

Regularity of the Inverse of a Homeomorphism with Finite Inner Distortion

Chang Yu GUO

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Jyväskylä,
P. O. Box 35, FI-40014, Jyväskylä, Finland
E-mail: changyu.c.guo@jyu.fi

Abstract Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a $W^{1,1}$ -homeomorphism with L^1 -integrable inner distortion. We show that finiteness of $\min\{\text{lip}_f(x), k_f(x)\}$, for every $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, implies that $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}$ and has finite distortion, provided that the exceptional set E has σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure. Moreover, f has finite distortion, differentiable a.e. and the Jacobian $J_f > 0$ a.e.

Keywords Mapping of finite distortion, mappings of finite inner distortion, bi-Sobolev homeomorphism, Condition N on a.e. sphere, modulus of rectifiable surfaces

MR(2010) Subject Classification 30C65, 46E35

1 Introduction

Recall that a non-constant continuous mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a mapping of finite distortion if f belongs to the Sobolev space $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$, $J(\cdot, f) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$ and $J(\cdot, f)$ is strictly positive almost everywhere on the set where $|Df| \neq 0$. Here $|Df(\cdot)|$ and $J(\cdot, f)$ are the operator norm and the Jacobian determinant of $Df(\cdot)$, respectively. Associated with such a mapping the (outer) distortion $K(\cdot, f)$ is defined as

$$K(x, f) = \frac{|Df(x)|^n}{J(x, f)}, \quad \text{if } J(x, f) > 0,$$

and $K(x, f) = 1$ otherwise. Similarly, a non-constant continuous mapping $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is said to have finite inner distortion if $J(\cdot, f) \geq 0$ a.e., $J(\cdot, f) \in L_{\text{loc}}^1(\Omega)$, and $D^\# f(\cdot)$ vanishes a.e. in the zero set of $J(\cdot, f)$. Here $D^\# f(\cdot)$ represents the adjoint matrix of the differential $Df(\cdot)$. With such mappings we associate an inner distortion function $K_I : \Omega \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ defined as

$$K_I(x, f) = \frac{|D^\# f(x)|^n}{J(x, f)^{n-1}}$$

when $J(x, f) > 0$, and $K(x, f) = 1$ otherwise. The trivial inequality

$$K_I(x, f) \leq K(x, f)^n$$

implies that mappings of finite distortion are necessarily mappings of finite inner distortion. But the converse is not true in general. For instance, the mapping $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $n \geq 3$,

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, 0, \dots, 0)$$

is a mapping of finite inner distortion, but not a mapping of finite distortion. On the other hand, the reverse estimate

$$K(x, f) \leq K_I(x, f)^{n-1} \quad \text{if } J(x, f) > 0$$

implies that the two classes of mappings coincide if $J(\cdot, f)$ is positive a.e. From now on, we sometimes write $J_f(\cdot)$ for the Jacobian determinant of $Df(\cdot)$.

An important and remarkable result of Hencl and Koskela [10] asserts that *if $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^2)$ is a homeomorphism with finite distortion, then f is a bi-Sobolev homeomorphism, i.e., $f \in W^{1,1}$ and $f^{-1} \in W^{1,1}$. Moreover, if the distortion is integrable, then $f^{-1} \in W^{1,2}$. The converse is also true, see [11]. Such a conclusion is not valid in higher dimensions [12, 13].*

A recent result of Csörnyei et al. [2] implies that homeomorphisms in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ with finite (outer) distortion are bi-Sobolev mappings. Moreover, the inverse mapping also has finite (outer) distortion. This has been extended to a wider class of mappings of finite inner distortion in [6].

The connection between general bi-Sobolev mappings and mappings of finite inner distortion are given by the following two results. The first result was proved in [13].

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bi-Sobolev mapping. If $J_f = 0$ a.e. on a measurable set A , then $|D^\# f(x)| = 0$ a.e. on A . If we moreover assume that $J_f \geq 0$, it follows that f has finite inner distortion.

In the opposite direction, we have the second result [6, 14, 18].

Let $f \in W^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism with finite L^1 -integrable inner distortion. Then f^{-1} is a $W^{1,n}(f(\Omega), \Omega)$ mapping of finite distortion. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n &\leq K_I(f^{-1}(y), f)J_{f^{-1}}(y) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega, \\ \int_{f(\Omega)} |Df^{-1}(y)| dy &= \int_{\Omega} |D^\# f(x)| dx, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\int_{f(\Omega)} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy = \int_{\Omega} K_I(x, f) dx. \tag{1.2}$$

In this paper, we prove an analogous result by relaxing the Sobolev regularity $W^{1,n-1}$ but additionally requiring finiteness of some pointwise constants.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism of L^1 -integrable inner distortion and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure. Suppose that for every $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, $\min\{\text{lip}_f(x), k_f(x)\} < \infty$. Then $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}(f(\Omega), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and has finite distortion. Moreover,*

$$|Df^{-1}(y)|^n \leq K_I(f^{-1}(y), f)J_{f^{-1}}(y) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and (1.1), (1.2) hold.

Remark 1.2 It follows from Theorem 1.1 and Equation (1.1) that $D^\# f(\cdot) \in L^1(\Omega)$.

Recall that for a homeomorphism $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, the pointwise constants lip_f and k_f are defined by

$$\text{lip}_f(x) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L(x, r)}{r}, \quad k_f(x) := \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} k_f(x, r),$$

where

$$L_f(x, r) = \sup_{x' \in B(x, r) \cap \Omega} |f(x') - f(x)|, \quad k_f(x, r) = \left(\frac{L_f(x, r)^n}{|f(B(x, r))|} \right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}.$$

Note that in general the finiteness of lip_f does not imply Sobolev regularity for f and so Theorem 1.1 is not covered by the previous mentioned result. On the other hand, Sobolev regularity is implied by the integrability of lip_f . More precisely, $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}$ if we assume that $\text{lip}_f \in L_{\text{loc}}^p$, see [21, Corollary 1.4].

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in two steps. In the first step, we prove that under the analytic assumption that $\min\{\text{lip}_f(x), k_f(x)\} < \infty$ for all $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, f satisfies condition N on a.e. sphere (see Section 2 below for definition). In this step, we follow closely the approach used by Williams [21], whereas the idea goes back to Balogh et al. [1]. In the second step, we prove that if $f \in W^{1,1}$ is a homeomorphism with L^1 -integrable inner distortion such that f satisfies Condition N on a.e. sphere, then $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}$ and has finite distortion. In this step, we follow the approach used by Csörnyei et al. [2].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains the basic definitions and some auxiliary results. In Section 3, we prove that f satisfies Condition N on a.e. spheres. The proof of Theorem 1.1 is given in Section 4. The last section, Section 5, contains a short discussion on related results in the non-homeomorphic case.

2 Preliminaries and Auxiliary Results

2.1 Condition N on m -Rectifiable Surfaces

In this paper, we call a Borel measurable set $S \subset \Omega$ an m -rectifiable surface, $m \geq 1$, if S is m -rectifiable with $0 < \mathcal{H}^m(S) < \infty$ and there exist a constant $\delta > 0$ and a constant $C(m) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{H}^m(B(x, r) \cap S) \geq C(m)r^m$ for every $x \in S$ and every $r < \delta$.

Recall that for a set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $s > 0$, the s -Hausdorff measure of A is defined as follows. First, for each $\delta > 0$, we define the premeasure

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(A) = \inf \sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}} (\text{diam } B)^s,$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers \mathcal{B} of A by countable balls of diameter at most δ . When δ gets smaller, the number of possible covers decreases, so the limit

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(A) = \mathcal{H}^s(A) \in [0, \infty]$$

exists and is called the s -Hausdorff measure of A .

A map $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to satisfy *Lusin's Condition N* on an m -rectifiable surface S if for any set $E \subset S$ with $\mathcal{H}^m(E) = 0$, $\mathcal{H}^m(f(E)) = 0$. The case $m = n - 1$ is of particular interest for us. We say that f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere, if for any ball $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$, f satisfies Condition N on almost every $(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere $S(x, t)$, $0 < t < r$ with respect to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} , i.e., for a.e. $0 < t < r$, $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(f(A)) = 0$ whenever $A \subset S(x, t)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(A) = 0$. Similarly, we say that f satisfies Condition N^{-1} on almost every sphere, if for any ball $B(y, r) \subset f(\Omega)$ and a.e. $0 < t < r$, it holds that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(f^{-1}(E)) = 0$ whenever $E \subset S(y, t)$ and $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(E) = 0$.

If f is a homeomorphism, then it is easy to see that f satisfies Condition N^{-1} (or N) on a.e. sphere if and only if f^{-1} satisfies Condition N (or N^{-1}) on a.e. sphere.

2.2 Conformal Modulus for m -Rectifiable Surfaces

Let Λ be a family of m -rectifiable surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n , we set the q -modulus of Λ to be

$$\text{Mod}_q \Lambda = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho(x)^q dx : \rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty] \text{ is admissible for } \Lambda \right\}.$$

Recall that a Borel function $\rho : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be admissible for a surface family Λ if $\int_S \rho(x) d\mathcal{H}^m(x) \geq 1$ for all $S \in \Lambda$. If $q = \frac{n}{m}$, the q -modulus is called the conformal modulus for Λ . We say that a property F holds for q -almost every m -rectifiable surfaces S if the family of m -rectifiable surfaces S such that the property F fails has zero q -modulus. By [5, Theorem 1], the map

$$\Lambda \mapsto \text{Mod}_q(\Lambda)$$

defines an outer measure on the collection of all families of m -rectifiable surfaces in \mathbb{R}^n .

2.3 Approximate Differential and Chain Rule

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping. We say that f is approximately differentiable at x if there exists a linear mapping $L : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ such that

$$\text{ap-} \lim_{y \rightarrow x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x) - L(y - x)|}{|y - x|} = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

It is well known that such an L , if exists, is unique [4]. We will use the notation $Df(x)$ for L and call $Df(x)$ the approximate differential of f at x , since if f is differentiable at x , then the approximate differential coincides with the usual differential. We will denote by

$$\mathcal{D}_f = \{x \in \Omega : f \text{ is approximately differentiable at } x\}.$$

Recall that a Sobolev mapping $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is approximately differentiable a.e. in Ω .

We need the following chain rule, which was originally proved in [6, Lemma 2.1].

Lemma 2.1 ([18, Lemma 3.6]) *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$ be a homeomorphism such that f and f^{-1} are approximately differentiable a.e. Set $E = \{y \in \mathcal{D}_{f^{-1}} : |J_{f^{-1}}(y)| > 0\}$. Then there exists a Borel set $A \subset E$ with $|E \setminus A| = 0$ such that $f^{-1}(A) \subset \{x \in \mathcal{D}_f : |J_f(x)| > 0\}$, with the property that*

$$Df^{-1}(y) = [Df(f^{-1}(y))]^{-1} \quad \text{for all } y \in A.$$

2.4 Discrete and Open Mapping

Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and discrete, the latter meaning that $f^{-1}(y)$ cannot have accumulation points in Ω for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In particular, $N(y, f, A) < \infty$ whenever $A \subset\subset \Omega$. Recall that $N(y, f, \Omega)$ is defined as the number of preimages of y under f in Ω . We also put $N(f, \Omega) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} N(y, f, \Omega)$.

A domain $U \subset\subset \Omega$ is called a normal domain of f if $f(\partial U) = \partial f(U)$. The openness of f implies that $\partial f(U) \subset f(\partial U)$ holds for every domain U . An open, connected neighborhood $U \subset\subset \Omega$ of a point $x \in \Omega$ is called a normal neighborhood of x if $f(\partial U) = \partial f(U)$ and $U \cap f^{-1}(f(x)) = \{x\}$. Denote by $U(x, f, r)$ the x -component of $f^{-1}(B(f(x), r))$. For the following lemma, see [19, Chapter I, Lemma 4.9].

Lemma 2.2 ([19, Lemma 4.9]) *For each point $x \in \Omega$, there is $\sigma_x > 0$ such that $U(x, f, r)$ is a normal neighborhood of x whenever $0 < r \leq \sigma_x$. Moreover, $\text{diam } U(x, f, r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$.*

Next, suppose that $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r \leq \sigma_x$, where σ_x is as in the above lemma. The local index $i(x, f)$ of f at x is defined as

$$i(x, f) = N(f, U(x, f, r)).$$

Note in particular that $N(f, U(x, f, r))$ is independent of $r \leq \sigma_x$. Thus, $i(x, f) = 1$ if and only if $x \in \Omega \setminus B_f$, where B_f is the branch set of f .

The local index is in fact a topological concept. Recall that for a continuous mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, we can define the local degree $\mu(y, f, U)$ for any domain $U \subset\subset \Omega$ and every $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus f(\partial U)$. If U is a normal domain, we define $\mu(f, U) = \mu(y, f, U)$ for some $y \in f(U)$. This is well-defined because $\mu(y, f, U) = \mu(z, f, U)$ whenever $y, z \in f(U)$. We call f sense-preserving if $\mu(y, f, U) > 0$ whenever $U \subset\subset \Omega$ and $y \in f(U) \setminus f(\partial U)$.

With these concepts, the local index $i(\cdot, f)$ can be defined as follows. Let $x \in \Omega$ and U be a normal neighborhood of x , we define $i(x, f) = \mu(f, U)$. It is easy to show that $i(x, f)$ does not depend on the normal neighborhood of U . We refer the interested reader to [19, Section I 4] for more information.

2.5 Area Formula

We discuss the well-known area formula in this subsection. Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$. Then for every Borel set $E \subset\subset \Omega$ and for any nonnegative Borel function η on \mathbb{R}^n , we have

$$\int_E \eta(f(x))|J(x, f)|dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(y)N(y, f, E)dy. \tag{2.2}$$

The above equality holds if f satisfies the so-called Lusin Condition N . Recall that a mapping $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to satisfy the Lusin Condition N if the implication “ $|E| = 0 \Rightarrow |f(E)| = 0$ ” holds for all measurable sets $E \subset \Omega$. On the other hand, let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a continuous, discrete and open mapping. Then the area formula holds in \mathcal{D}_f , i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}_f} \eta(f(x))|J(x, f)|dx = \int_{f(\mathcal{D}_f)} \eta(y)N(y, f, \mathcal{D}_f)dy \tag{2.3}$$

for every nonnegative Borel function η in \mathbb{R}^n ; see for instance [18, Theorem 3.3].

We need also the following simple lemma from harmonic analysis, see for instance [15, Lemma 2.8].

Lemma 2.3 *Fix $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let B_1, B_2, \dots be balls in \mathbb{R}^n , $a_j \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 1$. Then*

$$\left\| \sum a_j \chi_{\lambda B_j} \right\|_p \leq C(\lambda, p, n) \left\| \sum a_j \chi_{B_j} \right\|_p.$$

3 Condition N on Almost Every Sphere

In this section, we prove that under the analytic condition as in Theorem 1.1, f satisfies the Condition N on almost every sphere in Ω .

Theorem 3.1 *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a homeomorphism and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure. Suppose that for every $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, $\min\{\text{lip}_f(x), k_f(x)\} < \infty$. Then on 1-almost every $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable surface S in Ω , f satisfies Condition N with respect to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} ,*

and $f(S)$ has σ -finite $(n - 1)$ -measure. In particular, f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere in Ω .

In the case that lip_f is finite outside a set of σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure, Theorem 3.1 holds for any continuous mapping f as well.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map and let $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a set of σ -finite \mathcal{H}^1 -measure. Suppose that for every $x \in \Omega \setminus E$, $\text{lip}_f(x) < \infty$. Then on 1-almost every $(n - 1)$ -rectifiable surface S in Ω , f satisfies Condition N with respect to \mathcal{H}^{n-1} , and $f(S)$ has σ -finite $(n - 1)$ -measure. In particular, f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere in Ω .*

We will follow the approach of Williams [21] and we need several auxiliary results to prove both results. The first one is a version of Fuglede’s lemma for rectifiable surfaces.

Lemma 3.3 (Fuglede’s lemma) *Let $\{g_i\}$ be a sequence of Borel functions that converges in $L^q(\Omega)$. Then there is a subsequence $\{g_{i_k}\}$ with the following property: if g is any Borel representative of the L^q -limit of $\{g_i\}$, then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S |g_{i_k} - g| d\mathcal{H}^m = 0$$

for q -almost every m -rectifiable surface S in Ω .

Proof Let g be an arbitrary Borel representative of the L^p -limit of $\{g_i\}$. Choose a subsequence $\{g_{i_k}\}$ of $\{g_i\}$ so that

$$\int_{\Omega} |g_{i_k} - g|^q dx \leq 2^{-kq-k}.$$

Note that this subsequence is independent of the particular representative g . Define

$$\rho_k = |g_{i_k} - g|.$$

Let Λ be the family of m -rectifiable surfaces S in Ω for which the statement

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_S \rho_k d\mathcal{H}^m = 0$$

fails to hold, and let Λ_k be the family of all m -rectifiable surfaces S in Ω for which

$$\int_S \rho_k d\mathcal{H}^m > 2^{-k}.$$

Then

$$\Lambda \subset \bigcup_{k=j}^{\infty} \Lambda_k$$

for each $j \geq 1$. On the other hand, $2^k \rho_k$ is admissible for Λ_k for each k , so that

$$\text{Mod}_q(\Lambda_k) \leq 2^{kq} \int_{\Omega} \rho_k^q dx < 2^{-k}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\text{Mod}_q(\Lambda) \leq \sum_{k=j}^{\infty} \text{Mod}_q(\Lambda_k) \leq 2^{-j+1}.$$

□

The next result is an adjustment of [1, Lemma 3.5], see also [22, Proposition 3.2].

Proposition 3.4 *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map. Let $E \subset \Omega$ have σ -finite \mathcal{H}^{n-p} -measure for some $1 \leq p \leq n$. Then*

$$\text{Mod}_q(\{S \in \Lambda : \mathcal{H}^m(f(S \cap E)) > 0\}) = 0,$$

where $q = \frac{p}{m}$ and Λ is the family of m -rectifiable surfaces in Ω .

Proof In light of the sub-additivity of modulus, it suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{H}^{n-p} < \infty$. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and set

$$\Lambda_\varepsilon = \{S \in \Lambda : \mathcal{H}^m(f(S \cap E)) > \varepsilon^m\}.$$

We need to show that Λ_ε has zero modulus; the claim will then follow, again by sub-additivity of modulus. We may assume that $E \subset \frac{1}{2}B_0$ for some ball B_0 . Since \mathbb{R}^n is proper, the closed ball $\overline{B_0}$ is compact, and since f is continuous, its restriction to $\overline{B_0}$ is uniformly continuous. Hence, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists a $\delta_k > 0$ such that, for all $x, x' \in \overline{B_0}$,

$$|x - x'| < \delta_k \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+3}}. \tag{3.1}$$

We may assume that $\{\delta_k\}$ is a sequence of positive numbers decreasing to zero. Fix $\tilde{\varepsilon} > 0$. Using the definition of Hausdorff measure and applying the $5r$ -covering theorem, we find a sequence of balls $\{B_i^k\}_i$ such that $E \subset \bigcup_i 5B_i^k$, $B_i^k \cap B_j^k = \emptyset$ when $i \neq j$, $\text{diam}(B_i^k) < \frac{\delta_k}{5}$, $2B_i^k \subset B_0$ and such that

$$\sum_i (\text{diam}(B_i^k))^{n-p} < \mathcal{H}^{n-p}(E) + \tilde{\varepsilon}. \tag{3.2}$$

Consider the sequence $\{\rho_k\}_k$ of Borel functions, defined by

$$\rho_k(x) = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_i \frac{1}{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^m} \chi_{12B_i^k}(x).$$

By Lemma 2.3 we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \rho_k^q d\mu &= \frac{1}{2^{kq}} \int_\Omega \left(\sum_i \frac{\chi_{12B_i^k}}{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^m} \right)^q dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2^{kq}} \sum_i \int_\Omega \frac{\chi_{B_i^k}}{(\text{diam}(B_i^k))^{mq}} dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2^{kq}} \sum_i \frac{|B_i^k|}{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^{mq}}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2), we finally conclude that

$$\int_\Omega \rho_k^q dx \leq \frac{C}{2^{kq}} \sum_i \text{diam}(B_i^k)^{n-mq} \leq \frac{C}{2^{kq}} (\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(E) + \tilde{\varepsilon}). \tag{3.3}$$

Set

$$\tilde{\rho}_k(x) = \sum_{j=k}^\infty \rho_j(x).$$

Then

$$\left(\int_\Omega \tilde{\rho}_k^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} = \left(\int_\Omega \left(\sum_{j=k}^\infty \rho_j \right)^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \sum_{j=k}^\infty \left(\int_\Omega \rho_j^q dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \left(\frac{C}{2^{jq}} (\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(E) + \tilde{\varepsilon}) \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{2^k} (\mathcal{H}^{n-p}(E) + \tilde{\varepsilon})^{\frac{1}{q}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Next, we show that the functions $4\tilde{\rho}_k$ are admissible for the modulus. For any $S \in \Lambda_\varepsilon$, $\mathcal{H}^m(f(S \cap E)) > \varepsilon^m$. This implies that, for sufficiently large integers k , there exist points $y_1, \dots, y_{2^{k-2}} \in f(S \cap E)$ such that

$$|y_i - y_j| > \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{k+2}} \quad \text{for } i \neq j. \tag{3.5}$$

By inequalities (3.1) and (3.5), at least 2^{k-2} sets $f(5B_i^k)$ will be needed to cover $f(S \cap E)$. Hence, there are at least 2^{k-2} balls $5B_i^k$ that hit S . It follows that for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we may find $k \geq j$ such that S is not entirely contained in any ball $12B_i^k$ and there exist 2^{k-2} points y_m such that (3.5) holds. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \tilde{\rho}_j d\mathcal{H}^m &\geq \int_S \rho_k d\mathcal{H}^m = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_i \int_S \frac{\chi_{12B_i^k}}{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^m} d\mathcal{H}^m \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{5B_i^k \cap S \neq \emptyset} \frac{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^m}{\text{diam}(B_i^k)^m} \geq \frac{1}{2^k} \cdot 2^{k-2} \geq \frac{1}{4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the estimated number of balls intersecting S and the fact that S is not entirely contained in any ball $12B_i^k$. The claim follows by letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.3). \square

Finally, we need the following result, whose proof is a modification of [21, Proposition 3.1]. Recall that for a fixed Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and an m -rectifiable surface S , f is said to satisfy Condition N^A with respect S if for all $O \subset S$ with $\mathcal{H}^m(O) = 0$, we have $\mathcal{H}^m(f(O \cap A)) = 0$.

Proposition 3.5 *Let $f : \Omega \rightarrow f(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a continuous map. Let $A \subset \Omega$ be a Borel set, and assume that there is a number $M < \infty$ such that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:*

- i) *For every $x \in A$, $\text{lip}_f(x) \leq M$;*
- ii) *f is a homeomorphism and for every $x \in A$, $k_f(x) \leq M$.*

Then on q -almost every m -rectifiable surface S in Ω , $f(S \cap A)$ has finite m -measure, and f satisfies Condition N^A with respect to S . Above $q = \frac{n}{m}$.

Proof We first consider the case $\text{lip}_f(x) \leq M$ on A . Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Fix an m -rectifiable surface S and a subset $E \subset S$. By the Vitali covering lemma, we may cover E with a sequence of balls $B_i = B(x_i, r_i)$, $x_i \in E$ and $r_i < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$, such that $\sum_i (\text{diam } B_i)^m < \mathcal{H}^m(E) + \varepsilon$, such that $\frac{1}{5}B_i$ are pairwise disjoint, and such that

$$\text{diam}(f(B_i)) \leq 2L_f(x_i, r_i) \leq 2Mr_i.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^m(f(E)) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{diam}(f(B_i))^m \leq C_0 M^m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^m(B_i) \\ &\leq C_0 M^m \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^m\left(\frac{1}{5}B_i\right) \leq C_0 M^m (\mathcal{H}^m(E) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Passing to limit as ε approaches 0 gives the desired inequality

$$\mathcal{H}^m(f(E)) \leq C_0 M^m \mathcal{H}^m(E).$$

Next, we assume that $k_f(x) \leq M$ for all $x \in A$. Since our considerations are entirely local, we may assume that Ω and $f(\Omega)$ are bounded. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $x \in A$, there is by definition a radii $r_x < \varepsilon$ such that $k_f(x, r_x) \leq 2k_f(x) \leq 2M$ and $B(x, 2r_x) \subset \Omega$.

By the Besicovitch covering theorem, there is a constant C_n depending only on n , and a sequence of points $x_i \in A$ such that the balls $B_i = B(x_i, r_{x_i})$ satisfy the following properties:

- i) $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \chi_{B_i} \leq C_n$;
- ii) $\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty B_i \supset A$.

To ease notation, let $r_i = r_{x_i}$ and $L_i = L_f(x_i, r_i)$. We now define $\rho_\varepsilon : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\rho_\varepsilon = 2 \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{L_i}{r_i}\right)^m \chi_{2B_i}.$$

Then for every m -rectifiable surface S in Ω such that $\mathcal{H}^m(S) > \varepsilon^m$, we may conclude that for each i such that $B_i \cap S \neq \emptyset$, S joins B_i with $X \setminus 2B_i$. Since S is rectifiable and r_i is sufficiently small, $\int_S \chi_{2B_i} d\mathcal{H}^m \geq C(m)r_i^m$. Therefore,

$$\int_S \rho_\varepsilon d\mathcal{H}^m \geq \sum_{S \cap B_i \neq \emptyset} 2C(m)L_i^m \geq C(m)\mathcal{H}_\varepsilon^m(f(S \cap A)).$$

Moreover, for $q = \frac{n}{m}$, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \rho_\varepsilon^q dx &\leq C \int_\Omega \left(\sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{L_i}{r_i}\right)^m \chi_{2B_i}\right)^q dx \leq CC_n^q \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{L_i}{r_i}\right)^{qm} \chi_{B_i} dx \\ &= C \sum_{i=1}^\infty \left(\frac{L_i}{r_i}\right)^{qm} |B_i| = C \sum_{i=1}^\infty L_i^n \leq C \sum_{i=1}^\infty M^{n-1} |f(B_i)| \\ &\leq CM^{n-1} |f(\Omega)|. \end{aligned}$$

Because the preceding estimate is independent of ε , a standard application of reflexivity, Mazur’s lemma and Fuglede’s Lemma 3.3, gives a subsequence of convex combinations of the functions ρ_ε , converging in $L^q(\Omega)$ to some function ρ , such that for q -almost every m -rectifiable surface S , $\int_S \rho d\mathcal{H}^m < \infty$, and

$$\int_S \rho d\mathcal{H}^m \geq C(m)\mathcal{H}^m(f(S \cap A)), \tag{3.6}$$

so that $f(S \cap A)$ has finite m -measure. It follows immediately from the basic properties of modulus [5, p.177 (c)] that on q -almost every m -rectifiable surface $S' \subset S$, whence for every $O \subset S$,

$$\int_O \rho d\mathcal{H}^m \geq C(m)\mathcal{H}^m(f(O \cap A)),$$

from which the condition N^A immediately follows. □

Proof of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 It follows from Proposition 3.4 that for 1-almost every $(n-1)$ -rectifiable surface S in Ω , $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(f(S \cap E)) = 0$. The functions $\frac{L_f(x,r)}{r}$ are continuous in both x and r , and $k_f(x,r)$ is upper semi-continuous in x and continuous from the left in

r . Thus $\text{lip}_f = \liminf_{Q \ni r \rightarrow 0} \frac{L_f(x,r)}{r}$ is measurable, and likewise for k_f . We may thus apply Proposition 3.5 repeatedly, with $A = \text{lip}_f^{-1}([0, M])$, and with $A = k_f^{-1}([0, M])$, and for each $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Both theorems follow immediately from the countable sub-additivity of modulus and countable sub-additivity of measures. \square

4 Proof of Main Results

In this section, we are going to prove our main results. With the aid of Theorem 3.1, Theorem 1.1 is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism with L^1 -integrable inner distortion. If f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere, then $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}(f(\Omega), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and has finite distortion. Moreover,*

$$|Df^{-1}(y)|^n \leq K_I(f^{-1}(y), f)J_{f^{-1}}(y) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega$$

and (1.1), (1.2) hold.

We need the following two auxiliary results to prove Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 4.2 *Let $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a bi-Sobolev homeomorphism such that f satisfies the condition N^{-1} on almost every sphere. Then $|Df(\cdot)|$ vanishes a.e. in the zero set of $J(\cdot, f)$. In particular, f is a mapping of finite distortion if additionally $J(\cdot, f) \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω .*

Proof This is essentially contained in [2, Proof of Theorem 4.5], see also [11, Theorem 5.8]. We briefly indicate the ideas here.

Let \mathbb{H}_i be the i -th coordinate hyperplane, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{H}_i = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_i = 0\}$$

and denote by π_i the orthogonal projection to \mathbb{H}_i , so that

$$\pi_i(x) = x - x_i \mathbf{e}_i, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We denote the projection to j -th coordinate by $\pi^j(x) = x_j$. Then the assumption that f satisfies condition N^{-1} on a.e. sphere implies that f satisfies condition N^{-1} on a.e. hyperplane, whence for each measurable set $E \subset f(\Omega)$,

$$\int_E |D^\#(\pi_i \circ f^{-1})| = \int_{\pi_i(\mathbb{R}^n)} \mathcal{H}^1(E \cap (\pi_i \circ f^{-1})^{-1}(x)) dx. \tag{4.1}$$

Suppose that f is not a mapping of finite distortion. Then we can find a set $\tilde{A} \subset \Omega$ such that $|\tilde{A}| > 0$ and $J(\cdot, f) = 0$ on \tilde{A} but $|Df(\cdot)| > 0$ on \tilde{A} . The Sobolev regularity for f allows us to assume that f is absolutely continuous on all lines parallel to coordinate axes that intersect \tilde{A} and that f has classical derivatives at every point of \tilde{A} .

The area formula (2.3) implies that we may find a Borel set $A \subset \tilde{A}$ such that $|A| > 0$ and $|f(A)| = 0$. Note that there is $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that the subset of A where $\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} \neq 0$ has positive measure. We may thus assume that $\frac{\partial f(x)}{\partial x_i} \neq 0$ for all $y \in A$. Letting $E = f(A)$ and using (4.1) we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_i} \mathcal{H}^1(\pi^j(\{y \in E : \pi_i \circ f^{-1}(y) = z\})) dz = 0$$

for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. By Fubini theorem,

$$\int_{\mathbb{H}_i} \mathcal{H}^1(A \cap \pi_i^{-1}(z)) dz = |A| > 0.$$

Therefore, there exists $z \in \mathbb{H}_i$ with

$$\mathcal{H}^1(\pi^j(E \cap f(\pi_i^{-1}(z)))) = \mathcal{H}^1(\pi^j(\{y \in E : \pi_i \circ f^{-1}(y) = z\})) = 0,$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^1(A \cap \pi_i^{-1}(z)) > 0.$$

Note that

$$0 < \int_{A \cap \pi_i^{-1}(z)} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| d\mathcal{H}^1(x)$$

and thus we can find j such that for $h = \pi^j \circ f$ we have

$$0 < \int_{A \cap \pi_i^{-1}(z)} \left| \frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i}(x) \right| d\mathcal{H}^1(x)$$

A contradiction follows easily by applying the one-dimensional area formula to the absolutely continuous mapping

$$t \mapsto h(z + te_i). \quad \square$$

Theorem 4.3 *Let $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism with L^1 -integrable inner distortion. If f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere, then f has finite (outer) distortion and f is differentiable almost everywhere.*

Proof This is essentially contained in [20, Proof of Theorem 5.1] and one only needs to notice that the assumption $f \in W^{1,n-1}$ there was only used to deduce the fact that f satisfies Condition N on almost every sphere. □

Proof of Theorem 4.1 We first prove that $f^{-1} \in W^{1,n}$. Since the proof is now well-known and has been used in [2, 11], we only sketch it here. Following [2, 11], we use the notation $\pi_r(x) = |x|$ for radial projection and $\pi_S(x) = \frac{x}{|x|}$ for projection to the unit sphere. Set $h = \pi_S \circ f$. Then the following variant of coarea formula holds:

$$\int_{\partial B(0,1)} \mathcal{H}^1(\pi_r(\{x \in E : h(x) = z\})) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(z) \leq \int_E |D^\# h(x)| dx \tag{4.2}$$

for all measurable $E \subset \Omega$. The proof of (4.2) is based on Condition N on sphere and Fubini theorem, see, e.g., [2, Proof of Lemma 4.2].

The next step is to deduce from (4.2) the (1, 1)-Poincaré inequality for f^{-1} . More precisely, one follows the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [2] to deduce the following estimate:

$$\int_B |f^{-1}(y) - c| dy \leq Cr_0 \int_{f^{-1}(B)} |D^\# f(x)| dx$$

for each ball $B \subset f(\Omega)$, where

$$c = \int_B f^{-1}(y) dy.$$

Then we claim that there is a function $g \in L^n$ such that

$$\int_{f^{-1}(B)} |D^\# f| \leq \int_B g. \tag{4.3}$$

This understood, with [8, Theorem 9.4.2], gives us the desired Sobolev regularity for the inverse. To establish (4.3), define a function $g : f(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by setting

$$g(f(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{|D^\# f(x)|}{J_f(x)}, & \text{if } x \in \mathcal{D}_f \text{ and } J_f(x) > 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since by Theorem 5.1, f is a mapping of finite distortion, we have

$$|D^\# f(x)| = g(f(x))J_f(x) \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Now by (2.3),

$$\int_{f(\Omega)} g(y)^n dy \leq \int_{\Omega \cap \mathcal{D}_f} g(f(x))^n J_f(x) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} K_I(x, f) dx$$

and

$$\int_{f^{-1}(B)} |D^\# f(x)| dx \leq \int_{f^{-1}(B) \cap \mathcal{D}_f} g(f(x))J_f(x) dx \leq \int_B g(y) dy.$$

The proof of the desired regularity is complete.

Since f is bi-Sobolev, Theorem 4.2 allows us to conclude that f^{-1} has finite distortion. In the following, we only prove (1.2). Regarding the other statements in Theorem 4.1, simply proceed the proofs given in [6, Proof of Theorem 2.3].

In order to prove (1.2), let A be the Borel set provided by Lemma 2.1. The area formula implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{f(\Omega)} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy &= \int_A |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy = \int_A \frac{|D^\# f(f^{-1}(y))|^n}{(J_f(f^{-1}(y)))^n} dy \\ &\leq \int_A K_I(f^{-1}(y), f) J_{f^{-1}}(y) dy \leq \int_{\Omega} K_I(x, f) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show the opposite inequality in (1.2). For this purpose, let

$$Z = \{x \in \Omega : J_f(x) = 0\}.$$

Then the area formula (2.3) implies that

$$0 = \int_{\mathcal{D}_f} \chi_{f(Z)}(f(x)) J_f(x) dx = \int_{f(\mathcal{D}_f)} \chi_{f(Z)}(y) dy.$$

Hence,

$$|f(\mathcal{D}_f \cap Z)| = |f(\mathcal{D}_f) \cap f(Z)| = 0.$$

Since f^{-1} satisfies Condition N (see for instance [17]),

$$|\mathcal{D}_f \cap Z| = 0,$$

i.e., $J_f > 0$ a.e. in Ω . Thus, using the area formula and chain rule again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} K_I(x, f) dx &= \int_{\mathcal{D}_f} K_I(x, f) dx = \int_{\mathcal{D}_f \setminus Z} \frac{|D^\# f(x)|^n}{(J_f(x))^n} J_f(x) dx \\ &= \int_B \frac{|D^\# f(x)|^n}{(J_f(x))^n} J_f(x) dx = \int_B |Df^{-1}(f(x))|^n J_f(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \int_{\Omega'} |Df^{-1}(y)|^n dy,$$

where B is the Borel set determined by Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof of (1.2). □

Remark 4.4 Let f be as in Theorem 4.1. We know from the proof of Theorem 4.1 that $J_f > 0$ a.e. in Ω . Note that the a.e. positiveness of the Jacobian is a highly non-trivial fact, see [3, 9, 16] for more in this direction.

As a corollary of the proof of Theorem 4.1, we formulate the following simple result.

Corollary 4.5 *Let $f \in W^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a homeomorphism such that $J_f \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω . Then f satisfies Condition N^{-1} if and only if $J(\cdot, f) > 0$ a.e.*

Proof The proof of Condition N^{-1} implies $J(\cdot, f) > 0$ a.e. is already demonstrated in the proof of Theorem 4.1 and hence we only need to show the reverse direction. To this end, let $E \subset \Omega$ with $|f(E)| = 0$ and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Borel set of measure zero that contains $f(E)$. Then E is contained in the Borel set $E' = f^{-1}(A)$. Let h be the characteristic function of A . By the area formula,

$$\int_{E'} J_f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} h(f(x)) J_f(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} h(y) dy = 0.$$

Since $J_f > 0$ a.e., it follows that $|E| = 0$. □

5 Weaken the Topological Assumption

Very recently, Tengvall [20] proved that if $f \in W_{loc}^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous, discrete and open mapping of finite L_{loc}^1 -integrable inner distortion, then f is differentiable a.e. and has finite distortion. In particular, he verified that Lusion Condition N on a.e. sphere holds for continuous, discrete and open Sobolev mappings $f \in W_{loc}^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

As already seen in the previous results, Condition N on a.e. sphere plays a crucial role in proving the Sobolev regularity of the inverse of a homeomorphism $f \in W^{1,1}$. On the other hand, in geometric function theory, associated with a continuous, discrete and open mapping f , there is a “generalized inverse” map g_U defined for each normal domain U of f as follows (see, e.g., [19]).

$$g_U(y) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x \in f^{-1}(y) \cap U} i(x, f)x, \tag{5.1}$$

where $m = \mu(f, U)$ is the local degree on the normal domain U and $i(x, f)$ is the topological index of f at x . Observe that, in the homeomorphic case, the generalized inverse map g_U is just the inverse map of f .

In [7], it was proved that for a continuous, discrete and open mapping $f \in W_{loc}^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ of finite L_{loc}^1 -integrable inner distortion, if $|f(B_f)| = 0$, then the generalized inverse map $g_U : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to $W^{1,n}(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Theorem 5.1 *Let $f \in W_{loc}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a continuous, discrete and open mapping of finite L_{loc}^1 -integrable inner distortion. If f satisfies Condition N on a.e. sphere, then f is differentiable a.e., $|B_f| = 0$, and has finite distortion. Moreover, if $|f(B_f)| = 0$, then the generalized inverse $g_U : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ belongs to $W^{1,n}(V, \mathbb{R}^n)$ for each normal domain $U \subset\subset \Omega$ such that $f(U) = V$.*

Proof The proof of the fact that f is differentiable a.e. and that $|B_f| = 0$ is basically contained in [20]. One can check that the regularity assumption $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n-1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ in [20] was only used to deduce that f satisfies Condition N on a.e. sphere. Similarly, the proof of the latter part of Theorem 5.1 can be found in [7]. \square

Combining Theorem 5.1 with Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5.2 *Let $f \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,1}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ is a continuous, discrete and open mapping of finite L_{loc}^1 -integrable inner distortion. If f satisfies Condition N on a.e. sphere, then for almost every $x \in \Omega$ there is an open neighborhood $U_x \subset\subset \Omega$ of x such that the restriction map $f|_{U_x} : U_x \rightarrow f(U_x)$ is a homeomorphism. For the inverse map we have $(f|_{U_x})^{-1} \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(f(U_x), \mathbb{R}^n)$ and has finite distortion. Moreover, f and $(f|_{U_x})^{-1}$ are differentiable almost everywhere.*

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank his supervisor Academy Professor Pekka Koskela and Dr. Marshall Williams for helpful discussions. The author also wants to thank the anonymous referee for valuable pointed comments.

References

- [1] Balogh, Z., Koskela, P., Rogovin, S.: Absolute continuity of quasiconformal mappings on curves. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, **17**(3), 645–664 (2007)
- [2] Csörnyei, M., Hencl, S., Malý, J.: Homeomorphisms in the Sobolev space $W^{1,n-1}$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **644**, 221–235 (2010)
- [3] Donofrio, L., Hencl, S., Schiattarella, R.: Bi-Sobolev homeomorphism with zero Jacobian almost everywhere. *Cal. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **51**(1–2), 139–170 (2014)
- [4] Federer, H.: Geometric Measure Theory, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. 153, Springer, New York, 1969
- [5] Fuglede, B.: Extremal length and functional completion. *Acta Math.*, **98**, 171–219 (1957)
- [6] Fusco, N., Moscariello, G., Sbordone, C.: The limit of $W^{1,1}$ homeomorphisms with finite distortion. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, **33**(3), 377–390 (2008)
- [7] Guo, C. Y.: Mappings of finite inner distortion: global homeomorphism theorem. *J. Geom. Anal.*, DOI: 10.1007/s12220-014-9500-7, to appear
- [8] Heinonen, J., Koskela, P., Shanmugalingam, N., et al.: Sobolev Spaces On Metric Measure Spaces: An Approach Based On Upper Gradients. In: ser. New Mathematical Monographs, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015
- [9] Hencl, S.: Sobolev homeomorphism with zero Jacobian almost everywhere. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), **95**(4), 444–458 (2011)
- [10] Hencl, S., Koskela, P.: Regularity of the inverse of a planar Sobolev homeomorphism. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, **180**(1), 75–95 (2006)
- [11] Hencl, S., Koskela, P.: Lecture Notes on Mappings of Finite Distortion, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 2096, 2014, XI, 176 pp.
- [12] Hencl, S., Koskela, P., Malý, J.: Regularity of the inverse of a Sobolev homeomorphism in space. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **136**(6), 1267–1285 (2006)
- [13] Hencl, S., Moscariello, G., Passarelli di Napoli, A., et al.: Bi-Sobolev mappings and elliptic equations in the plane. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **355**(1), 22–32 (2009)
- [14] Iwaniec, T., Onninen, J.: Deformations of finite conformal energy: existence and removability of singularities. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3), **100**(1), 1–23 (2010)
- [15] Koskela, P.: Lectures on Quasiconformal and Quasisymmetric Mappings, Jyv. Lect. Not. 1, Jyväskylä, 2010
- [16] Koskela, P., Malý, J.: Mappings of finite distortion: the zero set of the Jacobian. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **5**(2), 95–105 (2003)
- [17] Malý, J., Martio, O.: Lusin’s Condition (N) and mappings of the class $W^{1,n}$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **458**, 19–36 (1995)

- [18] Moscarrello, G., Passarelli di Napoli, A.: The regularity of the inverses of Sobolev homeomorphisms with finite distortion. *J. Geom. Anal.*, **24**(1), 571–594 (2014)
- [19] Rickman, S.: Quasiregular Mappings, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.*, 26(3), Springer, Berlin, 1993
- [20] Tengvall, V.: Differentiability in the Sobolev space $W^{1,n-1}$. *Cal. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.*, **51**(1–2), 381–399 (2014)
- [21] Williams, M.: Dilatation, pointwise Lipschitz constants, and Condition N on curves. *Michigan Math. J.*, to appear
- [22] Zürcher, T.: Local Lipschitz numbers and Sobolev spaces. *Michigan Math. J.*, **55**(3), 561–574 (2007)