# ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Reduced folate carrier-1 80G > A gene polymorphism is not associated with methotrexate treatment response in South Indian Tamils with rheumatoid arthritis

Niveditha Muralidharan • Christina Mary Mariaselvam • Mithun CB • Vir Singh Negi

Received: 18 November 2014 / Revised: 24 January 2015 / Accepted: 3 March 2015 / Published online: 15 March 2015 © International League of Associations for Rheumatology (ILAR) 2015

Abstract Methotrexate (MTX) is the most commonly used disease-modifying drug to treat rheumatoid arthritis (RA). Although there are no reliable molecular markers to predict the treatment response and adverse effects to MTX therapy, the polymorphisms in genes coding for MTX metabolizing enzymes and transporters may play a crucial role. The reduced folate carrier-1 (RFC-1) is a bidirectional anion exchanger which transports MTX and folinic acid. It is reported to influence MTX treatment response and adverse effects in some ethnic populations but not in others. It is also associated with susceptibility to various diseases including systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE). The present study was aimed at investigating the role of RFC-1 80G > A gene polymorphism in association with disease susceptibility, MTX treatment response and the MTX-induced adverse events in the South Indian Tamil patients with rheumatoid arthritis. The RFC-1 80G > A gene polymorphism was investigated in 327 patients with RA and in 322 healthy controls by PCR-RFLP method. It was found that the heterozygous RFC-1 80 GA genotype was associated with protection against RA [p=0.02, odds ratio (OR) 0.69, 95 % confidence interval (CI) 0.50-0.95]. However, it was not found to be associated with MTX treatment response. The RFC-1G allele frequency was higher in patients with adverse effects, but the difference was not statistically significant (p=0.08, OR 1.44, 95 % CI 0.97-2.13). RFC-1 80G > A gene polymorphism confers protection for RA. However, it is not associated with MTX treatment response and MTX-induced adverse effects in South Indian Tamil patients with RA.

**Keywords** Methotrexate · Polyglutamates · RFC-1 polymorphism · Rheumatoid arthritis · South Indian · Treatment response

# Abbreviations

ACPA	Anticitrullinated peptide antibody
ACR	American College of Rheumatology
AIRE	autoimmune regulator
DAS28	(ESR) score Disease activity score based on 28
	joints and erythrocyte sedimentation rate
DMARD	Disease-modifying antirheumatic drug
HLADR	Human leukocyte antigen
MAF	Minor allele frequency
MHC2TA	Major histocompatibility complex II
	transactivator
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
RA	rheumatoid arthritis
RF	Rheumatoid factor
RFC	Reduced folate carrier
RFLP	Restriction fragment length polymorphism

# Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic systemic autoimmune inflammatory disease mainly affecting young and middleaged females [1]. The primary goal of therapy in RA is to achieve rapid and effective disease control to prevent the long-term damage to joint structure and function [2]. Disease-modifying anti rheumatic drugs (DMARDs) are the mainstay of therapy to control symptoms and modify disease progression in the long term [3]. Methotrexate (MTX) is the most commonly used DMARD and the first-line anchor drug for treating RA. A large interpatient variability is known to

N. Muralidharan · C. M. Mariaselvam · M. CB · V. S. Negi (⊠) Department of Clinical Immunology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Pondicherry 605 006, India e-mail: vsnegi22@yahoo.co.in

occur in clinical response to MTX [4] as 30 to 40 % of the patients on MTX therapy fail to attain remission [5]. Identifying the genetic predictors of treatment response in RA will help in early institution of alternate or combination DMARD therapy in patients unlikely to respond well to methotrexate monotherapy so as to prevent the consequent morbidity and mortality [6].

MTX enters the cells through an active transport mechanism that is mediated by reduced folate carrier (RFC-1) protein. *RFC*-1 is the dominant, bidirectional, cell membrane transporter molecule for natural folates and folic acid which are essential for a spectrum of biochemical reactions and thus cellular proliferation [7, 8]. The *RFC*-1 gene (OMIM 600424) is located on chromosome 21q22.3. Its product consists of 591 amino acid residues and belongs to the solute carrier (SLC19A1) family of transporters [9].

A common polymorphism at position 80 in exon 2 of RFC-1 results in a change from guanine (G) to an adenine (A), abolishing a Cfo I restriction site [10]. This common nonsynonymous SNP (rs1051266) has a total minor allele frequency of 44 % and is found across all ethnic groups. It has been thoroughly investigated for its function in transport and association with susceptibility to diseases, drug response, and toxicity [11]. A study involving 106 RA patients revealed that the nonwild-type allele of polymorphism in RFC-1 -43 T > C was associated with lower *RFC*-1 protein expression, but no association was detected with the treatment response due to smaller sample size [12]. A recent study [13] on South Indian systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) patients reports that *RFC*-1 80 G > A polymorphism is associated with risk for SLE and also that the expression of RFC-1 and HLA DR was downregulated in SLE cases. The aim of the present study was to investigate the association of RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism with disease susceptibility, its effect on treatment response, and adverse events to MTX in South Indian RA patients.

## Materials and methods

## Patient selection

The study was conducted at the Department of Clinical Immunology, Jawaharlal Institute of Postgraduate Medical Education and Research (JIPMER), Puducherry, a major tertiary referral center for South India. All newly diagnosed, DMARD-naive patients fulfilling the modified ACR criteria for RA [14] were included in the study. A total of 322 age- and gender-matched healthy individuals of Tamil ethnicity, without any family history of autoimmune disease were enrolled as healthy controls (HC). Informed consent was taken from all the study subjects, and the study was approved by the Institute Ethics Committee. The treatment was initiated with an initial MTX dose of 10 mg/week which was escalated by 5 mg every 2 weeks or more based on the disease activity and patient tolerance to a maximum of 25 mg/week at the end of 3 months. The patients were followed up every 2 weeks during the course of MTX dose escalation and subsequently once a month after a stable dose was reached. During each visit, the disease activity was assessed by EULAR DAS28 criteria [15] and evidence of MTX toxicity was evaluated by clinical history and laboratory investigations including hemogram and liver function tests. The response to therapy was assessed at 16 weeks of therapy by EULAR response criteria. The response was classified as "good response," "partial response," and "no response." Nonresponders were offered combination DMARDs consisting of methotrexate, sulfasalazine/ leflunomide, and hydroxychloroquine. Partial responders were followed up till 24 weeks and offered additional immunomodulators if they failed to improve further. Complete responders continued with methotrexate.

## RFC-1 80G > A genotyping

Five milliliters of venous blood was collected, and DNA was extracted by the salting out procedure [16]. RFC-1 80G>A genotyping was performed by PCR-RFLP method. The forward (5'-ATG GTG CCC TCC AGC CCA GC-3') and reverse (5'-CAT GAA GCC GTA GAA GCA AAG G-3') primers were used as per published protocols [17]. PCR was carried out in a total reaction volume of 25 µl comprising 17.3 µl of DNase RNase-free water, 2.5 µl of 10× reaction buffer (GeNet Bio), 2.5 µl of 2 mM dNTPs (Fermentas), 0.5 µl each of forward and reverse primer (20 µM) (Sigma), 0.2 µl of Taq DNA polymerase (5 U/µl) (GeNet Bio), and 0.5 µl of magnesium chloride (25 mM) (Genei). PCR was carried out with the following cycling conditions: initial denaturation of 94 °C for 4 min, denaturation of 94 °C for 60 s, extension of 72 °C for 30 s, and a final extension of 72 °C for 7 min. The 114-bp PCR product was digested with Hha I enzyme (New England Biolabs) and electrophoresed in 8 % polyacrylamide gel. Individuals with 80GG genotype presented an 114-bp fragment, and individuals with 80AA genotype presented 80- and 34-bp fragments.

#### Autoantibody measurement

IgM RF in all cases was measured at baseline using Nephelometry (BN Prospec, Dade Behring, Germany), and anticitrullinated peptide antibody (ACPA) status was identified using second generation commercial ELISA kits (Biosystems, Barcelona, Spain). An antibody level >10 and >20 IU/ml were regarded as RF-positive and ACPA-positive, respectively.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was carried out using GraphPad Instat v 3.0. Allele and genotype frequencies were compared between the study groups by performing chi square test with Yate's continuity correction. Odds ratio and 95 % confidence interval was calculated for each allele and genotype. p<0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Sample size and power of the study (80 %) was calculated using CATS software (Power calculator for genetic studies compiled and published by the Centre for statistical genetics, Michigan University, USA).

## Results

The study included 327 patients with rheumatoid arthritis treated with methotrexate, of which 92.96 % were females. The baseline demographic details of the patients are summarized in Table 1. All patients were DMARDnaive with active disease. The mean age was  $42.73\pm$ 0.56, and mean disease duration before the start of DMARDS was 3.7±0.2 years. Two hundred thirty-four patients (71.55 %) had deforming disease, and 100 (30.58 %) had extra-articular manifestations. Of all, 255 (77.98 %) patients were RF-positive and 245 (74.92 %) were positive for ACPA. The mean baseline DAS28 score was  $5.77\pm0.05$ , indicating that majority of the patients had a high disease activity at baseline. The mean dose of methotrexate given was  $16.75\pm4$  mg/week. One hundred nineteen (36.39 %) attained good treatment response; 102 (31.19 %) were moderate responders; and 106 (32.41 %) were nonresponders to treatment. Out of 119 good responders, 65 patients attained remission.

Sixty-seven patients (20.48 %) were observed to have MTX-induced adverse effects during the course of treatment. Thirty-six patients (53.73 %) had gastrointestinal side effects like oral ulcers, nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea. Twelve (17.91 %) had hematological manifestations in the form of anemia, leucopenia, or pancytopenia. Five (7.46 %) had hepatotoxicity as evidenced by an increase in amino transferase levels more than twice the upper limit of normal range which improved on MTX discontinuation. Another ten (14.92 %) had infections like herpes zoster, oral candidiasis, cellulitis, or urinary tract infection. Three patients (4.47 %) had pulmonary toxicity and one (1.49 %) MTX-induced nodulosis.

The genotype frequencies of *RFC*-1 80G > A in RA were found to be GG102 (31.19 %), GA 170 (51.98 %), and AA 55 (16.81 %), and in controls, it was found to be GG 84 (26.08 %), GA 196 (60.86 %), and AA 42 (13.04 %). It was found that the heterozygous *RFC*-1 GA genotype was found to be protective (p=0.02, OR 0.69, 95 % CI 0.50–0.95). The

#### Table 1 Demographic and clinical profile of study subjects

Patients/controls	327/322
Sex (female/male) (%)	92.96/7.03
Mean age (year) $\pm$ SEM	$42.73 \pm 0.56$ years
Mean disease duration (year) ± SEM	$3.76 \pm 0.23$ years
Young onset disease (YORA)	307 (93.88 %)
Late onset disease (LORA)	20 (6.11 %)
Erosive, deforming disease	234 (71.55 %)
Extra-articular manifestations	100 (30.58 %)
Autoantibody phenotype	
RF-positive	255 (77.98 %)
ACPA-positive	245 (74.92 %)
Disease activity	
High disease activity	243 (74.31 %)
Moderate disease activity	77 (23.54 %)
Low disease activity	7 (2.14 %)
Baseline DAS28 (mean $\pm$ SEM)	$5.77 \pm 1.06$
Treatment response	
Mean dose of MTX (mg/week)	16.75±4
Good responders	119 (36.39 %)
Moderate responders	102 (31.19 %)
Nonresponders	106 (32.41 %)

YORA young onset RA (onset <55 years); LORA late onset RA (onset 55 years or above); high disease activity (DAS28 score >5.1), moderate disease activity (DAS28  $3.2 \le 5.1$ ); good response (an improvement of >1.2 in DAS28 score and a DAS of  $\le 2.6$  (remission) on follow-up); no response ( $\le 0.6$  change in DAS score or a change between 0.6 and 1.2 with DAS score >3.7 on follow-up); moderate response (an improvement 0.6–1.2 in DAS score with overall DAS between 2.6–3.7)

codominant genetic model also revealed a significant association (Table 2).

There were no significant differences between allele and genotype frequencies among the good responder and the non-responder groups (Table 3). We also performed dominant, recessive, and codominant genetic models to find the association of *RFC*-1 80 G > A polymorphism with treatment response; however, no significance was detected (Table 3).

The results were corrected for other important determinants of treatment response like age, gender, disease duration, and ACPA status. When performing a multinomial logistic regression, age, gender, and ACPA status did not seem to influence the results. Though 68 % of the patients in the current study presented with a disease duration of >1 year, the disease duration also did not influenced the MTX treatment response.

The homozygous wild-type genotype *RFC*-1 GG was more frequent in patients with adverse effects than in patients without adverse effects (40.29 vs 28.84 %); however, the results were not statistically significant (p=0.09, OR 1.66, 95 % CI 0.95–2.90) (Table 4). Thus, *RFC*-1 80G > A gene polymorphism was not found to be associated with MTX-induced adverse events in the South Indian cohort of patients with RA.  
 Table 2
 Genotype and allele
 frequencies of RFC-1 80G>A in RA and healthy controls

Genotype	RA ( <i>n</i> =327)	Healthy controls $(n=322)$	Рс	OR (95 % CI)
GG	102 (31.19 %)	84 (26.08 %)	0.17	1.28 (0.91–1.80)
GA	170 (51.98 %)	196 (60.86 %)	0.02	0.69 (0.50-0.95)
AA	55 (16.81 %)	42 (13.04 %)	0.21	1.34 (0.87–2.08)
Allele				
G	374 (57.18 %)	364 (56.52 %)	0.85	1.02 (0.82-1.28)
А	280 (42.81 %)	280 (43.47 %)	0.85	0.97 (0.78-1.21)
Dominant model a	analysis			
AA + GA	225 (68.80 %)	238 (73.91 %)	0.17	0.77 (0.55-1.09)
GG	102 (31.19 %)	84 (26.08 %)	0.17	1.28 (0.91-1.80)
Recessive model a	analysis			
AA	55 (16.81 %)	42 (13.04 %)	0.21	1.34 (0.87-2.08)
GA + GG	272 (83.18 %)	280 (86.95 %)	0.21	0.74 (0.48–1.14)
Codominant mode	el analysis			
GA	170 (51.98 %)	196 (60.86 %)	0.02	0.69 (0.50-0.95)
AA + GG	157 (48.01 %)	126 (39.13 %)	0.02	1.43 (1.05-1.96)
Homozygotic mod	del analysis			
AA	55 (35.03 %)	42 (33.33 %)	0.86	1.07(0.65-1.76)
GG	102 (64.96 %)	84 (66.66 %)	0.86	0.92 (0.56-1.52)

Discussion

OR odds ratio, 95% CI 95 % confidence interval

P < 0.05 is considered significant

The RFC-1 transports methotrexate and subsequently MTX is converted to its polyglutamate form within the cells. The polyglutamated methotrexate acts by inhibiting purine and pyrimidine synthesis required for cellular proliferation, inhibits the production of proinflammatory cytokines, suppresses the lymphocyte proliferation, and promotes the release of anti-inflammatory molecule, adenosine [18, 19]. RFC-1 transports reduced folates like methotrexate and folinic acid

<b>Table 3</b> Genotype and allelefrequencies of $RFC$ -1 80G > A inresponders and non responders	Genotype	Good responders $(n=119)$	Nonresponders $(n=106)$	Рс	OR (95 % CI)
	GG	34 (28.57 %)	35 (33.01 %)	0.56	0.81 (0.46–1.43)
	GA	64 (53.78 %)	59 (55.66 %)	0.88	0.92 (0.54-1.56)
	AA	21 (17.64 %)	12 (11.32 %)	0.25	1.67 (0.78–3.60)
	Allele				
	G	132 (55.46 %)	129 (60.84 %)	0.28	0.80 (0.55-1.16)
	А	106 (44.53 %)	83 (39.15 %)	0.28	1.24 (0.85–1.81)
	Dominant model	analysis			
	AA + GA	85 (71.42 %)	71 (66.98 %)	0.56	1.23 (0.69–2.17)
	GG	34 (28.57 %)	35 (33.01 %)	0.56	0.81 (0.46–1.43)
	Recessive model a	analysis			
	AA	21 (17.64 %)	12 (11.32 %)	0.25	1.67(0.78-3.60)
	GA + GG	98 (82.35 %)	94 (88.67 %)	0.25	0.59 (0.27-1.27)
	Codominant mode	el analysis			
	GA	64 (53.78 %)	59 (55.66 %)	0.88	0.92 (0.54–1.56)
	AA + GG	55 (46.21 %)	47 (44.33 %)	0.88	1.07 (0.63–1.82)
	Homozygotic mo	del analysis			
OR odds ratio, 95% CI 95 %	AA	21 (38.18 %)	12 (25.53 %)	0.25	1.80 (0.76-4.22)
confidence interval $p < 0.05$ is considered significant	GG	34 (61.81 %)	35 (74.46 %)	0.25	0.55 (0.23-1.30)

GG GA	27 (40.29 %)	75 (28.84 %)	0.09		
		()	0.09	1.66 (0.95-2.90)	
	32 (47.76 %)	138 (53.07 %)	0.52	0.80 (0.47-1.38)	
AA	8 (11.94 %)	47 (18.07 %)	0.31	0.61 (0.27-1.37)	
Allele					
G	86 (64.17 %)	288 (55.38 %)	0.08	1.44 (0.97–2.13)	
А	48 (35.82 %)	232 (44.61 %)	0.08	0.69 (0.46-1.02)	
Dominant model analysis					
AA + GA	40 (59.70 %)	185 (71.15 %)	0.09	0.60 (0.34-1.04)	
GG	27 (40.29 %)	75 (28.84 %)	0.09	1.66 (0.95-2.90)	
Recessive model	analysis				
AA	8 (11.94 %)	47 (18.07 %)	0.31	0.61(0.27-1.37)	
GA + GG	59 (88.05 %)	213 (81.92 %)	0.31	1.62 (0.72-3.63)	
Codominant mod	del analysis				
GA	32 (47.76 %)	138 (53.07 %)	0.52	0.80 (0.47-1.38)	
AA + GG	35 (52.23 %)	122 (46.92 %)	0.52	1.23 (0.72–2.11)	
Homozygotic mo	odel analysis				
AA	8 (22.85 %)	47 (38.52 %)	0.13	0.47 (0.19–1.12)	
GG	27 (77.14 %)	75 (61.47 %)	0.13	2.11 (0.88-5.04)	
	G A Dominant model AA + GA GG Recessive model AA GA + GG Codominant mod GA AA + GG Homozygotic mod AA	G $86 (64.17 \%)$ A $48 (35.82 \%)$ Dominant model analysis $AA + GA$ $40 (59.70 \%)$ $GG$ $27 (40.29 \%)$ Recessive model analysis $AA$ $8 (11.94 \%)$ $GA + GG$ $59 (88.05 \%)$ Codominant model analysis $GA$ $32 (47.76 \%)$ $AA + GG$ $35 (52.23 \%)$ Homozygotic model analysis $AA$ $8 (22.85 \%)$	G $86 (64.17 \%)$ $288 (55.38 \%)$ A $48 (35.82 \%)$ $232 (44.61 \%)$ Dominant model analysis $AA + GA$ $40 (59.70 \%)$ $185 (71.15 \%)$ GG $27 (40.29 \%)$ $75 (28.84 \%)$ Recessive model analysis $AA$ $8 (11.94 \%)$ $47 (18.07 \%)$ GA + GG $59 (88.05 \%)$ $213 (81.92 \%)$ Codominant model analysis $GA$ $32 (47.76 \%)$ $138 (53.07 \%)$ AA + GG $35 (52.23 \%)$ $122 (46.92 \%)$ Homozygotic model analysis $AA$ $8 (22.85 \%)$ $47 (38.52 \%)$	G $86 (64.17 \%)$ $288 (55.38 \%)$ $0.08$ A $48 (35.82 \%)$ $232 (44.61 \%)$ $0.08$ Dominant model analysis $AA + GA$ $40 (59.70 \%)$ $185 (71.15 \%)$ $0.09$ GG $27 (40.29 \%)$ $75 (28.84 \%)$ $0.09$ Recessive model analysis $AA$ $8 (11.94 \%)$ $47 (18.07 \%)$ $0.31$ GA + GG $59 (88.05 \%)$ $213 (81.92 \%)$ $0.31$ Codominant model analysis $GA$ $32 (47.76 \%)$ $138 (53.07 \%)$ $0.52$ Homozygotic model analysis $AA$ $8 (22.85 \%)$ $47 (38.52 \%)$ $0.13$	

with a relatively high affinity ( $K_m = 1-10 \mu M$ ) and folic acid with a low affinity ( $K_m$  200–400  $\mu$ M) [20, 21]. Membrane transport via RFC-1 is an important determinant of antifolate therapeutics used in cancer chemotherapy, and impaired uptake of antifolates by RFC-1 is a frequent mode of drug resistance [22]. The RFC-1 80G > A mutation affects residue 27 of the protein and substitutes a histidine with an arginine [23]. The change of a strongly basic amino acid arginine to a weak base histidine influences folate substrate binding, and the rate of uptake might be expected to alter human RFC transport properties [22]. Polymorphisms in the folate metabolic pathway and transporters are of paramount importance as they modify MTX transport and influence MTX metabolic effect [24].

In the present study on South Indian patients with rheumatoid arthritis, RFC-1 80 G > A polymorphism was found to be associated with disease susceptibility. The observed mutant allele (A) frequency in the present study on South Indian healthy subjects was 43 % which is lower than in the Western Indian population (57 %) [25] but higher compared to the North Indian population (28 %) [26]. In a recent study involving 414 south Indian healthy subjects [13], the RFC-1 mutant allele frequency was reported to be 36.5 %. To the best of our knowledge, there are no studies carried out in investigating the contribution of genetic polymorphisms in one carbon metabolism with disease susceptibility to RA. However, a study from Polish population [27] found that methionine synthase (MTR) 2756 A > G polymorphism is associated with the risk for SLE. Similarly, Rupasree et al. [13] elucidated the effect of genetic variations in one carbon metabolism on the epigenetic regulation of MHC2TA, RFC-1, and HLA-DR in SLE. It was observed that RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism was associated with the risk for SLE, and also, the expression of HLADR and **RFC-1** was downregulated in SLE cases. The present finding of how RFC-1 80G > A gene polymorphism confers that protection in RA could be interpreted by the shared chromosomal location of RFC-1 and autoimmune regulator (AIRE) genes at 21q22. AIRE is a transcriptional regulator primarily involved in regulating the expression of autoantigens and negative selection of autoreactive T cells in the thymus. Recent evidences from Japanese and Chinese cohort of RA patients [28, 29] shows that AIRE genes are genetic risk factors in RA. The other functional SNPs in the AIRE gene in linkage disequilibrium with RFC-1 80G > A SNP could be responsible for the observed protection against RA. Further studies exploring the role of AIRE and RFC gene interactions may help to clarify the protective effect observed in our study.

Our results are in agreement with other studies which report that RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism is not associated with MTX treatment response. A study on 205 Caucasians [30] with RA reported that RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism was not associated with methotrexate treatment response or with methotrexate-induced toxicity. Another study [12] from Caucasians on RFC-1 with three polymorphic variants RFC-1 80G > A, 696C > T, and -43 T > C in the 5' flanking sequence to the ATG transcription start site reported no significant difference in the distribution of these genotypic combinations between responders and nonresponders to MTX

monotherapy. In a retrospective study from North India [26] involving 281 patients with RA, SNPs in genes involved in MTX transporter pathway and polyglutamation deconjugation pathway including *RFC-1*, *FOLR1*, *FPGS*, and *GGH* were analyzed. No significant allelic/genotypic/haplotypic associations were observed for five SNPs in the RFC gene with MTX treatment response.

There are other studies which report an association of *RFC*-1 80G > A polymorphism with MTX treatment response. A recent meta-analysis on recessive and additive genetic model analysis reported that *RFC*-1 80 G > A polymorphism is associated with MTX efficacy but not toxicity [31]. A strong association of *RFC*-1 80G > A polymorphism was found in Polish RA patients, where the probability of remission of RA was 3.3-fold higher in the patients carrying AA genotype when compared to those with GG genotype [32]. Among 170 Japanese patients with RA, it was found that *RFC*-1 80 G allele frequency was higher in patients who required biologicals due to nonresponse to MTX [33].

In our study, patients with MTX-induced side effects had higher frequency of RFC-1 80 G allele and GG genotype although, the difference failed to reach statistical significance. Despite an adequate sample size, the reduced frequency of adverse effects in our study could be due to the fact that all patients were receiving currently recommended folic acid supplementation or South Indian population may be inherently less susceptible to MTX-induced adverse effects. Some studies have reported associations of RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism with MTX-induced adverse events. Grabar et al. [24] reported that patients with RFC-1 80GG genotype had a 2.3fold higher risk for MTX toxicity as compared to patients with one RFC-1 80 A allele. Similarly, in a recent study involving 159 Jordanian RA patients, it was found that patients with RFC-1 80 GG genotype were at a higher risk of developing gastrointestinal toxicity [34]. In 233 Portuguese patients with RA, 23 SNPs in methotrexate transporter genes namely SLC19A1 (RFC-1), SLC46A1, and SLCO1B1 were analyzed. A toxicogenetic risk index was created, and it was observed that patients carrying the SLC19A1 G allele were at an increased risk of developing gastrointestinal toxicity [35]. The probability of increase in liver enzymes aminotransferase activity (ALT) and asparagine transaminase (AST) during MTX therapy was 5-fold higher in carriers of 80AA genotype when compared with 80 GG genotype patients though the results were not statistically significant [32].

In Japanese and Caucasian patients, the *RFC*-1 80 G > A polymorphism is known to influence the methotrexate polyglutamate levels [36, 37]. In a cross-sectional study by Dervieux et al. [36], *RFC*-1 80G > A and *GGH* -401C > T polymorphisms were analyzed and MTXPG concentrations were measured by HPLC. It was found that both *RFC*-1 and *GGH* polymorphisms affected the polyglutamate levels of MTX. Another study by Ando et al. [37] from Japan reported

that RFC-1 80G > A polymorphism was significantly associated with the detectability of MTXPGs which was lower in patients with the mutant A allele of RFC.

The limitation of the present study includes lack of measurement of methotrexate polyglutamates and its association with *RFC*-1 80G > A polymorphism. The lack of association of *RFC*-1 80G > A gene polymorphism with MTX treatment response and adverse effects could be due to interethnic differences in the folate metabolic and transporter genes. Other genetic polymorphisms in the *RFC*-1 gene or methotrexate transporters like folate receptor beta [38] could possibly be influencing the treatment response in South Indian Tamils.

Acknowledgments The study was supported by an intramural research grant by JIPMER, Puducherry, India. In addition, the infrastructure and other support provided by DST-FIST and ICMR-INSERM programs are also acknowledged.

Author Contributions VSN conceived and planned the study. NM performed the laboratory work with the precious help of CMM. NM performed statistical analysis.VSN and MCB recruited the patients, collected, organized, and interpreted the clinical data. VSN and NM wrote the manuscript. VSN coordinated the research and critically reviewed the manuscript and takes the primary responsibility for the article.

**Conflict of interest** All authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

**Ethical standards** The study was carried out in compliance with international, national, and institutional regulations. Institute Ethics Committee has approved the study. All persons gave informed consent prior to the inclusion in the study.

## References

- Colmegna I, Ohata BR, Menard HA (2012) Current understanding of rheumatoid arthritis therapy. Clin Pharmacol Ther 91:607–620
- Dalrymple JM, Stamp LK, O'Donnell JL, Chapman PT, Zhang M, Barclay ML (2008) Pharmacokinetics of oral methotrexate in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 58:3299–3308
- Owen SA, Lunt M, Bowes J, Hider SL, Bruce IN, Thomson W, Barton A (2013) MTHFR gene polymorphisms and outcome of methotrexate treatment in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: analysis of key polymorphisms and meta-analysis of C677T and A1298C polymorphisms. Pharmacogenomics J 13:137–147
- Wesoly J, Wessels JA, Guchelaar HJ, Huizinga TW (2006) Genetic markers of treatment response in rheumatoid arthritis. Curr Rheumatol Rep 8:369–377
- Ranganathan P, McLeod HL (2006) Methotrexate pharmacogenetics: the first step toward individualized therapy in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 54:1366–1377
- Burgos PI, Danila MI, Kelley JM, Hughes LB, Bridges SL Jr (2009) Understanding personalized medicine in rheumatoid arthritis: a clinician's guide to the future. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 1:97–105
- Jansen G, van der Heijden J, Oerlemans R, Lems WF, Ifergan I, Scheper RJ, Assaraf YG, Dijkmans BA (2004) Sulphasalazine is a potent inhibitor of the reduced folate carrier: implications for combination therapies with methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 50:2130–2139

- Bi XH, Zhao HL, Zhang ZX, Zhang JW (2009) Association of RFC1 A80G and MTHFR C677T polymorphisms with Alzheimer's disease. Neurobiology Aging 30:1601–1607
- Chango A, Emery-Fillon N, de Courcy GP, Lambert D, Pfister M, Rosenblatt DS, Nicolas JP (2000) A polymorphism (80 G > A) in the reduced folate carrier gene and its associations with folate status and homocysteinemia. Mol Genet Metab 70:310–315
- Yee SW, Gong L, Badagnani I, Giacomini KM, Klein TE, Altman RB (2010) SLC19A1 pharmacogenomics Summary. Pharmacogenet Genomics 20:708–715
- 12. Chatzikyriakidou A, Georgiou I, Voulgari PV, Papadopoulos CG, Tzavaras T, Drosos AA (2007) Transcription regulatory polymorphism -43 T > C in the 5' flanking region of SLC19A1 gene could affect rheumatoid arthritis patient response to methotrexate therapy. Rheumatol Int 27:1057–1061
- Rupasree Y, Naushad SM, Rajasekhar L, Kutala VK (2014) Epigenetic modulation of RFC1, MHC2TA, and HLA-DR in systemic lupus erythematosus: Association with serological markers and six functional polymorphisms of one-carbon metabolic pathway. Gene 536:45–52
- 14. Felson DT, Smolen JS, Wells G et al (2011) American College of Rheumatology/European League Against Rheumatism provisional definition of remission in rheumatoid arthritis for clinical trials. Arthritis Rheum 63:573–586
- 15. van Gestel AM, Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA et al (1996) Development and validation of the European League Against Rheumatism response criteria for rheumatoid arthritis. Comparison with the preliminary American College of Rheumatology and the World Health Organization/International League Against Rheumatism Criteria. Arthritis Rheum 39:34–40
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF (1988) A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res 16:1215
- Gellekink H, Blom HJ, den Heijer M (2007) Associations of common polymorphisms in thymidylate synthase, reduced folate carrier and 5aminoimidazole-4-carbocamide ribonucleotide transformylase/ inosine monophosphate cyclohydrolase genes eith folate and homocysteine levels and venous thrombosis risk. Clin Chem Lab Med 45: 471–476
- Swierkot J, Szechiński J (2006) Methotrexate in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Rep 58:473–492
- Cronstein BN (2005) Low-dose methotrexate: A mainstay in the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacol Rev 57:163–172
- Sadlish H, Murray RC, Williams FM, Flintoff WF (2000) Mutations in the reduced-folate carrier affect protein localization and stability. Biochem J 346:509–518
- Yates Z, Lucock M (2005) G80A reduced folate carrier SNP modulates cellular uptake of folate and affords protection against thrombosis via a non homocysteine related mechanism. Life Sci 77:2735– 2742
- 22. Whetstine JR, Gifford AJ, Witt T, Liu XY, Flatley RM, Norris M, Haber M, Taub JW, Ravindranath Y, Matherly LH (2001) Single nucleotide polymorphisms in the human reduced folate carrier Characterization of a High-Frequency G/A Variant at Position 80 and Transport Properties of the His27 and Arg27 Carriers. Clin Cancer Res 7:3416–3422
- De Marco P, Calevo MG, Moroni A, Merello E, Raso A, Finnell RH, Zhu H, Andreussi L, Cama A, Capra V (2003) Reduced folate carrier polymorphism (80A—G) and neural tube defects. Eur J Hum Genet 11:245–252
- 24. Grabar PB, Logar D, Lestan B, Dolzan V (2008) Genetic determinants of methotrexate toxicity in Rheumatoid arthritis patients: a

study of polymorphisms affecting methotrexate transport and folate metabolism. Eur J Clin Pharmacol 64:1057–1068

- 25. Ghodke Y, Chopra A, Shintre P, Puranik A, Joshi K, Patwardhan B (2011) Profiling single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across intracellular folate metabolic pathway in healthy Indians. Indian J Med Res 133:274–279
- 26. Sharma S, Das M, Kumar A, Marwaha V, Shankar S, Aneja R, Grover R, Arya V, Dhir V, Gupta R, Kumar U, Juyal RC (2008) B K T Interaction of genes from influx-metabolism-efflux pathway and their influence on methotrexate efficacy in rheumatoid arthritis patients among Indians. Pharmacogenet Genomics 18:1041–1049
- 27. Burzynski M, Duriagin S, Mostowska M, Wudarski M, Chwalinska-Sadowska H, Jagodzinski PP (2007) MTR 2756 A > G polymorphism is associated with the risk of systemic lupus erythematosus in the Polish population. Lupus 16:450–454
- Shao S, Li X-R, Cen H, Yin Z-S (2014) Association of AIRE polymorphisms with genetic susceptibility to rheumatoid arthritis in a Chinese population. Inflammation 37:495–499
- 29. Terao C, Yamada R, Ohmura K, Takahashi M, Kawaguchi T, Kochi Y et al (2011) The human AIRE gene at chromosome 21q22 is a genetic determinant for the predisposition to rheumatoid arthritis in Japanese population. Hum Mol Genet 20:2680–2685
- 30. Wessels JA, de Vries-Bouwstra JK, Heijmans BT, Slagboom PE, Goekoop-Ruiterman YP, Allaart CF, Kerstens PJ, van Zeben D, Breedveld FC, Dijkmans BA, Huizinga TW, Guchelaar HJ (2006) Efficacy and toxicity of methotrexate in early rheumatoid arthritis are associated with single-nucleotide polymorphisms in genes coding for folate pathway enzymes. Arthritis Rheum 54:1087–1095
- 31. Kung TN, Dennis J, Ma Y, Xie G, Bykerk V, Pope J, Thorne C, Keystone E, Siminovitch KA, Gagnon F (2014) RFC1 80G>A is a genetic determinant of methotrexate efficacy in rheumatoid arthritis: a human genome epidemiologic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Arthritis Rheumatol 66:1111–1120
- 32. Drozdzik M, Rudas T, Pawlik A, Gornik W, Kurzawski M, Herczynska M (2007) Reduced folate carrier-1 80G > A polymorphism affects methotrexate treatment outcome in rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenomics J 7:404–407
- 33. Hayashi H, Tazoe Y, Tsuboi S, Horino M, Morishita M, Arai T, Ohshima M, Matsuyama T, Kosuge K, Yamada H, Tsuji D, Inoue K, Itoh K (2013) A single nucleotide polymorphism of reduced folate carrier 1 (RFC1) predicts methotrexate efficacy in Japanese patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 28:164–168
- 34. Samara SA, Irshaid YM, Mustafa KN (2014) Association of MDR1 C3435T and RFC1 G80A polymorphisms with methotrexate toxicity and response in Jordanian rheumatoid arthritis patients. Int J Clin Pharmacol Ther 52:746–755
- 35. Lima A, Bernardes M, Sousa H, Azevedo R, Costa L, Ventura F, Seabra V, Medeiros R (2014) SLC19A1 80G allele as a biomarker of methotrexate-related gastrointestinal toxicity in Portuguese rheumatoid arthritis patients. Pharmacogenomics 15:807–820
- 36. Dervieux T, Kremer J, Lein DO, Capps R, Barham R, Meyer G, Smith K, Caldwell J, Furst DE (2004) Contribution of common polymorphisms in reduced folate carrier and gamma-glutamylhydrolase to methotrexate polyglutamate levels in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Pharmacogenetics 14:733–739
- 37. Ando Y, Shimada H, Matsumoto N, Hirota T, Oribe M, Otsuka E, Ishii K, Morimoto T, Ohashi K, Ieiri I (2013) Role of methotrexate polyglutamation and reduced folate carrier 1 (RFC1) gene polymorphisms in clinical assessment indexes. Drug Metab Pharmacokinet 28:442–445
- 38. Nakashima-Matsushita N, Homma T, Yu S, Matsuda T, Sunahara N, Nakamura T, Tsukano M, Ratnam M, Matsuyama T (1999) Selective expression of folate receptor beta and its possible role in methotrexate transport in synovial macrophages from patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 42:1609–1616