ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The miR‑199a‑5p/HIF1α dual‑regulatory axis participates in hypoxia‑induced aggressive phenotypes of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) cells

Xing Chen1 · Jianjun Yu1 · Hao Tian1 · Xu Cai¹

Received: 23 November 2023 / Accepted: 22 May 2024 / Published online: 9 June 2024 © The Author(s) under exclusive licence to Japan Society of Clinical Oncology 2024

Abstract

Background The late-stage diagnosis and distant metastasis of oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) remain a huge challenge to clinical treatment for OSCC. During the past decades, targeting glycolysis-inducing factors becomes an attractive new strategy in OSCC therapies.

Methods OSCC cells were stimulated with hypoxia or transfected with agomir-199a-5p, antagomir-199a-5p, and siRNA for HIF1A, cell proliferation was detected by CCK-8 assay; HIF1α, GLUT1, HK2 and LDHA expression levels were examined with western blot; miR-199 expression was determined with RT-PCR; cell migratory and invasive abilities were examined using wound healing and transwell assays; the lactate and glucose in culture medium were also determined. Luciferase assay or CHIP assay was applied for confrm the binding between miR-199a-5p and HIF1A 3′UTR, or between HIF1α and miR-199a promoter.

Results HIF1α showed to be abnormally up-regulated, and miR-199a-5p showed to be abnormally down-regulated within OSCC under hypoxia. Hypoxia considerably enhanced OSCC cell proliferation, glycolysis, migratory ability, and invasive ability. MiR-199a-5p bound to HIF1A 3′‐UTR and suppressed HIF1A expression; HIF1α targeted miR-199a-5p promoter region and downregulated miR-199a-5p expression. Under hypoxia, miR-199a-5p overexpression signifcantly repressed HIF1 α up-regulation inresponse to hypoxia, OSCC cell proliferation, glycolysis, migratory ability, and invasive ability. **Conclusion** miR-199a-5p and HIF1α form a dual-regulatory axis in OSCC cells; the miR-199a-5p/HIF1α dual-regulatory axis contributes to hypoxia-induced aggressive OSCC phenotypes.

Keywords Oral squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC) · miR-199a-5p · HIF1α · Glycolysis · Dual-regulatory axis

Introduction

Oral squamous cell carcinoma, which arises from the mucosal surfaces lining the oral cavity, pharynx, and larynx, is one of the most commonly seen malignancies globally [\[1](#page-9-0)]. Over the long term, the overall 5-year relative survival rate of oral cancer patients did not change remarkably [[2\]](#page-9-1). The high mortality rate in oral cancer patients could be mainly attributed to late stage diagnosis and distant metastasis [\[3](#page-9-2)]. Developing the understanding of the genetic changes and

 \boxtimes Xing Chen shenxing@hnca.org.cn molecular mechanisms of OSCC progression may provide new strategies for early diagnosis and targeted therapy.

Hypoxia is one of the main characteristics of solid tumors, and has been shown to correlate with aggressive behaviors of tumors and impaired prognosis of cancer patients. Induced by hypoxia, $HIF1\alpha$ (the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor 1α) acts as a critical molecule involved in the modulation of hypoxia and glucose metabolism of tumor cells. Together with the GLUT-1 (glucose transporter 1) and HK2 (Hexokinase 2), these three factors exert critical efects on tumor cell glycolysis [[4](#page-9-3)] and, subsequently, are tightly associated with OSCC nodal metastasis [\[5,](#page-9-4) [6](#page-9-5)]. Considering that $HIF1\alpha$ is commonly upregulated in OSCC [\[7](#page-9-6), [8](#page-9-7)], searching for agent that efficiently block HIF1 α might provide promising strategies for OSCC treatment.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a large group of, small, noncoding, endogenous RNA molecules, which act as regulators

¹ Department of Head and Neck Surgery, Hunan Cancer Hospital and The Afliated Cancer Hospital of Xiangya School of Medicine, Central South University, Changsha 410013, Hunan, China

in gene expression $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$ $[9, 10]$. A variety of studies have demonstrated that miRNAs could perform their functions as tumor screening, diagnostic and prognostic biomarkers [\[11](#page-9-10), [12](#page-9-11)]. MiR-199a-5p attracted our attention because of its aberrant downregulation and tumor-suppressive role in several cancers [\[13](#page-9-12)[–15](#page-9-13)]. Given these fndings, it is worthwhile to examine the specifc efects of miR-199a-5p on OSCC glycolysis. More importantly, by using Targetscan, we identifed the possible miR-199a-5p binding site in HIF1A; JASPER and TransmiR v2.0 predicted the HIF1 α binding site in miR-199a-5p promoter region. Considering these fndings, miR-199a-5p was selected for further study.

Herein, we examined both HIF1 α and miR-199a-5p expression within OSCC cells exposed to normoxia or hypoxia. Then, hypoxia-induced changes in OSCC cell phenotypes, including glycolysis, migration, and invasion were monitored. The predicted bindings between miR-199a-5p and HIF1A 3'-UTR, and HIF1 α and miR-199a-5p promoter region were verifed. Finally, the dynamic efects of miR-199a-5p and hypoxia-induced HIF1 α on the phenotypes of OSCC cells were investigated.

Materials and methods

Cell lineage and cell culture

Human tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell line CAL-27 (CRL-2095) was collected from ATCC (Manassas, USA) and cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Waltham, USA) supplemented with 10% FBS (Invitrogen, Waltham, USA). Human tongue squamous cell carcinoma cell line SCC-9 (CRL-1629) was procured from ATCC and cultivated within a 1:1 mixture of DMEM media and Ham's F12 media (Gibco) added with 10% FBS (Invitrogen). All cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidifed atmosphere containing 5% CO₂. For hypoxia treatment, cells were grown in airtight chambers with an atmosphere mixed of 5% CO_2 , 94% N_2 and 1% O_2 .

Cell transfection

miR-199a-5p overexpression or miR-199a-5p inhibition was achieved by the trasfection of agomiR-199a-5p/antagomir199a-5p (GenePharma, Shanghai, China). The small interfering RNA targeting HIF1A (si-HIF1A)/HIF1Aoverexpressing vector (HIF1A) was transfected to achieve HIF1A knockdown/overexpression [[16](#page-9-14)]. Then, these plasmids in target cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 3000 Reagent (Thermo fsher scientifc, Waltham, USA). The sequences of si-HIF1A, HIF1A-overexpressing vector, agomiR-199a-5p/antagomir199a-5p are listed in Table S1.

Immunoblotting

The total protein was isolated from target cells by centrifugation and the protein sample content was evaluated according to the BCA method. Then, following electrophoresis by SDS-PAGE, the separated proteins were electroblotted from the gel on PVDF membranes. 5% milk blocking solution was employed to block the membranes for 1 h, followed by an overnight incubation at 4℃ with the following primary antibodies: anti-HIF1α (20960-1-AP, Proteintech, Wuhan, China), anti-GLUT1 (21829-1-AP, Proteintech), anti-lactate dehydrogenase A (LDHA; 19987-1-AP, Proteintech), anti-HK2 (MBS475044, MyBioSource, San Diego, USA), anti-VEGFA (19003-1-AP, Proteintech), anti-EPO (17908-1-AP, Proteintech), and anti-β-actin (60008-1-Ig, Proteintech), followed by an 1-h incubation at room temperature (RT) with secondary antibodies (HRP-labeled goat anti-rabbit IgG and goat anti-mouse IgG). The signal was detected using ECL chemiluminescence method.

RT‑qPCR

mRNA, lncRNA, and miRNA expression levels were examined by RT-qPCR. TRIzol reagent was utilized to collect total RNA. Then, RNA reversely transcribed into cDNA. Using a SYBR-Green Real-time PCR Master Mix, the RTqPCR assay was conducted on a real-time PCR amplifcation equipment. β-actin (for mRNA) or U6 (for miRNA) was utilized as an internal reference. The primer sequence is represented in Table S1.

Wound healing assay for cell migration

Target cells $(5 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ were added to each well of 24-well plates and allowed to grow for 24 h. Next, one scratch was made with a pipette tip; the pipette tip was kept as perpendicular to the horizontal line as possible. Rinse the cells thrice with PBS, add complete media, incubate the cells at 37°C in 5% $CO₂$ for another 24 h, and then measure the migrating width and take pictures. Representative images were shown.

Transwell assay for cell invasion

Matrigel was diluted 1:5 with serum-free DMEM. After the addition of 80 μl mixture into the Transwell plate, the transwells were inserted into 24-well plates, followed by a 5-h incubation at 37℃ to solidify. After being digested with 0.25% trypsin, target cells were suspended in serumfree medium. Then, 200 μl cell suspension at a density of 5×10^5 cells/ml was planted to the top chamber, while 600 μ l DMEM media containing 10% FBS was introduced into the bottom chamber. Following a 24-h incubation, a cotton swab was employed to remove the non-migrated cells upon the upper side of the membrane, and anhydrous methanol was applied to fx the remaining cells for 30 min, followed by a 2-h staining with 0.4% crystal violet. An optical microscope was employed to assess cell invasion across basement membrane [\[17](#page-9-15)].

Dual‑luciferase reporter assay

The wild-type reporter vector (wt-HIF1A) was generated by fusing HIF1A 3′-UTR sequence with the binding sites of miR-199a-5p into luciferase reporter constructs (psicheck2 vector, Promega, Madison, USA); meanwhile, the mutanttype reporter vector (mut-HIF1A) was generated by using site-directed mutagenesis to mutate HIF1A 3′-UTR sequence containing the miR-199a-5p binding sites into luciferase reporter construct. Subsequently, using Lipofectamine 3000, 293T cells were co-transfected with agomiR-199a-5p/antagomiR-199a-5p with the reporter vectors and the luciferase activity was determined using Dual-Luciferase Reporter System (Promega) [\[18](#page-9-16)].

Wild- and mutant-type miR-199a-5p promoter reporter vectors were constructed, namely psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p and psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p-mut, for the binding between HIF1α and miR-199a-5p promoter. psicheck2 promiR-199a-5p/psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p-mut and HIF1α/vector were employed to co-transfect 293T cells. The luciferase activity was determined using Dual-Luciferase Reporter System (Promega).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP)

The Pierce Agarose ChIP Kit (Pierce, Rockford, USA) was utilized as directed by the manufacturer's protocol to conduct the ChIP assay. 293T cells were transfected with HIF1 α /vector. Cross-linking was carried out in 1% formaldehyde, followed by cell lysis and nuclei preparation. Micronuclease digestion was employed to shear chromatin. Subsequently, anti-HIF1 α antibody was applied to incubate sheared DNA. Normal immunoglobulin G (IgG) was utilized as a negative control. DNA was purifed and analyzed using real-time PCR. Each primer is presented in Table S1.

Culture medium lactate and glucose levels

Lactate Assay Kit II (Eton Bioscience Inc., San Diego, USA) was employed to perform colorimetric assays as per the manufacturer's protocol, subsequently analyzing the levels of lactate secreted into the medium. A Glucose Assay Kit (S0201S; Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was utilized as per the instructions to examine glucose levels in the culture media.

Cell counting kit‑8 (CCK8) assay

CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were planted onto 96-well plates $(5 \times 10^3 \text{ cells/well})$, followed by incubation at 37 °C. Following transfection and exposure to either normoxic or hypoxic conditions, each well was supplemented with 10 μl CCK-8 reagent (Beyotime), followed by 2-h incubation. The optical density (OD) of each well, indicative of cell viability, was determined with a microplate reader (BioTek, Winooski, USA) at 450 nm [[19\]](#page-9-17).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 21.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). Data were presented in terms of means \pm standard deviation (SD) of experimental results from at least three repetitions. Kolmogorov–Smirnov test showed whether the data were in normal distribution. A Student's *t* test was carried out for comparisons between groups. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by LSD test or Dunnett T3 test for comparisons among multi-groups. Not normally distributed data were analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis test. A *P* value of less than 0.05 was regarded as signifcant.

Results

Hypoxia increases HIF1α and decreases miR‑199a‑5p

Firstly, we determined HIF1 α and miR-199a-5p expression within CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells upon hypoxia to validate hypoxia-induced deregulation of these two factors. Under 1% O_2 exposure, the protein levels of HIF1α were increased (Fig. [1A](#page-3-0)), whereas miR-199a-5p expression showed to be reduced within CAL-27 and SCC-9 cell lines (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)B). Thus, hypoxia induces $HIF1\alpha$ and miR-199a-5p deregulation within oral cancer cells.

Hypoxia enhances the glycolysis, migration, and invasion of oral cancer cell

Secondly, we examined the effects of hypoxia upon CAL-27 and SCC-9 cell glycolysis, migration, and invasion. Under hypoxia condition (1% O_2), GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA protein contents were all dramatically increased, as compared to those under normal oxygen (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)A). Meanwhile, hypoxia condition increased lactate levels and decreased glucose levels in culture medium, compared with those under normoxia condition (Fig. [2B](#page-4-0), C). Moreover, hypoxia exposure signifcantly promoted cell migration and invasion of both cell lines, compared with that under normoxia condition (Fig. [2D](#page-4-0), E).

Fig. 1 Hypoxia increases HIF1α and decreases miR-199a-5p. CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were exposed to 1% O₂ and examined for the protein levels of HIF1α by Immunoblotting (**A**) and miR-199a-5p

expression by qRT-PCR (**B**). Data are analyzed using unpaired Student's *t* test. $n=3$ in each group, ** $P < 0.01$, as compared to normoxia group

The dual‑regulatory axis consists of miR‑199a‑5p and HIF1α

Since hypoxia induces the alterations in oral cancer cell phenotypes and miR-199a-5p and HIF1α levels, next, the regulation between miR-199a-5p and HIF1α was examined. AgomiR-199a-5p or antagomiR-199a-5p was transfected into cells to achieve miR-199a-5p overexpression or inhibition in CAL-27 and SCC-9 cell lines, as confrmed by qRT-PCR (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)A). In CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells, miR-199a-5p overexpression decreased, whereas miR-199a-5p inhibition elevated HIF1 α proteins (Fig. [3B](#page-6-0)). To validate the negative regulatory efect of miR-199a-5p on HIF1α, dual-luciferase reporter assay was conducted. We constructed wild- and mutant-type HIF1A luciferase reporter vectors, and cotransfected them into 293T cells with agomiR-199a-5p/ antagomiR-199a-5p; the predicted miR-199a-5p binding site in HIF1A was mutated. When co-transfected with wt-HIF1A, miR-199a-5p overexpression inhibited, while miR-199a-5p inhibition enhanced the luciferase activity of wt-HIF1A; when co-transfected with mut-HIF1A, miR-199a-5p caused no signifcant changes in luciferase activity (Fig. [3C](#page-6-0)).

As for HIF1α regulation of miR-199a-5p, HIF1αoverexpressing vector (HIF1α) or small interfering RNA targeting HIF1 α (si-HIF1 α) was transfected to achieve HIF1 α overexpression and knockdown in CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells, and Immunoblotting was carried out to verify the transfection efficiency (Fig. $3D$). Within CAL-27 and SCC-9 cell lines, HIF1 α overexpression downregulated, while HIF1 α knockdown upregulated miR-199a-5p expression (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)E). As for predicted binding between HIF1 α and the promoter region of miR-199a-5p, ChIP assay was performed within HIF1α/vector-transfected 293T cells using anti-IgG/anti-HIF1 α . miR-199a-5p promoter levels within anti-HIF1 α immunoprecipitate showed to be signifcantly higher as compared to anti-IgG immunoprecipitate, and miR-199a-5p promoter levels within HIF1α-transfected 293T cells showed to be signifcantly higher as compared to vector-transfected 293T cells (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)F). Furthermore, we conducted dual-luciferase reporter assay. We constructed wild- and mutant-type miR-199a-5p luciferase reporter vectors, namely psicheck2 promiR-199a-5p or psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p-mut, and co-transfected these reporter vectors into 293T cells with HIF1α/vector. When co-transfected with psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p, HIF1 α overexpression significantly suppressed psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p luciferase activity; when cotransfected with psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p-mut containing mutated HIF1 α binding site, HIF1 α failed to change luciferase activity (Fig. [3](#page-6-0)G).

Dynamic efects of the miR‑199a‑5p/HIF1α dual‑regulatory axis upon cancer cell phenotypes

Since the miR-199a-5p-HIF1 α axis was reported to exert a dual-regulatory efect, next, we determined the dynamic efects of the axis upon the phenotypes of oral cancer cells.

Fig. 2 Hypoxia promotes the glycolysis, migration, and invasion of oral cancer cell. CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were exposed to 1% O₂ and examined for the protein levels of GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA by Immunoblotting (**A**); lactate levels in culture medium (**B**); glucose

levels in culture medium (**C**); cell migration by Wound healing assay (**D**); cell invasion by Transwell assay (**E**). Data are analyzed using unpaired Student's *t* test. $n=3$ in each group, * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, as compared to normoxia group

We transfected CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells with agomir-NC/ agomiR-199a-5p, exposed to 1% or 20% O_2 , and examined for related indexes. When compared to normoxia condition, hypoxia signifcantly induced cancer cell proliferation; under normoxia or hypoxia conditions, miR-199a-5p overexpression notably inhibited cell proliferation; hypoxia treatment partially offseted the effect of miR-199a-5p overexpression on cell proliferation (Fig. [4A](#page-8-0)). As for glycolysis, hypoxiainduced miR-199a-5p inhibition increased HIF1α, GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA protein contents (Fig. [4B](#page-8-0)), increased culture medium lactate contents (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C), and decreased culture medium glucose contents (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)D); conversely, miR-199a-5p overexpression reduced HIF1α, GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA protein contents (Fig. [4B](#page-8-0)), decreased culture medium lactate contents (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)C), and increased culture medium glucose contents (Fig. [4](#page-8-0)D). Moreover, under hypoxia, miR-199a-5p overexpression partially reversed hypoxia-induced changes in glycolysis (Fig. [4B](#page-8-0)–D). As for cell migration

and invasion, hypoxia-induced miR-199a-5p inhibition enhanced, while miR-199a-5p overexpression inhibited oral cancer cell migration and invasion; similarly, under hypoxia, miR-199a-5p overexpression partially reversed hypoxiainduced changes in cell migration and invasion (Fig. [4E](#page-8-0), F).

Discussion

The present study found the aberrant increase of $HIF1\alpha$ and the abnormal reduction of miR-199a-5p in OSCC under hypoxia. Hypoxia dramatically enhanced the glycolysis, migratory ability, and invasive ability of OSCC cells. MiR-199a-5p bound to HIF1A 3′‐UTR and suppressed HIF1A

Fig. 3 The dual-regulatory axis consists of miR-199a-5p and HIF1α. ◂**A** miR-199a-5p overexpression and inhibition was achieved in CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells by transfecting agomiR-199a-5p or antagomiR-199a-5p. The overexpression and inhibition of miR-199a-5p were confrmed by qRT-PCR. **B** CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were transfected by agomiR-199a-5p or antagomiR-199a-5p and examined for the protein levels of HIF1 α by Immunoblotting. **C** The binding sites of miR-199a-5p and HIF1α were predicted by TargetScanHuman 8.0 online database ([https://www.targetscan.org/vert_80/\)](https://www.targetscan.org/vert_80/). Then, Wildand mutant-type HIF1A luciferase reporter vectors were constructed and co-transfected to 293T cells with agomiR-199a-5p or antagomiR-199a-5p. Luciferase activity was determined. Data are analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed LSD test. $n=3$ in each group; $* P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, as compared to agomir-NC group; $P < 0.05$, $\# P < 0.01$, as compared to antagomir-NC group. **D** HIF1α overexpression and knockdown were achieved in CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells by transfecting the HIF1 α -overexpressing vector (HIF1 α) or small interfering RNA targeting HIF1 α (si-HIF1 α). The overexpression and inhibition of HIF1α was confrmed by Immunoblotting. **E** CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were transfected by HIF1 α or si-HIF1 α and examined for miR-199a-5p expression by qRT-PCR. Data are analyzed using one-way ANOVA followed LSD test. $n=3$ in each group; ** $P < 0.01$, as compared to vector group; $H P < 0.01$, as compared to si-NC group. **F** ChIP assay was performed in 293T cells transfected with vector or HIF1α using anti-IgG or anti-HIF1α. The levels of miR-199a-5p promoter in immunoprecipitate were determined by real-time PCR. Data are analyzed using unpaired Student's t test. $n=3$ in each group; ** $P < 0.01$, as compared to IgG group; $# P < 0.01$, as compared to anti-HIF1α group. **G** Wild- and mutant-type miR-199a-5p luciferase reporter vectors, psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p or psicheck2-promiR-199a-5p-mut, were constructed and co-transfected to 293T cells with vector or HIF1α. The luciferase activity was determined. Data are analyzed using unpaired Student's t test. $n=3$ in each group, ** $P < 0.01$, $#P < 0.01$ as compared to corresponding group

expression; HIF1α targeted miR-199a-5p promoter region and down-regulated miR-199a-5p level. Under hypoxia, miR-199a-5p overexpression significantly repressed HIF1 α up-regulation in response to hypoxia, the proliferation, glycolysis, migratory ability, and invasive ability of OSCC cells.

Hypoxia-induced HIF1α, which is essential for adaptive responses of the cells to hypoxia, inducing conformational changes under varying oxygen levels [[20](#page-9-18)], serves as an oncogenic transcriptional factor in multiple cancers. Besides, hypoxia-regulated factor, VEGFA [\[21\]](#page-9-19), GLUT1 $[22]$ $[22]$, LDHA $[23]$ $[23]$ $[23]$, and EPO $[24]$, are also related to multiple tumor progression. The role of $HIF1\alpha$ in tumor progression is controversial, the increased protein level of HIF1 α is frequently observed and correlated with poor prognosis in many cancer types, a long-lasting concept believes that $HIF1\alpha$ plays an oncogenic role in tumor growth [[25](#page-9-23), [26](#page-9-24)]. However, some studies challenged this concept. HIF1α defciency apparently inhibited tumor growth and enhanced tumor invasion in microenvironment

with sufficient oxygen supply $[27]$ $[27]$. HIF1 α stabilization due to loss of VHL decreased tumor growth [\[28\]](#page-9-26). HIF1 α can act as a tumor suppressor gene in murine acute myeloid leukemia [[29\]](#page-9-27). In OSCC, several studies indicated the association of higher HIF1 α levels with poorer prognosis [\[30,](#page-9-28) [31\]](#page-9-29). Consistently, here, we found the aberrant upregulation of $HIF1\alpha$ protein levels in OSCC cells under hypoxia, suggesting the oncogenic effect of $HIF1\alpha$ on OSCC cells. Notably, miR-199a-5p expression was dramatically reduced upon hypoxia within OSCC. The simultaneous alterations in miR-199a-5p and HIF1α expression suggest that these two factors might mediate OSCC cell phenotypes upon hypoxia.

HIF1 α upregulation often accompanies highly aggressive tumor cell phenotypes. Herein, we observed hypoxiainduced increases in GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA levels. GLUT1 is a transmembrane protein facilitating the transport of glucose across a membrane [[32](#page-9-30)]. HK2 is a major glycolytic enzyme that controls the frst step of glycolysis and shows to be over-expressed within many malignancies [[33](#page-9-31)]. LDHA, one of the glycolytic enzymes, helps in the rapid conversion of pyruvate to lactate and is correlated with cancer progression [[34](#page-9-32), [35\]](#page-9-33). Together with increased lactate levels and decreased glucose levels in the culture medium, these indexes demonstrated hypoxia-induced glycolysis by OSCC cells. In the meantime, hypoxia signifcantly promoted OSCC cell migration and invasion, further indicating hypoxia-induced aggressive phenotypes of OSCC cells.

miR-199a-5p has been revealed to serve as an antitumor miRNA within OSCC via binding to FDZ4 [[13\]](#page-9-12), SOX4 [[14](#page-9-34)], or IKKβ [\[36\]](#page-9-35). Herein, miR-199a-5p targeted HIF1A and inhibited HIF1A expression. More importantly, as a transcriptional factor, HIF1 α could activate or inhibit the tran-scription of downstream targets. For example, Li et al. [[37\]](#page-9-36) reported the direct binding of HIF1α to the HIF response element region of miR-21 under hypoxia. Herein, signifcantly higher miR-199a-5p promoter levels in anti-HIF1 α immunoprecipitate compared with anti-IgG suggested the direct binding of $HIF1\alpha$ to the HIF response element region in miR-199a-5p. Within OSCC, $HIF1\alpha$ negatively modulated miR-199a-5p expression. Thus, miR-199a-5p and HIF1 $α$ form a dual-regulatory axis, negatively regulating each other. Since we already demonstrated hypoxia-induced aggressive OSCC cell phenotypes, secondly, the dynamic effects of the miR-199a-5p/HIF1 α dual-regulatory axis on hypoxia-stimulated OSCC cells were examined. After overexpressing miR-199a-5p, hypoxia-induced OSCC cell proliferation, glycolysis, migration, and invasion were all partially

Fig. 4 Dynamic efects of the miR-199a-5p/HIF1α dual-regula-◂ **Conclusion** tory axis on cancer cell phenotypes. CAL-27 and SCC-9 cells were transfected with agomir-NC or agomiR-199a-5p, exposed to 1% or 20% O₂, and examined for cell proliferation by CCK-8 assay (A); the protein levels of HIF1α, GLUT1, HK2, and LDHA by Immunoblotting (**B**); lactate levels in culture medium (**C**); glucose levels in culture medium (**D**); cell migration by Wound healing assay (**E**); cell invasion by Transwell assay (**F**). Data are analyzed using oneway ANOVA followed LSD test. $n=3$ in each group; * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$, compared with the Normoxia+agomir-NC group; ^{##} P < 0.01, compared with the Hypoxia + agomiR-199a-5p group

Altogether, miR-199a-5p and HIF1α form a dual-regulatory axis in OSCC cells; the miR-199a-5p/HIF1 α dual-regulatory axis participates in hypoxia-induced aggressive phenotypes of OSCC cells (Fig. [5\)](#page-8-1).

reversed, indicating the involvement of miR-199a-5p/HIF1 α dual-regulatory axis in hypoxia-induced aggressive phenotypes of OSCC cells.

However, the limitations of this study remain to be considered. Firstly, the HIF1A usually forms complexes with other genes, like HIF-beta $[38]$ $[38]$, HDAC1 $[39]$ $[39]$, p300 $[40]$ and so on, to transcriptionally activates hundreds of genes promoting the adaptation to hypoxia that is implicated in tumor development. Hence, there could be other co-suppressors complex with HIF1A to regulate miR-199a-5p expression. Moreover, since all our experiments were carried out in cells, in future studies, we may employ experiments in animal models such as mice or rabbits.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10147-024-02555-7>.

Author contributions Xing Chen conception and design the experiments. Xing Chen and Jianjun Yu drafted the article. Xu Cai revised the article critically for important intellectual content. Xing Chen, Jianjun Yu, Hao Tian contributed to experiments, analysis and manuscript preparation. All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Funding This study was supported by the key clinical Specialty Construction Project (Head&Neck Surgery) of Provincial Health Commission of Hunan Province and the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (Youth grant, 2020JJ5333).

Data availability All data and materials are available.

Declarations

Conflict of interest None.

Consent for publication All the authors read and approved the manuscript.

Ethical approval and consent to participate The Research Ethics Committee of the Xiangya School of Medicine approved all experiments.

References

- 1. Warnakulasuriya S (2009) Global epidemiology of oral and oropharyngeal cancer. Oral Oncol 45(4–5):309–316
- 2. Pulte D, Brenner H (2010) Changes in survival in head and neck cancers in the late 20th and early 21st century: a period analysis. Oncologist 15(9):994–1001
- 3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A (2019) Cancer statistics, 2019. CA Cancer J Clin 69(1):7–34
- 4. Lu H, Forbes RA, Verma A (2002) Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 activation by aerobic glycolysis implicates the Warburg efect in carcinogenesis. J Biol Chem 277(26):23111–23115
- 5. Chang CC et al (2011) HDAC2 promotes cell migration/invasion abilities through HIF-1alpha stabilization in human oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Oral Pathol Med 40(7):567–575
- 6. Eckert AW et al (2011) Coexpression of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and glucose transporter-1 is associated with poor prognosis in oral squamous cell carcinoma patients. Histopathology 58(7):1136–1147
- 7. Mohamed KM et al (2004) Correlation between VEGF and HIF-1alpha expression in human oral squamous cell carcinoma. Exp Mol Pathol 76(2):143–152
- 8. Guo M et al (2018) Comparison of the expression of TGF-beta1, E-cadherin, N-cadherin, TP53, RB1CC1 and HIF-1alpha in oral squamous cell carcinoma and lymph node metastases of humans and mice. Oncol Lett 15(2):1639–1645
- 9. Bartels CL, Tsongalis GJ (2009) MicroRNAs: novel biomarkers for human cancer. Clin Chem 55(4):623–631
- 10. Cortes-Sempere M, Ibanez de Caceres I (2011) microRNAs as novel epigenetic biomarkers for human cancer. Clin Transl Oncol 13(6):357–362
- 11. Wu Y et al (2017) MiR-375/SLC7A11 axis regulates oral squamous cell carcinoma proliferation and invasion. Cancer Med 6(7):1686–1697
- 12. Shin KH et al (2011) miR-181a shows tumor suppressive efect against oral squamous cell carcinoma cells by downregulating K-ras. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 404(4):896–902
- 13. Jiang X et al (2020) CCL18-induced LINC00319 promotes proliferation and metastasis in oral squamous cell carcinoma via the miR-199a-5p/FZD4 axis. Cell Death Dis 11(9):777
- 14. Wei D et al (2019) MicroRNA199a5p suppresses migration and invasion in oral squamous cell carcinoma through inhibiting the EMTrelated transcription factor SOX4. Int J Mol Med 44(1):185–195
- 15. Lou Z et al (2018) Low expression of miR-199 in hepatocellular carcinoma contributes to tumor cell hyper-proliferation by negatively suppressing XBP1. Oncol Lett 16(5):6531–6539
- 16. Bu XN et al (2016) Inhibition of DACH1 activity by short hairpin RNA represses cell proliferation and tumor invasion in pancreatic cancer. Oncol Rep 36(2):745–754
- 17. Li M et al (2022) MiR-26a-5p regulates proliferation, apoptosis, migration and invasion via inhibiting hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase like-2 in cervical cancer cell. BMC Cancer 22(1):876
- 18. Chang QQ et al (2019) LncRNA PVT1 promotes proliferation and invasion through enhancing Smad3 expression by sponging miR-140-5p in cervical cancer. Radiol Oncol 53(4):443–452
- 19. Liu Y et al (2022) Lysyl oxidase promotes anaplastic thyroid carcinoma cell proliferation and metastasis mediated via BMP1. Gland Surg 11(1):245–257
- 20. Sasabe E et al (2010) Reactive oxygen species produced by the knockdown of manganese-superoxide dismutase up-regulate hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha expression in oral squamous cell carcinoma cells. Free Radic Biol Med 48(10):1321–1329
- 21. Chen H et al (2022) BMP9-ID1 signaling activates HIF-1 α and VEGFA expression to promote tumor angiogenesis in hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Mol Sci 23(3):1475
- 22. Ma P et al (2021) GLUT1 targeting and hypoxia-activating polymer-drug conjugate-based micelle for tumor chemo-thermal therapy. Drug Delivery 28(1):2256–2267
- 23. Wang X-H et al (2021) Hypoxia-induced FOXO4/LDHA axis modulates gastric cancer cell glycolysis and progression. Clin Transl Med 11(1):e279
- 24. Baltaziak M et al (2013) The relationships between hypoxiadependent markers: HIF-1alpha, EPO and EPOR in colorectal cancer. Folia Histochem Cytobiol 51(4):320–325
- 25. Malekan M, Ebrahimzadeh MA, Sheida F (2021) The role of hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha and its signaling in melanoma. Biomed Pharmacother 141:111873
- 26. Young RM, Simon MC (2012) Untuning the tumor metabolic machine: HIF-α: pro- and antitumorigenic? Nat Med 18(7):1024–1025
- 27. Blouw B et al (2003) The hypoxic response of tumors is dependent on their microenvironment. Cancer Cell 4(2):133–146
- 28. Mack FA et al (2003) Loss of pVHL is sufficient to cause HIF dysregulation in primary cells but does not promote tumor growth. Cancer Cell 3(1):75–88
- 29. Velasco-Hernandez T et al (2014) HIF-1 α can act as a tumor suppressor gene in murine acute myeloid leukemia. Blood 124(24):3597–3607
- 30. Eckert AW et al (2010) HIF-1alpha is a prognostic marker in oral squamous cell carcinomas. Int J Biol Markers 25(2):87–92
- 31. Tilakaratne WM, Nissanka-Jayasuriya EH (2011) Value of HIF-1alpha as an independent prognostic indicator in oral squamous cell carcinoma. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 11(2):145–147
- 32. Augustin R (2010) The protein family of glucose transport facilitators: it's not only about glucose after all. IUBMB Life 62(5):315–333
- 33. Mathupala SP, Ko YH, Pedersen PL (2009) Hexokinase-2 bound to mitochondria: cancer's stygian link to the "Warburg Efect" and a pivotal target for efective therapy. Semin Cancer Biol 19(1):17–24
- 34. Girgis H et al (2014) Lactate dehydrogenase A is a potential prognostic marker in clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Mol Cancer 13:101
- 35. Sun X et al (2014) Clinicopathological signifcance and prognostic value of lactate dehydrogenase A expression in gastric cancer patients. PLoS ONE 9(3):e91068
- 36. Wei D et al (2019) MicroRNA199a5p functions as a tumor suppressor in oral squamous cell carcinoma via targeting the IKKbeta/ NFkappaB signaling pathway. Int J Mol Med 43(4):1585–1596
- 37. Li L et al (2016) Exosomes derived from hypoxic oral squamous cell carcinoma cells deliver miR-21 to normoxic cells to elicit a prometastatic phenotype. Cancer Res 76(7):1770–1780
- 38. Martínez-Sáez O et al (2017) Targeting HIF-2 α in clear cell renal cell carcinoma: a promising therapeutic strategy. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 111:117–123
- 39. Zhu S et al (2016) MiR-548an, transcriptionally downregulated by HIF1α/HDAC1, suppresses tumorigenesis of pancreatic cancer by targeting vimentin expression. Mol Cancer Ther 15(9):2209–2219

40. Reece KM et al (2014) Epidithiodiketopiperazines (ETPs) exhibit in vitro antiangiogenic and in vivo antitumor activity by disrupting the HIF- $1\alpha/p300$ complex in a preclinical model of prostate cancer. Mol Cancer 13:91

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.