Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series Jun., 2019, Vol. 35, No. 6, pp. 1074–1084 Published online: May 15, 2019 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10114-019-8199-6 http://www.ActaMath.com

Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany &

The Editorial Office of AMS 2019

Extrapolation for the L^p Dirichlet Problem in Lipschitz Domains

Zhongwei Shen

Department of Mathematics, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506, USA E-mail: zshen2@uky.edu

Dedicated to Carlos E. Kenig on the Occasion of His 65th Birthday

Abstract Let \mathcal{L} be a second-order linear elliptic operator with complex coefficients. It is shown that if the L^p Dirichlet problem for the elliptic system $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in a fixed Lipschitz domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^d is solvable for some 1 , then it is solvable for all <math>p satisfying

$$p_0$$

The proof is based on a real-variable argument. It only requires that local solutions of $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ satisfy a boundary Cacciopoli inequality.

Keywords Dirichlet problem, Lipschitz domain, extrapolation

MR(2010) Subject Classification 35J57

1 Introduction

In this paper we consider the L^p Dirichlet problem for an $m \times m$ second-order elliptic system,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = f \in L^p(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ N(u) \in L^p(\partial\Omega), \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

where Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^d and N(u) denotes the (modified) nontangential maximal function of u. The operator \mathcal{L} in (1.1) is a second-order linear elliptic operator with complex coefficients. It may contain lower order terms and needs not to be in divergence form. Instead we shall impose the following condition.

Let $r_0 = \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$. There exist constants $\kappa > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ such that the boundary Cacciopoli inequality

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \le \frac{\kappa}{r^2} \int_{B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx \tag{1.2}$$

holds, whenever $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, $0 < r < c_0r_0$, and $u \in W^{1,2}(B(x_0, 2r) \cap \Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$ is a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in $B(x_0, 2r) \cap \Omega$ with u = 0 on $B(x_0, 2r) \cap \partial\Omega$.

Received May 10, 2018, accepted October 26, 2018

Supported in part by NSF (Grant No. DMS-1600520)

Theorem 1.1 Let Ω be a (fixed) bounded Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^d and $1 < p_0 < \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$. Let \mathcal{L} be a second-order linear elliptic operator satisfying the condition (1.2). Assume that for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$, there exists a weak solution $u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$ to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in Ω such that u = f on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of trace, and $||N(u)||_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_0||f||_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)}$. Then the weak solution u satisfies the L^p estimate

$$\|N(u)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \le C \|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \tag{1.3}$$

for any p satisfying

$$p_0$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on d, m, p_0 , κ , c_0 , C_0 and the Lipschitz character of Ω . The constant C in (1.3) depends on d, m, p_0 , p, κ , c_0 , C_0 and the Lipschitz character of Ω .

We remark that in the scalar case m = 1 with real coefficients, the maximum principle $||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq ||u||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}$ holds for weak solutions of $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in Ω . It follows by interpolation that if the estimate (1.3) holds for $p = p_0$, then it holds for any $p_0 . However, it is known that the maximum principle or its weak version <math>||u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \leq C||u||_{L^{\infty}(\partial\Omega)}$ is not available in Lipschitz domains for elliptic systems or scalar elliptic equations with complex coefficients. Theorem 1.1 provides a partial solution to this problem.

The analogous of Theorem 1.1 also holds if Ω is the region above a Lipschitz graph,

$$\Omega = \{ (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : x_d > \psi(x') \},$$
(1.5)

where $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{d-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschitz function with $\|\nabla \psi\|_{\infty} \leq M$.

Theorem 1.2 Let Ω be a (fixed) graph domain in \mathbb{R}^d , given by (1.5), and $1 < p_0 < \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$. Let \mathcal{L} be a second-order linear elliptic operator satisfying the condition (1.2) with $r_0 = \infty$. Assume that for any $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$, there exists a weak solution $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,2}(\overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{C}^m)$ to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in Ω such that u = f on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of trace, and $||N(u)||_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_0||f||_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)}$. Then the weak solution u satisfies the estimate (1.3) for any p satisfying (1.4), where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on d, m, p_0 , κ , C_0 and M. The constant C in (1.3) depends on d, m, p_0 , p, κ , C_0 and M.

Remark 1.3 Regarding the boundary Cacciopoli inequality (1.2) in a graph domain Ω , consider the elliptic operator

$$\left(\mathcal{L}(u)\right)^{\alpha} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left\{ a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial u^{\beta}}{\partial x_j} \right\} + b_j^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial u^{\beta}}{\partial x_j}, \tag{1.6}$$

where $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq d$ (the repeated indices are summed). Assume that the coefficients $a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)$ are complex-valued bounded functions satisfying $\|a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}\|_{\infty} \leq \mu^{-1}$ and the ellipticity condition

$$\operatorname{Re}(a_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}(x)\xi_j^\beta\overline{\xi_i^\alpha}) \ge \mu|\xi|^2 \tag{1.7}$$

for any $\xi = (\xi_i^{\alpha}) \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times d}$, where $\mu > 0$. Also assume that there exists some $\nu > 0$ such that

$$\delta(x)|b_j^{\alpha\beta}(x)| \le \nu \tag{1.8}$$

for any $x \in \Omega$, where $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$. Then there exists a constant $\nu_0 > 0$, depending only on d, m, μ and M, such that if $\nu \leq \nu_0$, the Cacciopoli inequality (1.2) holds for any $0 < r < \infty$. This may be proved by using Hardy's inequality. In the case of a bounded Lipschitz domain, one only needs to assume (1.8) with $\nu \leq \nu_0$ for x sufficiently close to $\partial\Omega$ ($\delta(x) \leq c_0 r_0$). **Remark 1.4** Let $d \ge 3$. If the Dirichlet problem (1.1) is solvable for $p = p_0 = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$, our argument gives the solvability for $p_0 .$

The L^p boundary value problems for second-order elliptic equations and systems in Lipschitz domains have been studied extensively. We refer the reader to [1, 2, 6, 11–14, 16] for references. In particular, the L^2 Dirichlet problem is solvable for elliptic systems with real constant coefficients satisfying the Legendre–Hadamard condition and the symmetry condition [5, 7, 8, 10]. It is also known that under the same assumption, the L^p Dirichlet problem is solvable for $2 - \varepsilon if <math>d = 3$ [4], and for $2 - \varepsilon if <math>d \ge 4$ [16]. More recent work in this area focuses on operators with complex coefficients or real coefficients without the symmetry condition [1, 2, 11–13].

As in [16], the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is based on a real-variable method, which may be regarded as a dual version of the celebrated Calderón–Zygmund Lemma. The method was originated in [3] and was further developed in [15–17]. It reduces the L^p estimate (1.3) to the reverse Hölder inequality,

$$\left(\int_{B(x_0,r)\cap\partial\Omega} |N(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{1/q} \le C \left(\int_{B(x_0,2r)\cap\partial\Omega} |N(u)|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} \tag{1.9}$$

for $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$ (for any $2 < q < \infty$, if d = 2), where $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$, u is a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in Ω with u = 0 in $B(x_0, 3r) \cap \partial\Omega$. To prove (1.9), we replace N(u) by $N^r(u)$, a localized nontangential maximal function at height r (see Section 2 for definition), and use the observation

$$\int_{B(x_0,r)\cap\partial\Omega} |N^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma \le C \int_{B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega} |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-1} \, dy.$$
(1.10)

The right-hand side of (1.10) is then handled by using Sobolev inequality and Hardy's inequality,

$$\int_{B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{\delta(y)^2} \, dy \le C \int_{B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy.$$
(1.11)

The exponent $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$ arises in the use of Sobolev inequality

$$\|u\|_{L^{2(q-1)}(B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega)} \le C \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B(x_0,2r)\cap\Omega)}.$$
(1.12)

It may be worthy to point out that q is also the exponent in the boundary Sobolev inequality $||u||_{L^q(\partial\Omega)} \leq C ||u||_{H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega)}.$

2 Reverse Hölder Inequalities

Throughout this section we assume that Ω is the region above a Lipschitz graph in \mathbb{R}^d , given by (1.5) with $\|\nabla \psi\|_{\infty} \leq M$. A nontangential approach region at $z \in \partial \Omega$ is given by

$$\Gamma_a(z) = \{ x \in \Omega : |x - z| < a \,\delta(x) \},\tag{2.1}$$

where $\delta(x) = \operatorname{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$ and a > 1 + 2M. We also need a truncated version

$$\Gamma_a^h(z) = \{ x \in \Omega : |x - z| < a \,\delta(x) \text{ and } \delta(x) < h \},$$

$$(2.2)$$

where h > 0. For $u \in L^2_{loc}(\Omega)$, the modified nontangential maximal function of u is defined by

$$N_{a}(u)(z) = \sup\left\{ \left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u|^{2} \right)^{1/2} : x \in \Gamma_{a}(z) \right\}$$
(2.3)

Extrapolation for the L^p Dirichlet Problem in Lipschitz Domains

for each $z \in \partial \Omega$. Similarly, we introduce

$$N_a^h(u)(z) = \sup\left\{ \left(\oint_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u|^2 \right)^{1/2} : \ x \in \Gamma_a^h(z) \right\}.$$
 (2.4)

The definitions of $N_a(u)$ and $N_a^h(u)$ are same if Ω is a bounded Lipschitz domain. We will drop the subscript *a* if there is no confusion.

Lemma 2.1 Let $2 \le q < \infty$. Then

$$N_a^h(u)(z) \le C \left(\int_{\Gamma_{2a}^{2h}(z)} |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-d} \, dy \right)^{1/q}$$
(2.5)

for any $z \in \partial \Omega$, where C depends only on d and q.

Proof Fix $x \in \Gamma_a^h(z)$. Let $y \in B(x, (1/4)\delta(x))$. Note that

$$\delta(y) \le \delta(x) + |x - y| < (5/4)\delta(x)$$

Since $\delta(x) \leq \delta(y) + |x - y| < \delta(y) + (1/4)\delta(x)$, we obtain $(3/4)\delta(x) < \delta(y)$. It follows that

$$\begin{split} |y - z| &\leq |x - z| + |x - y| < (a + (1/4))\delta(x) \\ &\leq (4/3)(a + (1/4))\delta(y) \\ &\leq 2a\delta(y), \end{split}$$

where we have used the fact a > 1. Also observe that $\delta(y) < (5/4)\delta(x) < (5/4)h$. Thus we have proved that $B(x, (1/4)\delta(x)) \subset \Gamma_{2a}^{2h}(z)$. This, together with Hölder's inequality, gives

$$\left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u(y)|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u(y)|^q \, dy \right)^{1/q}$$
$$\le C \left(\int_{\Gamma_{2a}^{2h}(z)} |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-d} \, dy \right)^{1/q},$$

where C depends only on d and q. The inequality (2.5) now follows by definition. \Box

Assume that $\psi(0) = 0$. For r > 0, define

$$D_r = \{ (x', x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x'| < r \text{ and } \psi(x') < x_d < 2(M+1)r \}, \Delta_r = \{ (x', \psi(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x'| < r \}.$$
(2.6)

Lemma 2.2 Suppose that $u \in H^1(D_r)$ and u = 0 on Δ_r . Then

$$\int_{D_r} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\widetilde{\delta}(x)^2} dx \le 4 \int_{D_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$
(2.7)

where $\widetilde{\delta}(x) = |x_d - \psi(x')|.$

Proof Using $u(x', \psi(x')) = 0$ and Fubini's Theorem, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int_{D_r} \frac{|u(x)|^2}{\tilde{\delta}(x)^2} \, dx &= \int_{|x'| < r} \int_{\psi(x')}^{2(1+M)r} \frac{|u(x', x_d)|^2}{|x_d - \psi(x')|^2} \, dx_d dx \\ &\leq 4 \int_{|x'| < r} \int_{\psi(x')}^{2(1+M)r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_d} \right|^2 dx_d dx' \\ &\leq 4 \int_{D_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx, \end{split}$$

where we have used the Hardy inequality (see e.g. [18, p. 272]) for the first inequality.

The following lemma is one of the main steps in our argument. **Lemma 2.3** Let $u \in H^1(B(0, 6kr) \cap \Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$ be a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in $B(0, 6kr) \cap \Omega$ with u = 0 on $B(0, 6kr) \cap \partial\Omega$ for some $0 < r < \infty$, where k = 10a(M + 2). Assume that

$$\int_{B(0,kr)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \le \frac{C_0}{r^2} \int_{B(0,2kr)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx.$$
(2.8)

Then

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{1/q} \le C \left(\int_{\Delta_{2kr}} |N_a^{4kr}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma\right)^{1/2},\tag{2.9}$$

where $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$ for $d \ge 3$ and $2 < q < \infty$ for d = 2. The constant C depends only on d, m, M, C₀, and q (if d = 2).

Proof We give the proof for the case $d \ge 3$. With minor modification, the same argument works for d = 2. It follows from (2.5) and Fubini's Theorem that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma &\leq C \int_{\Delta_r} \int_{\Gamma_{2a}^{2r}(z)} |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-d} \, dy d\sigma(z) \\ &\leq C \int_E |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-1} \, dy, \end{split}$$

where

$$E = \bigcup_{z \in \Delta_r} \Gamma_{2a}^{2r}(z).$$

Note that if $y \in E$, then $y \in \Gamma_{2a}^{2r}(z)$ for some $z \in \Delta_r$. Hence,

$$\begin{split} |y| &\leq |y-z| + |z| < 2a\delta(y) + (1+M)r \\ &\leq (4a+1+M)r \\ &\leq 5ar, \end{split}$$

where we have used the fact $a \ge 1 + M$. This shows that $|y'| \le 5ar$ and $|y_d| < 5ar$. As a result, we obtain $E \subset D_{5ar}$. Thus,

$$\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma \le C \int_{D_{5ar}} |u(y)|^q \delta(y)^{-1} \, dy$$
$$\le C \left(\int_{D_{5ar}} |u|^{2(q-1)} \, dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{D_{5ar}} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{\delta(y)^2} \, dy \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.10}$$

where we have used the Cauchy inequality for the last step.

To bound the right-hand side of (2.10), we first note that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}(M+1)}|x_d - \psi(x')| \le \delta(x) \le |x_d - \psi(x')|.$$

In view of Lemma 2.2 we obtain

$$\int_{D_{5ar}} \frac{|u(y)|^2}{\delta(y)^2} \, dy \le C \int_{D_{5ar}} |\nabla u(y)|^2 \, dy, \tag{2.11}$$

where C depends only on M. Recall that $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$. Thus $2(q-1) = \frac{2d}{d-2}$. Since u = 0 on Δ_{5ar} , we may apply the Sobolev inequality to obtain

$$\left(\int_{D_{5ar}} |u|^{2(q-1)} \, dy\right)^{1/(2(q-1))} \le C \left(\int_{D_{5ar}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy\right)^{1/2}.$$
(2.12)

This, together with (2.10) and (2.11), leads to

$$\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma \le C \left(\int_{D_{5ar}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy \right)^{q/2}$$
$$\le C \left(\int_{B(0,10a(M+2)r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy \right)^{q/2}, \tag{2.13}$$

where we have used the observation $D_{5ar} \subset B(0, 10a(M+2)r)$ for the last step. Hence,

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^r(u)|^q \, d\sigma \right)^{1/q} \le Cr^{\frac{d}{2} - \frac{d-1}{q}} \left(\int_{B(0,10a(M+2)r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2} \\ \le C \left(\int_{B(0,20a(M+2)r)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dy \right)^{1/2}, \tag{2.14}$$

where we have used the assumption (2.8) for the last step.

Finally, we note that if $|x - y| < (1/5)\delta(y)$, then $|x - y| < (1/4)\delta(x)$. Thus, by Fubini's Theorem,

$$\begin{split} \int_{B(0,R)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx &\leq C \int_{B(0,R)\cap\Omega} \left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u|^2 \, dy \right) dx \\ &\leq C \int_{\Delta_R} |N_a^{2R}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma \end{split}$$

for any R > 0. This, together with (2.14), yields the reverse Hölder inequality (2.9).

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.4 Let $u \in H^1(B(0,9kR) \cap \Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$ be a weak solution to $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in $B(0,9kR) \cap \Omega$ with u = 0 on $B(0,9kR) \cap \partial\Omega$ for some $0 < R < \infty$, where k = 10a(M+2). Assume that

$$\int_{B(z,r)\cap\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \le \frac{C_0}{r^2} \int_{B(z,2r)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \, dx \tag{2.15}$$

for any 0 < r < 3kR and any $z \in B(0, 3kR) \cap \partial\Omega$. Then for any 0 < r < R,

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{1/q} \le C \int_{\Delta_{2r}} N_a^{4kR}(u) \, d\sigma, \tag{2.16}$$

where $q = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$ for $d \ge 3$ and $2 < q < \infty$ for d = 2. The constant C depends only on d, m, M, C₀, and q (if d = 2).

Proof We first show that for any 0 < r < R,

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_r} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{1/q} \le C \left(\int_{\Delta_{2kr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma\right)^{1/2}.\tag{2.17}$$

Let $z \in \Delta_r$ and $x \in \Gamma_a^{4kR}(z)$. If $\delta(y) < r$, we have

$$\left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u|^2\right)^{1/2} \le N_a^r(u)(z).$$

Suppose $\delta(x) > r$. It follows by a simple geometric observation that there exists a constant $c_0 \in (0, 1)$, depending only on d, M and a, such that

$$|\{y \in \Delta_{\Delta_{2kr}} : x \in \Gamma_a^{4kR}(y)\}| \ge c_0 r^{d-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\left(\int_{B(x,(1/4)\delta(x))} |u|^2\right)^{1/2} \le C \int_{\Delta_{2kr}} N_a^{4kR}(u) \, d\sigma.$$

Hence, for any $z \in \Delta_r$,

$$N_{a}^{4kR}(u)(z) \le N_{a}^{r}(u)(z) + C \oint_{\Delta_{2kr}} N_{a}^{4kR}(u) \, d\sigma, \qquad (2.18)$$

which, together with (2.9), gives (2.17).

The fact that (2.17) implies (2.16) follows from a convexity argument, found in [9]. For $z = (z', \psi(z')) \in \partial\Omega$ and r > 0, define the surface ball $\Delta_r(z)$ on $\partial\Omega$ by

$$\Delta_r(z) = \{ (x', \psi(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^d : |x' - z'| < r \}.$$
(2.19)

Note that $\Delta_r = \Delta_r(0)$. By translation the inequality (2.17) continues to hold if Δ_r and Δ_{2kr} are replaced by $\Delta_r(z)$ and $\Delta_{2kr}(z)$, respectively. Let 0 < s < t < 1. We may cover Δ_{sr} by a finite number of surface balls $\{\Delta_{c(t-s)r}(z_\ell)\}$ with the property $\Delta_{2kc(t-s)r}(z_\ell) \subset \Delta_{tr}$. Note that

$$\begin{split} \oint_{\Delta_{sr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma &\leq C s^{1-d} (t-s)^{d-1} \sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Delta_{c(t-s)r}(z_{\ell})} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma \\ &\leq C s^{1-d} (t-s)^{d-1} \sum_{\ell} \left(\int_{\Delta_{2kc(t-s)r}(z_{\ell})} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma \right)^{q/2} \\ &\leq C s^{1-d} (t-s)^{d-1} \left(\sum_{\ell} \oint_{\Delta_{2kc(t-s)r}(z_{\ell})} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma \right)^{q/2} \\ &\leq C s^{1-d} (t-s)^{d-1} t^{\frac{q}{2}(d-1)} (t-s)^{-\frac{q}{2}(d-1)} \left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma \right)^{q/2}. \end{split}$$

It follows that for any 0 < s < t < 1,

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_{sr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{1/q} \le Cs^{\frac{1-d}{q}} t^{\frac{d-1}{2}} (t-s)^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})} \left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma\right)^{1/2}.$$
 (2.20)

Write $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{\theta}{q} + \frac{\theta}{1}$, where $\theta \in (0, 1)$. By Hölder's inequality,

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^2 \, d\sigma\right)^{1/2} \le \left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma\right)^{(1-\theta)/q} \left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)| \, d\sigma\right)^{\theta}.$$
(2.21)

Let

$$I(t) = \left(\int_{\Delta_{tr}} |N_a^{4kR}(u)|^q \, d\sigma \right)^{1/q} / \int_{\Delta_r} N_a^{4kR}(u) \, d\sigma.$$

By (2.20) and (2.21) we obtain

$$I(s) \le Cs^{\frac{1-d}{q}}t^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\theta)}(t-s)^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}[I(t)]^{1-\theta}.$$

Hence,

$$\log I(s) \le \log (Cs^{\frac{1-d}{q}}t^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{2}-\theta)}(t-s)^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{2})}) + (1-\theta)\log I(t).$$

Let $s = t^b$, where b > 1 is chosen so that $b^{-1} > 1 - \theta$. We integrate the inequality above in t with respect to $t^{-1}dt$ over the interval (1/2, 1). This gives

$$\frac{1}{b} \int_{(1/2)^b}^1 \log I(t) \frac{dt}{t} \le C + (1-\theta) \int_{1/2}^1 \log I(t) \frac{dt}{t}.$$

1080

Extrapolation for the L^p Dirichlet Problem in Lipschitz Domains

It follows that

$$\left(\frac{1}{b} - \theta\right) \int_{1/2}^{1} \log I(t) \frac{dt}{t} \le C.$$

Since $I(t) \ge cI(1/2)$ for $t \in (1/2, 1)$, we obtain $I(1/2) \le C$, which gives (2.16).

Remark 2.5 By translation the inequality (2.16) continues to hold if Δ_r and Δ_{2r} are replaced by surface balls $\Delta_r(z)$ and $\Delta_{2r}(z)$, respectively, where $z \in \Delta_R$. In the case $d \ge 3$, (2.16) in fact holds for some $\overline{q} = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2} + \varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on d, m, M and C_0 . This follows from the well known self-improving property of the reverse Hölder inequality.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Throughout this section we assume that Ω is a graph domain, given by (1.5), with $\psi(0) = 0$ and $\|\nabla\psi\|_{\infty} \leq M$. Consider the map $\Phi : \partial\Omega \to \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$, defined by $\Phi(x', \psi(x')) = x'$. We say $Q \subset \partial\Omega$ is a surface cube of $\partial\Omega$ if $\Phi(Q)$ is a cube of \mathbb{R}^{d-1} (with sides parallel to the coordinate planes). A dilation of Q is defined by $\alpha Q = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha \Phi(Q))$. We call $z \in Q$ the center of Q if $\Phi(z)$ is the center of $\Phi(Q)$. Similarly, the side length of Q is defined to be the side length of $\Phi(Q)$.

Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 are based on a real variable argument.

Theorem 3.1 Let $F \in L^{p_0}(2Q_0)$ for some surface cube Q_0 of $\partial\Omega$ and $1 \leq p_0 < \infty$. Let $p_1 > p_0$ and $f \in L^p(2Q_0)$ for some $p_0 . Suppose that for each surface cube <math>Q \subset Q_0$ with $|Q| \leq \beta |Q_0|$, there exist two integrable functions F_Q and R_Q such that

$$|F| \le |F_Q| + |R_Q| \quad on \ 2Q,$$
(3.1)

$$\left(\int_{2Q} |R_Q|^{p_1} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_1} \le C_1 \left\{ \left(\int_{\alpha Q} |F|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} + \sup_{2Q_0 \supset Q' \supset Q} \left(\int_{Q'} |f|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} \right\}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\left(\int_{2Q} |F_Q|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} \le C_2 \sup_{2Q_0 \supset Q' \supset Q} \left(\int_{Q'} |f|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0},\tag{3.3}$$

where $C_1, C_2 > 0$ and $0 < \beta < 1 < \alpha$. Then

$$\left(\int_{Q_0} |F|^p \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p} \le C \left(\int_{2Q_0} |F|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} + C \left(\int_{2Q_0} |f|^p \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p},\tag{3.4}$$

where C > 0 depends at most on d, M, p_0 , p_1 , p, C_1 , C_2 , α and β .

Proof This theorem with $p_0 = 1$ was formulated and proved in [17, Theorem 3.2 and Remark 3.3]. Its proof was inspired by a paper of Caffarelli and Peral [3]. The case $p_0 > 1$ follows readily from the case $p_0 = 1$ by considering the functions $|F|^{p_0}$ and $|f|^{p_0}$.

Assume $d \geq 3$. To prove Theorem 1.2, we fix $f \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{C}^m)$. By the assumption of the theorem, there exists a weak solution $u \in H^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega; \mathbb{C}^m)$ to the elliptic system $\mathcal{L}(u) = 0$ in Ω such that u = f on $\partial\Omega$ in the sense of trace and $\|N(u)\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)} \leq C_0\|f\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)}$, where $1 < p_0 < \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2}$. We need to show that $\|N(u)\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}$ for $p_0 ,$ $where <math>\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on d, m, p_0, p, M and C_0 .

To this end we fix $Q_0 = Q(0, R)$, a surface cube centered at the origin with side length R. Let $Q = Q(z, r) \subset Q_0$ be a surface cube centered at z with side length $r \leq \beta R$, where $\beta \in (0, 1)$ is sufficiently small. Let $g = \varphi f$, where φ is a smooth cut-off function such that $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$, $\varphi = 1$ in $\Delta_{\gamma^2 r}(z)$, and $\varphi = 0$ in $\partial \Omega \setminus \Delta_{2\gamma^2 r}(z)$, where

$$2Q \subset \Delta_{\gamma r} \subset \Delta_{2\gamma^2 r}(z) \subset 2Q_0$$

and $\gamma = \gamma(M) > 1$ is large. By the assumption there exists a weak solution v to $\mathcal{L}(v) = 0$ in Ω such that $v = \varphi f$ on $\partial \Omega$ and

$$\|N(v)\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)} \le C_0 \|\varphi f\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)}.$$
(3.5)

Let w = u - v and define

$$F = N(u), \quad F_Q = N(v) \quad \text{and} \quad R_Q = N(w).$$
(3.6)

Using $N(u) \leq N(v) + N(w)$, we obtain (3.1). To verify (3.3), we use the estimate (3.5) to obtain

$$\left(\int_{2Q} |F_Q|^{p_0} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\partial\Omega} |N(v)|^{p_0} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} \\ \leq C \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\Delta_{2\gamma^2 r}(z)} |f|^{p_0} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} \\ \leq C \sup_{2Q_0 \supset Q' \supset Q} \left(\int_{Q'} |f|^{p_0} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0}.$$
(3.7)

To verify (3.2), we use Theorem 2.4. Observe that $\mathcal{L}(w) = 0$ in Ω and w = 0 on $\Delta_{\gamma^2 r}(z)$. By choosing $\gamma = \gamma(M) > 1$ sufficiently large, it follows from (2.16) as well as Remark 2.5 that

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_{\gamma r}(z)} |N^{4k\gamma r}(w)|^{\overline{q}} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/\overline{q}} \le C \int_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} N^{4k\gamma r}(w) \, d\sigma,\tag{3.8}$$

where $\overline{q} = \frac{2(d-1)}{d-2} + \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ depends only on d, m, M and C_0 . Note that for any $y \in \Delta_{\gamma r}(z)$,

$$N(w)(y) \le N^{4k\gamma r}(w)(y) + C \oint_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} N(w) \, d\sigma \tag{3.9}$$

(see the proof of (2.18)). This, together with (3.8), yields

$$\left(\int_{\Delta_{\gamma r}(z)} |N(w)|^{\overline{q}} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/\overline{q}} \le C \int_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} N(w) \, d\sigma.$$
(3.10)

Hence,

$$\left(\int_{2Q} |R_Q|^{\overline{q}} d\sigma \right)^{1/\overline{q}} \leq C \left(\int_{\Delta_{\gamma r}(z)} |N(w)|^{\overline{q}} d\sigma \right)^{1/\overline{q}}$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} |N(w)|^{p_0} d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0}$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} |N(u)|^{p_0} d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} + C \left(\int_{\Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)} |N(v)|^{p_0} d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0}$$

$$\leq C \left(\int_{\alpha Q} |F|^{p_0} d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} + C \sup_{2Q_0 \supset Q' \supset Q} \left(\int_{Q'} |f|^{p_0} d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $\alpha Q \supset \Delta_{2\gamma r}(z)$ and we have used (3.5) for the last inequality.

To summarize, we have verified the conditions in Theorem 3.1. As a result, we may conclude that

$$\left(\int_{Q_0} |N(u)|^p \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p} \le C \left(\int_{2Q_0} |N(u)|^{p_0} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p_0} + C \left(\int_{2Q_0} |f|^p \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p} \tag{3.12}$$

for any $p_0 . It follows that$

$$\left(\int_{Q_0} |N(u)|^p \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \le C |Q_0|^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_0})} \left(\int_{2Q_0} |N(u)|^{p_0} \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p_0} + C \left(\int_{2Q_0} |f|^p \, d\sigma \right)^{1/p} \\ \le C |Q_0|^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{p_0})} \|f\|_{L^{p_0}(\partial\Omega)} + C \|f\|_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}.$$

By letting the side length of Q_0 go to infinity in the inequalities above, we obtain the desired estimate $||N(u)||_{L^p(\partial\Omega)} \leq C||f||_{L^p(\partial\Omega)}$.

Finally, note that if d = 2, the same argument yields the estimate (1.3) for $p_0 .$

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

Theorem 1.1 follows from the proof of Theorem 1.2 by a simple localization technique. Fix $z \in \partial \Omega$. Let $r_0 = \operatorname{diam}(\Omega)$ and $r = c_0 r_0$, where $c_0 > 0$ is sufficiently small such that

$$B(z,r) \cap \Omega = B(z,r) \cap \{(x',x_d) : x_d > \psi(x')\}$$

in a new coordinate system, obtained from the standard system through translation and rotation. It follows from the estimate (3.12) that

$$\left(\int_{B(z,c_{1}r)\cap\partial\Omega} |N(u)|^{p} \, d\sigma\right)^{1/p} \leq Cr_{0}^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_{0}})} \|N(u)\|_{L^{p_{0}}(\partial\Omega)} + C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\partial\Omega)}$$
$$\leq Cr_{0}^{(d-1)(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{p_{0}})} \|f\|_{L^{p_{0}}(\partial\Omega)} + C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\partial\Omega)}$$
$$\leq C\|f\|_{L^{p}(\partial\Omega)}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $c_1 = c_1(\Omega) > 0$ is small and we have used Hölder's inequality as well as the fact $|\partial \Omega| \leq Cr_0^{d-1}$ for the last step. By covering $\partial \Omega$ with a finite number of balls $\{B(z_\ell, c_1r)\}$ we obtain the estimate (1.3).

References

- Alfonseca, M. A., Auscher, P., Axelsson, A., et al.: Analyticity of layer potentials and L² solvability of boundary value problems for divergence form elliptic equations with complex L[∞] coefficients. Adv. Math., 226(5), 4533-4606 (2011)
- [2] Auscher, P., Axelsson, A.: Weighted maximal regularity estimates and solvability of non-smooth elliptic systems I. Invent. Math., 184(1), 47–115 (2011)
- [3] Caffarelli, L., Peral, I.: On W^{1,p} estimates for elliptic equations in divergence form. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 51, 1–21 (1998)
- [4] Dahlberg, B., Kenig, C.: L^p estimates for the three-dimensional system of elastostatics on Lipschitz domains, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics (Cora Sadoesky, ed.), vol. 122, Dekker, 1990, pp. 631–634
- [5] Dahlberg, B., Kenig, C., Verchota, G.: Boundary value problems for the system of elastostatics in Lipschitz domains. Duke Math. J., 57(3), 795–818 (1988)
- [6] Dindos, M., Pipher, J., Rule, D.: Boundary value problems for second-order elliptic operators satisfying a Carleson condition. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 70(7), 1316–1365 (2017)
- [7] Fabes, E.: Layer potential methods for boundary value problems on Lipschitz domains. Lecture Notes in Math., 1344, 55–80 (1988)
- [8] Fabes, E., Kenig, C., Verchota, G.: The Dirichlet problem for the Stokes system on Lipschitz domains. Duke Math. J., 57(3), 769–793 (1988)
- [9] Fefferman, C., Stein, E.: H^p spaces of several variables. Acta Math., **129**(3–4), 137–193 (1972)
- [10] Gao, W.: Layer potentials and boundary value problems for elliptic systems in Lipschitz domains. J. Funct. Anal., 95, 377–399 (1991)

- [11] Hofmann, S., Kenig, C., Mayboroda, S., et al.: The regularity problem for second order elliptic operators with complex-valued bounded measurable coefficients. *Math. Ann.*, 361(3–4), 863–907 (2015)
- [12] Hofmann, S., Kenig, C., Mayboroda, S., et al.: Square function/non-tangential maximal function estimates and the Dirichlet problem for non-symmetric elliptic operators. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 28(2), 483–529 (2015)
- [13] Hofmann, S., Mayboroda, S., McIntosh, A.: Second order elliptic operators with complex bounded measurable coefficients in L^p, Sobolev and Hardy spaces. Ann. Sci. Ec. Norm. Super. (4), 44(5), 723–800 (2011)
- [14] Kenig, C.: Harmonic Analysis Techniques for Second Order Elliptic Boundary Value Problems, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Math., vol. 83, AMS, Providence, RI, 1994
- [15] Shen, Z.: Bounds of Riesz transforms on L^p spaces for second order elliptic operators. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 55, 173–197 (2005)
- [16] Shen, Z.: Necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the L^p Dirichlet problem on Lipschitz domains. Math. Ann., 336(3), 697–724 (2006)
- [17] Shen, Z.: The L^p boundary value problems on Lipschitz domains. Adv. Math., 216, 212–254 (2007)
- [18] Stein, E.: Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970