



Typical Real Ranks of Binary Forms

Grigoriy Blekherman

Received: 23 February 2013 / Accepted: 9 July 2013 / Published online: 16 October 2013
© SFoCM 2013

Abstract We prove a conjecture of Comon and Ottaviani that typical real Waring ranks of bivariate forms of degree d take all integer values between $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor$ and d . That is, we show that for all d and all $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor \leq m \leq d$ there exists a bivariate form f such that f can be written as a linear combination of m d th powers of real linear forms and no fewer, and additionally all forms in an open neighborhood of f also possess this property. Equivalently we show that for all d and any $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor \leq m \leq d$ there exists a symmetric real bivariate tensor t of order d such that t can be written as a linear combination of m symmetric real tensors of rank 1 and no fewer, and additionally all tensors in an open neighborhood of t also possess this property.

Keywords Waring problem · Real symmetric tensor rank · Typical rank · Bivariate forms

Mathematics Subject Classification 14P99 · 15A69 · 14N05

1 Introduction

Symmetric tensor decomposition (also known as the Waring problem) is usually studied over the complex numbers: given a multivariate form $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree

Communicated by Marie-Françoise Roy.

G. Blekherman (✉)
School of Mathematics, Georgia Institute of Technology, 686 Cherry Street, Atlanta,
GA 30332-0160, USA
e-mail: greg@math.gatech.edu

d the problem is to find the least integer number m such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i^d$, where ℓ_i are linear forms. The number m is known as the *Waring rank* or *symmetric tensor rank* of f . It is of significant interest to find efficient algorithms to compute a minimal representation of f [13]. The symmetric tensor decomposition problem over the complex numbers has been widely investigated [1, 4, 5, 9, 11].

A rank m is called *generic* for forms of degree d if forms of rank m contain a dense open subset of all forms of degree d . There is a unique generic rank for forms in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$, which depends on the degree d and the number of variables n . The Alexander–Hirschowitz theorem gives the generic rank for all n and d [1]. The question of uniqueness, and more generally, the variety of all possible decompositions for a form f has also been studied extensively [5, 11, 12, 14].

The same question can be asked over the real numbers, which often makes more sense with respect to potential applications. Given a multivariate form $f \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d we now ask to find the least integer number m such that $f = \sum_{i=1}^m \ell_i^d$ where $\ell_i \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are *real* linear forms. The theory of real symmetric tensor decompositions is not nearly as developed. One obstacle is that there may be more than one “generic” rank. Following Comon and Ottaviani in [7] we will call any rank that occurs on an open subset of $\mathbb{R}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$ (with the Euclidean topology induced by viewing f as a vector of coefficients) a *typical rank*. We note that definitions of typical and generic rank are equivalent over the complex numbers: once forms of rank m contain an open subset of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]_d$ they will also contain a dense open subset.

The complex tensor decomposition problem in two variables has already been well understood by Sylvester and completely and algorithmically solved by Comas and Seiguer in [6]. In contrast for binary forms over \mathbb{R} the list of all typical ranks was conjectured by Comon and Ottaviani [7].

In this paper we prove this conjecture and solve the problem of finding all typical ranks for real binary forms. It has already been observed by Reznick that all ranks m with $1 \leq m \leq d$ occur as real ranks of binary in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ [16]. It was noted by Comon and Ottaviani that only ranks m with $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor \leq m \leq d$ may be typical ranks for forms in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$. They showed that all such ranks occur for $d \leq 5$ and later this was proved by Ballico for $d \leq 7$ in [2]. It was also shown in [7] that ranks $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor$ and d are typical. The case of real ranks of bivariate monomials was completely analyzed by Boij, Carlini and Geramita in [3].

The case of Waring ranks of binary forms over some field extensions of \mathbb{R} was analyzed by Reznick in [17]. Finally we note that the question of decompositions of real forms f of even degree as sums of $2d$ th powers of linear forms is related to the truncated moment problem in real analysis [10], [15].

2 Proofs

Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ be given by $f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i x^i y^{d-i}$. We can associate to f the differential operator ∂f given by

$$\partial f = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x^i \partial y^{d-i}}.$$

The *apolar ideal* of f , denoted by f^\perp , is the ideal of all forms in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]$ whose differential operator annihilates f :

$$f^\perp = \{h \in \mathbb{R}[x, y] \mid \partial h(f) = 0\}.$$

The following is a special bivariate version of the general Apolarity Lemma [8]. It was already known to Sylvester [16].

Lemma 2.1 (Apolarity Lemma) *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ be a bivariate form of degree d . The form f can be written as a linear combination of d th powers of linear forms,*

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i (a_i x + b_i y)^d,$$

if and only if the form $p = (b_1 x - a_1 y) \cdots (b_r x - a_r y)$ is in the apolar ideal f^\perp .

From the Apolarity Lemma we see that $\text{rank } f = m$ if and only if m is the lowest degree for which f^\perp contains a form with all roots real and distinct. The structure of bivariate apolar ideals is highly regular. It was already shown by Sylvester that all bivariate apolar ideals are complete (empty) intersections over \mathbb{C} and the converse also holds.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ then f^\perp is a complete intersection ideal over \mathbb{C} , i.e. f^\perp is generated by two real forms g_1, g_2 such that $\deg g_1 + \deg g_2 = d + 2$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mathbb{C}}(g_1, g_2) = \emptyset$. Conversely, any two such forms g_1, g_2 generate an ideal f^\perp for some $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]$ of degree $\deg g_1 + \deg g_2 - 2$.*

It is well known that the forms $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ for which the generator degrees of f^\perp are not equal to $(\frac{d+2}{2}, \frac{d+2}{2})$ if d is even or $(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d+3}{2})$ if d is odd lie on a Zariski closed subset of $\mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$. This can be seen for instance by considering the middle catalecticant matrix of f and observing that these generator degrees occur precisely when the middle catalecticant matrix is not of full rank [16]. Therefore when f^\perp is generated by forms of degrees $(\frac{d+2}{2}, \frac{d+2}{2})$ if d is even or $(\frac{d+1}{2}, \frac{d+3}{2})$ if d is odd we will say that f^\perp is generated in *generic degrees*.

We now observe that an essential obstruction to f being a typical form of rank m is that $(f^\perp)_{m-1}$ may contain a form with all real roots, but no forms with all real *distinct* roots. Then perturbing f may result in a form of rank $m - 1$. As the next lemma shows, this is the only obstruction to f being typical if f^\perp is generated in generic degrees.

Lemma 2.3 *Let $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ such that f^\perp is generated in generic degrees and let $m = \text{rank } f$. Then f is a typical form if and only if all forms in $(f^\perp)_{m-1}$ have (at least a conjugate pair of) complex roots.*

Proof First suppose that $(f^\perp)_{m-1}$ contains a form with all real roots, call this form s . For any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a form $r_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{m-1}$ in the ϵ -neighborhood of s such

that $s + r_\epsilon$ has distinct real zeroes. Let $h_\epsilon = \partial(s + r_\epsilon)(f) = \partial r_\epsilon(f)$ and consider the map

$$T_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{d-m+1}, \quad T_\epsilon(p) = \partial(s + r_\epsilon)(p).$$

Since T_ϵ is a linear map with nontrivial kernel, which for small enough ϵ is sufficiently close to just applying ∂s , we can find a form $g_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$, $g_\epsilon \neq -f$ such that $T_\epsilon(g_\epsilon) = -h_\epsilon$ and g_ϵ approaches 0 as ϵ goes to 0. Then we see that $\partial(s + r_\epsilon)(f + g_\epsilon) = 0$ and by Apolarity Lemma there exist forms of rank at most $m - 1$ in any ϵ -neighborhood of f , which is a contradiction.

For the other direction, we note that all forms h in a sufficiently small neighborhood of f will have h^\perp generated in generic degrees. In this neighborhood of f the ideal h^\perp depends continuously of the coefficients of h . This can be seen, for example, by noting that h^\perp consists of the forms in the kernels of the catalecticant matrices of h [16]. As long as h^\perp is generated in generic degrees the dimensions of the kernels do not change and therefore there is a continuous dependence. Now perturb the coefficients of f slightly and call the resulting form h . For a small enough perturbation, given the continuous dependence of h^\perp on the coefficients of h we can ensure that $(h^\perp)_{m-1}$ has no forms with all real roots, while $(h^\perp)_m$ has such a form. Thus the rank of h is m , where h is any sufficiently small perturbation of the coefficients of f . \square

We now inductively build apolar ideals f^\perp where the form f is a typical form of rank m for all m in the desired range. Instead of constructing f directly we build generators of the ideal, which by Theorem 2.2 is an apolar ideal for some f , and then apply Lemma 2.3 to show that f is typical.

Theorem 2.4 *All ranks m with $\lfloor \frac{d+2}{2} \rfloor \leq m \leq d$ are typical for forms in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$.*

Proof We use induction on the degree d . The base case $d = 2$ is just bivariate quadratic forms and the real rank corresponds to the usual rank of the matrix. Therefore there is only one typical rank, which is 2.

Inductive Step: $d \implies d + 1$. We first note that it was already shown in [7] that rank $d + 1$ is typical for forms in $\mathbb{R}[x, y]_{d+1}$. Suppose that $f \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_d$ is a typical form of rank $\lfloor \frac{d+3}{2} \rfloor \leq m \leq d$. By perturbing f we may assume that the apolar ideal f^\perp is generated in generic degrees.

Suppose $d = 2k$ is even. Then f^\perp is generated by forms p_1, p_2 with $\deg p_1 = \deg p_2 = k + 1$.

First suppose that $m = k + 1$. Now let $\ell \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_1$ be any linear form such that the zero of ℓ is not one of the zeroes of p_1 and consider the ideal $I = \langle p_1, \ell p_2 \rangle$. The forms p_1 and ℓp_2 form a complete intersection over \mathbb{C} . By Theorem 2.2, I is the apolar ideal of some form $g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{d+1}$. Since we have $g^\perp \subset f^\perp$ by Lemma 2.3 we know that g is a typical form of rank m .

Now suppose that $m > k + 1$. By the Apolarity Lemma there exists $s \in (f^\perp)_m$ such that s has all real distinct roots and by Lemma 2.3 we know that all forms in $(f^\perp)_{m-1}$ have at least two complex roots. Since $s \in (f^\perp)_m$ we can write s in terms of the generators p_1 and p_2 of f^\perp :

$$s = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 \quad \text{for } q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{m-k-1}.$$

We now claim that we may choose two generators p_1 and p_2 of f^\perp so that the multiplier q_2 has a real root distinct from the roots of p_1 . If this does not hold, then we may pick a different set of generators of f^\perp : let

$$p'_1 = p_1 + \alpha p_2 \quad \text{with some } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then

$$s = p'_1 q_1 + p_2 (q_2 - \alpha q_1).$$

We can easily adjust α so that $q_2 - \alpha q_1$ has a real root, and we need to argue that we can also make this root distinct from the roots of $p'_1 = p_1 + \alpha p_2$. Suppose not; then for any $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ that is not a root of q_1 we may set $\alpha = -q_2(a, b)/q_1(a, b)$ and make (a, b) a root of $q_2 - \alpha q_1$. Therefore we must have $\frac{p_1}{p_2} = -\frac{q_2}{q_1}$, which implies that $s = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 = 0$ and that is a contradiction. Thus we have $q_2 - \alpha q_1 = \ell q$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_1, q \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{k-m-2}$ and ℓ does not divide p'_1 . Let

$$I = \langle p'_1, \ell p_2 \rangle.$$

As before, p'_1 and ℓp_2 form a compete intersection over \mathbb{C} and by Theorem 2.2 I is the apolar ideal of some form $g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{d+1}$. Since $s \in I$ we know that the rank of g is at most m and since $I \subset f^\perp$ we know that the rank of g is at least m . Therefore the rank of g is m . Further, $g^\perp \subset f^\perp$ has no forms of degree $m - 1$ with all real roots and g^\perp is generated in generic degrees. Therefore g is a typical form of rank m .

Now suppose that $d = 2k + 1$ is odd. Then f^\perp is generated by forms p_1, p_2 with $\deg p_1 = k + 1$ and $\deg p_2 = k + 2$. We note that we only need to deal with the cases $m \geq k + 2$. By the Apolarity Lemma there exists $s \in (f^\perp)_m$ such that s has all distinct real roots and by Lemma 2.3 all forms in $(f^\perp)_{m-1}$ have at least two complex roots. Since $s \in (f^\perp)_m$ we can write

$$s = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 \quad \text{for } q_1 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{m-k-1}, q_2 \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{m-k-2}.$$

The generator p_1 is uniquely determined, but p_2 is unique only modulo the ideal generated by p_1 . We now claim that we may choose generators of f^\perp so that the multiplier q_1 has a real root distinct from the roots of p_2 . If this does not hold, then let

$$p'_2 = p_2 + \ell p_1 \quad \text{for some linear form } \ell \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_1.$$

We have

$$s = p_1 (q_1 - \ell q_2) + p'_2 q_2.$$

We can adjust ℓ so that $q_1 - \ell q_2$ has a real root, and we need to argue that we may also make this root distinct from the roots of $p'_2 = p_2 + \ell p_1$. Arguing as before we must have $\frac{p_2}{p_1} = -\frac{q_1}{q_2}$, which implies that $s = p_1 q_1 + p_2 q_2 = 0$, which is a contradiction. Let

$$I = \langle \ell p_1, p'_2 \rangle.$$

Since ℓp_1 and p'_2 form a compete intersection over \mathbb{C} by Theorem 2.2 I is the apolar ideal of some form $g \in \mathbb{R}[x, y]_{d+1}$. Since $s \in I$ we know that the rank of g is at most

m and since $I \subset f^\perp$ we know that the rank of g is at least m . Therefore the rank of g is m . Further, $g^\perp \subset f^\perp$ has no forms of degree $m - 1$ with all real roots and g^\perp is generated in generic degrees. Therefore g is a typical form of rank m . \square

References

1. J. Alexander, A. Hirschowitz, Polynomial interpolation in several variables, *J. Algebr. Geom.* **4**(2), 201–222 (1995).
2. E. Ballico, On the typical rank of real bivariate polynomials. [arXiv:1204.3161v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1204.3161v1).
3. M. Boij, E. Carlini, A.V. Geramita, Monomials as sums of powers: the real binary case, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **139**, 3039–3043 (2011).
4. E. Carlini, M.V. Catalisano, A.V. Geramita, The solution to Waring’s problem for monomials, *J. Algebra* **370**, 5–14 (2012).
5. L. Chiantini, C. Ciliberto, Weakly defective varieties, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **354**(1), 151–178 (2002).
6. G. Comas, M. Seiguer, On the rank of a binary form, *Found. Comput. Math.* **11**, 65–78 (2010).
7. P. Comon, G. Ottaviani, On the typical rank of real binary forms, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **60**(6), 657–667 (2011).
8. A. Iarrobino, V. Kanev, *Power Sums, Gorenstein Algebras, and Determinantal Loci*. Lect. Notes in Math., vol. 1721 (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
9. J.M. Landsberg, Z. Teitler, On the ranks and border ranks of symmetric tensors, *Found. Comput. Math.* **10**(3), 339–366 (2010).
10. J.B. Lasserre Moments, *Positive Polynomials and Their Applications* (Imperial College Press, London, 2010).
11. M. Mella, Singularities of linear systems and the Waring problem, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **358**(12), 5523–5538 (2006).
12. S. Mukai, Fano 3-folds, in *Complex Projective Geometry*. London Math. Soc. L. N. S., vol. 179 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992), pp. 255–263.
13. L. Oeding, G. Ottaviani, Eigenvectors of tensors and algorithms for Waring decomposition, *J. Symb. Comput.* **54**, 9–35 (2013).
14. K. Ranestad, F.-O. Schreyer, Varieties of sums of powers, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **525**, 147–181 (2000).
15. B. Reznick, *Sums of Even Powers of Real Linear Forms*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 463 (Am. Math. Soc., Providence, 1992).
16. B. Reznick, Laws of inertia in higher degree binary forms, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **138**, 815–826 (2010).
17. B. Reznick, On the length of binary forms, in *Quadratic and Higher Degree Forms* (Springer, Berlin, 2013), pp. 207–232.