**ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **Identifcation of rock mass discontinuity from 3D point clouds using improved fuzzy C‑means and convolutional neural network**

**Guangyin Lu1,2,3 · Bei Cao1,2,3 · Xudong Zhu1,2,3 · Zishan Lin4 · Dongxin Bai1,2,3 · Chuanyi Tao1,2,3 · Yani Li1,3**

Received: 20 October 2023 / Accepted: 25 March 2024 / Published online: 6 April 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

## **Abstract**

Accurately obtaining rock mass discontinuity information holds particular signifcance for slope stability analysis and rock mass classifcation. Currently, non-contact measurement methods have increasingly become a supplementary means to traditional techniques, especially in hazardous and inaccessible areas. This study introduces an innovative semi-automatic method to identify discontinuities from point clouds. A modifed convolutional neural network, AlexNet, was established to identify discontinuity sets. The network consists of five convolutional layers and three fully connected layers, utilizing  $1 \times 3$ normal vectors computed by K-nearest neighbor and principal component analysis as input and generating an output value "*i*" that represents the identifed discontinuity set associated with the "*i*" category. Learning samples for network training were randomly selected from point clouds and automatically categorized using the improved fuzzy C-means (FCM) based on particle swarm optimization (PSO). The orientations of individual discontinuities, identifed from the discontinuity set using hierarchical density–based spatial clustering of applications with noise, were calculated. Two outcrop cases were employed to validate the efficacy of the proposed method, and parameter analysis was conducted to determine optimal parameters. The results demonstrated the reliability of the method and highlighted improvements in automation and computational efficiency.

**Keywords** Point cloud · Rock mass · Discontinuity orientation · Convolutional neural network · Automatic selection

# **Introduction**

Large-scale discontinuities, such as faults (Chigira [1992](#page-16-0)), bedding (Ma et al. [2018\)](#page-16-1), and weak interlayers (Han et al. [2023](#page-16-2)), often form the boundaries of potentially sliding rock masses. Additionally, small-scale discontinuities, like joints and secondary fractures, signifcantly infuence the integrity and mechanical properties of the rock mass (Han et al. [2017](#page-16-3)). Hence, obtaining accurate and rapid information about these discontinuities features is essential for engineering rock

 $\boxtimes$  Bei Cao beicao@csu.edu.cn

- <sup>1</sup> School of Geosciences and Info-Physics, Central South University, Changsha 410083, China
- <sup>2</sup> National Engineering Research Center of High-Speed Railway Construction Technology, Changsha 410083, China
- <sup>3</sup> Hunan Key Laboratory of Nonferrous Resources and Geological Hazards Exploration, Changsha 410083, China
- <sup>4</sup> CSCEC-TAISEI CONSTRUTION. LTD, Beijing 100037, China

mass classifcation and slope stability analysis. Currently, traditional manual measurement remains the predominant method in feldwork, involving geologists recording discontinuities at accessible areas using a compass and tape. Nonetheless, this method has limitations, as it is subjective and unsuitable for steep, hazardous, or inaccessible areas (Gischig et al. [2011](#page-16-4); Gigli and Casagli [2011\)](#page-16-5). At present, the interpretation of rock mass discontinuity information from point clouds obtained through non-contact measurement has become a supplementary approach in feldwork. This approach allows for validation with manual measurements in accessible areas and enables discontinuity information acquisition in otherwise inaccessible regions.

Many scholars have made efforts to extract discontinuity information from point clouds, primarily utilizing two methodologies: point cloud segmentation and point cloud classifcation. Both these methodologies rely on inherent point cloud features, including spatial coordinates, normal vector, curvature, and color. Spatial coordinates and color are the fundamental attributes captured through laser scanning or photographic imagery (Park and Cho [2022\)](#page-17-0). Normal vector and curvature, on the other hand, are derived through 2.5D methods involving triangulation (Slob et al. [2002](#page-17-1); Lato and Vöge [2012](#page-16-6); Chen et al. [2016](#page-16-7); Zhang et al. [2018;](#page-17-2) Li et al. [2019\)](#page-16-8) and searching cube (Gigli and Casagli [2011](#page-16-5); Guo et al. [2017](#page-16-9)). An alternative option is the application of a point-based 3D method (Ferrero et al. [2009;](#page-16-10) Riquelme et al. [2014](#page-17-3); Menegoni et al. [2019](#page-17-4); Zhang et al. [2019](#page-17-5)) to calculate attributes for points sets composed of point and adjacent points.

The methods for calculating normal vector and curvature include the least squares method (Ferrero et al. [2009;](#page-16-10) Wang et al. [2017](#page-17-6); Riquelme et al. [2018](#page-17-7); Zhang et al. [2018\)](#page-17-2) and principal component analysis (PCA) (Jaboyedoff et al. [2007](#page-16-11); Otoo et al. [2011;](#page-17-8) Mah et al. [2013](#page-16-12); Hu et al. [2020\)](#page-16-13), which, however, display sensitivity to outliers. Due to its robustness in noisy data, some researchers (Vasuki et al. [2014;](#page-17-9) Chen et al. [2016;](#page-16-7) Li et al. [2019](#page-16-8)) employed the Random Sample Consensus (RANSAC) (Fischler and Bolles [1981](#page-16-14)) to achieve more reliable normal estimations, despite its limited applicability to curvature computations.

Point cloud segmentation methods partition point clouds with similar features into clusters, enabling the extraction of individual discontinuities. Classic point cloud segmentation algorithms include Hough transform (HT), RANSAC, region growth, and supervoxel. Discontinuities within rock masses tend to be geometrically planar. Therefore, HT and RANSAC have been employed by many researchers (Ferrero et al. [2009](#page-16-10); Leng et al. [2016](#page-16-15); Chen et al. [2017](#page-16-16); Han et al. [2017](#page-16-3); Yang et al. [2021\)](#page-17-10) to detect planes within point clouds, with each plane representing an individual discontinuity. However, these methods have become less popular in point cloud plane extraction tasks, due to their substantial computational memory and time requirements (Daghigh et al. [2022\)](#page-16-17). On the other hand, based on the normal vector and curvature relationship between the seed point and adjacent points, the region growth algorithm (Wang et al. [2017;](#page-17-6) Ge et al. [2018;](#page-16-18) Yi et al. [2023\)](#page-17-11) facilitates the expansion of points belonging to the same individual discontinuity, and fnally formed coherent regions to realize the extraction of individual discontinuities. However, as point cloud size and density escalate, the processing time also exhibits a pronounced increase. To mitigate the challenges of directly dealing with vast point clouds, Sun et al. [\(2021\)](#page-17-12) proposed to voxelize the point cloud, and consider the connectivity of neighboring voxels, merging similar voxels to form supervoxels, achieving pre-segmentation of the point cloud. After that, individual discontinuities were extracted based on spatial connectivity, region planarity, and parallelism among adjacent supervoxels. Once the individual discontinuities are acquired using segmentation algorithms, the discontinuity sets can be identifed by employing the K-means algorithm (Ge et al. [2017;](#page-16-19) Yi et al. [2023](#page-17-11); Sun et al. [2021](#page-17-12)).

Point cloud classification entails grouping each point based on its distinctive features, facilitating the identifcation of discontinuity sets. Within the same discontinuity set, the orientations of the discontinuity do not difer signifcantly, resulting in multiple principal orientations, with their quantity corresponding to the number of discontinuity sets. Various methods have been developed to determine principal normal vectors equivalent to the principal orientations including 2D kernel density analysis (Riquelme et al. [2014](#page-17-3)) and 3D fast search and fnd density peak (Kong et al. [2020](#page-16-20); Wu et al. [2021\)](#page-17-13) based on the density of the normal vectors. Each point is then assigned the nearest principal normal vector based on its angular deviation from the principal normal vectors. Methods like K-means (Chen et al. [2016](#page-16-7); Wu et al. [2021](#page-17-13)) and FCM (Van Knapen and Slob [2006;](#page-17-14) Vöge et al. [2013\)](#page-17-15) also explore principal normal vectors as cluster centroids for point cloud classifcation. Nevertheless, traditional K-means and FCM may often result in incorrect cluster centroid identifcation when updating cluster centroid through average value. In response, scholars incorporated optimization algorithms, like particle swarm optimization (PSO) (Li et al. [2015](#page-16-21); Song et al. [2017](#page-17-16)), diferential evolution (DE) (Cui and Yan [2020](#page-16-22)), and frefy algorithm (FA) (Guo et al. [2017](#page-16-9)), to fnd accurate cluster centroids. There are also alternative methods for point clouds direct classifcation without the prerequisite for initial principal normal vector identifcation. For instance, Ge et al. ([2022\)](#page-16-23) manually selected training samples to train an artifcial neural network, enabling point cloud classifcation and discontinuity sets identifcation. However, this approach needs iterative manual reselection of samples to overcome the limitation of representative training samples to ensure satisfactory outcomes, and thereby compromising efficiency. After discontinuity sets are obtained, the subsequent steps involve applying density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) (Riquelme et al. [2014](#page-17-3); Ge et al. [2022\)](#page-16-23) to further segmentation, resulting in the extraction of individual discontinuities.

This paper introduces a new approach to identify discontinuity using convolutional neural networks (CNN) and an improved FCM algorithm based on PSO. The structure of this paper is organized as follows: the data and methods employed in this paper are introduced in "[Methodology.](#page-1-0)" The application of the method to results in two case studies, as well as the analysis of relevant parameters, is introduced in "[Results for case.](#page-9-0)" The discussion and conclusion are respectively presented in "[Discussion](#page-13-0)"4 and "[Conclusion.](#page-15-0)"

## <span id="page-1-0"></span>**Methodology**

The proposed methodology in this study consists of fve steps as illustrated in Fig. [1](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>posed method



Step 1: Establishment of the convolutional neural network — AlexNet.

Step 2: Calculation of point cloud feature. PCA is used to calculate the normal vector and curvature.

Step 3: Automatic selection of learning samples. The improved FCM is used to categorize randomly selected points of a certain proportion, and these categorized points are used as learning samples.

Step 4: Identification of discontinuity sets using AlexNet trained by automatically categorized learning samples.

Step 5: Recognition of individual discontinuities using hierarchical density–based spatial clustering of applications with noise (HDBSCAN) and calculation of orientation.

# **Dataset description**

## **Case A**

Case A is located along the TP-7101 highway in the Baix Camp region of Spain (Catalonia Province). It is approximately 4 km away from the nearest town, False, in the northwest direction. The scanned rock formation is composed of dark grey to black, silt–clay size, small tabular, slightly weathered meta-siltstone, and slate, measuring about 50 m in length and 6 m in height. Figure [2](#page-3-0)a is a photograph of the rock exposure at the site. The point cloud data was obtained using the Optech llris 3D laser scanner on June 10, 2004. The average distance between the laser scanner and the rock formation was 11.3 m, resulting in an approximate point

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



cloud spacing of 5 mm. A specifc region within the point cloud data, as indicated by the red rectangle in Fig. [2](#page-3-0)a, was selected as the study area. Figure [2b](#page-3-0) shows the selected region's point cloud, consisting of a total of 86,749 points. The raw point cloud data is available at [https://www.resea](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289523298_raw_point_cloud_data_ascii_x_y_z_intensity_metadata) [rchgate.net/publication/289523298\\_raw\\_point\\_cloud\\_data\\_](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289523298_raw_point_cloud_data_ascii_x_y_z_intensity_metadata) [ascii\\_x\\_y\\_z\\_intensity\\_metadata](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/289523298_raw_point_cloud_data_ascii_x_y_z_intensity_metadata) (Slob [2010\)](#page-17-17).

#### **Case B**

The outcrop of case B is located along Highway 15, approximately 30 km north of Kingston, Ontario, Canada. The raw point cloud data was obtained by LeicaHD S6000 scanner at a position about 10 m away from the scanning area and 2,167,515 points were obtained in total. Three distinct scan sites for placing the scanner were strategically established based on the discontinuity distribution in the outcrop. Figure [3](#page-3-1) shows the precise locations and orientations of the three scan sites. The scanning range measures 13.28 m $\times$ 4.21 m $\times$ 3.71 m, with an average point spacing of approximately 5 mm. This outcrop exhibits the development of three nearly orthogonal discontinuity sets. Figure [3](#page-3-1) highlights a representative discontinuity from each of the three sets. The raw point cloud data is publicly accessible and can be obtained from the RockBench repository (Lato et al. [2013](#page-16-24)).

# **AlexNet**

Compared to large-scale networks burdened by high computational demands and slow processing speeds, the lightweight convolutional neural network, AlexNet (Krizhevsky et al. [2012](#page-16-25)) signifcantly enhances training speed through parallel training on dual GPUs. Therefore, this study used AlexNet to classify the point cloud, focusing on normal vectors serving as the network input. The AlexNet architecture suitable for discontinuity sets recognition is illustrated in Fig. [4](#page-4-0). It consists of fve convolutional layers and three fully connected layers, utilizing  $1 \times 3$  normal vectors as input and generating an output value "*i*" that represents the identifed



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Photograph of outcrop in case B. Three locations of the scanner and three typical individual discontinuities (Lato et al. [2009](#page-16-26))



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 4** The architecture of AlexNet employed in this study

discontinuity set associated with the "*i*" category. Given the  $1\times3$  input data size, "same" convolution with a stride of 1 was utilized with no pooling layers interposed between the convolutional layers to maintain its size. The fve convolutional layers have 96, 256, 384, 384, and 256 flters, respectively, each sized at  $1 \times 3$ . The learning samples used in the training process were automatically categorized using the improved FCM algorithm. The details are described in ["Automatic selection of learning samples](#page-5-0)."

## **Point cloud feature**

In this study, the point cloud features used for AlexNet input are normal vectors. Meanwhile, curvature is used to identify edges in point clouds. To enhance computational efficiency, instead of using diferent algorithms to calculate normal vectors and curvature separately, the PCA algorithm was employed in this paper to calculate both simultaneously.

#### <span id="page-4-2"></span>**Normal vector**

 $P_i$  is a point member of the point cloud; K-nearest neighbor algorithm is employed to find the nearest  $K$  points of  $P_i$  in Euclidean space. As a result, *Qi* , comprising *K* points, is formed:

⎡ ⎢ ⎢  $\bigcup x_k$   $\bigcup x_k$ *x*<sup>1</sup> *y*<sup>1</sup> *z*<sup>1</sup> *x*<sup>2</sup> *y*<sup>2</sup> *z*<sup>2</sup> ⋮⋮⋮ ⎤ ⎥ ⎥  $\overline{a}$  $\overline{a}$ 

The normal vector of the plane defined by  $Q_i$  is calculated by PCA, which identifies the eigenvalues ( $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ) and eigenvectors for the covariance matrix of  $Q_i$ . Assuming  $\lambda_1$  $\leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ , the eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda_1$  is the normal vector of  $P_i$ .

As shown in Fig. [5,](#page-4-1) the surface of the rock mass discontinuity is rough and uneven, resulting in normal vector pointing in the opposite direction at diferent points on the



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Normal vector direction at diferent points in the same discontinuity

same discontinuity, which is necessary to adjust the normal vector to a unifed direction. The angle *θ* between the normal vector  $\vec{a}$  and the reference point  $\vec{b} = [1 \ 1 \ 1]$  is determined by the following equation:

$$
\theta = \arccos \frac{\vec{a}\vec{b}}{|\vec{a}| \times |\vec{b}|} \tag{1}
$$

When  $\theta$  > 90°,  $\vec{a}$  is not pointing towards  $\vec{b}$ , and the vector is fipped. Figure [6](#page-5-1) shows the point clouds before and after normal vector adjustment in which the parameter  $K$  is set to 45, 40 for two cases, respectively. Several manual tests showed that the normal vector is more suitable when *K* is set as 45 for case A. Therefore, *K* was initialized with a value of 45 for case A. However, after a more thorough validation process in "Number of nearest neighbor *K*," the optimal value of *K* was determined to be 40. Hence, for case B, *K* was set to 40. For example, in Fig. [3](#page-3-1), the observed color of the discontinuity set where J3 is positioned shifts from black



<span id="page-5-1"></span>**Fig. 6** The 3D point cloud: The color of each point corresponds to its normal vector with *K*=45, 40 for case A and case B, respectively. Left: normal vector before adjustment. Right: normal vector after adjustment. **a** Case A: 86,749 points. **b** Case B: 2,761,515 points

and green to green. This indicates that the normal vectors have been standardized to a consistent direction.

#### **Curvature**

"[Normal vector](#page-4-2)" has calculated the eigenvalues ( $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$ ) for the covariance matrix of  $Q_i$ .  $\sigma_K(P_i)$  determined by Eq.  $(2)$  $(2)$  is defined as the surface change at  $P_i$  within the surface formed by  $Q_i$ .

$$
\sigma_K(P_i) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3} \tag{2}
$$

Pauly et al. [\(2002\)](#page-17-18) observed a strong agreement between  $\sigma_K(P_i)$  and the average curvature of each point across different point cloud models. Therefore, in this method,  $\sigma_K(P_i)$  is used to replace the average curvature equivalent to reduce the computation time.

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Automatic selection of learning samples**

For feld slope outcrop dataset, learning samples are not readily available, but should be acquired from the corresponding point cloud. In contrast to manual selection, this paper introduces an automatic method for obtaining learning samples. First, the edges, intersection of the discontinuity, are excluded to ensure that randomly chosen samples are not

 $\mathcal{Q}$  Springer

situated on edges with chaotic normal vectors. Then, a subset of sample points is randomly selected from the remaining point cloud, which is automatically classifed using an improved FCM based on PSO, assigning a category to each sample point.

#### **Discarded edge**

<span id="page-5-2"></span>Unlike the nearly parallel normal vectors exhibited by the same set of discontinuities, the normal vector of the edge appears chaotic and exhibits an angle deviation from the discontinuity, as illustrated in Fig. [7](#page-5-3). As depicted in Fig. [8](#page-6-0)a, the curvature of edges is markedly higher than that of discontinuities. Therefore, edges are eliminated by applying a curvature threshold, denoted as *r*.



<span id="page-5-3"></span>**Fig. 7** Normal vector direction at discontinuity and edge, respectively



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 8** The 3D point cloud of case A: The color of each point corresponds to its curvature with  $K=45$ . **a** Edges are not discarded. **b** Remaining 69,399 points. 17,350 points belonging to edges were discarded with  $p=0.8$ 

The sorted elements in  $\sigma_K(P)$  are taken as the cumulative probability  $(0.5/n)$ ,  $(1.5/n)$ , …,  $([n-0.5]/n)$  quantiles, where *n* is the number of sorted elements. The linear interpolation method is employed to compute quantiles for a given cumulative probability *p* between (0.5/*n*) and ([ $n-0.5$ ]/n).  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ are determined by Eq.  $(3)$ , corresponding to quantiles  $y_1$  and  $y_2$ , respectively, for the given cumulative probability  $p$  between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . Utilizing linear interpolation, the *p* quantile  $y_p$  is derived using Eq. [\(4](#page-6-2)). Figure [8b](#page-6-0) shows that the edges were discarded when *r* equals  $\sigma_K(P)$  when the cumulative probability *p* was set as 0.8.

$$
\begin{cases}\n x_1 = \frac{\text{round } (p \times n) - 0.5}{n} \\
 x_2 = \frac{\text{round } (p \times n) + 0.5}{n}\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(3)

where round 
$$
(x) = \begin{cases} [x], & \text{if } x - \lfloor x \rfloor \ge 0.5 \\ \lfloor x \rfloor, & \text{if } x - \lceil x \rceil \ge 0.5 \end{cases}
$$
  

$$
y_p = y_1 + \frac{p - x_1}{x_2 - x_1} (y_2 - y_1)
$$
(4)

#### **Fuzzy C‑means algorithm**

To obtain categorized learning samples, FCM is employed to classify a small randomly selected subset of points with known features from the point cloud with its edges removed.

The normal vectors are represented by  $(P_1, P_2, ..., P_N)$ , with *N* representing the count of selected points. The cluster centroids are initialized as  $(V_1, V_2, ..., V_c)$ , where *C* is the number of discontinuity sets. The acute angle  $\theta$  between  $P_j$  and  $V_i$  is determined by the following equation.

$$
\theta = \arccos[P_j \cdot V_i^T] \tag{5}
$$

In this paper, for the grouping selected points, the distance between two points was measured using the square of the sine value of the acute angle between the normal vectors of two points, instead of the Euclidean distance. The distance between  $P_j$  and  $V_i$  is then given by the following equation:

$$
D(P_j, V_i) = \sin^2 \theta = 1 - (P_j \cdot V_i^T)^2
$$
 (6)

The FCM calculates the distance between every normal vector and each cluster centroid, and assigns each point to the closest cluster centroid based on the distance. Thus, the objective function *E* for grouping discontinuities is expressed in the following equation.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
E = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=1}^{C} u_{ij}^{2} D^{2}(P_{j}, V_{i})
$$
(7)

<span id="page-6-2"></span>where  $u_{ii}$  represents the membership degree of the *j*th normal vector belonging to the *i*th cluster centroid as shown in Eq.  $(8)$  $(8)$ .

<span id="page-6-3"></span>
$$
u_{ij} = \frac{1}{D^2(P_j, V_i)} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^{C} \frac{1}{D^2(P_j, V_k)} \right]^{-1}
$$
 (8)

Once all points have been assigned to the nearest cluster centroid, the mean value for each cluster is calculated and adopted as the new cluster centroids. This iterative approach continually updates the cluster centroids until the objective function  $E$  is minimized. In this paper, the number of clusters (*C*) is determined by identifying color variations in the point cloud, where the colors are represented by normal vectors. Considering that the FCM heavily relies on initial centroids selection, an incorrect choice of initial centroids may lead to suboptimal clustering results and increased clustering iterations. Therefore, the PSO algorithm is applied to replace the conventional mean value for updating cluster centroids.

#### **Particle Swarm Optimization algorithm**

PSO algorithm (Kennedy and Eberhart [1995\)](#page-16-27) conceptualizes birds in a foraging flock as weightless particles. Each particle has a distinct position  $x_i = (x_{i1}, x_{i2}, \ldots, x_{in})$  and velocity  $v_i = (v_{i1}, v_{i2}, ..., v_{in})$  in an *n*-dimensional space. By iteratively adjusting their movement direction and position, referencing their personal historical best position  $x_{\text{pbest}}$  and the best position of the entire group  $x_{\text{pbest}}$ , the particles progressively converge towards an optimal solution. The  $x_{\text{pbest}}$  and  $x_{\text{pbest}}$  are updated in every iteration based on the fitness function value of the particle.

The velocity and position of particles are adjusted using Eqs.  $(9)$  and  $(10)$  $(10)$  respectively:

$$
v_i^{t+1} = \omega \cdot v_i^t + c_1 \cdot r_1 \cdot (x_{\text{pbest}} - x_i^t) + c_2 \cdot r_2 \cdot (x_{\text{gbest}} - x_i^t) \tag{9}
$$

$$
x_i^{t+1} = x_i^t + v_i^{t+1}
$$
 (10)

where  $\omega$ , known as the inertia weight, is set as 0.9 for global search. The cognitive and social learning factors, denoted as  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , respectively, are both set as 1.5.  $r_1$  and  $r_2$  are random numbers between 0 and 1.

For categorizing the selected points, the particle positions are corresponded to the normal vectors. The fitness function is the objective function *E*, which is minimized during the iterative process. Therefore, during the iteration process,  $x_{\text{pbest}}$  refers to the position where a particle has its lowest fitness, while  $x_{\text{obsest}}$  represents the position where the particle exhibits the lowest fitness in the entire group. After the iteration ends,  $x_{\text{gbest}}$  is the cluster centroid for the selected points.

As particles move towards the optimal solution, they may encounter local extreme values that cause their velocities to quickly reduce to zero, leading to premature convergence of all particles on a local extreme. To avoid inaccurate classification due to premature convergence, this paper introduces a time threshold *T*. If the convergence time is less than *T*, the algorithm will be re-executed.

Figure [9](#page-7-2) shows 87 points, randomly selected from the remaining points cloud, were automatically categorized by the improved FCM based on PSO with *C*=4 (four colors in Fig. [6](#page-5-1)a), *T*=50, and a particle count of 1000 for case A. Figure [10](#page-8-0) shows the population's progression in achieving



<span id="page-7-2"></span>**Fig. 9** Automatically randomly selected learning samples used for training the AlexNet for case A

<span id="page-7-0"></span>a minimum ftness of 0.36075 after the 178th iteration during the twelfth cycle, taking 110.28 s.

#### <span id="page-7-1"></span>**Identifcation of discontinuity set**

The categorized learning samples are used to train the network model. Once trained, the model takes the complete point cloud with calculated features as input to determine the category of each point. Points belonging to the same category are aggregated to form a discontinuity set. While achieving 100% accuracy with a CNN model is challenging, it is expected to result in some errors. However, these error points are typically sparsely distributed within the point cloud.

In Fig. [11](#page-8-1), the network trained on the learning samples in Fig. [9](#page-7-2) successfully identifes four discontinuity sets for case A. However, some error points are indicated within the circled area in Discontinuity sets 1, 3, and 4.

## **Analysis of individual discontinuity**

Once the points belonging to a discontinuity set are identifed, each discontinuity set is further segmented to obtain individual discontinuities. Then, the orientation of each discontinuity is calculated.

#### **Recognition of individual discontinuity**

DBSCAN (Ester et al. [1996\)](#page-16-28) has been widely employed for the extraction of individual discontinuities from discontinuity sets in previous studies (Riquelme et al. [2014](#page-17-3); Buyer



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Fitness variation curve with iterations at diferent cycle



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 11** Identifcation of discontinuity sets for case A with one color per discontinuity set. Red circles denote the location of error points. **a** Discontinuity sets 1–4, **b** Set 1, **c** set 2, **d** set 3, and **e** set 4

and Schubert [2017;](#page-16-29) Singh et al. [2021](#page-17-19)). However, selecting two appropriate input parameters (the search radius (*ε*) and the minimum number of points (*min-pts*)) for DBSCAN is challenging, particularly when dealing with varying density. To address this, HDBSCAN (Campello et al. [2013](#page-16-30)) introduces the concept of mutual reachability distance and transforms DBSCAN into a hierarchical clustering algorithm, thus offering a solution for clustering issues

with varying densities. The mutual reachability distance between two points is defined by Eqs.  $(11)$  $(11)$  $(11)$ .

$$
d_{\text{mreach}}(p_i, p_j) = \max\left\{\text{core}_{\text{min-pts}}(p_i), \text{core}_{\text{min-pts}}(p_j), d(p_i, p_j)\right\}
$$
\n(11)

where  $d(p_i, p_j)$  represents the Euclidean distance between  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ . core<sub>min−pts</sub> $(p_i)$  and core<sub>min−pts</sub> $(p_j)$  represents the distances of  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  to their nearest min-pts neighbors, respectively.

Convert the minimum spanning tree generated from mutual reachable distances into a hierarchical cluster structure. Then, traverse the hierarchy and identify new clusters created by the split with sizes smaller than the minimum cluster threshold (*minCluster*) as "fall out of a cluster," facilitating the condensation of the cluster tree and, ultimately, the extraction of clusters. For more comprehensive information, refer to prior studies (Campello et al. [2013\)](#page-16-30).

In practice, the primary parameter, *minCluster*, is intuitive, fairly robust, and easy to select (McInnes and Healy [2017](#page-16-31)). Additionally, a quantity threshold, *DisTh*, related to the exposed area and resolution of the point cloud is set to prevent generating too small clusters that represent excessively small individual discontinuities. Both smaller regions with higher resolution and larger areas require a larger *DisTh*.

#### **Calculation of orientation**

In the context of a right-hand coordinate system with the *Z*-axis pointing vertically upwards, the orientation of the discontinuities is determined by the following equations.

$$
dip = \cos^{-1}(|C|) \tag{12}
$$

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
\begin{cases}\n\text{dipdirection} = 90^\circ - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) & A > 0 \\
\text{dipdirection} = 270^\circ - \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{B}{A}\right) & A < 0\n\end{cases}\n\tag{13}
$$

where *A*, *B*, and *C* are the three components computed using the PCA algorithm mentioned in "[Normal vector"](#page-4-2) of the unit normal vector of the discontinuity.

## <span id="page-9-0"></span>**Results for case**

#### **Case A: results and relevant parameters analysis**

## **Result for case A**

In Fig. [11,](#page-8-1) some points on the edges of sets 1 and 3 are misidentifed as belonging to set 2. This misclassifcation can be attributed to the chaotic appearance and angle deviation of normal vectors at the edges, as illustrated in Fig. [7.](#page-5-3) Furthermore, the practical constraints of convolutional neural networks, which cannot achieve 100% accuracy in real-world applications, also contribute to a certain degree of classifcation error for points along the edges.

Figure [12](#page-9-2) presents the clustering results of case A with  $minCluster = 5$ , and  $DisTh = 50$ . It can be observed that



<span id="page-9-2"></span>**Fig. 12** Results of clustering for case A with one color per individual discontinuity. **a** Sets 1–4, **b** set 1, **c** set 2, **d** set 3, and **e** set 4



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 13** Several labeled discontinuities are used for comparison

<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Table 1** Comparison of the orientation of labeled discontinuities calculated by the proposed method and feld measurements for case A

Disconti- nuity ID	Orientation by the proposed method $(°)$	Orientation by field measurements $(°)$	$\Delta$ (°)
11	84.29/89.51	81/90	3.29/0.49
12	71.40/79.28	71/82	0.40/2.72
13	87.14/83.74	87/86	0.14/2.26
14	76.58/78.13	75/76	1.58/2.13
21	349.80/43.33	342/43	7.80/0.33
31	47.07/87.37	51/85	3.93/2.37
41	151.66/56.20	148/57	3.66/0.80
42	158.13/54.67	155/56	3.13/1.33

<span id="page-10-2"></span>**Fig. 14** Calibration of parameter *K* for diferent discontinuities labeled in Fig. [13](#page-10-0)

successful segmentation of each discontinuity set has been achieved, resulting in the extraction of individual discontinuities. Additionally, the outlier points in Fig. [11](#page-8-1) have been eliminated.

Several labeled discontinuities in Fig. [13](#page-10-0) were measured on-site by Slob [\(2010\)](#page-17-17). The orientations of these discontinuities, calculated using the method proposed in this paper, are compared with the feld measurements in Table [1.](#page-10-1) From the comparison, the deviations are within 5°, except for discontinuity 21, which has a dip direction deviation of 7.80°. Considering the rough and uneven nature of the rock mass discontinuity, these deviations can be considered acceptable, affirming the reliability of the proposed method.

#### **Number of nearest neighbor K**

The value of *K* significantly influences normal vector calculations in step 2. For each labeled discontinuity in Fig. [13,](#page-10-0) Fig. [14](#page-10-2) shows the standard deviation of angles between the normal vectors of all points situated on the discontinuity and the corresponding discontinuity normal vector across various *K* values (5, 15, 40, 60, 100, 200, 500, 1000, 2000). Except for discontinuity 42, the others display a trend of initially decreasing and then increasing as *K* values rise. This is because a larger *K* may group points from diferent discontinuities, while a smaller *K* may result in diferences in the same discontinuity due to its rough and uneven nature. Furthermore, discontinuity 12, 13, 21, and 31; discontinuity 11 and 41; and discontinuity 14 exhibit the minimum standard



deviations at  $K=40$ , 20, and 60, respectively. Considering that most discontinuities reach their minimum standard deviations at  $K = 40$  and show no significant difference from those at 20 and 60,  $K = 40$  is considered the optimal value.

#### **Cumulative probability** *p*

The curvature threshold *r*, determined by the cumulative probability *p*, relates to whether learning samples include positions of non-discontinuity, which in turn affects the subsequent identification results of discontinuity sets. If there are many points located on the edges in the learning samples, the FCM tends to cluster the edges as a separate set during point classification, leading to one output of the network being recognized as edges, which hampers the identification accuracy of discontinuity sets.

Figure [15](#page-11-0)a–d illustrate the removal of edges for different cumulative probabilities *p*. When *p* is set as 0.9, some points on the edges are not eliminated, but at  $p = 0.8$ , most edge points are removed. However, selecting a smaller *p* would remove points from discontinuities due to their rough and even nature. Therefore, it is advisable to select a *p* between 0.8 and 0.9 to achieve the desired results.



<span id="page-11-1"></span>**Fig. 16** Calibration of the learning samples quantity and threshold time *T*

#### **Time threshold and number of learning samples**

By conducting a comprehensive analysis, an appropriate number of learning samples and time threshold *T* are determined. Figure [16](#page-11-1) illustrates the elapsed time during multiple executions of the PSO algorithm for case A, considering



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 15** Point cloud with different cumulative probability *p*. **a**  $p=0.9$ ; **b**  $p=0.8$ ; **c**  $p=0.7$ ; **d**  $p=0.6$ 

various numbers of learning samples. It can be observed that there is a distinct time gap that serves as a criterion to identify premature convergence in the PSO algorithm. Figure [16](#page-11-1) also presents the longest time for premature convergence, as well as the shortest and longest times for nonpremature convergence under diferent numbers of learning samples. It is evident that as the number of learning samples increases, both the shortest and longest time increases. When the sample is more than 300, the shortest time of 159.15 s at a sample quantity of 300 is an acceptable range. However, the longest time increases signifcantly to 3233.51 s when the sample quantity is 1000, resulting in a substantial time increase. Therefore, the sample quantity should be below 300, as both the minimum and maximum times fall within an acceptable range. Furthermore, at a sample quantity of 300, the longest time required for premature convergence is 49.71 s. Taking all factors into consideration, this study sets the time threshold as 50 s.

## **Optimal parameters**

The optimal values for the parameters in diferent steps of the proposed method are as follows: In step 2, the value

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 17** 184 learning samples for case B

of *K* used to compute the normal vector and curvature is set as 40. For automated learning sample selection at step 3, the curvature threshold *p*, as analyzed in "Cumulative probability *p*," is set between 0.8 and 0.9. The number of colors assigned to the point cloud determines the number of clusters *C* during colorization based on normal vectors. The sample quantity and time threshold, analyzed in "Time threshold and number of learning samples," are set as less than 300 and 50 s, respectively.

# **Result for case B**

Figure [6b](#page-5-1) displays three distinct colors with  $K=40$ , indicating the presence of three discontinuity sets in case B, which is consistent with the results of the feld investigation. Utilizing the optimal parameters described in "Optimal parameters," a total of 184 points were randomly selected from the edge-removed point cloud of case B  $(p=0.85)$  and subjected to classifcation using improved FCM. Figure [17](#page-12-0) illustrates the distribution of the 184 points, which are categorized into three sets: 43 points in discontinuity set 1, 43 points in set 2, and 98 points in set 3.



<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 18** Identifcation results and clustering results of discontinuity set for case B. **a** Discontinuity sets 1–3. One color per discontinuity set. **b** 518 individual discontinuities. One color per individual discontinuity

<span id="page-13-1"></span>



Figure [18a](#page-12-1) illustrates the results of discontinuity set identification obtained through training a network on 184 points. It can be observed that the entire point cloud is divided into three sets, and the grouping results align with Fig. [6](#page-5-1)b, demonstrating accurate grouping. Figure [18](#page-12-1) b illustrates the clustering results of the three discontinuity sets, with *minCluster* = 10 and  $DisTh = 200$ .

Figure [19](#page-13-1) shows the stereographic projection of all the discontinuity orientations in case B using an equal-angle lower hemisphere projection. The mean orientation of the three discontinuity sets obtained through our method is compared with that from the PlaneDetect software (Lato and Vöge [2012](#page-16-6)) and DSE software (Riquelme et al. [2016\)](#page-17-20) in Table [2](#page-13-2). Compared to the PlaneDetect software, set 1 and set 2 show a good agreement with a maximum deviation of 3°. Although set 3 exhibits a larger orientation deviation, it closely aligns with the results from the DSE software. This may be attributed to the rough and uneven nature of the discontinuity and diferences in software recognition accuracy. Overall, the deviations are within an acceptable range.

# <span id="page-13-0"></span>**Discussion**

Compared to 2D or 2.5D methods that simplify surface information, potentially leading to the loss of valuable information, our approach for calculating normal vectors is a true 3D method that considers each point. Although the generated normal vector data is much larger than that of 2.5D methods, the capability of CNN to handle massive amounts of data efectively solves this problem. By combining the improved FCM with the AlexNet, the entire point cloud can be classifed using a small subset of data, thereby avoiding the need to directly process the point cloud using the clustering algorithm such as the improved FCM mentioned in this paper, or the fast search and fnd of density peaks (CFSFDP) algorithm used by Kong et al.([2020\)](#page-16-20). As a result, the data processing time is greatly reduced.

Kong et al. ([2020\)](#page-16-20) proposed employing CFSFDP for extracting discontinuity within two point clouds (approximately 500,000 points and 1,500,000 points). The tasks took 1.5 h and 5.5 h, respectively, using a laptop equipped with a 2.30 GHz(R) Intel Core i5-6300Q processor and 4 GB of RAM. The CFSFDP algorithm is based on the assumption

<span id="page-13-2"></span>

<span id="page-14-0"></span>



that cluster centers are surrounded by neighbors with lower local density and that they are at a relatively large distance from any points with a higher local density (Rodriguez & Laio [2014](#page-17-21)). For each data point *i*, the local density  $\rho_i$  and distance  $\delta_i$  depend only on the distances  $d_{ij}$ . Therefore, the CFSFDP algorithm requires computing the distance matrix  $d_{ii}$  between any two points, resulting in a time complexity

of  $O(n^2)$  (where n is the number of points), causing a signifcant increase in the processing time for large datasets. Table [3](#page-14-0) compares the processing time cost by the improved FCM, and DSE software developed by Riquelme et al. ([2014](#page-17-3)), and the method proposed in this paper to case B. It is evident that even with a simplifed point cloud (10% of the original point cloud, a total of 216,752 points),



<span id="page-14-1"></span>**Fig. 20** Comparison of results between our proposed method using CNN, and Ge et al. [\(2022](#page-16-23)) proposed method using ANN: **a** CNN, discontinuity sets 1–3; **b** ANN, discontinuity sets 1–3

the processing time (3.75 h) cost by the improved FCM increases signifcantly. Meanwhile, compared with the DSE software, the calculation time of the method proposed in this paper is reduced from 1766.2 to 409.5 s, demonstrating the proposed method has an improved computation efficiency.

When using the improved FCM to determine learning samples, the number of clusters is determined through color classifcation, which is accurate and avoids the need for iterative determination of the appropriate number of FCM clusters. Furthermore, a time threshold is incorporated to prevent premature convergence of the PSO algorithm, and the processing time is generally less than 300 s.

Manually selecting learning samples is both time-consuming and burdensome on the operator's eyes. Furthermore, the accuracy heavily relies on the subjective selection of learning samples, which can result in incorrect identifcation. The iterative process of selecting and reselecting learning samples repeats until satisfactory results are achieved, leading to a significant increase in time and effort expended. The results show that the automatic sample selection method proposed in this paper is reliable and greatly improves the automation level.

In classifcation tasks, ANN learns complex relationships between input features and output labels through multiple layers of nodes and a fully connected structure, where each node is connected to all nodes in the preceding layer without considering the spatial structure of the data. However, CNN, with its local connections through convolutional kernels, captures spatial local features more efectively, enhancing the processing efficiency for spatially structured data. Figure [20](#page-14-1) compares the point cloud classifcation obtained through two diferent approaches: the one proposed in this paper, which utilizes AlexNet, and the method used by Ge et al. ([2022](#page-16-23)) employing artifcial neural networks (ANN). The recognition results for Discontinuity set 2 and 3 are almost identical for both methods. Nevertheless, when dealing with discontinuity set 1, the ANN-based method misclassifed some edge points as discontinuity set 1, leading to lower recognition precision compared to the proposed method in this paper, which yields better recognition results.

# <span id="page-15-0"></span>**Conclusion**

The article presents a new semi-automated method for identifying and extracting rock mass discontinuity using an improved FCM and CNN. The main conclusions are as follows:

A modified convolutional neural network, AlexNet, trained with learning samples automatically categorized by the Fuzzy C-Means based on particle swarm optimization, is designed for identifying discontinuity set within point clouds, which overcomes the problem of manual sample selection, simultaneously enhancing automation and accuracy, and enables the network to complete training within an exceptionally short timeframe. Individual discontinuities are extracted by segmenting the discontinuity set using HDBSCAN and the PCA is applied to calculate the normal vectors of each discontinuity, providing their orientations. HDBSCAN provides a solution for clustering issues with varying densities, and the required parameters are intuitive and easy to select.

The method was applied to two real feld outcrops and compared with the results of feld surveys and previous studies. By comparing the results with the feld survey results of case A, the reliability of the proposed method in this study was verifed. Sensitivity analysis was conducted to determine the optimal parameters, which were then applied to case B, also yielding reliable outcomes.

This study combines the improved FCM and CNN to process point clouds, addressing the issue of the time-consuming of using the improved FCM alone. It also avoids the manual selection of learning samples when using neural networks, which may potentially necessitate reselection and result in increased time and effort consumption. In addition, compared with DSE software, the proposed method also improves computational efficiency. While our method takes slightly longer during the point cloud classifcation using AlexNet compared to the approach proposed by Ge et al. ([2022\)](#page-16-23) using ANN, it achieves better results in the recognition of the discontinuity set. The lightweight network AlexNet, proposed in this paper for identifying discontinuity set from point clouds, can complete training in a short time. In summary, the proposed method considers an overall balance between computational accuracy and efficiency.

Furthermore, the method can be easily extended to calculate other parameters of the discontinuity, including trace length, spacing, and roughness. However, extracting the aperture of discontinuity from point clouds remains a challenging problem that requires further investigation in future research.

**Acknowledgements** Thanks to Dr. Siefko Slob for sharing the point clouds of case A. The raw data of case B was obtained from the Rockbench repository. The authors kindly appreciated M. Lato, J. Kemeny, R.M. Harrap, and G. Bevan for establishing the Rockbench repository. The authors' special appreciation goes to editors and anonymous reviewers for valuable comments.

**Author contribution** Conceptualization: Guangyin Lu, Bei Cao; methodology: Guangyin Lu, Bei Cao; formal analysis: Guangyin Lu, Zishan Lin; investigation: Bei Cao, Xudong Zhu; writing—original draft: Bei Cao; writing—review and editing: Bei Cao, Xudong Zhu, Zishan Lin, Chuanyi Tao, Yani Li; data curation: Bei Cao, Xudong Zhu; visualization: Guangyin Lu, Xudong Zhu; software: Bei Cao, Xudong Zhu; validation: Xudong Zhu, Zishan Lin, Dongxin Bai, Chuanyi Tao; funding acquisition: Guangyin Lu; resources: Guangyin Lu; supervision: Dongxin Bai, Yani Li;

**Funding** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41974148), the Natural Resources Science and Technology Project of Hunan Province (Grant No.2022–01), the Research Foundation of the Department of Natural Resources of Hunan Province (Grant No. 20230101DZ), and the Science and Technology Research and Development Project of China Railway Co., LTD (No. 2022-Special-07).

**Data Availability** The data that support the fndings of this study are available from the corresponding author, Bei Cao, upon reasonable request.

**Code availability** The codes that support the fndings of this study are available at GitHub [\(https://github.com/rockslopeworking/Rockmass](https://github.com/rockslopeworking/Rockmass-discontinuity)[discontinuity\)](https://github.com/rockslopeworking/Rockmass-discontinuity).

## **Declarations**

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

# **References**

- <span id="page-16-29"></span>Buyer A, Schubert W (2017) Calculation the spacing of discontinuities from 3D point clouds. Procedia Eng 191:270–278
- <span id="page-16-30"></span>Campello RJ, Moulavi D, Sander J (2013) Density-based clustering based on hierarchical density estimates. Pacifc-Asia conference on knowledge discovery and data mining. Berlin Heidelberg, Springer, Berlin Heidelberg, pp 160–172
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Chen J, Zhu H, Li X (2016) Automatic extraction of discontinuity orientation from rock mass surface 3D point cloud. Comput Geosci 95:18–31
- <span id="page-16-16"></span>Chen N, Kemeny J, Jiang Q, Pan Z (2017) Automatic extraction of blocks from 3D point clouds of fractured rock. Comput Geosci 109:149–161
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Chigira M (1992) Long-term gravitational deformation of rocks by mass rock creep. Eng Geol 32(3):157–184
- <span id="page-16-22"></span>Cui X, Yan EC (2020) A clustering algorithm based on diferential evolution for the identifcation of rock discontinuity sets. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 126:104181
- <span id="page-16-17"></span>Daghigh H, Tannant DD, Daghigh V, Lichti DD, Lindenbergh R (2022) A critical review of discontinuity plane extraction from 3D point cloud data of rock mass surfaces. Comput Geosci 169:105241
- <span id="page-16-28"></span>Ester M, Kriegel HP, Sander J, Xu X (1996) A density-based algorithm for discovering clusters in large spatial databases with noise. In Kdd 95:226–231
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Ferrero AM, Forlani G, Roncella R, Voyat HI (2009) Advanced geostructural survey methods applied to rock mass characterization. Rock Mech Rock Eng 42:631–665
- <span id="page-16-14"></span>Fischler MA, Bolles RC (1981) Random sample consensus: a paradigm for model ftting with applications to image analysis and automated cartography. Commun ACM 24(6):381–395
- <span id="page-16-19"></span>Ge Y, Xia D, Tang H, Zhao B, Wang L, Chen Y (2017) Intellectual identifcation and geometric properties extraction of rock discontinuities based on terrestrial laser scanning. Rock Mech Eng 36(12):3050–3061
- <span id="page-16-18"></span>Ge Y, Tang H, Xia D, Wang L, Zhao B, Teaway JW, Zhou T (2018) Automated measurements of discontinuity geometric properties from a 3D-point cloud based on a modifed region growing algorithm. Eng Geol 242:44–54
- <span id="page-16-23"></span>Ge Y, Cao B, Tang H (2022) Rock discontinuities identifcation from 3D point clouds using artifcial neural network. Rock Mech Rock
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Eng 55(3):1705–1720 Gigli G, Casagli N (2011) Semi-automatic extraction of rock mass structural data from high resolution LIDAR point clouds. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 48(2):187–198
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Gischig V, Amann F, Moore JR, Loew S, Eisenbeiss H, Stempfhuber W (2011) Composite rock slope kinematics at the current Randa instability, Switzerland, based on remote sensing and numerical modeling. Eng Geol 118(1–2):37–53
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Guo J, Liu S, Zhang P, Wu L, Zhou W, Yu Y (2017) Towards semiautomatic rock mass discontinuity orientation and set analysis from 3D point clouds. Comput Geosci 103:164–172
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Han X, Yang S, Zhou F, Wang J, Zhou D (2017) An efective approach for rock mass discontinuity extraction based on terrestrial LiDAR scanning 3D point clouds. Ieee Access 5:26734–26742
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Han G, Zhang C, Singh HK, Huang S, Zhou H, Gao Y (2023) A comprehensive investigation of engineering geological characteristics of interlayer shear weakness zones embedded within Baihetan hydropower station. Tunn Undergr Space Technol 132:104891
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Hu L, Xiao J, Wang Y (2020) Efficient and automatic plane detection approach for 3-D rock mass point clouds. Multimed Tools Appl 79:839–864
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Jaboyedoff M, Metzger R, Oppikofer T, Couture R, Derron MH, Locat J, Turmel D (2007) New insight techniques to analyze rock-slope relief using DEM and 3Dimaging cloud points: COLTOP-3D software. In: ARMA Canada-US rock mechanics symposium, Vancouver, ARMA-07
- <span id="page-16-27"></span>Kennedy J, Eberhart R (1995) Particle swarm optimization. In: Proceedings of ICNN'95-international conference on neural networks, Perth, pp 1942–1948
- <span id="page-16-20"></span>Kong D, Wu F, Saroglou C (2020) Automatic identifcation and characterization of discontinuities in rock masses from 3D point clouds. Eng Geol 265:105442
- <span id="page-16-25"></span>Krizhevsky A, Sutskever I, Hinton GE (2012) Imagenet classifcation with deep convolutional neural networks. In: Advances in neural information processing systems 25. Lake Tahoe, pp 1097–1105
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Lato MJ, Vöge M (2012) Automated mapping of rock discontinuities in 3D lidar and photogrammetry models. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 54:150–158
- <span id="page-16-26"></span>Lato M, Diederichs MS, Hutchinson DJ, Harrap R (2009) Optimization of LiDAR scanning and processing for automated structural evaluation of discontinuities in rockmasses. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 46(1):194–199
- <span id="page-16-24"></span>Lato M, Kemeny J, Harrap RM, Bevan G (2013) Rock bench: Establishing a common repository and standards for assessing rockmass characteristics using LiDAR and photogrammetry. Comput Geosci 50:106–114.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cageo.2012.06.014>
- <span id="page-16-15"></span>Leng X, Xiao J, Wang Y (2016) A multi-scale plane-detection method based on the Hough transform and region growing. Photogram Rec 31(154):166–192
- <span id="page-16-21"></span>Li Y, Wang Q, Chen J, Xu L, Song S (2015) K-means algorithm based on particle swarm optimization for the identifcation of rock discontinuity sets. Rock Mech Rock Eng 48:375–385
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Li X, Chen Z, Chen J, Zhu H (2019) Automatic characterization of rock mass discontinuities using 3D point clouds. Eng Geol 259:105131
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Ma G, Hu X, Yin Y, Luo G, Pan Y (2018) Failure mechanisms and development of catastrophic rockslides triggered by precipitation and open-pit mining in Emei, Sichuan, China. Landslides 15:1401–1414
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Mah J, Samson C, McKinnon SD, Thibodeau D (2013) 3D laser imaging for surface roughness analysis. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 58:111–117
- <span id="page-16-31"></span>McInnes L, Healy J (2017) Accelerated hierarchical density based clustering. In: 2017 IEEE international conference on data mining workshops (ICDMW), New Orleans, pp 33–42
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>Menegoni N, Giordan D, Perotti C, Tannant DD (2019) Detection and geometric characterization of rock mass discontinuities using a 3D high-resolution digital outcrop model generated from RPAS imagery–Ormea rock slope, Italy. Eng Geol 252:145–163
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>Otoo JN, Maerz NH, DuanY, Xiaoling L (2011) LiDAR and optical imaging for 3-D fracture orientations. In: Proceedings of the 2011 NSF engineering research and innovation conference. Atlanta
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>Park J, Cho YK (2022) Point cloud information modeling: Deep learning–based automated information modeling framework for point cloud data. J Constr Eng Manag 148(2):04021191
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>Pauly M, Gross M, Kobbelt LP (2002) Efficient simplification of pointsampled surfaces. In: IEEE visualization 2002. VIS 2002, Boston, pp 163–170
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>Riquelme AJ, Abellán A, Tomás R, Jaboyedoff M (2014) A new approach for semi-automatic rock mass joints recognition from 3D point clouds. Comput Geosci 68:38–52
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>Riquelme AJ, Tomás R, Abellán A (2016) Characterization of rock slopes through slope mass rating using 3D point clouds. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 84:165–176
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>Riquelme A, Tomás R, Cano M, Pastor JL, Abellán A (2018) Automatic mapping of discontinuity persistence on rock masses using 3D point clouds. Rock Mech Rock Eng 51:3005–3028
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>Rodriguez A, Laio A (2014) Clustering by fast search and fnd of density peaks. Science 344(6191):1492–1496
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>Singh SK, Raval S, Banerjee BP (2021) Automated structural discontinuity mapping in a rock face occluded by vegetation using mobile laser scanning. Eng Geol 285:106040
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>Slob S, Hack R, Turner AK (2002) An approach to automate discontinuity measurements of rock faces using laser scanning techniques. In: ISRM international symposium - EUROCK 2002, Madeira, Portugal, Paper Number: ISRM-EUROCK-2002-006
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>Slob S (2010) Automated rock mass characterisation using 3-D terrestrial laser scanning. Dissertation, Technische Universiteit Delft, Deltf
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>Song S, Wang Q, Chen J, Li Y, Zhang W, Ruan Y (2017) Fuzzy C-means clustering analysis based on quantum particle swarm

optimization algorithm for the grouping of rock discontinuity sets. KSCE J Civ Eng 21(4):1115–1122

- <span id="page-17-12"></span>Sun W, Wang J, Yang Y, Jin F (2021) Rock mass discontinuity extraction method based on multiresolution supervoxel segmentation of point cloud. IEEE J Sel Top Appl Earth Obs Remote Sens 14:8436–8446
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>Van Knapen B, Slob S (2006) Identifcation and characterisation of rock mass discontinuity sets using 3D laser scanning. Procedia Eng 191:838–845
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>Vasuki Y, Holden EJ, Kovesi P, Micklethwaite S (2014) Semi-automatic mapping of geological Structures using UAV-based photogrammetric data: an image analysis approach. Comput Geosci 69:22–32
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>Vöge M, Lato MJ, Diederichs MS (2013) Automated rockmass discontinuity mapping from 3-dimensional surface data. Eng Geol 164:155–162
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>Wang X, Zou L, Shen X, Ren Y, Qin Y (2017) A region-growing approach for automatic outcrop fracture extraction from a threedimensional point cloud. Comput Geosci 99:100–106
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>Wu X, Wang F, Wang M, Zhang X, Wang Q, Zhang S (2021) A new method for automatic extraction and analysis of discontinuities based on TIN on rock mass surfaces. Remote Sens 13(15):2894
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>Yang S, Liu S, Zhang N, Li G, Zhang J (2021) A fully automaticimage-based approach to quantifying the geological strength index of underground rock mass. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 140:104585
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>Yi X, Feng W, Wang D, Yang R, Hu Y, Zhou Y (2023) An efficient method for extracting and clustering rock mass discontinuities from 3D point clouds. Acta Geotech 18:3485–3503
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>Zhang P, Du K, Tannant DD, Zhu H, Zheng W (2018) Automated method for extracting and analysing the rock discontinuities from point clouds based on digital surface model of rock mass. Eng Geol 239:109–118
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>Zhang Y, Yue P, Zhang G, Guan T, Lv M, Zhong D (2019) Augmented reality mapping of rock mass discontinuities and rockfall susceptibility based on unmanned aerial vehicle photogrammetry. Remote Sens 11(11):1311