Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series Aug., 2024, Vol. 40, No. 8, pp. 1825–1836 Published online: July 10, 2024 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-024-3107-0 http://www.ActaMath.com

© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany & The Editorial Office of AMS 2024

Uniqueness Problem for the Backward Differential Equation of a Continuous-State Branching Process

 $\mathbf{Pei} \ \mathbf{Sen} \ \mathbf{LI}^{1)}$

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Beijing Institute of Technology, Beijing 100872, P. R. China E-mail: peisenli@bit.edu.cn

Zeng Hu LI

School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, P. R. China E-mail: lizh@bnu.edu.cn

Abstract The distributional properties of a multi-dimensional continuous-state branching process are determined by its cumulant semigroup, which is defined by the backward differential equation. We provide a proof of the assertion of Rhyzhov and Skorokhod (*Theory Probab. Appl.*, 1970) on the uniqueness of the solutions to the equation, which is based on a characterization of the process as the pathwise unique solution to a system of stochastic equations.

Keywords Continuous-state branching process, multi-dimensional, backward differential equation, stochastic equation, generator

MR(2010) Subject Classification 60J80, 60H20

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ and let $D = \mathbb{R}^m_+$ be its *m*th power for an integer $m \ge 1$. Suppose that $\xi = \{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ is a stochastically continuous Markov process in D with homogeneous transition semigroup $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$. We call ξ a *continuous-state branching process* (CB-process) if its transition semigroup satisfies the *branching property*:

$$P(t, x+y, \cdot) = P(t, x, \cdot) * P(t, y, \cdot), \quad x, y \in D,$$
(1.1)

where "*" denotes the convolution operation. Here ξ may not be conservative, so it is in general a Markov process in the one-point compactification $\overline{D} := D \cup \{\infty\}$ with ∞ being the cemetery.

The CB-process is a special form of the measure-valued branching processes studied in Dawson [7], Dynkin [12], Le Gall [20] and Li [22]. A more general class of finite-dimensional Markov processes, the *affine processes*, were investigated in Duffie et al. [10]. The process has played important roles in the study of different areas including biological populations, statistical physics, mathematical finance and so on. The problem of characterizing the most general branching Markov processes in finite- or infinite-dimensional state spaces has been

This work was supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No. 2020YFA0712900) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12271029)

1) Corresponding author

Received February 25, 2023, revised October 24, 2023, accepted December 25, 2023

studied by Duffie et al. [10], Dynkin et al. [13], Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25], Silverstein [28] and Watanabe [29].

The basic structures of the multi-dimensional CB-process were investigated by Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25] and Watanabe [29]. Let $\mathbb{C}_{-} = \{x + iy : x \leq 0, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $i = \sqrt{-1}$, be the closed left half complex plane and let $\mathbb{C}_{--} = \{x + iy : x < 0, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be its interior. The *m*th powers of them are denoted by \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m} and \mathbb{C}_{--}^{m} , respectively. From the branching property (1.1), it follows that $P(t, x, \cdot)$ is an infinitely divisible distribution on *D*. A more careful analysis shows that the Laplace transform of $P(t, x, \cdot)$ is characterized by, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$,

$$\int_{D} e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle} P(t, x, dz) = \exp\{\langle x, K(t, \lambda) \rangle\},$$
(1.2)

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product and $K(t, \lambda) = (K_1(t, \lambda), \dots, K_m(t, \lambda)) \in \mathbb{C}_-^m$. From (1.2) we have, for $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$K_i(t,\lambda) = \log \int_D e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle} P(t,\delta_i, dz), \qquad (1.3)$$

where $\delta_i = (1_{\{i=1\}}, \dots, 1_{\{i=m\}}) \in D$. The Chapman–Kolmogorov equation of $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$ implies

$$K(s+t,\lambda) = K(s,K(t,\lambda)), \quad s,t \ge 0, \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}.$$
(1.4)

We call $\{K(t) : t \ge 0\}$ the cumulant semigroup of ξ or $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$. In view of (1.2), we have

$$P(t, x, D) = \exp\{\langle x, K(t, 0) \rangle\}, \quad t \ge 0, \ x \in D.$$
(1.5)

From the stochastic continuity of $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ it follows that $t \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$ is continuous in $t \ge 0$ for each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$ and the restriction of $\lambda \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$ to \mathbb{C}^m_{--} is a holomorphic self-map. In fact, the infinite divisibility of the transition probabilities yields a Lévy–Khintchine type representation of the function $\lambda \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$. For m = 1 this is known as a *Bernstein function*; see, e.g., Schilling et al. [27]. It was shown by Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25] that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$ the following derivative exists:

$$H(\lambda) = \frac{d}{dt} K(t,\lambda) \Big|_{t=0}.$$
(1.6)

Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25] also derived from (1.4) and (1.6) the differential equation, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}K(t,\lambda) = H(K(t,\lambda)), \quad K(0,\lambda) = \lambda.$$
(1.7)

This is referred to as the backward differential equation of the cumulant semigroup since it corresponds to Kolmogorov's backward differential equation of the transition semigroup $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$. A similar differential equation for the generating functions of integer-valued branching processes was given by Athreya and Ney [1, p. 106].

We call $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_m)$ the branching mechanism of ξ . In complex analysis, one usually refers to (1.7) as the Loewner-Kufarev equation; see, e.g., Bracci et al. [6], Duren [11] and Gumenyuk et al. [16, 17]. It is also called the generalized Riccati equation; see Duffie et al. [10] and the references therein. For $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in D$ let $|x| = |x_1| + \cdots + |x_m|$. In Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25], the following Lévy–Khintchine type representation of $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_m)$ was identified: for $i = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$H_i(\lambda) = \langle a_i, \lambda \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \beta_i \lambda_i^2 + \int_{D^\circ} \left(e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle} - 1 - \frac{\lambda_i z_i}{1 + |z|^2} \right) \pi_i(dz),$$
(1.8)

where $\beta_i \geq 0$, $a_i = (a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{im}) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $j \neq i$ and $\pi_i(dz)$ is a σ -finite measure on $D^\circ := D \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_{D^{\circ}} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{m} z_j + z_i^2 \right) \wedge 1 \right] \pi_i(dz) < \infty.$$
(1.9)

The property $a_{ij} \geq 0$ for $j \neq i$ follows essentially from (1.6) and the fact that $\lambda \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$ is the cumulant of an infinitely divisible distribution on \mathbb{R}^m_+ . It was derived rigorously in Duffie et al. [10, Theorem 2.7]. Note that the property was stated incorrectly as $a_{ij} > 0$ for $j \neq i$ in [25, p. 706]. The integrability condition (1.9) also corrects the condition given in [25, p. 706], where it was only claimed that

$$\int_{\{|z|<1\}} \left(\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{m} z_j + z_i^2\right) \pi_i(dz) < \infty.$$
(1.10)

It is easy to see that (1.9) is satisfied if and only if (1.10) holds and

$$\pi_i(\{z \in D^\circ : |z| \ge 1\}) < \infty, \tag{1.11}$$

which is a standard assumption for the Lévy measure; see, e.g., Sato [26, Theorem 8.1]. For instance, if $\lambda = -(1, ..., 1)$, then the integrand in (1.8) becomes

$$f(z) = e^{-|z|} - 1 + \frac{z_i}{1+|z|^2},$$

which satisfies

$$-1 \le f(z) \le e^{-|z|} - 1 + \frac{|z|}{1+|z|^2} \le -\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{e}\right), \quad |z| \ge 1.$$

Then the integral on the right-hand side of (1.8) diverges when (1.11) is not satisfied. This shows that (1.9) is the correct assumption.

For the convenience of our exploration, we need another representation of the branching mechanism $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_m)$. Let $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, \ldots, \alpha_{im})$, where $\alpha_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $j \neq i$ and

$$\alpha_{ii} = a_{ii} + \int_{D^{\circ}} z_i \left(1_{\{|z| \le 1\}} - \frac{1}{1 + |z|^2} \right) \pi_i(dz)$$

Then, for $i = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$H_i(\lambda) = \langle \alpha_i, \lambda \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \beta_i \lambda_i^2 + \int_{D^\circ} (e^{\langle \lambda, z \rangle} - 1 - \lambda_i z_i \mathbb{1}_{\{|z| \le 1\}}) \pi_i(dz).$$
(1.12)

It is known that the one-dimensional CB-process is conservative if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\int_{0+} \frac{d\lambda}{0 \vee [-H(-\lambda)]} = \infty; \tag{1.13}$$

see, e.g., [15, 18, 19]. There seems no necessary and sufficient condition in such a simple form for the conservativeness of multi-dimensional CB-processes. **Example 1.1** Let us consider the one-dimensional case, i.e., m = 1. For $\sigma > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, an α -stable branching mechanism is defined by $H(\lambda) = -\sigma(-\lambda)^{\alpha}$. In this case, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}$ the unique solution to (1.7) is given by

$$K(t,\lambda) = \begin{cases} -[\sigma(1-\alpha)t + (-\lambda)^{1-\alpha}]^{1/(1-\alpha)} & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ \lambda e^{\sigma t} & \text{for } \alpha = 1. \end{cases}$$

This is a holomorphic function in \mathbb{C}_{-} and has a unique continuous extension on \mathbb{C}_{-} with

$$K(t,0) = \begin{cases} -[\sigma(1-\alpha)t]^{1/(1-\alpha)} & \text{for } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } \alpha = 1, \end{cases}$$

which solves (1.7) for $\lambda = 0$. The solution to (1.7) is unique for $\lambda = 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$, as $K^{\infty}(t,0) := 0$ also solves the equation for $\lambda = 0$.

Example 1.2 Let m = 1 and consider the $\frac{1}{2}$ -stable branching mechanism $H(\lambda) = -2\sqrt{-\lambda}$. In this case, for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}$ we have

$$K(t,\lambda) = -(t+\sqrt{-\lambda})^2,$$

which maps \mathbb{C}_{--} to the domain $\{x + iy : x < -t\sqrt{t^2 + 2|y|}\}$. The uniqueness of solution to (1.7) breaks down for $\lambda = 0$. In fact, for any $r \in [0, \infty]$ the map $t \mapsto K^r(t, 0) := -(t-r)^2 \mathbb{1}_{\{t>r\}}$ is a solution to (1.7) for $\lambda = 0$.

Remark 1.3 Let H be a branching mechanism defined by (1.8) or (1.12). From the result of Duffie et al. [10, Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 6.1] it follows that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$ there is a unique solution to (1.7) and one can define the transition semigroup of a CB-process by (1.2); see also Watanabe [29, Theorems 2 and 2']. By (1.3) we can extend $\lambda \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$ to a continuous self-map of \mathbb{C}_{-}^m . For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$ we can rewrite (1.7) into its integral form

$$K(t,\lambda) = \lambda + \int_0^t H(K(s,\lambda))ds, \quad t \ge 0.$$
(1.14)

In view of (1.8) or (1.12), it is clear that the function $\lambda \mapsto H(\lambda)$ admits a unique continuous extension on \mathbb{C}^m_- with H(0) = 0. By approximation from the interior and application of dominated convergence we see that (1.14) remains true for $\lambda \in \partial \mathbb{C}^m_- := \mathbb{C}^m_- \setminus \mathbb{C}^m_-$. By differentiating both sides of the integral equation we see that (1.7) also holds for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$. That proves the existence of the solution to (1.7) for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$; see also Duffie et al. [10, Proposition 6.4 and its proof].

Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25, p. 706] wrote: It can be shown that if (1.7) has a unique solution belonging to \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m} for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$, then $\exp\{\langle x, K(t, \lambda) \rangle\}$ will be a transform of type (1.1) of the transition probability of a branching process. The following assertion is very plausible: in order that the equation (1.7) has a unique solution for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$, it is necessary and sufficient that it has a unique solution for $\lambda = 0$. In view of Remark 1.3, we can restate their assertion equivalently as: in order that the equation (1.7) has a unique solution for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$, it is necessary and sufficient that $t \mapsto K(t, 0) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution to (1.7) for $\lambda = 0$.

In this paper, we provide a proof of the assertion of Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25, p. 706]. The proof is based on a characterization of the m-dimensional CB-process as the pathwise unique solution to a system of stochastic equations.

2 The System of Stochastic Equations

Recall that the vector-valued function $H = (H_1, \ldots, H_m)$ is defined by (1.12) with parameters $(a_i, \beta_i, \pi_i), i = 1, \ldots, m$. Suppose that $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, \mathscr{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ is a filtered probability space satisfying the usual hypotheses. For each $k = 1, \ldots, m$ let $\{B_k(t)\}$ be an (\mathscr{F}_t) -Brownian motion and let $\{N_k(ds, dz, du)\}$ be a time-space (\mathscr{F}_t) -Poisson random measure on $(0, \infty) \times D^{\circ} \times (0, \infty)$ with intensity $ds\pi_k(dz)du$. Let $\tilde{N}_k(ds, dz, du) := N_k(ds, dz, du) - ds\pi_k(dz)du$ denote the compensated measure. Let $D_1 = \{x \in D^{\circ} : |x| \leq 1\}$ and $D_1^c = \{x \in D^{\circ} : |x| > 1\}$. We consider the system of stochastic integral equations, for $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_k(t) &= \xi_k(0) + \int_0^t \sqrt{\beta_k \xi_k(s)} dB_k(s) + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \alpha_{ik} \xi_i(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{D_1} \int_0^{\xi_k(s-)} z_k \tilde{N}_k(ds, dz, du) + \int_0^t \int_{D_1^c} \int_0^{\xi_k(s-)} z_k N_k(ds, dz, du) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \int_0^t \int_{D^\circ} \int_0^{\xi_i(s-)} z_k N_i(ds, dz, du). \end{aligned}$$
(2.1)

We say an (\mathscr{F}_t) -adapted càdlàg process $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\} = \{(\xi_1(t), \ldots, \xi_m(t)) : t \ge 0\}$ in Dwith possibly finite lifetime is a *solution* to (2.1) if the equation holds almost surely when t is replaced by $t \land \zeta_n$ for every $t \ge 0$ and $n \ge 1$, where $\zeta_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |\xi(t)| \ge n\}$ with $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ by convention. We make the convention that $\xi(t) = \infty$ for $t \ge \zeta := \lim_{n\to\infty} \zeta_n$. By saying $\{\xi(t)\}$ is *conservative* we mean $\zeta = \infty$ almost surely.

To prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to (2.1), we introduce an approximating sequence of the stochastic equation. For each $n \ge 1$ let us consider the equations, for $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$\begin{aligned} x_{nk}(t) &= \xi_k(0) + \int_0^t \sqrt{\beta_k x_{nk}(s)} dB_k(s) + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \alpha_{ik} x_{ni}(s) ds \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{D_1} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} z_k \tilde{N}_k(ds, dz, du) + \int_0^t \int_{D_1^c} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} (z_k \wedge n) N_k(ds, dz, du) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1, i \neq k}^m \int_0^t \int_{D^\circ} \int_0^{x_{ni}(s-)} (z_k \wedge n) N_i(ds, dz, du), \end{aligned}$$
(2.2)

The truncation $z_k \wedge n := \min\{z_k, n\}$ in (2.2) changes the jump sizes larger than n into jump size n. With this modification of the equation, we can apply Barczy et al. [2, Theorem 4.6] to see that there is a pathwise unique solution $\{x_n(t) : t \ge 0\} = \{(x_{n1}(t), \dots, x_{nm}(t)) : t \ge 0\}$ to (2.2).

Theorem 2.1 For any \mathscr{F}_0 -measurable random variable $\xi(0) \in D$, there is a pathwise unique solution $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ to (2.1).

Proof For $n \ge 1$ define the stopping time $\zeta_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |x_n(t)| \ge n\}$. Then $|x_n(t)| < n$ for $0 \le t < \zeta_n$. Since the processes $\{x_{nk}(t) : t \ge 0\}$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$ are nonnegative and can only have positive jumps, they do not have jumps with jump sizes larger than n in the time interval $(0, \zeta_n)$, so the truncation $(z_k \land n)$ in (2.2) makes no difference in this time interval. It follows that $x_{n+1}(t) = x_n(t)$ for $0 \le t < \zeta_n$ and ζ_n is nondecreasing in $n \ge 1$. Then we can define a process $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\} = \{(\xi_1(t), \ldots, \xi_m(t)) : t \ge 0\}$ in \overline{D} such that $\xi(t) = x_n(t)$ for $0 \leq t < \zeta_n$ and $\xi(t) = \infty$ for $t \geq \zeta := \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n$. It is easy to see that $\{\xi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ is a solution to (2.1) and $\zeta_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |\xi(t)| \geq n\}$. This proves the existence of solution to (2.1). Now suppose that $\{y(t) : t \geq 0\} = \{(y_1(t), \dots, y_m(t)) : t \geq 0\}$ is also a solution to (2.1). Let $\tau_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |y(t)| \geq n\}$ and $\eta_n = \tau_n \land \zeta_n$ for $n \geq 1$. Then |y(t)| < n and $|\xi(t)| < n$ for $0 \leq t < \eta_n$, and so the processes $\{y(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\xi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ coincide in the time interval $(0, \eta_n)$. In view of (2.1) and (2.2) we have $\xi(\eta_n) = y(\eta_n)$, which implies $\eta_n = \zeta_n = \tau_n$. It follows that $\zeta = \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \eta_n$, and so the processes $\{y(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\xi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ and $\{\xi(t) : t \geq 0\}$ are indistinguishable. Then the pathwise uniqueness of solutions holds for (2.1).

The system of stochastic equations (2.1) gives a construction of the sample path of the *m*-dimensional CB-process. The existence and pathwise uniqueness of solutions to (2.1) were established in Dawson and Li [8] for m = 1 under a stronger moment condition. A flow of discontinuous CB-processes was constructed in Bertoin and Le Gall [5] by weak solutions to a special form of the one-dimensional stochastic equation; see also [4]. Their construction was extended to general flows in Dawson and Li [9] using strong solutions. See, e.g., Bernis et al. [3], Fang and Li [14], Li [21, 22] and Pardoux [24] for a number of applications of those stochastic equations. Theorem 2.1 weakens the moment conditions in Barczy et al. [2] and Ma [23], where multi-dimensional continuous state branching processes with immigration were constructed in terms of stochastic equations.

3 A Time-space Martingale Problem

Let $C_0^2(D)$ be the set of twice continuously differentiable functions f = f(x) on D that together with their derivatives up to the second order are rapidly decreasing as $|x| \to \infty$. We extend the functions f = f(x) in $C_0^2(D)$ and those derivatives trivially to ∞ so that they become bounded continuous functions on \overline{D} . For $x \in D$ and $f \in C_0^2(D)$ set

$$Af(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k \langle \alpha_k, \nabla f(x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_k x_k f_{kk}''(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_k \int_{D^\circ} [\Delta_z f(x) - z_k f_k'(x) \mathbf{1}_{D_1}(z)] \pi_k(dz),$$
(3.1)

where $\Delta_z f(x) = f(x+z) - f(x)$. Then we can understand Af as a bounded continuous function on \overline{D} with $Af(\infty) = 0$.

For a fixed constant u > 0 let $C^{1,2}([0, u] \times D)$ be the set of functions f = f(t, x) on $[0, u] \times D$ that are continuously differentiable in $t \in [0, u]$ and twice continuously differentiable in $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in D$. For $f \in C^{1,2}([0, u] \times D)$ and $i, j = 1, \ldots, m$ write

$$f_0'(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(t,x), \quad f_i'(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} f(t,x), \quad f_{ij}''(t,x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i x_j} f(t,x).$$

Let $C_0^{1,2}([0,u] \times D)$ be the set of functions f = f(t,x) in $C^{1,2}([0,u] \times D)$ that together with the above derivatives are rapidly decreasing as $|x| \to \infty$. We extend all the functions in $C_0^{1,2}([0,u] \times D)$ and those derivatives trivially to $[0,u] \times \{\infty\}$ so that they become bounded continuous functions on $[0,u] \times \overline{D}$. The theorem below gives the characterization of the solution $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ to (2.1) by a time-space martingale problem, which identifies the operator Adefined by (3.1) as (a restriction of) its generator. **Theorem 3.1** Let $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ be the pathwise unique solution to (2.1). Then for any $0 \le t \le u$ and $f \in C_0^{1,2}([0, u] \times D)$ we have

$$f(t,\xi(t)) = f(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^t [f'_0(s,\xi(s)) + Af(s,\xi(s))]ds + M(t),$$
(3.2)

where A acts on the function $x \mapsto f(s, x)$ and $\{M(t) : 0 \le t \le u\}$ is a bounded martingale defined by

$$M(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\beta_{k}\xi_{k}(s)} f_{k}'(s,\xi(s)) dB_{k}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{k}(s-)} \Delta_{z}f(s,\xi(s-)) \tilde{N}_{k}(ds,dz,du).$$
(3.3)

Proof For $n \ge 1$ let $\{x_n(t) : t \ge 0\} = \{(x_{n1}(t), \dots, x_{nm}(t)) : t \ge 0\}$ be the pathwise unique solution to (2.2). By Itô's formula, for $0 \le t \le u$ we have

$$\begin{split} f(t,x_n(t)) &= f(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^t f_0'(s,x_n(s-))ds + \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \alpha_{ik}x_{ni}(s-)f_k'(s,x_n(s-))ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \sqrt{\beta_k x_{nk}(s-)}f_k'(s,x_n(s-))dB_k(s) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \beta_k x_{nk}(s-)f_{kk}''(s,x_n(s-))ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_1} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} z_k f_k'(s,x_n(s-))\tilde{N}_k(ds,dz,du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_1} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} (z_k \wedge n)f_k'(s,x_n(s-))N_k(ds,dz,du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=1,i\neq k}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{ni}(s-)} (z_k \wedge n)f_k'(s,x_n(s-))N_i(ds,dz,du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} [f(s,x_n(s-)+(z \wedge n)) - f(s,x_n(s-))) \\ &- \langle z \wedge n, \nabla f(s,x_n(s-))\rangle]N_k(ds,dz,du) \\ &= f(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^t f_0'(s,x_n(s-))ds + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t x_{ni}(s-)\langle \alpha_i, \nabla f(s,x_n(s-))\rangle ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_1} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} z_k f_k'(s,x_n(s-))M_k(ds,dz,du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} [f(s,x_n(s-)+(z \wedge n)) - f(s,x_n(s-))]ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} z_k f_k'(s,x_n(s-))M_k(ds,dz,du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} [f(s,x_n(s-)+(z \wedge n)) - f(s,x_n(s-))]ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} [f(s,x_n(s-)+(z \wedge n)) - f(s,x_n(s-))]ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{D_0} \int_0^{x_{nk}(s-)} [f(s,x_n(s-))M_k(ds,dz,du)] \\ &= f(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^t f_0'(s,x_n(s-))ds + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t x_{ni}(s-)\langle \alpha_i, \nabla f(s,x_n(s-))\rangle ds \end{split}$$

Li P. S. and Li Z. H.

$$\begin{split} &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\beta_{k} x_{nk}(s-)} f_{k}'(s, x_{n}(s-)) dB_{k}(s) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \beta_{k} x_{nk}(s-) f_{kk}''(s, x_{n}(s-)) ds \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{x_{nk}(s-)} \Delta_{z \wedge n} f(s, x_{n}(s-)) \tilde{N}_{k}(ds, dz, du) \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} x_{nk}(s-) ds \int_{D^{\circ}} [\Delta_{z \wedge n} f(s, x_{n}(s-)) - z_{k} f_{k}'(s, x_{n}(s-)) 1_{D_{1}}(z)] \pi_{k}(dz), \end{split}$$

where $z \wedge n = (z_1 \wedge n, \dots, z_m \wedge n)$. Then

$$f(t, x_n(t)) = f(0, \xi(0)) + \int_0^t [f'_0(s, x_n(s-)) + A_n f(s, x_n(s-))] ds + M_n(t),$$

where

$$M_{n}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\beta_{k} x_{nk}(s-)} f_{k}'(s, x_{n}(s-)) dB_{k}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{x_{nk}(s-)} \Delta_{z \wedge n} f(s, x_{n}(s-)) \tilde{N}_{k}(ds, dz, du)$$

and

$$A_n f(s,x) = \sum_{k=1}^m x_k \langle \alpha_k, \nabla f(s,x) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \beta_k x_k f_{kk}''(s,x) + \sum_{k=1}^m x_k \int_{D^\circ} [\Delta_{z \wedge n} f(s,x) - z_k f_k'(s,x) \mathbf{1}_{D_1}(z)] \pi_k(dz)$$

Let $\zeta_n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : |x_n(t)| \ge n\}$ for $n \ge 1$. By the proof of Theorem 2.1, the sequence $\{\zeta_n\}$ is nondecreasing. Moreover, we have $\xi(t) = x_n(t)$ for $0 \le t < \zeta_n$ and $\xi(t) = \infty$ for $t \ge \zeta := \lim_{n \to \infty} \zeta_n$. It follows that

$$f(t, x_n(t \wedge \zeta_n)) = f(0, \xi(0)) + \int_0^{t \wedge \zeta_n} [f'_0(s, \xi(s-)) + A_n f(s, \xi(s-))] ds + M_n(t \wedge \zeta_n), \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$M_{n}(t \wedge \zeta_{n}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \zeta_{n}} \sqrt{\beta_{k} \xi_{k}(s-)} f_{k}'(s,\xi(s-)) dB_{k}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \zeta_{n}} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{k}(s-)} \Delta_{z \wedge n} f(s,\xi(s-)) \tilde{N}_{k}(ds,dz,du).$$
(3.5)

The definition of ζ_n implies that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n(\zeta_n) = \infty$. By considering the cases $\zeta \leq t$ and $\zeta > t$ separately, we see that $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n(t \wedge \zeta_n) = \xi(t \wedge \zeta)$. Then letting $n \to \infty$ in (3.4) and (3.5) we obtain

$$f(t,\xi(t\wedge\zeta)) = f(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^{t\wedge\zeta} [f_0'(s,\xi(s-)) + Af(s,\xi(s-))]ds + M_\zeta(t),$$

where

$$M_{\zeta}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \zeta} \sqrt{\beta_{k} \xi_{k}(s-)} f'_{k}(s,\xi(s-)) dB_{k}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t \wedge \zeta} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{k}(s-)} \Delta_{z} f(s,\xi(s-)) \tilde{N}_{k}(ds,dz,du).$$

1832

Recall that we understand f, f'_k and Af as bounded continuous functions on $[0, u] \times \overline{D}$ with $f(s, \infty) = f'_k(s, \infty) = Af(s, \infty) = 0$ for all $s \in [0, u]$. Then we have (3.2) and (3.3). From (3.2) it is clear that

$$M(t)| \le 2||f|| + (||f_0'|| + ||Af||)u, \quad 0 \le t \le u,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the supremum norm of functions on $[0, u] \times \overline{D}$. Then $\{M(t) : 0 \le t \le u\}$ is a bounded martingale by (3.3).

Corollary 3.2 The pathwise unique solution $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ to (2.1) is a càdlàg process in \overline{D} . *Proof* By applying Theorem 3.1 to the function $f(t,x) \equiv e^{-x}$ and $u = 1, 2, \ldots$ we see that $\{e^{-\xi(t)} : t \ge 0\}$ is a càdlàg process in [0, 1]. Then $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ is a càdlàg process in \overline{D} . \Box

Corollary 3.3 The pathwise unique solution $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ to (2.1) is an *m*-dimensional CB-process with transition semigroup $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$ defined by (1.2) and (1.7).

Proof For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$ let $t \mapsto K(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$ be the unique solution to (1.7). Fix u > 0 and define

$$f(t,x) = e^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),x \rangle}, \quad 0 \le t \le u, x \in D.$$

Then $f \in C_0^{1,2}([0,u] \times D)$. It is easy to show that for $k = 1, \ldots, m$,

$$f'_k(t,x) = K_k(u-t,\lambda) e^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),x \rangle}, \ f''_{kk}(t,x) = K_k(u-t,\lambda)^2 e^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),x \rangle}$$

and

$$\Delta_z f(x) = (\mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),z\rangle} - 1) \mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),x\rangle}.$$

By (1.7) and (3.1) we have

$$f_0'(t,x) = -\mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),x\rangle} \langle H(K(u-t,\lambda)),x\rangle = -Af(t,x)$$

Note that the equalities above extend trivially to $x \in \overline{D}$. An application of Theorem 3.1 shows that

$$\mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),\xi(t)\rangle} = \mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u,\lambda),\xi(0)\rangle} + M(t), \quad 0 \le t \le u,$$

where $\{M(t): 0 \le t \le u\}$ is a bounded martingale. It follows that

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\langle \lambda,\xi(u)\rangle}|\mathscr{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}[\mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u,\lambda),\xi(0)\rangle} + M(u)|\mathscr{F}_t]$$
$$= \mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u,\lambda),\xi(0)\rangle} + M(t)$$
$$- \mathrm{e}^{\langle K(u-t,\lambda),\xi(t)\rangle}$$

Then $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ is an *m*-dimensional CB-process with transition semigroup $\{P(t) : t \ge 0\}$ defined by (1.2) and (1.7).

Remark 3.4 In general, the process $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ lives in the extended state space \overline{D} . For this reason, we need the functions f and Af to have continuous extensions on $[0, u] \times \overline{D}$ in Theorem 3.1 and in the proof of Corollary 3.3. This is the reason that we assume $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{--}^m$ in the proof.

4 The Backward Differential Equation

By Remark 1.3, there exists the solution $t \mapsto K(t, \lambda)$ to (1.7) for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$. The next theorem confirms the assertion of Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25, p. 706]:

Theorem 4.1 The following statements are equivalent:

(i) For every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$ there is a unique solution $t \mapsto K(t, \lambda) \in \mathbb{C}^m_-$ to (1.7).

- (ii) For $\lambda = 0$ the unique solution to (1.7) is given by $t \mapsto K(t, 0) \equiv 0$.
- (iii) The CB-process with transition semigroup given by (1.2) and (1.7) is conservative.

Proof (i) \Rightarrow (ii) This is trivial.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Suppose that $t \mapsto K(t,0) \equiv 0$ is the unique solution to (1.7) for $\lambda = 0$. Then (1.5) implies P(t, x, D) = 1 for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in D$, which means the CB-process is conservative.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Suppose that the CB-process with transition semigroup given by (1.2) and (1.7) is conservative. Let $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ be the realization of the process defined by (2.1) with $\xi(0) = x \in D$. Then $\{\xi(t) : t \ge 0\}$ is a càdlàg process living in D. Suppose that $t \mapsto J(t, \lambda)$ is also a solution to (1.7) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}_{-}^{m}$. Fix u > 0 and define

$$g(t,x) = e^{\langle J(u-t,\lambda),x \rangle}, \quad 0 \le t \le u, \ x \in D.$$

$$(4.1)$$

It is easy to see that $g \in C^{1,2}([0, u] \times D)$. By (2.1) and Itô's formula, as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 we get

$$g(t,\xi(t)) = g(0,\xi(0)) + \int_0^t g_0'(s,\xi(s-))ds + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \xi_i(s-)\langle \alpha_i, \nabla g(s,\xi(s-))\rangle ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \beta_k \xi_k(s-)g_{kk}''(s,\xi(s-))ds + Z(t) + \sum_{k=1}^m \int_0^t \xi_k(s-)ds \int_{D^\circ} [\Delta_z g(s,\xi(s-)) - z_k g_k'(s,\xi(s-))1_{D_1}(z)]\pi_k(dz), \quad (4.2)$$

where

$$Z(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \sqrt{\beta_{k}\xi_{k}(s-)}g'_{k}(s,\xi(s-))dB_{k}(s) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{0}^{t} \int_{D^{\circ}} \int_{0}^{\xi_{k}(s-)} \Delta_{z}g(s,\xi(s-))\tilde{N}_{k}(ds,dz,du)$$

Since $t \mapsto J(t, \lambda)$ solves (1.7), one can use (4.1) to see

$$g'_{0}(s,x) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} \langle \alpha_{i}, \nabla g(s,x) \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \beta_{k} x_{k} g''_{kk}(s,x) - \sum_{k=1}^{m} x_{k} \int_{D^{\circ}} [\Delta_{z} g(s,x) - z_{k} g'_{k}(s,x) \mathbf{1}_{D_{1}}(z)] \pi_{k}(dz),$$

From (4.2) it follows that

$$e^{\langle J(u-t,\lambda),\xi(t)\rangle} = e^{\langle J(u,\lambda),x\rangle} + Z(t).$$
(4.3)

Then $\{Z(t): 0 \le t \le u\}$ is a bounded martingale. (Here we cannot apply Theorem 3.1 directly since $g \notin C_0^{1,2}([0, u] \times D)$.) By taking the expectations in both sides of (4.3) for t = u we obtain

 $\mathbf{E}[e^{\langle \lambda,\xi(u)\rangle}] = e^{\langle J(u,\lambda),x\rangle}$. Then $J(u,\lambda) = K(u,\lambda)$ by (1.2) and Corollary 3.3. This shows the implication "(iii) \Rightarrow (i)".

It would be interesting to have an analytic proof of the assertion of Rhyzhov and Skorokhod [25, p. 706].

Conflict of Interest Zeng Hu LI is an editorial board member for Acta Mathematica Sinica English Series and was not involved in the editorial review or the decision to publish this article. All authors declare that there are no competing interests.

Acknowledgements We would like to thank the two anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions, which have led to a number of improvements in the presentation of the work.

References

- [1] Athreya, K. B., Ney, P. E.: Branching Processes, Springer, Berlin (1972)
- [2] Barczy, M., Li, Z., Pap, G.: Stochastic differential equation with jumps for multi-type continuous state and continuous time branching processes with immigration. ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat., 12(1), 129–169 (2015)
- [3] Bernis, G., Brignone, R., Scotti, S. et al.: A Gamma Ornstein–Uhlenbeck model driven by a Hawkes process. Math. Financ. Econ., 15, 747–773 (2021)
- Bertoin, J., Le Gall, J.-F.: The Bolthausen–Sznitman coalescent and the genealogy of continuous-state branching processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 117, 249–266 (2000)
- [5] Bertoin, J., Le Gall, J.-F.: Stochastic flows associated to coalescent processes III: Limit theorems. Illinois J. Math., 50, 147–181 (2006)
- [6] Bracci, F., Contreras, M. D., Díaz-Madrigal, S.: Continuous Semigroups of Holomorphic Functions in the Unit Disc, Springer, Switzerland, 2020
- [7] Dawson, D. A.: Measure-valued Markov processes. In: Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, XXI-1991, Lecture Notes Math., Vol. 1541, Springer, Berlin, 1993, 1–260
- [8] Dawson, D. A., Li, Z.: Skew convolution semigroups and affine Markov processes. Ann. Probab., 34, 1103–1142 (2006)
- [9] Dawson, D. A., Li, Z.: Stochastic equations, flows and measure-valued processes. Ann. Probab., 40, 813–857 (2012)
- [10] Duffie, D., Filipović, D., Schachermayer, W.: Affine processes and applications in finance. Ann. Appl. Probab., 13, 984–1053 (2003)
- [11] Duren, P. L.: Univalent Functions, Springer, New York, 1983
- [12] Dynkin, E. B.: An Introduction to Branching Measure-Valued Processes, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994
- [13] Dynkin, E. B., Kuznetsov, S. E., Skorokhod, A. V.: Branching measure-valued processes. Probab. Theory Related Fields, 99, 55–96 (1994)
- [14] Fang, R., Li, Z.: Construction of continuous-state branching processes in varying environments. Ann. Appl. Probab., 32, 3645–3673 (2022)
- [15] Grey, D. R.: Asymptotic behavior of continuous time, continuous state-space branching processes. J. Appl. Probab., 11, 669–677 (1974)
- [16] Gumenyuk, P., Hasebe, T., Pérez, J.-L.: Loewner theory for Bernstein functions I: evolution families and differential equations. Constr. Approx. Available online: doi.org/10.1007/s00365-023-09675-9 (2024)
- [17] Gumenyuk, P., Hasebe, T., Pérez, J.-L.: Loewner theory for Bernstein functions II: applications to inhomogeneous continuous-state branching processes. arXiv:2211.12442 (2022)
- [18] Ikeda, N., Watanabe, S.: On uniqueness and non-uniqueness of solutions for a class of non-linear equations and explosion problem for branching processes. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. I, 167, 187–214 (1970)
- [19] Kawazu, K., Watanabe, S.: Branching processes with immigration and related limit theorems. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 16, 36–54 (1971)

- [20] Le Gall, J.-F.: Spatial Branching Processes, Random Snakes and Partial Differential Equations, Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999
- [21] Li, Z.: Continuous-state branching processes with immigration. In: From Probability to Finance, Mathematical Lectures from Peking University. Springer, Singapore, 2020, 1–69
- [22] Li, Z.: Measure-Valued Branching Markov Processes, 2nd Ed., Springer, Berlin, 2022
- [23] Ma, R.: Stochastic equations for two-type continuous-state branching processes with immigration. Acta Math. Sci. English Ed., 29, 287–294 (2013)
- [24] Pardoux, E.: Probabilistic Models of Population Evolution: Scaling Limits, Genealogies and Interactions, Springer, Switzerland, 2016
- [25] Rhyzhov, Y. M., Skorokhod, A. V.: Homogeneous branching processes with a finite number of types and continuous varying mass. *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 15, 704–707 (1970)
- [26] Sato, K.: Lévy Processes and Infinitely Divisible Distributions, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999
- [27] Schilling, R. L., Song, R., Vondraček, Z.: Bernstein Functions: Theory and Applications, 2nd Ed., De Gruyter, Berlin/Boston, 2012
- [28] Silverstein, M. L.: A new approach to local time. J. Math. Mech., 17, 1023–1054 (1968)
- [29] Watanabe, S.: On two dimensional Markov processes with branching property. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 136, 447–466 (1969)