

Zili Wu · Jane J. Ye

## On error bounds for lower semicontinuous functions

Received: April 27, 2001 / Accepted: November 6, 2001  
Published online April 12, 2002 – © Springer-Verlag 2002

**Abstract.** We give some sufficient conditions for proper lower semicontinuous functions on metric spaces to have error bounds (with exponents). For a proper convex function  $f$  on a normed space  $X$  the existence of a local error bound implies that of a global error bound. If in addition  $X$  is a Banach space, then error bounds can be characterized by the subdifferential of  $f$ . In a reflexive Banach space  $X$ , we further obtain several sufficient and necessary conditions for the existence of error bounds in terms of the lower Dini derivative of  $f$ .

**Key words.** local error bound – global error bound – subdifferential – lower Dini derivative

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space,  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  a proper function (that is,  $\text{dom } f := \{x \in X : f(x) < +\infty\}$  is nonempty) and  $S := \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 0\}$ . We say that  $f$  has a *local (global) error bound* if for some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  ( $\epsilon = +\infty$ ) there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in X \text{ with } f(x) < \epsilon$$

where  $f(x)_+ = \max\{f(x), 0\}$ , and  $d_S(x) := \inf\{d(x, s) : s \in S\}$  if  $S$  is nonempty and  $d_S(x) = +\infty$  if  $S$  is empty.

Error bounds have important applications in sensitivity analysis of mathematical programming and in convergence analysis of some algorithms. In recent years, the study of error bounds has received a lot of attention in the mathematical programming literature. The reader is referred to the survey paper [5] for the relevant work and the references. However, most previous error bound results assume continuous or convex functions. Recently Ng and Zheng [6–8] and Wu and Ye [11] have made progress on the study of error bounds for discontinuous functions on general spaces. The purpose of this paper is to further extend several results in [6, 7, 11].

---

Z. Wu: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 3P4, e-mail: ziliwu@joymail.com

J.J. Ye: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, B.C., Canada V8W 3P4, e-mail: janeye@math.uvic.ca. The research of the author was supported by NSERC and a University of Victoria internal research grant.

*Mathematics Subject Classification (2000):* 49J52, 90C26, 90C31

## 2. Error bounds for nonconvex functions

For nonconvex functions Ng and Zheng [7] have obtained several interesting results about the existence of global error bounds. For a proper function on a metric space Ng and Zheng [7, Lemma 2.2] have presented a sufficient condition on the existence of a global error bound. Applying their result to the function  $f_\epsilon$  defined by

$$f_\epsilon(x) = f(x) + \psi_{S_\epsilon}(x) \quad \forall x \in X$$

where  $\psi_{S_\epsilon}$  is the indicator function of the set  $S_\epsilon := \{x \in X : f(x) < \epsilon\}$ , we can easily derive the following corresponding sufficient condition for error bounds.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  a proper function. Suppose that the set  $S$  is nonempty. If for some constants  $\mu > 0, 0 \leq \rho < 1$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon) := \{y \in X : 0 < f(y) < \epsilon\}$  there exists  $x' \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that*

$$d_S(x') \leq \rho d_S(x) \tag{1}$$

and

$$d(x, x') \leq \mu[f(x) - f(x')], \tag{2}$$

then

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon).$$

Note that the assumptions in Theorem 1 are very weak in that the space  $X$  is only required to be a metric space and  $f$  is only a proper function. If the space  $X$  is a normed space and the function  $f$  is lower semicontinuous, then one can replace condition (2) with an inequality involving the lower Dini derivative of  $f$  at  $x \in \text{dom } f$  in the direction  $v \in X$  given by

$$f^-(x; v) := \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}.$$

We need the following result of the mean-valued theorem given by Ng and Zheng [7, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 1 ([7, Lemma 2.1]).** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous function; let  $x \in \text{dom } f, h \in X$  with  $\|h\| = 1$  and  $t > 0$ . Assume that there exists  $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $\alpha \in [0, t), f^-(x + \alpha h; h) \leq \delta$ . Then*

$$f(x + th) - f(x) \leq t\delta.$$

As Ng and Zheng showed in [7, Theorem 2.4] that inequality (2) in Theorem 1 can be replaced with a condition in terms of the lower Dini derivative for the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$ , we use Lemma 1 to prove the corresponding result for the case  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f$  a proper lower semicontinuous function on  $X$ ; let  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$ ,  $0 < \mu < +\infty$  and  $0 \leq \rho < 1$ . Suppose that  $S$  is nonempty and that for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon) = \{y \in X : 0 < f(y) < \epsilon\}$  there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that*

$$d_S(x + t_x h_x) \leq \rho d_S(x) \text{ and } f^-(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x].$$

Then

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu [f(x)]_+ \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon).$$

*Proof.* The result for the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$  has been given in [7, Theorem 2.4], we only need to consider the case  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$ .

For any  $n > 1$  with  $n \in N$ , let

$$F_n(x) = f(x) + \psi_{S_n}(x)$$

where  $S_n = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{n})\epsilon\}$  and  $\psi_{S_n}$  is the indicator function of  $S_n$ . Then for  $x \in F_n^{-1}(0, +\infty)$ , by the assumption, there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that

$$f^-(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x].$$

By Lemma 1, the above inequality implies that

$$f(x + th_x) - f(x) \leq t(-\mu^{-1}) \quad \forall t \in (0, t_x),$$

that is,

$$f(x + th_x) \leq f(x) - t\mu^{-1} < \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\epsilon \quad \forall t \in (0, t_x).$$

Consequently

$$F_n^-(x + th_x; h_x) = f^-(x + th_x; h_x) \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x].$$

Therefore applying the result of this theorem for the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$  to the lower semicontinuous function  $F_n$ , one has

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu F_n(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in F_n^{-1}(-\infty, +\infty).$$

Since  $1 < n \in N$  is arbitrary, the desired result is proven. □

Another direction for simplifying the conditions in Theorem 1 is to assume that  $X$  is a complete metric space on which the well-known Ekeland variational principle holds. Indeed using an equivalent form of the Ekeland variational principle by Hamel [4], Ng and Zheng [7, Lemma 2.3] showed that in a complete metric space  $X$  condition (1) in Theorem 1 can be omitted for the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$ . In fact we can further show that in a complete metric space the nonemptiness of  $S$  has already been implied by the other conditions in Theorem 1, that is, the nonemptiness of  $S$  comes as a conclusion instead of an assumption thanks to the existence theorem given by Takahashi [10]. To prove the above claim, we summarize the results on the existence of minima and the equivalent statement of the Ekeland variational principle due to Takahashi and Hamel as follows.

**Proposition 1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  a proper lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Denote

$$\gamma := \inf\{f(x) : x \in X\} \text{ and } Z := \{z \in X : f(z) = \gamma\}.$$

If for some  $\mu > 0$  and each  $x \in X$  with  $\gamma < f(x)$  there exists  $x' \in X$  such that

$$0 < d(x, x') \leq \mu[f(x) - f(x')],$$

then

- (i) the set  $Z$  is nonempty ([10, Theorem 1]), and
- (ii)  $d_Z(x) \leq \mu[f(x) - f(z)] \quad \forall x \in X, z \in Z$  ([4, Theorem 2]).

**Theorem 3.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous function. Suppose that for some  $\mu > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists a point  $x' \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that

$$0 < d(x, x') \leq \mu[f(x) - f(x')].$$

Then  $S$  is nonempty and

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mu > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  satisfy the given condition. Then  $f(\cdot)_+$  is a lower semicontinuous function bounded from below with  $S = \{x \in X : f(x)_+ = 0\}$  and  $\gamma := \inf\{f(x)_+ : x \in X\} \geq 0$ .

For the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$ , by Proposition 1, the set  $Z = \{z \in X : f(z)_+ = \gamma\}$  is nonempty. To show that  $S$  is nonempty, it suffices to prove  $S = Z$ , that is,  $\gamma = 0$ . This must be true. Otherwise if  $\gamma$  were greater than 0 then for any  $z \in Z$  we have  $f(z) > 0$ . Hence by the assumption there exists  $z' \in f^{-1}[0, +\infty)$  such that

$$0 < d(z, z') \leq \mu[f(z) - f(z')],$$

from which it follows that  $f(z')_+ < f(z)_+ = \gamma$ , contradicting the definition of  $\gamma$ .

Next we consider the case  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$ . For each  $m > 1$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that the set

$$S_m := \left\{ x \in X : f(x) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right)\epsilon \right\}$$

is nonempty we define  $F_m : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  by

$$F_m(\cdot) = (f + \psi_{S_m})(\cdot)$$

where  $\psi_C$  is the indicator function of  $C$ . Then  $S = \{x \in X : F_m(x) \leq 0\}$  and  $F_m$  is proper lower semicontinuous since  $f$  is lower semicontinuous and the set  $S_m$  is closed. Besides for  $x \in F_m^{-1}(0, +\infty)$ , that is,  $x \in f^{-1}(0, (1 - \frac{1}{m})\epsilon]$ , by the assumption, there exists  $x' \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that

$$0 < d(x, x') \leq \mu[f(x) - f(x')],$$

which implies that  $x' \in f^{-1}[0, (1 - \frac{1}{m})\epsilon]$ . Such an  $x'$  satisfies  $x' \in F_m^{-1}[0, +\infty)$  and

$$0 < d(x, x') \leq \mu[F_m(x) - F_m(x')].$$

Therefore by the conclusion for the case  $\epsilon = +\infty$  the set  $S$  is nonempty and

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(x) &\leq \mu[F_m(x)_+] \quad \forall x \in F_m^{-1}(-\infty, +\infty) \\ &= \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in S_m, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$$

since  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon) = \cup_{m=2}^{+\infty} S_m$ .

□

As a result of Theorem 3, the conditions of Theorem 2 in a Banach space version can be greatly simplified as in [7, Theorem 2.5].

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous function. Let  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  and  $0 < \mu < +\infty$ . Suppose that the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that  $f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1}$ . Then  $S$  is nonempty and*

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu[f(x)]_+ \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon).$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 3, it suffices to show that for any  $\lambda > 1$  and  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists a point  $y \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that

$$0 < \|x - y\| \leq \lambda\mu[f(x) - f(y)].$$

Let  $\lambda > 1$  be fixed. For each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ , by assumption, there exists  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that  $f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1}$ . Let  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  be fixed and  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$  be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} = f^-(x; h_x).$$

Then for sufficiently large  $n$  we have

$$\frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} < -\frac{1}{\lambda\mu}.$$

From this and the lower semicontinuity of  $f$  it follows that

$$0 < \frac{1}{2}f(x) < f(x + t_n h_x) < f(x) - \frac{t_n}{\lambda\mu} < \epsilon$$

for sufficiently large  $n$ . For any such  $n$ , taking  $y = x + t_n h_x$ , we have

$$0 < t_n = \|x - y\| \leq \lambda\mu[f(x) - f(y)].$$

This completes the proof.

□

### 3. Error bounds with exponents

For a proper extended-valued function  $f$  on a metric space  $X$ , we say that  $f$  has a *local (global) error bound* with exponent  $\beta > 0$  if for some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  ( $\epsilon = +\infty$ ) there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu [f(x)_+]^\beta \quad \forall x \in X \text{ with } f(x) < \epsilon.$$

Simply replacing  $f$  by the function  $[f_+]^\beta$  in Theorems 1 and 3, we obtain the following sufficient conditions for error bounds with exponents.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper function. Suppose that the set  $S$  is nonempty. If for some constants  $\mu > 0, 0 \leq \rho < 1$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists  $x' \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that*

$$d_S(x') \leq \rho d_S(x) \tag{3}$$

and

$$0 < d(x, x') \leq \mu [f^\beta(x) - f^\beta(x')], \tag{4}$$

then

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu [f(x)_+]^\beta \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon). \tag{5}$$

With the stronger condition that  $X$  is complete and  $f$  is a proper lower semicontinuous function satisfying only (4), then  $S$  is automatically nonempty and the conclusion (5) holds.

Note that Theorem 5 extends [6, Theorem 1] from a weakly lower semicontinuous function  $f$  on a reflexive Banach space  $X$  to a proper lower semicontinuous function on a Banach space.

In order to derive the corresponding sufficient conditions for error bounds with exponents in terms of the lower Dini derivative of function  $f$ , we first give a chain rule for the lower Dini derivative of  $f^\beta$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous function; let  $0 < \mu < +\infty, 0 < \beta < +\infty$  and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  be constants. Then for  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x).$
- (ii)  $(f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \beta.$

Moreover if (i) or (ii) holds then

$$(f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) = \beta f^{\beta-1}(x) f^-(x; h_x).$$

*Proof.* Let  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$ . Suppose that inequality (i) holds. Then there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} = f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x).$$

Since  $0 < f(x) < \epsilon$ , for sufficiently large  $n$ , we have

$$\frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} < -(2\mu)^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x),$$

which with the lower semicontinuity of  $f$  implies that the following properties hold for  $f$ :

- (1)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} [f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)] = 0$ .
- (2)  $f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x) < 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ .
- (3)  $f^\beta(x + t_n h_x) - f^\beta(x) = \beta f^{\beta-1}(x)[f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)] + o(f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x))$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ .

Therefore

$$\frac{f^\beta(x + t_n h_x) - f^\beta(x)}{t_n} = \frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} \left[ \beta f^{\beta-1}(x) + \frac{o(f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x))}{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)} \right],$$

from which it follows that

$$(f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f^\beta(x + t_n h_x) - f^\beta(x)}{t_n} = \beta f^{\beta-1}(x) f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\frac{\beta}{\mu}.$$

This proves the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Conversely let inequality (ii) be true and  $t_n \rightarrow 0^+$  be such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(f^\beta)(x + t_n h_x) - (f^\beta)(x)}{t_n} = (f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) \leq -\frac{\beta}{\mu}.$$

From this inequality and the lower semicontinuity of  $f$  with  $0 < f(x) < \epsilon$  we see again that  $f$  still satisfies the above properties (1)–(3). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f^-(x; h_x) &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x + t_n h_x) - f(x)}{t_n} \\ &= \beta^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x) (f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) \\ &\leq -\mu^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x), \end{aligned}$$

that is, inequality (i) follows.

Note that in the proof of implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we have

$$(f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) \leq \beta f^{\beta-1}(x) f^-(x; h_x)$$

and

$$f^-(x; h_x) \leq \beta^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x) (f^\beta)^-(x; h_x).$$

Therefore no matter whether (i) or (ii) holds we always have

$$(f^\beta)^-(x; h_x) = \beta f^{\beta-1}(x) f^-(x; h_x).$$

□

Replacing the function  $f$  in Theorems 2 and 4 with  $[f_+]^\beta$  and applying Lemma 2, we obtain the following sufficient conditions for error bounds with exponents.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty)$  be a proper lower semicontinuous function; let  $0 < \mu < +\infty$ ,  $0 \leq \rho < 1$  and  $0 < \beta < +\infty$  be constants and  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$ . Suppose that  $S$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that*

$$d_S(x + t_x h_x) \leq \rho d_S(x) \text{ and} \tag{6}$$

and

$$f^-(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x + th_x) \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x]. \tag{7}$$

Then

$$d_S(x) \leq \frac{\mu}{\beta} [f(x)_+]^\beta \quad \forall x \in f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon). \tag{8}$$

With the stronger condition that  $X$  is complete (i.e.,  $X$  is a Banach space) and (7) is satisfied only for  $t = 0$ , then  $S$  is automatically nonempty and the conclusion (8) holds.

*Remark 1.* It is worth pointing out that Theorem 6 extends [6, Theorem 3] and [6, Corollaries 3 and 4] in which  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space and  $f$  is weakly lower semicontinuous and directionally continuous at  $x$  in the direction  $h_x$  with  $f^-(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} f^{1-\beta}(x)$ .

#### 4. Error bounds for l.s.c. convex functions

A nonconvex function may have a local error bound but no global error bounds. For example, it is easy to see that the function

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} |x| & \text{if } |x| \leq 1, \\ \sqrt{|x|} & \text{if } |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

has no global error bounds even though it has a local error bound. However, for a proper convex function, the existence of a local error bound always implies that of a global error bound.

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper convex function. Then, for some  $\mu > 0$  and  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $S$  is nonempty and  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ .
- (ii)  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in X$ .



*Proof.* The inclusion (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is trivial. To show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) we suppose that  $S$  is nonempty and

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in X \text{ with } f(x) < \epsilon.$$

It suffices to prove that the above estimate holds for all  $x \in X$  with  $\epsilon \leq f(x) < +\infty$  as well. Let  $x \in X$  with  $\epsilon \leq f(x) < +\infty$  be fixed. Then for any positive integer  $n$  there exists  $\bar{x} \in S$  such that

$$\|x - \bar{x}\| \leq d_S(x) + \frac{1}{n}. \tag{9}$$

Let  $\lambda := \frac{\epsilon}{2f(x)}$  and  $y = (1 - \lambda)\bar{x} + \lambda x$ . Then (9) implies that

$$\|x - y\| + \|y - \bar{x}\| \leq d_S(x) + \frac{1}{n}$$

from which we have

$$\|y - \bar{x}\| \leq d_S(x) - \|x - y\| + \frac{1}{n} \leq d_S(y) + \frac{1}{n}. \tag{10}$$

Since  $f$  is convex and  $\bar{x} \in S$ ,

$$f(y) \leq (1 - \lambda)f(\bar{x}) + \lambda f(x) \leq \lambda f(x) = \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

which implies  $d_S(y) \leq \mu f(y)_+$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} d_S(x) &\leq \|x - \bar{x}\| = \frac{1}{\lambda} \|y - \bar{x}\| = \frac{2f(x)}{\epsilon} \|y - \bar{x}\| \\ &\leq \frac{2f(x)}{\epsilon} \left( d_S(y) + \frac{1}{n} \right) \quad (\text{by (10)}) \\ &\leq \frac{2f(x)}{\epsilon} \left( \mu f(y)_+ + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{2f(x)}{\epsilon} \left( \mu \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{1}{n} \right) \\ &\leq \mu f(x) + \frac{2f(x)}{n\epsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The desired estimate is obtained since  $n$  is arbitrary. Hence (ii) follows. □

Recall that the subdifferential of a proper function  $f$  at  $x \in X$  in the sense of convex analysis is given by

$$\partial f(x) := \{ \xi \in X^* : f(y) - f(x) \geq \langle \xi, y - x \rangle \quad \forall y \in X \}$$

where  $X^*$  is the dual space of a normed space  $X$ . For a continuous convex function  $f$  on a reflexive Banach space  $X$ , under the condition the set  $S$  be nonempty, Ng and Zheng showed that there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in X$  if and only if  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  for each  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  and each  $x \in X$  with  $0 < f(x) < +\infty$

(see [7, Theorem 3.3]). Note that for a proper lower semicontinuous convex function  $f$  the subdifferential  $\partial f(x)$  coincides with the Clarke subdifferential  $\partial^\circ f(x)$  (see [2]). This fact allows us to use [11, Theorem 3.1] to extend their result to a proper lower semicontinuous convex function on a Banach space. In fact we can use Proposition 2 to obtain additional equivalent statements about error bounds as follows.

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function. Then for some constant  $\mu > 0$  the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  for each  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$ .
- (ii)  $S$  is nonempty and there exists  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  such that  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  for each  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ .
- (iii)  $S$  is nonempty and there exists  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  such that  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ .
- (iv)  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* For each  $x \in X$  with  $0 < f(x) < \epsilon$ , by [2, Definition 2.4.10],  $\xi \in \partial^\circ f(x)$  if and only if  $(\xi, -1) \in N_{epi f}(x, f(x))$  where  $epi f$  is the epigraph of  $f$  given by  $epi f = \{(x, s) \in X \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq s\}$  and  $N_{epi f}(x, f(x))$  is the Clarke normal cone to  $epi f$  at  $(x, f(x))$ . Since  $f$  is lower semicontinuous and convex,  $epi f$  is closed and convex. By [2, Proposition 2.4.4],  $N_{epi f}(x, f(x))$  coincides with the cone of normals in the sense of convex analysis. Thus  $\xi \in \partial^\circ f(x)$  if and only if  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$ . We will implicitly use this relation in the following proof of  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ .

$(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ : We only need to show that  $S$  is nonempty. Suppose that  $S$  were empty. Then  $0 \leq \inf\{f(v), v \in X\}$ . Taking  $u \in X$  with  $0 < f(u) < +\infty$  and  $t > 1$ , we have

$$f(u) \leq \inf_{v \in X} f(v) + (t\mu)^{-1}(t\mu)f(u).$$

Applying Ekeland’s variational principle [3] to  $f$  with  $\sigma = (t\mu)^{-1}(t\mu)f(u)$  and  $\lambda = (t\mu)f(u)$ , we find  $x \in X$  satisfying

$$f(v) + (t\mu)^{-1}\|v - x\| \geq f(x) \quad \forall v \in X.$$

This implies that  $0 < f(x) < +\infty$  and, by [2, Corollary 1 of Theorem 2.9.8],

$$0 \in \partial(f + (t\mu)^{-1}\|\cdot - x\|)(x) \subseteq \partial f(x) + \partial((t\mu)^{-1}\|\cdot - x\|)(x). \tag{11}$$

It follows that there exists  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  such that

$$\|\xi\|_* \leq (t\mu)^{-1} < \mu^{-1}$$

which contradicts statement  $(i)$ .

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ : If  $S$  is nonempty and there exists  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  such that  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  for each  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ , then taking  $\partial^\circ$  as an abstract subdifferential  $\partial_\omega$  in [11, Theorem 3.1] we have

$$d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+ \quad \forall x \in X \text{ with } f(x) < \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

By Proposition 2 this inequality holds for each  $x \in X$  with  $f(x) < \epsilon$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) follows directly from Proposition 2. It remains to prove (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Let  $x$  be such that  $0 < f(x) < +\infty$  and  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)$ . Then  $d_S(x) > 0$  and for any  $\xi \in \partial f(x)$  we have

$$\|\xi\| \cdot \|y - x\| \geq -\langle \xi, y - x \rangle \geq -[f(y) - f(x)] \geq f(x) \quad \forall y \in S.$$

Taking inferiors of both sides of the inequality for all  $y$  over  $S$  we obtain

$$\|\xi\| \cdot d_S(x) \geq f(x),$$

from which we have

$$\|\xi\| \geq \frac{f(x)}{d_S(x)} \geq \mu^{-1}.$$

Therefore the inequality desired follows. □

*Remark 2.* Wu and Ye pointed out in [11, Theorem 3.1] that if  $S$  is nonempty and  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  for each  $\xi \in \partial_\omega f(x)$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$  then  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$  for each  $x \in X$ , where  $\partial_\omega f(x)$  is an abstract subdifferential of  $f$  at  $x$  defined in [11]. As in the proof of the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Theorem 7, we can prove the property that the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and  $\|\xi\| \geq \mu^{-1}$  holds for each  $\xi \in \partial_\omega f(x)$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  implies that  $S$  is nonempty. Hence the condition that  $S$  be nonempty in [11, Theorem 3.1] can be omitted.

We recall that if  $f$  is convex then  $f^-(x; v)$  coincides with the usual directional derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  in the direction  $v$  given by

$$f'(x; v) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x + tv) - f(x)}{t}.$$

When  $f$  is convex and each point in a neighborhood of  $S$  has a closest point in  $S$ , the sufficient conditions for the existence of error bounds given in Theorems 3 and 4 become necessary as well.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  a lower semicontinuous convex function. Suppose that for some  $0 < \epsilon \leq +\infty$  each point  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  has a closest point in  $S := \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 0\}$ . If for some  $\mu > 0$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there holds  $d_S(x) \leq \mu f(x)_+$ , then for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$*

- (i) *there exists  $y \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  such that  $0 < \|x - y\| \leq \mu[f(x) - f(y)]$  and*
- (ii) *there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that*

$$f'(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x).$$

*Proof.* Given  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$ , let  $\bar{x}$  be in  $S$  such that  $\|x - \bar{x}\| = d_S(x)$ . Taking  $t_x = \|x - \bar{x}\|$  and  $h_x = t_x^{-1}(\bar{x} - x)$ , then  $\bar{x} = x + t_x h_x$ . Obviously for each  $0 < t < t_x$  we have  $d_S(\bar{x} - th_x) = t$ . This implies that  $0 < f(\bar{x} - th_x)$ . Besides, by the convexity of  $f$ ,

$$f(\bar{x} - th_x) \leq \left(1 - \frac{t}{t_x}\right) f(\bar{x}) + \frac{t}{t_x} f(x) < \epsilon.$$

Thus by the assumption

$$t = d_S(\bar{x} - th_x) \leq \mu f(\bar{x} - th_x) \leq \mu[f(\bar{x} - th_x) - f(\bar{x})] \quad \forall 0 < t < t_x.$$

Rewriting gives the following inequality

$$\frac{f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x} - th_x)}{t} \leq -\frac{1}{\mu} \quad \forall 0 < t < t_x.$$

For each  $0 < t < t_x$ , by the convexity of  $f$  again,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x + th_x) - f(x)}{t} &\leq \frac{f(\bar{x}) - f(x)}{t_x} = \frac{f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x} - t_x h_x)}{t_x} \\ &\leq \frac{f(\bar{x}) - f(\bar{x} - th_x)}{t} \leq -\frac{1}{\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

For any  $0 < t < t_x$ , taking  $y = x + th_x$ , we see from the above inequality that  $y \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  and  $0 < \|x - y\| \leq \mu[f(x) - f(y)]$ . This proves (i).

To prove (ii) we note that the point  $\bar{x}$  is also a closest point in  $S$  to the point  $x + th_x$  for each  $t \in (0, t_x)$ . Thus, for each  $0 < s < t_x - t$  with  $t \in [0, h_x)$ , as in the above discussion, we have

$$\frac{f(x + th_x + sh_x) - f(x + th_x)}{s} \leq -\frac{1}{\mu}$$

from which we obtain (ii). □

Note that for an l.s.c. convex function  $f$  on a Banach space  $X$  the set  $S = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq 0\}$  is closed and convex. If  $X$  is reflexive and  $S$  is nonempty then each point  $x \in X \setminus S$  has a closest point in  $S$ . As a result of Theorem 7, Proposition 3, Theorems 3 and 4, the equivalent statements about the existence of error bounds can be summarized as follows.

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$  be a proper lower semicontinuous convex function. Then for some  $\mu > 0$  the equivalent statements (i) – (iv) in Theorem 7 are all equivalent to any one of the following:*

(v) *For some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists a point  $y \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that  $0 < \|x - y\| \leq \mu[f(x) - f(y)]$ .*

(vi) *For each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$  there exists a point  $y \in f^{-1}[0, +\infty)$  such that*

$$0 < \|x - y\| \leq \mu[f(x) - f(y)].$$

(vii) *For some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $\lambda > 1$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists a point  $y \in f^{-1}[0, \epsilon)$  such that*

$$0 < \|x - y\| \leq \lambda\mu[f(x) - f(y)].$$

(viii) *For each  $\lambda > 1$  and each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$  there exists a point  $y \in f^{-1}[0, +\infty)$  such that  $0 < \|x - y\| \leq \lambda\mu[f(x) - f(y)]$ .*

(ix) For some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that

$$f'(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x).$$

(x) For each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$  there exist  $t_x > 0$  and  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that  $f'(x + th_x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1} \quad \forall t \in [0, t_x)$ .

(xi) For some  $0 < \epsilon < +\infty$  the set  $f^{-1}(-\infty, \epsilon)$  is nonempty and for each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, \epsilon)$  there exists  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that  $f'(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1}$ .

(xii) For each  $x \in f^{-1}(0, +\infty)$  there exists  $h_x \in X$  with  $\|h_x\| = 1$  such that  $f'(x; h_x) \leq -\mu^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* The implications (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (v)  $\Rightarrow$  (vii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follow directly from Proposition 3 and Theorem 3 while (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ix)  $\Rightarrow$  (xi)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) follow from Proposition 3 and Theorem 4. Similarly by Proposition 3 and Theorems 3 and 4 we have “(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (vi)  $\Rightarrow$  (viii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)” and “(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (x)  $\Rightarrow$  (xii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv)”.

□

As an application of Theorem 7 or 8, the following example is used to illustrate that not all convex functions have error bounds. The function in this example appears in [9] and was subsequently used in [1] and [5]. By its subdifferential we prove that this function has no error bounds even though it is convex.

*Example 1.* Consider the closed proper convex function

$$f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_1^2}{x_2} & \text{if } x_2 > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x_1 = x_2 = 0 \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Obviously  $S = \{(0, 0)\}$  and for each  $n \in N$  with  $0 < f(x_1, n) < \infty$  the subdifferential  $\partial f(x_1, n) = \{(2\frac{x_1}{n}, -\frac{x_1^2}{n^2})\}$ . For fixed  $x_1$  and any  $0 < \epsilon \leq \infty$  we have  $0 < f(x_1, n) < \epsilon$  when  $n$  is large enough and  $(2\frac{x_1}{n}, -\frac{x_1^2}{n^2}) \rightarrow (0, 0)$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . Consequently, by Theorem 7 or 8, there can not exist  $\mu > 0$  such that for all  $n$ ,

$$d_S((x_1, n)) \leq \mu f(x_1, n).$$

### References

1. Auslender, A., Crouzeix, J.P. (1989): Well-behaved asymptotical convex functions. *Analyse Non-Linéaire*, Gauthiers-Villars, Paris, pp. 101–122
2. Clarke, F.H. (1983): *Optimization and Nonsmooth Analysis*. Wiley-Interscience, New York
3. Ekeland, I. (1974): On the variational principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47**, 324–353
4. Hamel, A. (1994): Remarks to an equivalent formulation of Ekeland’s variational principle. *Optimization* **31**, 233–238
5. Lewis, A.S., Pang, J.S. (1998): Error bounds for convex inequality systems. In: Crouzeix, J.-P., Martinez-Legaz, J.-E., Volle, M., eds., *Generalized Convexity, Generalized Monotonicity*, pp. 75–110
6. Ng, K.F., Zheng, X.Y. (2000): Global error bounds with fractional exponents. *Math. Program., Ser. B* **88**, 357–370

7. Ng, K.F., Zheng, X.Y. (2002): Error bounds for lower semicontinuous functions in normed spaces. *SIAM J. Optim.* **12**(1), 1–17
8. Ng, K.F., Zheng, X.Y. Global weak sharp minima on Banach spaces. Preprint
9. Rockafellar, R.T. (1970): *Convex Analysis*. Princeton University Press, New Jersey
10. Wataru Takahashi (1991): Existence theorems generalizing fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings. In: Théra, M.A., Baillon, J.B., eds., *Fixed point theory and applications*. Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser. **252**, pp. 397–406. Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow
11. Wu, Z., Ye, J.J. (2002): Sufficient conditions for error bounds. *SIAM J. Optim.* **12**(2), 421–435