REVIEW ARTICLE

Tetsuro Kubota

5-Fluorouracil and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase

Received: January 31, 2003

Abstract Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) is a rate-limiting enzyme of (fluorinated) pyrimidine degradation that plays a significant role in the pharmacokinetics of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU). In addition, a catabolite of 5-FU induces a certain toxicity, and the sensitivity of 5-FU is determined by DPD activity in tumors. DPD is thus important clinically. Drugs have been developed that control variations of the pharmacokinetics of 5-FU by controlling or inhibiting DPD, thereby reducing toxicity and improving sensitivity. These fluorinated pyrimidines with DPDinhibiting activity, called DPD-inhibitory fluoropyrimidines, contribute to oral therapy with 5-FU for cancer. This paper summarizes the important role of DPD in cancer chemotherapy with 5-FU.

Key words 5-Fluorouracil · Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) · DPD-inhibitory fluoropyrimidines (DIFs)

Introduction

5-Fluorouracil (5-FU), first synthesized by Heidelberger et al. in 1957, $\frac{1}{1}$ has been employed for the treatment of various solid cancers for more than 45 years. In Japan, 5-FU derivatives such as tegafur, doxifluridine (5-DFUR), and a mixed compound of tegafur and uracil at a molar ratio of 1: 4 (UFT) have been developed since the 1970s and employed in oral therapy for cancer. In the United States and Europe, the development of UFT/leucovorin (LV), capecitabine, eniluracil/5-FU, 1M tegatur – 0.4M gimeracil – 1M ostacil potassium (S-1), and so on began during the 1990s, and oral cancer therapy with fluorinated pyrimidines has attracted attention.2,3

Among these agents, UFT/LV, eniluracil/5-FU, and S-1 are classified as dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD)-

e-mail: tkubota@sc.itc.keio.ac.jp

inhibitory fluoropyrimidines $(DIFs)$ ^{4,5} (Table 1). Literally, they are fluorinated pyrimidines that have been developed for the purpose of inhibiting DPD (EC 1.3.1.2). This paper summarizes the importance of DPD in chemotherapy, mainly regarding 5-FU and its control.

Roles of DPD in the pharmacokinetics of 5-FU

Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase is an enzyme that catalyzes the first, rate-limiting step of (fluorinated) pyrimidine degradation⁶ (Fig. 1). It is known to exhibit high activity in the liver and mononuclear cells, and its activity is widely distributed in a variety of organs, such as the small intestinal mucosa.^{7,8} The liver is thought to limit the rate of degradation, however, because it has a relatively large volume. Aboagye et al. 9 reported that 96% of 5-FU exposed to the liver is degraded. In fact, the elimination half-time $(T_{1/2})$ of 5-FU administered in blood is only about 20 min.⁶

Although 5-FU is mainly degraded in the liver, it is not easy to collect samples for measuring DPD activity. Peripheral mononuclear cells (PMNCs) that exhibit a weak positive linear correlation with DPD activity in the liver are used as surrogate markers, 10 and DPD activity in PMNCs (PMNC-DPD) is inversely correlated with clearance of 5- FU.^{11,12} PMNC-DPD activity is also known to exhibit circadian variations and to be involved in variations of blood 5-FU concentrations during intravenous infusion.¹³ PMNC-DPD exhibits, however, large intra- and interindividual variation. 14 It is also reported that measurements of DPD activity vary depending on the composition of the PMNCs (proportions of lymphocytes and monocytes) and the protein level.¹⁵

Relation between DPD and 5-FU-related toxicity

Genetic DPD deficiency

Since the report by Tuchman et al. in 1985 ,¹⁶ a relation between severe 5-FU toxicity and low DPD activity has

T. Kubota (\boxtimes)

Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, Keio University, 35 Shinanomachi, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 160-8582, Japan Tel. -81-3-3353-1211, ext 62334; Fax -81-3-3355-4707

Fig. 1. Metabolic pathway of 5-fluorouracil (*5-FU*). *dUMP*, dUDP, and dTMP, deoxyuridine mono-, di-, and triphosphate,
respectively: $mTHF$, 5.10respectively; *mTHF*, 5,10 methylene tetrahydrofolate; *TS*, thymidylate synthase; *FdUrd*, fluorodeoxyuridine; *TK*, thymidine kinase; *TP*, thymidine phosphorylase; *DHFU*, dihydrofluorouracil; *FUPA*, fluorour-
eidopropionic acid: *FBAL* e idopropionic acid; α -fluoro- β -alanine; *UP*, uridine phosphorylase; *OPRT*, orotate phosphoribosyltransferase; *FUrd*, fluorouridine; *UK*, uridine kinase; *RNR*, ribonucleotide reductase; *FUMP*, *FUDP*, and FUTP, fluouridine mono-, di-, and triphosphate, respectively

Table 1. Classification of oral fluoropyrimidines

DIFs, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD)-inhibitory fluoropyrimidines; UFT, a mixed compound of tegafur and uracil at a molar ratio of 1:4; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; S1, 1 M tegafur -0.4 M gimeracil $-$ 1 M ostacil potassium; CDHP, gimeracil

a Tegafur/gimeracil/oteracil potassium

been reported (Table 2). The conformation of the *DPYD* gene (chromosome 1, p21–q22), its sequence, 17 and its crystal structure¹⁸ have been identified; mutation/deletion of some base sequences has also been reported (Table 3). The exon 14-skipping mutation is well known,¹⁹ but the relation between DPD deficiency and low DPD activity has not been fully explained.²⁰ Although reports on its promoter region have recently appeared, 21,22 independent groups showed different regions; hence future investigation is awaited. It is reported that DPD activity is low, appearing in about 3% of the Caucasian population; 23 it is even lower in Japan, with only two cases of identified DPD deficiency having been reported.^{24,25} An ethnic difference is thus attracting interest.

Toxicity caused by 5-FU catabolites

It has been shown experimentally that a degradation product of 5-FU, α -fluoro-β-alanine (F-BAL), and its metabolites induce a certain toxicity.^{26,27} Because the patterns of toxicity development clearly differ between DIF preparations and non-DIF preprations, 2^{8-31} the possibility cannot be denied that control or inhibition of DPD decreases the degradation products and decreases toxicity.32–35

Relation between DPD and sensitivity to 5-FU

It is well known that thymidylate synthase (TS), a target enzyme of 5-FU, affects the sensitivity of 5-FU.³⁶⁻³⁸ It is also reported that DPD determines 5-FU sensitivity.³⁹⁻⁴⁴ Aboagye et al. noted that 83% of 5-FU exposed to tumor is degraded,⁹ and it has been confirmed in clinical situations that DPD in tumors determines the effect of chemotherapy mainly based on 5-FU.^{45,46} DPD, a rate-limiting enzyme for the degradation of 5-FU, is thought to be a strong factor in determining the effect of 5-FU, rather than TS. The possibility of employing DPD as a prognostic factor is also suggested, $46,47$ although these results may be reflected in tumor progression.48 It has been shown that DPD activity or expression in tumors differs from that in normal tissues depending on the type of carcinoma.45,49–52 Larger-scale investigations and development of a method for inhibiting DPD according to the type of tumor are expected.

DPD inhibitory fluoropyrimidines

Because the pharmacokinetics and sensitivity of 5-FU are determined by DPD, stabilization of the pharmacokinetics of 5-FU and enhancement of its efficacy have been attempted by means of DPD inhibition. These fluoropyrimidines exhibiting a DPD inhibitory effect have recently been classified as DIFs.⁴ It has also been revealed that their oral administration provides pharmacokinetics comparable to those seen with intravenous administration, $53,54$ and it achieves a similar efficacy conveniently and safely.28,29 Inhibition of DPD in tumors might be an effective strategy for overcoming the resistance of tumors with high DPD expression to 5 -FU.⁵⁵⁻⁵⁸

Table 2. Adult cancer patients with DPD deficiency experiencing severe adverse drug reactions to 5-FU-based chemotherapy **Table 2.** Adult cancer patients with DPD deficiency experiencing severe adverse drug reactions to 5-FU-based chemotherapy

CMF, cyclophosphamide/methotrexate/fluorouracil; 5-FU, 5-fluorouracil; cIV, continuous introutrously; CDDP, cis-diaminedichloroplatinum; LV, leucovorin; 5DFUR, doxifluridine; MMC, $\ddot{\cdot}$ ŗ. ÷. $\frac{1}{2}$ Ļ ски , сусордоорианисе нисиме какониого шаси, это с, этиого шаси, сл , сонишелов нигоиновая, сл , съ-манинешес
mitomycin C; Mit, mitoxantrone; CT, computed tomography; DPD, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase; CSF, cerebrospin mitomycin C; Mit, mitoxantrone; CT, computed tomography; DPD, dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid

Table 3. Reported *DPYD* gene mutations

Nomenclature	Effect	Location
$DPYD*12$	Arg21Glu	Exon 2
	His25Arg	Exon 2
DPYD*9A, 9B	Cys29Arg	Exon 2
$DPYD*7$	Frameshift	Exon 4
	Met166Val	Exon 6
$DPYD*8$	Arg235Try	Exon 7
	Frameshift	Exon 8
$DPYD*11$	Val335Leu	Exon 10
$DPYD*12$	Glu386 > Stop	Exon 11
$DPYD*4$	Ser534Asp	Exon 13
$DPYD*5, 2B$	Ile543Val	Exon 13
$DPYD*13$	Ile560Ser	Exon 13
	Leu572Val	Exon 13
DPYD*3	Frameshift	Exon 14
$DPYD*2A, 2B$	Del exon 14	Intron 14
$DPYD*6$	Val732Ile	Exon 18
	Ala777Ser	Exon 19
$DPYD*9B$	Arg886His	Exon 21
	Asp949Val	Exon 22
	Asp974Val	Exon 23
$DPYD*10$	Val995Phe	Exon 23

Conclusions

The regulation mechanism for DPD itself has not been clarified. With the future progress of research, selection of drugs based on genetic pharmacological techniques and development of a drug that controls DPD more effectively are expected.

References

- 1. Heidelberger C, Chaudhuri NK, Danenberg P, et al (1957) Fluorinated pyrimidines, a new class of tumor-inhibitory compounds. Nature 179:663–666
- 2. Liu G, Franssen E, Fitch MI, et al (1997) Patient preference for oral versus intravenous palliative chemotherapy. J Clin Oncol 15:110–115
- 3. Sobrero A, Kerr D, Glimelinus B, et al (2000) New directions in the treatment of colorectal cancer: a look to the future. Eur J Cancer 36:559–565
- 4. Diasio RB (1999) Clinical implications of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase inhibition. Oncology 13(suppl 3):17–21
- 5. Hoff PM, Pazdur R (1999) Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase inhibitory fluoropyrimidines: a novel class of oral antineoplastic agents. Semin Oncol 26(suppl 18):52–56
- 6. Diasio RB, Harris BE (1989) Clinical pharmacology of 5 fluorouracil. Clin Pharmacokinet 16:215–237
- 7. Naguib FNM, el Kouni MH, Cha S (1985) Enzymes of uracil catabolism in normal and neoplastic human tissues. Cancer Res 45:5405–5412
- 8. Ho DH, Townsend L, Luna MA, et al (1986) Distribution and inhibition of dihydrouracil dehydrogenase activities in human tissues using 5-fluorouracil as a substrate. Anticancer Res 6:781–784
- 9. Aboagye EO, Saleem A, Cunningham VJ, et al (2001) Extraction of 5-fluorouracil by tumor and liver: a noninvasive positron emission tomography study of patients with gastrointestinal cancer. Cancer Res 61:4937–4941
- 10. Chazal M, Etienne MC, Renee N, et al (1996) Link between dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells and liver. Clin Cancer Res 2:507–510
- 11. Fleming RA, Milano G, Thyss A, et al (1992) Correlation between dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity in peripheral mononuclear cells and systemic clearance of fluorouracil in cancer patients. Cancer Res 52:2899–2902
- 12. Etienne MC, Chatelut E, Pivot X, et al (1998) Co-variables influencing 5-fluorouracil clearance during continuous venous infusion: a NONMEM analysis. Eur J Cancer 34:92–97
- 13. Harris BE, Song R, Soong SJ, et al (1990) Relationship between dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity and plasma 5 fluorouracil levels with evidence for circadian variation of enzyme activity and plasma drug levels in cancer patients receiving 5 fluorouracil by protracted continuous infusion. Cancer Res 50:197– 201
- 14. Grem JL, Yee LK, Venzon DJ, et al (1997) Inter and intraindividual variation in dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity in peripheral blood mononuclear cells. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 40:117–125
- 15. Van Kuilenburg ABP, Van Lenthe H, Tromp A, et al (2000) Pitfalls in the diagnosis of patients with a partial dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase deficiency. Clin Chem 46:9–17
- 16. Tuchman M, Stoeckeler JS, Kiang DT, et al (1985) Familial pyrimidinemia and pyrimidinuria associated with severe fluorouracil toxicity. N Engl J Med 313:245–249
- 17. Yokota H, Fernandez-Salguero P, Furuya H, et al (1994) cDNA cloning and chromosome mapping of human dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, an enzyme associated with 5-fluorouracil toxicity and congenital thymine uraciluria. J Biol Chem 269:23192–23196
- 18. Dobritzsh D, Schneider G, Schnackerz KD, et al (2001) Crystal structure of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, a major determinant of the pharmacokinetics of the anti-cancer drug 5 fluorouracil. EMBO J 20:650–660
- 19. Raida M, Schwabe W, Haulser P, et al (2001) Prevalance of a common point mutation in the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase (DPD) gene within the 5-splice donor site of intron 14 in patients with severe 5-fluorouracil (5-FU)-related toxicity compared with controls. Clin Cancer Res 7:2832–2839
- 20. Collie-Duguid ESR, Etienne MC, Milano G, et al (2000) Known variant DPYD alleles do not explain DPD deficiency in cancer patients. Pharmacogenetics 10:217–223
- 21. Collie-Duguid ESR, Johnston SJ, Powrie RH, et al (2000) Cloning and initial characterization of the human DPYD gene promoter. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 271:28–35
- 22. Shestopal SA, Johnson MR, Diasio RB (2000) Molecular cloning and characteraization of the human dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase promoter. Biochim Biophys Acta 1494:162–169
- 23. Milano G, McLeod HL (2000) Can dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase impact 5-fluorouracil-based treatment? Eur J Cancer 36:37– 42
- 24. Kouwaki M, Hamajima N, Sumi S, et al (1998) Identification of novel mutations in the dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase gene in a Japanese patient with 5-fluorouracil toxicity. Clin Cancer Res 4:2999–3004
- 25. Inada T, Jotsuka T, Matsuda G, et al (1999) Severe 5-fluorouracilrelated toxicity in a Japanese patient with dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase deficiency. Int J Clin Oncol 4:54–56
- 26. Okada R, Shibutani M, Matsuo T, et al (1990) Experimental neurotoxicity of 5-fluorouracil and its derivatives is due to poisoning the monofluorinated organic metabolites, monofluoroacetic acid and α-fluoro-β-alanine. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) 81:66–73
- 27. Arellano M, Malet-Martino M, Martino R, et al (1998) The anticancer drug 5-fluorouracil is metabolized by the isolated perfused rat liver and in rats into highly toxic fluoroacetate. Br J Cancer 77:79–86
- 28. Douillard JY, Hoff PM, Skillings JR, et al (2002) Multicenter phase III study of uracil/tegafur and oral leucovorin versus fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 20:3605–3616
- 29. Carmichael J, Popiela T, Radstone D, et al (2002) Randomized comparative study of tegafur/uracil and oral leucovorin versus parenteral fluorouracil and leucovorin in patients with previously untreated metastatic colorectal cancer. J Clin Oncol 20:3617– 3627
- 30. Hoff PM, Ansari R, Batist G, et al (2001) Comparison of oral capecitabine versus intravenous fluorouracil plus leucovorin as first-line treatment in 605 patients with metastatic colorectal

cancer: results of a randomized phase III study. J Clin Oncol 19:2282–2292

- 31. Van Cutsem E, Twelves C, Cassidy J, et al (2001) Oral capecitabine compared with intravenous fluorouracil plus leucovorin in patients with metastatic colorectal cancer: results of a large phase III study. J Clin Oncol 19:4097–4106
- 32. Yamamoto J, Haruno A, Yoshimura Y, et al (1984) Effect of coadministration of uracil on the toxicity of tegafur. J Pharm Sci 73:212–214
- 33. Harada M, Nishitani H, Koga K, et al (1992) Metabolism of tegafur in rat liver observed by in vivo ¹⁹F magnetic resonance spectroscopy and chromatography. Jpn J Cancer Res 83:387–391
- 34. Davis ST, Joyner SS, Baccanari DP, et al (1994) 5-Ethynyluracil (776C85): protection from 5-fluorouracil-induced neurotoxicity in dogs. Biochem Pharmacol 48:233–236
- 35. Arellano M, Malet-Martino M, Martino R, et al (1997) 5- Ethynyluracil (GW776): effects on the formation of the toxic catabolites of 5-fluorouracil, fluoroacetate and fluorohydroxypropionic acid, in the isolated perfused rat liver model. Br J Cancer 76:1170–1180
- 36. Johnston PG, Lenz HJ, Leichman CG, et al (1995) Thymidylate synthase gene and protein expression correlate and are associated with response to 5-fluorouracil in human colorectal and gastric tumors. Cancer Res 55:1407–1412
- 37. Lenz HJ, Leichman CG, Danenberg KD, et al (1996) Thymidylate synthase mRNA level in adenocarcinoma of the stomach: a predictor for primary tumor response and overall survival. J Clin Oncol 14:176–182
- 38. Leichman CG, Lenz HJ, Leichman L, et al (1997) Quantitation of intratumoral thymidylate synthase expression predicts for disseminated colorectal cancer response and resistance to protractedinfusion fluorouracil and weekly leucovorin. J Clin Oncol 15: 3223–3229
- 39. Beck A, Etienne MC, Cheradame S, et al (1994) A role of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase and thymidylate synthase in tumor sensitivity to fluorouracil. Eur J Cancer 30A:1517– 1522
- 40. Ishikawa Y, Kubota T, Otani Y, et al (1999) Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity and messenger RNA level may be related to the antitumor effect of 5-fluorouracil on human tumor xenografts in nude mice. Clin Cancer Res 5:883–889
- 41. Ishikawa Y, Kubota T, Otani Y, et al (2000) Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase and messenger RNA levels in gastric cancer: possible predictor for sensitivity to 5-fluorouracil. Jpn J Cancer Res 91:105–112
- 42. Scherf U, Ross DT, Waltham M, et al (2000) A gene expression database for the molecular pharmacology of cancer. Nat Genet 24:236–244
- 43. Grem JL, Danenberg KD, Behan K, et al (2001) Thymidine kinase, thymidylate synthase, and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase profiles of cell lines of the National Cancer Institute's anticancer drug screen. Clin Cancer Res 7:999–1009
- 44. Terashima M, Irinoda T, Fujiwara H, et al (2002) Role of thymidylate synthase and dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase in

tumor progression and sensitivity to 5-fluorouracil in human gastric cancer. Anticancer Res 22:761–768

- 45. Etienne MC, Cheradame S, Fischel JL, et al (1995) Response to fluorouracil therapy in cancer patients: the role of tumoral dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity. J Clin Oncol 13:1663– 1670
- 46. Salonga D, Danenberg KD, Johnson M, et al (2000) Colorectal tumors responding to 5-fluorouracil have low gene expression levels of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase, thymidylate synthase, and thymidine phosphorylase. Clin Cancer Res 6:1322–1327
- 47. Horiguchi J, Takei H, Koibuchi Y, et al (2002) Prognostic significance of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase expression in breast cancer. Br J Cancer 86:222–225
- 48. Shirota Y, Ichikawa W, Uetake H, et al (2002) Intratumoral dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase messenger RNA level reflects tumor progression in human colorectal cancer. Ann Surg Oncol 9:599–603
- 49. McLeod HL, Sludden J, Murray GI, et al (1998) Characterization of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase in human colorectal tumors. Br J Cancer 77:461–465
- 50. Jonston SJ, Ridge SA, Cassidy J, et al (1999) Regulation of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase in colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 5:2556–2570
- 51. Uetake H, Ichikawa W, Takechi T, et al (1999) Relationship between intratumoral dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity and gene expression in human colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res 5:2836–2839
- 52. Guimabaud R, Guichard S, Dusseau C, et al (2000) Dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity in nomal, inflammatory and tumor tissues of colon and liver in humans. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 45:477–482
- 53. Ho DH, Pazdur R, Covington W, et al (1998) Comparison of 5 fluorouracil pharmacokinetics in patients receiving continuous 5-fluorouracil infusion and oral uracil plus N1-(2-tetrahydrofuryl)- 5-fluorouracil. Clin Cancer Res 4:2085–2088
- 54. Baker SD, Khor SP, Adjei AA, et al (1996) Pharmacokinetic, oral bioavailability, and safety study of fluorouracil in patients treated with 776C85, an inactivator of dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase. J Clin Oncol 14:3085–3096
- 55. Takechi T, Uchida J, Fujioka A, et al (1997) Enhancing 5 fluorouracil cytotoxicity by inhibiting dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity with uracil in human tumor cells. Int J Oncol 11:1041–1044
- 56. Ahmed FY, Johnston SJ, Cassidy J, et al (1999) Eniluracil treatment completely inactivates dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase in colorectal tumors. J Clin Oncol 17:2439–2445
- 57. Sallem A, Yap J, Osman S, et al (2000) Modulation of fluorouracil tissue pharmacokinetics by eniluracil: in-vivo imaging of drug action. Lancet 355:2125–2131
- 58. Takechi T, Fujioka A, Matsushima E, et al (2002) Enhancement of the antitumor activity of 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) by inhibiting dihydropyrimidine dehydrogenase activity (DPD) using 5 chloro-2,4-dihydroxypyridine (CDHP) in human tumor cells. Eur J Cancer 38:1271–1277