



# Dry weather fears of Britain's early 'industrial' canal network

Alice Harvey-Fishenden<sup>1</sup> · Neil Macdonald<sup>1</sup> · James P. Bowen<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 12 December 2018 / Accepted: 3 June 2019 / Published online: 6 July 2019  
© The Author(s) 2019

## Abstract

The first large-scale water supply in Britain was not for potable domestic supplies, but for the canal network, the arteries of the industrial revolution. This paper examines how episodes of dry weather in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, reconstructed from early instrumental sources, impacted the developing canal network. Analysis reveals how a frequent lack of preparedness for even relatively minor drought events resulted in conflict between water users, with potentially serious socio-economic consequences. The economic pressure of compensating other users for loss or reduction of their water supply resulted in canal companies investing in technologies and management techniques that continue to be used today as drought mitigation strategies, such as the building of large-capacity reservoirs and groundwater abstraction. This period represents a key technological milestone in the development of the modern water supply systems, contextualising current challenges faced by the water industry in responding to drought events. Although the failure of the British canal system no longer has serious economic impacts, themes emerge from this research which are as relevant for water supplies today as they were in the eighteenth century, such as issues around water rights and the value of preparing for potential future extreme weather scenarios. A newly reconstructed composite precipitation series for Chatsworth House is presented (1760–present). Through comparing weather records within the archives of canal companies and their competitors for water supplies, historical insight can be gained into the possible far-reaching societal impacts of drought.

**Keywords** Drought · Canals · History · Reconstruction · Water management · UK

## Introduction

Droughts are complex events that can be spatially extensive or regionally focused (Todd et al. 2013). Large-scale droughts are a recurrent feature of European climate (Spinoni et al.

2015), with notable British droughts in 1975–76 (Rodda and Marsh 2011; Zaidman et al. 2002), 1995–96 and 2003 (Fink et al. 2004) and recent droughts such as 2010–12 (Lennard et al. 2014) highlighting the Britain's vulnerability. However, these droughts are not unique or exceptional, with a long history of droughts impacting on European and British climatic history (Spraggs et al. 2015). The wide-scale megadrought of 1540 is well documented across Europe (Wetter et al. 2014) but caused limited impact in Britain, whilst the 'long drought' (1880–1910) as documented by Marsh et al. (2007) highlights that droughts may be a single event or a series of events in close succession. Whilst much of the analysis of past droughts has focused on drought reconstruction (Brázdil et al. 2018, 2013, 2008), increasingly past droughts are being viewed relative to other drought indicators, for example, water resource management (Lennard et al. 2015; Lennard et al. 2014) and hydrological drought (Jones and Lister 1998).

Definitions of droughts are frequently characterised within five inter-related categories: meteorological, hydrological, agricultural and socio-economic drought (Wilhite and Glantz 1985), with groundwater drought added by Mishra and Singh (2010). Droughts usually begin following a prolonged

---

This article is part of the Topical Collection on *Societal Impacts of Historical Droughts*

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-019-01524-5>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Alice Harvey-Fishenden  
alice.harvey-fishenden@liverpool.ac.uk

Neil Macdonald  
neil.macdonald@liverpool.ac.uk

James P. Bowen  
j.bowen@leedstrinity.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography and Planning, School of Environmental Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool L69 7ZT, UK

<sup>2</sup> Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK

period of widespread moisture deficiency (Palmer 1965) and propagate through the hydrological cycle (Van Lanen 2006), exhibiting differing spatial and temporal characteristics depending on a variety of factors. Droughts are often defined as dry periods relative to climatic norms and occur even in climates where normal conditions are relatively wet (Namias 1981). They are not only geophysical events but are also socially constructed, with societal structures affecting impacts and perceptions of drought (Endfield et al. 2004; Olivier-Smith and Hoffman 1999). Recent work has highlighted the importance of considering people when developing an understanding of contemporary drought (Van Loon et al. 2016a, 2016b); however, this can also be extended into the past. Drought in Britain before the industrial revolution caused fires, disease, mortality (human and animal) and crop failures (Stone 2014, p. 437), but as industrialisation took hold, the impacts became more connected to the availability and quality of water for industry, transport and drinking.

The first large-scale water supply systems in Britain provided water not for domestic or industrial use but for the canal network, the arteries of the industrial revolution. Analysis of the impact of dry weather on water supply networks has predominantly focussed on the supply of potable water in urban areas (Van Lieshout 2016; Taylor et al. 2009; Waddington 2017). Little attention has been paid to the role of canals and the significance of the development and expansion of the early canal network, particularly the role of reservoirs. The systems supplying canals generally predate large-scale potable water supplies, which were in their infancy when the water supply systems for canals were established. During the eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries, water for towns was rarely transported more than a few kilometres (Van Lieshout 2016; Porter 1978; Taylor et al. 2009). Taps inside houses were a luxury, and water was seldom stored in sufficient quantities to provide supply during droughts (Hassan 1985). Canal water supply systems were the first to regularly transport water long distances in Britain and to establish large-scale water storage systems as a result of drought and competition for water. The builders of late eighteenth century canals negotiated rights to water, which later informed how commercial water companies managed their supplies. By responding to water shortages, they laid the groundwork for modern water supply resilience.

This paper explores the dry weather fears of Britain's early industrial canal network through the impact of droughts on canal operation in the late eighteenth century. It presents a previously unpublished long instrumental precipitation reconstruction for Chatsworth in Derbyshire and demonstrates the impact of droughts on the development of canal infrastructure, particularly the nearby Trent and Mersey Canal. It argues that the development of the canal network, which was driven in part by responses to drought, was an important phase in the development of water supply systems in Britain, which has previously been overlooked.

In exploring how the early canal network developed and its vulnerability to droughts, this paper also contributes to the growing literature exploring how past experiences can, and continue to, shape our understanding of contemporary climate (Adamson 2015; Adamson et al. 2018; Mitchell 2011; Westermann and Rohr 2015) and hazard adaptation and resilience (Jones et al. 2012; Sangster et al. 2018).

## The development of canals in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries

Movement and transport of water for irrigation and communities has been a feature of the water landscape since early settlements (Middle East c. 5000 BC), but these systems often remained relatively simple in structure and scale (Bazza 2007). In Britain, transporting heavy and bulky goods by water has been undertaken for many centuries (Blair 2014; Gardiner 2017). The early industrial canal network expanded and improved on an earlier system of natural and engineered navigable rivers, supplemented by some artificial channels (Bond 2014). These river navigations were subject to seasonal variation in flow and liable to the movement of sediments during periods of high flow, which could easily render the channel unnavigable (Satchell 2017). The modification of river channels to allow for easier navigation only provided short term improvements; widening, deepening or reducing sinuosity often increased the water velocity and concurrently increased erosion rates and downstream silting (Rhodes 2014). As Thomas Telford (1757–1834), the notable civil engineer, remarked in 1800, 'artificial canals have been formed in many parts of the country, and the navigation of many of the other rivers in this island have been greatly improved; yet still the navigation of the river Severn has been suffered to remain in its natural and imperfect state; not one obstacle has been removed, nor has one improvement been yet introduced'. One of the causes of the 'imperfect state of the navigation' was 'the deficiency of water in drought, and from the superabundance of it during rainy seasons' (Plymley 1803, p. 286). These navigable rivers were unpredictable and uncontrollable; the canals of the early industrial age attempted to improve upon them by taming and redirecting nature. Water was captured and controlled in slow-moving and relatively straight channels of predetermined dimensions, thereby significantly reducing problems caused by sediment movement. However, these characteristics could themselves present problems of sedimentation where water sources introduced sediments to the canal network.

In the second half of the eighteenth century, many long-distance canals were constructed. Travel by water was susceptible to extreme weather, with major disruptions caused by dry, wet and cold weather recorded (Freeman 1980; Satchell 2017). The earliest industrial canals, like the river navigations

before them, mostly sourced their water from streams and rivers along their length, although sometimes supplemented with water drained or pumped from mines (Boughey and Hadfield 1994, p. 47; Hadfield 1969, p. 20). As a result, the supply of water to these canals was sometimes no more reliable than the supply of water to river navigations, with canals frequently suffering from water shortages and freezing (Freeman 1980). The early canal builders did not greatly innovate when establishing the water supplies to their canals; consequently, their canals were often vulnerable to water shortages. As the number of canals increased, the amount of stream and river water available to new enterprises decreased. Acts of Parliament concerning canals became increasingly prescriptive about the use of surface water. Clauses giving rights to take flood or rainwater or to compel mine owners to raise water pumped out of their mines to a level at which it could be discharged into the canal were widely adopted. While the Duke of Bridgewater's Canal (the first industrial canal, opened 1761) Acts (32 George II, c. 2, 33 George II, c. 2, 2 George III, c. 11) contained only a few sentences about water supply, by the 1790s, multiple paragraphs described the rights and the restrictions on using water (see for example the Rochdale Canal, 34 Geo III c. 78 or Newcastle(-under-Lyme) Canal, 35 George III, c. 87).

The long-distance canals of the industrial age faced additional water supply challenges compared with river navigations, as they crossed watersheds, where water was naturally in short supply. Some, relatively small, reservoirs were built at the same time as the early canals, to regulate the supply of water to these difficult to supply summit sections. Although bigger than the average mill pond, these reservoirs operated in a similar way, allowing canal companies to (in the words of the standard clause of the earliest canal acts regarding reservoirs) 'more conveniently' supply their canals and locks with water. These reservoirs regulated the flow on a daily and weekly scale but did not store sufficient water for use during longer periods of dry weather.

Canals, therefore, as arguably the largest water users in early industrial Britain, faced some of the most severe drought impacts in this period. Much can be learned about canals and the effects of extreme weather in the late eighteenth and early nineteenth centuries by examining the archival material relating to canals alongside historical weather data. Many of the canal company archives are located within local and regional archives and The National Archives (TNA) in Kew. An initial archival search for documents relating to water shortages on canals was undertaken at the Staffordshire Record Office (SRO), which resulted in the discovery of a substantial amount of material in the Sutherland Estate Collection, including correspondence with the Clerk of the Trent and Mersey Canal Company (SRO D593/L/1/14) and Acts of Parliament and related material (SRO D593/V/3/29). Other relevant material at the SRO included letters sent by Samuel Barnett and


Company (tin manufacturers in Kings Bromley) between 1796 and 1803 (SRO 6702/1) and the Staffordshire Quarter Sessions records (SRO Q/SB 1810 T/466). The company archive of the Trent and Mersey Canal Company does not survive intact, though some records, which for the eighteenth century are mainly financial, are stored at the TNA (RAIL 878). The archive of the neighbouring Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal Company, however, survives, split between the SRO and the TNA. The minutes of the half-yearly meetings of the Staffordshire and Worcester Canal Company 1766–1845 were read (SRO D3186/1/1/1) alongside surviving committee order books 1766–1785 and 1803–1804 (TNA RAIL 871/1, RAIL 871/2). Letters written between 1797 and 1801, preserved in the letter book of civil engineer John Rennie (1761–1821), were another key archival source (ICE REN/RB/02). The TEMPEST database was searched for corroboratory archival evidence (Veale et al. 2017). In order to complement the rainfall series from Chatsworth, the material selected for this paper relates mostly to the Trent and Mersey Canal, although impacts were felt across the country and canals elsewhere faced similar problems.

The Trent and Mersey Canal was authorised by Act of Parliament in 1766 (6 Geo III c.97), and its construction was finished in 1777. Its summit level, which was the most difficult to supply with water, is on the border between the counties of Cheshire and Staffordshire. This summit level is ~34 km from Chatsworth House, where, as this paper will detail, a long instrumental precipitation series has been reconstructed, with monthly homogenized rainfall series from 1777 (when the Trent and Mersey Canal fully opened) to the present day. The reconstructed precipitation series for Chatsworth provides a new long series, which is likely to be a representative of the rainfall at the summit of the Trent and Mersey Canal, since comparison with non-instrumental records from Trentham, near Stoke-on-Trent, several kilometres the other side of the summit of the canal show similar precipitation patterns.

## Chatsworth house precipitation reconstruction

Chatsworth House, located ~25 km southwest of Sheffield (Fig. 1), is the ancestral home of the Duke and Duchess of Devonshire and has been owned by the Cavendish family since the purchase of Chatsworth Manor in AD 1549.

Weather data was recorded at Chatsworth from 1760 to 1813 and from 1860 to the present day. The early Chatsworth series 1760–1777 consists of annual precipitation totals. It is likely a higher resolution series (daily) existed for this period, but can no longer be found, though some monthly values were published by Farley in the *General View of the Agriculture and Minerals of Derbyshire* (1811). The monthly



**Fig. 1** Location of sites, topography and rainfall records used (background map data from Digimap, © Crown copyright and database rights 2019 Ordnance Survey (100025252))

precipitation totals for Chatsworth 1777–1793 (Supporting Online Material Fig. 1) are reported by the *Memoirs and Proceedings* of the Literary and Philosophical Society of Manchester (Garnett 1796). Following this, only annual totals are available for the period 1793–1800, as reported by George Cavendish; however, monthly data for Manchester allows the Chatsworth annual series to be scaled to provide monthly data. Monthly values are again available from 1800 to 1810. The original record appears to end in 1810, at the same time as the death of Henry Cavendish, though annual totals continue to be reported in the Meteorological Office accounts until 1813. A gap then exists until 1860 when records recommence, with data supplied to British Rainfall, with the records now retained in the archives of the Meteorological Office (Exeter), with the series running through to the present. The Chatsworth House series can be reconstructed by using published and

unpublished data, supplemented with precipitation records from Welbeck Abbey (~30 km away) for which monthly meteorological observations during the period 1806–1878 exist. This permits the reconstruction of a homogenized composite monthly rainfall series (Table 1), notable in comparison with other coeval reconstructions, as it represents a rural area (Derbyshire) in central England and one of the longest rainfall series in Britain, from 1777–present day and with an annual series from 1760–present.

Farley (1811), a geologist and surveyor, argued that weather registers, such as that kept at Chatsworth, would ‘in time prove of the greatest importance, as the foundation of a Science of Meteorology’ (p.103). The value of such series is increasingly being recognized in not only helping to understand long-term climate variability, but also providing a better understanding of extreme event frequency (Sangster et al.

**Table 1** Sources of data for the reconstruction of the Chatsworth rainfall series

Station	Date	Source	Observer	Notes
Chatsworth	1760–1769	Met office ten-year books	–	Annual totals only
Chatsworth	1761–1810	Farey,		Annual totals, some monthly values quoted in the text.
Chatsworth	1777–1793	Met office ten-year books. Data extracted from the <i>Memoirs of the Literary and Philosophical Society Manchester</i> (Garnett 1793)	Lord George Cavendish	Monthly and annual totals
Chatsworth	1794–1799	Met office ten-year books	Lord George Cavendish	Annual totals only
Chatsworth	1800–1813	Met office ten-year books	–	Monthly and annual totals
Wellbeck Abbey	1806–1878	Met office ten-year books		Monthly and annual totals
Chatsworth Gardens	1860–9	Met office ten-year books		Monthly and annual totals. Jan–Mar 1860 missing and no annual total for 1860
Chatsworth	1861–1956	Chatsworth register of Rainfall, House archive		Monthly and annual totals
Chatsworth Gardens	1861–current	BADC: digitised from 1878		Station ID 544, missing data includes 1882, 1883, 1884, 1886, 1924, Mar–Dec 1976, Jun 1984, Sep 1984, Jan 1985, Feb 1988, Dec 1997, Aug 2001, Jan, Feb 2002, Aug–Dec 2002, Sep 2005, Nov–Dec 2012. Single missing months infilled with interpolation. Pre-1925 years filled with digitised archive photographs. More recent missing months infilled via linear regression with BADC station 552.

2018). The rain gauge at Chatsworth House was monitored by the gardener, who maintained meticulous notes on the weather conditions, with abstracts published in scientific accounts of the period, including, the *Memoirs and Proceedings* of the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society (Supporting Online Material Fig. 1). As with the promotion of new farming practices at the time, improvements in estate management and the construction of farm buildings, the recording of weather data was often pioneered at large estates like Chatsworth (Jankovic 2000). The recording of early instrumental meteorological data, such as barometric pressure, temperature, rainfall, wind direction and strength provides valuable quantitative data as to the nature of the weather and climate in the past and can be used to help recover local climate histories (Strauss and Orlove 2003).

### Precipitation series reconstruction, homogenisation and homogeneity testing

A number of components of precipitation record are used in reconstructing the Chatsworth House series, 1760 to present. Three primary series are used in the composite reconstruction, the series of monthly and annual data at Chatsworth House (1760–1810), the series from Welbeck Abbey (1806–1878) constructed by Craddock (unpublished) and the series from

Chatsworth House that is continued to present (1860–present). The greatest uncertainties are likely to be with the early Chatsworth series, as meteorological instruments were not consistently used nor designed. Consistency in siting of meteorological instruments closely relates to the development of the British Rainfall organisation (1860–present) in Britain and the pioneering work of G. J. Symons (Pedgley 2002), as such early instruments were often attached to walls or in poorly selected locations, resulting in erroneous data.


To extend the earliest part of the record (part A; 1760–1810), linear regression analysis was performed using annual data from Chatsworth House and monthly and annual data from Manchester (~ 50 km away) for the overlapping period 1786–1800. A good relationship exists between annual precipitation ( $R^2 = 0.7$ ) and monthly ( $R^2 = 0.54$ ) totals, with monthly data adjusted to ensure comparability to the earlier Chatsworth House series. The period 1810–1860 was infilled at Chatsworth using monthly data for Welbeck Abbey; the regression equation (using overlapping data 1860–1878;  $R^2 = 0.59$ ) was used to adjust data from Welbeck Abbey to ensure comparability with data observed at Chatsworth House and to construct the second part (B) of the homogenous record: 1810–1860. Existing transcribed data for Chatsworth House (1860–present) was downloaded from the British Atmospheric Data Centre (BADC; Met Office 2018) and checked against the original records from Chatsworth House, with gaps in the

transcribed series infilled from the original records. The two sections A and B are then adjusted to the Chatsworth House series (1860–present), part C.

Visual inspection of the composite series (Fig. 2) illustrates that discontinuities exist within the early record. Annual mean rainfall for 33-year periods in each of the primary series (Chatsworth 1777–1810, 700 mm; Welbeck Abby 1811–1843, 784 mm and Chatsworth 1909–1942, 821 mm) illustrates that the early period is likely to have suffered from ‘under-catch’, a common challenge in old records (e.g. Groisman et al. 1991). The late 1870–1890s are recorded in several British stations as being particularly wet (1875–1894, 961 mm annual average); this is evident within the Chatsworth series (1882 is the wettest year in the full series, 1418 mm); therefore, this period was not used for comparative purposes when assessing 33-year periods, with the period 1909–1942 selected as more representative; the average rainfall over the period 1860–2013 is 845 mm. Annual average rainfall totals for three parts of the records A, B and C were calculated along with annual percentage differences. Comparison of parts of the record showed that the average percentage difference between section A with section C was 17.2%, and section B and C was 7.3% (Fig. 2); rainfall values comprising sections A and B were increased respectively to correct for these inhomogeneities (Fig. 2).

The homogeneity of the constructed rainfall series for Chatsworth was statistically assessed by cross-comparing with other independent long rainfall records, which are considered homogenous from a number of sites within a similar precipitation region (northwest England), and from others distributed across England that have a long series. Whilst there is a good general correlation between Chatsworth with Manchester ( $r = 0.61$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ) and Pode Hole (Spalding, ~105 km away) ( $r = 0.668$ ,  $p = < 0.001$ ) respectively for the period 1786–2015, there are notable years of difference in total accumulated precipitation. For example, in 1839, Manchester recorded 89.8% of average rainfall, Chatsworth 133%, whilst the station at Mansfield (~28 km away) recorded 135% of average precipitation. A double-mass curve test (Craddock 1979) was undertaken, directly comparing cumulative rainfall totals between a sample station, for instance, Chatsworth and reference station(s), like Manchester and Pode Hole (Spalding) to assess relative homogeneity. Gradient changes in the curve indicate a discontinuity in one of the two series, which may arise from natural or non-natural causes (e.g. urbanisation of instrumentation sites). The Chatsworth series was compared to the Manchester (1786–2015) and Pode Hole (Spalding, 1726 onwards, 1786–2015 used in this study) series, the longest series that have been quality controlled and checked for comparable

**Fig. 2** Chatsworth precipitation reconstruction. **a** Annual average rainfall totals for three parts of the record prior to homogenisation. **b** Homogenised precipitation series. The thicker line represent 10-year moving average



timescales. Few other regional long series exist (other series potentially are Liverpool and Oxford, but these may be less representative as Liverpool has a local coastal influence to its climate and Oxford is further away ~ 165 km). The series for Pode Hole (Spalding) was reassessed and quality controlled by Todd et al. (2013); the Manchester series was created by Gordon Manley (1972) and subsequently updated and reassessed (Macdonald unpublished). Double mass curve testing has been widely applied to determine the relative homogeneity of climate time series, but this approach does not provide information on discontinuity timing, change of magnitude or attribution of the break to a particular series (Camuffo et al. 2013; Craddock 1979). Therefore, standard normal homogeneity testing (SNHT) developed by Alexandersson (1986) is used to indicate the timing and magnitude of potential inhomogeneities in the series. Annual rainfall cumulative curves are plotted for the three stations with breaks of slope denoted by the grey bars (Fig. 3a–c). These breaks are statistically significant breakpoints, determined ( $T_v > 7.75$ ;  $n = 25$ ; significance level 95%) by difference calculations for two contiguous 25-year windows moved at annual steps through the data series and using the mean difference in precipitation between the two stations (Fig. 3d–f). The breakpoints exceeding the  $T_v > 7.75$  threshold in the Chatsworth House–Manchester analysis, in 1850, 1875, 1903, 1916 and 1943, are not replicated within the Pode Hole (Spalding)–Chatsworth House analysis, though comparison of Pode Hole (Spalding)–Manchester does identify the same period (1875), suggesting an inhomogeneity may exist within the Manchester series.  $Q_i$  series (the standardised difference between the two rainfall series) show good alignment (Fig. 3g–i). In analysing long reconstructed series, there is often greater distance between comparison sites than in the analysis of contemporary climatic datasets, though the additional record length provided by reconstructed series is valuable in examining long-term variability and whether changes may be anthropogenic or climatic in origin. The peak in precipitation identified at Chatsworth (1875–1886; Fig. 2) is replicated at other sites with instrumental series, though not as pronounced; 1875 to 1886 is noted elsewhere to have been a particularly wet period in England with several large floods (Brooks and Glasspoole 1928; Macdonald and Sangster 2017; Marsh et al. 2005). There are no known evidence of physical cases for breakpoints in the years identified (Fig. 3). Though little information is retained on changes in the instrument at the station, fortunately for Chatsworth a discussion of the early gauges is provided in the notebooks, though not of its exact siting, but this does not contribute to explaining the breakpoints identified.


The reconstructed composite precipitation series has then been analysed using the standardised precipitation index (SPI), developed by McKee et al. (1993), which uses rainfall data to quantify precipitation excess or deficit, typically over

1–24 months, where negative values indicate drier conditions and positive values wetter conditions compared with the norm. The SPI is preferential to many other drought indices over long timescales as it has limited data input requirements (Lennard et al. 2015), the SPI-6 (standardised precipitation index—using a six-month series) and SPI-12 (standardised precipitation index—using a twelve-month series) derived from the homogenised precipitation series for Chatsworth House are presented in Fig. 4. The wet phase in the 1870–1880s is notable, with extreme droughts noted with an SPI < -2 (McKee et al. 1993). The well-documented drought of 1975–76 is evident, but so are several earlier droughts, which are of comparable or greater severity.

## Drought and canals

During the 1770–90s, the British canal networks were in their infancy, lacking large reservoirs to compensate for dry weather. As a result of insufficient water supplies, canals lacked resilience. Dry weather often resulted in water shortages; a series of notable droughts arising during this early period of canal development impacts both the canals, but also England more widely. In both 6- and 12-month SPI series for Chatsworth, the year 1785 stands out as a particularly severe drought, with peak severity occurring in May 1785 (-4.39) and July 1785 (-3.72) in the 6- and 12-month SPIs respectively. Corroboratory archival evidence supports this, with several accounts in the TEMPEST database (Veale et al. 2017) documenting the impacts of the severe drought of 1785 regionally and nationally. Estate correspondence from Husbands Bosworth in Leicestershire (~90 km to the south), talks of the 'calamitous season', with land agents (estate managers) writing in July 1785 noting that 'by reason of an extraordinary drought, the graziers are in the greatest distress' (LLRRO DG39/708) and also commenting on a fire, which spread rapidly because of the dryness, requiring a house to be pulled down to halt its progress (LLRRO DG39/708). Fast-developing early modern towns, with closely spaced wooden buildings, were vulnerable to fire, particularly during dry weather (Morgan 2016). Pasturage, and winter hay, for grazing animals is quick to suffer during a drought, and reports of dry, brown grass appear frequently in reports of dry weather, of both aesthetic and agricultural concern. Others also noted impacts on mills from the drying of watercourses, and moorland fires during the drought of 1785 (Garnier et al. 2015). In considering the archival accounts from 1785, the drought can be seen to have had meteorological, hydrological, agricultural and socio-economic impacts on the local communities.

Maintaining constant canal water supplies in the latter part of the eighteenth century appears to have been problematic, with 1785 a particularly difficult year. In 1797, the Trent and Mersey Canal Company (when proposing a new reservoir)



**Fig. 3** Double mass curve of cumulative annual rainfall totals (top) for **a** Manchester and Chatsworth, **b** Spalding and Chatsworth, and **c** Manchester and Spalding, with corresponding test statistic ( $T_v$ ) series (**d**, **e**, **f**) and ratio  $Q_i$  series (**g**, **h**, **i**) performed following the SNHT

approach of Alexandersson (1986). Grey lines in **a**, **b**, **c** indicate break points as shown in **d**, **e**, **f**. Chosen critical threshold level for  $T_v$  is 7.75, the 95% level, for  $n = 25$


reported to a parliamentary committee that they faced particularly severe water shortages in the years 1785, 1788, 1789, and 1791, 1792, 1793, 1794, 1795, and 1796 (SRO D593/V/3/29). The water shortages in 1785, 1788 and 1789 may be directly related to droughts, reflecting a possible national drought rich phase; Todd et al. (2013) note the period 1783–1791 at Oxford as one of the most severe drought periods (1767–2011). The water shortages in 1791–1796 are likely to be the result of a combination of dry weather and increased canal traffic. The onset of the 1788–1789 drought occurred in November 1788, as evidenced in both SPI-6 and SPI-12, with termination at the end of May 1789 (SPI-6) and mid-June 1789 (SPI-12). Whilst the summer of 1788 was not remarkably dry, March, April and May had between 54 and 84% of mean rainfall, which may have been sufficient to cause problems for the canals. The final months of 1788 were dry, with only 18 mm of rain in November and 3 mm of rain in December, and overall the year saw only 70% of mean annual rainfall at Chatsworth. The summer months of June and July were actually wet in 1789, both having more than double the mean rainfall; however, without the capability to actively manage the water using reservoirs, this rainfall will have been little use to the canal company.

It appears there is no simple relationship between rainfall and water shortages on canals. This is unsurprising, since water for canals was acquired from a combination of river and groundwater sources (including mine discharges); therefore, a delayed response to rainfall deficit is to be expected, as is regional variation (Lennard et al. 2014). Human factors, such as canal traffic and competition with other water users, also have a major influence, which could greatly affect amounts of water available during dry weather. The droughts of the 1780s, however, do seem to have had an impact on the canal network and should therefore be considered as factors in changing policies towards water use in the following years.

### Impacts of insufficient water supplies on canals

The drought of 1785, and less severe droughts in 1788–1789 and in the 1790s, had a notable impact on the canals, because the water supply did not keep pace with water demand. Rarely were these shortages so severe that the canals became completely unnavigable to all traffic, but they often meant that boats were forced to navigate with significantly decreased





**Fig. 4** Time series of dry and wet periods using SPI-6 (standardised precipitation index—using a six-month series, top panel) and SPI-12 (standardised precipitation index—twelve-month, bottom panel) reconstructions for Chatsworth, based on reconstructed precipitation series

loads (Boughey and Hadfield 1994; Freeman 1980). In 1797, a witness to the Lord's Committee on the Bill for the Leek Branch of the Caldon Canal and Rudyard Reservoir stated that boats sometimes carried less than half a load in very dry weather, and often carried only three quarters of the normal load, and even following these reductions, could still be obliged to offload further goods on the canal banks to pass particularly shallow sections (SRO D593/V/3/29). Canal companies and boat operators faced both a reduction in income and higher costs when boats navigated with smaller loads.

The immediate financial cost was not the only problem created by water shortages. Repeatedly reducing loads risked damage to goods and extended delivery times. Significant transport delays might be faced by companies using canals to transport their raw materials and products, as a result of a build-up of goods in warehouses awaiting space on a boat for their onward journey. Delays at warehouses due to frosts, floods or water shortages were featured several times in the letters of Samuel Barnett and Company (SRO 6702/1), with

occasions where goods were left waiting more than a month before the backlog was cleared and they could be put aboard a boat for transportation. The impression given by these letters is that canal transport was unreliable and unpredictable in part because of the vulnerability of the canal system to extreme weather.

In addition to direct impacts on the canal companies and those directly involved in trading using the canal network, there could be wider and unexpected implications of water shortages for the canal network. There were some materials, for example, paving stones and other road building materials transported by canal boat that in times of good water supply paid significantly reduced tolls, but which could not be carried on the canal during water shortages. Hence, in 1810, the inhabitants of Newcastle-under-Lyme could not repair their roads because the paving stones were delayed due to water shortages on the Caldon Canal (SRO Q/SB 1810 T/466). The impact of dry weather on canals might have far-reaching consequences, affecting everyday activities unconnected to the canal.

## Competition and conflict

Water shortages on canals have previously been attributed to a combination of increasing competition for water and increasing traffic (Boughey and Hadfield 1994, p. 47). Undoubtedly, other water supply issues compounded the problems caused by dry weather, in particular competition between water users. Both water shortages and water supply innovation may have been driven by increasing competition for water. The canal companies were required by Act of Parliament to pay compensation for any water that they used to the deficit of other water users. Determining the impact of canals on the surrounding area was a time-consuming and expensive process, requiring agents of the canal companies, canal commissioners and landowners to enter into lengthy discussions.

Rivers suitable for operating mills would often have many mills and weirs along their length (Downward and Skinner 2005), and any mill negatively impacted by water being redirected for the canal would be entitled to compensation. In 1796, an agent of the Trent and Mersey Canal Company described the payments of compensation as 'bothersome' and 'growing daily with the increase of trade and population' (SRO D593/L/1/14/2). The Trent and Mersey Canal Company purchased at least one mill that was previously using the water that they needed to take to supply the canal. The Staffordshire and Worcestershire canal similarly leased water mills in lieu of paying compensation (SRO D3186/1/1/1). These, however, were not long-term solutions and only effective at a local level. To avoid competing with other water users, the canal companies were obliged to look for alternative water sources.

The economic pressure of compensating other users for loss or reduction of their water supply resulted in many canal companies investing in technologies and management techniques. Technical advances made it possible to increase the size or number of reservoirs and investing in machinery to pump water to where it was needed became a viable option and an obvious solution for many companies (Boughey and Hadfield 1994), but looking beyond rivers and streams for water supplies also became necessary.

Water shortages were almost exclusively a summer phenomenon, often coinciding with periods of dry weather. To describe them as simply an effect of growing competition for water misses a more complex story concerning the interaction between weather and water demand. It does not take into account the impossibility of maintaining constant water supplies during periods of low flow, without the use of storage reservoirs.

## Innovation

New infrastructure was needed to allow canal companies to make use of rain and flood water during drier times of the year. Earlier small reservoirs were insufficient to hold excess water during times of heavy rainfall, and water frequently flowed over the top of their dams (SRO D593/V/3/29). On the Trent and Mersey Canal, nearly all the reported water shortages affected the Caldon Canal, a branch which joins the main canal near its summit (Hadfield 1969; Lindsay 1979, p. 64). Although navigable and an important link to sources of limestone, a major function of the Caldon Canal was to bring water from the three main reservoirs at Knypersley, Stanley and Bagnall (Binnie 1987, p. 112; SRO D593/V/3/29). The Caldon Canal's two roles as a feeder and as a navigable canal were not always compatible, and the water in the Caldon Canal itself was drawn down into the main canal during periods of dry weather.

With increasing competition from other water users, the Trent and Mersey Company investigated new sources of water, and in 1793, without finding any significant source of water from nearby streams or springs, they started to plan a new reservoir to catch flood and rainwater, which would be much larger than any that had been built in Britain before.

In 1797, they obtained an Act of Parliament and built Rudyard Reservoir, in a valley in the North Staffordshire. With a surface area of 65 ha (0.65km<sup>2</sup>), Rudyard is more comparable to later drinking water reservoirs than its canal predecessors (which rarely exceeded 10 ha). When the bill for its construction was taken to parliament, the only precedent was a reservoir 'not quite so large, but pretty nearly so ... belonging to the Rochdale Canal' (SRO D593/V/3/29). It appears that the planners of Rudyard were unaware of the Lac de Saint-Ferréol which had supplied the Canal du Midi in France

since 1672 (Mukerji 2009). In this light, Rudyard reservoir was the legislative test case for later reservoirs in Britain. It was designed to be about 2 miles in length (3 km), with dam heights quoted as between 42-ft (12.8 m) and 30-ft (9 m) high, with an innovative dam to match its innovative size, designed by canal engineer John Rennie (Binnie 1987).

The new scheme faced considerable opposition; many of the arguments against the reservoir focused on the size of the proposed reservoir and suspicions of a hidden motive behind the construction. Opponents to the scheme suggested that Rudyard was too big to be filled with rainwater alone and that the Trent and Mersey Company was planning to divert river water into it after construction (TNA RAIL 1019/15/41). Without precedent, Rudyard Reservoir represented the beginning of a new phase of water engineering and used water in a way and on a scale previously unseen in Britain.

## Long-term impacts

This period can also be seen as an important step in the establishment of rights over water; Rudyard reservoir is important as the legislative test case which paved the way for building large capacity reservoirs, which are an essential component of the modern provision of reliable and resilient modern domestic water supplies. However, Rudyard also had other, more direct long-term impacts on reservoir building, since it has been suggested that the innovative dam was used as a prototype for at least two other major dams (Binnie 1987). These include later canal reservoirs, such as the enlargement of Knypersley, another of the Trent and Mersey Company's reservoirs, but also Glencorse Reservoir (Binnie 1987). Glencorse was built in the early nineteenth century to supplement Edinburgh's public water supply and provides a direct link between the early large capacity reservoirs and later potable water reservoirs. Rudyard was also the first large compensation reservoir, supplying a gauged amount of water equal to the flow of the River Churnet in normal conditions at all times of year (ICE REN/RB/02/001). The construction of Rudyard Reservoir, therefore, could be seen as a technological milestone.

A number of former canal reservoirs were repurposed as potable water reservoirs in the twentieth century, providing a further link between canal reservoirs and the modern water supply. Most small and some large reservoirs, such as Rudyard and Chasewater, were retained by the canal companies despite the decline in commercial canal use. However, others have become part of the domestic water supply system, such as the Rochdale Canal reservoirs at Blackstonedged and Chelburn, which now provide potable water to Manchester. In this way, canal reservoirs directly feed into modern drought preparedness.

Following restoration work undertaken by small groups of enthusiasts since the 1960s and 1970s, British canals have experienced a renewal of interest and use. The impact and likelihood of water shortages on canals today are significantly reduced compared with during the industrial revolution, with canal water supplies centrally managed (since 2012 by the Canals and Rivers Trust) making competition between canal companies no longer an issue. Canal traffic is also more flexible and less critical, consisting mainly of leisure boaters, rather than providing deliveries essential for the industry. Similar issues with balancing weather, demand and competition between water users, as faced by the canal companies at the turn of the nineteenth century, remain present today for other suppliers of water, such as water companies.

Although some of the water shortages discussed appear to have been a direct consequence of drought, it remains that the canal companies were ill prepared for extreme weather, and perhaps as the shortages in the 1790s demonstrate, even ill prepared for normal conditions in the face of changing water demands. Lack of preparedness for drought in the face of changing demand and expectations has been a concern across time, and one that remains relevant today (Taylor et al. 2009).

## Conclusions

The development of new water supply solutions for the canal network, which following comparison of archival material and rainfall data appears to have been driven in part by responses to drought, was an important phase in the development of water supply systems in Britain, which has been previously overlooked. Droughts had a serious impact on the early industrial canal network and were a source of anxiety for companies reliant on canal transport. By bringing together both instrumental and archival sources, an enhanced understanding of the effects of dry weather on the canal network is beginning to emerge. The reconstructed composite precipitation series for Chatsworth House provides a valuable new long series for Central England. Droughts identified within the Chatsworth House series since 1800–present are not discussed here but are comparable to those identified in other studies, such as 1870–1872 (Todd et al. 2013), 1932–35 (Van Lieshout 2016), 1976 (Lennard et al. 2014) and 1996 (Spraggs et al. 2015). However, the wet period 1877–1883 is particularly notable, even compared with other long series. It is replicated and comparable in other local short series, suggesting that this period was particularly wet and worthy of further analysis. Water shortages linked to the dry weather and drought events in the 1780s and 1790s led to improvements in canal infrastructure, particularly through the development of larger reservoirs. The development of canal reservoirs

preceded and informed the reservoirs developed in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries for drinking water and pioneered systems of providing compensation water to rivers and streams.

**Acknowledgements** This research would not have been possible without the support and cooperation of the Staffordshire Record Office.

**Funding information** This research was funded by the Arts and Humanities Research Council, grant number AH/N005147/1.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## References

### Archival sources

- ICE (Institution of Civil Engineers Archive) REN/RB/02/001 (1797) Rennie, Correspondence re. Rudyard Reservoir, dated 28<sup>th</sup> February 1797
- LLRRO (Leicestershire, Leicester and Rutland Record Office) DG39/708 (1785) letter from John Eyston, Welford to Francis Fortescue Turville, dated 19th July 1785 (TEMPEST database. <https://www.nottingham.ac.uk/geography/extreme-weather/search/>. Accessed December 2018
- SRO (Staffordshire Record Office) 6702/1 (1796–1803) Letter book of Samuel Barnett and Company, Tin Plate Manufacturers of Kings Bromley
- SRO D3186/1/1/1 (1766–1845) Minutes of half-yearly general meetings of the proprietors of the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal Company
- SRO D593/L/1/14/2 (1795) Letter from John Sparrow, Clerk of the Trent and Mersey Canal Company to Granville Leveson-Gower, 1<sup>st</sup> Marquess of Stafford, dated 7 September 1795
- SRO D593/V/3/29 (1797) Minutes of the Lord's Committee to whom was referred the Bill, intituled, 'An Act to enable the Company of Proprietors of the Navigation from the Trent to the Mersey, to make a Navigable Canal from and out of the certain Branch of their said Navigation, called the Caldon Canal, at or near Endon, to or near the Town of Leek, in the County of Stafford; and also a Reservoir for suppling the several Canals of the said Company with Water' Ordered to be printed 14th March 1797
- SRO Q/SB 1810 T/466 (1810) Quarter Session Record (Highways and Bridges) Motion relating to indictment against inhabitants of Newcastle under Lyme for disrepair of highway July 1810
- TNA (The National Archive) RAIL 871/1 (1766–1785) Committee Order Book of the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal Company

- TNA RAIL 871/2 (1803–1804) Committee Order Book of the Staffordshire and Worcestershire Canal Company
- TNA RAIL 878 (1777–1853) Records of the Trent and Mersey Canal Company
- TNA RAIL 1019/15/41 (1797) The case of the manufacturers of the Staffordshire Potteries, Petitioners against the Caldon and Leek Canal and Reservoir Bill 10th January 1797

### Published sources

- Adamson GCD (2015) Private diaries as information sources in climate research. *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Clim Chang* 6(6):599–611. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.365>
- Adamson GCD, Hannaford MJ, Rohland EJ (2018) Re-thinking the present: the role of a historical focus in climate change adaptation research. *Glob Environ Chang* 48:195–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.12.003>
- Alexandersson H (1986) A homogeneity test applied to precipitation data. *J Climatol* 6:661–675. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060607>
- Bazza M (2007) Overview of the history of water resources and irrigation management in the Near East region. *Water Sci Technol Water Supply* 7:201–209. <https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2007.023>
- Binnie GM (1987) Early dam builders in Britain. Thomas Telford Publishing, London. <https://doi.org/10.1680/edbib.03958.fm>
- Blair J (ed) (2014) *Waterways and canal-building in Medieval England*. Oxford University Press, Oxford. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780198723134.001.0001>
- Bond J (2014) Canal construction in the Early Middle Ages: an introductory review. In: *Waterways and canal-building in Medieval England*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 153–207. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780198723134.003.0008>
- Bouhey J, Hadfield C (1994) *Hadfield's British canals: the inland waterways of Britain and Ireland*, 8th edn. Sutton, Stroud
- Brázdil R, Trnka M, Dobrovolný P, Chromá K, Hlavinka P, Žalud Z (2008) Variability of droughts in the Czech Republic. *Theor Appl Climatol*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-008-0065-x>
- Brázdil R, Dobrovoln P, Trnka M, Kotyza O, Rezníčková L, Valášek H, Zahradníček P, Stěpánek P (2013) Droughts in the Czech lands, 1090–2012 AD. *Clim Past* 9:1985–2002. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-9-1985-2013>
- Brázdil R, Kiss A, Luterbacher J, Nash DJ, Rezníčková L (2018) Documentary data and the study of past droughts: a global state of the art. *Clim Past* 14:1915–1960. <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-14-1915-2018>
- Brooks J, Glasspoole CEP (1928) *British floods and droughts*. Ernest Benn, London
- Camuffo D, Bertolin C, Diodato N, Cocheo C, Barriendos M, Dominguez-Castro F, Garnier E, Alcoforado MJ, Nunes MF (2013) Western Mediterranean precipitation over the last 300 years from instrumental observations. *Clim Chang* 117:85–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0539-9>
- Craddock JM (1979) Methods of comparing annual rainfall records for climatic purposes. *Weather* 34:332–346. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1477-8696.1979.tb03465.x>
- Downward S, Skinner K (2005) Working rivers: the geomorphological legacy of English freshwater mills. *Area* 37:138–147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-4762.2005.00616.x>
- Endfield GH, Tejedo IF, O'Hara SL (2004) Drought and disputes, deluge and dearth: climatic variability and human response in colonial Oaxaca, Mexico. *J Hist Geogr* 30:249–276. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-7488\(03\)00023-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-7488(03)00023-9)
- Farley J (1811) General view of the agriculture and minerals of Derbyshire: with observations on the means of their improvement. In: *Drawn up for the consideration of the Board of Agriculture and Internal Improvement*, vol 1. Printed by B. McMillan, London
- Fink AH, Brücher T, Krüger A, Leckebusch GC, Pinto JG, Ulbrich U (2004) The 2003 European summer heatwaves and drought - synoptic diagnosis and impacts. *Weather* 59:209–216. <https://doi.org/10.1256/wea.73.04>
- Freeman MJ (1980) Road transport in the English industrial revolution: an interim reassessment. *J Hist Geogr* 6:17–28
- Gardiner MF (2017) Inland waterways and coastal transport: landing places, canals and bridges. In: *Water and the environment in the Anglo-Saxon world*. Liverpool University Press, Liverpool, pp 152–166. <https://doi.org/10.2307/j.ctt1ps31q2>
- T (1796) Meteorological observations. In: *Memoirs of the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society*. v4 part II, pp 517–640. <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/52236>. Accessed December 2018
- Garnier E, Assimacopoulos D, van Lanen HAJ (2015) Drought-R&SPI Technical Report No.35: Historic droughts beyond the modern instrumental records: an analysis of cases in United Kingdom, France, Rhine and Syros. <http://www.eu-drought.org/technicalreports>. Accessed June 2018
- Groisman PY, Koknaeva VV, Belokrylova TA, Karl TR (1991) Overcoming biases of precipitation measurement: a history of the USSR experience. *Bull Am Meteorol Soc* 72:1725–1733. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477\(1991\)072<1725:OBOPMA>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1991)072<1725:OBOPMA>2.0.CO;2)
- Hadfield C (1969) *The canals of the West Midlands*, 2nd edn. David & Charles, Newton Abbot
- Hassan JA (1985) The growth and impact of the British water industry in the nineteenth century. *Econ Hist Rev* 531:531–547. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0289.1985.tb00387.x>
- Jankovic V (2000) *Reading the skies: a cultural history of English weather, 1650–1820*. Manchester University Press, Manchester
- Jones PD, Lister DH (1998) Riverflow reconstructions for 15 catchments over England and Wales and an assessment of hydrologic drought since 1865. *Int J Climatol* 18:999–1013. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0088\(199807\)18:9<999::AID-JOC300>3.0.CO;2-8](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199807)18:9<999::AID-JOC300>3.0.CO;2-8)
- Jones CA, Davies SJ, Macdonald N (2012) Examining the social consequences of extreme weather: the outcomes of the 1946/1947 winter in upland Wales, UK. *Clim Chang* 113(1):35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-012-0413-9>
- Lennard AT, Macdonald N, Hooke JM (2014) Analysis of drought characteristics for improved understanding of a water resource system. Evolving water resources systems: understanding, predicting and managing water–society interactions (Proceedings of ICWRS2014, Bologna, Italy, June 2014) IAHS Publ. 364, pp 404–409
- Lennard AT, Macdonald N, Clark S, Hooke JM (2015) The application of a drought reconstruction in water resource management. *Hydrol Res* 47(3):646–659. <https://doi.org/10.2166/nh.2015.090>
- Lindsay J (1979) *The Trent and Mersey Canal*. David & Charles, Newton Abbot
- Macdonald N, Sangster H (2017) High-magnitude flooding across Britain since AD 1750. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 21:1631–1650. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-21-1631-2017>
- Manley G (1972) *Manchester rainfall since 1765*. Memoirs of the Manchester Literary and Philosophical Society 114:70–89
- Marsh TJ, Greenfield BJ, Hannaford J (2005) The 1894 Thames flood - a reappraisal. *Proc Inst Civ Eng Water Manag* 158:103–110. <https://doi.org/10.1680/wama.2005.158.3.103>
- Marsh T, Cole GA, Wilby R (2007) Major droughts in England and Wales, 1800–2006. *Weather* 62:87–93. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wea.67>
- Mckee TB, Doesken NJ, Kleist J (1993) The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. *AMS 8th Conf Appl Climatol*, pp 179–184
- Met Office (2018) *Met Office MIDAS Open: UK land surface stations data (1853-current)*. Centre for Environmental Data Analysis, Jan 2018

- Mishra AK, SinghVijay P (2010) A review of drought concepts. *Journal of Hydrology* 391 (1-2):202–216. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2010.07.012>
- Mitchell JK (2011) Looking backward to see forward: historical changes of public knowledge about climate hazards in Ireland. *Ir Geogr* 44(1):7–26. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00750778.2011.615492>
- Morgan JE (2016) The representation and experience of English urban fire disasters, c. 1580–1640. *Hist Res* 89:268–293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2281.12123>
- Mukerji C (2009) *Impossible engineering: technology and territoriality on the Canal du Midi*. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- Namias J (1981) Severe drought and recent history. In: *Climate and history: studies in interdisciplinary history*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, pp 117–132 JSTOR, <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctt7zv859.9>. Accessed December 2018
- Olivier-Smith A, Hoffman S (1999) A cultural and social construction of catastrophe. In: *The angry earth: disaster in anthropological perspective*. Routledge, London, pp 73–88
- Palmer WC (1965) *Meteorological droughts*. U. S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau Research Paper 45
- Pedgley DE (2002) A short history of the British Rainfall Organization. *Occasional Papers on Meteorological History* No. 5. Royal Meteorological Society, Reading
- Plymley J (1803) *General view of the agriculture of Shropshire: with observations*. Drawn up for the consideration of the Board of Agricultural and Internal Improvement Printed by B. McMillan, London
- Porter E (1978) *Water management in England and Wales*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, p 1978
- Rhodes E (2014) Identifying human modification of river channels. In: *Waterways and canal-building in Medieval England*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, pp 133–153. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:osobl/9780198723134.003.0007>
- Rodda JC, Marsh TJ (2011) The 1975–76 drought—a contemporary and retrospective review. *Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Wallingford, Crowmarsh Gifford*
- Sangster H, Jones C, Macdonald N (2018) The co-evolution of historical source materials in the geophysical, hydrological and meteorological sciences: learning from the past and moving forward. *Prog Phys Geogr* 42(1):61–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309133317744738>
- Satchell M (2017) *Navigable waterways and the economy of England and Wales: 1600–1835*. The online atlas of transport, urbanization and economic development c. 1680–1911, Cambridge
- Spinoni J, Naumann G, Vogt JV, Barbosa P (2015) The biggest drought events in Europe from 1950 to 2012. *J Hydrol Reg Stud* 3:509–524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrh.2015.01.001>
- Spraggs G, Peaver L, Jones P, Ede P (2015) Re-construction of historic drought in the Anglian Region (UK) over the period 1798–2010 and the implications for water resources and drought management. *J Hydrol* 526:231–252. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2015.01.015>
- Stone D (2014) The impact of drought in early fourteenth-century England. *Econ Hist Rev* 67:435–462. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0289.12035>
- Strauss S, Orlove BS (2003) *Weather, climate, culture*. Berg, Oxford
- Taylor V, Chappells H, Medd W, Trentmann F (2009) Drought is normal: the socio-technical evolution of drought and water demand in England and Wales, 1893–2006. *J Hist Geogr* 35:568–591. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhg.2008.09.004>
- Todd B, Macdonald N, Chiverrell RC, Caminade C, Hooke JM (2013) Severity, duration and frequency of drought in SE England from 1697 to 2011. *Clim Chang* 121:673–687. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-013-0970-6>
- Van Lanen HAJ (2006) Drought propagation through the hydrological cycle. *Climate variability and change- hydrological impacts* (Proceedings of the Fifth FRIEND World Conference held at Havana, Cuba, November 2006). IAHS Publ 308:122–127
- Van Lieshout C (2016) Droughts and dragons: geography, rainfall, and eighteenth-century London's water systems. *Technol Cult* 57:780–80559. <https://doi.org/10.1353/tech.2016.0107>
- Van Loon AF, Gleeson T, Clark J, Van Dijk AIJM, Stahl K, Hannaford J, Di Baldassarre G, Teuling AJ, Tallaksen LM, Uijlenhoet R, Hannah DM, Sheffield J, Svoboda M, Verbeiren B, Wagener T, Rangelcroft S, Wanders N, Van Lanen HAJ (2016a) Drought in the Anthropocene. *Nat Geosci* 9:89–91. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo2646>
- Van Loon AF, Stahl K, Di Baldassarre G, Clark J, Rangelcroft S, Wanders N, Gleeson T, Van Dijk AIJM, Tallaksen LM, Hannaford J, Uijlenhoet R, Teuling AJ, Hannah DM, Sheffield J, Svoboda M, Verbeiren B, Wagener T, Van Lanen HAJ (2016b) Drought in a human-modified world: reframing drought definitions, understanding, and analysis approaches. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 20:3631–3650. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-20-3631-2016>
- Veale L, Endfield GH, Davies S, Macdonald N, Naylor S, Royer M-J, Bowen J, Tyler-Jones R, Jones C (2017) Dealing with the deluge of historical weather data: the example of the TEMPEST database. *Geo Geogr Environ* 4:e00039. <https://doi.org/10.1002/geo.2.39>
- Waddington K (2017) “I should have thought that Wales was a wet part of the world”: drought, rural communities and public health, 1870–1914. *Soc Hist Med* 30:590–611. <https://doi.org/10.1093/shm/hkw118>
- Westermann A, Rohr C (2015) Climate and beyond : The Production of Knowledge about the Earth as a Signpost of Social Change ; an Introduction. *Hist Soc Res* 40(2):7–21. <https://doi.org/10.12759/hsr.40.2015.2.7-21>
- Wetter O, Pfister C, Werner JP, Zorita E, Wagner S, Seneviratne SI, Herget J, Grünewald U, Luterbacher J, Alcoforado M-J, Barriendos M, Bieber U, Brázdil R, Burmeister KH, Camenisch C, Contino A, Dobrovolný P, Glaser R, Himmelsbach I, Kiss A, Kotyza O, Labbé T, Limanówka D, Litzemberger L, Nordl Ø, Pribyl K, Retsö D, Riemann D, Rohr C, Siegfried W, Söderberg J, Spring J-L (2014) The year-long unprecedented European heat and drought of 1540 – a worst case. *Clim Chang* 125:349–363. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-014-1184-2>
- Wilhite DA, Glantz MH (1985) Understanding the drought phenomenon: the role of definitions. *Water Int* 10(3):111–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02508068508686328>
- Zaidman MD, Rees HG, Young AR (2002) Spatio-temporal development of streamflow droughts in north-west Europe. *Hydrol Earth Syst Sci* 6:733–751. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-6-733-2002>

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.