

## Linear fractional programming and duality

S. S. Chadha · Veena Chadha

Published online: 26 May 2007  
© Springer-Verlag 2007

**Abstract** This paper presents a dual of a general linear fractional functionals programming problem. Dual is shown to be a linear programming problem. Along with other duality theorems, complementary slackness theorem is also proved. A simple numerical example illustrates the result.

**Keywords** Linear fractional program · Linear program · Duality · Complementary slackness

### 1 Introduction

Duality in linear fractional programming has been studied by many, [Chadha \(1971\)](#), [Swarup \(1968\)](#) and [Kaska \(1969\)](#). Swarup has presented the dual of a linear fractional programming problem whose objective function is linear fractional but the constraints are non-linear in nature. Kaska has given the dual of a linear fractional program, which is constrained as the variable of the primal program. Chadha, has studied the duality for a restricted type of linear fractional functionals programming problem.

This present work considers a general maximization linear fractional functionals programming problem as the primal problem ( $P-P$ ). A linear programming problem is proposed as its dual problem ( $D-P$ ). The primal and the dual problems along with some assumptions are stated in section two. Four theorems, of section three, establish the desired duality relationship between the two problems. The complementary slackness theorem ensures that from the solution of one problem we can recover the

---

S. S. Chadha · V. Chadha (✉)  
Department of Mathematics, University of Wisconsin,  
Eau Claire, Hibbard Hall 522, Madison, WI 54701, USA  
e-mail: chadhav@uwec.edu

solution of the other problem. An illustrative numerical example is given in section four of the paper.

## 2 Primal and dual programs

The primal problem with assumptions is given below

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{maximize } f(x) = \frac{cx + \alpha}{dx + \beta} \\ & \text{subject to } x \in S, \\ & \text{where } S = [x : Ax \leq b, \quad x \geq 0]. \end{aligned} \tag{P-P}$$

Here  $A$  is  $m$  by  $n$  matrix,  $x$  and  $b$  are column vectors with  $n$  and  $m$  components respectively,  $c$  and  $d$  are row vectors with  $n$  components,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are constants.

It is assumed that  $dx + \beta > 0$  for all  $x$  in  $S$ ; objective function is continuously differentiable, and that the set  $S$  is regular (non-empty and bounded).

We propose the following problem as our dual problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } g(y, z) = z \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & \quad A^T y + d^T z \geq c^T \\ & \quad -b^T y + \beta z = \alpha \\ & \quad y \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{D-P}$$

$T$  over a matrix is used to denote the transpose of the matrix. The constraint set of the dual problem is denoted by  $L$ , i.e.

$$L = [y, z : A^T y + d^T z \geq c^T; \quad -b^T y + \beta z = \alpha; \quad y \geq 0].$$

Here  $y$  is a column vector with  $m$  components, and  $z$  is a scalar.

## 3 Duality theorems

**Theorem 1** (Weak duality theorem) *For all  $x$  in  $S$  and for all  $(y, z)$  in  $L$ ,  $f(x) \leq g(y, z)$ .*

*Proof* To prove this, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & Ax \leq b \\ \Rightarrow & x^T A^T y \leq b^T y; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A^T y + d^T z &\geq c^T \\ \Rightarrow cx &\leq y^T Ax + z \cdot dx \\ &\leq b^T y + z \cdot dx \\ &= \beta z - \alpha + z \cdot dx. \end{aligned}$$

Above is same as

$$cx + \alpha \leq z(dx + \beta)$$

or

$$\frac{cx + \alpha}{dx + \beta} \leq z,$$

which is the same as  $f(x) \leq g(y, z)$ .

**Theorem 2** *If  $\hat{x}$  is a feasible solution for the (P–P) and  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  is a feasible solution for the (D–P) such that  $f(\hat{x}) = g(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$ , then  $\hat{x}$  is an optimal solution to the (P–P), and  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  is an optimal solution to the (D–P).*

*Proof* By assumption,

$$f(\hat{x}) = g(\hat{y}, \hat{z}).$$

But for any  $x$  in  $S$ , Theorem 1 holds. From this it follows that

$$f(x) \leq g(\hat{y}, \hat{z}) = f(\hat{x}),$$

This shows that  $\hat{x}$  is an optimal solution for the (P–P). Similarly, for any  $(y, z)$  of L,

$$g(\hat{y}, \hat{z}) = f(\hat{x}) \leq g(y, z).$$

And therefore,  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  is an optimal solution for the D–P.

**Theorem 3** (Strong direct duality theorem) *If  $\hat{x}$  solves the (P–P), then there exists  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  which solves the (D–P).*

*Proof* Associated with the (P–P), we define the Lagrange function

$$\phi(x, \Pi) = \left[ -\frac{cx + \alpha}{dx + \beta} + \Pi^T (A\hat{x} - b) \right]$$

$\Pi$  is a column vector (Lagrange vector) with  $m$  components.

It is well known that, Kuhn and Tucker (1951) and Tucker (1957), if  $\hat{x}$  solves the (*P*–*P*); then it is necessary that there exists  $\hat{\Pi} \geq 0$  such that

$$A\hat{x} - b \leq 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\Pi}^T(A\hat{x} - b) = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{-c(d\hat{x} + \beta) + d(c\hat{x} + \alpha)}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)^2} + \hat{\Pi}^T A \geq 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{-c\hat{x}(d\hat{x} + \beta) + d\hat{x}(c\hat{x} + \alpha)}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)^2} + \hat{\Pi}^T A\hat{x} = 0 \quad (4)$$

(4) on using (2), simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-\beta.c\hat{x} + \alpha.d\hat{x}}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)^2} + \hat{\Pi}^T b = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{b^T \hat{\Pi}(d\hat{x} + \beta)}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)} + \frac{\alpha.d\hat{x} + \alpha\beta - \alpha\beta - \beta.c\hat{x}}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)^2} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & \frac{b^T \hat{\Pi}(d\hat{x} + \beta)}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)} + \frac{\alpha(d\hat{x} + \beta) - \beta(c\hat{x} + \alpha)}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)^2} = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & b^T \hat{\Pi}(d\hat{x} + \beta) + \alpha - \beta \frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

By letting

$$\hat{\Pi}(d\hat{x} + \beta) = \hat{y}$$

and

$$\frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} = \hat{z} \quad (6)$$

Eq. (5) reads as

$$b^T \hat{y} + \alpha - \beta \hat{z} = 0$$

or

$$-b^T \hat{y} + \beta \hat{z} = \alpha. \quad (7)$$

Again, let us observe (3), which is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{-c}{d\hat{x} + \beta} + \frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} \cdot \frac{d}{(d\hat{x} + \beta)} + \hat{\Pi}^T A \geq 0 \\ \Rightarrow & -c + \frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} \cdot d + \hat{\Pi}^T A \cdot (d\hat{x} + \beta) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

using (6), above reduces to

$$A^T \hat{y} + d^T \hat{z} \geq c^T \quad (8)$$

From (7) and (8) it follows that  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$ , as defined in (6), is a feasible solution for the  $(D-P)$ .

$\hat{z} = \frac{c\hat{x}+\alpha}{d\hat{x}+\beta}$ , along with Theorem 1, proves this theorem.

**Theorem 4** (Complementary slackness theorem). *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be slack and surplus column vectors associated with the  $(P-P)$  and  $(D-P)$ , respectively.  $(\hat{x}, \hat{u})$  solves the primal problem and  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{v})$  the dual problem if and only if*

$$\hat{v}^t \hat{x} + \hat{u}^t \hat{y} = 0$$

or

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{x}_j \hat{v}_j &= 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n. \\ \hat{y}_i \hat{u}_i &= 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.\end{aligned}$$

*Proof* Using  $u$  as slack vector,  $Ax \leq b$  is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}Ax + u &= b \\ \Rightarrow x^T A^T y + u^T y &= b^T y.\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Again, using  $v$  as surplus vector,  $A^T y + d^T z \geq c^T$ , is the same as

$$\begin{aligned}A^T y + d^T z - v &= c^T \\ \Rightarrow y^T Ax + z^T dx - v^T x &= cx.\end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From (9) and (10) it follows that

$$b^T y - u^T y = cx - z^T dx + v^T x.$$

On using the fact that

$$b^T y = \beta z - \alpha,$$

above reads as

$$\beta z - \alpha - u^T y = cx - z^T dx + v^T x \quad (11)$$

(11) holds for all feasible  $(x, u)$  for the  $(P-P)$  and for all feasible  $(y, z, v)$  for the  $(D-P)$ . As  $(\hat{x}, \hat{u})$  solves the  $(P-P)$  and  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{v})$  solves the  $(D-P)$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} &= \hat{z} \\ \Rightarrow c\hat{x} + \alpha &= \beta\hat{z} + \hat{z} d\hat{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Because of (12), (11) reduces to

$$\hat{v}^T \hat{x} + \hat{u}^T \hat{y} = 0.$$

Conversely, let

$$\hat{v}^T \hat{x} + \hat{u}^T \hat{y} = 0,$$

Because of this, (11) reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{z}(d\hat{x} + \beta) &= (c\hat{x} + \alpha) \\ \Rightarrow \frac{c\hat{x} + \alpha}{d\hat{x} + \beta} &= \hat{z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\hat{x}$  solves the  $(P-P)$  and  $(\hat{y}, \hat{z})$  solves the  $(D-P)$ .

Result

$$\hat{x}_j \hat{v}_j = 0 \text{ for } j = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$\hat{y}_i \hat{u}_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

follows from the fact that  $\hat{u}_j, \hat{v}_j, \hat{y}_i, \hat{u}_i \geq 0$ .

#### 4 Numerical example

Let  $(P-P)$  be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maximize } f(x) &= \frac{3x_1 + 5x_2}{x_1 + x_2 + 2} \\ \text{subject to} \end{aligned}$$

$$x_1 + x_2 \leq 6$$

$$3x_1 + 8x_2 \leq 24$$

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

The (*D*–*P*) will be

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{minimize } g(y, z) = z \\ & \text{subject to} \\ & y_1 + 3y_2 + z \geq 3 \\ & y_1 + 8y_2 + z \geq 5 \\ & 6y_1 + 24y_2 = 2z \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Solution of dual linear programming problem, with  $v_1$ , and  $v_2$  as surplus variables, is

$$\hat{y}_1 = 0, \hat{y}_2 = \frac{1}{4}, \hat{z} = 3, \hat{v}_1 = \frac{3}{4}, \hat{v}_2 = 0.$$

Constraints of the (*P*–*P*) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} & x_1 + x_2 + u_1 = 6 \\ & 3x_1 + 8x_2 + u_2 = 24 \\ & x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Because of complementary slackness theorem we should have

$$\hat{x}_1 \hat{v}_1 = \hat{x}_2 \hat{v}_2 = \hat{y}_1 \hat{u}_1 = \hat{y}_2 \hat{u}_2 = 0.$$

Now  $\hat{y}_2 = \frac{1}{4} \Rightarrow \hat{u}_2 = 0$ ; and  $\hat{v}_1 = \frac{3}{4} \Rightarrow \hat{x}_1 = 0$

With  $\hat{x}_1 = 0$ , and  $\hat{u}_2 = 0$  from (13) we find  $\hat{x}_2 = 3$ , and  $\hat{u}_3 = 3$ .

Thus  $\hat{x}_1 = 0$ , and  $\hat{x}_2 = 3$  solves the (*P*–*P*) with objective function value,  $f(\hat{x}) = 3$ .

**Acknowledgments** The constructive comments and suggestions of the referees are highly appreciated.

## References

- Chadha SS (1971) A dual fractional program. Zeitschrift fur angewandte mathematic und mechanic 35: 560–561
- Kaska J (1969) Duality in linear fractional programming. Ekonomicko—matematický, obzor 5: 442–453
- Kuhn AW, Tucker AW (1951) Non-linear programming. Proceeding of second berkeley symposium on mathematical statistics and probability, University of california press, pp 481–492
- Tucker AW (1957) Linear and non-linear programming. Oper Res 5:244–257
- Swarup K (1968) Duality in fractional programming. Unternehmensforschung 12:106–111