ARTICLE

# Clonal spreading of methicillin-resistant SCCmec Staphylococcus aureus with specific spa and dru types in central Taiwan

C.-M. Ho · M.-W. Ho · C.-Y. Lee · N. Tien · J.-J. Lu

Received: 22 February 2011 / Accepted: 23 June 2011 / Published online: 26 July 2011 © Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract The goal of this study was to delineate the molecular characteristics of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in Taiwan. Ninety-six MRSA isolates were collected from the blood cultures of different patients during the period July to December of 2008. The spa typing, staphylococcal chromosomal cassette (SCCmec) typing, mec-associated direct repeat unit (dru) copy numbers, and toxin genes (sea, seb, sec, tst, lukS/F) of each isolate were determined. Thirty-eight, 28, 18, and 12 MRSA isolates were SCCmec type II, SCCmec type III, SCCmec type IV, and SCCmec type V, respectively. Most (31/38, 81.6%) of the SCCmec type II isolates were of spa t002 with four dru repeats. Some of them also carried the sec or tst toxin gene (67.7 and 80.6%, respectively). Of the 28 SCCmec type III MRSA isolates, 15 (53.6%) were of t037 with 14 dru repeats, and all also carried the sea gene.

C.-M. Ho · C.-Y. Lee · N. Tien · J.-J. Lu (⊠)
Department of Laboratory Medicine,
China Medical University Hospital,
No. 2, Yuh-Der Road,
Taichung 40447, Taiwan
e-mail: janglu45@gmail.com

C.-M. Ho · M.-W. Ho Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

C.-M. Ho · J.-J. Lu Graduate Institute of Clinical Medical Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

J.-J. Lu Department of Laboratory Medicine, Linkou Chang-Gung Memorial Hospital, Taoyuan, Taiwan Of the 18 SCC*mec* type IV MRSA isolates, 13 (72.2%) were of t437 with nine *dru* repeats, and ten of them also had the *seb* gene. Among the SCC*mec* type V MRSA isolates, nine were type  $V_T$ . Five (55.6%) of them were of t437 with 11 *dru* repeats, and all contained the *lukS/F* gene. The clonal spreading of SCC*mec* MRSA strains with specific *spa* and *dru* types was found. Further longitudinal, multiple-site surveillance is required in order to define the MRSA evolution in Taiwan.

## Introduction

Staphylococcus aureus is an important causative agent of a wide variety of diseases, including local skin and soft tissue infections, deep-seated abscesses, osteomyelitis, pneumonia, life-threatening septicemia, and endocarditis [1]. The clinical importance of S. aureus is attributed to its high virulence and rapid development of drug resistance. S. aureus virulent factors include surface proteins, toxins, and enzymes [2]. The antibiotics penicillin and methicillin that are commonly used to treat S. aureus infections almost always lead to the emergence of resistant strains within 1 to 2 years [3]. Although vancomycin does not always induce resistance, vancomycin-resistant S. aureus has emerged since 1996 [4]. Since the spreading of specific lineages of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) in the community or hospital varies in different areas [4, 5], we analyzed predominant lineages of MRSA isolates in our hospital using various methods, including multilocus sequence typing (MLST), staphylococcal chromosomal cassette (SCCmec) typing, accessory gene regulator (agr) and staphylococcal protein A (spa) typing, and mec-associated direct repeat unit (dru) locus typing.

#### Materials and methods

Ninety-six MRSA isolates were collected from the blood cultures of different patients from July to December of 2008 in the bacteriological laboratory of China Medical University Hospital located in central Taiwan. The BACTEC 9000 blood culture system (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA) was used to grow and screen bacteria. Bacterial isolates were identified as S. aureus and the antibiotic susceptibility to various antimicrobial agents was determined using the BD Phoenix<sup>TM</sup> Automated Microbiology System (Becton Dickinson). The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) interpretive standard for various antibiotics' susceptibility was those illustrated by the Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) [6]. The basic and clinical information of each patient was collected by reviewing medical records. The community-acquired MRSA (CA-MRSA) infection were defined as a patient without histories of surgery, hospitalization, long-term care facility residence, dialysis, indwelling device, or catheter within the most recent year, or hospitalization>48 h before MRSA culture [7]. Hospital-acquired MRSA (HA-MRSA) were those other than CA-MRSA.

The DNA of all MRSA isolates was extracted by the Genomic DNA Mini Kit (Geneaid, Taiwan). Each typing method, including SCCmec, agr, spa, MLST, and mecassociated direct repeat unit (*dru*) copy numbers, were performed as described previously [8–13]. The types V and  $V_T$  SCCmec were distinguished as described previously [14]: the size of the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products of SCCmec type V was 325 bp, and that of SCCmec  $V_T$  was 600 bp. The detection of the toxin genes, including enterotoxin A (*sea*), enterotoxin B (*seb*), enterotoxin C (*sec*), toxic shock toxin-1 (*tst*), and Panton–Valentine leukocidin (*lukS/F*), was performed as previously reported [15].

### Results

Table 1 shows the clinical characteristics, diagnosis, and MIC distributions of various antibiotics of these 96 MRSA isolates. All strains were isolated from 91 adults (mean age: 65.9 years) and five children (mean age: 7 years). All isolates of SCCmec type II or III isolates were hospital-acquired. The percentage of hospital-acquired strains SCCmec type IV and V isolates were both 66.7%. The rate of hospital-acquired strains decreased to 33.3% for both SCCmec type IV and V isolates if the culture time (48 h) was the only consideration for the discrimination of hospital or community infections. The most common clinical diagnosis responsible for these MRSA septicemia were primary bacteremia (n=43), followed by central

vascular catheter-related infections (n=30), soft tissue, joint, or bone infections (n=12), infective endocarditis (n=7), and pneumonia (n=4). All 96 isolates are sensitive to vancomycin, teicoplanin and linezolid. More than 90% isolate are resistant to erythromycin and clindamycin. There are 14 isolates with MIC values located in the susceptible range of clindamycin, except for five (5/14=35.7%), which are amended to resistance because of a positive D-zone test.

The results of the molecular typing and identification of virulence genes of the 96 MRSA isolates are shown in Table 2. There were 38 SCCmec type II, 28 SCCmec type III, 18 SCCmec type IV, and 12 SCCmec type V isolates. For agr, the most common was type I (55/96=57.3%), followed by type II (36/96=37.5%) and type IV (3/96= 3.1%). There were only one type III agr and one nontypable isolates. Most (35/36, 97.2%) agr type II isolates belonged to SCCmec type II. For the 38 SCCmec type II isolates, spa type t002 with four dur repeat units was predominant (31/38=81.6%). All ten isolates selected for MLST typing were determined to be ST5 and agr type II. Twenty-one (67.7%) of 31 agr type II isolates also carried the sec gene, and 25 (80.6%) of these also harbored the tst gene. Twenty-seven of the 28 SCCmec III isolates were agr type I. Fifteen (53.6%) of these 28 SCCmec III isolates were spa t037 with 14 dru repeated units, also harbored the sea toxin gene, and belonged to MLST type 239. Sixteen of the 18 SCCmec type IV isolates belonged to agr type I. Most (13/18, 72.2%) of them harbored the seb toxin gene and were spa type t437 with nine dru repeat units and ST59. Among the 12 SCCmec type V isolates, nine (75%) were type  $V_T$ . Five (55.6%) of these nine  $V_T$  isolates were spa type t437 with 11 dru repeat units; these five isolates also carried the Panton-Valentine leukocidin (PVL or lukS/F) gene and were ST59.

### Discussion

Several genotyping methods are being used for the epidemiological studies of *S. aureus*. MLST is suitable for the determination of macro-variations or long-term revolution in large populations, but PFGE is used for investigating micro-variations or short-term revolution in smaller populations [4]. The *spa* typing, based on a variable number of tandem repeats in the gene of protein A (*spa*), has a discrimination power between PFGE and MLST [16, 17].

Although MLST typing was not performed on all isolates in this study, the MLST types of the isolates can be inferred from their *spa* types because the isolates with the same *spa* type always belonged to the same MLST type but not vice versa [17]. The results of our random selection of isolates for MLST typing confirmed this observation. Combined with *agr* and SCC*mec* typing, we found some

Table 1 Clinical characteristics, diagnosis, and various antibiotics' minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) distribution of 96 methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolates

	SCCmec type (no.)							
	II (n=38)	III ( <i>n</i> =28)	IV ( <i>n</i> =18)	V ( <i>n</i> =12)				
				$V_{T}$ (n=9)	Non-V <sub>T</sub> $(n=3)$			
Characteristic, no. (%)								
Adult (≧18 years)	38 (100%)	26 (92.9%)	17 (94.4%)	7 (77.8%)	3 (100%)			
Child (< 18 years)	_	2 (7.1%)	1 (5.6%)	2 (22.2%)	_			
Male	21 (55.3%)	18 (64.3%)	13 (76.5%)	5 (55.5%)	2 (66.7%)			
Female	17 (44.7%)	10 (35.7%)	5 (27.8%)	4 (44.4%)	1 (33.3%)			
Hospital-acquired	38 (100%)	28 (100%)	12 (66.7%)	5 (55.6%)	3 (100%)			
Community-acquired	_	_	6 (33.3%)	4 (44.4%)	-			
MRSA detection >48 h after admission	28 (73.7%)	19 (67.9%)	6 (33.3%)	2 (22.2%)	2 (66.6%)			
Clinical diagnosis, no. (%)								
Primary bacteremia	23 (60.5%)	11 (39.3%)	7 (38.9%)	2 (22.2%)	1 (33.3%)			
Central vascular catheter-related	11 (28.9%)	11 (39.3%)	4 (22.2%)	2 (22.2%)	2 (66.7%)			
Soft tissue, joint, or bone infections	3 (7.9%)	3 (10.7%)	3 (16.7%)	3 (33.3%)	-			
Infective endocarditis	_	2 (7.1%)	2 (11.1%)	2 (22.2%)	_			
Pneumonia	1 (2.6%)	1 (3.6%)	2 (11.1%)		_			
MIC (µg/ml) distribution of various antibioti	. ,							
Erythromycin	, , ,							
≤0.5 (S)	_	1 (3.6%)	4 (22.2%)	_	3 (100%)			
$\geq 8 (R)$	38 (100%)	27 (96.4%)	14 (77.8%)	9 (100%)	-			
Tetracycline			(					
≦4 (S)	34 (89.5%)	3 (10.7%)	9 (50%)	3 (33.3%)	_			
8 (I)	1 (2.6%)	2 (7.1%)	8 (44.4%)	5 (55.6%)	_			
≧16 (R)	3 (7.9%)	23 (82.1%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (11.1%)	3 (100%)			
Clindamycin	5 (1570)	20 (021170)	1 (01070)	1 (11170)	5 (10070)			
≦0.25 (S)	_	2 (7.1%)	4 (22.2%)	_	3 (100%)			
$\geq 4$ (R)	37 (97.4%)	25 (89.3%)	11 (61.1%)	9 (100%)	-			
$D^{*}(+)$ (R)	1 (2.6%)	1 (3.6%)	3 (16.7%)	-	_			
Levofloxacin	1 (2.070)	1 (5.676)	5 (10.770)					
$\leq 1$ (S)	3 (7.9%)	2 (7.1%)	17 (94.4%)	8 (88.9%)	_			
= 1 (0) 2 (I)	5 (7.570)	1 (3.6%)	-	-	_			
$\geq 4$ (R)	35 (92.1%)	25 (89.3%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (11.1%)	3 (100%)			
SXT	55 (72.170)	25 (69.570)	1 (5.670)	1 (11.170)	5 (10070)			
≤0.5/9.5 (S)	25 (65.8%)	3 (10.7%)	18 (100%)	9 (100%)	3 (100%)			
≥4/76 (R)	13 (34.2%)	25 (89.3%)	18 (10070)	9 (10070)	5 (10070)			
Vancomycin	15 (54.270)	25 (89.370)	_	-	_			
≦0.5 (S)	3 (7.9%)	2 (7.1%)						
≥0.5 (S) 1 (S)			 17 (94.4%)	- 9 (100%)	- 3 (100%)			
1 (S) 2 (S)	34 (89.5%) 1 (2.6%)	21 (75%) 5 (17.9%)	1 (5.6%)	9 (100%)	3 (100%)			
	1 (2.070)	5 (17.970)	1 (5.0%)	—	—			
Teicoplanin	5 (12 20/)	5 (17 00/)	1( (88.00/)	0 (00 00/)	2 (1000/)			
$\leq 0.5 (S)$	5 (13.2%)	5 (17.9%)	16 (88.9%)	8 (88.9%)	3 (100%)			
1 (S) 2 (S)	17 (44.7%)	19 (67.9%)	1 (5.6%)	-	_			
2 (S)	13 (34.2%)	1 (3.6%)	1 (5.6%)	1 (11.1%)	_			
4 (S)	3 (7.9%)	1(3.6%)	_	_	—			
8 (S)	-	2 (7.1%)	—	_	—			
Linezolid								
1 (S)	23 (60.5%)	4 (14.3%)	3 (16.7%)	_	2 (22.2%)			
2 (S)	14 (36.8%)	24 (85.7%)	15 (83.3%)	7 (77.8%)	3 (100%)			
4 (S)	1 (2.6%)	—	—	-	-			

S: susceptible, I: intermediate, R: resistant, SXT: trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole

\*D-zone: inducible clindamycin resistance

SCCmec type (no.)	<i>spa</i> type: no. of DRUs <sup>g</sup> (no.)	MLST type <sup>h</sup>	agr type <sup>g</sup> (no.)	Virulent genes no. (%)				
				sea	seb	sec	tst	lukS/F
II (n=38)	t002: 4 ( <i>n</i> =31)	5 (10/10)	II (n=31)	1 (3.2%)	3 (9.6%)	21(67.7%)	25(80.6%)	_
	Others <sup>a</sup> $(n=7)$		II ( <i>n</i> =4) I ( <i>n</i> =3)	_	1 (14.3%)	6 (85.7%)	6 (85.7%)	_
III ( <i>n</i> =28)	t037: 14 (n=15)	239 (5/5)	I ( <i>n</i> =15)	15 (100%)	-	_	_	_
	t037: X <sup>b</sup> ( <i>n</i> =7)	239 (2/2)	I ( <i>n</i> =7)	5 (71.4%)	1 (14.3%)	-	1 (14.3%)	-
	Others <sup>c</sup> $(n=6)$		I ( <i>n</i> =5) N* ( <i>n</i> =1)	3 (50%)	_	_	_	_
IV ( <i>n</i> =18)	t437: 9 ( <i>n</i> =13)	59 (3/3)	I ( <i>n</i> =13)	-	10 (76.9%)	_	_	2 (15.4%)
	t437: 4 ( <i>n</i> =1)		I ( <i>n</i> =1)	_	-	_	_	_
	Others <sup>d</sup> $(n=4)$		I ( <i>n</i> =2) II, III ( <i>n</i> =1)	1 (25%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	-	1 (25%)
V ( <i>n</i> =12)	$V_T (n=9)$							
	t437: 11 ( <i>n</i> =5)	59 (2/2)	I ( <i>n</i> =5)	-	4 (80%)	-	_	5 (100%)
	t437: Y <sup>e</sup> ( <i>n</i> =2)		I ( <i>n</i> =2)	-	2 (100%)	-	-	1 (50%)
	Others <sup>f</sup> ( $n=2$ ) Non-V <sub>T</sub> ( $n=3$ )		I ( <i>n</i> =2)	_	_	_	_	1 (50%)
	t1081: 9 (n=1)		IV	-	_	-	_	-
	t1081: 10 (n=1)		IV	-	-	-	_	_
	t824: 9 ( <i>n</i> =1)		IV	-	_	_	_	_

Table 2 Molecular typing and virulent genes of 96 MRSA isolates

<sup>a</sup> t037: 14; t214: 4; t234: 13; t1094: 4 (*n*=3); t3527: 9

<sup>b</sup>X=6, 10, 12, 13 (*n*=2), 15; N non-typable

<sup>c</sup>t138: 14; t234: 10; t234: 13; t932: 14; t3528; 10, N\*

<sup>d</sup> t186: 5; t411: 9; t1081: 9; new *spa* type: 9

 $^{e}Y = 4, 12$ 

<sup>f</sup>t1212: 4; t1751: 4

<sup>g</sup> Numbers in parentheses represent the no. of isolates with a certain spa no., DRUs, or agr type

<sup>h</sup>Numbers in parentheses represent the no. of isolates that underwent MLST analysis

\*Non-typable

predominant strains with specific spa types in each SCCmec group. For type II and III SCCmec isolates, the predominant MLST spa types were ST5-t002 (USA100, New York/Japan clone) and ST239-t037 (Brazilian/Hungarian clone), respectively. These results were similar to those of the previous report on the analyses of isolates from northern Taiwan with slightly lower percentage rates (81.6/78.6% vs. 97/93%) [18]. High rates of ST5-t002 isolates containing both sec and tst toxin genes and ST239-t037 isolates containing the sea gene were also found. For type IV and V SCCmec isolates, most of them belonged to the ST59-t437 lineage; this is different from the predominant stains, such as ST8-t008 (USA 300), ST1-t127 (USA 400), ST80-t044 (European), and ST30-t012 (Southwest Pacific, USA1100) found in other countries [5, 19-21]. In type IV SCCmec isolates, the PVL-positive rate was significantly lower than those of other countries [22, 23]. In Taiwan, most PVLpositive MRSA isolates are SCCmec type V [24, 25].

However, most studies did not distinguish type  $V_T$  from type V, except the ones by Takano et al. and Boyle-Vavra et al. [26, 27]. In these two studies, most of the MRSA isolates were from colonization or infection of the skin and soft tissue. In our study, all MRSA isolates were from patients with bacteremia, and 70% of PVL-positive MRSA isolates were type  $V_T$  SCCmec.

In this study, we found some isolates with the same *spa* type and *dru* copy number in each of the four SCC*mec* groups. Interestingly, the SCC*mec* type, *spa* type, and *dru* copy numbers of the two vancomycin-intermediate *S. aureus* (VISA) strains in our previous study were identical to those of the major clones of SCC*mec* type III-t037-14 and SCC*mec* type IV-t437-9 found in this study, respectively [28]. This finding is consistent with the result of a previous study, which suggested the clonal dissemination of VISA in a hospital in Taiwan [29]. The phenomenon of the clonal spreading of VISA in Taiwan may be attributed to

the existence of these MRSA major clones, which could eventually lead to heteroresistant VISA (hVISA) and VISA after prolong vancomycin exposure.

For SCCmec type IV and V isolates, more than half belonged to hospital-acquired infections. This blurring distinction between hospital- and community-acquired MRSA had been mentioned by other studies [30]. The sensitivity results of various non-*β*-lactam antibiotics in our study result were different in some aspects compared to previous reports [18]. The rate of positive inducible resistance to clindamycin was 5.2%, which is between other studies' reported rates [31, 32]. In our study, there were no glycopeptides non-susceptible MRSA according to the CLSI criteria, but a higher MIC was found in minority isolates: 7.3% with vancomycin MIC 2 µg/ml and 22.9% with teicoplanin MIC 2-8 µg/ml. If the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) breakpoint for teicoplanin was adopted (teicoplanin resistance was defined as MIC  $\geq 4 \mu g/ml$ ), there were six isolates (6.3%) in the non-susceptible range. Because of the low prevalence of VISA or hVISA in Taiwan [33] and inconsistencies between commercial and reference methods [34], further glycopeptide MIC testing by standard broth dilution or agar dilution methods for these higher MIC isolates would be suggested.

In summary, this study demonstrates that there are major MRSA clones sharing similar molecular characteristics, including *dru* copy number in the SCC*mec* region, the house keeping genes, X region of the *spa* gene, and various virulence genes among each SCC*mec* group in Taiwan. Further longitudinal, multiple-site surveillance for molecular characteristics and drug resistances of clinical MRSA isolates is essential in order to define the evolution history of MRSA in Taiwan.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by grants from the National Science Council (NSC 98-2320-B-039-032-MY3), China Medical University Hospital (DMR-100-122), and China Medical University (CMU98-NTU-04), Taiwan. We thank Dr. Chao-Hung Lee for the assistance with the manuscript.

#### References

- Lowy FD (1998) Staphylococcus aureus infections. N Engl J Med 339(8):520–532
- Arvidson S, Tegmark K (2001) Regulation of virulence determinants in *Staphylococcus aureus*. Int J Med Microbiol 291(2):159–170
- Chambers HF (2001) The changing epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus*? Emerg Infect Dis 7(2):178–182
- Chambers HF, Deleo FR (2009) Waves of resistance: *Staphylococcus aureus* in the antibiotic era. Nat Rev Microbiol 7(9):629–641. doi:10.1038/nrmicro2200
- Deurenberg RH, Stobberingh EE (2008) The evolution of *Staphylococcus aureus*. Infect Genet Evol 8(6):747–763. doi:10.1016/j.meegid.2008.07.007

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) (2008) Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. Eighteenth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S18. CLSI, Wayne, PA
- Buck JM, Como-Sabetti K, Harriman KH, Danila RN, Boxrud DJ, Glennen A, Lynfield R (2005) Community-associated methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Minnesota, 2000–2003. Emerg Infect Dis 11(10):1532–1538
- Kondo Y, Ito T, Ma XX, Watanabe S, Kreiswirth BN, Etienne J, Hiramatsu K (2007) Combination of multiplex PCRs for staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* type assignment: rapid identification system for *mec*, *ccr*, and major differences in junkyard regions. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 51(1):264–274. doi:10.1128/AAC.00165-06
- Lina G, Boutite F, Tristan A, Bes M, Etienne J, Vandenesch F (2003) Bacterial competition for human nasal cavity colonization: role of Staphylococcal *agr* alleles. Appl Environ Microbiol 69 (1):18–23. doi:10.1128/AEM.69.1.18-23.2003
- Frénay HM, Bunschoten AE, Schouls LM, van Leeuwen WJ, Vandenbroucke-Grauls CM, Verhoef J, Mooi FR (1996) Molecular typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* on the basis of protein A gene polymorphism. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 15(1):60–64
- Harmsen D, Claus H, Witte W, Rothgänger J, Claus H, Turnwald D, Vogel U (2003) Typing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a university hospital setting by using novel software for *spa* repeat determination and database management. J Clin Microbiol 41(12):5442–5448. doi:10.1128/JCM.41.12.5442-5448.2003
- Enright MC, Day NP, Davies CE, Peacock SJ, Spratt BG (2000) Multilocus sequence typing for characterization of methicillinresistant and methicillin-susceptible clones of *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 38(3):1008–1015
- Goering RV, Morrison D, Al-Doori Z, Edwards GF, Gemmell CG (2008) Usefulness of *mec*-associated direct repeat unit (*dru*) typing in the epidemiological analysis of highly clonal methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in Scotland. Clin Microbiol Infect 14(10):964–969. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2008.02073.x
- Zhang K, McClure JA, Elsayed S, Louie T, Conly JM (2005) Novel multiplex PCR assay for characterization and concomitant subtyping of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* types I to V in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 43(10):5026–5033. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.10.5026-5033.2005
- Jarraud S, Mougel C, Thioulouse J, Lina G, Meugnier H, Forey F, Nesme X, Etienne J, Vandenesch F (2002) Relationships between *Staphylococcus aureus* genetic background, virulence factors, *agr* groups (alleles), and human disease. Infect Immun 70(2):631–641. doi:10.1128/IAI.70.2.631-641.2002
- 16. Koreen L, Ramaswamy SV, Graviss EA, Naidich S, Musser JM, Kreiswirth BN (2004) *spa* typing method for discriminating among *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates: implications for use of a single marker to detect genetic micro- and macrovariation. J Clin Microbiol 42(2):792–799. doi:10.1128/JCM.42.2.792-799.2004
- Strommenger B, Kettlitz C, Weniger T, Harmsen D, Friedrich AW, Witte W (2006) Assignment of *Staphylococcus* isolates to groups by *spa* typing, *SmaI* macrorestriction analysis, and multilocus sequence typing. J Clin Microbiol 44(7):2533–2540. doi:10.1128/ JCM.00420-06
- Wang JL, Wang JT, Chen SY, Chen YC, Chang SC (2010) Distribution of staphylococcal cassette chromosome *mec* types and correlation with comorbidity and infection type in patients with MRSA bacteremia. PLoS One 5(3):e9489. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0009489
- 19. Chmelnitsky I, Navon-Venezia S, Leavit A, Somekh E, Regev-Yochai G, Chowers M, Shitrit P, Carmeli Y (2008) SCCmec and

*spa* types of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains in Israel. Detection of SCC*mec* type V. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 27(5):385–390. doi:10.1007/s10096-007-0426-x

- Bartels MD, Boye K, Rhod Larsen A, Skov R, Westh H (2007) Rapid increase of genetically diverse methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, Copenhagen, Denmark. Emerg Infect Dis 13(10):1533–1540
- Enright MC, Robinson DA, Randle G, Feil EJ, Grundmann H, Spratt BG (2002) The evolutionary history of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 99 (11):7687–7692. doi:10.1073/pnas.122108599
- Tristan A, Bes M, Meugnier H, Lina G, Bozdogan B, Courvalin P, Reverdy ME, Enright MC, Vandenesch F, Etienne J (2007) Global distribution of Panton–Valentine leukocidin-positive methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, 2006. Emerg Infect Dis 13 (4):594–600
- 23. Vandenesch F, Naimi T, Enright MC, Lina G, Nimmo GR, Heffernan H, Liassine N, Bes M, Greenland T, Reverdy ME, Etienne J (2003) Community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* carrying Panton–Valentine leukocidin genes: worldwide emergence. Emerg Infect Dis 9(8):978–984
- 24. Wang JL, Wang JT, Chen SY, Hsueh PR, Kung HC, Chen YC, Chang SC (2007) Adult methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteremia in Taiwan: clinical significance of non-multiresistant antibiogram and Panton–Valentine leukocidin gene. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 59(4):365–371. doi:10.1016/j. diagmicrobio.2007.06.021
- 25. Huang YH, Tseng SP, Hu JM, Tsai JC, Hsueh PR, Teng LJ (2007) Clonal spread of SCC*mec* type IV methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* between community and hospital. Clin Microbiol Infect 13(7):717–724. doi:10.1111/j.1469-0691.2007.01718.x
- 26. Takano T, Higuchi W, Otsuka T, Baranovich T, Enany S, Saito K, Isobe H, Dohmae S, Ozaki K, Takano M, Iwao Y, Shibuya M, Okubo T, Yabe S, Shi D, Reva I, Teng LJ, Yamamoto T (2008) Novel characteristics of community-acquired methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* strains belonging to multilocus sequence type 59 in Taiwan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 52(3):837–845. doi:10.1128/AAC.01001-07
- 27. Boyle-Vavra S, Ereshefsky B, Wang CC, Daum RS (2005) Successful multiresistant community-associated methicillin-

resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* lineage from Taipei, Taiwan, that carries either the novel Staphylococcal chromosome cassette *mec* (SCC*mec*) type VT or SCC*mec* type IV. J Clin Microbiol 43 (9):4719–4730. doi:10.1128/JCM.43.9.4719-4730.2005

- Wang WY, Lee SY, Chiueh TS, Lu JJ (2009) Molecular and phenotypic characteristics of methicillin-resistant and vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates from patients with septic arthritis. J Clin Microbiol 47(11):3617–3623. doi:10.1128/JCM.00539-09
- Hsueh PR, Lee SY, Perng CL, Chang TY, Lu JJ (2010) Clonal dissemination of meticillin-resistant and vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* in a Taiwanese hospital. Int J Antimicrob Agents 36(4):307–312. doi:10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.035
- 30. Valsesia G, Rossi M, Bertschy S, Pfyffer GE (2010) Emergence of SCCmec type IV and SCCmec type V methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus containing the Panton–Valentine leukocidin genes in a large academic teaching hospital in central Switzerland: external invaders or persisting circulators? J Clin Microbiol 48 (3):720–727. doi:10.1128/JCM.01890-09
- Daum RS (2007) Clinical practice. Skin and soft-tissue infections caused by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. N Engl J Med 357(4):380–390. doi:10.1056/NEJMcp070747
- 32. Tenover FC, McDougal LK, Goering RV, Killgore G, Projan SJ, Patel JB, Dunman PM (2006) Characterization of a strain of community-associated methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* widely disseminated in the United States. J Clin Microbiol 44 (1):108–118. doi:10.1128/JCM.44.1.108-118.2006
- 33. Ho CM, Hsueh PR, Liu CY, Lee SY, Chiueh TS, Shyr JM, Tsao SM, Chuang YC, Yan JJ, Wang LS, Wang JH, Ho MW, Tien N, Lu JJ (2010) Prevalence and accessory gene regulator (*agr*) analysis of vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus* among methicillin-resistant isolates in Taiwan—SMART program, 2003. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 29(4):383–389. doi:10.1007/s10096-009-0868-4
- 34. Swenson JM, Anderson KF, Lonsway DR, Thompson A, McAllister SK, Limbago BM, Carey RB, Tenover FC, Patel JB (2009) Accuracy of commercial and reference susceptibility testing methods for detecting vancomycin-intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*. J Clin Microbiol 47(7):2013–2017. doi:10.1128/ JCM.00221-09