TECHNICAL ARTICLE



# Evaluation of the Impact of Na-SO<sub>4</sub> Dominated Ionic Strength **on Effluent Water Quality in Bench-Scale Vertical Flow Bioreactors Using Spent Mushroom Compost**

**Julie A. LaBar[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9348-8185) · Robert W. Nairn<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 19 April 2016 / Accepted: 16 February 2017 / Published online: 31 March 2017 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2017

**Abstract** Passive treatment systems (PTSs) are frequently used to treat mine drainage that contains elevated concentrations of Fe, sulfate, and trace metals. Vertical flow bioreactors (VFBRs), often designed specifically to remove trace metals from mine drainage and retain them in an organic substrate, are a common PTS component. Many factors, including ionic strength, affect the performance of VFBR and their ability to remove trace metals. A paired-comparison study was performed in which two sets of columns were constructed, filled with an organic substrate, and fed synthetic mine water of differing ionic strengths, dominated by Na and sulfate, for 1 year. Elevated ionic strength significantly increased the rate of removal of Cd and Zn, and possibly Pb. Conversely, elevated ionic strength significantly decreased the rate of removal of Ni and Mn and even caused the eventual release of Mn from the substrate.

**Keywords** Passive treatment · Trace metals · Total dissolved solids

# **Introduction**

Mine drainage can contain elevated cations (e.g.,  $Fe^{2+}$ , Na<sup>+</sup>, Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>) and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2</sup>) from oxidation of

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (doi:[10.1007/s10230-017-0446-4\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10230-017-0446-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 $\boxtimes$  Julie A. LaBar labar376@gmail.com pyrite and other sulfide minerals and through secondary reactions and ion exchange processes (Capo et al. [2001](#page-9-0); Watzlaf et al. [2004;](#page-10-0) Younger et al. [2002\)](#page-10-1). Base cations, sulfate, and bicarbonate  $(HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>)$  alkalinity make up most of the inorganic contribution to total dissolved solids (TDSs) in mine drainage (Palmer et al. [2010;](#page-10-2) Skousen et al. [2000](#page-10-3); Timpano et al. [2010](#page-10-4)). Some mine waters also contain elevated concentrations of Na and chloride due to the associated geology or connections with abandoned gas and oil wells and abandoned mine workings (Emrich and Merritt [1969](#page-9-1); Hedin et al. [2005;](#page-9-2) Stiles et al. [2004\)](#page-10-5). Water from mines flooded less than 15 years ago may exhibit elevated TDS (Stiles et al. [2004\)](#page-10-5). Electrical conductivity (EC), TDS, and ionic strength are closely related, with all three indicative of the total number of dissolved ions (Cravotta [2008](#page-9-3); Cravotta and Brady [2015](#page-9-4); Hem [1985\)](#page-9-5).

Vertical flow bioreactors (VFBRs) were originally conceived as a method to create anoxic, reducing conditions to promote alkalinity generation via bacterial sulfate reduction (BSR) and dissolution of limestone mixed with or underlying an organic substrate (Kepler and McCleary [1994](#page-9-6)). However, for hard rock mine drainage, VFBR have also been used extensively to remove trace metals through sorption to organic matter and by precipitation as sulfides, hydroxides, and carbonates (Dvorak et al. [1992](#page-9-7); Machemer and Wildeman [1992](#page-10-6); Neculita et al. [2008](#page-10-7); Webb et al. [1998](#page-10-8)). In addition, VFBR can be very effective in removing sulfate, depending on the substrate used and hydraulic retention time (HRT; Cocos et al. [2002](#page-9-8); Dvorak et al. [1992](#page-9-7); Neculita et al. [2007;](#page-10-9) Pinto et al. [2015](#page-10-10); Willow and Cohen [2003](#page-10-11)).

When treating net-alkaline mine drainage, VFBR will often be preceded by oxidation and settling ponds, where  $Fe<sup>2+</sup>$  is oxidized to Fe<sup>3+</sup>, hydrolyzed, and precipitated as Fe oxyhydroxides (e.g., FeOOH) (Watzlaf et al. [2004\)](#page-10-0). As a

School of Civil Engineering and Environmental Science, University of Oklahoma, 202 West Boyd, Room 334, Norman, OK 73019, USA

result, water entering VFBR will often exhibit near-neutral pH, but will have lost substantial amounts of alkalinity (Dvorak et al. [1992;](#page-9-7) Neculita et al. [2007](#page-10-9)). Although small amounts of trace metals may be removed via sorption and coprecipitation with Fe oxyhydroxides, the remainder may be removed in VFBR (Dzombak and Morel [1990;](#page-9-9) Kairies et al. [2005](#page-9-10)). In addition, some sulfate may be removed via sorption to Fe oxyhydroxides, but most will make its way to the VFBR (Ali and Dzombak [1996](#page-9-11); Webster et al. [1998](#page-10-12)).

Although secondary standards for drinking water already exist, the US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) has proposed protocols for regulating conductivity concentrations in natural waters (US EPA [2011](#page-10-13)). However, TDS removal down to the proposed conductivity limits via conventional mine drainage treatment schemes can be inconsistent (Pinto et al. [2015\)](#page-10-10). In addition, elevated TDS concentrations may affect some water treatment methods (Cravotta [2008](#page-9-3); Hem [1985](#page-9-5); Langmuir [1997\)](#page-10-14).

Elevated ionic strength can enhance or inhibit trace metal removal in VFBR. An increase in bicarbonate concentration and pH due to BSR may provide a suitable environment for divalent trace metals to precipitate as carbonates (Dvorak et al. [1992;](#page-9-7) Sobolewski [1996\)](#page-10-15). However, some studies have shown an increase in metal–carbonate solubilities at higher ionic strength, leaving trace metals to be removed by other mechanisms or left in solution (Plassard et al. [2000](#page-10-16); Sun et al. [2009\)](#page-10-17). Theoretically, sulfide mineral solubility will also increase as ionic strength increases (Lewis [2010](#page-10-18)). However, at least one study has found that the solubility of some sulfide minerals may decrease as ionic strength increases (Shpiner et al. [2009\)](#page-10-19). In addition, ionic strength may impact the solubility of hydrogen sulfide, thus limiting the potential for metal–sulfide formation (Duan et al. [2007\)](#page-9-12). In order to evaluate the impact of TDS/conductivity/ionic strength on trace metal removal efficiency, an examination of how they affect VFBR performance as a whole was necessary.

# **Experimental Design**

## **Column Construction**

To evaluate the effect of ionic strength on trace metal removal in VFBRs, a paired comparison column study was designed. Continuously fed, downward-flow columns were used to approximate field bioreactors. A HRT of 72 h was used in order to provide ample time for sulfate reduction and subsequent sulfide precipitation (Neculita et al. [2007\)](#page-10-9).

In addition to HRT, a target flow rate of approximately 3 L/day and an assumed substrate porosity of 0.5 were used to determine the dimensions of the columns. These calculations resulted in the construction of six 38 cm (15 in.) long columns constructed with 25 cm (10 in.) diameter PVC pipe, each with a total volume of 19.3 L PVC caps with 1.3 cm (0.5 in.) threaded holes were used to enclose the columns. Opaque PVC was used to better simulate field bioreactor conditions and to prevent the growth of phototrophic bacteria and algae. The effluent port was covered with 20-mesh nylon screen, which was covered with a 2.5 cm (1 in.) layer of inert pea gravel, to prevent large particulates from entering and collecting in the effluent tubing. Over the pea gravel, all columns were filled with a 2:1 mixture (by volume) of spent mushroom compost (SMC) and inert river rock (to provide structure and prevent compaction/loss of permeability). The fill mixture for each column was stirred manually for 5 min before placement in the columns.

Readily available SMC from J-M Farms, Inc., Miami, OK was used as the substrate and carbon source. This SMC consisted of a base of wheat straw amended with chicken litter, cottonseed meal, soybean meal, peat moss, sugar beet lime, and gypsum. Water elevation was controlled by maintaining the effluent tubing at an elevation≈2 cm below the top of the columns (Supplemental Fig. 1).

#### **Simulated Mine Drainage**

The six columns were divided into two sets of three replicates; one set received low ionic (LI) strength simulated mine drainage (SMD) and the other received high ionic (HI) strength SMD. All SMD was intended to simulate approximate conditions in net-alkaline mine drainage after Fe has been removed by an oxidation pond. Both LI and HI SMD contained 0.5 mg/L each of Cd, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn. However, the LI SMD contained base cation concentrations of 10 mg/L Ca and 25 mg/L Na and anion concentrations of 15 mg/L Cl and 125 mg/L sulfate, resulting in an approximate ionic strength of  $10^{-3}$  M. In contrast, the HI SMD contained base cation concentrations of 250 mg/L Ca and 1000 mg/L Na and anion concentrations of 440 mg/L Cl and 2100 mg/L sulfate, resulting in an approximate ionic strength of  $10^{-1}$  M.

Each SMD was prepared in a 50 L carboy approximately every 5 days. The respective SMD solutions were fed into the top of each column with peristaltic pumps at a target flow rate of 3.1 L/day (130 mL/h) and allowed to flow naturally via gravity through the remainder of the system. This flow rate was selected to balance the size of the columns with the desired 72 h HRT; a higher flow rate would have required column sizes infeasible for the laboratory. Saturation of all material was ensured by maintaining approximately 5 cm (2 in.) of water cover over the surface of the substrate.

# **Methods**

After an adjustment period of 4 weeks, effluent samples were collected from each column every 2 weeks for 1 year (approximately equivalent to 100 pore volumes). Temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), EC, oxidation–reduction potential (ORP), and total alkalinity were measured immediately. Samples for total and dissolved metals were collected in 60 mL HDPE bottles and acidified with trace metal grade nitric acid to  $pH < 2$ . Dissolved metals samples were filtered with 0.45 μm nylon syringe filters prior to acidification. Samples for total and dissolved carbon analyses were collected in 40 mL amber glass bottles that had been pre-baked at 400°C for 1 h. Dissolved carbon samples were filtered with 0.45 μm nylon syringe filters; all carbon samples were stored at  $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C and analyzed as soon as possible after collection. Samples for sulfate and chloride analysis were collected in 30 mL polypropylene scintillation vials, stored at  $\leq 4^{\circ}$ C, and analyzed within 48 h. Sulfide samples were collected in 60 mL HDPE bottles, preserved with zinc acetate and sodium hydroxide, and analyzed within 24 h. Methods used for all sample analyses are listed in Supplemental Table 1.

In addition to samples collected for chemical analyses, effluent samples were collected quarterly for determination of SRB populations using the most probable number technique, as well as overall anaerobic bacteria populations. Bacteria culture bottles containing either the American Petroleum Institute RP-38 sulfate reducer medium (for SRB) or thioglycollate medium (for anaerobic bacteria) were obtained from VK Enterprises in Edmond, OK. Bottles were inoculated and serial diluted to 10−6 to determine populations in both media. Samples were incubated at room temperature up to 28 days before determining the populations.

Minitab version 17.2.1 was used to compute all statistics (Minitab [2010\)](#page-10-20). A significance level of 0.05 was applied. All data sets were checked for normality using the Anderson–Darling statistic. The Mann–Whitney test (also known as the Wilcoxon rank sum test) was used to determine significant differences between data sets. Upward and/or downward trends were determined using the Mann–Kendall test.

# **Results and Discussion**

# **Influent Characteristics**

The LI and HI columns were each fed SMD by their own low-flow peristaltic pump. During the study, an average flow of 3.24 L/day (2.25 L/min) was maintained in the LI columns and an average flow of 3.11 L/day (2.16 mL/ min) was maintained in the HI columns. Seventy batches (50 L carboys) each of LI and HI SMD were prepared through the study, and each column was treated with ≈1215 L of SMD, which took 375 days for the LI columns and 391 days for the HI columns.

LI and HI SMD samples were collected approximately every 10 batches during the study. Mean SMD water quality is listed in Supplemental Table 2. Sulfide data are not included in the table as all samples contained the constituent at concentrations below the detection limit of 0.1 mg/L. In addition, total carbon concentrations were all less than 1.0 mg/L and excluded from the table. Mean total and dissolved metal data are listed in Supplemental Table 3.

There were significant differences in EC, pH, and sulfate between the LI and HI SMD. The differences in conductivity and sulfate were due to the designed differences in chemical constituents and ionic strength and were expected. The pH was most affected by the different sulfate concentrations. An increased sulfate concentration was correlated with a lower pH, as indicated by the Spearman's rho value of  $-0.705$  (p=0.023). Cravotta ([2008\)](#page-9-3) found a similar correlation between pH and sulfate in a survey of 140 coal mine drainages in Pennsylvania. Although there was a significant difference in pH in LI and HI SMD, the values were within the desirable range for treatment in a VFBR.

Total and dissolved Pb concentrations in the HI SMD were close to the target of 0.5 mg/L in the first two batches at the beginning of the study. However, a new supply of  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$  was obtained and inadvertently contributed additional Pb to the HI SMD. This disparity accounts for the significant difference in LI and HI SMD Pb concentrations and larger standard deviations in Pb concentrations in both SMDs. However, there were no significant differences in mean Cd, Mn, Ni, and Zn concentrations between LI and HI SMD. A significant difference was also found between the total and dissolved Na concentrations in the HI SMD, most likely due to incomplete dissolution of the  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ salt used to prepare the solution.

# **Effluent Characteristics**

Mean effluent water quality data are shown in Table [1.](#page-3-0) Over the course of the study, all columns sustained anoxic and reducing conditions and exhibited near-neutral pH. Concentrations of DO quickly dropped to <1 mg/L and remained so throughout the study. In addition, reducing conditions developed in all columns within 2 weeks after saturation; ORP remained below −200 mV in LI and HI column effluents for the entire study. Chloride was conservative in both sets of columns, with no significant concentration changes.

#### *pH, Conductivity, and Alkalinity*

Throughout the study, effluent pH in both sets of columns remained significantly higher than the influent pH. It also generally remained in the 5–8 range considered optimal for SRB productivity and metal removal as sulfides (Elliot et al. [1998](#page-9-13); Postgate [1984;](#page-10-21) Tsukamoto et al. [2004;](#page-10-22) Willow and Cohen [2003](#page-10-11)), with two exceptions. In weeks 44 and 50, average effluent pH in the HI columns were slightly above 8 at 8.18 and 8.06, respectively. The pH in the HI effluent was slightly, but significantly, higher than the pH in the LI effluent throughout most of the study, with means of 7.62 and 7.37, respectively. Both sets of columns exhibited significant upward trends in pH, with pH increasing from  $\approx 10$ weeks until the end of the study.

Effluent EC and alkalinity were initially significantly greater than the influent due to flushing of dissolved organic matter, inorganic base cations and anions from the amendments (chicken litter, lime, gypsum, etc.) in the SMC (Supplemental Figs. 2, 3; Dvorak et al. [1992;](#page-9-7) Guo et al. [2001](#page-9-14); Song et al. [2012\)](#page-10-23). These parameters decreased quickly as the excess amendments were depleted, with effluent EC returning to levels similar to the influent concentrations in both sets of columns within 12 weeks. Although effluent alkalinity from both sets of columns decreased throughout the study, concentrations remained significantly greater than influent alkalinity.

#### *Contribution of Sulfate Reduction to Alkalinity*

Dissolution of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  (in the form of sugar beet lime, a by-product of sugar beet processing) contained in the SMC was likely the largest contributor to the elevated alkalinity in the beginning of the study, as evidenced by elevated Ca concentrations in both the LI and HI columns (Eq. [1](#page-3-1); Supplemental Fig. 4; Gross et al. [1993](#page-9-15)).

$$
CaCO_3 + CO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow Ca^{2+} + 2HCO_3^-
$$
 (1)

Sulfate reduction may also produce bicarbonate alkalinity (Eq. [2\)](#page-3-2).

$$
2CH_2O + SO_4^{2-} \to H_2S + 2HCO_3^-
$$
 (2)

Dissolved Ca concentrations remained elevated throughout the study, indicating continued dissolution of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ , but BSR likely became a larger contributor to alkalinity over time. The differences in effluent and influent dissolved Ca and sulfate concentrations, as well as relationships from Eqs. [1](#page-3-1) and [2,](#page-3-2) were used to calculate bicarbonate alkalinity. This was then converted to  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  alkalinity and compared to measured alkalinity concentrations (Dvorak et al. [1992](#page-9-7); Watzlaf et al. [2004](#page-10-0); Eqs. [3,](#page-3-3) [4\)](#page-3-4).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Mean effluent water quality data and standard deviations



$$
\left(\frac{\text{mg Ca}_{out}}{\text{L}} - \frac{\text{mg Ca}_{in}}{\text{L}}\right) * \frac{\text{mmol Ca}}{40.08 \text{ mg Ca}} * \frac{2 \text{mmol HCO}_3}{1 \text{ mmol Ca}} + \frac{1 \text{mmol CaCO}_3}{2 \text{mmol HCO}_3} * \frac{100.08 \text{ mg CaCO}_3}{1 \text{ mmol CaCO}_3} = \frac{\text{mg CaCO}_3}{\text{L}}
$$
\n(3)

<span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>
$$
\left(\frac{mg SO_{4out}}{L} - \frac{mg SO_{4in}}{L}\right) * \frac{mmol SO_4}{96.06 mg SO_4}
$$
  
\n
$$
* \frac{2 mmol HCO_3}{1 mmol SO_4} * \frac{1 mmol CaCO_3}{2 mmol HCO_3}
$$
  
\n
$$
* \frac{100.08 mg CaCO_3}{1 mmol CaCO_3} = \frac{mg CaCO_3}{L}
$$
 (4)

In the LI columns, the equivalent alkalinity from dissolved Ca was very near the measured alkalinity. However, from approximately 8 weeks into the study until the end, the alkalinity added by sulfate reduction was required to account for part of the measured alkalinity (Fig. [1\)](#page-5-0).

<span id="page-3-2"></span><span id="page-3-1"></span>Comparison of alkalinity contributions from  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ dissolution and sulfate reduction was not so straightforward in the HI columns, as  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  dissolution made a much greater contribution to alkalinity at the beginning of the study than it did in the LI columns. However, this contribution very quickly dropped near to the values in the LI columns, until approximately 20 weeks into the study, when  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  alkalinity in the HI columns fell below the LI columns and remained there for the rest of the study. In addition, the calculated contribution of alkalinity from sulfate reduction was far greater in the HI columns due to the much faster sulfate removal rate.

The sulfur balance was calculated for both sets of columns to understand the discrepancy in summed and measured alkalinities (Eq. [5](#page-4-0)). Assuming that all trace metals were removed as sulfides, an effluent mass of sulfur could be estimated and compared to the influent mass of sulfur.

Infuent 
$$
\left(\frac{\text{mmol S}}{L}\right)
$$
 =  $\left[\text{Trace metal sulfide}\left(\frac{\text{mmol S}}{L}\right) + \text{Effuent sulfide}\left(\frac{\text{mmol S}}{L}\right)\right]$  (5)  
+  $\text{Effuent sulfate}\left(\frac{\text{mmol S}}{L}\right)$ 

The results of the sulfur mass balance (Table [2\)](#page-5-1) indicated that total effluent masses exceeded influent masses in the LI columns throughout the study by an average of 0.37 moles, or 30%. This may be an indication that gypsum contained in the raw SMC dissolved, raising the porewater sulfate-S concentration and making it difficult to determine how much of the influent sulfur was actually removed. The calculations revealed the opposite relationship in the HI columns, with an average of 3.05 mmol/L, or 17%, more sulfur in the influent than in the effluent. This could indicate gypsum precipitation in the pore waters of these columns, which would effectively lower the influent sulfate-S concentration, making accurate calculation of sulfate removal difficult. It is certainly clear that sulfate reduction was not the only sulfate removal mechanism in the HI columns.

Although gypsum may precipitate from mine waters high in Ca and sulfate, it was not assumed that concentrations would be great enough to create a supersaturated environment (Palmer et al. [2010\)](#page-10-2). Indeed, speciation modeling using PHREEQC (Parkhurst and Appelo [2013\)](#page-10-24) indicated that both the LI and HI systems were approaching saturation with respect to gypsum, but both saturation indices were still negative (SI =  $-1.72$  and  $-0.34$ , respectively). These analyses were conducted on the bulk effluent water quality and cannot account for the potential for supersaturated conditions in porewater. Nonetheless, these results decrease the likelihood that gypsum precipitation was the sole cause of lower than anticipated effluent sulfate concentrations.

Other possible explanations for the greater sulfate removal in the HI columns are sorption of the  $CaSO_4^0$  ion pair to the organic substrate, transformation of inorganic sulfate into organic sulfur, formation of elemental sulfur, or systemic error in measurements of influent sulfate concentrations (Bolan et al. [1991;](#page-9-16) Machemer et al. [1993;](#page-10-25) Sokolova and Alekseeva [2008](#page-10-26)). None of these potential mechanisms can be definitively accounted for or ruled out within the constraints of the data collected.

## *Sulfate Removal and Sulfide Production*

Sulfate and sulfide data were highly variable in both sets of columns, but the effect was not as apparent in the HI <span id="page-4-0"></span>column effluents due to the much higher sulfate concentrations (Supplemental Fig. 5). Although there was not a significant trend in effluent sulfate concentrations in the LI columns, there was a significant downward trend in effluent sulfide concentrations. This indicates that while sulfate was removed throughout the study, the dominant removal mechanism may have shifted. In the HI columns, there was a significant upward trend in effluent sulfate concentrations coupled with a significant downward trend in sulfide concentrations. The mean sulfate removal rate in LI columns was 81 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>/day, which is substantially less than the 300 mmol/m3 /day expected under ideal conditions (URS  $2003$ ) and the 691 mmol/m<sup>3</sup>/day found in the HI columns. Mean SRB populations were consistently between  $10^2$  and  $10<sup>3</sup>$  organisms/mL in the LI columns and between  $10<sup>3</sup>$  and 104 organisms/mL in the HI columns. Influent sulfate concentrations affect SRB growth and sulfate reduction kinetics (Moosa et al. [2002\)](#page-10-28), so a significant difference in LI and HI SRB populations and sulfate removal rates was anticipated. However, the calculated sulfate removal rate in the HI columns was more than likely artificially inflated due to the reasons discussed previously.

# *Carbon*

Total, inorganic, and organic carbon data exhibited large standard deviations over the course of the study (Supplemental Table 4). However, this was due to the large impact of the initial flush of dissolved organic carbon, particularly in the first 12 weeks of operation (Supplemental Fig. 6). Statistically, total and dissolved carbon concentrations were not different. Surprisingly, despite the aforementioned potential for increased  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  dissolution in the HI columns, there were no significant differences between any of the total or dissolved carbon fractions in the LI and HI columns. Comparing inorganic carbon data to the alkalinity balance (Fig. [1\)](#page-5-0), 3–39% of the inorganic carbon in the LI columns came from sulfate reduction, with the smallest contributions being made at the beginning of the study when the bulk of inorganic carbon came from  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ dissolution. Due to the uncertainties in the alkalinity balance for the HI columns, it was impossible to estimate the respective contributions of sulfate reduction and  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ dissolution to the measured inorganic carbon.

Despite the appearance that carbon concentrations may have been different in the LI and HI columns (Supplemental Fig. 6), there were no significant differences when considering the entirety of the data. However, dissolved inorganic carbon was significantly higher in the HI columns for approximately the first 12 weeks of the study. This is most likely due to the fact that elevated ionic strength increases the solubility product of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ , resulting in a greater amount of dissolution in the HI columns at the beginning

<span id="page-5-0"></span>

<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Table 2** Mass balance between mean influent and effluent sulfur concentrations



*RPD* relative percent difference

of the study than in the LI columns (Stumm and Morgan [1996](#page-10-29)). There is also potential for enhanced dissolution of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  in the HI columns due to removal of free  $Ca<sup>2+</sup>$  ions from solution due to ion pairing with sulfate, creating the  $CaSO_4^0$  pair (Drever [1997\)](#page-9-17).

## *Calcium and Sodium*

Effluent calcium concentrations were significantly greater than influent concentrations in both sets of columns, even when removing the influence of the initial flush on effluent concentrations (Supplemental Fig. 4). However, total and dissolved effluent calcium concentrations exhibited significant downward trends in the LI and HI columns and nearly returned to influent concentrations in the HI columns. Calcium concentrations in the LI columns remained elevated throughout the study, presumably due to continued dissolution of  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$ , whereas concentrations in the HI columns returned to near influent concentrations by the end of the study. This is likely due to both more rapid  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  dissolution associated with elevated ionic strength and sorption of  $CaSO_4^0$  ion pairs to the SMC.

Effluent sodium concentrations also exhibited a downward trend in the LI columns (Supplemental Fig. 7). In addition to calcium, a small amount of sodium appeared to leach out of the SMC for several weeks after the beginning of the study. In contrast, there were no significant trends in total or dissolved sodium concentrations in the HI columns.

## *Trace Metals*

Mean total and dissolved concentrations of Cd, Ni, Pb, and Zn were all decreased significantly, from  $\approx 0.50$  mg/L to below 0.10 mg/L, in both sets of columns. Manganese was not completely removed in any of the columns, with effluent concentrations in LI being significantly lower than in HI (Table [3](#page-6-0)).

It is well documented that, upon startup of any VFBR, a substantial portion of dissolved metals are removed by adsorption to the organic substrate (e.g., Gibert et al. [2005](#page-9-18); Machemer and Wildeman [1992](#page-10-6); Neculita et al. [2008](#page-10-7); O'Sullivan et al. [2004](#page-10-30); Stark et al. [1994;](#page-10-31) Zagury et al. [2006](#page-10-32)). This was the most likely explanation for the initial decrease in effluent Cd, Pb, and Zn concentrations in the LI columns. Total and dissolved Cd, Pb, and Zn concentrations in the LI effluent were all at their lowest in the first 2–4 weeks of the study, after which effluent concentrations of all three metals increased slightly (Fig. [2\)](#page-7-0). After sorption sites become saturated, precipitation as carbonates and sulfides becomes the dominant removal mechanism. Examples of these precipitation reactions are illustrated in Eqs. [6](#page-6-1) and [7](#page-6-2).

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
M^{2+} + HCO_3^- \rightarrow MCO_3 \downarrow + H^+ \tag{6}
$$

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
H_2S + M^{2+} + 2HCO_3^- \to MS + 2H_2O + 2CO_2 \tag{7}
$$

Past studies have demonstrated that most of the Cd, Ni, Pb, and Zn are removed as sulfides, but large fractions may be removed as carbonates or by complexation with humic substances in the organic substrate (Chagué-Goff [2005](#page-9-19); Dvorak et al. [1992](#page-9-7); Neculita et al. [2008;](#page-10-7) O'Sullivan et al. [2004](#page-10-30)). Effluent sulfide, pH, alkalinity, and dissolved carbon concentrations were all high enough in both sets of columns to support any of these mechanisms.

None of the trace metal effluent concentrations in the HI columns demonstrated the initial adsorption-associated concentration drop seen in the LI columns. This is likely due to adsorption inhibition due to the elevated ionic strength. Regardless, effluent Cd and Zn concentrations were consistently significantly less in the HI columns than in the LI columns, while effluent Ni concentrations were significantly less in the LI columns, indicating that elevated ionic strength inhibited removal. Effluent Ni concentrations in the LI columns and effluent Cd, Ni, and Zn concentrations in the HI columns all demonstrated downward trends, indicating that removal efficiency increased over time. Conversely, Mn and Pb concentrations exhibited upward trends, indicating a loss in removal efficiency.

Effluent Pb concentrations were significantly less in the LI columns than in the HI columns (Fig. [2g](#page-7-0)–h), but the influent concentrations were also significantly less. Despite the differences between the columns, it is believed that most of the Pb, as well as Cd, Ni, and Zn, were removed as sulfides and carbonates. Speciation modeling in PHREEQC indicated that effluents from both sets of columns were supersaturated with respect to Cd, Ni, Pb, and Zn sulfides and Cd and Pb carbonates, but were undersaturated with respect to hydroxides.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** Mean effluent metals concentrations and standard deviations



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Influent and averaged metals concentrations in LI and HI columns; **a** total Cd, **b** dissolved Cd, **c** total Mn, **d** dissolved Mn, **e** total Ni, **f** dissolved Ni, **g** total Pb, **h** dissolved Pb, **i** total Zn and **j** dissolved Zn (error bars ±1 standard deviation)

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 4** Spearman's rank correlation coefficient table for combined LI and HI effluent data



LI and HI effluents were not found to be supersaturated with respect to any Mn-sulfide, -carbonate, or -hydroxide minerals, indicating that most of the removal was likely due to adsorption to or complexation with the organic substrate. Bless et al. [\(2008](#page-9-20)) found that Mn adsorption onto organic substrates is important, but that it is pH dependent and often temporary. As pH increases, Mn may be replaced on the substrate by more readily adsorbed metals. Effluent Mn concentrations in the LI columns were significantly

<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Table 5** Average removal rates of total and dissolved Cd, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn in LI and HI columns

	Removal rate (mg/m <sup>3</sup> /day)			
	LI		HI	
	Mean	SD	Mean	<b>SD</b>
Cadmium				
Total	85.4	2.1	87.4	0.9
<b>Dissolved</b>	87.3	1.3	87.7	0.4
Manganese				
Total	54.4	4.8	6.1	11.4
Dissolved	54.7	6.0	9.9	12.9
Nickel				
Total	81.7	5.1	73.0	2.4
<b>Dissolved</b>	85.2	1.6	73.6	2.1
Lead				
Total	82.2	2.6	100.3	1.1
Dissolved	77.1	2.3	99.2	1.7
Zinc				
Total	76.5	4.0	84.6	5.2
Dissolved	80.7	1.6	87.0	0.6

less than in the HI columns (Fig. [2c](#page-7-0), d). However, a similar trend was observed in both sets of columns, with a decrease in effluent concentrations for the first 10–12 weeks, after which effluent concentrations began to increase. The increase in HI effluent Mn concentrations was much more pronounced than in the LI columns, with effluent concentrations eventually exceeding the influent concentration. The increase in effluent concentrations strongly indicates adsorption (with subsequent desorption) as the removal mechanism, rather than complexation with organic matter. Whether this behavior was due directly to the elevated ionic strength or the slightly increased pH caused by the elevated ionic strength is unknown.

# *Correlations*

The relationships between water quality parameters and dissolved constituents of the LI and HI column effluents were evaluated using a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient table (Minitab [2010;](#page-10-20) Table [4\)](#page-8-0). Positive correlations between conductivity and sulfate, alkalinity, inorganic and organic carbon, calcium, and Na were expected simply due to the definition of conductivity, as well as the large initial flush of dissolved organic matter and base cations and anions. Positive correlations between indicators of ionic strength (conductivity, Ca, Na, and sulfate) and Mn and Ni, however, reinforce the possibility that ionic strength affects trace metal removal in VFBR. These correlations provide insight to the significant difference between the LI and HI effluent concentrations, particularly with regard to Ni.

On opening the columns at the end of the study, it was noticed that the substrate had settled approximately 5 cm (2 in.) and was covered by approximately 4 cm (1.5 in.) of influent water. The treatment volume was considered equivalent to the volume of the submerged substrate, so the treatment volume used to calculate removal rates were adjusted from 19.3 to 16.7 L  $(0.0167 \text{ m}^3)$ . This value was used to calculate the volume adjusted removal rates (Table [5](#page-8-1)).

Overall, there were significant differences in all of the calculated removal rates. The LI columns had significantly higher Mn and Ni removal rates, mirroring the significantly lower effluent concentrations found in those columns. Conversely, the HI columns had significantly higher Cd, Pb, and Zn removal rates.

## **Conclusions**

Elevated ionic strength appeared to significantly affect some inorganic constituents of the study, namely pH, alkalinity, and trace metal removal. The pH and alkalinity impacts were most likely due to increased solubility of the  $CaCO<sub>3</sub>$  contained in the SMC, but may have also been affected by the greater sulfate concentrations in the HI columns. The two organic constituents evaluated, carbon concentrations and SRB populations, had no evident effect. It was not anticipated that the elevated ionic strength (TDS/ conductivity) would affect the five selected trace metals differently. However, it was found that elevated ionic strength increased the rate of removal of Cd and Zn, and possibly Pb. Elevated ionic strength decreased the rate of Ni removal and greatly decreased the rate of Mn removal, and also caused the eventual release of Mn from the substrate. Manganese concentrations demonstrated by far the most difference between LI and HI columns. Removal mechanisms were not determined in this portion of the study, but a subsequent study on the spent substrates will elucidate more clearly the impact of elevated ionic strength on products of trace metal removal in VFBR.

**Acknowledgements** Stipend and research funding were provided by American Society of Mining and Reclamation, Grand River Dam Authority (Project 100052), U.S. Geological Survey (Agreement DOI-USG 04HQAG0131), US Environmental Protection Agency (Agreement X7-97682001-0), and the Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality (Agreement PO2929019163).

## **References**

- <span id="page-9-11"></span>Ali M, Dzombak D (1996) Interactions of copper, organic acids, and sulfate in goethite suspensions. Geochim Cosmochim Acta 60:5045–5053
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>Bless D, Park B, Nordwick S, Zaluski M, Joyce H, Hiebert R, Clavelot C (2008) Operational lessons learned during bioreactor

demonstrations for acid rock drainage treatment. Mine Water Environ 27:241–250

- <span id="page-9-16"></span>Bolan N, Syers J, Sumner M (1991) Calcium-induced sulfate adsorption by soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 57:691–696
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>Capo R, Winters W, Weaver T, Stafford S, Hedin R, Stewart B (2001) Hydrogeologic and geochemical evolution of deep mine discharges, Irwin Syncline, Pennsylvania. In: Proceedings of the 22nd annual West Virginia Surface Mine Drainage Task Force Symposium, Morgantown, WV, p 144–153
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>Chagué-Goff C (2005) Assessing the removal efficiency of Zn, Cu, Fe, and Pb in a treatment wetland using selective sequential extraction: a case study. Water Air Soil Pollut 160:161–179
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>Cocos I, Zagury G, Clement B, Samson R (2002) Multiple factor design for reactive mixture selection for use in reactive walls in mine drainage treatment. Water Res 36:167–177
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>Cravotta C (2008) Dissolved metals and associated constituents in abandoned coal-mine discharges, Pennsylvania, USA. Part 1: constituent quantities and correlations. Appl Geochem 23:166–202
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>Cravotta C, Brady K (2015) Priority pollutants and associate constituents in untreated and treated discharges from coal mining or processing facilities in Pennsylvania, USA. Appl Geochem 62:108–130
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>Drever J (1997) The geochemistry of natural waters: surface and groundwater environment, 3rd edn. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>Duan Z, Sun R, Liu R, Zhu C (2007) Accurate thermodynamic model for the calculation of  $H_2S$  solubility in pure water and brines. Energy Fuel 21:2056–2065
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>Dvorak D, Hedin R, Edenborn H, McIntire P (1992) Treatment of metal-contaminate water using bacterial sulfate reduction: results from pilot-scale reactors. Biotechnol Bioeng 40:609–616
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>Dzombak D, Morel F (1990) Surface complexation modeling: hydrous ferric oxide. Wiley-Interscience, New York
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>Elliot P, Ragusa S, Catcheside D (1998) Growth of sulfate-reducing bacteria under acidic conditions in an upflow anaerobic bioreactor as a treatment system for acidic mine drainage. Water Res 32:3724–3730
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>Emrich G, Merritt G (1969) Effects of mine drainage on ground water. Ground Water 7:27–32
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>Gibert O, de Pablo J, Cortina J, Ayora C (2005) Municipal compostbased mixture for acid mine drainage bioremediation: metal retention mechanisms. Appl Geochem 20:1648–1657
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>Gross M, Formica S, Gandy L, Hestir J (1993) A comparison of local waste materials for sulfate-reducing wetlands substrate. In: Moshiri G (ed) Constructed wetlands for water quality improvement. CRC Press, Boca Raton
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>Guo M, Chorover J, Rosario R, Richard H (2001) Leachate chemistry of field-weathered spent mushroom substrate. J Environ Qual 30:1699–1709
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>Hedin R, Stafford S, Weaver T (2005) Acid mine drainage flowing from abandoned gas wells. Mine Water Environ 24:104–106
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>Hem J (1985) Study and interpretation of the chemical characteristics of natural waters, 3rd edn. USGS Water Supply Paper 2254, Washington, DC
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>Kairies C, Capo R, Watzlaf G (2005) Chemical and physical properties of iron hydroxide precipitates associated with passively treated coal mine drainage in the Bituminous Region of Pennsylvania and Maryland. Appl Geochem 20:1445–1460
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>Kepler D, McCleary E (1994) Successive alkalinity-producing systems (SAPS) for the treatment of acidic mine drainage. In: Proceedings of the international land reclamation and mine drainage conference. US Bureau of Mines SP 06A-94, Pittsburgh, p 194–204
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Langmuir D (1997) Aqueous environmental geochemistry. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Lewis A (2010) Review of metal sulphide precipitation. Hydrometallurgy 104:222–234
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Machemer S, Wildeman T (1992) Adsorption compared with sulfide precipitation as metal removal processes from acid mine drainage in a constructed wetland. J Contam Hydrol 9:115–131
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Machemer S, Reynolds J, Laudon L, Wildeman T (1993) Balance of S in a constructed wetland built to treat acid mine drainage, Idaho Springs, Colorado, USA. Appl Geochem 8:587–603
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Minitab 17 Statistical Software (2010) Computer software. Minitab, Inc., State College. <http://www.minitab.com>. Accessed 15 May 2016
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Moosa S, Nemati M, Harrison S (2002) A kinetic study of anaerobic reduction of sulfate: Part I. Effect of sulfate concentration. Chem Eng Sci 57:2773–2780
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Neculita C, Zagury G, Bussière B (2007) Passive treatment of acid mine drainage in bioreactors using sulfate-reducing bacteria: critical review and research needs. J Environ Qual 36:1–16
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Neculita C, Zagury G, Bussière B (2008) Effectiveness of sulfatereducing passive bioreactors for treating highly contaminated acid mine drainage: II. Metal removal mechanisms and potential mobility. Appl Geochem 23:3545–3560
- <span id="page-10-30"></span>O'Sullivan A, Moran B, Otte M (2004) Accumulation and fate of contaminants (Zn, Pb, Fe, and S) in substrates of wetlands constructed for treating mine wastewater. Water Air Soil Pollut 157:345–364
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Palmer M, Bernhardt E, Schlesinger W, Eshleman K, Foufoula-Georgiou E, Hendryx M, Lemly A, Likens G, Loucks O, Power M, White P, Wilcock P (2010) Mountaintop mining consequences. Science 327:148–149
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Parkhurst D, Appelo C (2013) Description of input and examples for PHREEQC, vers. 3—a computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one-dimensional transport, and inverse geochemical calculations. USGS Techniques and Methods, Book 6:A43. <http://www.pubs.usgs.gov/tm/06/a43/>. Accessed 15 Apr 2016
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Pinto P, Souhail R, Balz D, Butler B, Landy R, Smith S (2015) Bench-scale and pilot-scale treatment technologies for the removal of total dissolved solids from coal mine water: a review. Mine Water Environ. doi[:10.1007/s10230-015-0351-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10230-015-0351-7)
- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Plassard F, Winiarski T, Petit-Ramel M (2000) Retention and distribution of three heavy metals in a carbonated soil: comparison between batch and unsaturated column studies. J Contam Hydrol 42:99–111
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Postgate J (1984) The sulfate-reducing bacteria, 2nd edn. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Shpiner R, Vathi S, Stuckey D (2009) Treatment of oil well "produced water" by waste stabilization ponds: removal of heavy metals. Water Res 43:4258–4268
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Skousen J, Sextone A, Ziemkiewicz P (2000) Acid mine drainage control and treatment. In: Barnhisel R, Daniels W, Darmody R (eds) Reclamation of drastically disturbed lands. American Society of Agronomy monograph, p 131–168
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Sobolewski A (1996) Metal species indicate the potential of constructed wetlands for long-term treatment of metal mine drainage. Ecol Eng 6:259–271
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Sokolova T, Alekseeva S. (2008) Adsorption of sulfate ions by soils (a review). Eurasian Soil Sci 41:140–148
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Song H, Yim G, Ji S, Neculita C, Hwang T (2012) Pilot-scale passive bioreactors for the treatment of acid mine drainage: efficiency of mushroom compost vs. mixed substrates for metal removal. J Environ Manag 111:150–158
- <span id="page-10-31"></span>Stark L, Wenerick W, Williams F, Stevens S, Wuest P (1994) Restoring the capacity of spent mushroom compost to treat coal mine drainage by reducing the inflow rate: a microcosm experiment. Water Air Soil Pollut 75:405–420
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Stiles J, Donovan J, Dzombak D, Capo R, Cook L (2004) Geochemical cluster analysis of mine water quality within the Monongahela Basin. In: Barnhisel R (ed) Proceedings of the 2004 national meeting of the American Society of Mining and Reclamation and 25th West Virginia Surface Mine Drainage Task Force Symposium, Morgantown, p 1819–1830
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Stumm W, Morgan J (1996) Aquatic chemistry: chemical equilibria and rates in natural waters, 3rd edn. Wiley, New York
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Sun W, Nešić S, Woollam R (2009) The effect of temperature and ionic strength on iron carbonate ( $FeCO<sub>3</sub>$ ) solubility limit. Corros Sci 51:1273–1276
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Timpano A, Schoenholtz S, Zipper C, Soucek D (2010) Isolating effects of total dissolved solids on aquatic life in central Appalachian Coalfield streams. In: Barnhisel R (ed) Proceedings of the 2010 national meeting of the American Society of Mining and Reclamation, Pittsburgh, PA, p 1284–1302
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Tsukamoto T, Killion H, Miller G (2004) Column experiments for microbiological treatment of acid mine drainage: lowtemperature, low-pH, and matrix investigations. Water Res 38:1405–1418
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>URS (2003) Passive and semi-active treatment of acid rock drainage from metal mines—state of the practice. Prepared for US Army Corps of Engineers, Portland
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>US EPA (2011) A field-based aquatic life benchmark for conductivity in central Appalachian Streams. EPA/600/R-10/023F. Office of Research and Development, National Center for Environmental Assessment, Washington, DC
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>Watzlaf G, Schroeder K, Kleinmann R, Kairies C, Nairn R (2004) The passive treatment of coal mine drainage. DOE/NETL 2004/1202, Pittsburgh
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Webb J, McGinness S, Lappin-Scott H (1998) Metal removal by sulphate-reducing bacteria from natural and constructed wetlands. J Appl Microbiol 84:240–248
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Webster J, Swedlund P, Webster K (1998) Trace metal adsorption onto an acid mine drainage iron(III) oxy hydroxy sulfate. Environ Sci Technol 32:1361–1368
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Willow M, Cohen R (2003) pH, dissolved oxygen, and adsorption effects on metal removal in anaerobic bioreactors. J Environ Qual 32:1212–1221
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Younger P, Banwart S, Hedin R (2002) Mine water: hydrology, pollution, remediation. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht
- <span id="page-10-32"></span>Zagury G, Kulnieks V, Neculita C (2006) Characterization and reactivity assessment of organic substrates for sulphate-reducing bacteria in acid mine drainage treatment. Chemosphere 64:944–954