(\cdot, \cdot)$ . Let also  $g := \text{Lie}(G)$  and

$$
\mu \in \Lambda^2 \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mu(X, Y) := [X, Y].
$$

In the following, we compute the components of the HCF tensor in terms of the structure constants of  $\mathfrak q$ .

Let  $\{Z_1, \ldots, Z_n\}$  be a left-invariant frame of  $T^{1,0}$ *G*. Since the Chern connection is the unique Hermitian connection with vanishing (1,1)-part of the torsion, it follows that

$$
\nabla_{\bar{Z}_k} Z_{\ell} = \nabla_{Z_{\ell}} \bar{Z}_k + \mu(\bar{Z}_k, Z_{\ell})
$$

or, in terms of the Christofel symbols of ∇

$$
\Gamma^r_{\bar{k}\ell} = \mu^r_{\bar{k}\ell}, \quad \Gamma^{\bar{r}}_{k\bar{\ell}} = \mu^{\bar{r}}_{k\bar{\ell}}.
$$

On the other hand  $\nabla J = \nabla g = 0$  implies

$$
g(\nabla_{Z_k} Z_i, \bar{Z}_j) = -g(Z_i, \nabla_{Z_k} \bar{Z}_j) = -g(Z_i, \mu(Z_k, \bar{Z}_j))
$$

and hence

<span id="page-3-0"></span>
$$
\Gamma_{ki}^s = -g^{\bar{j}s} g_{i\bar{p}} \mu_{k\bar{j}}^{\bar{p}}.
$$
\n
$$
\tag{4}
$$

By defnition, we have

$$
\Omega_{k\bar{\ell}\bar{j}} = g(\nabla_{Z_k}\nabla_{\bar{Z}_\ell}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j) - g(\nabla_{\bar{Z}_\ell}\nabla_{Z_k}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j) - g(\nabla_{\mu(Z_k,\bar{Z}_\ell)}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j),
$$

with

$$
\begin{split} g(\nabla_{Z_k}\nabla_{\bar{Z}_{\ell}}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j) &= g_{\bar{p}\bar{j}}\Gamma_{\bar{\ell}i}^r\Gamma_{kr}^p = g_{\bar{p}\bar{j}}\mu_{\bar{\ell}i}^r\Gamma_{kr}^p,\\ g(\nabla_{\bar{Z}_{\ell}}\nabla_{Z_k}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j) &= g_{\bar{p}\bar{j}}\Gamma_{ki}^r\Gamma_{\bar{\ell}r}^p = g_{\bar{p}\bar{j}}\mu_{\bar{\ell}r}^r\Gamma_{ki}^p,\\ g(\nabla_{\mu(Z_k,\bar{Z}_{\ell})}Z_i,\bar{Z}_j) &= g_{\bar{p}\bar{j}}(\mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^r\Gamma_{ri}^p + \mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^r\mu_{ri}^p). \end{split}
$$

Thus, the second Chern–Ricci curvature *S* takes the form

$$
S_{i\bar{j}} = g^{\bar{\ell} \bar{k}} g_{p\bar{j}} (\mu_{\bar{\ell} i}^r \Gamma_{kr}^p - \mu_{\bar{\ell} r}^p \Gamma_{ki}^r - \mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^r \Gamma_{ri}^p - \mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^{\bar{\ell}} \mu_{\bar{r} i}^p),
$$

or, equivalently,

$$
S_{i\bar{j}} = -g^{\bar{\ell}k} g_{p\bar{j}} (g^{\bar{\nu}p} g_{r\bar{q}} \mu_{k\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{q}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}i}^r - g^{\bar{\nu}r} g_{i\bar{q}} \mu_{k\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{q}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}i}^p - g^{\bar{\nu}p} g_{i\bar{q}} \mu_{r\bar{\nu}}^{\bar{q}} \mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^r + \mu_{k\bar{\ell}}^{\bar{\nu}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}i}^p).
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

On the other hand, since  $T_{ij} = \nabla_{Z_i} Z_j - \nabla_{Z_j} Z_i - \mu(Z_i, Z_j)$ , from [\(4\)](#page-3-0) we have

$$
T_{ij}^k = -g^{\bar{q}k}g_{j\bar{a}}\mu_{\bar{q}i}^{\bar{a}} + g^{\bar{q}k}g_{i\bar{a}}\mu_{\bar{q}j}^{\bar{a}} - \mu_{ij}^k
$$

and hence

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
T_{ij\bar{k}} = -g_{j\bar{a}}\mu_{\bar{k}i}^{\bar{a}} + g_{i\bar{a}}\mu_{\bar{k}j}^{\bar{a}} - g_{m\bar{k}}\mu_{ij}^m.
$$
 (5)

Therefore, the explicit expression of the tensor  $Q$  can be recovered from  $(1)$  $(1)$  $(1)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(5)$  $(5)$ . Indeed,

$$
Q_{i\bar{j}}^1 = g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} (-g_{k\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{q}i}^{\bar{a}} + g_{i\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{q}k}^{\bar{a}} - g_{\nu\bar{q}} \mu_{ik}^{\nu}) (-g_{b\bar{\ell}} \mu_{m\bar{j}}^b + g_{b\bar{j}} \mu_{m\bar{\ell}}^b - g_{m\bar{r}} \mu_{j\bar{\ell}}^{\bar{r}}),
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{i\bar{j}}^2 = g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} (-g_{m\bar{a}} \mu_{jk}^{\bar{a}} + g_{k\bar{a}} \mu_{jm}^{\bar{a}} - g_{\nu\bar{j}} \mu_{km}^{\nu}) (-g_{b\bar{q}} \mu_{i\bar{\ell}}^b + g_{b\bar{\ell}} \mu_{i\bar{q}}^b - g_{i\bar{r}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}}),
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{i\bar{j}}^3 = g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} (-g_{k\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}i}^{\bar{a}} + g_{i\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}k}^{\bar{a}} - g_{\nu\bar{\ell}} \mu_{ik}^{\nu}) (-g_{b\bar{q}} \mu_{m\bar{j}}^b + g_{b\bar{j}} \mu_{m\bar{q}}^b - g_{m\bar{r}} \mu_{j\bar{q}}^{\bar{r}}),
$$
  
\n
$$
2Q_{i\bar{j}}^4 = g^{\bar{\ell}k} g^{\bar{q}m} \Big[ (-g_{k\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}m}^{\bar{a}} + g_{m\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{\ell}k}^{\bar{a}} - g_{\nu\bar{\ell}} \mu_{mk}^{\nu}) (-g_{b\bar{j}} \mu_{i\bar{q}}^b + g_{b\bar{q}} \mu_{i\bar{j}}^b - g_{i\bar{r}} \mu_{\bar{q}i}^{\bar{r}}) + (-g_{i\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{j}m}^{\bar{a}} + g_{m\bar{a}} \mu_{\bar{j}i}^{\bar{a}} - g_{\nu\bar{j}} \mu_{mi}^{\nu}) (-g_{b\bar{\ell}} \mu_{k\bar{q
$$

#### **2.3 Complex model geometries**

In this subsection, we recall some basics about the geometry of locally homogeneous Hermitian manifolds. In particular, we focus on compact locally homogeneous Hermitian surfaces.

A Hermitian manifold (*X*, *g*) is *locally homogeneous* if the pseudogroup of local automorphisms of  $(X, g)$  acts transitively on *X*, i.e., for any choice of  $x, y \in X$  there exist neighborhoods  $U_x, U_y \subset X$  of *x* and *y*, respectively, and a holomorphic local isometry *f* ∶ *U<sub>x</sub>* → *U<sub>y</sub>* such that  $f(x) = y$ . If in addiction  $(X, g)$  is compact, then its universal Hermitian covering  $(\widetilde{X}, g)$  is *globally homogeneous* (see [[16](#page-29-14)]) and hence it admits a left coset presentation  $\widetilde{X} = G/H$  for some closed subgroup  $G \subset Aut(\widetilde{X}, g)$ . Here, with a slight abuse of notation, we denote by *g* both the Hermitian metric on *X* and its pullback on the universal cover  $\widetilde{X}$ .

Motivated by this, we recall the following

**Definition 2.1** A *complex model geometry of dimension n* is a pair  $(\widetilde{X}, G)$  given by a connected, simply-connected *n*-dimensional complex manifold *X̃* and a real connected Lie group *G* such that:

- *G* acts properly, transitively and almost-effectively by biholomorphisms on  $\widetilde{X}$ ;
- *G* contains a discrete subgroup  $\Gamma \subset G$  with  $\Gamma \backslash \widetilde{X}$  compact.

If *G* is a minimal group with such properties, then the complex model geometry is said to be *minimal*.

Let  $(X, G)$  be a complex model geometry. A Hermitian manifold  $(X, g)$  has *geometric structure of type*  $(\widetilde{X}, G)$  if  $\widetilde{X}$  is the universal cover of X and the pulled-back metric *g* on  $\widetilde{X}$ is invariant under the action of *G*. Of course, if  $(X, g)$  has a geometric structure, then it is locally homogeneous. On the other hand, by the previous observation, any compact locally

homogeneous Hermitian manifold has geometric structure of type  $(X, G)$  for some *minimal* complex model geometry (*X̃*, *G*).

By the Riemann Uniformization Theorem, it is known that there exist exactly three minimal complex model geometries of dimension 1, that are

$$
(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}), \quad (\mathbb{C}P^1, \text{SU}(2)), \quad (\mathbb{C}H^1, \text{SU}(1,1)).
$$

Here, the group G acts on the respective space  $\widetilde{X}$  in the standard way.

Subsequently in [\[30,](#page-29-15) [31](#page-29-16)] Wall classifed all complex model geometries of dimension 2. In particular, he proved the following

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Theorem 2.2** ([\[30,](#page-29-15) [31\]](#page-29-16)) *If* (*X̃*, *G*) *is a minimal complex model geometry of dimension* 2, *then one of the following cases occurs*:

- 1.  $(\widetilde{X}, G) = (\widetilde{X}_1 \times \widetilde{X}_2, G_1 \times G_2)$  is the product of two complex model geometries of dimen*sion* 1.
- 2.  $(\widetilde{X}, G) = (\mathbb{C}P^2, SU(3))$  or  $(\widetilde{X}, G) = (\mathbb{C}H^2, SU(2, 1)),$  both considered endowed with the *standard action of G on X̃*.
- 3.  $\tilde{X} = (G, J)$  where G acts on itself by left translations and J is a left-invariant complex *structure*.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>*Remark 2.3* If  $(X, G)$  is one of the model listed in (1) or (2) above, then any Hermitian *G*-invariant metric on  $\widetilde{X}$  is necessarily Kähler–Einstein.

### **2.4 Gromov-Hausdorff convergence**

We collect here some basic facts about Gromov–Hausdorff convergence of compact metric spaces. We refer to [\[4,](#page-28-10) Sect. 7.3.2] and [[15](#page-29-17)] for more details.

Let  $Z = (Z, d_Z)$  be a metric space and  $X, Y \subset Z$  two compact subsets. The *Hausdorff distance* between *X* and *Y* is given by

$$
\text{dist}_{\underset{H}{\mathcal{F}}}^{Z}(X, Y) := \inf \big\{ \epsilon > 0 \, : \, X \subset B_{\epsilon}(Y) \, Y \subset B_{\epsilon}(X) \big\},
$$

where  $B_{\epsilon}(X) := \{x \in Z : d_Z(x, X) < \epsilon\}$  is the  $\epsilon$ -tube of *X* in *Z*. The pair

$$
\Big(\{\text{compact subsets of } Z\},\text{dist}^Z_{\text{H}}\Big)
$$

is also a metric space and it is compact if and only if *Z* is compact as well.

Let now  $X = (X, d_X)$ ,  $Y = (Y, d_Y)$  be two compact metric spaces. The *Gromov–Hausdorff distance* between *X* and *Y* is defined as

$$
\text{dist}_{\text{GH}}(X, Y) := \inf \left\{ \text{dist}_{\text{H}}^Z \big( \phi_1(X), \phi_2(Y) \big) \right\},
$$

where the infimum is taken with respect to all metric spaces *Z* and all pairs  $(\phi_1, \phi_2)$  of isometric embeddings  $\phi_1 : X \to Z$  and  $\phi_2 : Y \to Z$ . Letting X denote the set of isometric classes of compact metric spaces, it turns out that  $(X, dist_{GH}^{\bullet})$  is a complete metric space. Therefore, given a one-parameter family  ${X_t}_{t \in [0,T)}$  and an element *Y* both in *X*, whenever  $\lim_{t\to T^-}$  dist<sub>ou</sub>  $(X_t, Y) = 0$  we write

$$
X_t \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} Y \quad \text{as } t \to T^-
$$

and we say that *Xt convergences in the Gromov–Hausdorf topology* to *Y*.

Finally, a *GH*  $\epsilon$ -approximation between two metric spaces  $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$ , with  $\epsilon > 0$ , is a pair of non-necessarily continuous maps  $\varphi : X \to Y$  and  $\psi : Y \to X$  satisfying for any  $x, x' \in X$ and  $v, v' \in Y$ 

$$
\left|d_X(x, x') - d_Y(\varphi(x), \varphi(x'))\right| < \epsilon, \quad d_X(x, (\psi \circ \varphi)(x)) < \epsilon, \\
\left|d_Y(y, y') - d_X(\psi(y), \psi(y'))\right| < \epsilon, \quad d_Y(y, (\varphi \circ \psi)(y)) < \epsilon.
$$

Remarkably, if there exists a GH  $\epsilon$ -approximation ( $\varphi, \psi$ ) between *X* and *Y*, then dist<sub><sub>GH</sub></sub> $(X, Y) \leq \frac{3}{2} \epsilon$  (see e.g., [[15](#page-29-17), Lemma 1.3.3]).

### <span id="page-6-0"></span>**3 HCF tensor on complex model geometries**

The aim of this section is to compute the HCF tensor *K* of any 2-dimensional complex model geometry  $(X, G)$  endowed with an invariant metric *g*. By means of Remark [2.3](#page-5-0), we will restrict our discussion to those minimal complex model geometries arising from (3) in Theorem [2.2.](#page-5-1) Hence, following [\[3,](#page-28-3) Sec. 2.2], we list below all the connected, simply-connected real 4-dimensional Lie groups which admits a left-invariant complex structure, their compact quotients according to Enriques-Kodaira classifcation and their HCF tensors. We mention here that all the computations were made with the help of the software Maple.

In the following, given a connected, simply connected 4-dimensional real Lie groups (*G*, *J*) equipped with a left-invariant complex structure, we will consider a fxed left-invariant  $(1, 0)$ -frame  $\{Z_1, Z_2\}$  and we will denote by  $\{\zeta^1, \zeta^2\}$  its dual frame. This allows us to write any left-invariant Hermitian metric *g* on (*G*, *J*) in the form

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
g = x \zeta^{1} \odot \bar{\zeta}^{1} + y \zeta^{2} \odot \bar{\zeta}^{2} + z \zeta^{1} \odot \bar{\zeta}^{2} + \bar{z} \zeta^{2} \odot \bar{\zeta}^{1},
$$
 (6)

with *x*, *y* ∈ ℝ<sub>>0</sub>, *z* ∈ ℂ and *xy* −  $|z|^2$  > 0.

*Remark 3.1* We refer to the "[Appendix](#page-23-0)" for an explicit computation of the HCF tensors written in the following.

#### **3.1 Complex tori**

The Lie group is  $G = \mathbb{R}^4$ , which is abelian and admits a unique left-invariant complex structure  $J_{st}$ . In this case, the HCF tensor of any left-invariant metric on  $\mathbb{C}^2 = (\mathbb{R}^4, J_{st})$  is just  $K = 0$ . Compact quotients of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  are complex tori.

#### **3.2 Hyperelliptic surfaces**

The Lie group is  $G = \overline{SE(2)} \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\overline{SE(2)}$  is the universal cover of the special Euclidean group  $SE(2) := SO(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^2$ . It admits a unique left-invariant complex structure *J* and the structure constants  $\mu$  of its complexified Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = -Z_1.
$$

The HCF tensor of a left invariant Hermitian metric on ( SE*̃*(2) × ℝ, *J* ) is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x^2 |z|^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{|z|^4}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{x^2 yz}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

Compact quotients of ( SE*̃*(2) × ℝ, *J* ) are hyperelliptic surfaces, which admit Kähler metrics.

## **3.3 Hopf surfaces**

The Lie group is  $G = SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ . It admits a one-parameter family  $J_{\lambda}$  of left-invariant complex structures, with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , and with respect to  $J_{\lambda}$  the structure constants  $\mu = \mu_{\lambda}$  of its complexifed Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = Z_2, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = -\bar{Z}_2, \quad \mu(Z_2, \bar{Z}_2) = (-1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda)Z_1 + (1 + \sqrt{-1}\lambda)\bar{Z}_1.
$$

The HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x^4(1+\lambda^2) + |z|^2(2x^2 + |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{(1+\lambda^2)x^2|z|^2 + 2(xy - |z|^2)^2 + |z|^2(y^2 + 2|z|^2) - 2(1+\lambda^2)x^2(xy - |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{xz(\lambda^2x^2 + (x+y)^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$

Compact quotients of  $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$  are Hopf surfaces, which are non-Kähler.

### **3.4 Non‑Kähler properly elliptic surfaces**

The Lie group is  $G = \widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\widetilde{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is the universal cover of  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . It admits a one-parameter family  $J_{\lambda}$  of left-invariant complex structure, with  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , with respect to which the structure constants  $\mu = \mu_{\lambda}$  of its complexified Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = \sqrt{-1}Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = \sqrt{-1}\bar{Z}_1,
$$
  

$$
\mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_1) = (-\lambda + \sqrt{-1})Z_2 + (\lambda + \sqrt{-1})\bar{Z}_2.
$$

The HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(\widetilde{SL}(2,\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{(1 + \lambda^2)y^2|z|^2 - 2(xy - |z|^2)^2 + |z|^2(x^2 - 2|z|^2) - 2(1 + \lambda^2)y^2(xy - |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{\lambda^2y^4 + (y^2 - |z|^2)^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{yz(\lambda^2y^2 + (x - y)^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$

Compact quotients of  $(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{R},J_\lambda)$  are non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces.

#### **3.5 Primary Kodaira surfaces**

The Lie group is  $G = \mathbb{R} \times H_3(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $H_3(\mathbb{R})$  is the three-dimensional real Heisenberg group. It admits a unique left-invariant complex structure  $J$  and the structure constants  $\mu$  of its complexifed Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_1) = \sqrt{-1}(Z_2 + \bar{Z}_2).
$$

The HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(\mathbb{R} \times H_3(\mathbb{R}), J)$  is

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{-2y^2(xy - |z|^2) + y^2|z|^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y^4}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{y^3z}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

Compact quotients of  $(\mathbb{R} \times H_3(\mathbb{R}), J)$  are primary Kodaira surfaces, which are non-Kähler.

## **3.6 Secondary Kodaira surfaces**

The Lie group is  $G = \mathbb{R} \ltimes H_3(\mathbb{R})$ . It admits two different left-invariant complex structure  $J_+$ and the structure constants  $\mu = \mu_{+}$  of its complexified Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = \varepsilon Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = -\varepsilon Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_1) = -\varepsilon \sqrt{-1}(Z_2 + \bar{Z}_2), \quad \text{with } \varepsilon = \pm 1.
$$

The HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(\mathbb{R} \ltimes H_3(\mathbb{R}), J_{\pm})$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{|z|^2(x^2 + y^2) - 2y^2(xy - |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y^4 + |z|^4}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{yz(x^2 + y^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

Compact quotients of  $(\mathbb{R} \ltimes H_3(\mathbb{R}), J_{\pm})$  are secondary Kodaira surfaces, which are non-Kähler.

### **3.7 Inoue surfaces of type S<sup>0</sup>**

The group  $G = Sol_0^4$  is a solvable 4-dimensional real Lie group which admits a two-parameter family  $J_{a,b}$  of left-invariant complex structures, where  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , and with respect to  $J_{a,b}$  the structure constants  $\mu = \mu_{ab}$  of its complexified Lie algebra are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = -(b + \sqrt{-1}a)Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = (b + \sqrt{-1}a)Z_1, \quad \mu(Z_2, \bar{Z}_2) = -2\sqrt{-1}a(Z_2 + \bar{Z}_2).
$$

The HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(Sol_0^4, J_{a,b})$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x^2|z|^2(b^2 + 9a^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{|z|^4(a^2 + b^2) + 16|z|^2a^2xy - 8a^2x^2y^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{x^2yz(b^2 + 9a^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

Notice that  $(Sol_0^4, J_{a,b})$  does not always admit a co-compact lattice. When such a lattice does exist, the quotient is an Inoue surface of type *S*<sup>0</sup>, which is non-Kähler.

#### **3.8 Inoue surfaces of type S**<sup>±</sup>

The group  $G = Sol_1^4$  is a solvable 4-dimensional real Lie group which admits two different left-invariant complex structure  $J_{1,2}$ . The structure constants  $\mu = \mu_1$  of the complexified Lie algebra of  $(Sol_1^4, J_1)$  are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = -Z_2, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = -Z_2, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_1) = -Z_1 + \bar{Z}_1,
$$

and the HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $\left(\text{Sol}_1^4, J_1\right)$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = -3 - \frac{|z|^2(z - \bar{z})^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{2\bar{2}} = -\frac{y^2(z - \bar{z})^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \quad K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{y(z(\bar{z}^2 - z^2) - 2xy(\bar{z} - z))}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}.
$$

On the other hand, the structure constants  $\mu = \mu_2$  of the complexified Lie algebra of  $(Sol<sub>1</sub><sup>4</sup>, J<sub>2</sub>)$  are

$$
\mu(Z_1, Z_2) = -Z_2, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_2) = -Z_2, \quad \mu(Z_1, \bar{Z}_1) = -Z_1 + \bar{Z}_1 + Z_2 - \bar{Z}_2,
$$

and the HCF tensor of a left-invariant Hermitian metric on  $(Sol_1^4, J_2)$  is given by

$$
K_{1\bar{1}} = -3 - \frac{|z|^2(z - \bar{z})^2 + 2y^2(xy - |z|^2) - y^2|z|^2}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{2\bar{2}} = -\frac{y^2((z - \bar{z})^2 - y^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
K_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{y(z(\bar{z}^2 - z^2) - 2xy(\bar{z} - z) + y^2z)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}
$$

.

Compact quotients of  $(Sol_1^4, J_1)$  are Inoue surfaces of type  $S^{\pm}$ , while compact quotient of  $\left(Sol_1^4, J_2\right)$  are Inoue surfaces of type  $S^+$ . In both cases, these surfaces are non-Kähler.

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>**4 HCF on locally homogeneous surfaces**

In this section we study the behavior of locally homogeneous solutions to the HCF on the family of compact complex surfaces we listed in Sect. [3.](#page-6-0) Furthermore, whenever a solution to the HCF is immortal, we determine the Gromov–Hausdorff limit of its normalization  $(1+t)^{-1}g(t)$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

Let  $X$  be a compact complex surface covered by a connected, simply-connected 4-dimensional real Lie group *G* and  $\Gamma \subset G$  a co-compact lattice such that  $X = \Gamma \backslash G$ . By construction, all left-invariant tensor felds on *G* factorizes through *X*. This yields a oneto-one correspondence between locally homogeneous solutions to the HCF on *X* and solutions to the corresponding ODE on *G*

$$
\frac{d}{dt}g(t) = -K(g(t)), \qquad g(0) = g_0,
$$

where  $g_0$  denotes the pull-back of the starting metric on *G*. Nonetheless, since the standard left-action of *G* on itself does not always factorize through  $X = \Gamma \backslash G$ , the quotient  $\Gamma \backslash G$  is not globally *G*-homogeneous in general.

**Notation.** Any left-invariant Hermitian metric *g* on (*G*, *J*) will be considered in the form of ([6\)](#page-6-1). For the sake of shortness, we set  $D := xy - |z|^2$  and  $u := |z|^2$ .

#### **4.1 Hyperelliptic surfaces**

The HCF on ( SE*̃*(2) × ℝ, *J* ) reduces to the following ODEs system:

$$
\dot{x} = -\frac{x^2 u}{D^2},
$$
  $\dot{y} = -\frac{u^2}{D^2},$   $\dot{u} = -2\frac{x^2 y u}{D^2}.$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Proposition 4.1 Let  $g_0$  be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on a hyperelliptic sur*face X. Then, the solution g(t) to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *exists for all*  $t \geq 0$ *. Moreover* 

$$
(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} \{\text{point}\} \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

*Proof* A direct computation yields that

$$
\dot{D} = \frac{xu}{D} \ge 0,
$$

i.e., the determinant of  $g(t)$  is always increasing. On the other hand, since all *x*, *y*, *u* decrease, the frst claim follows. The last claim follows directly from the fact that

$$
(1+t)^{-1}x(t), (1+t)^{-1}y(t), (1+t)^{-1}u(t) \to 0
$$

 $\cos t \to +\infty$ .

It is easy to show that a left-invariant metric *g* on  $(\widetilde{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J)$  is Kähler if and only if  $z = 0$ . Indeed, by a direct computation, one gets

$$
d\omega = -z \zeta^1 \wedge \zeta^2 \wedge \bar{\zeta}^2 + \bar{z} \zeta^2 \wedge \bar{\zeta}^1 \wedge \bar{\zeta}^2.
$$

Moreover, in that case it is also flat and so we get

**Corollary 4.2** *Any locally homogeneous solution g*(*t*) *to the HCF on a hyperelliptic surface X converges exponentially fast to a fat Kähler metric g*∞.

**Proof** We recall that  $g(t)$  is immortal and  $\dot{D}(t) > 0$ ,  $x(t) < x_0$ ,  $y(t) < y_0$ ,  $u(t) < u_0$  for any  $t \geq 0$ . Notice that

$$
\dot{u} \le -2\frac{u}{y_0},
$$

which implies  $u(t) \le u_0 e^{-\frac{2}{y_0}t}$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Finally, since

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} D(t) = D_{\infty} \in (D_0, +\infty),
$$

it comes that  $x(t) \to x_\infty \in (0, x_0)$  and  $y(t) \to y_\infty \in (0, y_0)$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

$$
\sqcup
$$

#### **4.2 Hopf surfaces**

The HCF on  $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$  reduces to the ODEs system

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x} &= -\frac{cx^4 + u(2x^2 + u)}{D^2} \\
\dot{y} &= -2 + \frac{2cx^2D - cx^2u - u(y^2 + 2u)}{D^2}, \\
\dot{u} &= -2\frac{xu(cx^2 + 2xy + y^2)}{D^2}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\n(7)

with  $c := 1 + \lambda^2$ .

<span id="page-11-2"></span>**Proposition 4.3** *Let*  $g_0$  *be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on a Hopf surface X. Then, the solution g(t) to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *develops a finite extinction time*  $T < \infty$ *and*  $(X, g(t))$  *collapses as*  $t \to T^-$ .

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  be the maximal existence time of the flow. Then, for any  $t \in [0, T)$ we have

$$
\dot{D} = \frac{cx^3 - 2x^2y + (4x + y)u}{D},
$$
\n
$$
\dot{x} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies x(t) \le x_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$
\n
$$
(8)
$$

Let us suppose by contradiction that  $T = +\infty$ . Then, it necessarily holds

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{x}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} (c-1) \left(\frac{x^2}{D}\right)^2 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{x^2 + u}{D} = 0
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{u}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{u}{x}(c-1) \left(\frac{x^2}{D}\right)^2 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} xu \left(\frac{x+y}{D}\right)^2 = 0.
$$
\n(9)

On the other hand

$$
\dot{y} + 2 = \frac{2cx^2D - cx^2u - u(y^2 + 2u)}{D^2} \le 2c\frac{x^2}{D} \le 2c\frac{x^2 + u}{D},
$$

and so by means of  $(9)$  $(9)$ 

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{y}(t) \le -2
$$

which is absurd. Thus  $g(t)$  develops a finite time singularity  $T < \infty$ . In order to prove the last claim, let us suppose by contradiction that  $D \to \infty$  as  $t \to T^-$ . Then,

$$
\lim_{t \to T^-} \dot{x}(t) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{t \to T^-} \dot{y}(t) < -2,
$$

this in turn imply  $\lim_{t\to T^-} D \neq \infty$ , which is not possible. On the other hand, since the solution cannot be extended over  $t = T$ , the limit lim<sub> $t\rightarrow T^-$ </sub> *D* cannot be positive and finite. Therefore,  $\lim_{t \to T^-} D = 0$  and the thesis follows. <del></del>**□** 

Next, we exhibit an explicit solution to the HCF starting from a diagonal metric on  $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$ .

*Example 4.4* Let  $g_0$  be a left-invariant diagonal Hermitian metric on  $(SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}, J_\lambda)$ . Then, the ODEs system [\(7\)](#page-11-1) reduces to

<span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x} = -c\frac{x^2}{y^2}, \qquad \dot{y} = -2\frac{y - cx}{y}.
$$
 (10)

It is worth noting that

$$
\ddot{x} = -4c\frac{x^2}{y^2}\left(y - \frac{3}{2}cx\right), \qquad \ddot{y} = +4c\frac{x}{y^3}\left(y - \frac{3}{2}cx\right). \tag{11}
$$

Now suppose that  $y_0 = \frac{3}{2}cx_0$  and that the solution to [\(10\)](#page-12-0) starting from  $g_0$  satisfies

$$
y(t) = \frac{3}{2}c x(t) \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0, T).
$$

Then, by  $(11)$  $(11)$  $(11)$  we would get

<span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
\ddot{x}(t) = \ddot{y}(t) = 0,
$$

which in turn implies

$$
x(t) = x_0 + kt, \quad y(t) = \frac{3}{2}cx_0 + \frac{3}{2}ckt
$$
 (12)

for some  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ . A direct computation yields that [\(12\)](#page-12-2) solves ([10](#page-12-0)) if and only if  $k = -\frac{4}{9c}$ . Notice that the maximal existence time for this explicit solution is  $T = \frac{9}{4}cx_0$ .

### **4.3 Non‑Kähler properly elliptic surfaces**

The HCF on  $(\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2,\mathbb{R})\times\mathbb{R},J_\lambda)$  reduces to the ODEs system

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x} &= 2 + \frac{2cy^2D - cy^2u - ux^2 + 2u^2}{D^2} \\
\dot{y} &= -\frac{cy^4 - 2y^2u + u^2}{D^2} \\
\dot{u} &= -2\frac{yu(x^2 - 2xy + cy^2)}{D^2}\n\end{aligned} \tag{13}
$$

with  $c := 1 + \lambda^2$ .

<span id="page-12-3"></span>**Proposition 4.5** Let  $g_0$  be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on a non-Kähler prop*erly elliptic surface X. Then, the solution*  $g(t)$  *to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *exists for all t* ≥ 0. *In particular,*  $x(t)$  ∼ 2*t and*  $y(t)$  <  $y_0$ ,  $u(t)$  <  $u_0$  *for any t* > 0.

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  be the maximal existence time of the flow. Then, for any  $t \in [0, T)$ , we have

$$
\dot{D} = \frac{cy^3 + 2y(D - u) + xu}{D},
$$
\n
$$
\dot{y} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies y(t) \le y_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$
\n
$$
(14)
$$

We prove now that  $\dot{D}(t) > 0$  for any  $t \in [0, T)$ . Let us suppose by contradiction that there exists  $t_*$  ∈ [0, *T*) such that  $\dot{D}(t_*)$  ≤ 0. Then, using ([14](#page-13-0)) we get

$$
-x(t_*)u(t_*) \ge cy(t_*)^3 - 2y(t_*)(u(t_*) - D(t_*)).
$$
\n(15)

On the other hand, since  $D(t) = x(t)y(t) - u(t)$  and  $\dot{u}(t_*) < 0$ , it necessarily holds

<span id="page-13-4"></span><span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span><span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x}(t_*)y(t_*) + x(t_*)\dot{y}(t_*) \le 0. \tag{16}
$$

Moreover, by [\(15\)](#page-13-1) and a straightforward computation we get

$$
D(t_*)^2 \dot{x}(t_*) y(t_*) \ge 4D(t_*)^2 y(t_*) + 3c y(t_*)^3 D(t_*)
$$
\n(17)

and

$$
D(t_*)^2 x(t_*) \dot{y}(t_*) \ge 4y(t_*) u(t_*) D(t_*) - c y(t_*)^3 D(t_*) . \tag{18}
$$

Finally, ([16](#page-13-2)), [\(17\)](#page-13-3) and ([18](#page-13-4)) imply

$$
4D(t_*)y(t_*) + 2cy(t_*)^2 + 4y(t_*)u(t_*) \le D(t_*)(\dot{x}(t_*)y(t_*) + x(t_*)\dot{y}(t_*)) \le 0
$$

which is not possible. Hence, the determinant *D* satisfes

<span id="page-13-5"></span>
$$
\dot{D} > 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad D(t) \ge D_0 \quad \text{ for all } t \in [0, T). \tag{19}
$$

On the other hand, it holds

$$
\dot{x} \le 2 + \frac{2cy^2 D + 2u^2}{D^2} \le 2\left(1 + c\frac{y_0^2}{D_0} + \frac{u_0^2}{D_0^2}\right) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x(t) \le 2\left(1 + c\frac{y_0^2}{D_0} + \frac{u_0^2}{D_0^2}\right)t + x_0\tag{20}
$$

and hence ([14](#page-13-0)), [\(19\)](#page-13-5) and [\(20\)](#page-13-6) imply  $T = +\infty$ .

We are now ready to prove the second part of the proposition, that is,  $x(t) \sim 2t$  as  $t \to \infty$ . To do this, we use again a contradiction argument. Let us denote with

<span id="page-13-7"></span><span id="page-13-6"></span>
$$
u_{\infty} := \lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t), \qquad y_{\infty} := \lim_{t \to +\infty} y(t),
$$

and suppose by contradiction that  $u_{\infty} > 0$ . Since

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} (c-1) \left(\frac{y^2}{D}\right)^2 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y^2 - u}{D} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{u}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{u}{y}(c-1) \left(\frac{y^2}{D}\right)^2 = \lim_{t \to +\infty} yu \left(\frac{x-y}{D}\right)^2 = 0,
$$

we have by means of  $(14)$  $(14)$  $(14)$ 

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y(x - y)}{D} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y^2 - u}{D} = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\frac{y}{x} - \frac{u}{xy}}{1 - \frac{u}{xy}} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{1 - \frac{y}{x}}{1 - \frac{u}{xy}} = 0. \tag{21}
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

In view of [\(21\)](#page-13-7), we have two cases depending on whether  $\lim_{t\to\infty} |1 - \frac{u}{xy}|$  is bounded or not. If we suppose that  $\lim_{t\to\infty} |1 - \frac{u}{xy}| < \infty$ , then

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} xy = u_{\infty} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} D = 0.
$$

On the other hand, if  $\lim_{t\to\infty} |1 - \frac{u}{xy}| = \infty$ , then

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} xy = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} D = -u_{\infty}.
$$

Since both cases lead to an absurd, it comes

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
u_{\infty} = 0. \tag{22}
$$

Let us now suppose by contradiction that  $x(t) \rightarrow x_{\infty} < +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then,  $D(t) \to D_{\infty} = x_{\infty} y_{\infty} \in (D_0, +\infty)$  as  $t \to +\infty$  and therefore it must holds  $x_{\infty} > 0$ . By means of [\(14\)](#page-13-0)

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{D}(t) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad cy_{\infty}^3 + 2y_{\infty}D_{\infty} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad y_{\infty} = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad D_{\infty} = 0
$$

which is not possible. Therefore,  $x(t) \to \infty$  as  $t \to \infty$ . On the other hand, we have

$$
\dot{x} = 2 + 2c\frac{y^2}{D} - cu\left(\frac{y}{D}\right)^2 - \frac{ux^2}{D^2} + 2\frac{u^2}{D^2}
$$

and, since

$$
\frac{y^2}{D} \to 0, \quad u\left(\frac{y}{D}\right)^2 \to 0, \quad u\left(\frac{x}{D}\right)^2 \to 0, \quad \left(\frac{u}{D}\right)^2 \to 0,\tag{23}
$$

the claim follows. Indeed, if  $y_{\infty} > 0$ , then  $D_{\infty} = +\infty$  and hence ([23](#page-14-0)) follows. Now, let us assume that  $y_{\infty} = 0$ . Since  $D_{\infty} > D_0 > 0$ , we get

$$
\frac{y^2}{D} \to 0, \quad u\left(\frac{y}{D}\right)^2 \to 0, \quad \left(\frac{u}{D}\right)^2 \to 0.
$$

Moreover,  $u\left(\frac{x}{C}\right)$ *D*  $\int^{2} \sim \frac{u}{y^2}$  and

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{u}{y^2}\right) = 2\left(\frac{u}{y^2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{y} \left(-1 + 2\frac{y^2 - u}{D}\right).
$$

Hence, there exist  $C > 0$  and  $t_* > 0$  such that

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{u}{y^2}\right) \le -2C\left(\frac{u}{y^2}\right) \quad \text{for any } t \ge t_*
$$

This in turns implies

$$
\left(\frac{u(t)}{y(t)^2}\right) \le \left(\frac{u(t_*)}{y(t_*)^2}\right) e^{-2C(t-t_*)} \quad \text{ for any } t \ge t_*,
$$

and hence  $u\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)$ *D*  $\lambda^2$  $\rightarrow$  0.

In view of this result it comes the following

<span id="page-15-2"></span>**Proposition 4.6** *Let X be a non*-*Kähler properly elliptic surface and g*(*t*) *be a locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on X*. *Then*,

$$
(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} (C, g_{_{\text{KE}}}) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
$$

*where C is the base curve of X and*  $g_{\text{cr}}$  *is the Kähler–Einstein metric on C with*  $Ric(g_{\kappa_E})=-g_{\kappa_E}$ .

The proof of this statement follows the same arguments used in [\[26,](#page-29-4) Thm 1.6 (c)]. For this reason, we just recall the main points.

*Proof* By defnition, a properly elliptic surface is a compact complex surface *X* with Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X) = 1$  and first Betti number  $b_1(X)$  odd admitting an elliptic fibration  $\pi$  :  $X \to C$  over a compact complex curve *C* of genus  $g(C) \ge 2$ . Moreover, by the Riemann Uniformization Theorem,  $C$  admits a unique Kähler–Einstein metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  with  $Ric(g_{KE}) = -g_{KE}$ . Note that, this metric also satisfies  $\pi^* g_{KE} = 2\zeta^1 \otimes \overline{\zeta}^1$ .

On the other hand, the fibers of the elliptic fibration  $\pi : X \to C$  are spanned by the real and imaginary parts of  $Z_2$ , which shrinks to zero along  $(1+t)^{-1}g(t)$  as  $t \to \infty$ . Therefore, if we consider a not necessarily continuous function  $f : C \rightarrow S$  satisfying  $\pi \circ f = id$ , then for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $t_*(\epsilon) > 0$  such that  $(\pi, f)$  is a GH  $\epsilon$ -approximation between  $(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t))$  and  $(C, g_{KE})$  for any  $t > t_*(\epsilon)$ . This concludes the proof.

#### **4.4 Primary Kodaira surfaces**

The HCF on  $(\mathbb{R} \times H_3(\mathbb{R}), J)$  reduces to the ODEs system

$$
\dot{x} = \frac{2y^2 D - y^2 u}{D^2}, \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{y^4}{D^2}, \quad \dot{u} = -2\frac{y^3 u}{D^2}.
$$
\n(24)

<span id="page-15-1"></span>**Proposition 4.7** *Let g<sub>0</sub> be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on a primary Kodaira surface X. Then, the solution g(t) to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *exists for all*  $t \ge 0$ *. Moreover,* 

$$
(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} \{\text{point}\} \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  denote the maximal existence time of the flow. Then, for any  $t \in [0, T)$ , it holds that

<span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\dot{D} = \frac{y^3}{D} > 0 \implies D(t) \ge D_0,
$$
\n
$$
\dot{y} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies y(t) \le y_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0 \tag{25}
$$

and, on the other hand

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\dot{D} \le \frac{y_0^3}{D} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad D(t) \le \sqrt{2ty_0^3 + D_0^2},
$$
\n
$$
\dot{x} \le \frac{2y_0^2}{D_0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x(t) \le \left(\frac{2y_0^2}{D_0}\right)t + x_0.
$$
\n(26)

Therefore, the long-time existence of the solution follows from  $(25)$  and  $(26)$ . For the second claim, we notice that

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y^2}{D} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{u}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y^3 u}{D^2} = 0.
$$
\n(27)

Now, let us suppose by contradiction that  $\frac{y^2 u}{D^2} \to \delta > 0$ , as  $t \to +\infty$ . From this and ([27](#page-16-1)) it comes that

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\dot{x} \sim -\frac{y^2 u}{D^2} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty
$$

and hence there exist  $0 < \delta' < \delta$  and  $t_* > 0$  such that, for any  $t \in [t_*, +\infty)$ , it holds

$$
\dot{x} \le -\delta' \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x(t) \le -\delta' t + x(t_*),
$$

which is not possible. As a consequence, we have that  $\dot{x}(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . From this last claim, arguing again by contradiction, we also get  $(1+t)^{-1}x(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

### **4.5 Secondary Kodaira surfaces**

The HCF on  $(\mathbb{R} \ltimes H_3(\mathbb{R}), J)$  reduces to the ODEs system

$$
\dot{x} = \frac{2y^2 D - u(x^2 + y^2)}{D^2}, \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{y^2 + u^2}{D^2}, \quad \dot{u} = -2\frac{yu(x^2 + y^2)}{D^2}.
$$
 (28)

<span id="page-16-2"></span>**Proposition 4.8** *Let g<sub>0</sub> be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on a secondary Kodaira surface X. Then, the solution*  $g(t)$  *to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *exists for all*  $t \ge 0$ *. Moreover* 

$$
(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} \{\text{point}\} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.
$$

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  be the maximal existence time of the solution. Then, for any  $t \in [0, T)$  it holds

$$
\dot{D} = \frac{y^3 + xu}{D} > 0 \implies D(t) \ge D_0,
$$
  

$$
\dot{y} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies y(t) \le y_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\dot{x} < \frac{2y^2}{D} \le \frac{2y_0^2}{D_0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad x(t) \le \left(\frac{2y_0^2}{D_0}\right)t + x_0,
$$

it follows that  $T = +\infty$ . For the second claim, we firstly suppose by contradiction that  $u(t) \rightarrow u_{\infty} > 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Thus, since

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{y}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{y}{D} = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{u}{D} = 0,
$$
\n
$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} \dot{u}(t) = 0 \implies \lim_{t \to +\infty} \frac{x^2 y u}{D^2} = 0,
$$
\n(29)

<span id="page-17-0"></span>*y*

we have

$$
0 \le \frac{u_{\infty}}{D} \le \frac{u}{D} \to 0 \quad \implies \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} D(t) = +\infty \quad \implies \quad \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t)y(t) = +\infty.
$$

On the other hand, it follows by ([29](#page-17-0)) that

$$
\frac{x^2yu}{D^2} = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{u}{xy}} \cdot u \cdot \frac{1}{y - \frac{u}{x}} \to 0 \implies y - \frac{u}{x} \to +\infty
$$

which is not possible, and hence  $u(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Finally, let us assume by contradiction that  $\frac{x^2u}{D} \to \delta > 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ . Then, we get

$$
\dot{x} \sim -\frac{x^2 u}{D^2} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty
$$

and so there exist  $0 < \delta' < \delta$  and  $t_* > 0$  such that, for any  $t \in [t_*, +\infty)$ , we have

 $\dot{x} < -\delta' \implies x(t) \le -\delta' t + x(t_*)$ ,

which is absurd. Consequently it comes  $\dot{x}(t) \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Arguing again by contradiction, we finally get  $(1+t)^{-1}x(t) \to 0$  as  $t \to +\infty$ .

### **4.6 Inoue surfaces of type S<sup>0</sup>**

The HCF on  $(Sol_0^4, J_{a,b})$  reduces to the ODEs system

$$
\dot{x} = -(9a^2 + b^2)\frac{x^2u}{D^2}
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{y} = 8a^2 - (9a^2 + b^2)\left(\frac{u}{D}\right)^2.
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{u} = -2(9a^2 + b^2)\frac{x^2u}{D^2}y
$$
\n(30)

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Proposition 4.9** *Let*  $g_0$  *be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on an Inoue surfaces X of type*  $S^0$ *. Then, the solution g(t) to the HCF starting from*  $g_0$  *exists for all*  $t \ge 0$ *<i>. In particular*,  $y(t) \sim 8a^2 t$  *and*  $x(t) < x_0$ ,  $u(t) < u_0$  for any  $t > 0$ .

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  denotes the maximal existence time of the solution. For any  $t \in [0, T)$  we have

$$
\dot{D} = 8a^2x + (9a^2 + b^2)\frac{xu}{D} > 0, \implies D(t) \ge D_0,
$$
  

$$
\dot{x} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies x(t) \le x_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$

Moreover, since

$$
\dot{y} \le \frac{8a^2xy}{D} < \frac{8a^2x_0y}{D_0} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad y < y_0 e^{kt},
$$

where  $k := \frac{8a^2x_0}{x_0y_0 - |z_0|^2}$ , it follows that  $T = +\infty$ .

For the second claim, let us assume by contradiction that  $\frac{u}{p} \to \delta > 0$ , i.e.,  $u \to u_{\infty} > 0$ and  $D \to D_{\infty} < \infty$ . Then, there exists a finite time  $t_* > 0$  and a constant  $k_1 > 1$  such that, for any  $t \geq t$ <sup>\*</sup>

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
-k_1 x(t)^2 \le \dot{x}(t) \le -\frac{1}{k_1} x(t)^2
$$

and hence

$$
\frac{1}{k_1(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{x(t_*)}} \le x(t) \le \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_1}(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{x(t_*)}}
$$
(31)

Up to enlarge  $t_*$ , we can also assume that there exists  $k_2 > 1$  such that

$$
-k_2 x(t) \le \dot{u}(t) \le -\frac{1}{k_2} x(t) \quad \text{for any } t \ge t_*
$$

and so, by means of  $(31)$  $(31)$  $(31)$ 

$$
-k_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_1}(t-t_*)+\frac{1}{x(t_*)}} \leq \dot{u}(t) \leq -\frac{1}{k_2} \frac{1}{k_1(t-t_*)+\frac{1}{x(t_*)}},
$$

for any  $t \geq t$ <sup>\*</sup>. This leads us to

$$
u(t_*) - k_1 k_2 \log \left( \frac{x(t_*)}{k_1} (t - t_*) + 1 \right) \le u(t) \le u(t_*) - \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \log \left( k_1 x(t_*) (t - t_*) + 1 \right),
$$

for any  $t \ge t_*$ , and hence  $\lim_{t \to +\infty} u(t) = -\infty$ , which is not possible. Therefore,  $\frac{u}{D} \to 0$  must hold and we have

$$
\dot{y}(t) \to 8a^2
$$

 $\cos t \to +\infty$ .

Then, in view of this result, we have

<span id="page-18-1"></span>**Proposition 4.10** *Let X be an Inoue surface of type*  $S^0$  *and*  $g(t)$  *be a locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on X*. *Then*,

$$
(X,(1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} S^1\left(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\pi}\right) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
$$

 $\mathcal{D}$  Springer

where 
$$
S^1(\frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\pi}) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \frac{\sqrt{2}a}{\pi}\}
$$
 is the circle of length  $2\sqrt{2}a$ .

In order to prove this statement, we recall the underlying geometry of the Inoue surfaces of type *S*<sup>0</sup>. Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , with  $a > 0$  and  $b \neq 0$ , and  $A \in SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$  be a matrix with eigenvalues

$$
e^{2\sqrt{2}a}
$$
,  $e^{\sqrt{2}(-a+\sqrt{-1}b)}$ ,  $e^{\sqrt{2}(-a-\sqrt{-1}b)}$ .

The pair  $G_{a,b} := (\text{Sol}_0^4, J_{a,b})$  can be realized as the group of complex 3 × 3 matrices of the form

$$
G_{a,b} = \begin{cases} M(p,q,r,s) := \begin{pmatrix} e^{s\sqrt{2}(-a+\sqrt{-1}b)} & 0 & p+\sqrt{-1}q \\ 0 & e^{s2\sqrt{2}a} & r \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : p,q,r,s \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}.
$$

Indeed, let  $\{E_i^i\}$  denote the standard basis of  $\mathfrak{gl}(3,\mathbb{C})$ . Then, the Lie algebra *j*  $\mathbf{g}_{a,b}$  := Lie( $G_{a,b}$ )  $\subset$   $\mathfrak{gl}(3,\mathbb{C})$  is the ℝ-span of

$$
\begin{split} X_1&:= (1-\sqrt{-1})E_3^1,\quad X_2:=(1+\sqrt{-1})E_3^1,\quad X_3:=E_3^2,\\ X_4&:= \sqrt{2}(-a+\sqrt{-1}b)E_1^1+2\sqrt{2}a\,E_2^2. \end{split}
$$

Since the structure constants of  $\mathfrak{g}_{a,b}$  with respect to  $\{X_i\}$  are given by

$$
[X_1, X_4] = \sqrt{2}aX_1 - \sqrt{2}bX_2, \quad [X_2, X_4] = \sqrt{2}bX_1 + \sqrt{2}aX_2, \quad [X_3, X_4] = -2\sqrt{2}aX_3,
$$

setting

$$
Z_1 := \frac{X_1 - \sqrt{-1}X_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad Z_2 := \frac{X_3 - \sqrt{-1}X_4}{\sqrt{2}},
$$

one obtains the structure constants given in Sect. [3.](#page-6-0) Let now  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)^t \in \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $(w_1, w_2, w_3)^t$  ∈ ℂ<sup>3</sup> be the eigenvectors of  $e^{2\sqrt{2}a}$  and  $e^{\sqrt{2}(-a+\sqrt{-1}b)}$ , respectively, and consider the lattice  $\Gamma_{a,b} \subset G_{a,b}$  generated by

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
h_0 := \begin{pmatrix} e^{\sqrt{2}(-a+\sqrt{-1}b)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\sqrt{2}a} 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad h_i := \begin{pmatrix} 10w_i \\ 01v_i \\ 00 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3.
$$

Then, the left action of  $\Gamma_{a,b}$  on  $G_{a,b}$  is explicitly given by

$$
h_0 \cdot M(p, q, r, s) = M(e^{-\sqrt{2}a}(\cos(\sqrt{2}b)p - \sin(\sqrt{2}b)q), e^{-\sqrt{2}a}(\sin(\sqrt{2}b)p + \cos(\sqrt{2}b)q), e^{2\sqrt{2}a}r, s+1)
$$
  
\n
$$
h_i \cdot M(p, q, r, s) = M(p + \text{Re}(w_i), q + \text{Im}(w_i), r + v_i, s)
$$
\n(32)

and the quotient  $X = \Gamma_{a,b} \backslash G_{a,b}$  is an Inoue surface of type  $S^0$ .

*Proof of Proposition 4.10* Let  $X = \Gamma_{a,b} \backslash G_{a,b}$  be an Inoue surface of type  $S^0$  and  $g(t)$  a locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on *X*. By [\(32\)](#page-19-0), the projection

$$
G_{a,b} \to \mathbb{R}, \quad M(p,q,r,s) \mapsto s
$$

factorizes to a map  $\pi : X \to S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ , which is a fibration with standard fiber  $T^3$  (see [[8\]](#page-28-11)). On the other hand, the path

$$
\mathbb{R} \to G_{a,b}, \quad s \mapsto M(0,0,0,s)
$$

factorizes to a section  $\gamma : S^1 = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \to X$  whose length with respect to  $g(t)$  is

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{C}_{g(t)}(\gamma) = \sqrt{y(t)}.\tag{33}
$$

Notice also that by Proposition [4.9](#page-17-1)

$$
(1+t)^{-1}g(t) \to \tilde{g}_{\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 8a^2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

Moreover, in analogy with [\[26,](#page-29-4) Lemma 5.2], the kernel of  $\tilde{g}_{\infty}$  is the integrable distribution D spanned by  $X_1, X_2$ , which is dense inside any fiber of  $\pi$ . Finally, the claim follows by  $(33)$  $(33)$  $(33)$  and this last observation (see e.g.,  $[3, \text{Cor } 3.18]$  $[3, \text{Cor } 3.18]$  $[3, \text{Cor } 3.18]$ ).

### **4.7 Inoue surfaces of type S**<sup>±</sup>

The HCF on  $(Sol_1^4, J_1)$  reduces to the ODEs system

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
\dot{x} = 3 - \frac{u|z - \bar{z}|^2}{D^2}, \quad \dot{y} = -\frac{y^2|z - \bar{z}|^2}{D^2}, \quad \dot{u} = -\frac{2xy^2|z - \bar{z}|^2}{D^2}.
$$
 (34)

<span id="page-20-4"></span>**Proposition 4.11** *Let*  $g_0$  *be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on an Inoue surfaces X* of type  $S^{\pm}$  obtained by  $(Sol_1^4, J_1)$ . Then, the solution g(t) to the HCF starting from  $g_0$ *exists for all t* ≥ 0. *In particular,*  $x(t)$  ∼ 3*t and*  $y(t)$  <  $y_0$ ,  $u(t)$  <  $u_0$  *for any t* > 0.

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  be the maximal existence time of the flow. Then, for any  $t \in [0, T)$ , we have

$$
\dot{D} = 3y + \frac{y|z - \bar{z}|^2}{D} \ge 0,
$$
\n
$$
\dot{y} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies y(t) \le y_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$
\n
$$
(35)
$$

On the other hand

$$
\dot{x} = 3 - \frac{u|z - \bar{z}|^2}{D^2} \le 3 \quad \implies \quad x(t) \le 3t + x_0
$$

and the long-time existence follows, i.e.,  $T = +\infty$ . Finally, to conclude the proof it is enough to show

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{|z - \bar{z}|}{D} = 0. \tag{36}
$$

<span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span> $\bigcirc$  Springer

Let us assume by contradiction that  $\frac{|z-\bar{z}|}{p} \to \epsilon > 0$ . Then, by the means of [\(34\)](#page-20-1) and ([35](#page-20-2)), there exists  $t_* > 0$  and a constant  $k_1 > 1$  such that

$$
-k_1 y(t)^2 \le \dot{y}(t) \le -\frac{1}{k_1} y(t)^2 \quad \text{for any } t \ge t_*.
$$

This in turn implies, for any  $t \geq t$ <sup>\*</sup>

<span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{k_1(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{y(t_*)}} \le y(t) \le \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_1}(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{y(t_*)}}.\tag{37}
$$

Besides, up to enlarge  $t_{\ast}$ , there also exists a constant  $k_2 > 1$  such that

$$
-k_2y(t) \le \dot{u}(t) \le -\frac{1}{k_2}y(t) \quad \text{ for any } t \ge t_*.
$$

Therefore, since ([37](#page-21-0)) holds, for any  $t \geq t_*$  we have

$$
-k_2 \frac{1}{\frac{1}{k_1}(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{y(t_*)}} \le \dot{u}(t) \le -\frac{1}{k_2} \frac{1}{k_1(t - t_*) + \frac{1}{y(t_*)}}
$$

and

$$
u(t_*) - k_1 k_2 \log \left( \frac{y(t_*)}{k_1} (t - t_*) + 1 \right) \le u(t) \le u(t_*) - \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \log \left( k_1 y(t_*) (t - t_*) + 1 \right).
$$

Nonetheless, this would imply  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} u(t) = -\infty$ , which is not possible. Hence, ([36](#page-20-3)) holds and  $x \sim 3t$  follows. **□** 

The HCF on  $(Sol_1^4, J_2)$  reduces to the ODEs system

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\dot{x} &= 3 + \frac{2y^2}{D} - \frac{u(y^2 + |z - \bar{z}|^2)}{D^2} \\
\dot{y} &= -\frac{y^2(|z - \bar{z}|^2 + y^2)}{D^2} \\
\dot{u} &= -\frac{2y^2(x|z - \bar{z}|^2 + yu)}{D^2}\n\end{aligned} \tag{38}
$$

<span id="page-21-2"></span>**Proposition 4.12** *Let*  $g_0$  *be a locally homogeneous Hermitian metric on an Inoue surfaces X* of type  $S^+$  obtained by  $(Sol_1^4, J_2)$ . Then, the solution  $g(t)$  to the HCF starting from  $g_0$ *exists for all t* ≥ 0. *In particular,*  $x(t)$  ∼ 3*t and*  $y(t)$  <  $y_0$ ,  $u(t)$  <  $u_0$  *for any t* > 0.

*Proof* Let  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  denote the maximal existence time of the solution. Then, a direct computation yields that

<span id="page-21-1"></span>
$$
\dot{D} = 3y + \frac{y(|z - \bar{z}|^2 + y^2)}{D^2} \ge 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
\dot{y} < 0, \quad \dot{u} < 0 \implies y(t) \le y_0, \quad u(t) \le u_0.
$$
\n
$$
(39)
$$

On the other hand, since

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\dot{x} \le 3 + \frac{2y^2}{D} \le 3 + \frac{2y_0^2}{D_0},
$$

we have  $T = +\infty$  and the first part of the claim follows. To conclude the proof it is enough to show that

$$
\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{2y^2}{D} = \lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{u(y^2 + |z - \bar{z}|^2)}{D^2} = 0.
$$
\n(40)

By the means of  $(39)$ , we can have either

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} D(t) = +\infty \qquad \text{or} \qquad \lim_{t \to +\infty} D(t) < +\infty.
$$

In the former case, [\(39\)](#page-21-1) directly implies ([40](#page-22-0)). Let us assume then  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} D(t) < +\infty$ . By means of ([39](#page-21-1)), this implies  $y(t) \to 0$  for  $t \to \infty$ , and hence  $\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{2y^2}{D} = 0$ . Moreover, using the same argument as in the proof of Proposition [4.11,](#page-20-4) one can prove that  $\frac{|z-\overline{z}|}{D} \to 0$  necessarily holds, and so we obtain  $(40)$ .

In view of the above results, we have

<span id="page-22-1"></span>**Proposition 4.13** *Let X be an Inoue surface of type*  $S^{\pm}$  *and g(t) be a locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on X*. *Then*,

$$
(X, (1+t)^{-1}g(t)) \xrightarrow{\text{GH}} S^1\left(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}\right) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,
$$

*where*  $S^1(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi})$  $\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi}$   $= \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \}$  *is the circle of length*  $\sqrt{3}$ *.* 

We briefly recall the construction of Inoue surfaces of type  $S^+$ . Let  $N \in SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$  be a unimodular matrix with real positive eigenvalues given by  $\lambda$  and  $\lambda^{-1}$ , with  $\lambda > 1$ . It is well known that any  $S^+$  surface can be realized as the quotient of the group

$$
G_{+} := \left\{ M_{+}(r, q, v, u) := \begin{pmatrix} 1uv \\ 0qr \\ 001 \end{pmatrix} : r, v, u \in \mathbb{R}, q \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \right\}.
$$

by a lattice  $\Gamma_+ := \langle f_0, f_1, f_2, f_3 \rangle$ , where  $f_i \in G_+$  are defined starting from *N* (see [[8](#page-28-11)]).

Notice that Inoue surfaces of type  $S^{\pm}$  enjoy nearly the same properties of surfaces of type *S*<sup>0</sup> (see [\[8](#page-28-11)]). In particular, they do not contain complex curves and any *S*<sup>+</sup> surface is difeomorphic to a bundle over *S*<sup>1</sup> . Moreover, since any *S*<sup>−</sup> surface admits an unramifed double cover given by a *S*<sup>+</sup> surface, it is enough to prove the statement for Inoue surfaces of type *S*<sup>+</sup>.

*Proof of Proposition 4.13* Let  $X = \Gamma_+ \backslash G_+$  be an Inoue surface of type  $S^+$  and  $g(t)$  a locally homogeneous solution to the HCF on *X*. The application

$$
G_+ \to \mathbb{R}, \quad M_+(r, q, v, u) \mapsto \frac{\log q}{\log \lambda}
$$

factorizes to a map  $\pi : X \to S^1$ , which is a locally trivial fibration (see [\[8\]](#page-28-11)). On the other hand, the path

$$
\mathbb{R} \to G_+, \quad s \mapsto M_+(0,\lambda^s,0,0)
$$

factorizes to a section  $\gamma : S^1 \to X$  whose length with respect to  $g(t)$  is

$$
\mathscr{C}_{g(t)}(\gamma) = \sqrt{x(t)}.
$$

Now, in view of the above results

$$
(1+t)^{-1}g(t) \to \tilde{g}_{\infty} := \begin{pmatrix} 30 \\ 00 \end{pmatrix} \text{ as } t \to \infty.
$$

Again, the kernel of  $\tilde{g}_\infty$  is the integrable distribution D spanned by the real and imaginary part of  $Z_2$ , which is dense inside any fiber of  $\pi$  (see [\[26,](#page-29-4) Lemma 6.2]). Therefore, in analogy with the case of  $S^0$  surfaces, the claim follows.  $\square$ 

We are now in position to prove Theorems  $\bf{A}$  and  $\bf{B}$ .

*Proof of Theorems A and B* Let *X* be a compact complex surface and  $g_0$  a locally homogeneous non-Kähler metric on *X*. By Theorem [2.2](#page-5-1) and Remark [2.3](#page-5-0) *X* is a quotient Γ�*G*, where *G* is one of the Lie groups listed in Sect. [3,](#page-6-0) i.e.,

$$
\widetilde{\mathrm{SE}}(2) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}}(2, \mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{H}_3(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{H}_3(\mathbb{R}), \quad \mathrm{Sol}_0^4, \quad \mathrm{Sol}_1^4,
$$

and  $\Gamma \subset G$  is a co-compact lattice.

Let also  $T \in (0, +\infty]$  be the extinction time of the HCF solution starting from  $g_0$ . Then, by means of Propositions [4.1](#page-10-0), [4.3](#page-11-2), [4.5](#page-12-3), [4.7](#page-15-1), [4.8,](#page-16-2) [4.9,](#page-17-1) [4.11](#page-20-4) and [4.12,](#page-21-2) we have  $T < \infty$  if and only  $G = SU(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ . This implies Theorem [A.](#page-1-0)

Finally, Theorem [B](#page-1-1) comes from Propositions  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  $4.1, 4.6, 4.7, 4.8, 4.10$  and  $4.13$ .

**Acknowledgements** We warmly thank Daniele Angella, Alberto Rafero and Luigi Vezzoni for their interest and helpful comments. We also thank the anonymous referee for his/her useful suggestions, which improved the presentation of the paper.

**Funding** This work was supported by G.N.S.A.G.A. of I.N.d.A.M. The frst named author was supported by project PRIN 2017 "Real and Complex Manifolds: Topology, Geometry and holomorphic dynamics" (code 2017JZ2SW5).

## <span id="page-23-0"></span>**Appendix**

In this "Appendix", we explicitly write down the tensors  $S$  and  $Q<sup>i</sup>$  used in Sect. [3](#page-6-0) to obtain the HCF tensor *K*. We assume *G* to be one of the (non-abelian) Lie groups listed in Sect. [3](#page-6-0), equipped with a left-invariant Hermitian structure  $(J, g)$  as in ([6](#page-6-1)). Our results directly follow by the formulas given in Sect. [2](#page-2-0) and the structure equations of *G*.

## **Hyperelliptic surfaces**

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{xy|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{x^2yz}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{x^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{xy|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{xz|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^2 = 0, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{x^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{|z|^4}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = 0.
$$

## **Hopf surfaces**

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x(x^3(1+i^2)+|z|^2(2x+y))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{-(1+i^2)x^2(xy-2|z|^2)-4|z|^2(xy-|z|^2)+y^2(2x^2+|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{xz(-i\lambda(xy-|z|^2)+x^2(1+i^2)+y(x+y)+|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{x((1+i^2)x^3(xy-|z|^2)^2+y|z|^2(x^2y^2-|z|^4)+(x+y)(xy-2|z|^2)|z|^4+2x^2y(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^4},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y((1+i^2)x^3(xy-|z|^2)^2+y|z|^2(x^2y^2-|z|^4)+(x+y)(xy-2|z|^2)|z|^4+2x^2y(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^4},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{z((1+i^2)x^3+(2x+y)|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^2 = \frac{-2xy(1+i\lambda)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{(1+i^2)x^4+(2x^2+|z|^2)|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{(1+i^2)x^3+(2x+y)|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{-(1+i\lambda)xz}{xy-|z|^2}.
$$

Here,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the parameter of the family of complex structures related to Hopf surfaces.

## **Non‑Kähler properly elliptic surfaces**

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{-y(2x+(1+2^2)y)(xy-|z|^2)+( (x+y)^2+a^2y^2-4)|z|^2 }{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y((1+2^2)y^3+(x-2y)|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{yz((1+i\lambda)(xy-|z|^2)+x^2-2xy+(1+\lambda^2)y^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{x((1+2^2)y^3+(x-2y)|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y((1+\lambda^2)y^3+(x-2y)|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2y^2(1+\lambda^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{((1+\lambda^2)y^2+(x-2y))|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{(1+\lambda^2)y^2+(x(1+\lambda^2)y)|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{z((1-i\lambda)y(x)-|z|^2)+(1+\lambda^2)y^3+x|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{y^2(1+\lambda^2)}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2}.
$$

Here,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the parameter of the family of complex structures related to non-Kähler properly elliptic surfaces.

## **Primary Kodaira surfaces**

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{-y^2(xy-2|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y^4}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{y^3z}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{xy^3}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y^4}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y^3z}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^2 = \frac{2y^2}{xy-|z|^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = 0, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = 0,
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{y^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{y^4}{(xy-|z|^2)^2}, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{y^3z}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
  
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{y^2}{xy-|z|^2}, \t Q_{2\bar{2}}^4 = 0, \t Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = 0.
$$

## **Secondary Kodaira surfaces**

$$
\begin{aligned}\nS_{1\bar{1}} &= \frac{(x^2 + y^2)|z|^2 - y^2(xy - |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, & S_{2\bar{2}} &= \frac{y(x|z|^2 + y^3)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, & S_{1\bar{2}} &= \frac{(x^2 + y^2) + i(y - |z|^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \\
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 &= \frac{x(x|z|^2 + y^3)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, & Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 &= \frac{y(x|z|^2 + y^3)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, & Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 &= \frac{z(x|z|^2 + y^3)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \\
Q_{1\bar{1}}^2 &= \frac{2y^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 &= \frac{2|z|^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 &= \frac{2iyz}{xy - |z|^2}, \\
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 &= \frac{y^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{2\bar{2}}^4 &= \frac{|z|^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 &= \frac{z(x + iy)(|z|^2 - iy^2)}{(xy - |z|^2)^2}, \\
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 &= \frac{y^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{2\bar{2}}^4 &= \frac{|z|^2}{xy - |z|^2}, & Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 &= \frac{iyz}{xy - |z|^2}.\n\end{aligned}
$$

# **Inoue surfaces of type S<sup>0</sup>**

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{x((b^2+9a^2)|z|^2+4a^2(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{xy((b^2+9a^2)|z|^2-8a^2(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{x((b^2+9a^2)xy-2a(a+ib)(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{x^2((b^2+9a^2)|z|^2+4a^2(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{xy((b^2+9a^2)|z|^2+4a^2(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{xz((b^2+9a^2)|z|^2+4a^2(xy-|z|^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2(b^2+a^2)|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2(b^2+a^2)|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{(b^2+9a^2)x^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{(b^2+9a^2)x^2|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{(b^2+9a^2)|z|^4+4a^2(xy+2|z|^2)(xy-|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{4a^2x^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{4a^2x^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{(b^2+a^2)|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{-2a(a+ib)}{xy-|z|^2}
$$

Here,  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the parameters of the family of complex structures on Inoue surfaces of type *S*<sup>0</sup> .

## **Inoue surfaces of type S**<sup>±</sup>

For what concerns the complex structure  $J_1$  on Inoue surfaces of type  $S^{\pm}$ , we get

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{-2(xy-|z|^2)^2 - xy(xy-|z|^2) - (z^2 + \bar{z}^2)|z|^2 + 2xy|z|^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y^2(xy+|z|^2 - (z^2 + \bar{z}^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{xy^2(z-\bar{z})+yz(xy-\bar{z}^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{xy(xy+|z|^2 - (z^2 + \bar{z}^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y^2(xy+|z|^2 - (z^2 + \bar{z}^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{yz((z-\bar{z})|z|^2 + xyz - z^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2yz^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2yz}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{x^2y^2 - xy(z^2 + \bar{z}^2) + |z|^4}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{y^2(2|z|^2 - (z^2 + \bar{z}^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{yz^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{y^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{yz}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{yz}{xy-|z|^2},
$$

On the other hand, given the complex structure  $J_2$  on Inoue surfaces of type  $S^+$ , we get

$$
S_{1\bar{1}} = \frac{xy^2(4x-y)-(2|z|^2-2y^2+z^2+\bar{z}^2)|z|^2-y(7x-(z+\bar{z}))(xy-|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{2\bar{2}} = \frac{y^2(|z|^2+y^2+xy-(z^2+\bar{z}^2))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
S_{1\bar{2}} = \frac{y^2(xy-|z|^2)+xy^2(2z^2-\bar{z})+xy(y^2-z^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^1 = \frac{xy(|z|^2-(z^2+\bar{z}^2)+y(x+y))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^1 = \frac{y^2(|z|^2-(z^2+\bar{z}^2)+y(x+y))}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{y((z-\bar{z})(z|^2+xyz+y^2z-z^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^2 = \frac{2y^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^2 = \frac{2(|z|^2+y(z+\bar{z})+y^2)}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{2xy|z|^2-xy(z^2+\bar{z}^2)+y^2|z|^2+(xy-y(z+\bar{z})-|z|^2)(xy-|z|^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{2\bar{2}}^3 = \frac{y^2(2|z|^2-(z^2+\bar{z}^2)+y^2)}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^3 = \frac{2x|z|^2+y|z|^2-xy(z-\bar{z})-z^3+y^2z-xy^2}{(xy-|z|^2)^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{1}}^4 = \frac{|z|^2+(y(z+\bar{z})+y^2)}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^4 = \frac{y^2}{xy-|z|^2},
$$
\n
$$
Q_{1\bar{2}}^
$$

## **References**

- <span id="page-28-2"></span>1. Angella, D., Sferruzza, T.: Geometric formalities along the Chern–Ricci fow. Complex Anal. Oper. Theory **14**(1), 27 (2020)
- <span id="page-28-7"></span>2. Arroyo, R., Lafuente, R.: The long-time behaviour of the homogeneous pluriclosed fow. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. **119**(1), 266–289 (2019)
- <span id="page-28-3"></span>3. Boling, J.: Homogeneous solutions of pluriclosed fow on closed complex surfaces. J. Geom. Anal. **26**(3), 2130–2154 (2016)
- <span id="page-28-10"></span>4. Burago, D., Burago, Y., Ivanov, S.: A Course in Metric Geometry. American Mathematical Society, Providence (2001)
- <span id="page-28-0"></span>5. Chen, X.X., Tian, G.: Ricci fow on Kähler–Einstein surfaces. Invent. Math. **147**, 487–544 (2002)
- <span id="page-28-8"></span>6. Enrietti, N., Fino, A., Vezzoni, L.: The pluriclosed fow on nilmanifolds and Tamed symplectic forms. J. Geom. Anal. **25**(2), 883–909 (2015)
- <span id="page-28-1"></span>7. Fang, S., Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B., Zheng, T.: Inoue surfaces and the Chern–Ricci fow. J. Funct. Anal. **271**(11), 3162–3185 (2016)
- <span id="page-28-11"></span>8. Inoue, M.: On surfaces of class *VII*<sub>0</sub>. Invent. Math. **24**, 269–310 (1974)
- <span id="page-28-5"></span>9. Lafuente, R., Pujia, M., Vezzoni, L.: Hermitian curvature fow on unimodular Lie groups and static invariant metrics. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **363**(6), 3967–3993 (2020)
- <span id="page-28-4"></span>10. Lott, J.: On the long-time behavior of type-III Ricci fow solutions. Math. Ann. **339**, 627–666 (2007)
- <span id="page-28-9"></span>11. Panelli, F., Podestà, F.: Hermitian curvature fow on compact homogeneous spaces. J. Geom. Anal. (2019).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-019-00239-7>
- <span id="page-28-6"></span>12. Pujia, M.: Expanding solitons to the Hermitian curvature fow on complex Lie groups. Difer. Geom. Appl. **64**, 201–216 (2019)
- <span id="page-29-13"></span>13. Pujia, M.: Positive Hermitian curvature fow on complex 2-step nilpotent Lie groups. [arXiv](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.07210) [:2002.07210](http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.07210)
- <span id="page-29-10"></span>14. Pujia, M., Vezzoni, L.: A remark on the Bismut–Ricci form on 2-step nilmanifolds. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **356**, 222–226 (2018)
- <span id="page-29-17"></span>15. Rong, X.: Convergence and collapsing theorems in Riemannian geometry. In: Handbook of Geometric Analysis, No. 2, Advanced Lectures in Mathematics, Int. Press, Somerville, pp. 193–299 (2010)
- <span id="page-29-14"></span>16. Singer, I.M.: Infnitesimally homogeneous spaces. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. **13**, 685–697 (1960)
- <span id="page-29-2"></span>17. Song, J., Tian, G.: The Kähler–Ricci fow on surfaces of positive Kodaira dimension. Invent. math. **170**, 609–653 (2007)
- <span id="page-29-7"></span>18. Streets, J.: Pluriclosed fow on manifolds with globally generated bundles. In: Complex Manifolds, vol. 3, no. 1 (2016)
- 19. Streets, J.: Classifcation of solitons for pluriclosed fow on complex surfaces. Math. Ann **375**, 1555– 1595 (2019)
- <span id="page-29-1"></span>20. Streets, J.: Pluriclosed fow and the geometrization of complex surfaces. [arXiv:1808.09490](http://arxiv.org/abs/1808.09490)
- <span id="page-29-8"></span>21. Streets, J., Tian, G.: A parabolic fow of pluriclosed metrics. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **16**, 3101– 3133 (2010)
- <span id="page-29-0"></span>22. Streets, J., Tian, G.: Hermitian curvature fow. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **13**(3), 601–634 (2011)
- <span id="page-29-9"></span>23. Streets, J., Tian, G.: Regularity results for the pluriclosed fow. Geom. Top. **17**, 2389–2429 (2013)
- <span id="page-29-3"></span>24. Tian, G., Zhang, Z.: On the Kähler–Ricci fow on projective manifolds of general type. Chin. Ann. Math. **27B**(2), 179–192 (2006)
- <span id="page-29-5"></span>25. Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B.: On the evolution of a Hermitian metric by its Chern–Ricci form. J. Difer. Geom. **99**, 125–163 (2015)
- <span id="page-29-4"></span>26. Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B.: The Chern–Ricci fow on complex surfaces. Compos. Math. **149**, 2101– 2138 (2013)
- <span id="page-29-6"></span>27. Tosatti, V., Weinkove, B., Yang, X.: Collapsing of the Chern–Ricci fow on elliptic surfaces. Math. Ann. **362**(3–4), 1223–1271 (2015)
- <span id="page-29-11"></span>28. Ustinovskiy, Y.: Hermitian curvature fow on manifolds with non-negative Grifths curvature. Am. J. Math. **141**(6), 1751–1775 (2019)
- <span id="page-29-12"></span>29. Ustinovskiy, Y.: Hermitian curvature fow on complex homogeneous manifolds. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (2019). [https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.201903\\_011](https://doi.org/10.2422/2036-2145.201903_011)
- <span id="page-29-15"></span>30. Wall, CTC.: Geometries and geometric structures in real dimension 4 and complex dimension 2. In: Geometry and Topology (College Park, Md., 1983/84), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1167, Springer, Berlin, pp. 268–292 (1985)
- <span id="page-29-16"></span>31. Wall, C.T.C.: Geometric structures on compact complex analytic surfaces. Topology **25**, 119–153 (1986)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.