



# **Stable Liftings of Polynomial Traces on Tetrahedra**

**Charles Parker1 · Endre Süli<sup>1</sup>**

Received: 12 March 2024 / Revised: 7 July 2024 / Accepted: 8 July 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

# **Abstract**

On the reference tetrahedron *K*, we construct, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a right inverse for the trace operator  $u \mapsto (u, \partial_{\bf n} u, \dots, \partial_{\bf n}^k u)|_{\partial K}$ . The operator is stable as a mapping from the trace space of  $W^{s,p}(K)$  to  $W^{s,p}(K)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$  and  $s \in (k+1)$  $1/p, \infty$ ). Moreover, if the data is the trace of a polynomial of degree  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then the resulting lifting is a polynomial of degree *N*. One consequence of the analysis is a novel characterization for the range of the trace operator.

**Keywords** Trace lifting · Polynomial extension · Polynomial lifting

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 46E35 · 65N30

# **1 Introduction**

The numerical analysis of high-order finite element and spectral element methods heavily rely on the existence of stable polynomial liftings—bounded operators mapping suitable piecewise polynomials on the boundary of the element to polynomials defined over the entire element. A number of operators have been constructed on the reference triangle and square, beginning with the pioneering work of Babuška et al. [\[14](#page-62-0), [15\]](#page-62-1). Their lifting maps  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial E)$  boundedly into  $H^1(E)$ , where *E* is either a suitable reference triangle or square, with the additional property that if the datum is continuous and its restriction to each edge is a polynomial of degree  $N \geq 0$ , then the lifting is

Communicated by Rob Stevenson.

 $\boxtimes$  Charles Parker charles.parker@maths.ox.ac.uk Endre Süli suli@maths.ox.ac.uk



Charles Parker acknowledges that this material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under Award No. DMS-2201487.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Woodstock Road, Oxford OX2 6GG, UK

a polynomial of degree *N*. Other constructions for continuous piecewise polynomials on  $\partial E$  are stable from a discrete trace space to  $L^2(E)$  [\[4](#page-61-0)], from  $L^2(\partial E)$  to  $H^{\frac{1}{2}}(E)$ [\[3](#page-61-1)], from  $W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial E)$  to  $W^{1,p}(E)$  for  $1 < p < ∞$  [\[45](#page-63-0)], and from  $W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial E)$  to  $W^{s,p}(E)$  for  $s \ge 1$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  [\[48](#page-64-0)]. Liftings for other types of traces are also available; e.g. lifting the normal trace of  $H$ (div;  $E$ ) [\[3](#page-61-1)], lifting the trace and normal derivative simultaneously into  $H^2(E)$  [\[2](#page-61-2)], and lifting an arbitrary number of normal derivatives simultaneously into  $W^{s,p}(E)$  [\[48\]](#page-64-0).

Many of the above results have been extended to three space dimensions. Muñoz-Sola [\[46\]](#page-63-1) generalized the construction of Babuška et al. [\[14](#page-62-0), [15\]](#page-62-1) to the tetrahedron, while Belgacem [\[16\]](#page-62-2) gave a different construction for the cube using orthogonal polynomials. Commuting lifting operators for the spaces appearing in the de Rham complex on tetrahedra [\[30](#page-63-2)[–32](#page-63-3)] and hexahedra [\[27](#page-63-4)] have also been constructed. These operators, among others, have been used extensively in a priori error analysis [\[7,](#page-62-3) [15,](#page-62-1) [36,](#page-63-5) [39,](#page-63-6) [45,](#page-63-0) [46\]](#page-63-1), a posteriori error analysis [\[22,](#page-62-4) [25,](#page-62-5) [26,](#page-63-7) [37](#page-63-8)], the analysis of preconditioners [\[4](#page-61-0), [5,](#page-61-3) [8,](#page-62-6) [9](#page-62-7), [11](#page-62-8), [14,](#page-62-0) [49\]](#page-64-1), the analysis of sprectral element methods, particularly in weighted Sobolev spaces [\[18](#page-62-9)[–21\]](#page-62-10), and in the stability analysis of mixed finite element methods [\[6,](#page-62-11) [13,](#page-62-12) [28](#page-63-9), [29](#page-63-10), [33,](#page-63-11) [43\]](#page-63-12). Nevertheless, two types of operators are notably missing from the currently available results in three dimensions: (i) lifting operators stable in  $L^p$ -based Sobolev spaces, crucial in the analysis of high-order finite element methods for nonlinear problems; and (ii) lifting operators for the simultaneous lifting of the trace and normal derivative (and higher-order normal derivatives) which appear in the analysis of fourth-order (and higher-order) problems and in the analysis of mixed finite element methods for problems in electromagnetism and incompressible flow.

We address both of the above problems; namely, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we construct a right inverse for the trace operator  $u \mapsto (u, \partial_{\bf n} u, \dots, \partial_{\bf n}^k u)|_{\partial K}$  on the reference tetrahedron *K* that is stable from the trace of  $W^{s,p}(K)$  to  $W^{s,p}(K)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$ and  $s \in (k + 1/p, \infty)$ . Additionally, if the data is the trace of a polynomial of degree  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then the resulting lifting is a polynomial of degree N. A precise statement appears at the end of Sect. [2,](#page-1-0) which also contains a characterization for the trace space that appears to be novel and some potential applications of the results. These results generalize our construction on the reference triangle [\[48](#page-64-0)] to the reference tetrahedron and to Sobolev spaces with minimal regularity.

The remainder of the manuscript is organized as follows. In Sect. [3,](#page-11-0) we detail an explicit construction of the lifting operator in a sequence of four steps, each consisting of an intermediate single-face lifting operator. The remainder of the manuscript is devoted to the analysis of the intermediate single-face operators: Sects. [4](#page-26-0) and [5](#page-33-0) characterize the continuity of a related operator defined on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , while Sect. [6](#page-42-0) concludes with the proofs of the continuity properties of the intermediate operators.

# <span id="page-1-0"></span>**2 The Traces of** *Ws,q(K)* **Functions and Statement of Main Result**

We begin by reviewing the regularity properties of the traces of a function *u* defined on a tetrahedron. Here, we will work in the setting of Sobolev spaces defined on an open Lipschitz domain  $\mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ . Let  $s = m + \sigma$  be a nonnegative real number with

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textcircled{\tiny{12.1}}\n\textcircled{\tiny{23.1}}\n\textcircled{\tiny{23.1}}\n\end{array}$ 

 $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ . We denote by  $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{O})$ ,  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , the standard fractional Sobolev(-Slobodeckij) space [\[1](#page-61-4)] equipped with norm defined by

$$
||v||_{s,p,O}^p := \sum_{n=1}^m |v|_{n,p,O}^p + \begin{cases} \sum_{|\alpha|=m} |D^{\alpha}v|_{\sigma,p,O}^p & \text{if } \sigma > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

where the integer-valued seminorms and fractional seminorms are given by

$$
|v|_{n,p,\mathcal{O}}^p := \sum_{|\alpha|=n} \int_{\mathcal{O}} |D^{\alpha}v(x)|^p dx \text{ and } |v|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{O}}^p := \iint_{\mathcal{O}\times\mathcal{O}} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^p}{|x-y|^{\sigma p+d}} dx dy,
$$

with the usual modification for  $p = \infty$ . When  $s = 0$ , the Sobolev space  $W^{0,p}(\mathcal{O})$ coincides with the standard Lebesque space  $L^p(\mathcal{O})$ , and we denote the norm by  $\|\cdot\|_{p(\mathcal{O})}$ . We also require fractional Sobolev spaces defined on domain boundaries. Given a  $C^{k,1}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(d-1)$ -dimensional manifold  $\Gamma \subseteq \partial \mathcal{O}$ , the surface gradient  $D_{\Gamma}$  is well-defined a.e. on  $\Gamma$ , and we define  $W^{s,p}(\Gamma)$ ,  $0 \le s \le k+1$ , analogously (see e.g. [\[47](#page-64-2), §2.5.2]) with the norm

$$
||v||_{s,p,\Gamma}^p := \sum_{|\beta| \leq m} \int_{\Gamma} |D_{\Gamma}^{\beta} v(x)|^p dx + \sum_{|\beta|=m} \iint_{\Gamma \times \Gamma} \frac{|D_{\Gamma}^{\beta} v(x) - D_{\Gamma}^{\beta} v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{\sigma p + d - 1}} dx dy,
$$

where the sums are over multi-indices  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^{d-1}$ . The seminorms  $|\cdot|_{s,p,\Gamma}$  are defined similarly.

### **2.1 Elementary Trace Results**

When the domain is the reference tetrahedron  $K := \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 0 \leq z \leq 10\}$ *x*, *y*, *z*, *x* + *y* + *z* < 1} depicted in Fig. [1a](#page-3-0), the space  $W^{r,p}(\partial K)$ , 0 ≤ *r* < 1, may be equipped with an equivalent norm that is more amenable to the analysis of traces. Let  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\Gamma_j$ ,  $1 \le i < j \le 4$ , be two faces of *K* and let  $\gamma_{ij} = \gamma_{ji}$  denote the shared edge with vertices *a* and *b*. Then, the vertices of  $\Gamma_i$  are denoted by *a*, *b*, and *c<sub>i</sub>*, while the vertices of  $\Gamma$ *j* are denoted by *a*, *b*, and *c<sub><i>j*</sub>. Since  $\Gamma$ *i* and  $\Gamma$ *j* are both triangles, there exist unique affine mappings  $\mathbf{F}_{ij}$  :  $T \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{ji}$  :  $T \rightarrow \Gamma_j$  from the reference triangle  $T := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 0 < x, y, x + y < 1\}$ , labeled as in Fig. [1b](#page-3-0), satisfying

$$
F_{ij}(0, 0) = a,
$$
  $F_{ij}(1, 0) = b,$  and  $F_{ij}(0, 1) = c_i,$  (2.1a)  
\n $F_{ji}(0, 0) = a,$   $F_{ji}(1, 0) = b,$  and  $F_{ji}(0, 1) = c_j,$  (2.1b)

and we define the following norm:

$$
\|f\|_{r,p,\partial K}^p := \sum_{i=1}^4 \|f_i\|_{r,p,\Gamma_i}^p + \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 4} T_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j) & \text{if } rp = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Reference **a** tetrahedron and **b** triangle, where **e***i* are the standard unit vectors. Note that the label for  $\Gamma_4 = \{(x, y, z) \in \overline{K} : x + y + z = 1\}$  is omitted in (a)

where  $f_i$  denotes the restriction of  $f$  to  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(f, g)$  is defined by the rule

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(f,g) := \int_T |f \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) - g \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ji}(\boldsymbol{x})|^p \frac{d\boldsymbol{x}}{x_2}.
$$
 (2.2)

Thanks to Corollary [B.2,](#page-54-0)  $\|\|\cdot\|_{r, p, \partial K}$  is an equivalent norm on  $W^{r, p}(\partial K)$ ; i.e.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
||f||_{r,p,\partial K} \approx_{r,p} ||f||_{r,p,\partial K} \quad \forall f \in W^{r,p}(\partial K),
$$
 (2.3)

and we shall use the two norms interchangeably with the common notation  $\|\cdot\|_{r,p,\partial K}$ . Here, and in what follows, we use the standard notation  $a \leq_c b$  to indicate  $a \leq Cb$ where *C* is a constant depending only on *c*, and  $a \approx_c b$  if  $a \le_c b$  and  $b \le_c a$ .

Now let  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $s = m + \sigma > 1/p$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$  (so that the trace operator is well-defined), be a function defined on the reference tetrahedron. The presence of edges and corners on the boundary of *K* limits the regularity of the trace of *u*. Nevertheless, we can iteratively apply the standard  $W^{s,p}(K)$  trace theorem (e.g. [\[41,](#page-63-13) Theorem 3.1] or [\[42,](#page-63-14) p. 208 Theorem 1]):  $W^{s,p}(K)$ embeds continuously into  $W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial K)$  for  $1/p < s < 1 + 1/p$ . In particular, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the *k*th-order derivative tensor given by

$$
(D^k u)_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = \partial_{x_{i_1}} \partial_{x_{i_2}} \dots \partial_{x_{i_k}} u
$$

2 Springer

satisfies  $D^k u \in W^{s-k,p}(K) \subset W^{1+\sigma,p}(K), 0 \le k \le m-1$ , and  $D^m u \in W^{\sigma,p}(K)$ ; thus, the traces satisfy

$$
\begin{cases} D^k u|_{\partial K} \in W^{1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial K) & \text{for } 0 \le k < s - \frac{1}{p}, \\ D^{m-1} u|_{\partial K} \in W^{1+\sigma-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial K) & \text{if } m \ge 1 \text{ and } \sigma p < 1, \\ D^m u|_{\partial K} \in W^{\sigma-\frac{1}{p},p}(\partial K) & \text{if } \sigma p > 1. \end{cases}
$$

Additionally, the trace of  $W^{m+\frac{1}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $m \ge 1$ , on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$  belongs to  $W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  (see e.g. [\[1,](#page-61-4) Chapter 7] or [\[42](#page-63-14), p. 20 Theorem 4]), and so standard arguments show that the trace of  $W^{m+\frac{1}{2},2}(K)$  on the face  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , belongs to  $W^{m,2}(\Gamma_i)$ . Thanks to the norm-equivalence  $(2.3)$ , we arrive at the following conditions:

$$
\begin{cases}\n\sum_{i=1}^{4} \|D^{k}u\|_{p,\Gamma_{i}}^{p} < \infty & \text{for } 0 \le k < s - \frac{1}{p}, \\
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \|D^{m-1}u\|_{1+\sigma-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{i}}^{p} < \infty & \text{if } m \ge 1 \text{ and either } \sigma p < 1 \text{ or } (\sigma, p) = \left(\frac{1}{2}, 2\right), \\
\sum_{i=1}^{4} \|D^{m}u\|_{\sigma-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{i}}^{p} < \infty & \text{if } \sigma p > 1, \\
\sum_{1 \le i < j \le 4} T_{ij}^{p}(D^{m}u, D^{m}u) < \infty & \text{if } \sigma p = 2.\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2.4)

*Remark 2.1* The case  $\sigma p = 1$  for  $p \neq 2$ , which is not included in conditions [\(2.4\)](#page-4-0), is beyond the scope of this paper since the trace of a  $W^{m+\frac{1}{p},p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , function on the plane  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \{0\}$  belongs to a Besov space, which cannot be identified with an integer-order Sobolev space [\[42,](#page-63-14) p. 20 Theorem 4].

When  $s > 2/p$ , we obtain additional conditions since the trace of a  $W^{s,p}(K)$ function on the edge  $\gamma_{ij}$ ,  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$  is well-defined. This can be seen from standard arguments owing to the fact that the trace of  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^3)$  on the line  $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\}^2$ is well-defined. In particular, the traces of the *k*-th derivative tensor,  $0 \le k < s - 2/p$ , on  $\Gamma_i$  and  $\Gamma_j$ ,  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$ , must agree on the shared edge  $\gamma_{ij}$ :

$$
D^{k}u|_{\Gamma_{i}}(x) = D^{k}u|_{\Gamma_{j}}(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \gamma_{ij} \text{ and all } 0 \le k < s - \frac{2}{p}, \tag{2.5}
$$

where  $(2.5)$  is to be interpreted in the trace sense.

# **2.2 Trace Operators**

We now turn to the consequences of  $(2.4)$  and  $(2.5)$  for various trace operators.

<span id="page-4-1"></span><span id="page-4-0"></span>

## **2.2.1 Zeroth-Order Operator**

First consider the 0th-order "boundary-derivative" operator  $\mathfrak{D}_i^0$  on  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , defined formally by the rule

<span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^0(f) := f \qquad \text{on } \Gamma_i. \tag{2.6}
$$

Then, [\(2.4\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1) show that for  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$ ,  $(s, q) \in A_0$ , where

$$
\mathcal{A}_k := \left\{ (s, p) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 1 < p < \infty, \ (s - k)p > 1, \text{ and } s - \frac{1}{p} \notin \mathbb{Z} \text{ if } p \neq 2 \right\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}_0,
$$
\n(2.7)

the trace  $f = u|_{\partial K}$  satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $W^{s-\frac{1}{p}, p}$  regularity on each face:

<span id="page-5-1"></span><span id="page-5-0"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{0}(f) \in W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_{i}), \qquad 1 \le i \le 4. \tag{2.8}
$$

2. Compatible traces along edges: For  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$ , there holds

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{0}(f)|_{\gamma_{ij}} - \mathfrak{D}_{j}^{0}(f)|_{\gamma_{ij}} = 0 \qquad \text{if } sp > 2,
$$
 (2.9a)

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(\mathfrak{D}_i^0(f), \mathfrak{D}_j^0(f)) < \infty \qquad \text{if } sp = 2. \tag{2.9b}
$$

If  $(s − n)p = 2$  for some  $n ∈ ℕ$ , then we obtain an additional condition since the *n*-th derivative tensor satisfies  $\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(D^n u, D^n u) < \infty$  for  $1 \le i < j \le 4$ . To describe this condition we define the following notation for a *d*-dimensional tensor *S* and vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$
v^{\otimes 0} \cdot S := S
$$
 and  $v^{\otimes j} \cdot S := S_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_d} v_{i_1} v_{i_2} \cdots v_{i_j}, \quad 1 \le j \le d.$ 

In particular, for  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$ , denoting by  $\mathbf{t}_{ij}$  a unit vector tangent to  $\gamma_{ij}$ , we can differentiate  $\mathfrak{D}_i^0(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_j^0(u)$  in the direction  $\mathbf{t}_{ij}$  to obtain the following identity.

<span id="page-5-2"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial^n \mathfrak{D}_i^0(u)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n} = \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\otimes n} \cdot D^n u|_{\Gamma_i} \text{ and } \frac{\partial^n \mathfrak{D}_j^0(u)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n} = \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\otimes n} \cdot D^n u|_{\Gamma_j}.
$$

Consequently, the trace  $f = u|_{\partial K}$  also satisfies the following property:

3. Compatible tangential derivatives: For  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there holds

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{p}\left(\frac{\partial^{n}\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{0}(u)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}},\frac{\partial^{n}\mathfrak{D}_{j}^{0}(u)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}}\right) < \infty \quad \text{if } (s-n)p = 2.
$$
 (2.10)  

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{r}_0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathsf{T}} \\ \mathbf{u}_0 = \mathbf{u}_1}}^{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{T}}}\mathfrak{D}_{\mathsf{T}_{\mathsf{T}}^{n}}\left(\frac{\partial^{n}\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{0}(u)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}}\right) < \infty
$$

### **2.2.2 First-Order Operator**

For  $(s, p) \in A_1$ , we turn to the regularity of the trace of the gradient of  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$ . To this end, on each face  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , let  $\{\tau_{i,1}, \tau_{i,2}\}$  be orthonormal vectors tangent to  $\Gamma_i$  and let  $\mathbf{n}_i$  denote the outward unit normal vector on  $\Gamma_i$ . We define the 1st-order "boundary-derivative" operator  $\mathfrak{D}_i^1$  on  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , by the rule

<span id="page-6-6"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^1(f, g) := \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\partial f}{\partial \tau_{i,j}} \tau_{i,j} + g \mathbf{n}_i \quad \text{on } \Gamma_i,
$$
 (2.11)

so that  $\mathfrak{D}_i^1(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u) = Du|_{\Gamma_i}$ . Again applying [\(2.4\)](#page-4-0) and [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1), we obtain analogues of [\(2.8\)](#page-5-0) and [\(2.9\)](#page-5-1) stated below in [\(2.13\)](#page-6-0) and [\(2.14\)](#page-6-1) with  $k = 0$ . However, if  $(s - 2)p > 2$ , then the second derivative tensor has matching traces on edges (i.e. [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1) holds with  $k = 2$ ). In particular, for  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$ , we define the vectors

<span id="page-6-7"></span>
$$
\mathbf{b}_{ij} := \mathbf{t}_{ij} \times \mathbf{n}_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{b}_{ji} := \mathbf{t}_{ij} \times \mathbf{n}_j,\tag{2.12}
$$

where we recall that  $\mathbf{t}_{ij}$  is a unit vector tangent to  $\gamma_{ij}$ , so that on  $\gamma_{ij}$ , there holds

$$
\mathbf{b}_{ji} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}_i^1(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}} = \mathbf{b}_{ji} \cdot \frac{\partial Du}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}} = \mathbf{b}_{ij} \cdot \frac{\partial Du}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}} = \mathbf{b}_{ij} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}_j^1(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}}
$$

in the sense of traces. As a consequence, the operator  $\mathfrak{D}_i^1$  satisfies the additional condition  $(2.15)$  below with  $n = 0$  thanks to  $(2.4)$  and  $(2.5)$ . Finally, we can differentiate in the direction tangent to each edge to obtain the analogue of  $(2.10)$  stated in  $(2.14b)$ and [\(2.15b\)](#page-7-0) below. To summarize, the traces  $f = u|_{\partial K}$  and  $g = \partial_{\bf n} u|_{\partial K}$  satisfy the following for all  $(s, p) \in A_1$ :

1.  $W^{s-1-\frac{1}{p}, p}$  regularity on each face:

<span id="page-6-5"></span><span id="page-6-4"></span><span id="page-6-3"></span><span id="page-6-1"></span><span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^1(f,g) \in W^{s-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_i), \qquad 1 \le i \le 4. \tag{2.13}
$$

2. Compatible traces along edges: For  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there holds

$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^1(f, g)|_{\gamma_{ij}} - \mathfrak{D}_j^1(f, g)|_{\gamma_{ij}} = 0 \qquad \text{if } (s - 1)p > 2,
$$
 (2.14a)

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p\left(\frac{\partial^n \mathfrak{D}_i^1(f,g)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n}, \frac{\partial^n \mathfrak{D}_j^1(f,g)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n}\right) < \infty \qquad \text{if } (s-n-1)p = 2. \tag{2.14b}
$$

3. Compatible traces of higher derivatives along edges: For  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there holds

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
\mathbf{b}_{ji} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}_i^1(f, g)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}} \bigg|_{\gamma_{ij}} - \mathbf{b}_{ij} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathfrak{D}_j^1(f, g)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}} \bigg|_{\gamma_{ij}} = 0 \n\qquad \text{if } (s - 2)p > 2,
$$
\n(2.15a)\n
$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{a}_{i}} \mathbb{S}^{\mathbb{C}}_{\mathbf{
$$

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{p}\left(\mathbf{b}_{ji}\cdot\frac{\partial^{n+1}\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{1}(f,g)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}},\mathbf{b}_{ij}\cdot\frac{\partial^{n+1}\mathfrak{D}_{j}^{1}(f,g)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}}\right) < \infty \qquad \text{if } (s-n-2)p = 2.
$$
\n(2.15b)

<span id="page-7-2"></span>*Remark 2.2* For smooth enough functions, conditions [\(2.14a\)](#page-6-4) and [\(2.15a\)](#page-6-5) may be interpreted as the application of the vertex compatibility conditions for traces on the triangle (see e.g. [\[48](#page-64-0), eqs. (2.11a) and (2.12a)]) at every point on the edge  $\gamma_i$ .

### **2.2.3** *m***th-Order Operator**

We now turn to the general case of the trace of the *m*-th derivative tensor of a function  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$ , where  $m \geq 2$  and  $(s, p) \in A_m$ . Given a collection of functions  $F =$  $(f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^m)$  defined on  $\partial K$ , we define the *m*-th order "boundary-derivative" operator  $\mathfrak{D}_i^m$  on  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le 4$ , by the rule

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{m}(F) := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{3} \\ |\alpha| = m}} \frac{\partial^{\alpha_{1} + \alpha_{2}} f^{\alpha_{3}}}{\partial \tau_{i,1}^{\alpha_{1}} \partial \tau_{i,2}^{\alpha_{2}}} \sum_{\phi \in \mathfrak{M}_{i}(\alpha)} \phi(1) \otimes \phi(2) \otimes \cdots \otimes \phi(m) \quad \text{on } \Gamma_{i}, \tag{2.16}
$$

where the set  $\mathfrak{M}_i(\alpha)$  consists of the following mappings.

$$
\mathfrak{M}_i(\alpha) := \{ \phi : \{1, 2, \ldots, |\alpha|\} \to \{ \tau_{i,1}, \tau_{i,2}, \mathbf{n}_i \} \text{ s.t. } |\phi^{-1}(\tau_{i,j})| = \alpha_j, \ j = 1, 2 \},
$$

where we recall that  $\{\tau_{i,1}, \tau_{i,2}\}$  are orthonormal vectors tangent to  $\Gamma_i$ . For notational convenience, we set

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^l(F) := \mathfrak{D}_i^l(f^0, f^1, \dots, f^l), \qquad 0 \le l < m.
$$

Then, one may readily verify that  $\mathfrak{D}_i^m(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m u) = D^m u$  on  $\Gamma_i$ . Let  $f^l = \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l u$ on  $\partial K$ . As before, we obtain  $W^{s-m-\frac{1}{p},p}$  regularity of  $\mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)$  [\(2.17\)](#page-8-0) below on each face thanks to  $(2.4)$  and the edge compatibility conditions  $(2.18)$  below with  $l = 0$ from [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1).

As was the case with the first-order operator, there are additional edge compatibility conditions. In particular, if  $(s - m - l)p > 0$  for some  $1 \le l \le m$ , then [\(2.5\)](#page-4-1) shows that the  $(m + l)$ th derivative tensor has matching traces on edges. Some components of the  $(m + l)$ th derivative tensor can be expressed in terms of  $\mathfrak{D}_l^m(F)$ . In particular, on the edge  $\gamma_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$ , there holds

$$
\mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} = \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l D^m u}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} = \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \left( \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot D^{m+l} u \right)
$$
  
\n
$$
= \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \left( \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot D^{m+l} u \right) = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l D^m u}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l} = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_j^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overset{\text{E}_1 \circ \text{E}_2 \circ \text{E}_3}{\otimes \text{B}_1} \overset{\text{E}_2 \circ \text{E}_3}{\otimes \text{B}_2}
$$

in the sense of traces, where we used symmetry of the derivative tensor  $D^{m+l}u$ . We can also differentiate in the direction tangent to each edge to obtain similar conditions. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)$  satisfies [\(2.18\)](#page-8-1) below. In summary, for  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the traces  $F = (u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m u)$  satisfy the following for all  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_m$ :

1.  $W^{s-m-\frac{1}{p},p}$  regularity on each face:

<span id="page-8-3"></span><span id="page-8-2"></span><span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{m}(F) \in W^{s-m-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_{i}), \qquad 1 \leq i \leq 4, \tag{2.17}
$$

where  $\mathfrak{D}_i^0$ ,  $\mathfrak{D}_i^1$ , and  $\mathfrak{D}_i^l$ ,  $l \ge 2$ , are defined in [\(2.6\)](#page-5-3), [\(2.11\)](#page-6-6), and [\(2.16\)](#page-7-1).

2. Compatible traces along edges: For  $1 \le i \le j \le 4$  and  $0 \le l \le m$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there holds

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
\mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} \Big|_{\gamma_{ij}} - \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_j^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l} \Big|_{\gamma_{ij}} = 0 \qquad \text{if } (s - m - l)p > 2,
$$
\n(2.18a)

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^{p}\left(\mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l}\cdot\frac{\partial^{l+n}\mathfrak{D}_{i}^{m}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{l}},\mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l}\cdot\frac{\partial^{l+n}\mathfrak{D}_{j}^{m}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{n}\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{l}}\right) < \infty \qquad \text{if } (s-m-l-n)p = 2.
$$
\n(2.18b)

*Remark 2.3* As was the case in Remark [2.2,](#page-7-2) condition [\(2.18a\)](#page-8-2) is simply the application of the vertex compatibility conditions for traces on the triangle  $[48, eq. (7.2)]$  $[48, eq. (7.2)]$  at every point on the edge  $\gamma_{ij}$ , provided that *u* is smooth enough.

## **2.3 The Trace Theorem on a Tetrahedron**

Motivated by the conditions derived in the previous section, we define trace spaces as follows. Given a set of indices  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with  $|S| \ge 1$ , let  $\Gamma_S := \bigcup_{i \in S} \Gamma_i$ . We define the trace space on part of the boundary  $\text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ as follows.

$$
\operatorname{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}) := \{ F = (f^{0}, f^{1}, \dots, f^{k}) \in L^{p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})^{k+1} : \text{For } 0 \le m \le k, \\ F \text{ satisfies (2.17) for } i \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and} \\ (2.18) \text{ for } i, j \in \mathcal{S} \text{ with } i < j, 0 \le l \le m \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}_{0} \},
$$



equipped with the norm

$$
\begin{split} \|(f^0, f^1, \dots, f^k)\|_{\Pi_{t}^{s,p}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}^p &:= \sum_{m=0}^k \sum_{i \in \mathcal{S}} \|f_i^m\|_{s-m-\frac{1}{p}, p, \Gamma_i}^p \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{i,j \in \mathcal{S} \\ i,j \in \mathcal{S} \\ j < j}} \left\{ \mathcal{I}_{ij}^p \left( \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{l+n} \mathfrak{D}_{i}^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n \partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l}, \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{l+n} \mathfrak{D}_{j}^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l} \right) \text{ if } (s - k - l - n)p = 2, \\ &\text{otherwise.} \\ 0 \leq l \leq k \\ n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \end{split}
$$

Note that the sum in the definition contains only finitely many nonzero terms, and hence is well defined. When  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , we set  $\text{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\partial K) := \text{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  and  $\| \cdot \|_{\text{Tr}_k^{s,p}, \partial K} := \| \cdot \|_{\text{Tr}_k^{s,p}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}$ . The following trace theorem is a consequence of the discussion in the previous section.

**Theorem 2.4** *Let S* ⊆ {1, 2, 3, 4}*,*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$  *be given. Then, for every*  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$ , the traces satisfy  $(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^ku)|_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}} \in \mathrm{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  and

<span id="page-9-3"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
|| (u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^k u) ||_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p}, \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}} \lesssim_{k,s,p} ||u||_{s,p,K}.
$$
 (2.19)

#### **2.4 The Trace of Polynomials**

Given  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_N(K)$  denote the set of all polynomials of total degree at most *N*, while  $P_{-M} := \{0\}$  for  $M > 0$ . If  $u \in P_N(K)$ , then  $u \in W^{s,p}(K)$  for all  $s \geq 0$ and  $p \ge 1$ . Consequently, for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ , the traces  $F =$  $(u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}} u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^k u)|_{\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}} \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  for all  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . In particular, *s* may be taken to be arbitrarily large in  $(2.18a)$ . Thus, the traces satisfy

<span id="page-9-2"></span>
$$
f_i^m \in \mathcal{P}_{N-m}(\Gamma_i), \qquad 0 \le m \le k, \ i \in \mathcal{S}, \qquad (2.20a)
$$

$$
\mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)|_{\gamma_{ij}} = \mathfrak{D}_j^m(F)|_{\gamma_{ij}}, \qquad 0 \le m \le k, \ i, j \in \mathcal{S}, \ i < j,
$$

$$
\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_i^k(F)|_{\gamma_{ij}} = \mathfrak{D}_i^l \partial^l \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)|_{\gamma_{ij}} \qquad (2.20b)
$$

$$
\mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_i^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} \Bigg|_{\gamma_{ij}} = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l} \Bigg|_{\gamma_{ij}}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, i, j \in \mathcal{S}, i < j.
$$
\n(2.20c)

<span id="page-9-4"></span>Note that we have not included the integral condition [\(2.18b\)](#page-8-3) in the list [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) above. The following lemma shows that if a tuple of functions defined on ∂*K* satisfy [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0), then [\(2.18b\)](#page-8-3) is automatically satisfied.

**Lemma 2.5** *Let*  $S \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  *and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *. If*  $F : \Gamma_S \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$  *satisfies* [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0)*, then*  $F \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  *for all*  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$ *.* 

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{EoC}_{\text{I}} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\text{D} & \text{Springer} & \text{D} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\text{D} & \text{D} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\text{D} & \text{D} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\text{D} & \text{D} & \text{F} & \text{D} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} & \text{F} \\
\text{EoC}_{\text{I}} & \text{D} & \text{F$ 

*Proof* Let  $(s, p) \in A_k$ ,  $0 \le l \le m \le k$ , be given. Thanks to  $(2.20b)$  and  $(2.20c)$ , the difference

$$
H_{ij} := \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ij} - \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^l \mathfrak{D}_j^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji}, \qquad \text{on } T, i, j \in S,
$$

vanishes on the edge  $\gamma_2$  of the reference triangle *T* and  $H_{ij}$  has entries  $\mathcal{P}_{N-m-l}(T)$ . Thus,  $H_{ij} = x_2 G_{ij}$ , where  $G_{ij}$  has entries in  $\mathcal{P}_{N-m-l-1}(T)$ . Consequently, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there holds

$$
\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p\left(\mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{l+n}\mathfrak{D}_i^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n \partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^l} , \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{l+n}\mathfrak{D}_j^m(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^n \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^l}\right) \approx_p \int_T |\partial_{x_1}^n H_{ij}(\mathbf{x})|^p \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{x_2}
$$

$$
= \int_T |\partial_{x_1}^n G_{ij}(\mathbf{x})|^p x_2^{p-1} d\mathbf{x},
$$

which is finite since  $G_{ij}$  has polynomial entries. The inclusion  $F \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  now follows from  $(2.20)$ .

### **2.5 Statement of the Main Result**

The aim of the current work is to construct a right inverse  $\mathcal{L}_k$  of the operator *u*  $\mapsto$  (*u*,  $\partial_{\mathbf{n}} u$ ,..., $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^k u$ )|∂*K* for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  that is bounded from  $Tr_k^{s,p}(\partial K)$  into  $W^{s,p}(K)$  for all  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and* preserves polynomials in the following sense: if  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k)$  is the trace of some degree *N* polynomial, then  $\mathcal{L}_k(F)$  is a polynomial of degree *N*. In particular, the main result is as follows.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Theorem 2.6** *Let*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *. There exists a linear operator* 

$$
\mathcal{L}_k: \bigcup_{(s,p)\in\mathcal{A}_k} \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\partial K) \to L^1(K)
$$

*satisfying the following properties: for all*  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in A_k$  $\operatorname{Tr}^{s, p}_k(\partial K)$ *,*  $\mathcal{L}_k(F) \in W^{s, p}(K)$ *,* 

 $\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_k(F)|_{\partial K} = f^l, \quad 0 \le l \le k, \text{ and } \|\mathcal{L}_k(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p},\partial K}.$ 

*Moreover, if F is a piecewise polynomial of degree*  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *satisfying* [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) *with*  $S = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ *, then*  $\mathcal{L}_k(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ *.* 

The construction of the lifting operator  $\mathcal{L}_k$  in Theorem [2.6](#page-10-0) is the focus of the next section, and the proof of Theorem [2.6](#page-10-0) appears in Sect. [3.5.](#page-26-1) An immediate consequence is the following characterization of the range of the trace operator.

**Corollary 2.7** *For each*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the operator  $u \mapsto (u, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}u, \dots, \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^ku)|_{\partial K}$  is surjective *from*  $W^{s,p}(K)$  *onto*  $\text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\partial K)$  *for all*  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$ *.* 



## **2.6 Potential Applications**

Theorem [2.6](#page-10-0) has many potential applications, particularly in the analysis of highorder finite element methods. For brevity, we discuss three applications. Firstly, the extension operator may be used analogously to the constructions in [\[7](#page-62-3), [15](#page-62-1), [45](#page-63-0)] to establish optimal (with respect to mesh size and polynomial degree) a priori error estimates for *W<sup>s, p</sup>*-conforming finite element spaces for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $s > 1/p$ . Secondly, the lifting operator will be crucial to obtain bounds explicit in polynomial degree for preconditioners for high-order finite element discretizations of fourth-order (and higher-order) elliptic problems similar to  $H<sup>1</sup>$ -stable extensions for second-order problems in 2D and  $3D$  [\[14,](#page-62-0) [49\]](#page-64-1) and  $H^2$ -stable extensions for fourth-order problems in 2D [\[8](#page-62-6)]. Finally, in a similar vein to [\[6](#page-62-11), [10](#page-62-13), [13](#page-62-12), [29](#page-63-10), [43](#page-63-12)], the extension operator may be helpful in constructing a polynomial-preserving right inverse of the curl operator that preserves some trace properties (e.g. vanishing tangential trace, vanishing trace, etc.) and in proving discrete Friedrichs inequalities. These results have applications to the stability, convergence theory, and preconditioning of high-order discretizations of mixed and parameter-dependent problems (see also e.g. [\[11,](#page-62-8) [28,](#page-63-9) [33\]](#page-63-11)).

# <span id="page-11-0"></span>**3 Construction of the Lifting Operator**

The construction of the lifting operator  $\mathcal{L}_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , proceeds face-by-face using similar techniques to [\[46,](#page-63-1) [48](#page-64-0)]. The main idea is to perform a sequence of liftings and corrections using a fundamental convolution operator (see e.g. [\[12](#page-62-14), eq. (4.2)], [\[14](#page-62-0)], [\[18](#page-62-9)], [\[19](#page-62-15), p. 56, eq. (2.1)], [\[47](#page-64-2), §2.5.5]) and subsequent modifications to it. Given a nonnegative integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a smooth compactly supported function  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ , and a function  $f: T \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the operator  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$  formally by the rule

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x}, z) := \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T b(\mathbf{y}) f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \qquad \forall (\mathbf{x}, z) \in K,\tag{3.1}
$$

and we use the notation  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}[b]$  when we want to make the dependence on *b* explicit. Note that for  $(x, z) \in K$  and  $y \in T$ , there holds  $x + zy \in T$ , and so [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1) is well-defined for e.g.  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{T})$ . For functions  $f : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  we define

<span id="page-11-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) := \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1), \quad \text{where } \mathfrak{I}_1(\mathbf{x}) := (\mathbf{x}, 0) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in T. \tag{3.2}
$$

## **3.1 Lifting from One Face**

The first result concerns the interpolation and continuity properties of  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$ . **Lemma 3.1** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *,*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $(s, p) \in A_k$ *. Then, for all*  $f \in$  $W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_1)$ *, there holds* 

<span id="page-11-4"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{E}_{k}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \delta_{mk} \left( \int_{T} b(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right) f, \quad 0 \leq m \leq k,
$$
 (3.3)

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textcircled{\tiny{130}} & \textcircled{\tiny{130}} \\
\textcircled{\tiny{230}} & \textcircled{\tiny{130}} \\
\textcircled{\tiny{230}} & \textcircled{\tiny{130}} \\
\textcircled{\tiny{230}} & \textcircled{\tiny{130}} \\
\textcircled{\tiny{130}} & \textcircled{\tiny{1$ 

*and*

<span id="page-12-3"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span><span id="page-12-0"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1}.\tag{3.4}
$$

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ .

The proof appears in Sect. [6.1.](#page-43-0) We now construct a lifting operator from  $\Gamma_1$ .

**Lemma 3.2** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  *with*  $\int_T b(x) dx = 1$  *and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *. We formally define the following operators for*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in L^p(\Gamma_1)^{k+1}$ :

$$
\mathcal{L}_0^{[1]}(F) := \mathcal{E}_0^{[1]}(f^0),\tag{3.5a}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_m^{[1]}(F) := \mathcal{E}_m^{[1]}(f^m - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m \mathcal{L}_{m-1}^{[1]}(F)|_{\Gamma_1}), \qquad 1 \le m \le k. \tag{3.5b}
$$

*Then, for all*  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and*  $F \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_1)$ *,*  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(F)$  *is well-defined and there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{1}} = f^{m}, \quad 0 \leq m \leq k, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_{k}^{s,p},\Gamma_{1}}.
$$
\n(3.6)

*Moreover, if*  $f^m \in \mathcal{P}_{N-m}(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq k$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

*Proof* We proceed by induction on *k*. The case  $k = 0$  follows immediately from Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-2) Now assume that the lemma is true for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and let  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_{k+1}$ and  $F \in \text{Tr}_{k+1}^{s,p}(\Gamma_1)$  be as in the statement of the lemma. Then, we may apply the lemma to  $\tilde{F} = (f^0, f^1, \dots, f^k) \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_1)$  to conclude that for  $0 \le m \le k$  and

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(\tilde{F})|_{\Gamma_{1}} = f^{m}, \ \ 0 \leq m \leq k, \ \ \text{and} \ \ \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(\tilde{F})\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\tilde{F}\|_{\mathbf{T}_{k}^{s,p},\Gamma_{1}}.
$$

Thanks to the trace theorem (Theorem [2.4\)](#page-9-3), there holds  $f^{k+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{k+1} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F)|_{\Gamma_1} \in$  $W^{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_1)$  with

$$
\|f^{k+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{k+1} \mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(\tilde{F})\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_{k+1}^{s,p},\Gamma_1},
$$

and so [\(3.6\)](#page-12-0) follows from Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-2) Additionally, if *F* satisfies  $f^m \in \mathcal{P}_{N-m}(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $0 \leq m \leq k+1$ , for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\tilde{F}$  satisfies the same condition, where the upper bound of *m* is restricted to *k*. Consequently,  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(\tilde{F}) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  and so *f*<sup>*k*+1</sup> −  $\partial_{\bf n}^{k+1} L_k^{[1]}(\tilde{F})|_{\Gamma_1} \in \mathcal{P}_{N-k-1}(\Gamma_1)$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{L}_{k+1}^{[1]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  thanks to Lemma  $3.1.$ 

## <span id="page-12-4"></span>**3.2 Lifting from Two Faces**

We now seek a lifting operator from  $\Gamma_2$  that has zero trace on  $\Gamma_1$ . The operator will be a generalization of the form introduced in [\[46](#page-63-1)]. We first define an operator that lifts



traces from  $\Gamma_1$  and has zero trace on  $\Gamma_2$ , and then define the lifting operator from  $\Gamma_2$ in terms of this operator. To this end, denote by  $\omega_i$  the barycentric coordinates of *T* defined as follows.

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
\omega_i(x) := x_i, \quad 1 \le i \le 2, \text{ and } \omega_3(x) := 1 - x_1 - x_2 \quad \forall x \in T.
$$
 (3.7)

Given nonnegative integers  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a smooth compactly supported function  $b \in$  $C_c^{\infty}(T)$ , and a function  $f: T \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  formally by the rule

<span id="page-13-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)(x,z) := x_2^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(\omega_2^{-r} f)(x,z) \n= x_2^r \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T \frac{b(y) f(x + zy)}{(x_2 + zy_2)^r} dy \quad \forall (x, z) \in K.
$$
\n(3.8)

Note that when  $r = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{M}_{k,0}^{[1]} = \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$ . For functions  $f : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ , we again abuse notation and set  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) := \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1).$ 

The presence of the weight  $\omega_2^{-r}$  in the operator  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  means that derivatives of  $f: \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$  up to order *r* have to vanish on edge  $\gamma_{12}$  in an appropriate sense. To this end, let  $s = m + \sigma$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Given a face  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , and  $\mathfrak E$  a subset of the edges of  $\Gamma_j$ , we define the following subspaces of  $W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i)$  with vanishing traces on the edges in  $\mathfrak{E}$ :

<span id="page-13-4"></span>
$$
W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) := \left\{ f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) : D_{\Gamma}^{\beta} f|_{\gamma} = 0 \text{ for all } 0 \le |\beta| < s - \frac{1}{p} \text{ and } \gamma \in \mathfrak{E} \right\}
$$
\n
$$
\text{and } \underset{\mathfrak{E}}{\text{all}} \|f\|_{s,p,T} < \infty \right\},\tag{3.9}
$$

where the norm on  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\Gamma_j)$  is given by

$$
\mathcal{E}||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_j}^p := ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_j}^p + \begin{cases} ||\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} \gamma)^{-\sigma} D_{\Gamma}^m f||_{p,\Gamma_j}^p & \text{if } \sigma p = 1 \text{ and } \mathfrak{E} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$

and we recall that  $D_{\Gamma}$  is the surface gradient operator. When  $\mathfrak{E}$  consists of only one edge  $\gamma$ , we set  $W^{s,p}_\gamma(\Gamma_j) := W^{s,p}_\mathfrak{E}(\Gamma_j)$  and  $\gamma \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j} := \mathfrak{g} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j}$ . One can readily verify that the spaces  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(\Gamma_j)$  are Banach spaces and that the following relations hold:

<span id="page-13-1"></span><span id="page-13-0"></span>
$$
W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} W_{\gamma}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j} \approx_{s,p} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} \gamma \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j}. \tag{3.10}
$$

Given a subset of edges  $\mathfrak{E}$  of the reference triangle *T*, we define the spaces  $W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(T)$ analogously.

The first result states the continuity properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ .

$$
\begin{array}{c}\n\text{E-}\n\\ \text{S}\n\\ \text
$$

**Lemma 3.3** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *, k,*  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $(s, p) \in A_k$ *. Then, for all f* ∈  $W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_1) \cap W^{min\{s-k-\frac{1}{p},r\},p}_{\gamma_12}(\Gamma_1)$ *, there holds* 

$$
2\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_1} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.11a)

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_2} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min\left\{r, s - \frac{1}{p}\right\}, (3.11b)
$$

*and*

<span id="page-14-4"></span><span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \begin{cases} \gamma_{12} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1} & \text{if } s \le k+r+\frac{1}{p},\\ \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1} & \text{if } s > k+r+\frac{1}{p}. \end{cases} \tag{3.12}
$$

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{12}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ .

The proof of Lemma [3.3](#page-13-0) appears in Sect. [6.3.](#page-46-0) By mapping the other faces of  $K$  to  $\Gamma_1$ and mapping *K* onto itself in an appropriate fashion, we may define operators corresponding to these faces. In particular, we define the following operator corresponding to  $\Gamma_2$ :

$$
\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}(f)(x,z) := \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_2) \circ \mathfrak{R}_{12}(x,z) \qquad \forall (x,z) \in K,
$$

where  $\mathfrak{I}_2(\mathbf{x}) := (x_1, 0, x_2)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{12}(\mathbf{x}, z) := (x_1, z, x_2)$  for all  $(\mathbf{x}, z) \in K$ .

<span id="page-14-0"></span>Thanks to the chain rule and the smoothness of the mappings  $\mathfrak{I}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{12}$ , the continuity and interpolation properties of  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}$  follow immediately from Lemma [3.3.](#page-13-0)

**Corollary 3.4** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *,*  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $(s, p) \in A_k$ *. Then, for all*  $f \in$ *W*<sup>*s*−*k*− $\frac{1}{p}$ ,*p*</sup>( $\Gamma$ <sub>2</sub>) ∩ *W*<sub>*Y*<sub>12</sub></sub> ( $\Gamma$ <sub>2</sub>)*, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}(f)|_{\Gamma_2} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.13a)

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}(f)|_{\Gamma_1} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min\left\{r, s - \frac{1}{p}\right\}, \quad (3.13b)
$$

*and*

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \begin{cases} \gamma_{12} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_2} & \text{if } s \le k+r+\frac{1}{p},\\ \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_2} & \text{if } s > k+r+\frac{1}{p}. \end{cases} \tag{3.14}
$$

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_2)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{12}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ .

<span id="page-14-1"></span>

## **3.2.1 Regularity of Partially Vanishing Traces**

The operator  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}$  lifts traces from  $\Gamma_2$  to *K* and has zero trace on  $\Gamma_1$ , which are the properties we desired to correct the traces of  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}$  on  $\Gamma_2$ . However,  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[2]}$  acts on functions belonging to  $W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_2) \cap W^{min\{s-k-\frac{1}{p},r\},p}_{y_{12}}(\Gamma_2)$  rather than just functions in  $W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_2)$ . The main result of this section characterizes one scenario in which traces belong to the space  $W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_2) \cap W^{\min\{s-k-\frac{1}{p},r\},p}_{\gamma_{12}}(\Gamma_2)$ , and fortunately, we will encounter exactly this scenario in our construction.

<span id="page-15-3"></span>We have the following result which characterizes the regularity of the restriction of a trace  $F \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  to  $\Gamma_j$  when *F* vanishes on  $\Gamma_i$  and the first *l* components of *F* vanish on  $\Gamma_i$ .

**Lemma 3.5** *Let*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , and  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  with  $i \neq j$  be *given. Suppose that*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  *satisfies* 

(i)  $F = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$  on  $\Gamma_i$ ; (ii)  $f_j^m = 0$  *on*  $\Gamma_j$  *for*  $0 \le m \le l - 1$ *.* 

*Then, there holds*  $f_j^l \in W^{s-l-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_j) \cap W_{\gamma_{lj}}^{\min\{s-l-\frac{1}{p},k+1\},p}(\Gamma_j)$  and

$$
\|f_j^l\|_{s-l-\frac{1}{p}, p, \Gamma_j} \lesssim_{k,s,p} \|F\|_{\text{Tr}_k^{s, p}, \Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j} \qquad \text{if } s-l \le k+1+\frac{1}{p}, \quad (3.15a)
$$

$$
||f_j^l||_{s-l-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_j} \lesssim_{k,s,p} ||F||_{\text{Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_l \cup \Gamma_j} \qquad \text{if } s-l > k+1+\frac{1}{p}.\tag{3.15b}
$$

*Proof* Without loss of generality, assume that *i* < *j*. We first show that for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ there holds

<span id="page-15-4"></span><span id="page-15-2"></span><span id="page-15-1"></span><span id="page-15-0"></span>
$$
\left. \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} \right|_{\gamma_{ij}} \equiv 0 \qquad 0 \le |\alpha| < \min \left\{ s - l - \frac{2}{p}, k + 1 \right\},\tag{3.16}
$$

where  $\mathbf{b}_{ij}$  and  $\mathbf{b}_{ji}$  are defined in [\(2.12\)](#page-6-7).

**Step 1:**  $0 \le \alpha_2 \le k - l$  **and**  $|\alpha| < \min\{s - l - 2/p, k + 1\}$ . Manipulating definitions shows that

$$
\frac{\partial^{\alpha_1+r} \mathfrak{D}_j^l(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^r} = \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes r} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{D}_j^{l+r}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1}} \qquad 0 \le r \le k - l,\tag{3.17}
$$

and so there holds

 $\bigcirc$  Sp

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = \mathbf{n}_j^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \mathfrak{D}_j^l(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = \mathbf{n}_j^{\otimes l} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes \alpha_2} \cdot \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{D}_j^{l + \alpha_2}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1}},
$$
  
Figure  $\overset{\mathbb{E}}{\mathbf{h}} \overset{\sigma}{\mathbf{h}} \overset{\sigma}{\mathbf{h}}.$ 

and using that  $\mathfrak{D}_i^{l+\alpha_2}(F)|_{\Gamma_i} = 0$  by (i) gives

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji} = \mathbf{n}_j^{\otimes l} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes \alpha_2} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{D}_j^{l + \alpha_2}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji} - \frac{\partial^{\alpha_1} \mathfrak{D}_i^{l + \alpha_2}(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ij} \right)
$$
(3.18)

on  $T$ . Equality  $(3.16)$  now follows from  $(2.18a)$ . **Step 2:**  $k - l + 1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq k$  and  $|\alpha| < \min\{s - l - 2/p, k + 1\}$ . The same arguments as in Step 1 show that

<span id="page-16-0"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = \mathbf{n}_j^{\otimes l} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes k-l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|-k+l} \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2-k+l}}.
$$

By construction, there exist constants  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  such that  $\mathbf{n}_i = a_1 \mathbf{b}_{i j} + a_2 \mathbf{b}_{j i}$ , and so

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = \sum_{r=0}^l c_r \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes r} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes k-r} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|-k+l} \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{|\alpha|-k+l}} = \sum_{r=0}^l c_r \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes r} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|+l-r} \mathfrak{D}_j^r(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2+l-r}}
$$

for some suitable constants  $\{c_r\}_{r=0}^l$ . For  $0 \le r \le l-1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_j^r(F) = 0$  by (ii), and so

$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = c_l \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} \mathfrak{D}_j^l(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} = c_l \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes l} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes k-l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| - k + l} \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2 - k + l}}
$$
\n
$$
= c_l \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes k - \alpha_2} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes k-l} \cdot \left( \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes \alpha_2 - k + l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| - k + l} \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2 - k + l}} \right).
$$

Applying (i) then gives the following identity on *T* :

<span id="page-16-1"></span>
$$
\frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji} = c_l \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes k - \alpha_2} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes k - l}
$$
\n
$$
\cdot \left( \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\otimes \alpha_2 - k + l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| - k + l} \mathfrak{D}_j^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2 - k + l}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji} - \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\otimes \alpha_2 - k + l} \cdot \frac{\partial^{|\alpha| - k + l} \mathfrak{D}_i^k(F)}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ij}^{\alpha_2 - k + l}} \circ \mathbf{F}_{ij} \right). \tag{3.19}
$$

Equality  $(3.16)$  then follows from  $(2.18a)$ . **Step 3:**  $f_j^l \in W^{s-l-\frac{1}{p}, p}(\Gamma_j) \cap W_{\gamma_{lj}}^{\min\{s-l-\frac{1}{p}, k+1\}p}(\Gamma_j)$ . For  $s-2/p \notin \mathbb{Z}$ , the inclusion *f*<sup>1</sup><sub>*j*</sub></sup> ∈ *W*<sup>*s*−*l*− $\frac{1}{p}$ , *p*<sub>*i*</sub>( $\Gamma$ <sub>*j*</sub>) ∩ *W*<sub>*γ<sub>i</sub>*</sup> *W*<sub>*γ<sub>i</sub>*</sub> ( $\Gamma$ <sub>*j*</sub>) follows from [\(3.16\)](#page-15-0), and [\(3.15a\)](#page-15-1) and</sup></sub> [\(3.15b\)](#page-15-2) are an immediate consequence of the definition of the  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_i\cup\Gamma_j}$  norm.  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{EoC}\,\text{T} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} \\
\text{D} & \text{Springer} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} \\
\text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I}\n\end{array}$  For  $s - 2/p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $|\alpha| = \min\{s - l - 2/p, k + 1\}$ , there holds

<span id="page-17-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\Gamma_j} \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}}(\mathbf{x}) \right|^p \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dist(\mathbf{x}, \gamma_{ij})} \approx_p \int_T \left| \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|} f_j^l}{\partial \mathbf{t}_{ij}^{\alpha_1} \partial \mathbf{b}_{ji}^{\alpha_2}} \right|^p \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji}(\mathbf{x}) \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{x_2},\tag{3.20}
$$

and so the inclusion  $f_j^l \in W_{\gamma_{ij}}^{\min\{s-l-\frac{1}{p},k+1\},p}(\Gamma_j)$  follows from [\(3.18\)](#page-16-0), [\(3.19\)](#page-16-1) and  $(2.18b)$ , while  $(3.15a)$  follows from the definition of the norm.

## **3.2.2 Construction of Lifting**

<span id="page-17-2"></span>In the following lemma, we construct the lifting operator  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}$  in the same fashion as  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}$  [\(3.5\)](#page-12-1), replacing the use of  $\mathcal{E}_m^{[1]}$  with  $\mathcal{M}_{m,k+1}^{[2]}$ .

<span id="page-17-1"></span>**Lemma 3.6** *Let b*  $\in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  *with*  $\int_T b(x) dx = 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $S = \{1, 2\}$ *. For*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in L^p(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2)^{k+1}$ , we formally define the following operators:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(F) + \mathcal{M}_{0,k+1}^{[2]}(f_2^0 - \mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(F)|_{\Gamma_2}),\tag{3.21a}
$$

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[2]}(F) + \mathcal{M}_{m,k+1}^{[2]}(f_2^m - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_2}), \qquad 1 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.21b)

$$
\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,k}^{[2]}(F). \tag{3.21c}
$$

*Then, for all*  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and*  $F \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}), \mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F)$  *is well-defined and there holds* 

$$
\partial_n^m \mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_j} = f_j^m, \ \ 0 \le m \le k, \ j \in \mathcal{S}, \ \ and \ \ \|\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathcal{H}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.
$$
\n(3.22)

*Moreover, if for some*  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , *F satisfies* [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0), then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

*Proof* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , and  $f \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\mathcal{S})$  be given. **Step 1:**  $m = 0$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.2,](#page-12-2) the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \ldots, g^k)$  given by

<span id="page-17-3"></span>
$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{L}_k^{[1]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 2,
$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma [3.5](#page-15-3) with  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  and  $l = 1$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.5](#page-15-3) and Corollary [3.4,](#page-14-0)  $\mathcal{M}_{0,k+1}^{[2]}(g_2^0)$ , and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F)$ , is well-defined with

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textcircled{\tiny\uparrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} \\
\textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} \\
\textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcirc$ 

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} + \begin{cases} y_{12} \| f_{2}^{0} - \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F) \|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{2}} & \text{if } s \leq k+1+\frac{1}{p}, \\ \| f_{2}^{0} - \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F) \|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{2}} & \text{if } s > k+1+\frac{1}{p}. \end{cases}
$$

Applying  $(3.6)$  and  $(3.13a)$  gives

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_1} = f_1^l, \quad 0 \le l \le k, \text{ and } \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_2} = f_2^0,
$$

and applying  $(3.6)$  and  $(3.15)$  gives

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{{\rm Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.
$$

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $F \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  by Lemma [2.5](#page-9-4) and  $\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[1]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_{N}(K)$  by Lemma [3.2.](#page-12-2) Thus, the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for  $\{i, j\} \subseteq$ {1, 2} and *G* ∈ Tr<sub>k</sub><sup>*s*</sup>,*P*</sup>( $\Gamma$ <sub>*S*</sub>) for all (*s*, *p*) ∈ *A*<sub>*k*</sub>. By Lemma [3.5,](#page-15-3)  $g_2^0$  ∈  $W_{\gamma_{12}}^{k+1,p}(\Gamma_2)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , and so  $D^l_{\Gamma} g_2^0|_{\gamma_{12}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.4,](#page-14-0)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

**Step 2: Induction on** *m*. Assume that for some *m* such that  $0 \le m \le k - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{1}} = f_{1}^{l}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \qquad \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{2}} = f_{2}^{l}, \qquad 0 \le l \le m,
$$
\n(3.23)

and

<span id="page-18-1"></span><span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.\tag{3.24}
$$

Additionally assume that if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for  $\{i, j\} \subseteq \{1, 2\}$  and for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

Thanks to [\(3.23\)](#page-18-0), the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \dots, g^k)$  given by

$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 2,
$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma [3.5](#page-15-3) with  $(i, j) = (1, 2)$  and  $l = m + 1$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.5](#page-15-3) and Corollary [3.4,](#page-14-0)  $\mathcal{M}_{m+1,k+1}^{[2]}(g_2^{m+1})$ , and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[2]}(F)$  is welldefined with

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}
$$
  
+
$$
\begin{cases} \n\gamma_{12} \| f_2^{m+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m+1} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F) \|_{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_2} & \text{if } s-m-1 \le k+1+\frac{1}{p},\\ \n\| f_2^{m+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m+1} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F) \|_{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_2} & \text{if } s-m-1 > k+1+\frac{1}{p}. \n\end{cases}
$$
  
\n
$$
\overset{\mathbb{E}}{\leq} \text{Springer } \overset{\mathbb{E}}{\leq} \overset{\mathbb{E}}{\leq}
$$

Applying [\(3.23\)](#page-18-0) and [\(3.13a\)](#page-14-1) gives [\(3.23\)](#page-18-0) for *m* + 1, while applying [\(3.24\)](#page-18-1) and [\(3.15\)](#page-15-4) gives  $(3.24)$  for  $m + 1$ .

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  by assumption and so the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and  $G \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  for all  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . By Lemma [3.5,](#page-15-3)  $g_2^{m+1} \in W^{k+1,p}_{\gamma_{12}}(\Gamma_2)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$ , and so  $D^l_{\Gamma} g_2^{m+1}|_{\gamma_{12}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.4,](#page-14-0)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

# **3.3 Lifting from Three Faces**

We continue in the spirit of the previous two sections and define another lifting operator from  $\Gamma_1$  with vanishing traces on  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ . Given nonnegative integers  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a smooth compactly supported function  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ , and a function  $f : T \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the operator  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}$  formally by the rule

<span id="page-19-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) := (x_1x_2)^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}((\omega_1\omega_2)^{-r} f)(\mathbf{x},z)
$$
  
=  $(x_1x_2)^r \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T \frac{b(\mathbf{y})f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y})}{((x_1 + z\mathbf{y}_1)(x_2 + z\mathbf{y}_2))^r} d\mathbf{y} \qquad \forall (\mathbf{x},z) \in K.$  (3.25)

Note that when  $r = 0$ , we have  $S_{k,0}^{[1]} = \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$ . For functions  $f : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ , we again abuse the notation and set  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) := S_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1).$ 

We require one additional family of spaces with vanishing traces. Let  $s = m + \sigma$ with  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Given  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , a face  $\Gamma_i$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 4$ , and  $\mathfrak E$  a subset of the edges of  $\Gamma_j$ , we define the following subspaces of  $W_{\mathfrak E}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j)$ :

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) := \left\{ f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) \cap W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{\min\{s,r\},p}(\Gamma_j) : \mathfrak{E}_{r} \| f \|_{s,p,T} < \infty \right\},\tag{3.26}
$$

where the norm on  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(\Gamma_j)$  is given by

$$
\begin{split} &\mathfrak{E}_{r} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_{j}}^{p} \\ &:= \begin{cases} \mathfrak{E} \|f\|_{s,p,T}^{p} & \text{if } s \leq r, \\ \|f\|_{s,p,T}^{p} & \text{if } s > r, \end{cases} \\ &+ \begin{cases} \left\| \text{dist}(\cdot, \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} \gamma)^{-\sigma} \frac{\partial^{m-r+1} D_{\Gamma}^{r-1} f}{\partial t_{\gamma}^{m-r+1}} \right\|_{p,\Gamma_{j}}^{p} & \text{if } s > r, \ \sigma p = 1, \mathfrak{E} \neq \emptyset, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \end{split}
$$

where  $\mathbf{t}_{\gamma}$  is a unit-tangent vector on the edge  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}$ . For  $r = 0$ , we set  $W_{\mathfrak{E},0}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) :=$  $W^{s,p}(\Gamma_j)$ . When E consists of only one element  $\gamma$ , we set  $W^{s,p}_{\gamma,r}(\Gamma_j) := W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(\Gamma_j)$ and  $\psi_{r,r} || f ||_{s,p,\Gamma} := \mathfrak{E}_{r,r} || f ||_{s,p,\Gamma}$ . One can again verify that  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(\Gamma_j)$  are Banach الم E<br>| Springer<br>12°5 Springer

spaces and that the following analogue of  $(3.10)$  holds:

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} W_{\gamma,r}^{s,p}(\Gamma_j) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{E}_{r} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j} \approx_{s,p} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} \gamma_{r} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_j}. \tag{3.27}
$$

<span id="page-20-0"></span>The following result shows that the continuity of  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}$  can be characterized with these spaces.

**Lemma 3.7** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *, k,r*  $\in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,* (*s, p*)  $\in A_k$ *, and*  $\mathfrak{E} = {\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}}$ *. Then, for all f* ∈  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_1)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min \left\{ r, s - \frac{1}{p} \right\}, \ 2 \le i \le 3,
$$
\n(3.28b)

*and*

<span id="page-20-6"></span><span id="page-20-5"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \sum_{\mathfrak{E},r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1}.
$$
 (3.29)

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{12}} = D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{13}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le$ *r* − 1*, then*  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ *.* 

The proof of Lemma [3.7](#page-20-0) appears in Sect. [6.4.](#page-47-0) We define the analogous operator associated to  $\Gamma_3$  as follows.

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[3]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) := \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_3) \circ \mathfrak{R}_{13}(\mathbf{x},z) \quad \forall (\mathbf{x},z) \in K,
$$

where  $\mathfrak{I}_3(\mathbf{x}) := (0, x_2, x_1)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{13}(\mathbf{x}, z) := (z, x_2, x_1)$  for all  $(\mathbf{x}, z) \in K$ . Thanks to the chain rule and the smoothness of the mappings  $\mathfrak{I}_3$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{13}$ , the continuity and interpolation properties of  $S_{k,r}^{[3]}$  follow immediately from Lemma [3.7.](#page-20-0)

**Corollary 3.8** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *, k,*  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,*  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ *, and*  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_{13}, \gamma_{23}\}$ *. Then, for all f* ∈  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_3)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[3]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{3}} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[3]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min \left\{ r, s - \frac{1}{p} \right\}, \ 1 \le i \le 2
$$
\n(3.30b)

*and*

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[3]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \mathcal{E}_{r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_3}.
$$
 (3.31)

<span id="page-20-3"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span> $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_3)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{13}} = D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{23}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le l$  $r-1$ *, then*  $S_{k,r}^{[3]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ *.* 

We also have the following analogue of Lemma [3.5.](#page-15-3)

**Lemma 3.9** *Let*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ ,  $1 \leq l \leq k$ , and  $1 \leq i, j \leq 4$  *with*  $i \neq j$  *be given. Suppose that*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in \text{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  *satisfies* 

(i)  $F = (0, 0, \ldots, 0)$  on  $\Gamma_i$ ; (ii)  $f_j^m = 0$  *on*  $\Gamma_j$  *for*  $0 \le m \le l - 1$ *.* 

*Then, there holds*  $f_j^l \in W^{s-l-\frac{1}{p},p}_{\gamma_j,k+1}(\Gamma_j)$  and

<span id="page-21-3"></span><span id="page-21-2"></span><span id="page-21-1"></span><span id="page-21-0"></span>
$$
\gamma_{ij,k+1} \|f_j^l\|_{s-l-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_j} \lesssim_{k,s,p} \|F\|_{\text{Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}.
$$
 (3.32)

*Proof* The result follows from applying inequality  $(3.20)$  and identity  $(3.19)$ .

We now construct the lifting operator  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}$  in the same fashion as  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}$  [\(3.21\)](#page-17-1), replacing the use of  $\mathcal{M}_{m,k}^{[2]}$  with  $\mathcal{S}_{m,k}^{[3]}$ .

**Lemma 3.10** *Let b*  $\in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  *with*  $\int_T b(x) dx = 1$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *, and*  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ *. For*  $F = (f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in L^p(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})^{k+1}$ , we formally define the following operators:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F) + \mathcal{S}_{0,k+1}^{[3]}(f_3^0 - \mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_3}),
$$
\n(3.33a)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[3]}(F) + \mathcal{S}_{m,k+1}^{[3]}(f_3^m - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_3}), \qquad 1 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.33b)

$$
\mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,k}^{[3]}(F). \tag{3.33c}
$$

*Then, for all*  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and*  $F \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{j}} = f_{j}^{m}, \quad 0 \le m \le k, \ j \in \mathcal{S}, \quad and \quad \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathcal{L}_{k}^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.
$$
\n(3.34)

*Moreover, if F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

*Proof* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , and  $f \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  be given. Let  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{23} \}.$ **Step 1:**  $m = 0$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.6,](#page-17-2) the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \ldots, g^k)$  given by

<span id="page-21-4"></span>
$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \quad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 3,
$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma [3.9](#page-21-0) with  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\}$  and  $l = 1$ . Thanks to [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_3^0 \in W_{\mathfrak{C},k+1}^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_3)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{S}_{0,k+1}^{[3]}(g_3^0)$  is well-defined by Corollary [3.8,](#page-20-2) and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F)$  is well-defined with

اللي = E<br>| Springer<br>| 12°5 Springer

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[2]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}+\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{E},k+1}\Big\|f_{3}^{0}-\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[2]}(F)\Big\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{3}}.
$$

Applying  $(3.22)$  and  $(3.30a)$  gives

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i} = f_i^l, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 2, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_3} = f_3^0,
$$

and applying [\(3.10\)](#page-13-1), [\(3.22\)](#page-17-3), and [\(3.15\)](#page-15-4) gives

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{{\rm Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.
$$

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[2]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  by Lemma [3.6,](#page-17-2) and so the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and  $G \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  for all  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$  thanks to Lemma [2.5.](#page-9-4) By [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_3^0 \in W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{k+1,p}(\Gamma_3)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$ , and so  $D^l_{\Gamma} g_3^0|_{\gamma_{13}} = D^l_{\Gamma} g_3^0|_{\gamma_{23}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.8,](#page-20-2)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[3]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ . **Step 2: Induction on** *m***.** Assume that for some *m* such that  $0 \le m \le k - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)$ is well-defined and satisfies

<span id="page-22-0"></span>
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = f_{i}^{l}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 2, \qquad (3.35a)
$$

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_3} = f_3^l, \qquad 0 \le l \le m,
$$
\n(3.35b)

and

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p},\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}}.\tag{3.36}
$$

Additionally assume that if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F) \in$  $\mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

Thanks to [\(3.35\)](#page-22-0), the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \ldots, g^k)$  given by

$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 3,
$$

satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma [3.9](#page-21-0) with  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (2, 3)\}$  and  $l = m + 1$ . Thanks to [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0) there holds  $g_3^{m+1} \in W_{\mathfrak{E},k+1}^{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_3)$ . Consequently,  $S_{m+1,k+1}^{[3]}(g_3^{m+1})$  is well-defined by Corollary [3.8,](#page-20-2) and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[3]}(F)$  is well-defined with

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} + \underset{\mathfrak{E},k+1}{+} \left\| f_3^{m+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m+1} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F) \right\|_{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_3}
$$

Applying [\(3.35\)](#page-22-0) and [\(3.30a\)](#page-20-3) gives [\(3.35\)](#page-22-0) for *m* +1, while applying [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1), [\(3.36\)](#page-22-1), and [\(3.32\)](#page-21-1) gives [\(3.36\)](#page-22-1) for *m* + 1.



<span id="page-22-1"></span>.

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[3]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  by assumption and so the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and  $G \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}})$  for all  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_{k}$ thanks to Lemma [2.5.](#page-9-4) By [\(3.10\)](#page-13-1) and Lemma [3.5,](#page-15-3)  $g_3^{m+1} \in W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{k+1,p}(\Gamma_3)$  for all  $p \in$  $(1, \infty)$ , and so  $D^l_{\Gamma} g_3^{m+1}|_{\gamma_{13}} = D^l_{\Gamma} g_3^{m+1}|_{\gamma_{23}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.8,](#page-20-2)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[3]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ . □

# **3.4 Lifting from Four Faces**

To complete the construction of the lifting operator from the entire boundary, we define one final single face lifting operator from  $\Gamma_1$  that vanishes on the remaining faces. Given nonnegative integers  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , a smooth compactly supported function  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ , and a function  $f: T \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the operator  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  formally by the rule

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)(x, z)
$$
\n
$$
:= (x_1x_2(1 - x_1 - x_2 - z))^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}((\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3)^{-r} f)(x, z)
$$
\n
$$
= (x_1x_2(1 - x_1 - x_2 - z))^r \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T \frac{b(y)f(\mathbf{w}) \, dy}{(\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3)^r(\mathbf{w})} \Big|_{\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y}} \forall (x, z) \in K.
$$
\n(3.37)

Note that when  $r = 0$ , we have  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]} = \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$ . For functions  $f : \Gamma_1 \to \mathbb{R}$ , we again abuse notation and set  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) := \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1)$ . The weighted spaces  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(\Gamma_1)$  again play a role in the continuity of  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  as the following result shows.

**Lemma 3.11** *Let b*  $\in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *, k,r*  $\in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,* (*s, p*)  $\in \mathcal{A}_k$ *, and*  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_{12}, \gamma_{13}, \gamma_{14}\}$ *. Then, for all*  $f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(\Gamma_1)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{1}} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(\mathbf{x}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min \left\{ r, s - \frac{1}{p} \right\}, \ 2 \le i \le 4,
$$
\n
$$
(3.38a)
$$

*and*

$$
\|\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \mathcal{L}_{r,r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_1}.
$$
 (3.39)

<span id="page-23-4"></span><span id="page-23-3"></span><span id="page-23-2"></span><span id="page-23-1"></span><span id="page-23-0"></span>(3.38b)

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\partial \Gamma_1} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ .  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{EoC}\text{T} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{Springer} & \text{Cop} \\
\text{Cop} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{Fop} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{Fop} \\
\text{D} \\
\text{Fop} \\
\$ 

The proof of Lemma [3.11](#page-23-0) appears in Sect. [6.5.](#page-49-0) The analogous operator associated to  $\Gamma_4$  is given by

<span id="page-24-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[4]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) := 3^{-\frac{k}{2}} \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f \circ \mathfrak{I}_4) \circ \mathfrak{R}_{14}(\mathbf{x},z) \qquad \forall (\mathbf{x},z) \in K,
$$

where  $\mathfrak{I}_4(\mathbf{x}) := (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2)$  and  $\mathfrak{R}_{14}(\mathbf{x}, z) := (x_1, x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2 - z)$ for all  $(x, z) \in K$ . Thanks to the chain rule and the smoothness of the mappings  $\mathfrak{I}_4$ and  $\mathfrak{R}_{14}$ , the continuity and interpolation properties of  $\mathcal{R}^{[4]}_{k,r}$  follow immediately from Lemma [3.11.](#page-23-0)

**Corollary 3.12** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *,*  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,*  $(s, p) \in A_k$ *, and*  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_{14}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{34} \}.$ *Then, for all*  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_4)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[4]}(f)|_{\Gamma_4} = \delta_{km} \left( \int_{T} b(x) \, \mathrm{d}x \right) f, \qquad 0 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.40a)

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{j} \mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[4]}(f)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = 0, \qquad 0 \le j < \min\left\{r, s - \frac{1}{p}\right\}, \ 1 \le i \le 3
$$
\n(3.40b)

*and*

<span id="page-24-3"></span><span id="page-24-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[4]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{R},r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_4}.
$$
 (3.41)

*Moreover, if*  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_4)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\partial \Gamma_4} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ , then  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[4]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$ .

Finally, we construct the lifting operator  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[4]}$  in the same fashion as  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}$  [\(3.33\)](#page-21-2), replacing the use of  $S_{m,k+1}^{[3]}$  with  $\mathcal{R}_{m,k+1}^{[4]}$ .

**Lemma 3.13** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  *with*  $\int_T b(x) dx = 1$  *and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *. For*  $F =$  $(f^0, f^1, \ldots, f^k) \in L^p(\partial K)^{k+1}$ , we formally define the following operators:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F) + \mathcal{R}_{0,k+1}^{[4]}(f_4^0 - \mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_4}),
$$
\n(3.42a)

$$
\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[4]}(F) + \mathcal{R}_{m,k+1}^{[4]}(f_4^m - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^m \mathcal{L}_{k,m-1}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_4}), \qquad 1 \le m \le k,
$$
\n(3.42b)

$$
\mathcal{L}_k^{[4]}(F) := \mathcal{L}_{k,k}^{[4]}(F). \tag{3.42c}
$$

*Then, for all*  $(s, p) \in A_k$  *and*  $F \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\partial K)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m} \mathcal{L}_{k}^{[4]}(F)|_{\partial K} = f^{m}, \quad 0 \le m \le k, \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_{k}^{s,p},\partial K}.
$$
\n(3.43)

*Moreover, if F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[4]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

<span id="page-24-2"></span> $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{For } \mathbb{Z} \\
\text{Springer} \quad \mathbb{L} \\
\text{Sup } \mathbb{Z} \\
\text{Sup } \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \end{array}$ 

*Proof* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , and  $f \in \mathrm{Tr}_k^{s, p}(\partial K)$  be given and set  $\mathfrak{E} :=$  $\{\gamma_{14}, \gamma_{24}, \gamma_{34}\}.$ **Step 1:**  $m = 0$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.10,](#page-21-3) the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \ldots, g^k)$  given by

$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}} \mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 4,
$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma [3.9](#page-21-0) with  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$  and  $l = 1$ . Thanks to [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_4^0 \in W_{\mathfrak{E},k+1}^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_4)$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{R}_{0,k+1}^{[4]}(g_4^0)$  is well-defined by Corollary [3.12,](#page-24-0) and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F)$  is well-defined with

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[3]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}+\mathbb{E}_{\mathfrak{E},k+1}\left\|f_{4}^{0}-\mathcal{L}_{k}^{[3]}(F)\right\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_{4}}.
$$

Applying  $(3.34)$  and  $(3.40a)$  gives

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i} = f_i^l, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 3, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_3} = f_4^0,
$$

and applying [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1), [\(3.34\)](#page-21-4), and [\(3.32\)](#page-21-1) gives

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p},\partial K}.
$$

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[3]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  by Lemma [3.6,](#page-17-2) and so the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and  $G \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\partial K)$  for all  $(s, p) \in A_k$  thanks to Lemma [2.5.](#page-9-4) By [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_4^0 \n∈ W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{k+1,p}(\Gamma_4)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$ , and  $\sup_{t}^{l} g_{4}^{0} |_{\partial \Gamma_4} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.12,](#page-24-0)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,0}^{[4]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

**Step 2: Induction on** *m***.** Assume that for some  $0 \le m \le k - 1$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)$  is welldefined and satisfies

<span id="page-25-0"></span>
$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_{i}} = f_{i}^{l}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 3, \qquad (3.44a)
$$

$$
\partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{l} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_4} = f_4^l, \qquad 0 \le l \le m,
$$
\n(3.44b)

and

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|F\|_{\mathrm{Tr}_k^{s,p},\partial K}.\tag{3.45}
$$

Additionally assume that if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F) \in$  $\mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

Thanks to [\(3.44\)](#page-25-0), the traces  $G = (g^0, g^1, \ldots, g^k)$  given by

$$
g_i^l := f_i^l - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^l \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)|_{\Gamma_i}, \qquad 0 \le l \le k, \ 1 \le i \le 4,
$$

satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma [3.9](#page-21-0) with  $(i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (2, 4), (3, 4)\}$  and  $l =$ *m* + 1. Thanks to [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_4^{m+1}$  ∈  $W_{\mathfrak{E},k+1}^{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(\Gamma_4)$ . Consequently,  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\textcircled{\tiny\uparrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} \\
\textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} & \textcircled{\tiny\downarrow} \\
\textcirc$ 

 $\mathcal{R}^{[4]}_{m+1,k+1}(g_4^{m+1})$  is well-defined by Corollary [3.12,](#page-24-0) and hence  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[4]}(F)$  is welldefined with

$$
\|\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F)\|_{s,p,K} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}_{k+1}} \left\| f_4^{m+1} - \partial_{\mathbf{n}}^{m+1} \mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F) \right\|_{s-m-1-\frac{1}{p},p,\Gamma_4}
$$

.

Applying [\(3.44\)](#page-25-0) and [\(3.40a\)](#page-24-1) gives [\(3.44\)](#page-25-0) for *m* +1, while applying [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1), [\(3.45\)](#page-25-1), and  $(3.32)$  gives  $(3.45)$  for  $m + 1$ .

Moreover, if *F* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m}^{[4]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$  by assumption and so the trace *G* satisfies [\(2.20\)](#page-9-0) and  $G \in \text{Tr}_{k}^{s,p}(\partial K)$  for all  $(s, p) \in$ *A<sub>k</sub>* thanks to Lemma [2.5.](#page-9-4) By [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) and Lemma [3.9,](#page-21-0)  $g_4^{m+1} \in W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{k+1,p}(\Gamma_4)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , and so  $D_{\Gamma}^l g_4^{m+1}|_{\partial \Gamma_4} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le k$ . Thanks to Corollary [3.12,](#page-24-0)  $\mathcal{L}_{k,m+1}^{[4]}(F) \in \mathcal{P}_N(K)$ .

### <span id="page-26-1"></span>**3.5 Proof of Theorem [2.6](#page-10-0)**

Let  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  be any smooth function satisfying  $\int_T b(x) dx = 1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{L}_k := \mathcal{L}_k^{[4]}$ , where  $\mathcal{L}_k^{[4]}$  is defined in [\(3.42\)](#page-24-2) satisfies the desired properties thanks to Lemma [3.13.](#page-24-3)  $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-26-0"></span>**4 Whole Space Operators**

In this section, we examine the continuity properties of the following operators, which are the whole space extensions of the lifting operators  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$  [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1): Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ , and  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and a function  $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define the lifting operator  $\mathcal{E}_k$  by the rule

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)(x,z) := \chi(z)z^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y)f(x+zy) \, \mathrm{d}y \qquad \forall (x,z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}.\tag{4.1}
$$

We use the notation  $\mathcal{E}_k[\chi, b]$  when we want to make the dependence on  $\chi$  and *b* explicit. The advantage of working with the operator  $\mathcal{E}_k$  is that we shall capitalize on the abundance of equivalent  $W^{s,p}(U)$ -norms when *U* is all of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  or the half-space  $\mathbb{R}^d_+ = \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \times (0, \infty), d > 1$ . In particular, we recall the following norm-equivalence on  $W^{s,p}(U)$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , with  $U = \mathbb{R}^d$  or  $\mathbb{R}^d_+$  (see e.g. [\[44,](#page-63-15) Theorems 6.38 & 6.61]):

$$
|f|_{s,p,U}^p \approx_{s,p,d} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^\infty \int_U \frac{|f(x+t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(x)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t \qquad \forall f \in W^{s,p}(U). \tag{4.2}
$$

The main result of this section is the following analogue of Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-2)

<span id="page-26-4"></span><span id="page-26-3"></span><span id="page-26-2"></span>

**Theorem 4.1** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *with* supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$ ,  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *with* supp  $b \subset T$ , *and*  $k$  ∈  $\mathbb{N}_0$  *be given. Then, for*  $(s, p)$  ∈  $\mathcal{A}_k$  ∪  $(k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ *, there holds* 

<span id="page-27-4"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,s,p} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{4.3}
$$

The proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-26-2) appears in Sect. [4.3.](#page-33-1)

# **4.1 Continuity of**  $\mathcal{E}_0$

We begin by recording the particular case of Theorem  $4.1$  with  $k = 0$ , which follows from the same arguments as in the proof of [\[44,](#page-63-15) Theorem 9.21].

**Lemma 4.2** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *with* supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *with* supp  $b \subset$ *T*. Then, for  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1/p < s < 1$ , there holds

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)\|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,s,p} \|f\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in W^{s-\frac{1}{p},p}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{4.4}
$$

<span id="page-27-2"></span>When  $p = 2$ , the above result is also true for  $s = 1/2$  as the following lemma shows.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *with* supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *with* supp  $b \subset$ *T . Then, there holds*

<span id="page-27-3"></span><span id="page-27-1"></span><span id="page-27-0"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b} \|f\|_{2,\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{4.5}
$$

*Proof* By density, it suffices to consider  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  define

$$
g_k(x, z) := z^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y) f(x + zy) dy, \qquad (x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3.
$$
 (4.6)

**Step 1:**  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$  **bound for**  $g_0$ . Thanks to [\(4.2\)](#page-26-3), there holds

$$
|g_0|^2_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \approx \int_0^\infty |g_0(\cdot,z)|^2_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}^2} dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g_0(x,\cdot)|^2_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+} dx =: I_1 + I_2.
$$

We now follow the steps in the proof of  $[18,$  $[18,$  Theorem 2.2]. Let  $\hat{ }$  denote the Fourier transform with respect to the *x*-variable. Then,

$$
I_1 \approx \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi| \cdot |\hat{g}_0(\xi, z)|^2 d\xi dz = \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\xi| \cdot |\hat{b}(\xi z) \hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi dz
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (|\xi| \cdot \|\hat{b}(\xi \cdot)\|_{2, \mathbb{R}_+}^2) |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi,
$$

 $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

where we used the following convolution identity for  $z > 0$ :

$$
g_0(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z^{-2} b\left(\frac{y - x}{z}\right) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \implies \hat{g}_0(\xi, z) = \hat{b}(\xi z) \hat{f}(\xi). \tag{4.7}
$$

Similarly, there holds

<span id="page-28-0"></span>
$$
I_2 \approx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{g}(\xi,\cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\hat{b}(\xi\cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\xi.
$$

Thanks to a change of variables, we obtain

$$
\begin{split} |\xi| \cdot \|\hat{b}(\xi \cdot)\|_{2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 + |\hat{b}(\xi \cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 &\leq \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2} \left( |\xi| \cdot \|\hat{b}(|\xi|\omega \cdot)\|_{2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 + |\hat{b}(|\xi|\omega \cdot)|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2 \right) \\ & = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{S}^2} \|\hat{b}(\omega \cdot)\|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2, \end{split}
$$

which is finite since *b* is a Schwartz function, and so  $|g_0|_{\frac{1}{2},2,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_b \|f\|_{2,\mathbb{R}^2}$ .

**Step 2:**  $H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$  **bound on**  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x+t\mathbf{e}_i,z)-\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x,z)|^2}{t^2} dx dz dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} |\chi(z)|^2 \frac{|g_0(x+t\mathbf{e}_i,z)-g_0(x,z)|^2}{t^2} dx dz dt \lesssim_{\chi,b} ||f||_{2,\mathbb{R}^2}.
$$

where we used  $(4.2)$  and step 1. Thanks to the relation

$$
|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z+t) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)|^2 \lesssim |\chi(z+t)|^2 |g_0(\mathbf{x}, z+t) - g_0(\mathbf{x}, t)|^2 + |\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^2 |g_0(\mathbf{x}, z)|^2,
$$

we obtain

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x, z+t) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x, z)|^2}{t^2} dx dz dt
$$
  
\$\lesssim \| \chi \|\_{\infty, \mathbb{R}\_+}^2 |g\_0|\_{\frac{1}{2}, 2, \mathbb{R}^3\_+}^2 + \int\_0^\infty \int\_{\mathbb{R}^3\_+} \frac{|\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^2}{t^2} |g\_0(x, z)|^2 dx dz dt.\$

Now, applying Hardy's inequality [\[40](#page-63-16), Theorem 327] gives

$$
\int_0^\infty \frac{|\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^2}{t^2} dt = \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_z^{z+t} \chi'(r) dr\right)^2 dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \chi'(r+z) dr\right)^2 dt \lesssim ||\chi'(\cdot+z)||_{2,\mathbb{R}_+}^2,
$$
  

$$
\text{g} = \int_0^{\mathbb{R}_0} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \chi'(r+z) dr\right)^2 dt
$$

and so

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \frac{|\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^2}{t^2} |g_0(x, z)|^2 dx dz dt
$$
  
\$\lesssim \int\_{\mathbb{R}^3\_+} ||\chi'(\cdot + z)||^2\_{2, \mathbb{R}\_+} |g\_0(x, z)|^2 dx dz\$  
\$\leq ||\chi'||^2\_{2, \mathbb{R}\_+} \int\_0^2 \int\_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g\_0(x, z)|^2 dx dz\$.

Applying Young's inequality to the convolution form of *g*0, [\(4.7\)](#page-28-0) then gives

$$
\int_0^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |g_0(x, z)|^2 dx dz \le 2||b||_{1, \mathbb{R}^2}^2 ||f||_{2, \mathbb{R}^2}^2.
$$

Inequality  $(4.5)$  now follows on collecting results and applying  $(4.2)$ .

<span id="page-29-0"></span>

We shall also need the stability of the lifting of the derivative of a smooth function.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *. For*  $1 < p < \infty$ *, there holds* 

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{2} \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(\partial_i f)\|_{p, \mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi, b, p} \|f\|_{1-\frac{1}{p}, p, \mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{4.8}
$$

*Proof* Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Integrating by parts gives

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(\partial_i f)(x, z) = \chi(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y)(\partial_i f)(x + zy) dy = \frac{\chi(z)}{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y)\partial_{y_i} \{f(x + zy)\} dy
$$
  
= 
$$
-\frac{\chi(z)}{z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_i b)(y) f(x + zy) dy.
$$

Since  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there holds  $\int_{\mathbb{R}} (\partial_i b)(y) dy_i = 0$ , and so

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(\partial_i f)(x, z) = \chi(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (\partial_i b)(y) \frac{f(x + z(y - y_i e_i)) - f(x + zy)}{z} dy.
$$

Applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$
|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(\partial_i f)(x, z)|^p \lesssim_{\chi, b, p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y_i(\partial_i b)(y)| \left| \frac{f(x+z(y-y_i e_i)) - f(x+zy)}{y_i z} \right|^p dy.
$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \bigoplus \limits_{\begin{subarray}{c} c \subset \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{V} \end{subarray}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \subset \mathbb{F}^1 \\ \bigoplus \limits_{\begin{subarray}{c} c \subset \mathcal{V} \\ \mathcal{V} \end{subarray}} \mathbb{E}_{\mathsf{p}} \cap \mathbb{F}^1 \end{array}$ 

Integrating over  $\mathbb{R}^3_+$  then gives

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(\partial_i f)\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^3_+}^p \n\lesssim_{\chi,b,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^5_+} |y_i(\partial_i b)(y)| \left|\frac{f(x+z(y-y_i e_i)) - f(x+zy)}{y_i z}\right|^p dy dx dz \n\tilde{x}_j = x_j + (\frac{1-\delta_{ij}}{y_jz}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^5_+} |y_i(\partial_i b)(y)| \left|\frac{f(\tilde{x}) - f(\tilde{x} + y_i z e_i)}{y_i z}\right|^p dy d\tilde{x} dz \n\lesssim_{\epsilon} \|\partial_i b\|_{1,\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3_+} \frac{|f(\tilde{x}) - f(\tilde{x} + t e_i)|^p}{t^p} d\tilde{x} dt.
$$

Inequality  $(4.8)$  now follows from summing over *i* and applying  $(4.2)$ .

<span id="page-30-1"></span><span id="page-30-0"></span>

# **4.2 Continuity of**  $\mathcal{E}_k$

We now show how the continuity of the operator  $\mathcal{E}_0$  can be used to deduce the continuity of  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . We begin with a partial result.

**Lemma 4.5** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2) *and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *be given. Then, for*  $1 < p < \infty$ *, there holds* 

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,p} \|f\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2),\tag{4.9}
$$

*and for*  $1/p < s < 1$  *or*  $(s, p) = (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$ *, there holds* 

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,s,p} \|f\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},p,\mathbb{R}^2} \quad \forall f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2). \tag{4.10}
$$

*Proof* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Since the function  $\tilde{\chi} := z^k \chi \in$  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with supp  $\tilde{\chi} = \text{supp } \chi$ , we have  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k[\chi, b](f) = \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\tilde{\chi}, b](f)$ . Consequently, it suffices to prove [\(4.9\)](#page-30-0) and [\(4.10\)](#page-30-1) in the case  $k = 0$ . To this end, we apply Jensen's inequality to the identity [\(4.7\)](#page-28-0) to obtain

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^3_+}^p \le \|f\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^2}^p \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\chi(z)|^p \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left| z^{-2} b\left(\frac{x}{z}\right) \right| \, \mathrm{d}x \right)^p \, \mathrm{d}z
$$
  
= 
$$
\|f\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^2}^p \|b\|_{1,\mathbb{R}^2}^p \| \chi(z)\|_{1,\mathbb{R}^2}^p
$$

and [\(4.9\)](#page-30-0) follows. Inequality [\(4.10\)](#page-30-1) for  $1/p < s < 1$  is an immediate consequence of [\(4.4\)](#page-27-1), while the case  $(s, p) = (\frac{1}{2}, 2)$  follows from Lemma [4.3.](#page-27-2)

For more precise results, we shall show the effect of taking partial derivatives of  $\mathcal{E}_k(f)$  on the index *k* and on the function *f*. To this end, we recall an integration-byparts formula for tensors. Given two *d*-dimensional tensors *S* and *T* , let *S* : *T* denote the usual tensor contraction

$$
S: T := S_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_d} T_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_d},
$$



where we are using Einstein summation notation. Given a *d*-dimensional tensor *S* with  $d > 0$  and  $k > 0$ , let  $D^k S$  denote the *k*-th derivative tensor of *S*:

$$
(DkS)i1i2...id+k := \partialid+1 \partialid+2 \cdots \partialid+k Si1i2...id,
$$

and let div *S* denote the  $(d - 1)$ -dimensional tensor given by

$$
(\operatorname{div} S)_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{d-1}} := \partial_j S_{i_1 i_2 \cdots i_{d-1} j},
$$

while div<sup>k</sup>  $S, 0 \le k \le d$ , denotes *k* applications of div to *S*. With this notation, we have the following integration by parts formula for symmetric, smooth, compactly supported tensors *S* and *T* of dimension *d* and  $0 \le k \le d$ , respectively:

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} S : D^{d-k} T \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x} = (-1)^{d-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{div}^{d-k} S : T \, \mathrm{d} \mathbf{x}.
$$

With this notation in hand, we have the following identity that shows that the derivatives of  $\mathcal{E}_k(f)$  are linear combinations of liftings of derivatives of  $f$ .

**Lemma 4.6** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ *, b*  $\in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *, and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *be given. For all*  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  *and*  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there holds

<span id="page-31-0"></span>
$$
D^{\alpha} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)
$$
  
 
$$
\times \sum_{i=0}^{\alpha_3} \chi_i(z) z^{\max\{k+i-|\alpha|, 0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{ki\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) : (D^{\max\{|\alpha|-k-i, 0\}} f)(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y}) \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y}
$$
(4.11)

*for suitable*  $\chi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $\max\{|\alpha| - i - k, 0\}$ *-dimensional tensors*  $B_{ki\alpha}$  *with entries in*  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and let  $g_k$  be defined as in [\(4.6\)](#page-27-3). For integers  $m \geq k$ , there holds

$$
\partial_{z}^{m} g_{k}(x, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{kmj} z^{k-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} b(y) (D^{m-j} f)(x + zy) : y^{\otimes m-j} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{kmj} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (b(y) y^{\otimes m-j}) : D_{y}^{k-j} \{(D^{m-k} f)(x + zy)\} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left\{ \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} c_{kmj} \operatorname{div}^{k-j} (b(y) y^{\otimes m-j}) \right\} : (D^{m-k} f)(x + zy) dy
$$
  
\n
$$
=: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} B_{km}(y) : (D^{m-k} f)(x + zy) dy,
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{kmj} \prod_{i=0}^{k} d_{ij} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{k} c_{kmj} \prod_{j=0}^{k} d_{ij} dy
$$

where  $c_{kmj}$  are suitable constants,  $y^{\otimes n}$  is the tensor product of *n* copies of *y*, and  $D_y$ denotes the derivative operator with respect to *y*. For  $0 \le m \le k$ , there holds

$$
\partial_{z}^{m} g_{k}(x, z) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{kmj} z^{k-j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} b(y) (D^{m-j} f)(x + zy) : y^{\otimes m-j} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= \sum_{j=0}^{m} c_{kmj} z^{k-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (b(y) y^{\otimes m-j}) : D_{y}^{m-j} \{f(x + zy)\} dy
$$
  
\n
$$
= z^{k-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \left\{ -\sum_{j=0}^{m} (-1)^{m-j} c_{kmj} \operatorname{div}^{m-j} (b(y) y^{\otimes m-j}) \right\} : f(x + zy) dy
$$
  
\n
$$
=: z^{k-m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} B_{km}(y) : f(x + zy) dy.
$$

Consequently, there holds

$$
\partial_z^m g_k(x, z) = z^{\max\{k-m, 0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{km}(y) : (D^{\max\{m-k, 0\}} f)(x + zy) dy \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Now let  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  with  $|\beta| \geq k$ . Then, there holds

$$
D_x^{\beta} g_k(x, z) = z^k \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y) (D^{\beta} f)(x + zy) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} b(y) D_y^{\tilde{\beta}} \{ (D^{\beta - \tilde{\beta}} f)(x + zy) \} dy
$$
  
=  $(-1)^{|\tilde{\beta}|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (D^{\tilde{\beta}} b)(y) (D^{\beta - \tilde{\beta}} f)(x + zy) dy =: \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{k\beta}(y) (D^{\beta - \tilde{\beta}} f)(x + zy) dy,$ 

where  $D_x^{\beta} := \partial_{x_1}^{\beta_1} \partial_{x_2}^{\beta_2}$  and  $\tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  is any fixed multi-index such that  $|\tilde{\beta}| = k$  and  $\beta - \tilde{\beta} \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ . Similar arguments show that for  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$  with  $|\beta| < k$ , there holds

$$
D_x^{\beta} g_k(x, z) = (-1)^{|\beta|} z^{k-|\beta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (D^{\beta} b)(y) f(x + zy) dy
$$
  
=:  $z^{k-|\beta|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{k\beta}(y) f(x + zy) dy.$ 

Collecting results, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , there holds

$$
D^{\alpha} g_k(x, z) = z^{\max\{k - |\alpha|, 0\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} B_{k\alpha}(y) : (D^{\max\{|\alpha| - k, 0\}} f)(x + zy) dy
$$

for suitable max $\{|\alpha| - k, 0\}$ -dimensional tensors  $B_{k\alpha}$  with entries in  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . Equality  $(4.11)$  now follows from the product rule.

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{EoC}\,\text{T} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} \\
\text{D} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} \\
\text{D} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I} & \text{I}\n\end{array}$ 

### <span id="page-33-1"></span>**4.3 Proof of Theorem [4.1](#page-26-2)**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , [\(4.11\)](#page-31-0) gives

$$
\|D^{\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{\sigma,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \leq \sum_{\substack{0 \leq i \leq \alpha_3 \\ \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \\ |\beta| = \max\{|\alpha| - k - i, 0\}}} \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\max\{k + i - |\alpha|, 0\}}[\chi_i, b_{ki\beta}] (D^{\beta} f)\|_{\sigma,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+},
$$
\n(4.12)

where  $\chi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $b_{ki\beta} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are suitable functions depending on  $\chi$  and  $b$ respectively and  $0 < \sigma < 1$ .

**Step 1:** *L*<sup>*p*</sup> **bounds on derivatives.** For  $k + i - |\alpha| \ge 0$  (so that  $|\beta| = 0$ ), [\(4.9\)](#page-30-0) gives

<span id="page-33-3"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\max\{k+i-|\alpha|,0\}}[\chi_i, b_{ki\beta}](D^{\beta}f)\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \n= \|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k+i-|\alpha|}[\chi_i, b_{ki\beta}](f)\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,p} \|f\|_{p,\mathbb{R}^2}.
$$

For  $k + i - |\alpha| < 0$  (so that  $|\alpha| \ge k$  and  $|\beta| \ge 1$ ), there exists  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  such that  $\beta_i \geq 1$ , and so we apply [\(4.8\)](#page-29-0) to obtain

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\chi_i, b_{ki\beta}](D^{\beta} f)\|_{p, \mathbb{R}^3_+}
$$
  
=  $\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\chi_i, b_{ki\beta}](\partial_j D^{\beta - \mathbf{e}_j} f)\|_{p, \mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi, b, k, p} \|D^{\beta - \mathbf{e}_j} f\|_{1 - \frac{1}{p}, p, \mathbb{R}^2}$   
 $\leq \|f\|_{|\alpha| - k - i - \frac{1}{p}, p, \mathbb{R}^2}.$ 

Consequently, for all  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , there holds

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{m,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,m,p} \|f\|_{m-k-\frac{1}{p},p,\mathbb{R}^2}, \qquad m \in \{k+1,k+2,\ldots\}.
$$
 (4.13)

By density, [\(4.13\)](#page-33-2) holds for all  $f \in W^{m-k-1/p, p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ .

**Step 2: The case**  $s \geq k + 1$ . Inequality [\(4.3\)](#page-27-4) for real  $s \geq k + 1$  with  $(s, p) \in A_k$ follows from [\(4.13\)](#page-33-2) using a standard interpolation argument.

**Step 3: The case**  $k + 1/p \leq s < k + 1$ . For  $s = k + \sigma$ , where  $1/p < \sigma < 1$  or  $(\sigma, p) = (1/2, 2)$ , we take  $|\alpha| = k$  in [\(4.12\)](#page-33-3) and apply [\(4.10\)](#page-30-1) to obtain

$$
|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \alpha_3} ||\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_i[\chi_i,b_{ki\beta}](f)||_{\sigma,p,\mathbb{R}^3_+} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,p,s} ||f||_{\sigma-\frac{1}{p},p,\mathbb{R}^2},
$$

which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# <span id="page-33-0"></span>**5 Weighted** *L<sup>p</sup>* **Continuity of Whole-Space Operators**

In the previous section in Theorem [4.1,](#page-26-2) we established that the lifting operators  $\mathcal{E}_k$  are continuous from  $W^{s-k-1/p,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  to  $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^3_+)$  provided that  $s > k + 1/p$ . We now

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer  $\bar{L}$   $\frac{1}{2}$  $\circ$  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

<span id="page-33-2"></span>

turn to the stability of the operator  $\mathcal{E}_k$  with respect to lower-order Sobolev spaces. In particular, we seek to obtain bounds on  $\|\mathcal{E}_k(f)\|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1}$  for  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$ , where  $O_1 := (0, \infty)^3 \supset K$  is the first octant. It turns out that one suitable space for the lifted function *f* is a weighted *L<sup>p</sup>* space. Let  $Q_1 = (0, \infty)^2 \supset T$  denote the first quadrant and let  $\rho \in L^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$  be a weight function that satisfying  $\rho > 0$  almost everywhere. Then, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , define

$$
L^{p}(\mathcal{Q}_{1};\rho dx):=\left\{f \text{ measurable}: \int_{\mathcal{Q}_{1}}|f(x)|^{p}\rho(x) dx < \infty\right\}.
$$
 (5.1)

The weight that will appear in our estimates are powers of  $\omega_1$  [\(3.7\)](#page-13-2) extended to all of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by

<span id="page-34-4"></span><span id="page-34-3"></span><span id="page-34-2"></span><span id="page-34-1"></span>
$$
\omega_1(\mathbf{x}) = \min\{x_1, 1\} \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{5.2}
$$

In particular, the main result of this section is as follows.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2) *and*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *be given. For*  $1 < p < \infty$  *and*  $0 \leq s < k + 1/p$ *, there holds* 

$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)\|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}+k-s}f\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathcal{Q}_1,\omega_1^{1+(k-s)p}d\mathbf{x}). \tag{5.3}
$$

The proof proceeds in several steps and appears in Sect. [5.3.](#page-42-1)

# **5.1 Auxiliary Results**

We begin by recording a number of technical lemmas. Throughout the rest of the section we use the notation  $\oint_{\mathcal{O}} f dx := |\mathcal{O}|^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} f dx$ .

**Lemma 5.2** *For*  $1 \leq p < \infty$  *and*  $0 < h < \infty$ *, there holds* 

$$
\int_0^\infty \left| \int_x^{x+h} f(y) \, dy \right|^p dx \le h^{p-1} \int_0^\infty |f(x)|^p dx \quad \forall f \text{ measurable.} \tag{5.4}
$$

*Proof* The result follows on applying Hölder's inequality and changing the order of integration:

$$
\int_0^{\infty} \left| \frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} f(y) \, dy \right|^p dx \le h^{p-2} \int_0^{\infty} \int_x^{x+h} |f(y)|^p \, dy
$$
  
=  $h^{p-2} \left( \int_0^h \int_0^y + \int_h^{\infty} \int_{y-h}^y \right) |f(y)|^p dx \, dy.$ 

<span id="page-34-0"></span> $\Box$ 



**Lemma 5.3** *Let*  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1$ , and  $0 \le a \le \infty$ . *Then, there holds* 

$$
\int_{(0,a)^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{1 + sp}} dx dy \lesssim_{s,p} \int_{(0,a)} x^{(1-s)p} |f'(x)|^p dx \quad \forall f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}((0,a)).
$$
\n(5.5)

*Proof* The proof follows the same arguments as those used in the proof of [\[44](#page-63-15), Theorem 1.28], which considers the case  $a = \infty$ . The full details are given below.

<span id="page-35-1"></span>By symmetry, there holds

$$
\int_{(0,a)^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{1 + sp}} dx dy = 2 \int_0^a \int_y^a \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{(x - y)^{1 + sp}} dx dy
$$
  
=  $2 \int_0^a \int_y^a \frac{1}{(x - y)^{1 + sp}} \left| \int_y^x f'(t) dt \right|^p dx dy.$ 

Performing a change of variable and applying Hardy's inequality [\[44](#page-63-15), Theorem 1.3], we obtain

$$
\int_{y}^{a} \frac{1}{(x-y)^{1+sp}} \left| \int_{y}^{x} f'(t) dt \right|^{p} \frac{\bar{x} = x - y}{dx} \int_{0}^{a-y} \frac{1}{\bar{x}^{1+sp}} \left( \int_{0}^{\bar{x}} |\tau f'(y+\tau)| \frac{d\tau}{\tau} \right)^{p} d\tilde{x}
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{s^{p}} \int_{0}^{a-y} \frac{|f'(y+\tilde{x})|^{p}}{\tilde{x}^{1+(s-1)p}} d\tilde{x}
$$
  

$$
= \frac{\bar{x} + y}{s^{p}} \int_{y}^{a} \frac{|f'(x)|^{p}}{(x-y)^{1+(s-1)p}} dx.
$$

Thus,

$$
\int_{(0,a)^2} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{1 + sp}} dx dy \le \frac{2}{s^p} \int_0^a \int_y^a \frac{|f'(x)|^p}{(x - y)^{1 + (s - 1)p}} dx dy
$$
  

$$
= \frac{2}{s^p} \int_0^a |f'(x)|^p \int_0^x \frac{1}{(x - y)^{1 + (s - 1)p}} dy dx
$$
  

$$
= \frac{2}{s^p (1 - s)p} \int_0^a x^{(1 - s)p} |f'(x)|^p dx,
$$

which completes the proof.

**Lemma 5.4** *Let*  $1 < p < \infty$  *and*  $0 < s < 1/p$ *. For all*  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{Q}_1; \omega_1^{1-sp} dx)$ *, there holds*

$$
\int_{Q_1} \int_0^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} \frac{1}{z^{2+sp}} |f(y)|^p \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}x \lesssim_{s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p,Q_1}^p. \tag{5.6}
$$

 $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

<span id="page-35-0"></span>

*Proof* Applying  $(5.4)$  and using that  $0 < z < 2$  gives

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} |f(y)|^p dy_1 \right) dy_2 dx_2 \lesssim_p \int_0^\infty \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p dy_1 dx_2.
$$

Moreover, there holds

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_0^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \frac{1}{z^{1+sp}} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p dy_1 dz dx_1
$$
  
\n
$$
= \int_0^\infty \int_{x_1}^{x_1+2} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p \int_{y_1-x_1}^2 \frac{1}{z^{1+sp}} dz dy_1 dx_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \int_0^\infty \int_{x_1}^{x_1+2} (y_1 - x_1)^{-sp} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p dy_1 dx_1
$$
  
\n
$$
= \left( \int_0^2 \int_0^{y_1} + \int_2^\infty \int_{y_1-2}^{y_1} \right) (y_1 - x_1)^{-sp} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p dx_1 dy_1
$$
  
\n
$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \int_0^\infty \min\{y_1, 2\}^{1-sp} |f(y_1, x_2)|^p dy_1.
$$

The result now follows on integrating over  $0 < x_2 < \infty$ .

**Lemma 5.5** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2) *and*  $1 < p < \infty$ *. Let*  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *and*  $f \in L^p(Q_1; \omega_1^{1+kp} \, \mathrm{d}x)$ *. For*  $0 < t < 2$ *, there holds* 

$$
\int_0^t \int_{Q_1} |g_k(x, z)|^p dx \, dz \lesssim_{b,k,p} \int_{Q_1} \min\{x_1, t\}^{1+kp} |f(x)|^p dx, \qquad (5.7)
$$

*where*  $g_k$  *is defined in* [\(4.6\)](#page-27-3).

*Proof* Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $f \in L^p(\mathcal{Q}_1; \omega_1^{1+kp} dx)$  be given. Let  $z \in (0, t)$ . Then, for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{Q}_1$  and  $\mathbf{y} \in (0, 1)^2$ , there holds  $z \le \min\{x_1 + zy_1, t\}/y_1$ , and so

$$
|g_k(x, z)| \leq \int_{(0,1)^2} \min\{x_1 + zy_1, t\}^k |y_1^{-k}b(y)||f(x + zy)| dy
$$
  

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{x_1}^{x_2 + z} \int_{x_1}^{x_1 + z} \min\{u_1, t\}^k |f(u)| du_1 du_2.
$$

Integrating over  $x_2 \in (0, \infty)$  and applying [\(5.4\)](#page-34-0) to the function

$$
\tilde{f}(u_2; x_1, z) = \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} |\tilde{\omega}_1^k f(\boldsymbol{u})| \, \mathrm{d}u_1
$$
, where  $\tilde{\omega}_1(\boldsymbol{u}) := \min\{u_1, t\}^k$ 



<span id="page-36-0"></span>
$$
\Box
$$

and using that  $0 < z < t < 2$  gives

$$
\int_0^\infty |g_k(x, z)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \le \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{x_2}^{x_2 + z} \tilde{f}(u_2; x_1, z) \, \mathrm{d}u_2 \right)^p \, \mathrm{d}x_2
$$
  

$$
\le 2^{p-1} \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1 + z} |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(u_1, x_2)| \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \right)^p \, \mathrm{d}x_2.
$$

Hardy's inequality [\[40](#page-63-16), Theorem 327] then shows that, for  $0 < x_2 < \infty$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^t \left( \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(u_1, x_2)| \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \right)^p \, \mathrm{d}z \stackrel{v=x_1+z}{=} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+t} \left( \int_{x_1}^v |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(u_1, x_2)| \, \mathrm{d}u_1 \right)^p \, \mathrm{d}v
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim_p \int_{x_1}^{x_1+t} |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(v, x_2)|^p \, \mathrm{d}v,
$$

and so

$$
\int_0^t \int_0^\infty |g_k(x, z)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x_2 \, \mathrm{d}z \lesssim_{b,k,p} \int_0^\infty \int_{x_1}^{x_1+t} |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(v, x_2)|^p \, \mathrm{d}v \, \mathrm{d}x_2.
$$

Integrating over  $x_1$  and changing the order of integration gives

$$
\int_0^t \int_{Q_1} |g_k(x, z)|^p dx dz \lesssim_{b,k,p} \int_{Q_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+t} |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(v, x_2)|^p dv dx
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^t \int_0^v + \int_t^\infty \int_{v-t}^v \right) |(\tilde{\omega}_1^k f)(v, x_2)|^p dx_1 dv dx_2
$$
  

$$
\leq \int_{Q_1} \tilde{\omega}_1(v, x_2)^{1+kp} |f(v, x_2)|^p dv dx_2,
$$

which completes the proof.

# 5.2 Continuity of  $\mathcal{E}_0$

In this section, we prove Theorem [5.1](#page-34-1) in the case  $k = 0$ . We will utilize the following equivalent norm on  $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{O}_1)$ .

**Lemma 5.6** *For all p* ∈ (1, ∞)*, s* ∈ (0, 1)*, and*  $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{O}_1)$ *, there holds* 

<span id="page-37-0"></span>
$$
\|f\|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1}^p \approx_{s,p} \|f\|_{p,\mathcal{O}_1}^p + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|f(x+t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(x)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}t. \tag{5.8}
$$

*Proof* Let  $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{O}_1)$ . Thanks to [\[44](#page-63-15), Theorem 6.38], there holds

$$
|f|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1}^p \approx_{s,p} \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^\infty \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x})|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \,\mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \,\mathrm{d}t,
$$
  

$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{e}_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{T}}_0 \\ \mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1}} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{e}_1] \approx \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{e}_2] \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_1 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_1 \approx \mathbf{e}_2 \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{e}_2 \approx \mathbf{e
$$

and [\(5.8\)](#page-37-0) now follows on noting that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^3 \int_1^\infty \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|f(\mathbf{x}+t\mathbf{e}_i)-f(\mathbf{x})|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim_{s,p} ||f||_{p,\mathcal{O}_1}^p.
$$

We now estimate each term in  $(5.8)$ . The first result deals with terms involving translations in the first two coordinate directions.

**Lemma 5.7** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2)*. For*  $1 < p < \infty$ *,*  $0 < s < 1/p$ , and  $1 \le i \le 2$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{e}_i, z) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim_{\chi, b, s, p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p, \mathcal{Q}_1}^p
$$
\n(5.9)

*for all*  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1/p$ , and  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$  be given. Let  $g_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  be as in [\(4.6\)](#page-27-3) with  $k = 0$  and let  $\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, z, t) := g_0(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{e}_i, z) - g_0(\mathbf{x}, z)$ . **Step 1.** Let  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ . We will show that

<span id="page-38-1"></span><span id="page-38-0"></span>
$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \frac{|\tilde{g}(x, z, t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim_{b, s, p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p, \mathcal{Q}_1}^p. \tag{5.10}
$$

We begin by decomposing the above integral into two terms:

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{Q_1} \frac{|\tilde{g}(x, z, t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} dx dz dt
$$
  
=  $\left(\int_0^1 \int_0^t \int_{Q_1} + \int_0^1 \int_t^2 \int_{Q_1} \right) \frac{|\tilde{g}(x, z, t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} dx dz dt =: A_i + B_i.$ 

**Part (a):**  $A_i$ . Let  $0 < t < 1$ . Then,  $f(\cdot + t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\cdot) \in L^p(\mathcal{Q}_1, \omega_1 \, dx)$  and

$$
\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, z, t) = \int_{Q_1} b(\mathbf{y}) \left[ f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y} + t\mathbf{e}_i) - f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y}) \right] d\mathbf{y}.
$$

Integrating  $(5.7)$  over  $0 < t < 1$  then gives

$$
A_i \lesssim_{b,p} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \int_0^1 \min\{x_1,t\} \frac{|f(\pmb{x}+\pmb{t}\pmb{\mathrm{e}}_i)|^p + |f(\pmb{x})|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d} t \, \mathrm{d} \pmb{x}.
$$

For  $i = 1$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^{\infty} \int_0^1 t^{-sp} |f(x + t e_1)|^p dt dx_1^{\tilde{x}_1 = x_1 + t} \int_0^1 \int_t^{\infty} t^{-sp} |f(\tilde{x}_1, x_2)|^p d\tilde{x}_1 dt
$$

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

$$
= \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^{\tilde{x}_1} + \int_1^{\infty} \int_0^1 \right) t^{-sp} |f(\tilde{x}_1, x_2)|^p dt d\tilde{x}_1
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \int_0^{\infty} \min{\{\tilde{x}_1, 1\}}^{1-sp} |f(\tilde{x}_1, x_2)|^p d\tilde{x}_1.
$$

On the other hand, note that for any  $0 \le u < \infty$  and  $\tilde{f}(x) = f(x + u e_2)$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \min\{x_1, t\} t^{-(1+sp)} |\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})|^p \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x_1
$$
\n
$$
= \left( \int_0^1 \int_0^{x_1} + \int_1^\infty \int_0^1 \right) t^{-sp} |\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})|^p \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x_1 + \int_0^1 \int_{x_1}^1 t^{-(1+sp)} x_1 |\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})|^p \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x_1
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \int_0^\infty \min\{x_1, 1\}^{1-sp} |\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})|^p \, \mathrm{d}x_1 + \int_0^1 (x_1^{-sp} - 1)x_1 |\tilde{f}(\mathbf{x})|^p \, \mathrm{d}x_1
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim \int_0^\infty \min\{x_1, 1\}^{1-sp} |f(x_1, x_2 + u)|^p \, \mathrm{d}x_1.
$$

The bound  $A_i \leq_{b,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p,Q_1}^p$  now follows on performing a change of variables and collecting results.

**Part (b):**  $B_i$ . Using identity [\(4.7\)](#page-28-0), we obtain

$$
\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, z, t) = z^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left[ b \left( \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - t \mathbf{e}_i}{z} \right) - b \left( \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{z} \right) \right] f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y}
$$

$$
= -z^{-3} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^t (\partial_t b) \left( \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} - r \mathbf{e}_i}{z} \right) f(\mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{y}.
$$

Writing  $z^{-2}|\partial_i b| = (z^{-2}|\partial_i b|)^{1-1/p}(z^{-2}|\partial_i b|)^{1/p}$  and applying Hölder's inequality gives

$$
|\tilde{g}(x, z, t)|^p \le \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{z^2} |\partial_i b| \left(\frac{y - x - r\mathbf{e}_i}{z}\right) dy dr\right)^{p-1} \times \frac{1}{z^{p+2}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i b| \left(\frac{y - x - r\mathbf{e}_i}{z}\right) |f(y)|^p dy dr
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{b, p} \frac{t^{p-1}}{z^{p+2}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i b| \left(\frac{y - x - r\mathbf{e}_i}{z}\right) |f(y)|^p dy dr.
$$

Integrating over *x* gives

$$
\int_{Q_1} |\tilde{g}(x, z, t)|^p dx \stackrel{\tilde{x} = x + re_i}{\leq} \frac{t^{p-1}}{z^{p+2}} \int_0^t \int_{Q_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i b| \left( \frac{y - \tilde{x}}{z} \right) |f(y)|^p dy d\tilde{x} dr
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{t^p}{z^{p+2}} \int_{Q_1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_i b| \left( \frac{y - \tilde{x}}{z} \right) |f(y)|^p dy d\tilde{x}
$$
  

$$
\stackrel{\text{E}_0 \sqsubseteq \mathbb{S}^1}{\leq} \text{Springer} \quad \stackrel{\text{E}_1 \sqcup \mathbb{S}^1}{\leq} \text{Supp.}
$$

$$
\lesssim_b \frac{t^p}{z^{p+2}} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} |f(\mathbf{y})|^p \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}x.
$$

Integrating over *z* and *t*, we obtain

$$
B_i \lesssim_{b,p} \int_0^1 \int_t^2 \frac{t^{(1-s)p-1}}{z^{p+2}} \int_{Q_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} |f(\mathbf{y})|^p \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^2 \int_0^z \frac{t^{(1-s)p-1}}{z^{p+2}} \int_{Q_1} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} |f(\mathbf{y})|^p \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}z
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{p(1-s)} \int_{Q_1} \int_0^2 \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} \frac{1}{z^{2+sp}} |f(\mathbf{y})|^p \, \mathrm{d}y_2 \, \mathrm{d}y_1 \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}.
$$

Applying [\(5.6\)](#page-35-0), we obtain  $B_i \lesssim_{b,s,p} \| \omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f \|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1}^p$ , which completes the proof of  $(5.10).$  $(5.10).$ 

**Step 2.** Since supp  $\chi \subset B(0, 2)$ , there holds

$$
\int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x} + t\mathbf{e}_i, z) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} d\mathbf{x} dz dt
$$
  
= 
$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} |\chi(z)|^p \frac{|\tilde{g}(\mathbf{x}, z, t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} d\mathbf{x} dz dt \lesssim_{\chi, b, s, p} \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \min\{x_1, 1\}^{1-sp} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},
$$

which completes the proof.

The next result deals with the term involving a translation in the *z*-direction.

**Lemma 5.8** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2)*. For*  $1 < p < \infty$ *and*  $0 < s < 1/p$ *, there holds* 

$$
\int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z + t) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim_{\chi, b, s, p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p, \mathcal{Q}_1}^p \tag{5.11}
$$

*for all*  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 < s < 1/p$ , and  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$  be given. Let  $g_0(\cdot, \cdot)$  be defined as in  $(4.6)$ .

**Step 1.** We will first show that

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}} \frac{|g_0(\mathbf{x}, z + t) - g_0(\mathbf{x}, z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x} \, \mathrm{d}z \, \mathrm{d}t \lesssim_{b,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1}^p. \tag{5.12}
$$

Applying [\(5.5\)](#page-35-1) gives

$$
\int_0^1 \int_0^2 \frac{|g_0(x, z+t) - g_0(x, z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} dz dt \le \int_0^2 z^{(1-s)p} |\partial_z g_0(x, z)|^p dz. \tag{5.13}
$$

<span id="page-40-1"></span><span id="page-40-0"></span> $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

<span id="page-40-2"></span>
$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

Applying identity [\(4.7\)](#page-28-0), we obtain

$$
\partial_z g_0(x, z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \partial_z \left\{ z^{-2} b \left( \frac{y - x}{z} \right) \right\} f(y) \, dy
$$
  
= 
$$
- \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ 2z^{-3} b \left( \frac{y - x}{z} \right) + z^{-4} D b \left( \frac{y - x}{z} \right) \cdot (y - x) \right\} f(y) \, dy_1 \, dy_2.
$$

For  $y \in (x_1, x_1 + z) \times (x_2, x_2 + z)$ , there holds

$$
\left|2z^{-3}b\left(\frac{y-x}{z}\right)+z^{-4}Db\left(\frac{y-x}{z}\right)\cdot(y-x)\right|\lesssim_b z^{-3},
$$

where we used that *b* and *Db* are uniformly bounded. Since supp  $b \subset (0, 1)^2$ , we obtain

$$
\int_0^2 z^{(1-s)p} |\partial_z g_0(x,z)| dz \lesssim_b \int_0^2 \frac{1}{z^{2+sp}} \int_{x_2}^{x_2+z} \int_{x_1}^{x_1+z} |f(\mathbf{y})| dy_1 dy_2 dz.
$$

Inequality [\(5.12\)](#page-40-0) now follows on integrating [\(5.13\)](#page-40-1) over  $x \in Q_1$  and applying [\(5.6\)](#page-35-0). **Step 2.** For  $0 < t < 2$  and  $x \in Q_1$ , we add and subtract  $\chi(z+t)g_0(x, t)$  to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x, z+t) - \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)(x, z)|^p &\lesssim_p |\chi(z+t)|^p |g_0(x, z+t) - g_0(x, t)|^p \\ &+ |\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^p |g_0(x, z)|^p. \end{aligned}
$$

For the first term, we use that supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$  and apply [\(5.12\)](#page-40-0) to obtain

$$
\int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} |\chi(z+t)|^p \frac{|g_0(x, z+t) - g_0(x,t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} dx dz dt
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{\chi, p} \int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \frac{|g_0(x, z+t) - g_0(x,t)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} dx dz dt \lesssim_{b,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s} f\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1}^p.
$$

For the second term, we again use that supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$  as well as the assumption  $0 < s < 1/p$ :

$$
\int_0^1 \int_{\mathcal{O}_1} \frac{|\chi(z+t) - \chi(z)|^p}{t^{1+sp}} |g_0(x, z)|^p \, dx \, dz \, dt
$$
\n
$$
= \int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} \frac{1}{t^{1+sp}} \left| \int_z^{z+t} \chi'(r) \, dr \right|^p |g_0(x, z)|^p \, dx \, dz \, dt
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim_{x,p} \int_0^1 \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} t^{(1-s)p-1} |g_0(x, z)|^p \, dx \, dz \, dt
$$
\n
$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \int_0^2 \int_{\mathcal{Q}_1} |g_0(x, z)|^p \, dx \, dz.
$$

Inequality  $(5.11)$  now follows from  $(5.7)$ .

 $\bigcirc$  Springer  $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$   $\bigcirc$ 

We now obtain Theorem [5.1](#page-34-1) in the case  $k = 0$ .

**Lemma 5.9** *Let*  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  *and*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  *be as in Theorem* [4.1](#page-26-2)*. For*  $1 < p < \infty$ *and*  $0 \leq s \leq 1/p$ *, there holds* 

<span id="page-42-3"></span>
$$
\|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0(f)\|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1} \lesssim_{\chi,b,k,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-s}f\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathcal{Q}_1,\omega_1^{1-sp} \, \mathrm{d}x). \tag{5.14}
$$

*Proof* The case  $s = 0$  follows on taking  $t = 2$  in [\(5.7\)](#page-36-0) and using the fact that  $\|\mathcal{E}_0(f)\|_{p,\mathcal{O}_1} \lesssim_{\chi,p} \|g\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1\times(0,2)},$  where *g* is defined in [\(4.6\)](#page-27-3). The case  $0 < s < 1/p$ follows from the norm equivalence  $(5.8)$ , the bounds  $(5.9)$  and  $(5.11)$ , and the density of  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}_1)$  in  $L^p(\mathcal{O}_1, \omega_1^{1-sp} \, dx)$ . □

#### <span id="page-42-1"></span>**5.3 Proof of Theorem [5.1](#page-34-1)**

Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Step 1:**  $s = 0$ . Taking  $t = 2$  in [\(5.7\)](#page-36-0) and using the fact that  $\|\mathcal{E}_k(f)\|_{p,\mathcal{O}_1} \lesssim_{\chi,p}$  $\|g_k\|_{p,Q_1\times(0,2)}$ , where  $g_k$  is defined in [\(4.6\)](#page-27-3), we obtain [\(5.3\)](#page-34-2) in the case  $s=0$ . **Step 2:**  $s \in \{1, 2, ..., k\}$ . Let  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$ . Applying  $(4.11)$  with  $|\alpha| \leq k$ , we obtain

$$
||D^{\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)||_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{O}_1} \leq \sum_{0 \leq i \leq \alpha_3} ||\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{k+i-|\alpha|}[\chi_i,b_{ki}](f)||_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{O}_1},\tag{5.15}
$$

where  $\chi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $b_{ki} \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$  are suitable functions depending on  $\chi$  and  $b$ respectively and  $0 \le \sigma < 1$ . Applying [\(5.3\)](#page-34-2) with  $s = 0$  then gives

<span id="page-42-2"></span>
$$
|\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)|_{s,p,\mathcal{O}_1}\lesssim_{\chi,b,k,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}+k-s}f\|_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1},
$$

where we used that  $k + i - |\alpha| \geq k - m$  for  $0 \leq i \leq \alpha_3$ . By density, [\(5.3\)](#page-34-2) holds for  $s \in \{0, 1, \ldots, k\}.$ 

**Step 3:**  $0 \leq s \leq k$ . This case follows from interpolating Step 2 (see e.g. [\[23](#page-62-16), Theorem 14.2.3] and [\[17,](#page-62-17) Theorem 5.4.1]).

**Step 4:**  $k < s < k + 1/p$ . Let  $\sigma = s - k$  so that  $0 < \sigma < 1/p$ . Setting  $\tilde{\chi}_{ik\alpha}(z) :=$  $z^{k+i-|\alpha|} \chi_i \in C^{\infty_c}(\mathcal{Q}_1)$  so that supp  $\tilde{\chi}_{ik\alpha} \in (-2, 2)$  and applying [\(5.15\)](#page-42-2) and [\(5.14\)](#page-42-3) then gives

$$
||D^{\alpha}\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)||_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{O}_1}\leq \sum_{0\leq i\leq \alpha_3}||\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_0[\tilde{\chi}_{ik\alpha},b_{ki}](f)||_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{O}_1}\lesssim_{\chi,b,k,\sigma,p}||\omega_1^{\frac{1}{p}-\sigma}f||_{p,\mathcal{Q}_1}.
$$

Inequality [\(5.3\)](#page-34-2) now follows.

# <span id="page-42-0"></span>**6 Continuity of Fundamental Operators**

In this section, we prove the continuity and interpolation properties of the four fundamental operators  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$  defined in [\(3.1\)](#page-11-1),  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  defined in [\(3.8\)](#page-13-3),  $\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  defined in [\(3.25\)](#page-19-0),

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{E-}\square\\ \n\text{S}\text{pringer} & \n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{E-}\square\\ \n\text{E-}\square\end{array}\\ \n\text{E}\text{proj}\n\end{array}$ 

$$
\qquad \qquad \Box
$$

and  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}$  defined in [\(3.37\)](#page-23-1). We begin with the properties of  $\mathcal{E}_{k}^{[1]}$ , which rely on the results of Sect. [4.](#page-26-0) Then, in Sect. [6.2,](#page-43-1) we show that the four fundamental operators are continuous from weighted  $L^p$  spaces [\(5.1\)](#page-34-3) to  $W^{s,p}(K)$  for small *s*, which will be useful for the analysis of  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ . This section concludes with the proofs of Lemmas [3.3,](#page-13-0) [3.7](#page-20-0) and [3.11.](#page-23-0)

## <span id="page-43-0"></span>**6.1 Proof of Lemma [3.1](#page-11-2)**

**Step 1: Continuity** [\(3.4\)](#page-12-3). Let  $\tilde{b}$  denote the extension by zero of *b* to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\chi \in$  $C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\chi \equiv 1$  on (−1, 1) and supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$ . Let  $f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, p}(T)$  be given and let  $\tilde{f}$  denote a bounded extension  $f$  to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^2} \lesssim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,T}$ ; see e.g. [\[34](#page-63-17)]. Thanks to the identity

<span id="page-43-3"></span><span id="page-43-2"></span>
$$
\mathcal{E}_k(f) = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_k(f)[\chi, \tilde{b}](f) \quad \text{on } K,\tag{6.1}
$$

where  $\tilde{E}_k$  is defined in [\(4.1\)](#page-26-4), inequality [\(3.4\)](#page-12-3) immediately follows from [\(4.3\)](#page-27-4) and the smoothness of the mapping  $\mathfrak{I}_1$  defined in [\(3.2\)](#page-11-3).

**Step 2: Trace property** [\(3.3\)](#page-11-4). Direct computation shows that (3.3) holds. **Step 3: Polynomial preservation.** If  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , then direct inspection  $\text{reveals that } \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K).$ 

### <span id="page-43-1"></span>**6.2 Weighted Continuity**

We begin with the continuity of  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$ .

**Lemma 6.1** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$  or  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ *. Then, for all t*<sub>1</sub>, *t*<sub>2</sub>, *t*<sub>3</sub> ∈ [0, ∞) *such that t*<sub>1</sub> + *t*<sub>2</sub> + *t*<sub>3</sub> = *k* − *s* + 1/*p*, *there holds*

$$
\|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T} \quad \forall f \in L^p(T; (\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3})^p \, \mathrm{d}x), \tag{6.2}
$$

*where*  $\omega_i$  *are defined in* [\(3.7\)](#page-13-2).

### *Proof* Let  $t = k - s + 1/p$ .

**Step 1:**  $t_2 = t_3 = 0$ . Let  $\tilde{b}$  denote the extension by zero of *b* to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and let  $\chi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\chi \equiv 1$  on (−1, 1) and supp  $\chi \in (-2, 2)$ . Let  $f \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$  be given and let  $\tilde{f}$  denote the extension by zero of f to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Thanks to the identity [\(6.1\)](#page-43-2), [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3) with  $t_2 = t_3 = 0$  follows from [\(5.3\)](#page-34-2), where we recall that  $\omega_1$  is extended to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by [\(5.2\)](#page-34-4), and a standard density argument. The case  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$  follows from a similar argument using  $(4.3)$ .

**Step 2:**  $t_1 = t_3 = 0$ . We define transformations  $\mathfrak{F}_1 : T \to T$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_1 : K \to K$  as follows:

<span id="page-43-4"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{F}_1(x) := (x_2, x_1) \text{ and } \mathfrak{G}_1(x, z) := (x_2, x_1, z) \quad (x, z) \in K.
$$
 (6.3)

 $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

Then, a change of variable shows that  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) \circ \mathfrak{G}_1 = \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}[b \circ \mathfrak{F}_1](f \circ \mathfrak{F}_1)$ , and so

$$
\|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} = \|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) \circ \mathfrak{G}_1\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,s,p} \|\omega_1^t(f \circ \mathfrak{F}_1)\|_{p,T} = \|\omega_2^t f\|_{p,T},
$$

where we applied Step 1 in the middle inequality.

**Step 3:**  $t_3 = 0$ . Applying Steps 1 and 2 and interpolating between  $L^p(T; \omega_1^{tp} dx)$  and  $L^p(T; \omega_2^{tp} dx)$  (see e.g. [\[17](#page-62-17), Theorem 5.4.1]) then gives [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3). **Step 4:**  $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ . We define transformations  $\mathfrak{F}_2 : T \to T$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2 : K \to K$  as

follows:

<span id="page-44-1"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{F}_2(x) := (x_2, 1 - x_1 - x_2) \text{ and}
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathfrak{G}_2(x, z) := (1 - x_1 - x_2 - z, x_1, z) \quad (x, z) \in K.
$$
 (6.4)

A change of variables then gives  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f) \circ \mathfrak{G}_2 = \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}[b \circ \mathfrak{F}_2](f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2)$ , and so

$$
\|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)\|_{t,p,K}\lesssim \|\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(f)\circ\mathfrak{G}_2\|_{t,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,t,p} \|\omega_1^t(f\circ\mathfrak{F}_2)\|_{p,T}=\|\omega_3^t f\|_{p,T},
$$

where we applied Step 1 in the middle inequality.

**Step 5: General case.** Applying Steps 3 and 4 and interpolating between  $L^p(T; (\omega_1^{r_1} \omega_2^{r_2})^p dx)$  with  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$  with  $r_1 + r_2 = t$  and  $L^p(T; \omega_3^{p_1} dx)$  (see e.g. [\[17,](#page-62-17) Theorem 5.4.1]) gives [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3).

We now turn to the continuity of  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ .

**Lemma 6.2** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *,*  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,*  $1 < p < \infty$ *, and*  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$  *or*  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ *. Then, for all t*<sub>1</sub>, *t*<sub>2</sub>, *t*<sub>3</sub> ∈ [0, ∞) *such that t*<sub>1</sub> + *t*<sub>2</sub> + *t*<sub>3</sub> = *k* − *s* + 1/*p*, *there holds*

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T} \quad \forall f \in L^p(T; (\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3})^p \, \mathrm{d}x),\tag{6.5}
$$

*where*  $\omega_i$  *are defined in* [\(3.7\)](#page-13-2).

*Proof* Let  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$  or  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ . We proceed by induction on *r*. The case  $r = 0$  follows from [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3), so assume that [\(6.5\)](#page-44-0) holds for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Direction computation gives

$$
\mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x}, z) - \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x}, z)
$$
\n
$$
= x_2^r \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T b(\mathbf{y}) \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y})}{(x_2 + zy_2)^r} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_2 + zy_2} - 1\right) d\mathbf{y}
$$
\n
$$
= x_2^r \frac{(-z)^{k+1}}{k!} \int_T y_2 b(\mathbf{y}) \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y})}{(x_2 + zy_2)^{r+1}} d\mathbf{y}
$$
\n
$$
= (k+1) \mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]} [\omega_2 b] (\omega_2^{-1} f)(\mathbf{x}, z),
$$

<span id="page-44-0"></span>

which leads to the following identity

<span id="page-45-1"></span>
$$
\mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f) = (k+1)\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1} f) + \mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f). \tag{6.6}
$$

Consequently, there holds

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \le (k+1) \|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K} + \|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K}.
$$

Applying [\(6.5\)](#page-44-0) with  $\tau_1 = t_1$ ,  $\tau_2 = t_2 + 1$  and  $\tau_3 = t_3$  gives

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\tau_1}\omega_2^{\tau_2-1}\omega_3^{\tau_3}f\|_{p,T}=\|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T},
$$

and so  $\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \leq b,k,r,s,p \quad \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T}$ , which completes the  $\Box$ 

It will be convenient to define a three-parameter version of  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}$  as follows:

<span id="page-45-3"></span><span id="page-45-0"></span>
$$
S_{k,r,q}^{[1]}(f)(x,z) := x_1^q x_2^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(\omega_1^{-q} \omega_2^{-r} f)(x,z)
$$
(6.7)

for  $k, r, q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . This three-parameter version satisfies the same continuity properties as  $\mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ .

**Lemma 6.3** *Let*  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ *, k, r, q*  $\in \mathbb{N}_0$ *,*  $1 < p < \infty$ *, and*  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$  *or*  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ *. Then, for all t*<sub>1</sub>, *t*<sub>2</sub>, *t*<sub>3</sub> ∈ [0, ∞) *such that t*<sub>1</sub> + *t*<sub>2</sub> + *t*<sub>3</sub> = *k* − *s* + 1/*p*, *there holds*

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r,q}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,q,s,p} \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T} \qquad \forall f \in L^p(T; (\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3})^p \, \mathrm{d}x),\tag{6.8}
$$

*where*  $\omega_i$  *are defined in* [\(3.7\)](#page-13-2).

*Proof* Let  $0 \le s < k + 1/p$  or  $(s, p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)$ . We proceed by induction on *q*. The case  $q = 0$  follows from [\(6.5\)](#page-44-0), so assume that [\(6.8\)](#page-45-0) holds for some  $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Direct computation gives

<span id="page-45-2"></span>
$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{k,r,q+1}^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) - \mathcal{S}_{k,r,q}^{[1]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) \\ &= x_1^q x_2^r \frac{(-z)^k}{k!} \int_T b(\mathbf{y}) \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y})}{(x_1 + zy_1)^q (x_2 + zy_2)^r} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_1 + zy_1} - 1\right) \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \\ &= x_1^q x_2^r \frac{(-z)^{k+1}}{k!} \int_T y_1 b(\mathbf{y}) \frac{f(\mathbf{x} + z\mathbf{y})}{(x_1 + zy_1)^{q+1} (x_2 + zy_2)^r} \mathrm{d}\mathbf{y} \\ &= (k+1) \mathcal{S}_{k+1,r,q}^{[1]} [\omega_1 b] (\omega_1^{-1} f)(\mathbf{x}, z), \end{split}
$$

which leads to the following identity

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k,r,q+1}^{[1]}(f) = (k+1)\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r,q}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-1}f) + \mathcal{S}_{k,r,q}^{[1]}(f). \tag{6.9}
$$
  
 
$$
\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathbf{T}}_{\mathbf{0}} \\ \mathbf{b} \supseteq \mathbf{b}}} \mathbb{S}_{\mathbf{p} \text{ringer}} \quad \mathbf{b} \to \mathbf{b}
$$

Consequently, there holds

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r,q+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \le (k+1) \|\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r,q}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K} + \|\mathcal{M}_{k,r,q}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K}.
$$

Applying [\(6.8\)](#page-45-0) with  $\tau_1 = t_1 + 1$ ,  $\tau_2 = t_2$  and  $\tau_3 = t_3$  gives

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r,q}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,q,s,p} \|\omega_1^{\tau_1-1}\omega_2^{\tau_2}\omega_3^{\tau_3}f\|_{p,T} = \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T},
$$
  
and so  $\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r,q+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,q,s,p} \|\omega_1^{t_1}\omega_2^{t_2}\omega_3^{t_3}f\|_{p,T}.$ 

## <span id="page-46-0"></span>**6.3 Proof of Lemma [3.3](#page-13-0)**

**Step 1: Continuity** [\(3.12\)](#page-14-2). We first show that (3.12) holds with  $\Gamma_1$  replaced by *T* and  $\gamma_{12}$  replaced by  $\gamma_2$ , where we recall that the edges of *T* are labeled as in Fig. [1b](#page-3-0): For all  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k \cup \{(k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)\}\$ , and  $f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, p}(T) \cap W_{\gamma_2}^{\min\{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, r\}, p}(T)$ , there holds

<span id="page-46-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \begin{cases} \|f\|_{2,T} & \text{if } (s,p) = (k + \frac{1}{2}, 2),\\ \gamma_2 \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} & \text{if } k + \frac{1}{p} < s \le k + r + \frac{1}{p},\\ \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} & \text{if } s > k + r + \frac{1}{p}.\end{cases} \tag{6.10}
$$

We proceed by induction on *r*. The case  $r = 0$  follows from [\(3.4\)](#page-12-3) and [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3), so assume that [\(6.10\)](#page-46-1) holds for some  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in A_k \cup \{(k + \frac{1}{2}, 2)\}\$ , and  $f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, p}(T) \cap W_{\gamma_2}^{\min\{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, r+1\}, p}(T)$  be given. Thanks to  $(6.6)$ , there holds

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \le (k+1) \|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K} + \|\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K}.
$$

**Part (a):**  $k + 1/p \le s \le k + 1 + 1/p$ . Thanks to Theorem [A.3,](#page-56-0) there holds  $\omega_2^{-1} f \in$  $L^p(T; \omega_2^{(k-s+1)p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x)$  and [\(A.9\)](#page-56-1) and [\(A.7\)](#page-56-2) give

$$
\|\omega_2^{k-s+1+\frac{1}{p}}\omega_2^{-1}f\|_{p,T}=\|\omega_2^{k-s+\frac{1}{p}}f\|_{p,T}\lesssim_{k,s,p}\|\phi\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}.
$$

Consequently, we apply  $(6.5)$  to obtain

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \|\omega_2^{k-s+\frac{1}{p}}f\|_{p,T}\lesssim_{k,s,p} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_2\|\cdot\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T}\\ \bigoplus_{\substack{c=0,\\ \omega\text{-Sprime}}}}}\mathbb{E}_{\substack{\mathbb{C}}\|\cdot\|_{s-\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p},\frac{1}{p}}.
$$

**Part (b):**  $k + 1 + 1/p < s \le k + r + 1 + 1/p$ . Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) shows that  $\omega_2^{-1} f \in$  $W_{\gamma_2}^{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(T)$  and [\(6.10\)](#page-46-1) and [\(A.8\)](#page-56-3) then give

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_2}} \|\omega_2^{-1} f\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p,T}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{k,s,p} \sum_{\substack{\gamma_2}} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T}.
$$

**Part (c):**  $s > k + r + 1 + 1/p$ . Thanks to Theorem [A.3,](#page-56-0) there holds  $\omega_2^{-1} f \in$  $W^{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(T) \cap W^r_{\gamma_2}(T)$ , and so we apply [\(6.10\)](#page-46-1) and [\(A.7\)](#page-56-2) to obtain

$$
\|\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \|\omega_2^{-1}f\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p,T} \lesssim_{k,s,p} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T}.
$$

Inequality  $(6.10)$  for  $r + 1$  now follows from the triangle inequality. The smoothness of the mapping  $\mathfrak{I}_1$  defined in [\(3.2\)](#page-11-3) then gives [\(3.12\)](#page-14-2).

**Step 2: Trace properties** [\(3.11a\)](#page-14-3) and [\(3.11b\)](#page-14-4). Direct computation shows that [\(3.11a\)](#page-14-3) and [\(3.11b\)](#page-14-4) hold.

**Step 3: Polynomial preservation.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{12}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ . Then,  $f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1 = \omega_2^r g$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{P}_{N-r}(T)$ , and so  $\mathcal{M}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) = x_2^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(g) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$  thanks to Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-2)

## <span id="page-47-0"></span>**6.4 Proof of Lemma [3.7](#page-20-0)**

**Step 1: Continuity** [\(3.29\)](#page-20-4). We first show that the following analogue of (3.29) holds: Let  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k \cup \{(k + 1/2, 2)\}$ , and  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ . For all  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(T)$ , there holds

<span id="page-47-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \begin{cases} \|f\|_{2,T} & \text{if } (s,p) = (k+\frac{1}{2}, 2),\\ \varepsilon_r \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$
(6.11)

We proceed by induction on *r*. The case  $r = 0$  follows from [\(3.4\)](#page-12-3) and [\(6.2\)](#page-43-3). Now let  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$  be given, and assume that [\(6.11\)](#page-47-1) holds for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $(s, p) \in$  $\mathcal{A}_k$  ∪ {( $k + 1/2, 2$ )}.

Let *k* ∈  $\mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k \cup \{(k + 1/2, 2)\}$ , and  $f \in W^{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, p}_{\mathfrak{E}, r+1}(T)$  be given. Then, applying  $(6.6)$  and  $(6.9)$  gives

$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f) &= (k+1)\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-1}f) + \mathcal{S}_{k,r+1,r}^{[1]}(f) \\ &= x^r \left( (k+1)\mathcal{M}_{k+1,r+1}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-(r+1)}f) + \mathcal{M}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(\omega_1^{-r}f) \right) \\ &= x^r \left[ (k+1)(k+2)\mathcal{M}_{k+2,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1 \omega_2 b](\omega_1^{-(r+1)}\omega_2^{-1}f) \\ &+ \mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-(r+1)}f) + \mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_1^{-r}\omega_2^{-1}f) + \mathcal{M}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}(\omega_1^{-r}f) \right], \\ &\text{For } \mathbb{Z} \text{ Springer} \quad \mathbb{L} \supseteq \mathbb{I} \end{split}
$$

where  $S_{k,r,q}^{[1]}$  is defined in [\(6.7\)](#page-45-3), and so

$$
\begin{split} \mathcal{S}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f) &= (k+1)(k+2)\mathcal{S}_{k+2,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1\omega_2 b]((\omega_1\omega_2)^{-1}f) \\ &+ (k+1)\left(\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1 b](\omega_1^{-1}f) + \mathcal{S}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_2 b](\omega_2^{-1}f)\right) + \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f). \end{split}
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r+1}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_k \|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} + \sum_{i=1}^2 \|\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_i b](\omega_i^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K}
$$
  
 
$$
+ \|\mathcal{S}_{k+2,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1 \omega_2 b]((\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K}
$$
 (6.12)

**Part (a).** We first consider the terms  $\|\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_i b](\omega_i^{-1} f)\|_{s,p,K}$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2$ . For  $k+1/p \le s \le k+1+1/p$ , Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) shows that  $\omega_i^{-1} f \in L^p(T; \omega_i^{(k-s+1)p+1} dx)$ and  $(A.9)$  and  $(A.8)$  gives

$$
\|\omega_i^{k-s+1+\frac{1}{p}}\omega_i^{-1}f\|_{p,T}=\|\omega_i^{k-s+\frac{1}{p}}f\|_{p,T}\lesssim_{k,s,p}\|\|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}=\|\mathfrak{E}_{r+1}\|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}
$$

for  $1 \le i \le 2$ . Applying [\(6.8\)](#page-45-0) then gives

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k+1,r}^{[1]}[\omega_i b](\omega_i^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \mathcal{S}_{r+1} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}, \qquad 1 \le i \le 2. \tag{6.13}
$$

Now let  $s > k + 1 + 1/p$ . Corollary [A.4](#page-57-0) shows that  $\omega_i^{-1} f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p}(T)$  and  $(A.11)$  then gives

<span id="page-48-0"></span>
$$
\mathbf{E}_{r} \left\| \omega_{i}^{-1} f \right\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},p,T} \lesssim_{k,s,p,r} \mathbf{E}_{r+1} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T}.
$$

Inequality  $(6.13)$  then follows from  $(6.11)$ .

**Part (b).** We now turn to the term  $\|\mathcal{S}_{k+2,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1\omega_2 b]((\omega_1\omega_2)^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K}$ . Assume first that  $k + 1/p \leq s \leq k + 1 + 1/p$ . Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) shows that  $(\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-1} f \in$  $L^p(T; \omega_1^p \omega_2^{(k-s+1)p+1} \, \mathrm{d}x)$ , and [\(A.9\)](#page-56-1) and [\(A.8\)](#page-56-3) give

$$
\|\omega_1\omega_2^{k-s+1+\frac{1}{p}}\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2^{-1}f\|_{p,T}\lesssim_{k,s,p}\|\phi\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}=\|\phi_{k,r+1}\|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}.
$$

Applying [\(6.8\)](#page-45-0) then gives

$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k+2,r}^{[1]}[\omega_1\omega_2 b]((\omega_1\omega_2)^{-1}f)\|_{s,p,K}\lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p}\mathfrak{E}_{r+1}\|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}.\tag{6.14}
$$

<span id="page-48-1"></span> $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){15}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){15}} \put(15,0){\line$ 

Now assume that  $k+1+1/p < s \leq k+2+1/p$ . Thanks to Corollary [A.4,](#page-57-0)  $\omega_2^{-1} f \in$  $W_{\gamma_1, r+1}^{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p}, p}(T)$ , and so Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) gives  $(\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-1} f \in L^p(T; \omega_1^{(k-s+2)p+1} dx)$ . Inequalities  $(A.11b)$  and  $(A.8)$  then give

$$
\|\omega_1^{k-s+2+\frac{1}{p}}\omega_1^{-1}\omega_2^{-1}f\|_{p,T}\lesssim_{k,s,p}\|\omega_2^{-1}f\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},T}\lesssim_{k,s,p}\|\omega_{r+1}\|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}.
$$

Applying  $(6.8)$  then gives  $(6.14)$ .

Now assume that  $s > k + 2 + 1/p$ . Two applications of Corollary [A.4](#page-57-0) show that  $(\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-1} f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-2-\frac{1}{p},p}(T)$  and [\(A.11b\)](#page-57-2) and [\(3.27\)](#page-20-1) give

$$
\mathbf{E}_{r} \left\| (\omega_1 \omega_2)^{-1} f \right\|_{s-k-2-\frac{1}{p},T} \lesssim_{k,s,p,r} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_1,r} \left\| \omega_1^{-1} f \right\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},T} + \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_2,r+1} \left\| \omega_1^{-1} f \right\|_{s-k-1-\frac{1}{p},T}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{k,s,p,r} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_1,r+1} \| f \right\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T} + \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_2,r+1} \| f \right\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{k,s,p} \mathbf{E}_{\gamma_1,r+1} \| f \right\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},T}.
$$

Applying  $(6.11)$  then gives  $(6.14)$ . Inequality  $(6.11)$  for  $r + 1$  now follows from the triangle inequality, [\(6.13\)](#page-48-0), and [\(6.14\)](#page-48-1). The smoothness of the mapping  $\mathfrak{I}_1$  defined in [\(3.2\)](#page-11-3) then gives [\(3.29\)](#page-20-4).

**Step 2: Trace properties** [\(3.28a\)](#page-20-5) and [\(3.28b\)](#page-20-6). Direct computation shows that [\(3.28a\)](#page-20-5) and [\(3.28b\)](#page-20-6) hold.

**Step 3: Polynomial preservation.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{12}} = D^l_{\Gamma} f|_{\gamma_{13}} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ . Then,  $f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1 = (\omega_1 \omega_2)^r g$  for some *g* ∈  $\mathcal{P}_{N-2r}(T)$ , and so  $\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) = (x_1x_2)^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(g) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$  thanks to Lemma [3.1.](#page-11-2)  $\Box$ 

### <span id="page-49-0"></span>**6.5 Proof of Lemma [3.11](#page-23-0)**

**Step 1: Continuity** [\(3.39\)](#page-23-2). We first show that the following analogue of (3.39) holds: For  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , and  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$ , there holds

<span id="page-49-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{E},r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} \qquad \forall f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(T). \tag{6.15}
$$

**Part (a): Variants of**  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}$ . We begin with a brief aside. Let  $\mathfrak{E}_{ij} = \{\gamma_i, \gamma_j\}$  for  $1 \leq$  $i < j \leq 3$ . Formally define the following analogue of  $S_{k,r}^{[1]}$  [\(3.25\)](#page-19-0):

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1],(13)}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) &:= (x_1(1-x_1-x_2-z))^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}((\omega_1\omega_3)^{-r}f)(\mathbf{x},z) \\ &= \mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}[b \circ \mathfrak{F}_2](f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2) \circ \mathfrak{G}_2(\mathbf{x},z), \end{aligned} \tag{x,z) \in K,
$$

where  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_2$  are defined in [\(6.4\)](#page-44-1). Note that for any  $s \geq 0$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there holds  $f \in W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}_{13},r}(T)$  if and only if  $f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2 \in W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}_{12},r}(T)$ . Thanks to Lemma [3.7,](#page-20-0) for  $\circled{2}$  Springer  $\circled{1}$ 

 $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , there holds

<span id="page-50-0"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1],[13)}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \xi_{13,r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} \quad \forall f \in W_{\mathfrak{E}_{13},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(T),\tag{6.16}
$$

where we used that  $||f||_{t,p,T} \approx_{t,p} ||f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2||_{t,p,T}$  and  $\mathfrak{E}_{13,r}||f||_{t,p,T} \approx_{t,p}$  $\mathfrak{F}_{12,r}$  *f*  $\circ$   $\mathfrak{F}_{2}$   $\mathfrak{h}_{t,p,T}$ . Analogous arguments show that the operator

$$
\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1],[23]}(f)(\mathbf{x},z) := (x_2(1-x_1-x_2-z))^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}((\omega_2\omega_3)^{-r} f)(\mathbf{x},z)
$$
  
=  $\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1]}[b \circ \mathfrak{F}_2^{-1}](f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2^{-1}) \circ \mathfrak{G}_2^{-1}(\mathbf{x},z)$   $(\mathbf{x},z) \in K$ 

satisfies the following for  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ :

<span id="page-50-1"></span>
$$
\|\mathcal{S}_{k,r}^{[1],[23)}(f)\|_{s,p,K} \lesssim_{b,k,r,s,p} \xi_{23,r} \|f\|_{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p,T} \qquad \forall f \in W_{\mathfrak{C}_{23},r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p},p}(T). \tag{6.17}
$$

**Part (b): Key identity for**  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}$ . Thanks to Lemma [C.1,](#page-51-0) there holds

$$
\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) = (x_1x_2(1 - x_1 - x_2 - z))^r \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \\ \alpha_j \le k}} \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]} \left( \frac{c_{\alpha,1}f}{\omega_1^{\alpha_1} \omega_2^{\alpha_2}} + \frac{c_{\alpha,2}f}{\omega_1^{\alpha_1} \omega_3^{\alpha_3}} + \frac{c_{\alpha,3}f}{\omega_2^{\alpha_2} \omega_3^{\alpha_3}} \right)
$$
  

$$
= \sum_{1 \le i < j \le 3} \lambda_{m(i,j)}^r \sum_{l=1}^r (\lambda_i \lambda_j)^{r-l} \sum_{n=0}^l \left( d_{ln}^{(ij)} S_{k,l}^{[1],(ij)} (\omega_i^n f) + d_{ln}^{(ji)} S_{k,l}^{[1],(ij)} (\omega_j^n f) \right),
$$

where  $\lambda_1 := x_1, \lambda_2 := x_2, \lambda_3 := 1 - x_1 - x_2 - z, m(i, j)$  is the lone element of  $\{1, 2, 3\} \setminus \{i, j\}$ ,  $d_{ln}^{(ij)}$  and  $d_{ln}^{(ji)}$  are suitable constants, and  $S_{k,r}^{[1],(12)} := S_{k,r}^{[1]}$ .

Let  $b \in C_c^{\infty}(T)$ ,  $k, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $(s, p) \in \mathcal{A}_k$ , and  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{E}, r}^{s-k-\frac{1}{p}, p}(T)$  be given. For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and real  $t \geq 0$ , the mapping  $g \mapsto \omega_i^n g$  is continuous from  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{t,p}(T)$ to  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{t,p}(T)$ . Similarly, for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$ , the mapping  $g \mapsto \lambda_1^{\alpha_1} \lambda_2^{\alpha_2} \lambda_3^{\alpha_3} g$  is continuous from  $\ddot{W}^{s,p}(K)$  to  $W^{s,p}(K)$ . Consequently, [\(6.15\)](#page-49-1) follows from the triangle inequality,  $(3.10)$ ,  $(3.29)$ ,  $(6.16)$ , and  $(6.17)$ . The smoothness of the mapping  $\mathfrak{I}_1$   $(3.2)$  then gives [\(3.39\)](#page-23-2).

**Step 2: Trace properties** [\(3.38a\)](#page-23-3) and [\(3.38b\)](#page-23-4). Direct computation shows that (3.38a) and [\(3.38b\)](#page-23-4) hold.

**Step 3: Polynomial preservation.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{P}_N(\Gamma_1)$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , satisfies  $D^l_\Gamma f|_{\partial T} = 0$  for  $0 \le l \le r - 1$ . Then,  $f \circ \mathfrak{I}_1 = (\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3)^r g$  for some  $g \in \mathcal{P}_{N-3r}(T)$ , and so  $\mathcal{R}_{k,r}^{[1]}(f) = (x_1x_2(1 - x_1 - x_2 - z))^r \mathcal{E}_k^{[1]}(g) \in \mathcal{P}_{N+k}(K)$  thanks to Lemma  $3.1.$ 

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{E-}\square\\ \n\text{S}\text{pringer} & \n\begin{array}{c}\n\text{E-}\square\\ \n\text{E-}\square\end{array}\\ \n\text{E}\text{proj}\n\end{array}$ 

# **Appendix A: Properties of Spaces with Vanishing Traces**

In this section, we show that smooth functions with vanishing traces are dense in the space  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  [\(3.9\)](#page-13-4) and that functions in  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(T)$  [\(3.26\)](#page-19-1) satisfy a Hardy inequality.

#### **Appendix A.1 A Density Result**

We begin with a density result for the spaces  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  defined in Sect. [3.2.](#page-12-4)

**Lemma A.1** *Let*  $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq {\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3}$  *and define* 

<span id="page-51-0"></span>
$$
C_{\mathfrak{E}}^{\infty}(T) := \left\{ \phi \in C^{\infty}(\overline{T}) : \bigcup_{\gamma \in \mathfrak{E}} \gamma \cap \operatorname{supp} \phi = \emptyset \right\}.
$$

*For*  $1 < p < \infty$  *and*  $0 \leq s < \infty$ *, the space*  $C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  *is dense in*  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $\mathfrak{E} \subseteq \{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \}$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  be given.

**Step 1:**  $0 \le s < 1/p$ . The space  $C_c^{\infty}(T) \subseteq C_c^{\infty}(T)$  is dense in  $W^{s,p}(T) = W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(T)$ (see e.g. [\[38](#page-63-18), Theorem 1.4.5.2]).

**Step 2:**  $s \geq 1/p$  **and**  $\mathfrak{E} = {\gamma_1}$ . Let  $s = m + \sigma$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ , and let  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(T)$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we construct a partition of unity on *T* as follows. Let  ${a_i}_{i=1}^3$  denote the vertices of *T* labeled counterclockwise as in Fig. [1b](#page-3-0) and define the following sets:

$$
U_0 := \left\{ x \in T : \text{dist}(x, \partial T) > \frac{1}{2n} \right\},
$$
  

$$
U_{(i-1)(n-1)+j} = U_j^{(i)} := B\left(a_{i+2} + \frac{j}{n}t_i, \frac{3}{4n}\right) \cap \overline{T}, 1 \le j \le n-1, 1 \le i \le 3,
$$
  

$$
U_{3n-3+k} := B\left(a_k, \frac{3}{4n}\right) \cap \overline{T}, 1 \le k \le 3,
$$

where we use the notation  $B(x, r)$  to denote the ball of radius *r* centered at *x*. By construction,  $T \subset \bigcup_{i=0}^{3n} U_i$ , and so there exists a partition of unity  $\{\phi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{U}_i)$ :  $0 \leq i \leq 3n$ } satisfying

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{3n} \phi_i = 1 \text{ and } ||D^k \phi_i||_{\infty, \mathcal{U}_i} \lesssim_k n^k, \quad 0 \le i \le 3n, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

We denote  $f_i := \phi_i f$  for  $0 \le i \le 3n - 3$  and set  $V_i := U_i \cap T$  for  $0 \le i \le 3n$ .

Let  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^{3n-3}$  be arbitrary positive constants. The construction proceeds in several parts.

 $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

**Part (a).** The function  $f_0 := \phi_0 f$  satisfies

$$
D^{l} f_{0} |_{\partial \mathcal{U}_{0}} = 0, \qquad 0 \le l < s - \frac{1}{p},
$$
  

$$
\|\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial \mathcal{U}_{0})^{-\sigma} D^{m} f_{0} \|_{p, \mathcal{U}_{0}} \lesssim_{m, p} n^{\frac{1}{p} + m} \|f\|_{m, p, \mathcal{U}_{0}} < \infty \qquad \text{if } \sigma p = 1.
$$

By [\[38](#page-63-18), Theorem 1.4.5.2], there exists a  $\psi_0 \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{U}_0)$  satisfying

$$
\delta_0 \ge ||f_0 - \psi_0||_{s,p,\mathcal{U}_0} + \begin{cases} ||\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\partial \mathcal{U}_0)^{-\sigma} D^m (f_0 - \psi_0)||_{p,\mathcal{U}_0} & \text{if } \sigma p = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Since  $f \in W^{s,p}(T)$ , we apply the same argument to  $f_i := \phi_i f$  on  $V_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n - 1$ , to show that there exists a  $\psi_i \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_i)$  satisfying

$$
\delta_i \ge \|f_i - \psi_i\|_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} + \begin{cases} \|\operatorname{dist}(\cdot,\partial \mathcal{V}_i)^{-\sigma} D^m(f_i - \psi_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} & \text{if } \sigma p = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

**Part (b).** For  $n \le i \le 3n - 2$ ,  $f \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ , and so there exists a  $\rho_i \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ satisfying  $|| f - \rho_i ||_{s,p, \mathcal{V}_i} \leq \delta_i n^{m+2}$  thanks to [\[38](#page-63-18), Theorem 1.4.5.2]. Then, the function  $\psi_i := \phi_i \rho_i$  satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned} \|f_i - \psi_i\|_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} &\lesssim_{s,p} \|\phi_i\|_{m,\infty,\mathcal{V}_i} \|f - \rho_i\|_{m,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \\ &+ \sum_{l=0}^m \|D^l \phi_i D^{m-l} (f - \rho_i)\|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} \delta_i, \end{aligned}
$$

where we used [\[44,](#page-63-15) Theorem 6.3] to conclude that

$$
\|D^l\phi_i D^{m-l}(f-\rho_i)\|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} \|D^l\phi_i\|_{\infty,\mathcal{V}_i}^{1-\sigma} \|D^{l+1}\phi_i\|_{\infty,\mathcal{V}_i}^{\sigma} \|D^{m-l}(f-\rho_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{U}_i}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\|D^l\phi_i\|_{\infty,\mathcal{V}_i} \|D^{m-l}(f-\rho_i)\|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i}
$$
  
\$\leq \delta\_i\$.

Moreover, when  $\sigma p = 1$ , we have

<span id="page-52-0"></span>
$$
\|\omega_1^{-\sigma}D^m(f_i-\psi_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i}\lesssim_p n^{\sigma}\|D^m(f_i-\psi_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i}\lesssim_{s,p}\delta_i.
$$

**Part (c).** For  $3n - 1 \le i \le 3n$ , we will show that

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||f_i||_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} = 0 \text{ and if } \sigma p = 1, \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} ||\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^m f_i||_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} = 0. \tag{A.1}
$$

Thanks to [\[44](#page-63-15), Theorem 6.3], there holds

$$
\|f_i\|_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} \sum_{j=0}^m \sum_{l=0}^j \|D^l \phi_i\|_{\infty,\mathcal{V}_i} \|D^{j-l} f\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} + \sum_{l=0}^m \|D^l \phi_i\|_{\infty,\mathcal{V}_i} \|D^{m-l} f\|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i}
$$
  

$$
\text{E}_{\substack{C \subset \mathbb{C} \\ \text{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \\ \text{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \\ \text{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \\ \text{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \end{C}.
$$

+
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{m} ||D^{l}\phi_{i}||_{\infty,V_{l}}^{1-\sigma} ||D^{l+1}\phi_{i}||_{\infty,V_{l}}^{\sigma} ||D^{m-l}f||_{p,U_{l}}\n\lesssim_{s,p} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{l=0}^{j} n^{l} ||D^{j-l}f||_{p,V_{l}} + \sum_{l=0}^{m} \left( n^{l+\sigma} ||D^{m-l}f||_{p,U_{l}} + n^{l} ||D^{m-l}f||_{\sigma,p,V_{l}} \right).
$$

Similar computations show that for  $\sigma p = 1$ , there holds

$$
\|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^m f_i\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} \sum_{j=0}^m n^j \|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^{m-j} f\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i}.
$$

Since  $V_i \cap \gamma_1 \neq \emptyset$ , Poincaré's inequality gives

$$
||D^r f||_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{r,p} n^{-(s-r)} |D^m f|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \quad 0 \le r \le m,
$$

and so  $||f_i||_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} |D^m f|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i}$ . Moreover, if  $\sigma p = 1$ , then  $D^{m-j} f \in W^{1,p}_\mathfrak{E}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ for  $1 \le j \le m$ , and so [\[24,](#page-62-18) Theorem 5.2], [\[35,](#page-63-19) Theorem 3.2], and a standard scaling argument give

$$
\|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^{m-j} f\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim n^{\sigma-1} \|\omega_1^{-1} D^{m-j} f\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_p n^{\sigma-1} \|D^{m-j+1} f\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{s,p} n^{-j} |D^m f|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i},
$$

and so  $\|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^m f_i\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} \lesssim_{s,p} |D^m f|_{\sigma,p,\mathcal{V}_i}$ . Equality [\(A.1\)](#page-52-0) now follows from that fact that  $|D^m f|_{\sigma, p, \mathcal{V}_i} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$  since  $|\mathcal{V}_i| \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . **Part (d).** Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be given. First, choose *n* large enough so that

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{2} \ge \sum_{i=3n-1}^{3n} \|f_i\|_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} + \begin{cases} \sum_{i=3n-1}^{3n} \|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^k f_i\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} & \text{if } \sigma p = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then, for  $\{\delta_i\}_{i=0}^{3n-2}$  chosen sufficiently small, we construct  $\psi_i$  as above so that

$$
\frac{\epsilon}{2} \ge \sum_{i=0}^{3n-2} \|f_i - \psi_i\|_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i} + \begin{cases} \sum_{i=3n-1}^{3n} \|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^m (f_i - \psi_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} & \text{if } \sigma p = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Let  $\tilde{\psi}_i$  denote the extension of  $\psi_i$  by zero to  $T \setminus \mathcal{U}_i$ ,  $0 \le i \le 3n - 2$  and set  $\tilde{\psi}_j \equiv 0$  for  $3n - 1 \le j \le 3n$ . Then,  $\tilde{\psi}_i \in C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$ , and the function  $\psi = \sum_{i=0}^{3n} \tilde{\psi}_i$  then satisfies  $\psi \in C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  and

$$
\mathfrak{E}||f - \psi||_{s,p,T} \le \sum_{i=0}^{3n} ||f_i - \psi_i||_{s,p,\mathcal{V}_i}
$$
  
For  $\mathbb{F}_0$   
Supers:  $\mathbb{E}_0$ 

$$
+ \begin{cases} \sum_{i=0}^{3n} \|\omega_1^{-\sigma} D^m(f_i - \psi_i)\|_{p,\mathcal{V}_i} & \text{if } \sigma p = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{s,p} \epsilon,
$$

which shows that  $C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  is dense in  $W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(T)$ .

**Step 3:**  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_2 \}$  or  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_3 \}$ . If  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_2 \}$ , the density of  $C_{\gamma_2}^{\infty}(T)$  in  $W_{\gamma_2}^{s,p}(T)$ follows from the fact that  $f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_2}(T)$  if and only if  $f \circ \mathfrak{F}_2^{-1} \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1}(T)$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_2^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = (1 - x_1 - x_2, x_1)$  is the inverse of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  defined in [\(6.4\)](#page-44-1). The case  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_3\}$ follows from similar arguments using the mapping  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ .

**Step 4:**  $|\mathfrak{E}| = 2$ . Now let  $\mathfrak{E} = {\gamma_1, \gamma_2}$ . The density of  $C_{\mathfrak{E}}^{\infty}(T)$  in  $W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s,p}(T)$  may be shown using a similar construction to the case  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_1\}$ . In particular, we apply the construction of Step 1 Part (a) for  $0 \le i \le 2n - 2$  and  $i = 3n$ , Part (b) for  $2n - 1 \le i \le 3n - 3$ , Part (c) for  $3n - 2 \le i \le 3n - 1$ , and proceed analogously as in Part (d). The remaining cases for  $|\mathfrak{E}| = 2$  are proved along similar lines.

**Step 5:**  $\mathfrak{E} = \{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \}$ . This case is a restatement of [\[38](#page-63-18), Lemma 1.4.5.2].

#### **Appendix B.2 Hardy Inequalities**

First, we construct a bounded averaging operator.

**Lemma A.2** *There exists a linear operator H*<sup>1</sup> *satisfying the following properties:*

(i)  $\mathcal{H}_1$  *maps*  $C(\overline{T})$  *boundedly into*  $C(\overline{T})$ *, and there holds* 

<span id="page-54-1"></span><span id="page-54-0"></span>
$$
\mathcal{H}_1(f)(x) = \frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} f(u, x_2) du = \int_0^1 f(ux_1, x_2) du \quad \forall x \in T.
$$
 (A.2)

(ii)  $\mathcal{H}_1$  *maps*  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(T)$  *boundedly into*  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(T)$  *and for all*  $p \in (1,\infty)$ *, s*  $\in [0,\infty)$ *,*  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , and  $\mathfrak{E} \in \{ \emptyset, \{ \gamma_1 \}, \{ \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \} \}$ *. In particular,* 

<span id="page-54-2"></span>
$$
\mathfrak{E}_{,r} \|\mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p,r} \mathfrak{E}_{,r} \|f\|_{s,p,T} \qquad \forall f \in W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(T). \tag{A.3}
$$

*Proof* Step 1: Continuity on  $C(T)$ . Let  $f \in C(T)$  and define  $H_1(f)$  by [\(A.2\)](#page-54-1). Elementary arguments show that  $H_1(f) \in C(\overline{T})$  with  $\|\mathcal{H}_1(\phi_i)\|_{\infty,T} \leq \|\phi_i\|_{\infty,T}$ . **Step 2: Extension to**  $W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(T)$  when  $\mathfrak{E} = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in C^{\infty}(\overline{T})$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$ , there holds

$$
D^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_1(f)(x) = \int_0^1 u^{\alpha_1}(D^{\alpha} f)(ux_1, x_2) du = \frac{1}{x_1^{\alpha_1+1}} \int_0^{x_1} u^{\alpha_1}(D^{\alpha} f)(u, x_2) du,
$$
\n(A.4)

and so  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in C^\infty(\overline{T})$ . Moreover, Hardy's inequality [\[40,](#page-63-16) Theorem 327] gives

<span id="page-54-3"></span>
$$
||D^{\alpha}\mathcal{H}_1(f)||_{p,T}^p \le \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-x_2} \left|\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} |D^{\alpha} f(u, x_2)| du \right|^p dx_1 dx_2
$$
  

$$
\text{g springer} \quad \text{g-g} \quad \text{g}
$$

<span id="page-55-1"></span><span id="page-55-0"></span>.

$$
\leq \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-x_2} |D^{\alpha} f(x_1, x_2)|^p dx_1 dx_2.
$$

Consequently, we obtain

$$
\|\mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,T} \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(\overline{T}), \ s \in \mathbb{N}_0.
$$

Since  $C^{\infty}(\overline{T})$  is dense in  $W^{s,p}(T)$  [\[38](#page-63-18), Theorem 1.4.5.2],  $\mathcal{H}_1$  can be continuously extended to a linear operator from  $W^{s,p}(T)$  into  $W^{s,p}(T)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . The case for non-integer  $s \in (0, \infty)$  follows from interpolation.

**Step 3: Inequality** [\(A.3\)](#page-54-2) **when**  $\mathfrak{E} = \{y_1\}$ . The case  $r = 0$  follows from Step 2, so let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume first the  $s \leq r$  and let  $f \in C^{\infty}_{\gamma_1}(T)$ . Equation [A.4](#page-54-3) shows that  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in C_{\gamma_1}^{\infty}(T)$ . Moreover, for  $s = m + 1/p$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we apply Hardy's inequality [\[40](#page-63-16), Theorem 327] to obtain

$$
\|\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} D^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{p,T}^p \le \int_0^1 \int_0^{1-x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} \frac{1}{u^{\frac{1}{p}}} |D^{\alpha} f(u, x_2)| du\right)^p dx_1 dx_2
$$
  

$$
\le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \|\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p}} D^{\alpha} f\|_{p,T}^p
$$
 (A.5)

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ . Thus,  $\lim_{\gamma_1} \|\mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \lim_{\gamma_1} \|f\|_{s,p,T}$  for all  $f \in$  $C_{\gamma_1}^{\infty}(T)$ . By density (Lemma [A.1\)](#page-51-0),  $\mathcal{H}_1$  maps  $W_{\gamma_1,r}^{s,p}(T)$  boundedly into  $W_{\gamma_1,r}^{s,p}(T)$  for all  $p \in (1, \infty)$  and  $s \in [0, r]$ .

Now let  $s > r$  and  $f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1,r}(T)$ . Step 2 and the arguments above show that *H*<sub>1</sub>(*f*) ∈ *W*<sup>*s*</sup>,*p*</sup>(*T*) ∩ *W*<sub>*Y*<sup>*r*</sup>,*p*</sub>(*T*), and so *H*<sub>1</sub>(*f*) ∈ *W*<sub>*Y*<sub>1</sub></sub>,*r*(*T*) if *s* − 1/*p* ∉ <u>Z</u> with  $\mathcal{H}_{H,r} \|\mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \mathcal{H}_{\gamma_1,r} \|f\|_{s,p,T}.$  Now let  $s = m + 1/p$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $f \in C(T)$  and thanks to Step 1 and  $(A.5)$ , we have

$$
\left\|\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\partial^{m-r-1}D^{r-1}\mathcal{H}_1(f)}{\partial x_2^{m-r-1}}\right\|_{p,T} \lesssim_p \left\|\omega_1^{-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\partial^{m-r-1}D^{r-1}f}{\partial x_2^{m-r-1}}\right\|_{p,T}
$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1,r}(T)$  and [\(A.3\)](#page-54-2) holds when  $\mathfrak{E} = {\gamma_1}.$ 

**Step 4: Inequality** [\(A.3\)](#page-54-2) **when**  $\mathfrak{E} = \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ . Again let  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume first that  $s \leq r$ . As above,  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  for any  $f \in C^{\infty}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  by [\(A.4\)](#page-54-3), and for  $s = m + 1/p$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , Hardy's inequality [\[40](#page-63-16), Theorem 327] gives

$$
\|\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p}} D^{\alpha} \mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{p,T}^p \le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{x_2} \int_0^{1-x_2} \left(\frac{1}{x_1} \int_0^{x_1} |D^{\alpha} f(u, x_2)| \, \mathrm{d}u\right)^p \, \mathrm{d}x_1 \, \mathrm{d}x_2
$$

$$
\le \left(\frac{p}{p-1}\right)^p \|\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p}} D^{\alpha} f\|_{p,T}^p \tag{A.6}
$$

for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0$  with  $|\alpha| = m$ . Inequality [\(A.3\)](#page-54-2) now follows from Step 2 and [\(3.10\)](#page-13-1). By density,  $\mathcal{H}_1$  maps  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(T)$  boundedly into  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(K)$  for all  $p \in (1,\infty)$  and  $s \in [0,r]$ .

$$
\textcircled{\small\textbf{2}} \text{Springer} \begin{array}{c} \text{F} \circ \text{C}^{\text{u}} \\ \text{L} \\ \text{S} \end{array}
$$

Now let  $s > r$  and  $f \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(T)$ . Arguing analogously as in Step 3, we have  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E},r}(T)$  if  $s - \frac{1}{p} \notin \mathbb{Z}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_{r,r} \|\mathcal{H}_1(f)\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \mathfrak{g}_{r,r} \|f\|_{s,p,T}$ . Moreover, [\(A.6\)](#page-55-1) gives

$$
\left\|\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\partial^{m-r-1}D^{r-1}\mathcal{H}_1(f)}{\partial x_1^{m-r-1}}\right\|_{p,T} \lesssim_p \left\|\omega_2^{-\frac{1}{p}}\frac{\partial^{m-r-1}D^{r-1}f}{\partial x_1^{m-r-1}}\right\|_{p,T}
$$

Consequently,  $\mathcal{H}_1(f) \in W_{\mathfrak{E},r}^{s,p}(T)$  and [\(A.3\)](#page-54-2) holds when  $\mathfrak{E} = {\gamma_1, \gamma_2}.$ 

Finally, we state and prove various versions of Hardy's inequality.

**Theorem A.3** *Let*  $1 < p < \infty$  *and*  $\emptyset \neq \mathfrak{E} \subseteq \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$ *. For*  $0 \leq s < \infty$  *and*  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *such that*  $\gamma_i \in \mathfrak{E}$ *, the mapping*  $f \mapsto \omega_i^{-1} f$  *is bounded* (*i*)  $W^{s+1,p}(T) \cap W^{1,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  *to*  $W^{s,p}(T)$ *, and (ii)*  $W^{s+1,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  *to*  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$ *, and there holds* 

$$
\|\omega_i^{-1} f\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \|\partial_i f\|_{s,p,T} \qquad \forall f \in W^{s+1,p}(T) \cap W^{1,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T),\tag{A.7}
$$

$$
\mathcal{E}\left\|\omega_i^{-1}f\right\|_{s,p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \mathcal{E}\|\partial_i f\|_{s,p,T} \qquad \forall f \in W_{\mathfrak{E}}^{s+1,p}(T). \tag{A.8}
$$

*Additionally, for*  $0 \leq s < 1$  *and*  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ *, the mapping*  $f \mapsto \omega_i^{-s} f$  *is bounded*  $W^{s,p}_{\gamma_i}(T)$  *to*  $L^p(T)$ *, and there holds* 

$$
\|\omega_i^{-s} f\|_{p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \sum_{\gamma_i} \|f\|_{s,p,T} \quad \forall f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_i}(T). \tag{A.9}
$$

*Proof* Let  $1 < p < \infty$  be given.

**Step 1: Inequalities** [\(A.7\)](#page-56-2) and [\(A.8\)](#page-56-3) **when**  $\mathfrak{E} \in \{ \{\gamma_1\}, \{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\} \}$ . Thanks to the fundamental theorem of calculus, there holds

$$
f(\mathbf{x}) = \int_0^{x_1} (\partial_1 f)(u, x_2) du = x_1 \mathcal{H}_1(\partial_1 f) \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in T, \ \forall f \in C_{\gamma_1}^{\infty}(T). \tag{A.10}
$$

By density (Lemma [A.1\)](#page-51-0), [\(A.10\)](#page-56-4) holds for a.e.  $x \in T$  for all  $f \in W^{1,p}_\mathfrak{E}(T)$ . Lemma [A.2](#page-54-0) and [\(A.10\)](#page-56-4) then show that the mapping  $f \mapsto \omega_i^{-1} f$  is bounded (i) from  $W^{s+1,p}(T) \cap$  $W^{1,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  to  $W^{s,p}(T)$ , and (ii) from  $W^{s+1,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  to  $W^{s,p}_{\mathfrak{E}}(T)$  provided that  $\gamma_i \in \mathfrak{E}$ . Inequalities  $(A.7)$  and  $(A.8)$  now follow from  $(A.3)$  and  $(A.10)$ .

**Step 2: Inequalities** [\(A.7\)](#page-56-2) and [\(A.8\)](#page-56-3) **when**  $\mathfrak{E} = \{2\}$ . Note that  $f \in W^{s+1,p}(T) \cap \mathfrak{E}$  $W_{\gamma_2}^{1,p}(T)$  if and only if  $g := f \circ \mathfrak{F}_1 \in W^{s+1,p}(T) \cap W_{\gamma_1}^{1,p}(T)$  and  $f \in W_{\gamma_2}^{s+1}(T)$  if and only if  $g \in W^{s+1}_{\gamma_1}(T)$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  is defined in [\(6.3\)](#page-43-4). Inequalities [\(A.7\)](#page-56-2) and [\(A.8\)](#page-56-3) then follow from Step 1.

**Step 3: Inequality** [\(A.9\)](#page-56-1) with  $i = 1$ . Now let  $0 \le s < 1$ . For  $sp = 1$ , (A.9) follows immediately from the definition of the norm. In the case  $sp < 1$ , the proof of Theorem

<span id="page-56-4"></span> $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

<span id="page-56-3"></span><span id="page-56-2"></span><span id="page-56-1"></span><span id="page-56-0"></span>.

1.4.4.4 in [\[38](#page-63-18)] gives

$$
\|\omega_i^{-s} f\|_{p,T} \le \|\operatorname{dist}(\cdot, \partial T)^{-s} f\|_{p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,T} = \|\cdot\|_{s,p,T} \quad \forall f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1}(T).
$$

Finally, let  $sp > 1$  and let  $f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1}(T)$  be given. We denote by  $\tilde{f} \in W^{s,p}(T)$ any extension of *f* to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  satisfying  $\|\tilde{f}\|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}^2} \lesssim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,T}$  (see e.g. [\[34](#page-63-17)] or [\[44,](#page-63-15) Theorem 8.4]). Thanks to Theorem 6.79, inequality (6.58), and Remark 6.80 of [\[44](#page-63-15)], there holds

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{|\tilde{f}(x_1,x_2)-\tilde{f}(0,x_2)|^p}{x_1^{sp}}\,\mathrm{d}x_2\,\mathrm{d}x_1\lesssim_{s,p}|\tilde{f}|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}}^p.
$$

Since  $f|_{\gamma_1} = \tilde{f}|_{\gamma_1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$
\|\omega_1^{-s} f\|_{p,T} = \|\omega_1^{-s}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}(0,\cdot))\|_{p,T} \le \|\omega_1^{-s}(\tilde{f} - \tilde{f}(0,\cdot))\|_{p,\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}} \lesssim_{s,p} |\tilde{f}|_{s,p,\mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}},
$$

and so  $\|\omega_1^{-s} f\|_{p,T} \lesssim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,T}$ , which completes the proof of [\(A.9\)](#page-56-1) for  $i = 1$ . **Step 4: Inequality** [\(A.9\)](#page-56-1) **with**  $i = 2$ . This can be reduced to the case  $i = 1$  using similar arouments as in Step 2 using the mapping  $\mathcal{F}_1$  defined in (6.3) similar arguments as in Step 2 using the mapping  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  defined in [\(6.3\)](#page-43-4).

<span id="page-57-1"></span><span id="page-57-0"></span>**Corollary A.4** *Let*  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $0 \le s < \infty$ ,  $1 \le i \le 2$ , and  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}_0$  *with*  $r_i \ge 1$ . Then, for all  $f \in W^{s+1,p}_{\gamma_1, r_1}(T) \cap W^{s+1,p}_{\gamma_2, r_2}(T)$ , there holds  $\omega_i^{-1} f \in W^{s,p}_{\gamma_i, r_i-1}(T) \cap$  $W^{s,p}_{\gamma_j,r_j}(T)$  *and* 

$$
\mathcal{V}_{i}, r_{i}-1 \left\| \omega_{i}^{-1} f \right\|_{s, p, T} \lesssim_{s, p, r_{1}, r_{2}} \mathcal{V}_{i}, r_{i}-1 \left\| \partial_{i} f \right\|_{s, p, T} \leq \mathcal{V}_{i}, r_{i} \left\| f \right\|_{s+1, p, T}, \quad (A.11a)
$$

<span id="page-57-2"></span>
$$
\sum_{\gamma_j, r_j} \left\| \omega_i^{-1} f \right\|_{s,p} \lesssim_{s,p,r_1,r_2} \sum_{\gamma_j, r_j} \left\| \partial_i f \right\|_{s,p,T} \leq \sum_{\gamma_j, r_j} \|f\|_{s+1,p,T}, \quad (A.11b)
$$

*where*  $1 \leq i \leq 2$ ,  $i \neq i$ .

*Proof* Let  $f \in W^{s+1,p}_{\gamma_1,r_1+1}(T) \cap W^{s+1,p}_{\gamma_2,r_2}(T)$  be given. By definition, there holds  $\partial_1 f \in$  $W^{s,p}_{\gamma_1,r_1}(T) \cap W^{s,p}_{\gamma_2,r_2}(T)$  since  $\mathbf{t}_{\gamma_2} = [0,1]^T$ . Thanks to identity [\(A.10\)](#page-56-4), which was shown in the proof of Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) to hold for all  $f \in W_{\gamma_1}^{1,p}(T)$ , the result for  $i = 1$ follows from Lemma [A.2.](#page-54-0) The case  $i = 2$  can be reduced to the case  $i = 1$  using similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem A.3 using the mapping  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  (6.3). similar arguments as in the proof of Theorem [A.3](#page-56-0) using the mapping  $\mathfrak{F}_1$  [\(6.3\)](#page-43-4).

# **Appendix B: Equivalent Boundary Norm**

We begin with a result that states necessary and sufficient conditions for a function defined on two faces  $\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j \subset \partial K$  to belong to  $W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$ .

**Lemma B.1** *Let*  $0 \le s < 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ *, and*  $1 \le i < j \le 4$ *. Then,*  $f \in L^p(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$ *satisfies*  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  *if and only if* 

 $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\text{E-C\_T} \\
\text{Springer} & \text{L} & \text{C} \\
\text{L} & \text{Spiringer}\n\end{array}$ 

- (i)  $f_i \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i)$  and  $f_i \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i)$ ;
- (ii) *if*  $s > 1/p$ *, then*  $f_i|_{\gamma_{ij}} = f_j|_{\gamma_{ij}}$ *; and*
- (iii) *if*  $s = 1/p$ *, then*  $I_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j) < \infty$ *,*

*where*  $\mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(\cdot,\cdot)$  *is defined in* [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2)*. Additionally,* 

$$
||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p \approx_{s,p} ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p := ||f_i||_{s,p,\Gamma_i}^p + ||f_j||_{s,p,\Gamma_j}^p + \begin{cases} \mathcal{I}_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j) & \text{if } sp = 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

*Proof* Let  $0 \le s < 1$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and  $1 \le i < j \le 4$  be given. **Step 1:**  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j) \implies$  (i–iii). Assume first that  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$ . Condition (i) follows from the definition of the norms, and in particular,  $|| f_i ||_{s, p, \Gamma_i}^p +$  $||f_j||_{s,p,\Gamma_j}^p \leq ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p$ . If  $s > 1/p$ , then the trace theorem shows that *f* has a well-defined trace on  $\gamma_{ij}$ , and so (ii) holds.

We now show that condition (iii) is satisfied. There holds

$$
|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^2 \approx (\left[F_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) - F_{ji}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_1)^2 + (\left[F_{ij}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) + F_{ji}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})\right] \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)^2
$$

for all  $(x, y) \in \Gamma_i \times \Gamma_j$ , where  $\mathbf{F}_{ij} : T \to \Gamma_i$  and  $\mathbf{F}_{ji} : T \to \Gamma_j$  are defined in [\(2.1\)](#page-2-0), and so

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|f_{i}(x) - f_{j}(y)|^{p}}{|x - y|^{sp+2}} dy dx
$$
\n
$$
\approx_{s,p} \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \int_{\Gamma_{j}} \frac{|f_{i}(x) - f_{j}(y)|^{p}}{|([F_{ij}^{-1}(x) - F_{ji}^{-1}(y)] \cdot e_{1})^{2} + ([F_{ij}^{-1}(x) + F_{ji}^{-1}(y)] \cdot e_{2})^{2}|^{\frac{sp+2}{2}} dy dx
$$
\n
$$
u = F_{ij}^{-1}(x)
$$
\n
$$
v = F_{ji}^{-1}(y)
$$
\n
$$
\approx_{s,p} v \int_{T} \int_{T} \frac{|f_{i} \circ F_{ij}(u) - f_{j} \circ F_{ji}(v)|^{p}}{|u_{r} - v|^{sp+2}} dv du,
$$
\n(B.1)

where  $u_r := (u_1, -u_2)$ . Now let  $s = 1/p$ . Applying the triangle inequality in conjunction with the above inequality, we obtain

$$
\int_T \int_T \frac{|f_i \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) - f_j \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ji}(\boldsymbol{x})|^p}{|\boldsymbol{x}_r - \boldsymbol{y}|^3} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \lesssim_p ||f||_{s, p, \Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p.
$$

Assume, for the moment, that the following holds.

$$
B(x) := \int_T \frac{x_2}{|x_r - y|^3} \, \mathrm{d}y \gtrsim 1 \qquad \forall x \in T. \tag{B.2}
$$

Then, we obtain the following bound for  $I_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j)$  defined in [\(2.2\)](#page-3-2):

$$
I_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j) \lesssim \int_T \int_T \frac{|f_i \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) - f_j \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ji}(\boldsymbol{x})|^p}{|\boldsymbol{x}_r - \boldsymbol{y}|^3} d\boldsymbol{y} d\boldsymbol{x} \lesssim_p ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma}^p.
$$
  

$$
\sum_{\substack{\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \text{E}-\epsilon = 0}}^p \mathbb{E}_{\substack{\epsilon \in \mathbb{Z}^n \\ \text{E}-\epsilon = 0}}^m
$$



<span id="page-59-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Annular regions of integration (red) in the proof of [\(B.2\)](#page-3-2), where  $\tilde{z} := (z_1, z_2/2)$  and  $\tilde{u} := (u_1, u_2/2)$ (Color figure online)

Thus, *f* satisfies (iii) and we have shown that for all  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  there holds

$$
\|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j} \gtrsim_{s,p} \|f\|_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}.
$$
 (B.3)

We now turn to the proof of  $(B.2)$ . First note that *B* is well-defined on the half plane  $\mathbb{R}^2_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x_2 > 0\}$  and is a continuous function with  $B(x) > 0$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ , and so it suffices to show [\(B.2\)](#page-3-2) for  $x \in T$  with  $x_2 < 1/4$ . Let  $(\rho, \theta) \in [0, \infty) \times [0, 2\pi)$ denote polar coordinates centered at  $x_r$  and let  $A_x$  denote the following annulus centered at  $x_r$  (pictured in Fig. [2\)](#page-59-0):

$$
A_x = \begin{cases} \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{3x_2}{2} < \rho < 2x_2, \frac{\pi}{2} - \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \right\} & \text{if } x_1 \le \frac{1}{2}, \\ y \in \mathbb{R}^2 : \frac{3x_2}{2} < \rho < 2x_2, \frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} + \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \right] & \text{if } x_1 > \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}
$$

Then, one may readily verify that  $A_x \subset T$ , and so

$$
B(x) \ge \int_{A_x} \frac{x_2}{|x_r - y|^3} \, \mathrm{d}y = x_2 \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right) \int_{\frac{3x_2}{2}}^{2x_2} \frac{1}{\rho^2} \, \mathrm{d}\rho = \frac{1}{6} \cdot \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{2}{3}\right),
$$

which completes the proof of  $(B.2)$ .

**Step 2:** (i–iii)  $\implies f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$ . Now assume that  $f \in L^p(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  satisfies (i–iii). Thanks to the triangle inequality, there holds

$$
\int_{T} \int_{T} \frac{|f_i \circ F_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) - f_j \circ F_{ji}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{y}|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} \n\lesssim_{s,p} \int_{T} \int_{T} \frac{|f_i \circ F_{ij}(\mathbf{x}) - f_j \circ F_{ji}(\mathbf{x})|^p}{|\mathbf{x}_r - \mathbf{y}|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} \n+ \int_{T} \int_{T} \frac{|f_j \circ F_{ji}(\mathbf{x}) - f_j \circ F_{ji}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x}.
$$
\n(B.4)

2 Springer

where we used that  $|x_r - y| \ge |x - y|$ . To bound the second term, we perform a simple change of variables.

$$
\int_T \int_T \frac{|f_j \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji}(\mathbf{x}) - f_j \circ \mathbf{F}_{ji}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} \lesssim_{s,p} \int_T \int_T \frac{|f_j(\mathbf{x}) - f_j(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{F}_{ji}^{-1}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{F}_{ji}^{-1}(\mathbf{y})|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x}
$$
  

$$
\lesssim_{s,p} |||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p.
$$

For the first term, there holds

$$
\int_T \frac{dy}{|x_r - y|^{sp+2}} \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B(x_r, x_2)} \frac{dy}{|x_r - y|^{sp+2}} = 2\pi \int_{x_2}^{\infty} \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{sp+1}} = \frac{2\pi}{sp} x_2^{-sp},
$$

for all  $x \in T$ , and so

$$
\int_T \int_T \frac{|f_i \circ F_{ij}(x) - f_j \circ F_{ji}(x)|^p}{|x_r - y|^{sp+2}} dy dx \lesssim_{s,p} \int_T \frac{|f_i \circ F_{ij}(x) - f_j \circ F_{ji}(x)|^p}{x_2^{sp}} dx.
$$

Thanks to conditions (ii)-(iii), the function  $g = f_i \circ F_{ij} - f_j \circ F_{ji}$  belongs to  $W^{s,p}_{\gamma_2}(T)$ and applying  $(A.8)$  and the triangle inequality gives

$$
\int_T \frac{|f_i \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) - f_j \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ji}(\boldsymbol{x})|^p}{x_2^{sp}} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \lesssim_{s,p} ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p
$$

and so

$$
\int_T \int_T \frac{|f_i \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ij}(\boldsymbol{x}) - f_j \circ \boldsymbol{F}_{ji}(\boldsymbol{y})|^p}{|\boldsymbol{x}_r - \boldsymbol{y}|^{sp+2}} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{y} \, \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \lesssim_{s,p} ||f||_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p.
$$

Then, the reverse inequality of [\(B.3\)](#page-3-1) immediately follows from [\(B.1\)](#page-2-0), and so  $f \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma; \cup \Gamma)$  and the result follows.  $W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j)$  and the result follows.

On noting that  $f \in W^{s,p}(\partial K)$  if and only if  $f \in L^p(\partial K)$  and  $f|_{\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j} \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i \cup$  $\Gamma_i$ ) for all  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq 4$  since

$$
|f|_{s,p,\partial K}^p = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq 4} \int_{\Gamma_i} \int_{\Gamma_j} \frac{|f_i(\mathbf{x}) - f_j(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{sp+2}} d\mathbf{y} d\mathbf{x} \approx_{s,p} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} |f|_{s,p,\Gamma_i \cup \Gamma_j}^p,
$$

the following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma [B.1.](#page-51-0)

**Corollary B.2** *Let*  $0 \leq s < 1$  *and*  $1 < p < \infty$ *. Then,*  $f \in L^p(\partial K)$  *satisfies*  $f \in$ *Ws*,*p*(∂*K*) *if and only if*

- (i)  $f_i \in W^{s,p}(\Gamma_i)$  *for*  $1 \le i \le 4$ ; (ii) *if s* > 1/*p*, *then*  $f_i|_{\gamma_{ij}} = f_j|_{\gamma_{ij}}$  *for all*  $1 \le i < j \le 4$ *; and*
- (iii) *if*  $s = 1/p$ , then  $I_{ij}^p(f_i, f_j) < \infty$  for all  $1 \le i < j \le 4$ .

*Additionally,* [\(2.3\)](#page-3-1) *holds.*



# **Appendix C: Partial Fractions Decomposition**

**Lemma C.1** *For all*  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  *with*  $|\beta| \geq 2$ *, there holds* 

$$
\frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1} \omega_2^{\beta_2} \omega_3^{\beta_3}} = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^3 \\ \alpha_j \le \beta_j \\ |\alpha| \ge 2}} \left( \frac{c_{\alpha,1}}{\omega_1^{\alpha_1} \omega_2^{\alpha_2}} + \frac{c_{\alpha,2}}{\omega_1^{\alpha_1} \omega_3^{\alpha_3}} + \frac{c_{\alpha,3}}{\omega_2^{\alpha_2} \omega_3^{\alpha_3}} \right) \quad \text{in } T, \tag{C.1}
$$

*where*  $\{c_{\alpha,i}\}\$  *are suitable positive constants.* 

*Proof* We proceed by induction on  $|\beta|$ . The case  $|\beta| = 2$  is trivially true. Assume that [\(C.1\)](#page-11-1) holds for all  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  with  $|\beta| = r \ge 2$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathbb{N}_0^3$  with  $|\beta| = r + 1$ . If  $\beta_j = 0$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , then [\(C.1\)](#page-11-1) is trivially true, so assume that  $\beta_j > 0$  for  $1 < i < 3$ . Then,

$$
\frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1} \omega_2^{\beta_2} \omega_3^{\beta_3}} = \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2 \omega_3} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \omega_2^{\beta_2 - 1} \omega_3^{\beta_3 - 1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_2} + \frac{1}{\omega_1 \omega_3} + \frac{1}{\omega_2 \omega_3}\right) \frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \omega_2^{\beta_2 - 1} \omega_3^{\beta_3 - 1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1} \omega_2^{\beta_2} \omega_3^{\beta_3 - 1}} + \frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1} \omega_2^{\beta_2 - 1} \omega_3^{\beta_3}} + \frac{1}{\omega_1^{\beta_1 - 1} \omega_2^{\beta_2} \omega_3^{\beta_3}}.
$$

By assumption, each of the three terms above is of the form  $(C.1)$ , which completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

# **References**

- <span id="page-61-4"></span>1. R. A. Adams and J. J. Fournier, *Sobolev Spaces*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2003.
- <span id="page-61-2"></span>2. M. Ainsworth and C. , *H*2*-stable polynomial liftings on triangles*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 58 (2020), pp. 1867–1892, [https://doi.org/10.1137/19M128171X.](https://doi.org/10.1137/19M128171X)
- <span id="page-61-1"></span>3. M. Ainsworth and L. Demkowicz, *Explicit polynomial preserving trace liftings on a triangle*, Math. Nachr., 282 (2009), pp. 640–658, [https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.200610762.](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.200610762)
- <span id="page-61-0"></span>4. M. Ainsworth and S. Jiang, *Preconditioning the mass matrix for high order finite element approximation on triangles*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 57 (2019), pp. 355–377, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1182450) [18M1182450.](https://doi.org/10.1137/18M1182450)
- <span id="page-61-3"></span>5. M. Ainsworth and S. Jiang, *Preconditioning the mass matrix for high order finite element approximation on tetrahedra*, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 43 (2021), pp. A384–A414, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1333018) [20M1333018.](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1333018)

 $\begin{picture}(120,115) \put(0,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155}} \put(15,0){\line(1,0){155$ 

- <span id="page-62-11"></span>6. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *Mass conserving mixed hp-FEM approximations to Stokes flow. Part* I*: Uniform stability*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 59 (2021), pp. 1218–1244, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1359109) [20M1359109.](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1359109)
- <span id="page-62-3"></span>7. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *Mass conserving mixed hp-FEM approximations to Stokes flow. Part* II*: Optimal convergence*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 59 (2021), pp. 1245–1272, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1359110) [1137/20M1359110.](https://doi.org/10.1137/20M1359110)
- <span id="page-62-6"></span>8. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *Preconditioning high order H*<sup>2</sup> *conforming finite elements on triangles*, Numer. Math., 148 (2021), pp. 223–254, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-021-01206-7.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00211-021-01206-7)
- <span id="page-62-7"></span>9. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *A mass conserving mixed hp-FEM scheme for Stokes flow. Part* III*: Implementation and preconditioning*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 60 (2022), pp. 1574–1606, [https://](https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1433927) [doi.org/10.1137/21M1433927.](https://doi.org/10.1137/21M1433927)
- <span id="page-62-13"></span>10. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *Unlocking the secrets of locking: Finite element analysis in planar linear elasticity*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 395 (2022), p. 115034, [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2022.115034) [1016/j.cma.2022.115034.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2022.115034)
- <span id="page-62-8"></span>11. M. Ainsworth and C. Parker, *Uniform preconditioners for high order finite element approximations of planar linear elasticity*, preprint, University of Oxford, 2023, [https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04306) [04306.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2302.04306)
- <span id="page-62-14"></span>12. D. N. Arnold, L. R. Scott, and M. Vogelius, *Regular inversion of the divergence operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on a polygon*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa-Classe di Scienze, 15 (1988), pp. 169–192.
- <span id="page-62-12"></span>13. F. R. A. Arznaran, K. Hu, and C. Parker, *A uniformly hp-stable mixed method for the Hellinger-Reissner formulation of planar linear elasticity*, in preparation, University of Oxford, Oxford, 2023.
- <span id="page-62-0"></span>14. I. Babuška, A. Craig, J. Mandel, and J. Pitkäranta, *Efficient preconditioning for the pversion finite element method in two dimensions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 28 (1991), pp. 624–661, [https://doi.org/10.1137/0728034.](https://doi.org/10.1137/0728034)
- <span id="page-62-1"></span>15. I. Babuška and M. Suri, *The hp version of the finite element method with quasiuniform meshes*, ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis-Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique, 21 (1987), pp. 199–238, [https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/1987210201991.](https://doi.org/10.1051/m2an/1987210201991)
- <span id="page-62-2"></span>16. F. B. Belgacem, *Polynomial extensions of compatible polynomial traces in three dimensions*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 116 (1994), pp. 235–241, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(94)80028-6) [7825\(94\)80028-6.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0045-7825(94)80028-6)
- <span id="page-62-17"></span>17. J. Berg and J. Löfström, *Interpolation Spaces: An Introduction*, vol. 223 of Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenchaften, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1976.
- <span id="page-62-9"></span>18. C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, and Y. Maday, *Interpolation of nullspaces for polynomial approximation of divergence-free functions in a cube*, in Boundary Value Problems and Integral Equations in Nonsmooth Domains, vol. 167 of Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1995, pp. 27–46.
- <span id="page-62-15"></span>19. C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, and Y. Maday, *Polynomials in the Sobolev world*, 2007, [https://hal.](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00153795v2) [archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00153795v2](https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00153795v2) .
- 20. C. Bernardi, M. Dauge, and Y. Maday, *The lifting of polynomial traces revisited*, Math. of Comp., 79 (2010), pp. 47–69, [https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-09-02259-5.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-09-02259-5)
- <span id="page-62-10"></span>21. C. Bernardi and Y. Maday, *Properties of some weighted Sobolev spaces and application to spectral approximations*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 26 (1989), pp. 769–829, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/0726045) [0726045.](https://doi.org/10.1137/0726045)
- <span id="page-62-4"></span>22. D. Braess, V. Pillwein, and J. Schöberl, *Equilibrated residual error estimates are p-robust*, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg., 198 (2009), pp. 1189–1197, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2008.12.010) [2008.12.010.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cma.2008.12.010)
- <span id="page-62-16"></span>23. S. C. Brenner and L. R. Scott, *The Mathematical Theory of Finite Element Methods*, vol. 15 of Texts in Applied Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 3rd ed., 2008.
- <span id="page-62-18"></span>24. K. Brewster, D. Mitrea, I. Mitrea, and M. Mitrea, *Extending Sobolev functions with partially vanishing traces from locally* (ε, δ)*-domains and applications to mixed boundary problems*, J. Funct. Anal., 266 (2014), pp. 4314–4421, [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.001.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2014.02.001)
- <span id="page-62-5"></span>25. T. Chaumont- Frelet, A. Ern, and M. Vohralík, *Stable broken H*(**curl**) *polynomial extensions and p-robust a posteriori error estimates by broken patchwise equilibration for the curl-curl problem.*, Math. Comput., 91 (2022), pp. 37–74, [https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3673.](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3673)



- <span id="page-63-7"></span>26. T. Chaumont- Frelet and M. Vohralík, *p-robust equilibrated flux reconstruction in H*(curl) *based on local minimizations: Application to a posteriori analysis of the curl-curl problem*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 61 (2023), pp. 1783–1818, [https://doi.org/10.1137/21M141909X.](https://doi.org/10.1137/21M141909X)
- <span id="page-63-4"></span>27. M. Costabel, M. Dauge, and L. Demkowicz, *Polynomial extension operators for H*1, *H*(**curl**) *and H*(**div**)*-spaces on a cube*, Math. Comp., 77 (2008), pp. 1967–1999, [https://doi.org/10.1090/](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-08-02108-X) [S0025-5718-08-02108-X.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-08-02108-X)
- <span id="page-63-9"></span>28. L. Demkowicz, *Computing with hp-Adaptive Finite Elements. Vol 1. One and Two Dimensional Elliptic and Maxwell Problems*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci. Ser., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2007.
- <span id="page-63-10"></span>29. L. Demkowicz and I. Babuška, *p interpolation error estimates for edge finite elements of variable order in two dimensions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 41 (2003), pp. 1195–1208, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142901387932) [S0036142901387932.](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142901387932)
- <span id="page-63-2"></span>30. L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schöberl, *Polynomial extension operators. Part I*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 46 (2008), pp. 3006–3031, [https://doi.org/10.1137/070698786.](https://doi.org/10.1137/070698786)
- 31. L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schöberl, *Polynomial extension operators. Part II*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2009), pp. 3293–3324, [https://doi.org/10.1137/070698798.](https://doi.org/10.1137/070698798)
- <span id="page-63-3"></span>32. L. Demkowicz, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. Schöberl, *Polynomial extension operators. Part III*, Math. Comp., 81 (2012), pp. 1289–1326, [https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2011-02536-6.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-2011-02536-6)
- <span id="page-63-11"></span>33. L. Demkowicz, J. Kurtz, D. Pardo, M. Paszynski, W. Rachowicz, and A. Zdunek, *Computing with hp-Adaptive Finite Elements. Vol 2. Frontiers: Three Dimensional Elliptic and Maxwell Problems with Applications*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Appl. Math. Nonlinear Sci. Ser., Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2008.
- <span id="page-63-17"></span>34. R. A. DeVore and R. C. Sharpley, *Besov spaces on domains in* R*<sup>d</sup>* , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 335 (1993), pp. 843–864, [https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1993-1152321-](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1993-1152321-6) [6.](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-1993-1152321-6)
- <span id="page-63-19"></span>35. M. Egert, R. Haller- Dintelmann, and J. Rehberg, *Hardy's inequality for functions vanishing on a part of the boundary*, Potential Analysis, 43 (2015), pp. 49–78, [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-015-9463-8) [015-9463-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11118-015-9463-8)
- <span id="page-63-5"></span>36. A. Ern, T. Gudi, I. Smears, and M. Vohralík, *Equivalence of local-and global-best approximations, a simple stable local commuting projector, and optimal hp approximation estimates in H*(div), IMA J. Numer. Anal., 42 (2022), pp. 1023–1049, [https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/draa103.](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/draa103)
- <span id="page-63-8"></span>37. A. Ern and M. Vohralík, *Stable broken H*<sup>1</sup> *and H*(div) *polynomial extensions for polynomialdegree-robust potential and flux reconstruction in three space dimensions.*, Math. Comput., 89 (2020), pp. 551–594, [https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3482.](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3482)
- <span id="page-63-18"></span>38. P. Grisvard, *Elliptic Problems in Nonsmooth Domains*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 2011, [https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611972030.](https://doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611972030)
- <span id="page-63-6"></span>39. B. Guo and I. Babuška, *Local Jacobi operators and applications to the p-version of finite element method in two dimensions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 48 (2010), pp. 147–163, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/090747208) [090747208.](https://doi.org/10.1137/090747208)
- <span id="page-63-16"></span>40. G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge university press, London, 2nd ed., 1952.
- <span id="page-63-13"></span>41. D. Jerison and C. E. Kenig, *The inhomogeneous Dirichlet problem in Lipschitz domains*, J. Funct. Anal., 130 (1995), pp. 161–219, [https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1067.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1995.1067)
- <span id="page-63-14"></span>42. A. Jonsson, H. Wallin, and J. Peetre, *Function Spaces on Subsets of* R*n*, vol. 2 of Mathematical Reports, Harwood Academic Publishers, London, 1984.
- <span id="page-63-12"></span>43. P. L. Lederer and J. Schöberl, *Polynomial robust stability analysis for H*(div)*-conforming finite elements for the Stokes equations*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 38 (2018), pp. 1832–1860, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drx051) [10.1093/imanum/drx051.](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drx051)
- <span id="page-63-15"></span>44. G. Leoni, *A first course in fractional Sobolev spaces*, vol. 229 of Graduate studies in mathematics, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2023.
- <span id="page-63-0"></span>45. J. M. Melenk, *hp-interpolation of nonsmooth functions and an application to hp-a posteriori error estimation*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 43 (2005), pp. 127–155, [https://doi.org/10.1137/](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142903432930) [S0036142903432930.](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142903432930)
- <span id="page-63-1"></span>46. R. Muñoz- Sola, *Polynomial liftings on a tetrahedron and applications to the hp version of the finite element method in three dimensions*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 34 (1997), pp. 282–314, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142994267552) [10.1137/S0036142994267552.](https://doi.org/10.1137/S0036142994267552)

 $\begin{array}{c}\n\text{EoC}_{J}^{\text{U}} \\
\text{Springer} \\
\text{I.} \\
\text{I.}$ 

- <span id="page-64-2"></span>47. J. Necas, *Direct Methods in the Theory of Elliptic Equations*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2011, [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10455-8.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-10455-8)
- <span id="page-64-0"></span>48. C. Parker and E. Süli, *Stable liftings of polynomial traces on triangles*, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 62 (2024), pp. 692–717, [https://doi.org/10.1137/23M156494.](https://doi.org/10.1137/23M156494)
- <span id="page-64-1"></span>49. J. Schöberl, J. M. Melenk, C. Pechstein, and S. Zaglmayr, *Addition Schwarz preconditioning for p-version triangular and tetrahedral finite elements*, IMA J. Numer. Anal., 28 (2008), pp. 1–24, [https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drl046.](https://doi.org/10.1093/imanum/drl046)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.