ORIGINAL PAPER

Infuence of lateral confnement on granular fows: comparison between shear‑driven and gravity‑driven fows

Patrick Richard¹ · Riccardo Artoni¹ · Alexandre Valance² · Renaud Delannay²

Received: 2 April 2020 / Published online: 24 September 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

The properties of confned granular fows are studied through discrete numerical simulations. Two types of fows with different boundaries are compared: (i) gravity-driven fows topped with a free surface and over a base where erosion balances accretion (ii) shear-driven fows with a constant pressure applied at their top and a bumpy bottom moving at constant velocity. In both cases we observe shear localization over or/and under a creep zone. We show that, although the diferent boundaries induce diferent fow properties (e.g. shear localization of transverse velocity profles), the two types of fow share common properties like (i) a power law relation between the granular temperature and the shear rate (whose exponent varies from 1 for dense fows to 2 for dilute fows) and (ii) a weakening of friction at the sidewalls which gradually decreases with the depth within the flow.

Keywords Granular fows · Confnement · Shear localization

1 Introduction

A lot of examples of confined granular flows can be found both in nature and in industry, from geophysical flows confned by a canyon to grain transport in channels.

Such types of flows are complex systems because confinement (e.g. top, bottom or sidewalls) may induce correlations as well as non-local efects that possibly have an infuence over long distances [\[1](#page-11-0)]. Also, confined granular flows are likely to develop zones without shear and, consequently, they can experience erosion and accretion [[2](#page-11-1)], which are still the subject of active research [[3–](#page-11-2)[6\]](#page-11-3). Therefore they are good systems to test theories dealing with both a solid and a fuid granular phases and how to handle the corresponding phase transition [\[7](#page-11-4), [8](#page-11-5)]. Also, if one of the ultimate goal

This article is part of the Topical Collection: Flow regimes and phase transitions in granular matter: multiscale modeling from micromechanics to continuum.

 \boxtimes Patrick Richard patrick.richard@univ-eifel.fr

¹ MAST-GPEM, Univ Gustave Eiffel, IFSTTAR, 44344 Bouguenais, France

² CNRS, IPR (Institut de Physique de Rennes)–UMR 6251, Univ Rennes, 35000 Rennes, France

of the physics of granular materials is to obtain a full 3D rheological model capturing the behaviour of granular fows, this model has to be fed by boundary conditions at sidewalls (velocity, granular temperature...). Studying confned fows, experimentally and/or numerically, can help to reach this goal by providing the aforementioned conditions.

Recently, we have studied steady and fully developed (SFD) granular fows in two confned geometries: a laterally confined chute flow $[2, 9-13]$ $[2, 9-13]$ $[2, 9-13]$ $[2, 9-13]$ $[2, 9-13]$ and a constant-pressure confned shear cell for which shear is imposed by a moving bumpy bottom [[14](#page-11-8), [15\]](#page-11-9). In the remainder of the paper we will refer to these two types of flows as gravity-driven flows and shear-driven fows respectively. As it will be shown below, each type of fow displays several zones in which the behaviour is specifc.

These two geometries have in common the presence of confnement, but they also have important diferences like the presence or absence of a free surface and the type of driving force which can be either volumetric –for the former– of induced by a wall –for the latter–.

In this paper, by using Discrete Element Method simulations (DEM), we will compare the results obtained in the two aforementioned geometries and discuss the diferences and the similarities.

The outline of the paper is the following. In Sect. [2](#page-1-0) we will first describe the two geometries that have been used.

Then, in Sect. [3](#page-2-0) we will focus on the flow velocity and the shear localization. Section [4](#page-6-0) is devoted to the study of granular temperature, quantity that is very sensitive to non-local effects $[16]$ $[16]$ $[16]$. Sidewall friction and its relation with sliding velocity are discussed in Sect. [5](#page-8-0). Finally, we will conclude and discuss some perspectives of the presented work.

2 Geometries

In this section we will describe the two configurations used in this work to simulate confned fows of spherical grains: (i) a chute fow confned between sidewalls leading to gravity-driven fows and (ii) a confned shear cell leading to shear-induced fows. As it will be explained below, in both geometries fow is confned between two sidewalls but they difer by the way fow is driven as well as by their boundary conditions at the top of fow (free surface and a bumpy bottom submitted to a constant pressure respectively) and at the bottom (bumpy static bottom and moving static bottom respectively). The latter confguration leads to systems which are dense everywhere (see Sect. [2.2](#page-2-1)). This is not the case for gravity-driven fows that are topped by a very dilute region. Also the behaviour of dense fows is weakly dependant on the elastic properties of grains [[17\]](#page-11-11). For this reason, and to save computation time, the grains involved in shear-driven fows are perfectly inelastic (i.e. their restitution coefficient is zero). We also used the Contact-Dynamics method [\[18\]](#page-11-12) which handles easily purely inelastic grains. In contrast, for gravity-driven fows, low volume fractions are achieved, thus we have used a grain restitution close to that used in experiments [\[19](#page-11-13), [20](#page-11-14)] and soft-sphere molecular dynamics method. Note however that the efect of the coefficient of restitution is weak and only measurable close to the free surface of the fow in the dilute region. It should also be pointed out that the height of the granular system does not play a signifcant role as long as it is large enough to observe the creeping region. In both geometries, if it is too small, the system is sheared along its whole depth.

2.1 Laterally confned chute fow

The geometry described in the present section aims to model so-called sidewall stabilized heaps (SSH) [\[2](#page-11-1), [9](#page-11-6), [10,](#page-11-15) [19](#page-11-13)] which are characterized by the presence of a steep heap beneath a fowing layer. It consists in an inclined 3*D* cell (see Fig. [1](#page-1-1)) similar to those used in $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$ $[2, 9, 10, 20-23]$. The angle between the horizontal and the main fow direction $(x$ -direction) is called θ . It has been shown that, in such type of geometries, the angle of the fow is linked to the fow rate as long as there are enough grains in the system to ensure the presence of a creep zone above which a flow occurs [[10,](#page-11-15) [20](#page-11-14)]. Also such types of fows are infuenced by the confnement

Fig. 1 Typical 3D snapshot for gravity-driven flows: $W/d = 10$ and $N = 15,000$. The angle of the flow is $\theta = 40^\circ$, the coefficient of restitution e_n is equal to 0.88, and the grain-grain and grains-sidewall friction coefficients (respectively μ_{pp} and μ_{pw}) are set to 0.5. Flow is directed down the incline along the *x*-axis. Two sidewalls are parallel to the (*xz*) plane and confne the system

even at very large widths [[1](#page-11-0)]. The size of the cell in the *x*-direction is set to $L_X \approx 25d$ with periodic boundary conditions in this direction. In the *z*-direction (i.e. normal to the free surface of the fow) the size of the cell is set to large values and thus considered as infnite. In the *y*-direction, the flow is confined by two flat frictional sidewalls located at positions $y = -W/2$ and $y = W/2$ with $W = 10d$. The bottom of the cell is made bumpy by pouring under gravity **g** a large number of grains in the cell and by gluing those that are in contact with the plane $z = 0$ and removing the others.

For confned chute fow simulations, we use soft-sphere molecular dynamics simulations developed internally [\[9](#page-11-6), [24\]](#page-12-1) for which $N = 15,000$ grains in contact overlap slightly. The interactions between two grains have both a normal and a tangential component. The normal force, F_n , is classically modelled by a spring and a dashpot: $F_n = k_n \delta - \gamma_n \dot{\delta}$ where k_n and γ_n are respectively the stiffness of the spring and the viscosity of the dashpot, δ the overlap between grains and $\dot{\delta}$ its derivative with respect to time. The stiffness is set to 5.6×10^6 mg/d and we choose the value of γ_n such as the normal restitution coefficient is equal to 0.88 [20]. Note that the stifness used is relatively large since a grain located at the bottom of simulation cell topped with a column of grains whose height is similar to that of the flow (i.e. between 50 and 100 grain sizes) has a deformation roughly equal to 10[−]⁵*d*, i.e. much lower than grain size. The tangential force is

modelled by a spring, $F_t = k_t u_t$, where $k_t = 2k_n/7$. Its deformation u_t (i.e. the elastic tangential displacement between grains) is bounded to satisfy Coulomb law $F_t = \mu F_n$, where μ is the friction coefficient which, in the remainder of the paper, is set to $\mu = \mu_{pp} = 0.5$ for a grain-grain contact. The walls are treated like spheres of infnite mass and radius. The normal restitution coefficient of the grain-wall interaction is the same than that used for the grain-grain interactions. In contrast the value of the friction coefficient between the grains and the walls, μ_{pw} , will be varied to study its effect. To avoid any structural ordering the diameter of the grains is uniformly distributed between $0.8\langle d \rangle$ and $1.2\langle d \rangle$ where $\langle d \rangle$ is the average grain diameter. At the beginning of the simulation the kinetic energy of the system is set to an important value [\[20](#page-11-14)] such as the SFD state obtained after a transient does not show any sign of the initial structure.

2.2 Shear cell

In the shear cell geometry, simulations are performed by using the LMGC90 open source framework [[25](#page-12-2)] which is based on the contact dynamics method [[18](#page-11-12)]. The grains are characterized by an infnite stifness and the forces between grains are determined through an implicit resolution of both the Signorini condition and the Coulomb law at contact. The flow configuration, sketched in Fig. [2](#page-2-2), is made of a rectangular cuboid (length $L_x = 20d$, width $W = 10d$, and variable height *L_z*) with periodic boundary conditions along the main fow direction (*x*-direction). Two fat but frictional lateral sidewalls (normal to the *y*-direction and located at positions $y = -W/2$ and $y = W/2$ with $W = 10d$) and two horizontal bumpy walls (at the top and bottom of flow) confine the system. The system is submitted to gravity **g** (along the *z*-direction) and the bottom wall drives the fow by moving at a given velocity along the *x*-direction. The top wall is free to move in the *z*-direction, simply according to the balance between its weight and the force exerted by the grains. In contrast, it cannot move along both the *x* and *y* directions. Simulations were carried out with $N = 10,000$ slightly polydisperse spheres (uniform number distribution in the range $0.9\langle d \rangle - 1.1\langle d \rangle$) interacting through perfectly inelastic collisions and Coulomb friction ($\mu = \mu_{pp} = 0.5$). As mentioned above, the coefficient of restitution is expected to have nearly no infuence on dense granular fows due to the presence of enduring contacts [[17](#page-11-11)]. Consequently we have chosen perfectly inelastic grains to maximize dissipation and thus optimize computation time. Interactions of particles with the fat walls were also perfectly inelastic and frictional (with a coefficient of friction $\mu_{\mu\nu}$). Note that the grain size distribution is narrower than that used for gravity-driven fows. Yet, the results presented here are insensitive to this parameter as long as long range order (obtained for purely monosized grains) and segregation (obtained for large size distribution)

Fig. 2 Typical 3D snapshot for shear-driven flows. $N = 10,000$ grains fowing in a shear cell made of a bumpy bottom, an bumpy top and two sidewalls (length L_z , length L_x) separated by a gap $W/d = 10$. The shear is ensured by the bumpy bottom which moves at a constant velocity *V* along the *x*-direction. The top of fow is a bumpy horizontal wall submitted to a force $M\mathbf{g}$

are prevented. Similarly to what has been done for gravitydriven fows, the initial kinetic energy is set to a very large value in order to obtain a SFD state without any visible sign of the initial structure of the packing. We carried out several simulations varying the following parameters: (i) the velocity of the bottom wall *V*, (ii) the weight of the upper wall *M*, and (iii) the particle-wall friction coefficient μ_{pw} . The first two parameters are made dimensionless respectively by considering a particle Froude number $\tilde{V} = V / \sqrt{gd}$ and the ratio between the mass of the top wall and the total mass of the grains, $M = M/Nm$, where *m* is the average particle mass.

3 Velocity profles and shear localization

3.1 Streamwise velocity

We first focus on the shear localization in the two geometries. Since (i) top boundaries (free for gravity-driven flows, bumpy wall submitted to a constant pressure for shear-driven fows), (ii) bottom boundaries and (iii) driving forces are not equivalent in the two geometries, differences are expected. To address this point we study the vertical profle of the velocity in the main fow direction for the two geometries (see Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0). Since we focus on steady and fully developed flows, the velocity is averaged

Fig. 3 For gravity-driven fows, the vertical profles of the streamwise velocity show that fow is always localized in the vicinity of the free surface whatever the flow angle (a) and the friction coefficient between grains and sidewalls (inset of (**a**)). In absence of friction between sidewalls and grains, the systems fows over its whole height. In contrast, for shear-driven fows (**b**), the same profles demonstrate that in case of large gain-sidewall friction coefficient ($\mu_{\mu\nu}$), shear is localized at the bottom of the cell (i.e. close to the moving bottom). In contrast, for low values of μ_{pw} shear is observed in the vicinity of the top of the cell and the rest of the profle is plug-like

over time and along the *x*-direction. Also, unless specifed (e.g. for the study of the transverse variations in Sect. [3.2](#page-4-0)), we average over the *y*-direction. In agreement with previous studies dealing with gravity-driven fows [[9,](#page-11-6) [26](#page-12-3)[–28\]](#page-12-4) several zones can be defned from the velocity profles (see Fig. [3](#page-3-0)a). First, a very dilute gazeous zone (zone A) located atop of the fow. Below zone A is the fow zone (zone B) which is characterized by an almost linear velocity profle. To determine its location, we ft linearly the corresponding part of the velocity profle. The upper location for which the velocity profle difers signifcantly from linearity corresponds to the upper limit of zone B (and thus to the lower limit of zone A). The depth at which the linear ft intercepts the vertical axis corresponds to the lower limit of the fow zone. Then, below zone B and above the bottom of the system, we define a buffer zone (zone C) atop a creep zone (zone D) [[9](#page-11-6)]. In the literature, it has been shown experimentally that the velocity profle in the creep zone exponentially decreases with depth over several decades [[26](#page-12-3), [27\]](#page-12-5).

In the chute fow geometry, the fow is always localized at the top whatever the angle θ (Fig. [3a](#page-3-0)) and the sidewall friction coefficient (inset of Fig. $3a$). This result is expected due to the presence of a free surface. Note that, interestingly, flow is localized as long as the sidewall friction coefficient is not zero. In this case, the system fows over its whole height and the creep zone does not exist anymore (inset of Fig. [3a](#page-3-0)). Of course, fow angles lower than those obtained with frictional sidewalls are required to obtain SFD flows. If we focus on the size of the fow zone (zone B), we can observe that it increases with increasing fow angle and decreasing grainsidewall friction coefficient. The same is observed for the velocity at a given depth. Discussion on the scaling of the velocity with the fow angle can be found in [[20\]](#page-11-14).

In the case of shear-driven fows, the situation is diferent. First, it should be pointed out that, for given \tilde{M} and $\mu_{\mu\nu}$, once rescaled by the velocity of the bottom wall, the velocity profles collapse on a single master curve at least for the studied range [\[15](#page-11-9)]. For the range of parameters investigated so far three regimes are observed: (i) for high *M̃* and/or high grain-wall friction coefficient $(\mu_{\mu\nu})$, shear is localized at the bottom; (ii) for low \tilde{M} and low μ_{ν} , shear is localized near the top; and (iii) for low \tilde{M} and intermediate μ_{pw} , a central plug zone can form with two shear zones near the bumpy walls. It should be pointed out that in the third case, the shear zone at the top is very small (a few grain size) and can probably be interpreted as an apparent slip between the particles and the top wall. Note also that, in shear zones, velocity profles are characterized by an exponential variation whose characteristic length is mainly a function of μ_{pw} and \tilde{M} [\[14](#page-11-8)]. The possibility for the shear to be localized at diferent locations within the system was recently reported for a diferent flow configuration $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$ $[29, 30]$. We have explained this shear localization by an effective bulk friction heterogeneity [\[15](#page-11-9)]. In the remainder of the paper we will mainly focus on the case for which the shear is localized in the vicinity of the bottom, i.e. with a shear zone close to the bottom topped by a creep zone. These two zones will be refereed as zone E and zone F respectively.

The question of the boundary between the different zones in gravity-driven fows and the shear and creep zones in shear-driven fows (i.e. zone E and zone F) is far from being settled. To defne a clear boundary between the aforementioned zones, we have reported in Fig. [4](#page-4-1) the streamwise velocities on a semilog scale for both geometries (gravitydriven fows in Fig. [4a](#page-4-1) and shear-driven fows in Fig. [4b](#page-4-1)). In agreement with the literature [[9,](#page-11-6) [14,](#page-11-8) [15](#page-11-9), [26](#page-12-3), [27\]](#page-12-5), the velocity profle in the creep zones (zones D and F) is exponential for

Fig. 4 Both gravity-driven fows (**a**) and shear driven fows (**b**) display an exponential velocity profle in the creep zone. Yet, the characteristic lengths are signifcantly diferent (a few grains sizes for gravity-driven fows and a few tens of grain sizes for shear-induced flows). The effect of the grain-sidewall friction seems to be weaker for gravity-driven fows (inset of (**a**) for which the angle of the fow is $\theta = 40^\circ$) than for shear-driven flows (**b**)

both geometries. The values of the corresponding characteristic depths are of the order of a few grain sizes in the case of gravity-driven fows and of the order of a few tens of grain sizes for shear-driven fows.

The effect of the sidewall-grain friction coefficient on the characteristic length of the velocity profle in the creep zone (zone D) is weak in the case of gravity-driven fows (inset of Fig. [4](#page-4-1)a). For shear-driven flows, its effect is more important (Fig. [4b](#page-4-1)) and, for a given \tilde{M} , the characteristic length of the creeping velocity increases with decreasing μ_{pw} . These differences suggest that the nature of the creep zone in gravitydriven flows (zone D) is different from that in shear-driven flows (zone F).

As mentioned above, in the case of shear-driven fows and shear localization at the bottom of the cell, the velocity profle in the shear zone (zone E) is also exponential. Yet, the characteristic length is signifcantly smaller than that in the creep zone: a few grains sizes i.e. the same order of magnitude than that of the creep zone for gravity-driven fows. On each velocity profle (still for shear-driven fows with flow localization close to the bottom of the cell) the difference between the two exponentials is clearly visible and the corresponding transition can be used to defne the interface between the shear zone and the creep zone.

For gravity driven-flows the buffer zone (zone C) spans from the the depth at which the velocity profle signifcantly difers from the exponential behaviour to the depth at which the flow zone (zone B) starts $[9]$ $[9]$. The size of the buffer zone increases with the angle of the fow. The exact locations of the boundaries between the diferent zones might appear to be arbitrary [\[20\]](#page-11-14) and a careful investigation of the grain properties in the vicinity of the interface between zones is probably necessary to quantify them more precisely.

The diferent zones defned for gravity-driven fows in the present section (i.e. zones A, B, C and D) are sketched in Fig. [5a](#page-5-0) and in Fig. [5](#page-5-0)b on a semilog scale. The same is done for shear-induced fows and zones E –shear zone– and F –creep zone–(Fig. [5](#page-5-0)c on a lin-lin scale and Fig. [5d](#page-5-0) on a semilog scale). It should be pointed out here an important diference between the two geometries. For shear-driven fows, the upper boundary of the creep zone is defned thanks to the velocity profle on a semilog scale as the point separating two exponential velocity profles difering by their characteristic length. In contrast, for gravity-driven fows, the profle on a linear-linear scale is mandatory since fow zone is defned as the depth range for which the velocity profle is linear.

3.2 Transverse velocity profle

In this section we focus on the infuence of confnement on the transverse velocity profile. Sidewalls being flat, sliding is expected in their vicinity and, consequently, a

Fig. 5 Sketch of the velocity profles for gravity-driven fows (**a**) and (**b**) on a semilog scale. Four zones are reported: zone A or gazeous layer, zone B or flow zone, zone C for buffer zone and zone D of creep zone. The same is reported for shear-induced fows (**c**) and (**d**) on a semilog scale Two zones are reported: zone E and zone F which correspond the shear and creep zones respectively

transverse plug flow might be observed. We have reported the transverse velocity profiles for the two geometries (gravity-driven fows in Fig. [6a](#page-5-1) and shear-driven fows in Fig. [6](#page-5-1)b) at several depths within the flow. The conditions are ($\mu_{pw} = 0.5$; $\theta = 50^\circ$) for the gravity-driven flow and $(\mu_{\text{pw}} = 0.3; \tilde{M} = 0.2)$ for the shear-driven flow. Note that using $\mu_{pw} = 0.3$ instead of $\mu_{pw} = 0.5$ in former geometry gives similar results. It should be pointed out that the quantity reported is the relative transverse velocity $(v_r(z)/\langle v_r(z) \rangle$, where $\langle v_r(z) \rangle$ is the streamwise velocity averaged along the *y*-direction for a given depth *z*), consequently we are focusing on transverse relative variations and not the absolute ones. Note that for shear-driven fows, the set of parameters used leads to a localization of shear at the bottom of the simulation cell.

For the gravity-driven flows, we observe that the variations of the relative transverse velocity are signifcant in the creep zone (up to 25%). They decrease when approaching the free surface and the transverse profle tends towards a plug flow. It should be pointed out that this situation corresponds to dilute flows (volume fraction lower that 0.3) that cannot be achieved for shear-driven fows due to the presence of the bumpy wall which applies a constant confning pressure on the top of the granular system.

The relative variations of the transverse velocity profles seem to be similar in the case of shear-driven fows. Yet, in contrast to gravity-driven fows, largest variations are found

Fig. 6 The transverse profles of the relative streamwise velocity (i.e. $v_r(z) / \langle v_r(z) \rangle$, where $\langle v_r(z) \rangle$ is the streamwise velocity averaged along the *y*-direction for a given depth *z*) at several depths within a gravity-driven fow (**a**) show that the variations of the relative transverse velocity are signifcant in the creep zone (i.e. low *z*/*d*) and almost negligible at the top of the shear band (i.e. high *z*/*d*). Here the angle of the flow is equal to $\theta = 50^\circ$ and the grain-sidewall friction coefficient is set to 0.5. For shear-driven flows (here $\tilde{M} = 2$ and $\mu_{\nu} = 0.3$, shear localization at the bottom) the opposite is observed (**b**): the relative transverse velocity are the most important in the shear zone (i.e. low *z*/*d*)

for the shear zone (i.e. $z/d = 1$, 2, 5 and 10), those of the creep zone being negligible. This suggests that the nature of the creep zones is diferent in the two geometries and, consequently, is strongly infuenced by the boundaries. More precisely, the important relative variations of the velocity observed in the creep zone of gravity-driven fows and in the shear zone of shear-driven fows suggest that these two zones share common properties.

4 Granular temperature

Granular temperature is a measure of the velocity fuctuations of the grains. It is a key parameter in many theories aiming to capture granular flows behaviour $(16, 31]$ $(16, 31]$ $(16, 31]$ among many other). It is defined as $T = (T_{xx} + T_{yy} + T_{zz})/3$ with $T_{ij} = \langle u_i u_j \rangle - \langle u_i \rangle \langle u_j \rangle$ where, u_i is the component along the *i*− direction of the grain velocity and $\langle \ldots \rangle$ stands for average. Similarly to what have been done for the velocity we averaged our data over time, along the *x*-direction and, unless specifed, along the *y*− direction.

4.1 Vertical temperature profles

Figure [7](#page-6-1) depicts the vertical profles of the granular temperature for gravity-driven fows (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)a) and shear-driven flows (Fig. [7](#page-6-1)b). For the gravity-driven flows, the temperature profles continuously increase from the bottom of the system to the end of the fow zone. This variation is somewhat expected since the creep zone is dissipative and thus the temperature increases with the distance from this zone. Consequently, the grains are more and more agitated from the bottom of the fow zone to its top, the temperature increases when approaching to the free surface. As mentioned above, in the vicinity of the free surface, very dilute and potentially ballistic fows can be observed. Their study is out of the scope of this paper. The temperature profle in the bufer and creep zones is exponential but the characteristic length is larger for the former. Consequently the boundary between these two zones appears clearly on the temperature profle. This is diferent from what we have observed in the streamwise velocity profle for which the corresponding transition was smoother. Interestingly the values of the characteristic lengths in the creep zones of gravity-driven fows (zone D) is similar to that measured in the fow zones of shear-driven flows (zone E) (i.e. a few grains sizes). The fact that the characteristic length of the exponential velocity profle of zone E (shear zone of a shear-induced fow) is similar to that of zone D (creep zone of a gravity-induced flow) strongly suggests that both zones are equivalent. Consequently, what we call the creep zone for shear-driven fows (zone F) does not exist in gravity-driven flows. Similarly the flow zone and, obviously, the gazeous layer observed in gravity-induced fows have no counterparts in the shear-induced flows.

For shear-driven fows, when the shear is localized at the bottom of the cell, the moving bumpy bottom is a dissipative boundary probably because the grains making up the wall cannot move on relatively to the other. However, the shear induced by the wall acts as a "heat source" in

Fig. 7 In the gravity-driven fows (**a**) the temperature profles continuously increases from the bottom of the system to the end of the fow zone. The creep zone is dissipative and this dissipative character slightly depends on the friction coefficient between grains and sidewalls (inset of (**a**) for which the angle of the flow is $\theta = 40°$). For shear-driven flows (**b**), if shear is localized close to the bottom, a maximum of temperature is observed in its vicinity (see text). In contrast, when shear is localized at the top of the cell, the maximum of temperature is localized at the top

its vicinity. For this reason, granular temperature frst increases with *z*, then reaches a maximum for a depth corresponding to a few grain layer above the bottom wall. Far from the latter wall, the granular temperature profle reaches a constant value. When the shear is localized at the top of the cell, the temperature is constant (and very small) in the creep zone which behaves thus like a dissipative base above which the fow occurs. Consequently, in

the shear region, the temperature gradually increases until the top of the cell.

The vertical profles of the temperature show that the properties of the creep zone depend on the geometry. For shear-driven flows, the temperature of the creep zone is constant whereas for gravity-driven fows it continuously decreases with depth. This confrms the results reported in Sect. [3.2](#page-4-0). A possible explanation of these diferences is the following: due to the presence of a confning top wall (and thus the absence of a free surface), which is allowed to move vertically, shear-driven fows may exhibit non-negligible solid-like fuctuations [\[15](#page-11-9)] even far from the location of the top wall. This points out the necessity of non-local modelling of granular flows for which boundary conditions influence the system over long distances. In contrast, for gravitydriven fows, such solid-like fuctuations, if they exist, are located deep in the creep zone and are thus very weak.

Interestingly, we can observe a correspondence between the velocity and the temperature vertical profles. Each zone identifed in the velocity profle (i.e. shear, creep, plug... and even gazeous zones) can also be easily identifed in the temperature profles. As an example, for shear-induced flows with shear localization close to bottom, the creep zone is defned by an exponential velocity profle between the bumpy bottom and a given depth. Besides, it is defned by a constant temperature between the top wall and the same given depth. Similarly, the shear zone is defned by an exponential velocity profle, with a signifcantly lower characteristic length with respect to that of the creep zone, and a non-constant temperature for the same depths.

4.2 Transverse temperature

Similarly to what has been done for the streamwise velocity (see Sect. 3.2), we have reported in Fig. [8](#page-7-0) the transverse profles of the relative temperature for diferent depths and for the two geometries (gravity-driven fow in Fig. [8](#page-7-0)a and shear-driven flow in Fig. [8b](#page-7-0)).

The transverse profles of the granular temperature demonstrate once again the crucial efect of sidewalls on the fow properties. In the lowest part of the shear zone (for sheardriven fows) and in the fow zone (for gravity-driven fows) this quantity is greatest at the sidewalls and lowest in the centre of the simulation cell. It should be pointed out that, for shear-driven fows, what we call lowest of the shear zone is probably strongly infuenced by the bumpy bottom. It corresponds to the part of the vertical profle of the temperature for which the temperature increases (see Fig. [7](#page-6-1)). In contrast, for both systems in the creep zone, the granular temperature gradually rises from its minimal value at the sidewalls to a maximum value at the centre of the cell. The consequences of these results are important. Depending on the vertical position, sidewalls can be either a granular heat source (in

Fig. 8 The transverse profles of the relative streamwise velocity (i.e. $T(z)/\langle T(z) \rangle$, where $\langle T(z) \rangle$ is the temperature averaged along the *y*-direction for a given depth *z*) at several depths within a gravitydriven fow show that, depending on the depth *z*, the sidewalls behave like a source or a sink of granular temperature. This is true for both gravity-driven (a)—here the angle of the flow is equal to $\theta = 50^\circ$ and the grain-sidewall friction coefficient is set to 0.5—and shear-driven (**b**) flows—here $\tilde{M} = 2$ and $\mu_{\text{pw}} = 0.3$, shear localization at the bottom

the shear zone for shear-driven fows and in the fow zone for gravity-driven fows) or a sink (in the creep zone). This demonstrate the complexity of stipulating a sidewall boundary condition on the granular temperature for theories aiming to capture the properties of granular flows involving both creep and shear/fow zones. Yet, this point is crucial since it has been recently shown that the temperature can be used to describe non-local effects $[16]$ $[16]$. It is worth noting that despite the diference pointed out in Sect. [4.1](#page-6-2) the relative transverse variations of the temperature are similar in the two studied geometries.

4.3 Scaling with the shear rate

In the literature, it is common to try to link the granular temperature with the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}(z) = \partial \langle v_r(z) \rangle / \partial z$ [\[14](#page-11-8), [32–](#page-12-9)[35\]](#page-12-10) to better understand the relation between velocity fuctuations and the rheology of the system. In the framework of kinetic theory, the granular temperature indeed appears in the expression of the efective viscosity thus on that of the stress. It has been reported a power-law relation between the two quantities i.e. $T \propto \dot{\gamma}^k$ with the power κ ranging between approximately 1 in case of slow and dense fows and approximately 2 for fast and dilute fows. The work of Orpe and Khakhar [[34](#page-12-11)] is particularly clear and explicit on that point: they show that, in the case of surface fows in a confined rotating drum, the exponent κ increases with the rotation speed of the drum from $\kappa = 1$ to $\kappa = 2$. For the gravity driven flows (Fig. $9a$), we recover the results obtained by Orpe and Khakhar: we have $T \propto \dot{\gamma}$ in the creep zone (i.e. for low speed) and $T \propto \dot{\gamma}^2$ at the top of the flow zone (i.e. for important speed). In other words, we can write $T \propto \dot{\gamma}^k$ with κ varying between 1 and 2. Yet, $\kappa \approx 2$ for the whole flow zone. The aforementioned scalings have been obtained with shear-rate and temperature averaged over time and along *x*and *y*-directions. Note that we have checked that they still hold if the data are not averaged along the *y*-direction but measured at the sidewalls.

The relation between the temperature and the shear rate is also valid for granular fows obtained in the shear cell (Fig. [9](#page-8-1)b). However, since the latter fows are slower than those driven by gravity, the power κ is indeed close to 1 for the slowest part of the shear zone but cannot reach the value of 2: for the fastest part of the shear zone we have measured $\kappa \approx 5/4$. This confirms that the latter zone does not correspond to the fow zone (zone B) in gravity-driven fows but is closer to the creep zone (zone D). For low shear rates (i.e. in the creep zone F) *T*/*gd* is approximately constant consequence of the plateau of constant granular temperature observed in Sect. [4.1.](#page-6-2) This highlights again the importance of non-locality in creep fows.

5 Sidewall friction

In preceding sections we have shown that the presence of frictional and fat sidewalls has a strong infuence on the behaviour of granular fows. Yet, we focused only on the kinematic properties. Below we will report sidewall friction measurements, discuss the spatial evolution of this quantity

Fig. 9 For gravity driven flows, the granular temperature, $T(z)$ is linked to the shear rate $\dot{\gamma}(z)$ through a power law $T(z) \propto \dot{\gamma}(z)^k$ where κ varies from 1 (dense flow) to 2 (dilute flow) (a). The latter relation is also valid for shear-driven flows (**b**) but the maximum value of κ obtained for the fastest part of the flow is $\kappa \approx 5/4$

within the system and the relation with the sliding velocity at sidewalls.

5.1 Friction weakening

To understand confned granular fows, a key observable is the effective sidewall friction coefficient. It is defined as $\mu_{\tau} \equiv ||\tau_{w}||/||\sigma_{yy}^{w}||$, which we compute as the magnitude ratio of the surface force $\tau_w \equiv \sigma_{yx}^w \mathbf{e}_x + \sigma_{yz}^w \mathbf{e}_z$ and normal stress σ_{yy}^w on sidewalls, e_x and e_z being unit vectors along the *x*- and *z*-directions, respectively.

For gravity-driven flows the effective friction coefficient is close to microscopic particle—wall friction coefficient in the fow zone (Fig. [10](#page-9-0)a and its inset). This is especially true for low particle-wall friction coefficients, the Coulomb threshold $F_t = \mu_{pw} F_n$ being more easily achieved in this case. Deeper in the flow, the effective friction weakens and tends towards a constant value (≈ 0.17) in the creep zone. This value is independent of the grain-sidewall friction coefficient (see the inset of Fig. [10](#page-9-0)a). In the creep zone, the Coulomb threshold is far from being reached and the corresponding ratios *tangential force to normal force* remains below μ_{nw} . For shear-driven flows the evolution of the effective friction is strongly related to velocity profles. If shear is localized at the top of the cell, far from the shear band, grains move as a plug in the *x*-direction with velocity *V* and all the grains in contact with sidewalls slip. Thus, the effective coefficient of friction in the plug zone is equal to μ_{pw} . In the shear zone, stick-slip events may emerge and a friction lower than μ_{nw} is observed.

As mentioned above, for both geometries, the efective sidewall friction weakens in the creep zone (see Fig. [10\)](#page-9-0) where the friction also has a component along the vertical direction (see [\[9](#page-11-6), [14](#page-11-8)]). Note also that this peculiar behaviour has been recently observed experimentally [\[15\]](#page-11-9) for sheardriven fows. This friction weakening can be explained by the fact that, reasonably, stick-slip events become more and more probable when we approach the creep zone. Then, signifcant slip events become less frequent deeper in the creep zone, thereby increasing the time during which grains describe a random oscillatory motion with zero mean displacement [\[9](#page-11-6)]. The latter behaviour contributes negligibly to the mean resultant wall friction force. This result demonstrates that boundary conditions for dense granular fows must support the possibility of non-constant efective friction coefficient between sidewalls and the system. Note also that Yang et al. [[36](#page-12-12)] derived a model describing how the weakening of μ_{τ} can be related to the ratio between rotationinduced velocity and sliding velocity.

5.2 Sliding at sidewalls

Theories aiming to describe granular flows require boundary conditions. For that purpose several authors have used the ratio $v_x / \sqrt{T_{xx}}$ in kinetic theories [\[37](#page-12-13), [38\]](#page-12-14) or extended kinetic theories [\[31](#page-12-8), [39](#page-12-15)]. Also, since it has been recently shown that the granular fuidity (i.e. the ratio of the pressure to the efective viscosity) scales with the square root of the granular temperature a connection also exists with the nonlocal theories recently developed [\[16,](#page-11-10) [40–](#page-12-16)[42](#page-12-17)]. Moreover, for these theories, the question of the boundary conditions to be used is still open. To test these approaches we have reported (Fig. [11\)](#page-10-0) for the two confgurations the evolution of the rescaled effective sidewall friction in the *x*-direction (i.e.

Fig. 10 The effective friction coefficient on sidewalls, μ_{τ} , is strongly infuenced by confnement. For gravity driven fows with an angle of the flow $\theta = 40^\circ$ (a), in the vicinity of the free surface, it is close to the grain-sidewall friction coefficient, μ_{pw} , especially for low values of the latter. Then, it weakens and, in the creep zone, reaches a constant value $\mu_{\tau} \approx 0.17$ which is independent of the grain-sidewall friction coefficient (inset of (a)). For shear-driven flows (b), when the shear is localized at the top of the cell, $\mu_{\tau} \approx \mu_{\text{pw}}$ in the plug-flow region and then decrease in the shear band. When shear is localized at the bottom of the cell, μ_{τ} approaches μ_{ν} at the end of the shear zone (i.e. close to the bottom) and then decreases. In both types of fows a signifcant increase can be observed in the middle of the creep zone

Fig. 11 The rescaled effective wall friction coefficient in the main flow direction, Ψ , is linked to the dimensionless slip parameter $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ for gravity-driven flows (**a**) and shear-induced flows (**b**). For gravity-driven fows, since efective friction does not tend towards zero when approaching the bottom of the fow, the rescaled effective wall friction coefficient is $\Psi = \frac{(\mu_{\tau,x} - \mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger})}{(\mu_{pw} - \mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger})}$ where $\mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger}$ is the value of $\mu_{\tau,x}$ when $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ tends towards zero. For shear-induced flows the rescaled effective wall friction coefficient is approximated by $\mu_{\tau,x}/\mu_{\text{pu}}$

 $\Psi = (\mu_{\tau,x} - \mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger})/(\mu_{pw} - \mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger})$ where $\mu_{\tau,x} = ||\sigma_{yx}^w||/||\sigma_{yy}^w||$ and $\mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger} = \mu_{\tau,x}$ for $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{\frac{T_{xx,w}}{T_{xx,w}}}$ tends towards zero) with the following ratio : $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$, for which the subscript *w* stands for quantities at sidewalls. Note that for shear-induced flows, $\mu_{\tau,x}^{\dagger} \approx 0$ and Ψ can be approximated by $\mu_{\tau,x}/\mu_{pw}$. In both systems (gravity-driven and shear-driven fows) the scaling performs globally well on several orders of magnitude. The two master curves obtained are similar: μ_{τ} increases with $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ and a plateau is potentially reached when friction is fully mobilized ($\mu_{\tau} = \mu_{\nu}$) for high values of $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$. Yet the variations of $\mu_{\tau,w}$ at low values of $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ show that the shape of the master curve is clearly geometry-dependent. Sidewall friction coefficient indeed tends towards zero at low $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ for sheardriven flows (when shear is localized at the bottom) whereas for gravity-driven fows, it reaches a plateau whose value is not zero. A striking point should be pointed out. The reported curves have an S-shape, indicating that a strong increase of $\mu_{\tau,x}/\mu_{pw}$ is observed for a relatively small variation of $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$. This indicates an important correlation between $v_{x,w}$ and $\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$ during the aforementioned increase. It should also be pointed out that some deviations from the master curve are observed. In particular the sidewall friction coefficient seems to have a visible effect. Also, for sheardriven fows, slight variations are observed due to the nature of the regime (i.e. shear localized at the bottom, at the top or both at the bottom and the top of the cell).

This strongly suggests that velocity fuctuations play an important role for the boundary conditions and should be a key parameter in theoretical description of granular fows. This point is consistent with the recent observation that "fuidity" and granular temperature are strongly linked [[16\]](#page-11-10).

6 Conclusion

We have studied the properties of two types of confned flows. The first case is a flow on a bumpy bottom driven by gravity and confned between two fat but frictional sidewalls. The second case corresponds to a flow confned between not only two fat and frictional sidewalls but also between a bumpy top and a bumpy bottom. It is driven by shear induced by the bottom wall moving at constant velocity. In addition to the driving of the fow, these two situations difer by their boundary conditions at their top (i.e. respectively a free surface condition and a constant pressure condition) and bottom (respectively zero velocity and constant velocity). As a consequence the former geometry leads to much looser fows than the latter. We have identified in each type of flow different zones: gazeous, flow, buffer and creep zones for gravitydriven fows and shear and creep zones for shear-driven

flows with shear localization at the bottom. Our results suggest that the shear zone of a shear-induced flow does not correspond to the fow zone of a gravity-driven fow but to its creep zone.

We have shown that in both conditions, the lateral confnement is of great importance. In the case of gravitydriven fows, fow is localized at the free surface whatever the grain-sidewall friction coefficient as long as it has a finite value. The case of shear-induced flow is more complex. For low grain-sidewall friction coefficient, shear is localized in the vicinity of the bumpy top. In contrast for important values of the latter coefficient, it is localized close to the moving bumpy bottom which drives the fow. Also, the relative transverse variations of the velocity are diferent. For the gravity-driven fows, in the vicinity of the top of the fow, the relative transverse variations of the velocity is weak, whereas they are close to 25% in the creep zone. For shear-induced flows and a shear localization at the bottom of the simulation cell, the opposite is observed (low relative variations in the creep zone, important ones in the shear zone). Also, the vertical profle of the granular temperature in the shear cell shows a plateau which could be induced by the bumpy top wall. This suggests the presence of long range efects in granular fows and demonstrates the necessity to introduce non-local efects in their theoretical description.

The two systems share common properties. First, in both cases, the transverse profles of the granular temperature show that sidewall could be either a granular heat source or a sink. This demonstrates the difficulty to write a simple boundary condition at sidewalls for granular temperature. Second, in both cases the scaling of the granular temperature with shear is similar confrming that the latter relation can be used to quantify the rheology of the system. Finally, in both cases, sidewall efective friction (i) weakens in the creep zone, consequence of the intermittent motion of the grains $[9, 14]$ $[9, 14]$ $[9, 14]$ $[9, 14]$ and (ii) seems to be linked to a slip parameter defined as $v_{x,w}/\sqrt{T_{xx,w}}$.

Our results demonstrate the importance of studying boundaries in granular fows and shed light on the complexity of such a study. They also suggest that a full three-dimensional rheological description of a granular flow is required. Yet, the studied geometries, by the complexity of the fows they produce and by the importance of the boundaries, are well adapted for testing granular rheologies numerically and studying boundary conditions. In particular, the presence of boundaries highlights the importance of non-local efects on flow behaviour [[40–](#page-12-16)[43\]](#page-12-18) our systems are thus relevant to test the theories taking into account the latter effects.

Acknowledgements We thank Ph. Boltenhagen for fruitful discussion on granular chute fows. The numerical simulations were carried out at the CCIPL (Centre de Calcul Intensif des Pays de la Loire).

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

References

- 1. Jop, P., Forterre, Y., Pouliquen, O.: Crucial role of sidewalls in granular surface fows: consequences for the rheology. J. Fluid Mech. **541**, 167–192 (2005)
- 2. Taberlet, N., Richard, P., Henry, E., Delannay, R.: The growth of a super stable heap: an experimental and numerical study. EPL (Europhys. Lett.) **68**(4), 515–521 (2004)
- 3. Farin, M., Mangeney, A., Roche, O.: Fundamental changes of granular fow dynamics, deposition, and erosion processes at high slope angles: Insights from laboratory experiments. J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surface **119**, 504–532 (2014)
- 4. Lefebvre, G., Merceron, A., Jop, P.: Interfacial instability during granular erosion. Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 068002 (2016)
- 5. Jenkins, J.T., Berzi, D.: Erosion and deposition in depth-averaged models of dense, dry, inclined, granular fows. Phys. Rev. E **94**, 052904 (2016)
- 6. Trinh, T., Boltenhagen, P., Delannay, R., Valance, A.: Erosion and deposition processes in surface granular fows. Phys. Rev. E **96**, 042904 (2017)
- 7. Vescovi, D., Luding, S.: Merging fuid and solid granular behavior. Soft Matter **12**, 8616–8628 (2016)
- 8. Vescovi, D., Berzi, D., di Prisco, C.: Fluid-solid transition in unsteady, homogeneous, granular shear fows. Granular Matter **20**(2), 27 (2018)
- 9. Richard, P., Valance, A., Métayer, J.-F., Sanchez, P., Crassous, J., Louge, M., Delannay, R.: Rheology of confned granular fows: Scale invariance, glass transition, and friction weakening. Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**(24), 248002 (2008)
- 10. Taberlet, N., Richard, P., Delannay, R.: The effect of sidewall friction on dense granular fows. Comput. Math. Appl. **55**(2), 230–234 (2008)
- 11. Holyoake, A.J., McElwaine, J.N.: High-speed granular chute fows. J. Fluid Mech. **710**, 35–71 (2012)
- 12. Brodu, N., Richard, P., Delannay, R.: Shallow granular fows down fat frictional channels: steady fows and longitudinal vortices. Phys. Rev. E **87**, 022202 (2013)
- 13. Brodu, N., Delannay, R., Valance, A., Richard, P.: New patterns in high-speed granular fows. J. Fluid Mech. **769**, 218–228 (2015)
- 14. Artoni, R., Richard, P.: Efective wall friction in wall-bounded 3d dense granular fows. Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 158001 (2015)
- 15. Artoni, R., Soligo, A., Paul, J.-M., Richard, P.: Shear localization and wall friction in confned dense granular fows. J. Fluid Mech. **849**, 395–418 (2018)
- 16. Zhang, Q., Kamrin, K.: Microscopic description of the granular fuidity feld in nonlocal fow modeling. Phys. Rev. Lett. **118**, 058001 (2017)
- 17. Rajchenbach, J.: Granular flows. Adv. Phys. **49**(2), 229–256 (2000)
- 18. Jean, M.: The non-smooth contact dynamics method. Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng. **177**(3–4), 235–257 (1999)
- 19. Taberlet, N., Richard, P., Valance, A., Losert, W., Pasini, J.M., Jenkins, J.T., Delannay, R.: Superstable granular heap in a thin channel. Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**(26), 264301 (2003)
- 20. Richard, P., Valance, A., Delannay, R., Boltenhagen, P.: in preparation, (2020)
- 21. Gollin, D., Berzi, D., Bowman, E.T.: Extended kinetic theory applied to inclined granular fows: role of boundaries. Granular Matter **19**, 56 (2017)
- 22. Berzi, D., Jenkins, J.T., Richard, P.: Erodible, granular beds are fragile. Soft Matter **15**, 7173–7178 (2019)
- 23. Berzi, D., Jenkins, J.T., Richard, P.: Extended kinetic theory for granular fow over and within an inclined erodible bed. J. Fluid Mech. **885**, A27 (2020)
- 24. Richard, P., McNamara, S., Tankeo, M.: Relevance of numerical simulations to booming sand. Phys. Rev. E **85**, 010301 (2012)
- 25. Renouf, M., Dubois, F., Alart, P.: A parallel version of the non smooth contact dynamics algorithm applied to the simulation of granular media. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 168(1), 375–382 (2004). Selected Papers from the Second International Conference on Advanced Computational Methods in Engineering (ACOMEN 2002)
- 26. Komatsu, T.S., Inagaki, S., Nakagawa, N., Nasuno, S.: Creep motion in a granular pile exhibiting steady surface flow. Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**(9), 1757–1760 (2001)
- 27. Crassous, J., Metayer, J.-F., Richard, P., Laroche, C.: (2008) Experimental study of a creeping granular flow at very low velocity. J. Stat. Mech. Theory Exp. **2008**(03), 03009 (2008)
- 28. de Ryck, A.: Granular flows down inclined channels with a strainrate dependent friction coefficient part ii: cohesive materials. Granular Matter **10**, 361–367 (2008)
- 29. Moosavi, R., Shaebani, M.R., Maleki, M., Török, J., Wolf, D.E., Losert, W.: Coexistence and transition between shear zones in slow granular fows. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 148301 (2013)
- 30. Artoni, R., Richard, P.: Torsional shear fow of granular materials: shear localization and minimum energy principle. Comput. Part. Mech. **5**, 3–12 (2018)
- 31. Jenkins, J., Berzi, D.: Dense inclined fows of inelastic spheres: tests of an extension of kinetic theory. Granular Matter **12**, 151– 158 (2010).<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10035-010-0169-8>
- 32. Losert, W., Bocquet, L., Lubensky, T.C., Gollub, J.P.: Particle dynamics in sheared granular matter. Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1428– 1431 (2000)
- 33. Mueth, D.M.: Measurements of particle dynamics in slow, dense granular couette fow. Phys. Rev. E **67**, 011304 (2003)
- 34. Orpe, A.V., Khakhar, D.V.: Rheology of surface granular fows. J. Fluid Mech. **571**, 1–32 (2007)
- 35. Zhang, S., Yang, G., Lin, P., Chen, L., Yang, L.: Inclined granular fow in a narrow chute. Eur. Phys. J. E **42**(4), 40 (2019)
- 36. Yang, F., Huang, Y.: New aspects for friction coefficients of finite granular avalanche down a fat narrow reservoir. Granular Matter **18**(4), 77 (2016)
- 37. Johnson, P.C., Jackson, R.: Frictional-collisional constitutive relations for granular materials, with application to plane shearing. J. Fluid Mech. **176**, 67–93 (1987)
- 38. Richman, M.W.: Boundary conditions based upon a modifed maxwellian velocity distribution for fows of identical, smooth, nearly elastic spheres. Acta Mech. **75**, 227–240 (1988)
- 39. Jenkins, J., Berzi, D.: Kinetic theory applied to inclined fows. Granular Matter **14**, 79–84 (2012). [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1003](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10035-011-0308-x) [5-011-0308-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10035-011-0308-x)
- 40. Kamrin, K., Koval, G.: Nonlocal constitutive relation for steady granular fow. Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 178301 (2012)
- 41. Bouzid, M., Trulsson, M., Claudin, P., Clément, E., Andreotti, B.: Nonlocal rheology of granular fows across yield conditions. Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 238301 (2013)
- 42. Kamrin, K., Henann, D.L.: Nonlocal modeling of granular fows down inclines. Soft Matter **11**, 179–185 (2015)
- 43. Nott, P.R.: A non-local plasticity theory for slow granular fows. EPJ Web Conf. **140**, 11015 (2017)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.