#### **REVIEW**



# **Training models and simulators for endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery: a systematic review**

Giacomo Santona<sup>1</sup> · Alba Madoglio<sup>2,3</sup> · Davide Mattavelli<sup>4</sup> · Mario Rigante<sup>5</sup> · Marco Ferrari<sup>6</sup> · Liverana Lauretti<sup>7,8</sup> · Pierpaolo Mattogno<sup>8</sup> · Claudio Parrilla<sup>5</sup> · Pasquale De Bonis<sup>2,3</sup> · Jacopo Galli<sup>5,9</sup> · Alessandro Olivi<sup>7,8</sup> · Marco Maria Fontanella<sup>10</sup> · Antonio Fiorentino<sup>11</sup> · Mauro Serpelloni<sup>1</sup> · Francesco Doglietto<sup>7,8</sup>

Received: 17 July 2023 / Revised: 29 August 2023 / Accepted: 2 September 2023 / Published online: 19 September 2023 © The Author(s) 2023

# **Abstract**

Endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery is a novel surgical technique requiring specifc training. Diferent models and simulators have been recently suggested for it, but no systematic review is available. To provide a systematic and critical literature review and up-to-date description of the training models or simulators dedicated to endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery. A search was performed on PubMed and Scopus databases for articles published until February 2023; Google was also searched to document commercially available. For each model, the following features were recorded: training performed, tumor/arachnoid reproduction, assessment and validation, and cost. Of the 1199 retrieved articles, 101 were included in the fnal analysis. The described models can be subdivided into 5 major categories: (1) enhanced cadaveric heads; (2) animal models; (3) training artificial solutions, with increasing complexity (from "box-trainers" to multi-material, ct-based models); (4) training simulators, based on virtual or augmented reality; (5) Pre-operative planning models and simulators. Each available training model has specifc advantages and limitations. Costs are high for cadaver-based solutions and vary signifcantly for the other solutions. Cheaper solutions seem useful only for the frst stages of training. Most models do not provide a simulation of the sellar tumor, and a realistic simulation of the suprasellar arachnoid. Most artifcial models do not provide a realistic and cost-efficient simulation of the most delicate and relatively common phase of surgery, i.e., tumor removal with arachnoid preservation; current research should optimize this to train future neurosurgical generations efciently and safely.

**Keywords** 3D printing · Arachnoid · Pituitary adenoma · Cadaveric head · Animal head · Training models · Training simulators · Transsphenoidal surgery

 $\boxtimes$  Francesco Doglietto francesco.doglietto@unicatt.it

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Information Engineering, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Morphology, Surgery and Experimental Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Sant' Anna University Hospital, Ferrara, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiological Sciences, and Public Health, ASST Spedali Civili of Brescia, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Otorhinolaryngology, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- Section of Otorhinolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Neurosciences, University of Padua - Azienda Ospedaliera di Padova, Padua, Italy
- <sup>7</sup> Neurosurgery, Department of Neurosciences, Sensory Organs and Thorax, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy
- <sup>8</sup> Neurosurgery, Fondazione Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- Otorhinolaryngology, Department of Neurosciences, Sensory Organs and Thorax, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Largo Agostino Gemelli, 8, 00168 Rome, Italy
- <sup>10</sup> Neurosurgery, Department of Medical and Surgical Specialties, Radiologic Sciences, and Public Health, University of Brescia - ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy
- <sup>11</sup> Department of Mechanical and Industrial Engineering, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

# **Introduction**

Endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery is a novel surgical technique that recently evolved in endoscopic skull base surgery [\[1](#page-10-0)]. As we have learned to exploit the advantages of the relatively large median and paramedian corridors to the skull base  $[2-5]$  $[2-5]$  $[2-5]$ , the indications for this surgery have been expanding, together with its complexity.

It is well recognized that endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery has a long learning curve  $[6]$  $[6]$ , which requires integrated and specific training [[7](#page-10-4)]. Though traditional neurosurgical training is still primarily based on experience in the operating room, many complementary methods are now available. The cadaver laboratory has been classically used to acquire basic technical skills and knowledge of detailed surgical anatomy. Still, high maintenance costs and the challenge of simulating pathologies might limit its utility. Thanks to 3D printing technologies, it has become possible to create customized models replicating normal and pathological anatomy [\[8\]](#page-10-5). Furthermore, thanks to virtual reality (VR) development, simulators may provide a repeatable experience in a more complex anatomical environment. In addition, the development of augmented reality (AR) simulators might enhance the quality of training.

In this evolving scenario, this review aims to provide a systematic and up-to-date description of the training solutions for endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery, along with their technical details, costs, utility for surgical skills development, and validation.

# **Material and methods**

## **Search strategy**

A systematic review, following the PRISMA 2020 statement [[9](#page-10-6), [10\]](#page-10-7), was performed by searching articles published until February 2023 on PubMed and Scopus, with the following keywords: training AND (transsphenoidal OR transnasal) AND (phantom OR simulator OR model); physical AND (simulator OR phantom OR model) AND (endoscopic endonasal); (Pituitary OR hypophysis) AND surgery AND training AND (model OR phantom OR simulator); (transsphenoidal) AND ((3D print\*) OR (three dimension\* print\*)); ((3D print\*) OR (three dimension\* print\*)) AND tumor AND pituitary OR hypophysis; (Endoscopic endonasal) AND ((3D print\*) OR (three dimension\* print\*)); (neurosurgical) AND training AND ((phantom) OR (model) OR (simulator)) AND (3D print\*) OR (three dimension\* print\*); (Skull base) AND (surgery) AND (training) AND ((model) OR (phantom) OR (simulator)).

Additional references and models or simulators used for training in endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery were identifed by reference analysis and investigations on the web using the Google search engine.

## **Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

Inclusion criteria were as follows: English Language, training models, or simulators for endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery.

Exclusion criteria were the following: non-English language, papers unavailable at our libraries, models/simulators for other surgical interventions, and other studies (e.g., reviews with no novel data).

#### **Quality assessment and data extraction**

Articles were imported into the reference management software Zotero (version 6.0.8), and duplicates were removed. AM and GS examined the title and abstract of the retrieved records, and non-relevant citations were excluded. Any disagreement was resolved by discussion between the reviewers. For each selected study, an accurate full-text analysis was performed to extract the following information about the training model or simulator, when available: reproduced anatomy, data on training and validation studies, and costs.

The selected studies were divided into the following categories (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0):

- 1. Enhanced cadaver models (ECH);
- 2. Animal models (AM);
- 3. Training models;
	- 3.1 Box-Trainers;
	- 3.2 CT-based: mono-material model (m), multi-material model (M), and the "EggHead";
- 4. Training Simulators: virtual reality (VR) simulator and augmented reality (AR) simulator;
- 5. Preoperative planning models/simulators.

The diference between "model" and "simulator" is that simulators are models in a virtual reality environment and with real-time feedback for the surgeon.

Each training model/simulator was listed in a table based on the category. In addition, each model was described in the table reporting the following data when available:

- 1. First author and year of publication for academic reports, or name of the developers and nation, for commercially available models/simulator (CA);
- 2. whether the model included the tumor (T) and the arachnoid membrane (A) in their model;

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Training models and simulators diagram



- 3. Simulated tasks for which the model was conceived and used;
- 4. Assessment or validation of the model;
- 5. The reported cost of the used materials or the retail price.

For the CT-based training models, a 5-point sub-column was added to evaluate their anatomical reliability and defned "anatomy score." The sub-column score gives an overall evaluation of the anatomical accuracy of the model; points are given according to the design of the model: +1 point per mono-material  $(m)$  models or  $+2$  points for multimaterial (M) ones  $M$ ; +1 or +2 points according to the degree of reproduced details, such as the skin, dura mater, optic nerve, or ICA; and +1 point if the tumor or the arachnoid are reproduced.

# **Results**

The initial literature search yielded 1199 articles: 675 from PubMed and 524 from Scopus. Of these articles, 568 were removed before screening because they were duplicates. The remaining 631 articles were screened and evaluated by title. At this point, 380 articles were excluded, and a fulltext screening was performed to determine if the remaining 251 articles met the inclusion criteria. Of the 251 articles identifed for retrieval, 2 were removed (because the full text was not available). A total of 249 reports were screened for eligibility and 181 were removed because they did not meet the inclusion criteria, specifcally 15 were removed because of language; 8 were removed because of experience with a pre-existing model or simulator; 76 were removed because the model/simulator described was used to simulate other surgeries; 51 were removed because no model/simulator was described in the reports; 9 were removed because they were designed for planning; and 22 were removed because they were previous reviews of the literature. Finally, 101 reports were included in this systematic review, including 6 articles retrieved from previous papers [[11–](#page-10-8)[32](#page-11-0)] and 28 websites (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)).

# **Enhanced cadaver models**

The cadaver-based training models can be divided into three main groups, according to the training experience they provide (Table [1\)](#page-4-0): tumor resection [[33,](#page-11-1) [34](#page-11-2), [38](#page-11-3), [46\]](#page-11-4), management of ICA injury [[35–](#page-11-5)[37](#page-11-6), [41](#page-11-7), [43](#page-11-8), [44](#page-11-9)], and CSF leak repair [[41,](#page-11-7) [42](#page-11-10), [45](#page-11-11), [47](#page-11-12)].

The tumor resection models are designed to train neurosurgeons to resect a sellar tumor [[33](#page-11-1), [34](#page-11-2), [38](#page-11-3)]**.** The idea is based on the work of Gragnaniello et al. who injected resin into the sella turcica to mimic the texture and location of a pituitary tumor [\[33](#page-11-1)].

In ICA injury models, a red-dyed solution is pumped into the arterial system to mimic blood  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$  $[35-37, 41, 43, 44]$ ; an ICA lesion is caused, and the surgeon can be trained to deal with it.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** PRISMA 2020 fow chart

The CSF models are obtained by perfusing a waterbased solution in the subarachnoid or subdural space so that the surgeon can be trained in skull base reconstruction [[39,](#page-11-13) [40,](#page-11-14) [42](#page-11-10), [45\]](#page-11-11).

Although anatomical specimens are intuitively used at their best only once, some models can be used multiple times for training, lowering their total cost [[37,](#page-11-6) [41](#page-11-7), [48](#page-11-15)]. For example, Mladina et al. [\[49](#page-11-16)] reported a cost of \$1520 per resident.

# **Animal models**

These models use animals to provide training, mainly on surgical instrumentation handling (Table [2](#page-4-1)). The animals include Wistar rats [[50](#page-11-17)], lambs [[49](#page-11-16), [54,](#page-12-0) [56,](#page-12-1) [58\]](#page-12-2), and sheep [\[55](#page-12-3), [57\]](#page-12-4) and also one hybrid model specifically designed to manage ICA rupture with a live sheep [[51–](#page-11-18)[53\]](#page-12-5).

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 1** Enhanced cadaver models. The table reports the ECH models that have been modifed to simulate diferent surgeries, the simulated task, who assessed the model, and whenever available, the cost



*\$* US dollars, *\$/head* US dollars x cadaveric head, *\$/trainee* US dollars × trainee, *CSFL* cerebrospinal fuid leakage, *CSFLR* cerebrospinal fuid leakage repair, *Dev*. developers, *ECH* enhanced cadaver models, *EETA* endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach, *ETTA* endoscopic transnasal transsphenoidal approach, *FA* frst author, *ICAI* internal carotid artery injury, *ICAIR* internal carotid artery injury repair, *n/a* not available, *SBS* skull base surgery, *SRSP* stratathane resin ST-504 polymer, *T* tumor, *Tr* tumor resection

a 500–700 \$ per cadaver head

<sup>b</sup>Marginal cost per trainee

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 2** Animal-based models. The table reports animal-based training models, the simulated task, who assessed the model, and whenever available, the cost



*\$* US dollars, *CSFLR* cerebrospinal fuid leak repair, *Dev*. developers, *EESBS* endoscopic endonasal skullbase surgery, *EESS* endoscopic endonasal sinus surgery, *ERT* endoscopic rhinology tasks, *ETr* endscopic training, *FA* frst author, *ICAI*R internal carotid artery injury repair, n/a not available, *YOP* year of publication

c This model is hybrid as the SIMONT artifcial model is also used

#### **Artifcial models**

# By definition, these models are fabricated artificially. They can be divided into two major categories: the first is represented by the so-called box-trainers (Table [3\)](#page-5-0), while the second comprises anatomically more realistic models (Table [4\)](#page-6-0). Artificial training models are considered the most cost-effective alternative to cadaverbased training [[89\]](#page-13-0). Tables [3](#page-5-0) and [4](#page-6-0) report each model costs when available.

#### **Box‑trainer**

If compared to CT-based models, the anatomical accuracy of box-trainers is signifcantly lower, but they are generally easier to fabricate and cheaper.

The models under this category are characterized by a box with two holes representing the head and the nostrils. Diferent materials and training modules can be used inside the box, e.g., chicken wing or tangerine [[60,](#page-12-6) [62](#page-12-7), [66](#page-12-8), [68](#page-12-9)], rings, and pegs, to create specifcally designed exercises [[59,](#page-12-10)

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3** Non-anatomical (boxtrainer). The table reports the box-trainers, the simulated task, who assessed the model, and whenever available, the cost



*\$* US dollars, *A* arachnoid, *CB* the tumor was simulated with the medullar content of a chicken bone, *CSFLR* cerebrospinal fuid leak repair, *Dev*. developers, *E* exercise based box-trainer, *EEA* endoscopic endonasal approach, *EES* endoscopic endonasal surgery, *EETA* endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach, *EF* exercise and food based box-trainer, *Egg* chicken or quail egg used to mimic the content of the sella turcica, *F* food based box-trainer, *FA* frst author, *HI* handling instruments, *n/a* not available, *T* tumor, *TE* transnasal endoscopy, *TP* transnasal procedures, *YOP* year of publication

<sup>d</sup>Additional training simulation with the chicken wing inside a Phacon training model

e Improved version of Sing et al. box-trainer

f<sub>15\$</sub> for the disposable parts

[61](#page-12-11), [63](#page-12-12)[–65,](#page-12-13) [69\]](#page-12-14). These models aim to develop the surgeon's dexterity [[68\]](#page-12-9). The box-trainers are reported in Table [3](#page-5-0).

## **CT‑based models**

These training models are developed from patient-specifc CT data (Table [4\)](#page-6-0). The overall level of anatomical accuracy is strongly related to the design, materials, and technology used.

Some are mono-material solutions [\[70](#page-12-15), [72,](#page-12-16) [81](#page-12-17)], while others are multi-material to reproduce the diferent tissues of the human head more accurately [[66,](#page-12-8) [71,](#page-12-18) [73,](#page-12-19) [74,](#page-12-20) [76–](#page-12-21)[80,](#page-12-22) [82,](#page-13-1) [83](#page-13-2), [85](#page-13-3)[–88](#page-13-4), [101](#page-13-5), [102,](#page-13-6) [105\]](#page-13-7).

A brilliant and cheap solution frequently incorporated in CT-based models is the "EggHead," described by Engel et al. [\[77](#page-12-23)]: a chicken or quail egg reproduces the sellar region anatomy [[46](#page-11-4), [67,](#page-12-24) [72,](#page-12-16) [74,](#page-12-20) [77](#page-12-23), [80](#page-12-22), [85\]](#page-13-3). The eggshell mimics the sphenoid bone, the vitelline membrane is the dura mater, and the albumen and yolk represent the contents of the sella. According to Wen et al. [[80\]](#page-12-22), the egg may be raw or soft-boiled.

Among multi-material training models, some are commercially available, such as SIMONT by ProDelphus [\[91\]](#page-13-8), Kezlex: A22 [[98\]](#page-13-9), A39 [[99\]](#page-13-10), and A43 [\[100\]](#page-13-11) by Japan Medical Company [\[96\]](#page-13-12). The Sinus Model Otorhino Neuro Trainer, SIMONT - Otorhino Surgical Trainer, is the training model developed which allows the performing of many neurosurgical operations [[93](#page-13-13)], including removing the pituitary adenoma. One of the most innovative features is Neoderma®, the material developed by Pro Delphus used to mimic the mechanical properties of the skin and the mucous membranes [[94](#page-13-14), [95](#page-13-15), [104\]](#page-13-16). The model is available on the website [[92](#page-13-17)] for US\$ 3798.00, while the portable version costs US\$ 1630. In the literature, its use has been described by Valentine et al. [[51–](#page-11-18)[53](#page-12-5)].

Kezlex is a series of training models developed by Japan Medical Company [\[96](#page-13-12)]. Among all the training solutions [\[97\]](#page-13-18), the most pertinent are models A22 [[98\]](#page-13-9), A39 [[99\]](#page-13-10), and A43 [[100](#page-13-11)]. Oyama et al. described their experience with the A22 for various neurosurgical approaches. Maza et al. [[101](#page-13-5)] described the A43 model. This training model was developed to help the neurosurgeon deal with a catastrophic ICA injury. The cost is not reported on the website, but Muto et al. [\[102](#page-13-6)] reported in their article the cost of the A43 model of \$4000 plus \$250 for the reusable platform.

## **Simulators**

Training simulators can be divided into two categories, as they are either based on virtual (VR) or augmented reality (AR). Table [5](#page-7-0) reports the relative costs of each model when available.

#### **Virtual reality**

VR simulators consist of a PC with a virtual environment software that represents the patient's data, and the surgeons interact with it by simulating actual surgeries using <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 4** Training models. The table reports the training model, including *m* models, *M* models, and EggHead, the simulated task, who assessed the model, and whenever available, the cost. The subcolumn score gives an overall evaluation on the anatomical accuracy of the model; points are given according to the design of the model:  $+1$  point per m models or  $+2$  points for M;  $+1$  or  $+2$  points to the details reproduced such as the skin, the dura mother, the optic nerve, or the ICA; and +1 point if the tumor or the arachnoid are reproduced



*\$* US dollars, *€* Euros, *CSFLR* cerebrospinal fuid leak repair, *Dev*. developers, *EEA* endoscopic endonasal approach, *EETA* endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach, Egg chicken or quail egg used to mimic the content of the sella turcica, *ESBS* endoscopic skull-base surgery, *ESS* endoscopic sinus surgery, *ETA* endoscopic transsphenoidal approach, *ETr* endoscopic training, *ETTA* endoscopic transnasal transsphenoidal approach, *FA* frst author, *m* mono-material, *M* multi-material, *n/a* not available, *ns* not specifed, *NT* neurosurgical training, *PESS* pediatric endoscopic sinus surgery, *Pm* polyvinyl alcohol cryogel mixed with water, *PS* paranasal sinus, *SB* skull-base, *SG* silica gel, *SR* sellar region, *SBS* skull base surgery, *T* tumor, *TP* transsphenoidal procedure, *Y* yuan, *YOP* year of publication

g Cost per model

h Production cost

<sup>I</sup>Material cost

<sup>j</sup>The arachnoid was reproduced for a non-transsphenoidal training model

<sup>k</sup>In the article are reported 3 different model; the M was chosen as it is considered the best option by the authors

joysticks [[106,](#page-13-19) [107](#page-13-20), [109](#page-13-21)], special haptic devices [\[108](#page-13-22), [115,](#page-14-0) [118](#page-14-1), [121,](#page-14-2) [147](#page-14-3)], or surgical instruments [\[114](#page-13-23)]. Virtual reality simulators are a technologically advanced alternative to train surgeons to perform complex surgeries before they enter the operating room [[3](#page-10-9), [60\]](#page-12-6).

Two diferent VR simulators were found to be available online, the NeuroVR and Voxel-Man Sinus.

The NeuroTouch-Endo, now NeuroVR, is the training simulator developed by NRC and NeuroSim [[116](#page-14-4)] (Canada); it is a VR simulator that simulates endoscopic transnasal procedures [[132](#page-14-5)] with MRI data for patient-specifc features. In addition, it has haptic devices that provide force feedback [\[115,](#page-14-0) [133\]](#page-14-6), and it is available on the CAE website [[134](#page-14-7)].

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 5** Training simulators. The table reports VR and AR simulators. In the sub-column, "Devices" is reported the tools that surgeons use to interact with the simulator, while the sub-column "Model"

is for the AR simulators only, and it is reported the physical model where the simulation is performed



*\$* US dollars, *€* Euros, *A* arachnoid, *CH* cadaver head, *Dev*. developers, disposable n/a disposable cavities cost not available, *EEA* endoscopic endonasal approach, *EETA* endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach, *ESBS* endoscopic skull-base surgery, *ESS* endoscopic sinus surgery, *ESSBS* endoscopic sinus and skull-base surgery, *FA* frst author, *m* mono-material head, *M* multi-material head, *n/a* not available, *NT* neurosurgical training, *disp* disposable cavities, *PTR* pituitary tumor resection, *RTBTR* robotic transsphenoidal brain tumor resection, *YOP* year of publication

l Simulator developed upon the NeuroVR platform

mApplication for AR training simulator

The Voxel-Man Sinus [[126\]](#page-14-8) is the training simulator developed by the University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf (Germany) [[127](#page-14-9)] for paranasal sinus surgery [\[128](#page-14-10)]. The Voxel-Man provides an accurate haptic and visual representation of surgery and is based on standard PC hardware  $[129]$  $[129]$ . The Voxel-Man can be purchased  $[130]$  $[130]$  $[130]$  for \$ 145,255.95\$ [\[131\]](#page-14-13).

# **Augmented reality simulators**

AR simulators are VR simulators where surgeons interact with a physical, CT-based [[75](#page-12-26), [117,](#page-14-14) [120](#page-14-15), [122](#page-14-16), [123\]](#page-14-17), or cadaver [[110–](#page-13-28)[114](#page-13-23), [117](#page-14-14)] head. Additionally, Cai et al. [[125\]](#page-14-18) developed an application that can be used for AR simulators. Two models were available for purchase, the Phacon Sinus Trainer and the TNS Box.

The PHACON Sinus Trainer comprises a series of simulators developed by Phacon GmbH (Germany) [[135](#page-14-19)]. The most suitable for this review were found with the web research: the [S-00005] PHACON Sinus Trainer [\[137](#page-14-20)], available at  $8.910 \in [140]$  $8.910 \in [140]$ , and the [S-00007] PHACON Sinus Assistant [[136\]](#page-14-22), purchasable at  $1.870 \epsilon$  [[139\]](#page-14-23). The module for the transnasal approach, the [SN-ah] PHACON Sinus Patient "Meyer" – pituitary tumor, can be purchased separately for 290 $\epsilon$  [[141\]](#page-14-24). The simulator consists of a multimaterial modular head connected via visual registration to specially developed software that assists the neurosurgeon by providing CT data displayed as a virtual 3D model; it can automatically detect injuries to high-risk structures.

The TNS Box is one of the multiple simulators developed by UpSurgeOn [[142\]](#page-14-25). It consists of an anatomically accurate modular and multi-material simulator designed explicitly for the transsphenoidal approach to the pituitary gland.

The simulator comprises an external box with a disposable nasal cavity and a face mask on the front. The TNS is provided with an App available on the App Store or Google Play, which improves the training experience with a virtual reality environment [[143\]](#page-14-29). The TNS is now available at UpSurgeOn website [ $145$ ] at  $\epsilon$ 599– $\epsilon$ 699. It is also possible to purchase disposable nasal cavities separately [[146](#page-14-32)]. Two articles reported a positive experience with the simulators [\[144,](#page-14-30) [148\]](#page-14-33).

#### **Models and simulators for surgical planning**

Table [6](#page-8-0) reports models and simulators conceived for surgical planning, which are not included in this systematic review but might help neurosurgeons improve their knowledge on the subject [\[28](#page-11-20), [149–](#page-14-34)[154,](#page-15-0) [156–](#page-15-1)[158](#page-15-2)].

# **Discussion**

It is recognized that the endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach has a long learning curve [\[6](#page-10-3)]. To ensure safe and effective surgery, it is crucial to have excellent handeye coordination under the endoscopic vision and make sound clinical and intraoperative judgments. The required confdence can only be achieved after many surgeries in the operating room. However, this learning process can be sped up with proper training in a safe environment outside the operating room.

This systematic review aimed to show all alternatives for training in endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery. We found four categories of training systems: enhanced cadaver head training models, animal models, training models (CT-based, box-trainer, and EggHead), and training simulators (virtual reality and augmented reality).

Human cadaver heads remain the gold standard for training: the anatomical reliability is still higher if compared to every other option [[21\]](#page-10-10). However, their low availability [[18,](#page-10-11) [40](#page-11-14), [45\]](#page-11-11) and the fact that they are suitable for limited training experience make them an expensive and not easily accessible option [\[42](#page-11-10)]: the cost of one human cadaveric head ranges from almost 600\$ [[48](#page-11-15)] to 1000\$ [\[79\]](#page-12-28), while Mladina et al. [[49\]](#page-11-16) reported a cost of 1520\$ per resident for training. In addition, the maintenance costs of anatomy laboratories are high [\[17](#page-10-12)]. Using animal heads is a cheap and readily available option, but the anatomy is divergent [[56](#page-12-1)]. Nevertheless, they can be considered a good alternative as an inexpensive and simple system to teach residents the dexterity required to fully exploit the more expensive cadaver head, as stated by Mladina et al. [[49\]](#page-11-16). Their main advantages are the costs which are lower than 6\$ [[49,](#page-11-16) [55](#page-12-3), [56](#page-12-1)], making them the cheapest solution for initial training.

Compared to human and animal specimens, training models have the advantage of being versatile. The developers can choose the anatomical accuracy level they want to obtain, which is directly related to the costs of the system. Modular solutions, in which not all parts are disposable, are a way to optimize the costs of this solution.

Among the different solutions, the box-trainers are cheaper and easier to fabricate. However, the low degree of anatomical accuracy makes them suitable as a frst tool to teach how to handle surgical instruments in the narrow space of the nasal cavities, and they can be a useful frst experience before training with more expensive models like the cadaveric head [[68\]](#page-12-9).

CT-based training models, on the other hand, potentially have a significantly higher level of accuracy related to the design complexity and the background knowledge required. The EggHead represents a brilliant solution as it mimics the sellar region with a chicken or quail egg in an economical and repeatable way [[46,](#page-11-4) [72](#page-12-16), [74](#page-12-20), [77,](#page-12-23) [80](#page-12-22), [85](#page-13-3)]. What needs to be added is a reproduction of blood and CSF [[76\]](#page-12-21); the latter was implemented only in the training model of Mashala et al. [\[89](#page-13-0)]. Costs are

<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 6** Surgical planning training models/simulators: the model and simulators reported in this table are those designed to help neurosurgeon during the preoperative planning. BR Blue Resin (Vero Cyan®, Stratasys)



*\$* US dollars, *A* arachnoid, *BR* blue resin (Vero Cyan®, Stratasys), *Dev.* developers, *EETA* endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach, *ESBS* endoscopic skull-base surgery, *FA* frst author, *m* mono-material, M multi-material, *n/a* not available, *NT* neurosurgical training, *PSS* paranasal sinus surgery, *PS-SB* paranasal sinus–skull-base, *SB* skull-base, *SR* sellar region, *T* tumor, *Y* yuan, *YOP* year of publication

generally low but cannot be compared to each other due to the different criteria by which they were determined by the authors, as reported in Table [4](#page-6-0). They can be divided into three categories: cost per model, material cost, and production cost.

VR training simulators provide visually the most complete experience to neurosurgeons. Their main advantage is the fact that the simulation can ideally be repeated an infnite number of times [[17](#page-10-12)]. In addition, some of them also have a real-time feedback system that provides information about the position of the instruments, the level of forces reached, and the performances of the trainees [\[18,](#page-10-11) [115,](#page-14-0) [129,](#page-14-11) [133\]](#page-14-6). However, the lack of a "physical head" where to perform the surgery can be limiting, even if many sensors and haptic devices have been studied and added [\[121\]](#page-14-2). Another defect of some VR systems is the low quality of the visual efects and the fact that the instruments used during training sessions difer from those used in the operating room [[106](#page-13-19)–[109,](#page-13-21) [116](#page-14-4), [118,](#page-14-1) [121](#page-14-2), [124,](#page-14-27) [131](#page-14-13)]. The initial costs of VR training simulators are the highest among the diferent solutions; i.e., the Voxel-Man Sinus training simulator is available for 145,255.95\$ [\[130,](#page-14-12) [131](#page-14-13)]. However, the fact that surgeries can be simulated an indefnite number of times makes the cost of a single training session low if the system is used frequently.

AR simulators with cadaver heads may be the best solution for residents as they provide the best anatomy from the cadaver head and real-time feedback from the VR environment  $[110-112, 117, 156]$  $[110-112, 117, 156]$  $[110-112, 117, 156]$  $[110-112, 117, 156]$  $[110-112, 117, 156]$  $[110-112, 117, 156]$ . However, they may also be the most expensive solutions: a better trade-off to reduce cost may be an AR simulator based on a multi-material head. The costs available for the training simulators are those of the Phacon, 8910€ [[140\]](#page-14-21), or 1870€ [\[139](#page-14-23)] and 290€ [\[141\]](#page-14-24) for the cartridge, and those of the TNS, 599–699 $\epsilon$  [[145](#page-14-31)], plus the costs of the disposable cartridge, which it is not reported. Similar to CT-based training models, developing VR and AR simulators requires a high level of knowledge.

Finally, this review documents what is missing in most training solutions. Most are dedicated to the phase of the approach in surgery, while only a minority have developed simulators for sellar tumors and suprasellar arachnoid. Except for VR simulators, where the pituitary adenoma was implemented virtually, the sellar tumor has been simulated only in a few models using diferent materials. In addition, ECH models have been modifed to allow training for dealing with ICA intra-operative rupture and CSF leak. We believe it might be of interest to develop a modular training model that provides a realistic simulation of both sellar tumors and suprasellar arachnoid to provide a cost-efficient way to train future generations not only in the surgical approach but also in the management of sellar tumors of diferent consistencies and the preservation of the arachnoid.

## **Limits of the study**

The limit of this systematic review could be the lack of some data of the training models/simulators (e.g., the cost of the training model) and therefore the difficulty of comparing the models. Furthermore, not all models that are being developed are available at the moment. We expect that further improvements will be made soon in the feld.

# **Conclusions**

The training solutions for endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery are cadaveric (human or animal) or artifcial models and virtual reality simulators. Human cadaveric specimens constitute the gold standard, as they provide a realistic environment, which specifc modifcations for managing ICA rupture, CSF leak, and tumor removal can enhance. Their availability is though relatively low due to relatively high costs. Virtual reality simulators and artifcial models provided an excellent alternative. However, the lack of haptic realism and anatomical fdelity makes them ideal for learning the basics. Augment reality applied to cadaver-based models is an exciting solution that might be further developed in the near future.

Most artifcial models do not provide a realistic and costefficient simulation of the most delicate and relatively common phase of surgery, i.e., tumor removal with arachnoid preservation; current research should optimize this to train future neurosurgical generations efficiently and safely.

**Author contributions** Francesco Doglietto had the idea for the article. Material preparation, data collection, and analysis were performed by Giacomo Santona and Alba Madoglio. The frst draft of the manuscript was written by Giacomo Santona, Alba Madoglio, and Francesco Doglietto and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore within the CRUI-CARE Agreement.

**Data availability** This review was performed by searching articles on Pubmed and Scopus.

## **Declarations**

**Ethical approval** No ethical approval is required.

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

# **References**

- <span id="page-10-0"></span>1. Doglietto F, Prevedello DM, Jane JA, Han J, Laws ER (2005) Brief history of endoscopic transsphenoidal surgery--from Philipp Bozzini to the First World Congress of Endoscopic Skull Base Surgery. Neurosurg Focus 19:E3
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>2. Agosti E, Saraceno G, Qiu J, Bufoli B, Ferrari M, Rafetti E, Belotti F, Ravanelli M, Mattavelli D, Schreiber A, Hirtler L, Rodella LF, Maroldi R, Nicolai P, Gentili F, Kucharczyk W, Fontanella MM, Doglietto F (2020) Quantitative anatomical comparison of transnasal and transcranial approaches to the clivus. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 162:649–660. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-019-04152-4) [s00701-019-04152-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-019-04152-4)
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>3. Belotti F, Doglietto F, Schreiber A, Ravanelli M, Ferrari M, Lancini D, Rampinelli V, Hirtler L, Bufoli B, Bolzoni Villaret A, Maroldi R, Rodella LF, Nicolai P, Fontanella MM (2018) Modular classifcation of endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approaches to sellar region: anatomic quantitative study. World Neurosurg 109:e281–e291.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2017.09.165>
- 4. Doglietto F, Ferrari M, Mattavelli D, Belotti F, Rampinelli V, Kheshaifati H, Lancini D, Schreiber A, Sorrentino T, Ravanelli M, Bufoli B, Hirtler L, Maroldi R, Nicolai P, Rodella LF, Fontanella MM (2018) Transnasal endoscopic and lateral approaches to the clivus: a quantitative anatomic study. World Neurosurg 113:e659–e671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.02.118>
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>5. Schreiber A, Ferrari M, Rampinelli V, Doglietto F, Belotti F, Lancini D, Ravanelli M, Rodella LF, Fontanella MM, Nicolai P (2017) Modular endoscopic medial maxillectomies: quantitative analysis of surgical exposure in a preclinical setting. World Neurosurg 100:44–55
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>6. Kenan K, İhsan A, Dilek O, Burak C, Gurkan K, Savas C (2006) The learning curve in endoscopic pituitary surgery and our experience. Neurosurg Rev 29:298–305. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-006-0033-9) [s10143-006-0033-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-006-0033-9)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>7. Choudhury N, Gélinas-Phaneuf N, Delorme S, Del Maestro R (2013) Fundamentals of neurosurgery: virtual reality tasks for training and evaluation of technical skills. World Neurosurg 80:e9–e19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.08.022>
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>8. Hsieh T-Y, Cervenka B, Dedhia R, Strong EB, Steele T (2018) Assessment of a patient-specifc, 3-dimensionally printed endoscopic sinus and skull base surgical model. JAMA Otolaryngol - Head Neck Surg 144:574–579. [https://doi.org/10.1001/jamao](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2018.0473) [to.2018.0473](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2018.0473)
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>9. Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hofmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S et al (2021) The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372:n71. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71>
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>10. Page MJ, Moher D, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hofmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S et al (2021) PRISMA 2020 explanation and elaboration: updated guidance

and exemplars for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 372:n160. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n160>

- <span id="page-10-8"></span>11. Baby B, Singh R, Singh R, Suri A, Arora C, Kumar S, Kalra PK, Banerjee S (2020) A review of physical simulators for neuroendoscopy skills training. World Neurosurg 137:398–407. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.01.183) [doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.01.183](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.01.183)
- 12. Baby B, Singh R, Suri A, Dhanakshirur RR, Chakraborty A, Kumar S, Kalra PK, Banerjee S (2020) A review of virtual reality simulators for neuroendoscopy. Neurosurg Rev 43:1255–1272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-019-01164-7>
- 13. Bajaj J, Chandra PS (2020) Recent developments in endoscopic endonasal approach for pituitary adenomas. Neurol India 68:S79–S84. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0028-3886.287671>
- 14. Blohm JE, Salinas PA, Avila MJ, Barber SR, Weinand ME, Dumont TM (2022) Three-dimensional printing in neurosurgery residency training: a systematic review of the literature. World Neurosurg 161:111–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2021.10.069>
- 15. Chan S, Conti F, Salisbury K, Blevins NH (2013) Virtual reality simulation in neurosurgery: technologies and evolution. Neurosurgery 72:A154–A164. [https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e3182750d26) [e3182750d26](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e3182750d26)
- 16. Cohen AR, Lohani S, Manjila S, Natsupakpong S, Brown N, Cavusoglu MC (2013) Virtual reality simulation: basic concepts and use in endoscopic neurosurgery training. Childs Nerv Syst 29:1235–1244.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-013-2139-z>
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>17. James J, Irace AL, Gudis DA, Overdevest JB (2022) Simulation training in endoscopic skull base surgery: a scoping review. World J Otorhinolaryngol - Head Neck Surg 8:73–81. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/wjo2.11) [org/10.1002/wjo2.11](https://doi.org/10.1002/wjo2.11)
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>18. Kim DH, Kim Y, Park J-S, Kim SW (2019) Virtual reality simulators for endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery: the present and future. Clin Exp Otorhinolaryngol 12:12–17. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.21053/ceo.2018.00906) [10.21053/ceo.2018.00906](https://doi.org/10.21053/ceo.2018.00906)
- 19. Kin T, Nakatomi H, Shono N, Nomura S, Saito T, Oyama H, Saito N (2017) Neurosurgical virtual reality simulation for brain tumor using high-defnition computer graphics: a review of the literature. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 57:513–520. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2016-0320) [10.2176/nmc.ra.2016-0320](https://doi.org/10.2176/nmc.ra.2016-0320)
- 20. Langridge B, Momin S, Coumbe B, Woin E, Grifn M, Butler P (2018) Systematic review of the use of 3-dimensional printing in surgical teaching and assessment. J Surg Educ 75:209–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsurg.2017.06.033>
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>21. Lavigne P, Yang N (2020) Training and surgical simulation in skull base surgery: a systematic review. Curr Otorhinolaryngol Rep 8:154–159.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s40136-020-00280-z>
- 22. Li H, Lu L, Li N, Zi L, Wen Q (2022) Application of threedimensional (3D) printing in neurosurgery. Adv Mater Sci Eng 2022.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2022/8015625>
- 23. Low CM, Morris JM, Price DL, Matsumoto JS, Stokken JK, O'Brien EK, Choby G (2019) Three-dimensional printing: current use in rhinology and endoscopic skull base surgery. Am J Rhinol Allergy 33:770–781.<https://doi.org/10.1177/1945892419866319>
- 24. Maclachlan LR, Alexander H, Forrestal D, Novak JI, Redmond M (2021) Properties and characteristics of three-dimensional printed head models used in simulation of neurosurgical procedures: a scoping review. World Neurosurg 156:133–146.e6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2021.09.079>
- 25. Neubauer A, Wolfsberger S (2013) Virtual endoscopy in neurosurgery: a review. Neurosurgery 72:A97–A106. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e31827393c9) [10.1227/NEU.0b013e31827393c9](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0b013e31827393c9)
- 26. Patel EA, Aydin A, Cearns M, Dasgupta P, Ahmed K (2020) A Systematic Review of Simulation-Based Training in Neurosurgery, Part 1: Cranial Neurosurgery. World Neurosurg 133:e850– e873. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2019.08.262>
- 27. Randazzo M, Pisapia JM, Singh N, Thawani JP (2016) 3D printing in neurosurgery: a systematic review. Surg Neurol Int 7:S801–S809.<https://doi.org/10.4103/2152-7806.194059>
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>28. Shinomiya A, Shindo A, Kawanishi M, Miyake K, Nakamura T, Matsubara S, Tamiya T (2018) Usefulness of the 3D virtual visualization surgical planning simulation and 3D model for endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery of pituitary adenoma: technical report and review of literature. Interdiscip Neurosurg Adv Tech Case Manag 13:13–19. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inat.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inat.2018.02.002) [2018.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inat.2018.02.002)
- 29. Thavarajasingam SG, Vardanyan R, Arjomandi Rad A, Thavarajasingam A, Khachikyan A, Mendoza N, Nair R, Vajkoczy P (2022) The use of augmented reality in transsphenoidal surgery: a systematic review. Br J Neurosurg 36:457–471. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1080/02688697.2022.2057435) [10.1080/02688697.2022.2057435](https://doi.org/10.1080/02688697.2022.2057435)
- 30. Thiong'o GM, Bernstein M, Drake JM (2021) 3D printing in neurosurgery education: a review. 3D Print Med 7:9. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41205-021-00099-4) [org/10.1186/s41205-021-00099-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s41205-021-00099-4)
- 31. Tomlinson SB, Hendricks BK, Cohen-Gadol A (2019) Immersive three-dimensional modeling and virtual reality for enhanced visualization of operative neurosurgical anatomy. World Neurosurg 131:313–320. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2019.06.081>
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>32. Vakharia VN, Vakharia NN, Hill CS (2016) Review of 3-dimensional printing on cranial neurosurgery simulation training. World Neurosurg 88:188–198. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2015.12.031) [2015.12.031](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2015.12.031)
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>33. Gragnaniello C, Nader R, van Doormaal T, Kamel M, Voormolen EHJ, Lasio G, Aboud E, Regli L, Tulleken CAF, Al-Mefty O (2010) Skull base tumor model. J Neurosurg 113:1106–1111. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2010.3.JNS09513>
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>34. Berhouma M, Baidya NB, Ismaïl AA, Zhang J, Ammirati M (2013) Shortening the learning curve in endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery: a reproducible polymer tumor model for the trans-sphenoidal trans-tubercular approach to retro-infundibular tumors. Clin Neurol Neurosurg 115:1635–1641. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.02.013) [10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2013.02.013)
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>35. Pham M, Kale A, Marquez Y, Winer J, Lee B, Harris B, Minnetti M, Carey J, Giannotta S, Zada G (2014) A perfusion-based human cadaveric model for management of carotid artery injury during endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery. J Neurol Surg Part B Skull Base 75:309–313. [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1372470) [1372470](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0034-1372470)
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>36. Ciporen JN, Lucke-Wold B, Mendez G, Cameron WE, McCartney S (2017) Endoscopic management of cavernous carotid surgical complications: evaluation of a simulated perfusion model. World Neurosurg 98:388–396. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2016.11.018) [2016.11.018](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2016.11.018)
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>37. Pacca P, Jhawar SS, Seclen DV, Wang E, Snyderman C, Gardner PA, Aboud E, Fernandez-Miranda JC (2017) "Live cadaver" model for internal carotid artery injury simulation in endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery. Oper Neurosurg Hagerstown Md 13:732–738. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ons/opx035>
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>38. Gagliardi F, Chau AM, Mortini P, Caputy AJ, Gragnaniello C (2018) Skull base neuroendoscopic training model using a fbrous injectable tumor polymer and the nico myriad. J Craniofac Surg 29:e25–e28. [https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000004042) [004042](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000004042)
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>39. AlQahtani A, Albathi A, Castelnuovo P, Alfawwaz F (2021) Cerebrospinal fuid leak repair simulation model: face, content, and construct validation. Am J Rhinol Allergy 35:264–271. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1177/1945892420952262) [doi.org/10.1177/1945892420952262](https://doi.org/10.1177/1945892420952262)
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>40. AlQahtani AA, Albathi AA, Alhammad OM, Alrabie AS (2018) Innovative real CSF leak simulation model for rhinology training: human cadaveric design. Eur Arch Oto-Rhino-Laryngol Off J Eur Fed Oto-Rhino-Laryngol Soc EUFOS Affil Ger Soc

Oto-Rhino-Laryngol - Head Neck Surg 275:937–941. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-4902-y) [doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-4902-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-4902-y)

- <span id="page-11-7"></span>41. Shen J, Hur K, Zhang Z, Minneti M, Pham M, Wrobel B, Zada G (2018) Objective validation of perfusion-based human cadaveric simulation training model for management of internal carotid artery injury in endoscopic endonasal sinus and skull base surgery. Oper Neurosurg Hagerstown Md 15:231–238. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/ons/opx262) [org/10.1093/ons/opx262](https://doi.org/10.1093/ons/opx262)
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>42. Christian EA, Bakhsheshian J, Strickland BA, Fredrickson VL, Buchanan IA, Pham MH, Cervantes A, Minneti M, Wrobel BB, Giannotta S, Zada G (2018) Perfusion-based human cadaveric specimen as a simulation training model in repairing cerebrospinal fuid leaks during endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery. J Neurosurg 129:792–796. [https://doi.org/10.3171/2017.5.JNS16](https://doi.org/10.3171/2017.5.JNS162982) [2982](https://doi.org/10.3171/2017.5.JNS162982)
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>43. Donoho DA, Johnson CE, Hur KT, Buchanan IA, Fredrickson VL, Minneti M, Zada G, Wrobel BB (2019) Costs and training results of an objectively validated cadaveric perfusion-based internal carotid artery injury simulation during endoscopic skull base surgery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 9:787–794. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22319) [org/10.1002/alr.22319](https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22319)
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>44. Donoho DA, Pangal DJ, Kugener G, Rutkowski M, Micko A, Shahrestani S, Brunswick A, Minneti M, Wrobel BB, Zada G (2021) Improved surgeon performance following cadaveric simulation of internal carotid artery injury during endoscopic endonasal surgery: training outcomes of a nationwide prospective educational intervention. J Neurosurg 1–9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.9.JNS202672) [10.3171/2020.9.JNS202672](https://doi.org/10.3171/2020.9.JNS202672)
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>45. Mattavelli D, Ferrari M, Rampinelli V, Schreiber A, Bufoli B, Deganello A, Rodella LF, Fontanella MM, Nicolai P, Doglietto F (2020) Development and validation of a preclinical model for training and assessment of cerebrospinal fuid leak repair in endoscopic skull base surgery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 10:89– 96.<https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22451>
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>46. Li C, Zhu H, Zheng J, Liu C, Gui S, Zhao P, Bai J, Zhang Y (2022) Development and application of three steps training mode for endoscopic transnasal transsphenoidal approach. J Craniofac Surg 33:1554–1558. [https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000008257) [008257](https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000008257)
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>47. AlQahtani A, London NR, Castelnuovo P, Locatelli D, Stamm A, Cohen-Gadol AA, Elbosraty H, Casiano R, Morcos J, Pasquini E, Frank G, Mazzatenta D, Barkhoudarian G, Grifths C, Kelly D, Georgalas C, Janakiram N, Nicolai P, Prevedello DM, Carrau RL (2020) Assessment of factors associated with internal carotid injury in expanded endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery. JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 146:364–372. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2019.4864) [doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2019.4864](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaoto.2019.4864)
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>48. Ciporen J, Lucke-Wold B, Dogan A, Cetas JS, Cameron WE (2016) Dual endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal and precaruncular transorbital approaches for clipping of the cavernous carotid artery: a cadaveric simulation. J Neurol Surg Part B Skull Base 77:485–490. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1584094>
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>49. Mladina R, Skitarelić N, Cingi C, Chen L, Muluk NB (2018) The validity of training endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery techniques on the experimental head model. J Craniofac Surg 29:498–501.<https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000004208>
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>50. Fernandez-Miranda JC, Barges-Coll J, Prevedello DM, Engh J, Snyderman C, Carrau R, Gardner PA, Kassam AB (2010) Animal model for endoscopic neurosurgical training: technical note. Minim Invasive Neurosurg MIN 53:286–289. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1269927) [1055/s-0030-1269927](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0030-1269927)
- <span id="page-11-18"></span>51. Valentine R, Padhye V, Wormald P-J (2016) Simulation training for vascular emergencies in endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery. Otolaryngol Clin North Am 49:877–887. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2016.02.013) [10.1016/j.otc.2016.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otc.2016.02.013)
- 52. Valentine R, Padhye V, Wormald P-J (2016) Management of arterial injury during endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery. Curr Opin Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 24:170–174. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1097/MOO.0000000000000239) [10.1097/MOO.0000000000000239](https://doi.org/10.1097/MOO.0000000000000239)
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>53. Valentine R, Wormald P-J (2011) A vascular catastrophe during endonasal surgery: an endoscopic sheep model. Skull Base 21:109–114. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1275255>
- <span id="page-12-0"></span>54. Mladina R, Castelnuovo P, Locatelli D, Vuković KĐ, Skitarelić N (2013) Training cerebrospinal fuid leak repair with nasoseptal fap on the lamb's head. ORL 75:32–36. [https://doi.org/10.1159/](https://doi.org/10.1159/000347080) [000347080](https://doi.org/10.1159/000347080)
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>55. Awad Z, Touska P, Arora A, Ziprin P, Darzi A, Tolley NS (2014) Face and content validity of sheep heads in endoscopic rhinology training. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 4:851–858. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.21362) [1002/alr.21362](https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.21362)
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>56. Skitarelić N, Mladina R (2015) Lamb's head: the model for novice education in endoscopic sinus surgery. World J Methodol 5:144–148.<https://doi.org/10.5662/wjm.v5.i3.144>
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>57. Isaacson G, Ianacone DC, Wolfson MR (2015) Ex vivo ovine model for pediatric fexible endoscopy training. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 79:2196–2199. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2015.10.002) [2015.10.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2015.10.002)
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>58. Mallmann LB, Piltcher OB, Isolan GR (2016) The lamb's head as a model for surgical skills development in endonasal surgery. J Neurol Surg Part B Skull Base 77:466–472. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1583186) [1055/s-0036-1583186](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0036-1583186)
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>59. Hirayama R, Fujimoto Y, Umegaki M, Kagawa N, Kinoshita M, Hashimoto N, Yoshimine T (2013) Training to acquire psychomotor skills for endoscopic endonasal surgery using a personal webcam trainer: clinical article. J Neurosurg 118:1120–1126. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2012.12.JNS12908>
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>60. Jusue-Torres I, Sivakanthan S, Pinheiro-Neto CD, Gardner PA, Snyderman CH, Fernandez-Miranda JC (2013) Chicken wing training model for endoscopic microsurgery. J Neurol Surg Part B Skull Base 74:286–291. [https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-13480](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1348026) [26](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0033-1348026)
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>61. Singh R, Baby B, Damodaran N, Srivastav V, Suri A, Banerjee S, Kumar S, Kalra P, Prasad S, Paul K, Anand S, Kumar S, Dhiman V, Ben-Israel D, Kapoor KS (2016) Design and validation of an open-source, partial task trainer for endonasal neuro-endoscopic skills development: Indian experience. World Neurosurg 86:259– 269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2015.09.045>
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>62. Sanromán-Álvarez P, Simal-Julián JA, García-Piñero A, Miranda-Lloret P (2017) Multitask box trainer for endoscopic endonasal skull base surgery: ENDOtrainer. World Neurosurg 101:304–307.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2017.02.008>
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>63. Berkowitz SS (2017) Teaching transnasal endoscopy to graduate students without a hospital or simulation laboratory: pool noodles and cadavers. Am J Speech Lang Pathol 26:709–715. [https://doi.org/10.1044/2017\\_AJSLP-15-0119](https://doi.org/10.1044/2017_AJSLP-15-0119)
- <span id="page-12-25"></span>64. Srivastav VK, Baby B, Singh R, Kalra P, Suri A (2017) Neuroendo-trainer-online assessment system (NET-OAS) for neuroendoscopic skills training, pp 213–219
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>65. Xie T, Zhang X, Gu Y, Sun C, Liu T (2018) A low cost and stepwise training model for skull base repair using a suturing and knotting technique during endoscopic endonasal surgery. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 275:2187–2192. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-5024-2) [s00405-018-5024-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-5024-2)
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>66. Altun A, Cokluk C (2020) Endoscopic training model for intranasal transsphenoidal hypophysis surgery using a skull model and chicken wings. Turk Neurosurg 30:377–381. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.25841-19.4) [5137/1019-5149.JTN.25841-19.4](https://doi.org/10.5137/1019-5149.JTN.25841-19.4)
- <span id="page-12-24"></span>67. Gallet P, Rebois J, Nguyen D-T, Jankowski R, Perez M, Rumeau C (2021) Simulation-based training in endoscopic endonasal surgery: assessment of the cyrano simulator. Eur Ann

Otorhinolaryngol Head Neck Dis 138:29–34. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anorl.2020.08.012) [1016/j.anorl.2020.08.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anorl.2020.08.012)

- <span id="page-12-9"></span>68. Tikka S, Chaithra BG, Sharma SB, Janakiram TN (2021) A feasible, low-cost, capsicum and tomato model for endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery training. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-021-02583-z>
- <span id="page-12-14"></span>69. Bright RR, Varghese L, Kurien R (2021) Construct and validation of a three-dimensional physical model for training in transnasal office procedures. Indian J Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12070-021-02775-7>
- <span id="page-12-15"></span>70. Briner HR, Simmen D, Jones N, Manestar D, Manestar M, Lang A, Groscurth P (2007) Evaluation of an anatomic model of the paranasal sinuses for endonasal surgical training. Rhinology 45:20–23
- <span id="page-12-18"></span>71. Chen G, Ling F (2010) A new plastic model of endoscopic technique training for endonasal transsphenoidal pituitary surgery. Chin Med J (Engl) 123:2576–2579. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.18.016) [3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.18.016](https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.18.016)
- <span id="page-12-16"></span>72. Okuda T, Kataoka K, Kato A (2010) Training in endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery using a skull model and eggs. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 152:1801–1804. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-010-0728-0) [10.1007/s00701-010-0728-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-010-0728-0)
- <span id="page-12-19"></span>73. Waran V, Pancharatnam D, Thambinayagam HC, Raman R, Rathinam AK, Balakrishnan YK, Tung TS, Rahman ZA (2014) The utilization of cranial models created using rapid prototyping techniques in the development of models for navigation training. J Neurol Surg Part Cent Eur Neurosurg 75:12–15. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0032-1330960>
- <span id="page-12-20"></span>74. Okuda T, Yamashita J, Fujita M, Yoshioka H, Tasaki T, Kato A (2014) The chicken egg and skull model of endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery improves trainee drilling skills. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 156:1403–1407.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-014-2035-7>
- <span id="page-12-26"></span>75. Chan HHL, Siewerdsen JH, Vescan A, Daly MJ, Prisman E, Irish JC (2015) 3D rapid prototyping for otolaryngology-head and neck surgery: applications in image-guidance, surgical simulation and patient-specific modeling. PLoS ONE 10. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0136370>
- <span id="page-12-21"></span>76. Narayanan V, Narayanan P, Rajagopalan R, Karuppiah R, Rahman ZAA, Wormald P-J, Van Hasselt CA, Waran V (2015) Endoscopic skull base training using 3D printed models with pre-existing pathology. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 272:753– 757.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-014-3300-3>
- <span id="page-12-23"></span>77. Engel DC, Ferrari A, Tasman A-J, Schmid R, Schindel R, Haile SR, Mariani L, Fournier J-Y (2015) A basic model for training of microscopic and endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery: the Egghead. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 157:1771–1777. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-015-2544-z>
- <span id="page-12-27"></span>78. Tai BL, Wang AC, Joseph JR, Wang PI, Sullivan SE, McKean EL, Shih AJ, Rooney DM (2016) A physical simulator for endoscopic endonasal drilling techniques: technical note. J Neurosurg 124:811–816. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2015.3.JNS1552>
- <span id="page-12-28"></span>79. Kashapov LN, Kashapov NF, Kashapov RN, Pashaev BY (2016) The application of additive technologies in creation a medical simulator-trainer of the human head operating feld. IOP Conf Ser Mater Sci Eng 134:012011. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/134/1/012011) [899X/134/1/012011](https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/134/1/012011)
- <span id="page-12-22"></span>80. Wen G, Cong Z, Liu K, Tang C, Zhong C, Li L, Dai X, Ma C (2016) A practical 3D printed simulator for endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery to improve basic operational skills. Childs Nerv Syst ChNS Of J Int Soc Pediatr Neurosurg 32:1109–1116.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-016-3051-0>
- <span id="page-12-17"></span>81. Shah KJ, Peterson JC, Beahm DD, Camarata PJ, Chamoun RB (2016) Three-dimensional printed model used to teach skull base anatomy through a transsphenoidal approach for neurosurgery residents. Oper Neurosurg Hagerstown Md 12:326–329. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000001127) [doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000001127](https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000001127)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>82. Zheng J-P, Li C-Z, Chen G-Q, Song G-D, Zhang Y-Z (2018) Three-dimensional printed skull base simulation for transnasal endoscopic surgical training. World Neurosurg 111:e773–e782. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2017.12.169>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>83. Masuda T, Omata S, Morita A, kin T, Saito N, Yamashita J, Chinzei K, Haswgawa A, Fukuda T, Kanako H, Adachi S, Arai F (2018) Patients Simulator for Transsphenoidal Surgery. In: 2018 International Symposium on Micro-NanoMechatronics and Human Science (MHS). 1–2. [https://doi.org/10.1109/MHS.](https://doi.org/10.1109/MHS.2018.8886922) [2018.8886922](https://doi.org/10.1109/MHS.2018.8886922)
- <span id="page-13-24"></span>84. Lin J, Zhou Z, Guan J, Zhu Y, Liu Y, Yang Z, Lin B, Jiang Y, Quan X, Ke Y, Xu T (2018) Using three-dimensional printing to create individualized cranial nerve models for skull base tumor surgery. World Neurosurg 120:e142–e152. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.07.236) [1016/j.wneu.2018.07.236](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.07.236)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>85. Ding C-Y, Yi X-H, Jiang C-Z, Xu H, Yan X-R, Zhang Y-L, Kang D-Z, Lin Z-Y (2019) Development and validation of a multi-color model using 3-dimensional printing technology for endoscopic endonasal surgical training. Am J Transl Res 11:1040–1048
- <span id="page-13-25"></span>86. Zheng J-P, Li C-Z, Chen G-Q (2019) Multimaterial and multicolor 3D-printed model in training of transnasal endoscopic surgery for pituitary adenoma. Neurosurg Focus 47:E21. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3171/2019.6.FOCUS19294) [doi.org/10.3171/2019.6.FOCUS19294](https://doi.org/10.3171/2019.6.FOCUS19294)
- <span id="page-13-26"></span>87. Shen Z, Xie Y, Shang X, Xiong G, Chen S, Yao Y, Pan Z, Pan H, Dong X, Li Y, Guo C, Wang F-Y (2020) The manufacturing procedure of 3D printed models for endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal pituitary surgery. Technol Health Care Of J Eur Soc Eng Med 28:131–150.<https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-209014>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>88. London NR, Rangel GG, VanKoevering K, Zhang A, Powell AR, Prevedello DM, Carrau RL, Walz PC (2021) Simulation of pediatric anterior skull base anatomy using a 3D printed model. World Neurosurg 147:e405–e410.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2020.12.077>
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>89. Masalha MA, VanKoevering KK, Latif OS, Powell AR, Zhang A, Hod KH, Prevedello DM, Carrau RL (2021) Simulation of cerebrospinal fuid leak repair using a 3-dimensional printed model. Am J Rhinol Allergy 19458924211003536. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/19458924211003537) [org/10.1177/19458924211003537](https://doi.org/10.1177/19458924211003537)
- <span id="page-13-27"></span>90. Lai M, Skyrman S, Kor F, Homan R, El-Hajj VG, Babic D, Edström E, Elmi-Terander A, Hendriks BHW, de With PHN (2022) Development of a CT-Compatible, Anthropomorphic Skull and Brain Phantom for Neurosurgical Planning, Training, and Simulation. Bioengineering 9(10):537. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9100537) [3390/bioengineering9100537](https://doi.org/10.3390/bioengineering9100537)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>91. ProDelphus. [http://www.prodelphus.com.br/websiteBR/website/](http://www.prodelphus.com.br/websiteBR/website/home/) [home/.](http://www.prodelphus.com.br/websiteBR/website/home/) Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>92. Neuroendoscopic Surgery Training Simulator – GTSimulators. com. [https://www.gtsimulators.com/products/neuroendoscopic](https://www.gtsimulators.com/products/neuroendoscopic-surgery-training-simulator-snt)[surgery-training-simulator-snt.](https://www.gtsimulators.com/products/neuroendoscopic-surgery-training-simulator-snt) Accessed 3 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>93. (2008) SIMONT ENT AND SKULL BASE SIMULATOR - Youtube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iUqcVprRXuk>. Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>94. Nogueira JF, Stamm AC, Lyra M, Balieiro FO, Leão FS (2008) Building a real endoscopic sinus and skull-base surgery simulator. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 139:727–728. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2008.07.017) [10.1016/j.otohns.2008.07.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.otohns.2008.07.017)
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>95. Zymberg S, Vaz-Guimarães Filho F, Lyra M (2010) Neuroendoscopic training: presentation of a new real simulator. Minim Invasive Neurosurg MIN 53:44–46.<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1246169>
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>96. Japan Medical Company. In: Jpn. Med. Co. [https://japanmedic](https://japanmedicalcompany.co.jp/en) [alcompany.co.jp/en](https://japanmedicalcompany.co.jp/en). Accessed 16 Mar 2023
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>97. 3D Printed Medical Anatomy Models from JAPAN | KEZLEX. [https://www.kezlex.com/en/.](https://www.kezlex.com/en/) Accessed 5 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>98. 3D Printed Skull Base for Head Holder Model | A22 | KEZLEX. [https://](https://www.kezlex.com/en/products/skull/a22) [www.kezlex.com/en/products/skull/a22.](https://www.kezlex.com/en/products/skull/a22) Accessed 3 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>99. 3D Printed Endoscopic for Pituitary Gland Model | A39 | KEZLEX. <https://www.kezlex.com/en/products/skull/a39/>. Accessed 17 Nov 2021
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>100. 3D Printed Internal Carotid Artery Injury ICAI Model Model | A43 | KEZLEX. <https://www.kezlex.com/en/products/skull/a43/>. Accessed 3 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>101. Maza G, VanKoevering KK, Yanez-Siller JC, Baglam T, Otto BA, Prevedello DM, Carrau RL (2019) Surgical simulation of a catastrophic internal carotid artery injury: a laser-sintered model. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 9:53–59.<https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22178>
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>102. Muto J, Carrau RL, Oyama K, Otto BA, Prevedello DM (2017) Training model for control of an internal carotid artery injury during transsphenoidal surgery. Laryngoscope 127:38–43. <https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.26181>
- 103. Oyama K, Filho LFSD, Muto J, de Souza DG, Gun R, Otto BA, Carrau RL, Prevedello DM (2015) Endoscopic endonasal cranial base surgery simulation using an artifcial cranial base model created by selective laser sintering. Neurosurg Rev 38:171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10143-014-0580-4>
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>104. Shen J, Wrobel BB, Zada G (2017) Management of vascular injuries during endoscopic skull base surgery: current strategies and simulation-based educational paradigms. Curr Otorhinolaryngol Rep 5:35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40136-017-0146-4>
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>105. Lin Q-S, Lin Y-X, Wu X-Y, Yao P-S, Chen P, Kang D-Z (2018) Utility of 3-dimensional–printed models in enhancing the learning curve of surgery of tuberculum sellae meningioma. World Neurosurg 113:e222–e231. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.01.215>
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>106. Wolfsberger S, Forster M-T, Donat M, Neubauer A, Bühler K, Wegenkittl R, Czech T, Hainfellner JA, Knosp E (2004) Virtual endoscopy is a useful device for training and preoperative planning of transsphenoidal endoscopic pituitary surgery. Minim Invasive Neurosurg MIN 47:214–220.<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2004-818523>
- <span id="page-13-20"></span>107. Wolfsberger S, Neubauer A, Bühler K, Wegenkittl R, Czech T, Gentzsch S, Böcher-Schwarz H-G, Knosp E (2006) Advanced virtual endoscopy for endoscopic transsphenoidal pituitary surgery. Neurosurgery 59:1001–1009. [https://doi.org/10.1227/01.](https://doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000245594.61828.41) [NEU.0000245594.61828.41](https://doi.org/10.1227/01.NEU.0000245594.61828.41)
- <span id="page-13-22"></span>108. Pöβneck A, Nowatius E, Trantakis C, Cakmak H, Maass H, Kühnapfel U, Dietz A, Strauβ G (2005) A virtual training system in endoscopic sinus surgery. Int Congr Ser 1281:527–530. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.03.184) [doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.03.184](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ics.2005.03.184)
- <span id="page-13-21"></span>109. Neubauer A, Wolfsberger S, Forster M-T, Mroz L, Wegenkittl R, Bühler K (2005) Advanced virtual endoscopic pituitary surgery. IEEE Trans Vis Comput Graph 11:497–506. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TVCG.2005.70>
- <span id="page-13-28"></span>110. Dixon BJ, Chan H, Daly MJ, Vescan AD, Witterick IJ, Irish JC (2012) The efect of augmented real-time image guidance on task workload during endoscopic sinus surgery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 2:405–410.<https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.21049>
- 111. Dixon BJ, Daly MJ, Chan H, Vescan A, Witterick IJ, Irish JC (2011) Augmented image guidance improves skull base navigation and reduces task workload in trainees: a preclinical trial. The Laryngoscope 121:2060–2064.<https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.22153>
- <span id="page-13-29"></span>112. Dixon BJ, Daly MJ, Chan H, Vescan A, Witterick IJ, Irish JC (2014) Augmented real-time navigation with critical structure proximity alerts for endoscopic skull base surgery. The Laryngoscope 124:853–859.<https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.24385>
- <span id="page-13-30"></span>113. Prisman E, Daly MJ, Chan H, Siewerdsen JH, Vescan A, Irish JC (2011) Real-time tracking and virtual endoscopy in cone-beam CTguided surgery of the sinuses and skull base in a cadaver model. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 1:70–77.<https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.20007>
- <span id="page-13-23"></span>114. De Notaris M, Topczewski T, De Angelis M, Enseñat J, Alobid I, Gondolbleu AM, Soria G, Gonzalez JB, Ferrer E, Prats-Galino A (2013) Anatomic skull base education using advanced neuroimaging techniques. World Neurosurg 79:S16.e9–S16.e13. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.02.027) [doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.02.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2012.02.027)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>115. Varshney R, Frenkiel S, Nguyen LHP, Young M, Del Maestro R, Zeitouni A, Tewfk MA, Hovdebo J, Choudhury N, Debergue P, DeLuca G, Jiang D, Pazos V, Comas O, Neubauer A, Cabral A, Laroche D, Thibault F, DiRaddo R (2014) Development of the McGill simulator for endoscopic sinus surgery: a new high-fdelity virtual reality simulator for endoscopic sinus surgery. Am J Rhinol Allergy 28:330–334.<https://doi.org/10.2500/ajra.2014.28.4046>
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>116. NeuroVR – NeuroSim. [https://neurosim.mcgill.ca/neurotouch.](https://neurosim.mcgill.ca/neurotouch) Accessed 5 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>117. Li L, Yang J, Chu Y, Wu W, Xue J, Liang P, Chen L (2016) A novel augmented reality navigation system for endoscopic sinus and skull base surgery: a feasibility study. PloS One 11:e0146996. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0146996>
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>118. Won T-B, Hwang P, Lim JH, Cho S-W, Paek SH, Losorelli S, Vaisbuch Y, Chan S, Salisbury K, Blevins NH (2018) Early experience with a patient-specifc virtual surgical simulation for rehearsal of endoscopic skull-base surgery. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol 8:54–63.<https://doi.org/10.1002/alr.22037>
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>119. CardinalSim. In: CardinalSim. [https://med.stanford.edu/cardi](https://med.stanford.edu/cardinalsim.html) [nalsim.html](https://med.stanford.edu/cardinalsim.html). Accessed 28 Feb 2023
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>120. Barber SR, Jain S, Son Y-J, Chang EH (2018) Virtual functional endoscopic sinus surgery simulation with 3D-printed models for mixed-reality nasal endoscopy. Otolaryngol - Head Neck Surg U S 159:933–937. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0194599818797586>
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>121. Heredia-Pérez SA, Harada K, Padilla-Castañeda MA, Marques-Marinho M, Márquez-Flores JA, Mitsuishi M (2019) Virtual reality simulation of robotic transsphenoidal brain tumor resection: evaluating dynamic motion scaling in a master-slave system. Int J Med Robot 15.<https://doi.org/10.1002/rcs.1953>
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>122. Lai M, Skyrman S, Shan C, Babic D, Homan R, Edström E, Persson O, Burström G, Elmi-Terander A, Hendriks BHW, de With PHN (2020) Correction: Fusion of augmented reality imaging with the endoscopic view for endonasal skull base surgery; a novel application for surgical navigation based on intraoperative cone beam computed tomography and optical tracking. PloS One 15:e0229454. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229454>
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>123. Lai M, Skyrman S, Shan C, Babic D, Homan R, Edström E, Persson O, Burström G, Elmi-Terander A, Hendriks BHW, de With PHN (2020) Fusion of augmented reality imaging with the endoscopic view for endonasal skull base surgery; a novel application for surgical navigation based on intraoperative cone beam computed tomography and optical tracking. PLoS ONE 15:e0227312. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0227312>
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>124. Kim DH, Kim HM, Park J-S, Kim SW (2020) Virtual reality haptic simulator for endoscopic sinus and skull base surgeries. J Craniofac Surg 31:1811–1814.<https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000006395>
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>125. Cai S, Zhou Y, Shen J, Guo J, Xiong X, Jiang X (2022) Augmented Reality Based Surgical Training and Education System for Neurosurgery,. 022 International Conference on Advanced Robotics and Mechatronics (ICARM). Guilin, China, pp 678– 681. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICARM54641.2022.9959349>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>126. VOXEL-MAN Sinus. [https://www.voxel-man.com/simulators/](https://www.voxel-man.com/simulators/sinus/) [sinus/.](https://www.voxel-man.com/simulators/sinus/) Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>127. UKE - UKE - Knowledge - Research - Healing. [https://www.uke.](https://www.uke.de/english/) [de/english/](https://www.uke.de/english/). Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>128. (2017) Virtual Infundibulotomy - Youtube. [https://www.youtu](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z0Y3-SX9Cvc) [be.com/watch?v=Z0Y3-SX9Cvc.](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Z0Y3-SX9Cvc) Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>129. Tolsdorff B, Pommert A, Höhne KH, Petersik A, Pflesser B, Tiede U, Leuwer R (2010) Virtual reality: a new paranasal sinus surgery simulator. The Laryngoscope 120:420-426. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.20676) [org/10.1002/lary.20676](https://doi.org/10.1002/lary.20676)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>130. Distributors.<https://www.voxel-man.com/distributors/>. Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>131. Voxel-Man ENT Full System Virtual Reality Simulator. Anat. Wareh [https://anatomywarehouse.com/voxel-man-ent-full-sys](https://anatomywarehouse.com/voxel-man-ent-full-system-virtual-reality-simulator-A-112324)[tem-virtual-reality-simulator-A-112324.](https://anatomywarehouse.com/voxel-man-ent-full-system-virtual-reality-simulator-A-112324) Accessed 16 Mar 2023
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>132. (2011) NeuroTouch: Transnasal Navigation - Youtube. [https://](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=89A50YRy3gc) [www.youtube.com/watch?v=89A50YRy3gc.](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=89A50YRy3gc) Accessed 16 Mar 2023
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>133. Rosseau G, Bailes J, Del Maestro R, Cabral A, Choudhury N, Comas O, Debergue P, De Luca G, Hovdebo J, Jiang D, Laroche D, Neubauer A, Pazos V, Thibault F, Diraddo R (2013) The Development of a virtual simulator for training neurosurgeons to perform and perfect endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery. Neurosurgery 73:S85–S93.<https://doi.org/10.1227/NEU.0000000000000112>
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>134. Simulation Based Medical Education Solutions | CAE Healthcare.<https://www.caehealthcare.com/>. Accessed 8 May 2023
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>135. Phacon – the patients for your surgical demonstration and education.<https://www.phacon.de/en/>. Accessed 17 Nov 2021
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>136. (2020) phacon sinus assistant gettingstarted endoscopy - Youtube. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iy9QACSR6AY>. Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>137. (2021) Video Guide | PHACON Sinus Trainer - Youtube. [https://](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDrKDvCdMuE) [www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDrKDvCdMuE.](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NDrKDvCdMuE) Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>138. Stephenson ED, Farquhar DR, Masood MM, Capra G, Kimple A, Ebert CS, Thorp BD, Zanation AM (2019) Blinded evaluation of endoscopic skill and instructability after implementation of an endoscopic simulation experience. Am J Rhinol Allergy 33:681–690. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1945892419860973>
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>139. [S-00007] PHACON Sinus Assistant – Phacon. [https://phacon.de/](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/phacon-sinus-assistant-s-00003/) [en/produkt/phacon-sinus-assistant-s-00003/](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/phacon-sinus-assistant-s-00003/). Accessed 22 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>140. [S-00005] PHACON Sinus Trainer – Phacon. [https://phacon.](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/phacon-sinus-trainer-s-00005/) [de/en/produkt/phacon-sinus-trainer-s-00005/](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/phacon-sinus-trainer-s-00005/). Accessed 22 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>141. [SN-ah] PHACON Sinus Patient "Meyer" – pituitary tumor – Phacon. [https://phacon.de/en/produkt/sn-ah-phacon-sinus-patie](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/sn-ah-phacon-sinus-patient-meyer-hypophysen-tumor/) [nt-meyer-hypophysen-tumor/.](https://phacon.de/en/produkt/sn-ah-phacon-sinus-patient-meyer-hypophysen-tumor/) Accessed 8 May 2023
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>142. The evolution of Neurosurgery Learning | UpSurgeOn. [https://](https://www.upsurgeon.com/) [www.upsurgeon.com/.](https://www.upsurgeon.com/) Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>143. TNS Box | Self-training System for endoscopic pituitary surgery - YouTube. [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=71-lGn5y](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=71-lGn5yIck) [Ick](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=71-lGn5yIck). Accessed 23 Dec 2022
- <span id="page-14-30"></span>144. Newall N, Khan DZ, Hanrahan JG, Booker J, Borg A, Davids J, Nicolosi F, Sinha S, Dorward N, Marcus H (2022) High fdelity simulation of the endoscopic transsphenoidal approach: validation of the UpSurgeOn TNS Box. Front Surg 9. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.1049685) [10.3389/fsurg.2022.1049685](https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.1049685)
- <span id="page-14-31"></span>145. (2023) TNSBox – UpSurgeOn Store. [https://store.upsurgeon.](https://store.upsurgeon.com/product/tnsbox/) [com/product/tnsbox/.](https://store.upsurgeon.com/product/tnsbox/) Accessed 28 Feb 2023
- <span id="page-14-32"></span>146. Disposable Cavities – UpSurgeOn Store. [https://store.upsurgeon.](https://store.upsurgeon.com/product/disposable-cavities/) [com/product/disposable-cavities/](https://store.upsurgeon.com/product/disposable-cavities/). Accessed 8 May 2023
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>147. Clarke DB, D'Arcy RCN, Delorme S, Laroche D, Godin G, Hajra SG, Brooks R, Diraddo R (2013) Virtual reality simulator: demonstrated use in neurosurgical oncology. Surg Innov 20:190–197. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1553350612451354>
- <span id="page-14-33"></span>148. Petrone S, Cofano F, Nicolosi F, Spena G, Moschino M, Di Perna G, Lavorato A, Lanotte MM, Garbossa D (2022) Virtual-augmented reality and life-like neurosurgical simulator for training: frst evaluation of a hands-on experience for residents. Front Surg 9.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fsurg.2022.862948>
- <span id="page-14-34"></span>149. Zhang X-D, Li Z-H, Wu Z-S, Lin W, Lin W-J, Lin J-C, Zhuang L-M (2018) A novel three-dimensional-printed paranasal sinus–skull base anatomical model. Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol 275:2045–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00405-018-5051-z>
- <span id="page-14-35"></span>150. Huang X, Fan N, Wang H-J, Zhou Y, Li X, Jiang X-B (2021) Application of 3D printed model for planning the endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal surgery. Sci Rep 11:5333. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84779-5) [org/10.1038/s41598-021-84779-5](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-84779-5)
- <span id="page-14-36"></span>151. Huang X, Liu Z, Wang X, Li X-D, Cheng K, Zhou Y, Jiang X-B (2019) A small 3D-printing model of macroadenomas for

endoscopic endonasal surgery. Pituitary 22:46–53. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-018-0927-x) [org/10.1007/s11102-018-0927-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11102-018-0927-x)

- <span id="page-15-3"></span>152. Panesar SS, Magnetta M, Mukherjee D, Abhinav K, Branstetter BF, Gardner PA, Iv M, Fernandez-Miranda JC (2019) Patientspecifc 3-dimensionally printed models for neurosurgical planning and education. Neurosurg Focus 47:E12. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19511) [3171/2019.9.FOCUS19511](https://doi.org/10.3171/2019.9.FOCUS19511)
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>153. Chaudhary A, Chopra S, Sinha VD (2021) Role of three-dimensional printing in neurosurgery: an institutional experience. Asian J Neurosurg 16:531–538. [https://doi.org/10.4103/ajns.AJNS\\_475\\_20](https://doi.org/10.4103/ajns.AJNS_475_20)
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>154. Chopra S, Boro AK, Sinha VD (2021) 3D printing-assisted skull base tumor surgeries: an institutional experience. J Neurosci Rural Pract 12:630–634.<https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0041-1734001>
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>155. Digital future | Bracco. [https://www.bracco.com/en-us/digital](https://www.bracco.com/en-us/digital-future)[future](https://www.bracco.com/en-us/digital-future). Accessed 15 Mar 2023
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>156. de Notaris M, Palma K, Serra L, Enseñat J, Alobid I, Poblete J, Gonzalez JB, Solari D, Ferrer E, Prats-Galino A (2014) A

three-dimensional computer-based perspective of the skull base. World Neurosurg 82:S41–S48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2014.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2014.07.024) [07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2014.07.024)

- 157. Wang S, Zhang S, Jing J (2012) Stereoscopic virtual reality models for planning tumor resection in the sellar region. BMC Neurol 12:146. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2377-12-146>
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>158. Wang S-S, Xue L, Jing J-J, Wang R-M (2012) Virtual reality surgical anatomy of the sphenoid sinus and adjacent structures by the transnasal approach. J Cranio-Maxillofac Surg 40:494–499. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcms.2011.08.008>

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.