



Correction to: Mesh versus suture for elective primary umbilical hernia open repair: a systematic review and meta-analysis

Ana Caroline Dias Rasador¹ · Carlos André Balthazar da Silveira¹ · Diego Laurentino Lima²  · Raquel Nogueira² · Flavio Malcher³ · Prashanth Sreeramoju¹ · Leandro T. Cavazzola⁴

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag France SAS, part of Springer Nature 2024

Correction to: Hernia

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-024-03106-9>

The correct sentence in the conclusion should read:
Additionally, despite the overall analysis showing higher risk of seroma and wound infection for the mesh repair, no differences were seen after subgroup analysis of RCTs.
The Editor-in-Chief agrees with the correction.
The original article has been corrected.

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

The original article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10029-024-03106-9>.

✉ Diego Laurentino Lima
dilaurentino@gmail.com

¹ Bahiana School of Medicine and Public Health, Dom João VI Avenue, Brotas, BA 27540290-000, Brazil

² Department of Surgery, Montefiore Medical Center, 1825 Eastchester Rd, Bronx, NY 10461, USA

³ Division of General Surgery, NYU Langone, New York, NY, USA

⁴ Department of Surgery, Federal University of Rio Grande Do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil