

Controls on Soil Carbon Dioxide and Methane Fluxes in a Variety of Taiga Forest Stands in Interior Alaska

Jay Gulledge¹ and Joshua P. Schimel²*

1 Institute for Earth and Ecosystem Sciences, Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Tulane University, New Orleans, Louisiana 70118; ² Department of Ecology, Evolution, and Marine Biology, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106, USA

ABSTRACT

 $CO₂$ and CH₄ fluxes were monitored over 4 years in a range of taiga forests along the Tanana River in interior Alaska. Floodplain alder and white spruce sites and upland birch/aspen and white spruce sites were examined. Each site had control, fertilized, and sawdust amended plots; flux measurements began during the second treatment year. $CO₂$ emissions decreased with successional age across the sites (alder, birch/aspen, and white spruce, in order of succession) regardless of landscape position. Although $CO₂$ fluxes showed an exponential relationship with soil temperature, the response of $CO₂$ production to moisture fit an asymptotic model. Of the manipulations, only N fertilization had an effect on $CO₂$ flux, decreasing flux in the floodplain sites but increasing it in the birch/aspen site. Landscape position was the best predictor of $CH₄$ flux. The two upland sites consumed $CH₄$ at similar rates (approx-

INTRODUCTION

Over ecological time scales (years to centuries), atmospheric CO₂ concentrations are controlled primarily by the balance of biotic uptake and release of $CO₂$ (Schimel and others 1995). The biota has the potential to affect global climate by controlling $CO₂$ concentrations and may drive both positive feedbacks (through enhanced decomposition with in-

Received 10 March 1998; accepted 29 December 1999.

imately 0.5 mg C m^{-2} d⁻¹), whereas the floodplain sites had lower consumption rates (0–0.3 mg C m^{-2} d⁻¹). N fertilization and sawdust both inhibited $CH₄$ consumption in the upland birch/aspen and floodplain spruce sites but not in the upland spruce site. The biological processes driving $CO₂$ fluxes were sensitive to temperature, moisture, and vegetation, whereas $CH₄$ fluxes were sensitive primarily to landscape position and biogeochemical disturbances. Hence, climate change effects on Cgas flux in taiga forest soils will depend on the relationship between soil temperature and moisture and the concomitant changes in soil nutrient pools and cycles.

Key words: $CO₂$ flux; $CH₄$ consumption; taiga; climate.

creased temperature) and negative feedbacks (through enhanced plant uptake with increased $CO₂$; Shaver and others 1992). There are two components to C cycling that are important in terms of controlling $CO₂$ cycling: the rate of C turnover and the amount of C stored in an ecosystem. Over the short term, the fast C turnover in tropical systems may dominate changes in global C cycling (McGuire and others 1995). Ultimately, however, northern tundra and taiga (boreal forest and wetland) ecosystems are likely to be more important in driving changes in atmospheric $CO₂$ because of

^{}Corresponding author; e-mail:* Schimel@lifesci.ucsb.edu

their large C pools (approximately 40% of global soil C; McGuire and others 1995). These large C stores are of particular concern as components of a positive climate feedback because warming is predicted to be greatest in the north. The IPCC predicts a best estimate of global average warming of 2.5°C over the next century (Kattenberg and others 1996), whereas warming predictions for the boreal region average closer to 4°C (Moore 1996).

The taiga covers 12 million km^2 (Leith 1975) and contains roughly 18% of global soil C (McGuire and others 1995). It is a diverse biome, including a wide climatic range, from the cold, wet peatlands of Hudson Bay to the warm, dry aspen forests of interior Alaska. Even within a single watershed, the taiga can encompass great variation; for example, young, closed-canopy, dry birch and aspen stands often occur adjacent to mature, wet, open-canopy black spruce muskeg underlain by permafrost. The vegetation mosaic within a region is controlled by landscape structure (for example, upland vs river floodplain) and disturbance, particularly fire (upland) and fluvial dynamics (floodplain), which are the dominant factors in taiga ecology (Dyrness and others 1986). Much of the taiga experiences warm, dry, continental climates during the growing season; water stress is a common constraint on tree growth in interior Alaska (Jacoby and D'Arrigo 1995).

Models generally predict that the future climate of the taiga will have warmer summers, but the predictions for precipitation and soil moisture vary (Kattenberg and others 1996). It therefore is not surprising that predictions for changes in boreal C dynamics also vary. For example, models of aboveground biomass in various taiga sites range from tripling in a world with twice as much $CO₂$ (UKMO model for Northern Quebec; Smith and others 1992) to complete elimination (for example, UKMO and GFDL for Alaska; Smith and others 1992). Predictions of changes in soil organic matter range from -43% to $+80\%$ (Pastor and Post 1988). Because much of the variability in the models stems from soil moisture, any study that examines the C balance of taiga ecosystems should pay particular attention to soil moisture dynamics and the interactions of temperature and moisture to provide information that will enhance modeling efforts.

Atmospheric $CH₄$ concentration also is controlled by feedbacks between the biota and the climate system. Methane has several roles in atmospheric chemistry and physics, particularly as a greenhouse gas (Schimel and others 1996). Unsaturated taiga soils are generally $CH₄$ sinks (Whalen and others 1992), and the strength of the sink is affected by soil moisture both through restricting $CH₄$ diffusion as well as regulating biological $CH₄$ consumption (Castro and others 1994; Gulledge and Schimel 1998). Temperature, on the other hand, appears to be a less important control on $CH₄$ consumption from the atmosphere (Whalen and Reeburgh 1996; Castro and others 1994).

In this study, we (a) measured soil $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ fluxes in a variety of Alaskan taiga forests, (b) evaluated the relationships among soil temperature, moisture, and gas flux in the different sites, and (c) evaluated the effects of nitrogen manipulations on gas fluxes. N availability can affect both soil microbial and root respiration (Söderstöm and others 1983; Hendricks and others 1993; Vance and Chapin 2000), both of which should be important components of total belowground respiration (Ruess and others 1996). Added NH_4^+ also inhibits $CH₄$ consumption in many ecosystems (Gulledge and others 1997).

We studied four taiga ecosystems at various times over a 4-year period. Three of the 4 years provided striking contrasts in weather patterns. By analyzing how $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ fluxes in the various sites responded to changes in temperature and soil moisture across contrasting years, we were able to make predictions about how gas fluxes in taiga soils may respond to different climate change scenarios.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sites

We studied four sites that are part of the Bonanza Creek Long Term Ecological Research (LTER) study, approximately 20 km southwest of Fairbanks, Alaska, USA (64°45'N, 148°18'W). Two sites (birch/aspen and white spruce) were in an upland, fire-dominated successional sequence, and the others (alder and white spruce) were in a floodplain succession along the Tanana River. The upland sites were on south-facing slopes at 400–450-m elevation and are on well-drained soils with a silty, micaceous loess parent material. The floodplain sites experience episodic flooding, resulting in a layering of organic and mineral horizons. The floodplain alder site is the youngest (approximately 20 years) followed by upland birch/aspen (approximately 85 years), upland white spruce (approximately 220 years), and floodplain white spruce (approximately 250 years). The vegetation and successional development of these sites have been described elsewhere (Van Cleve and others 1991; Viereck and others 1993a).

The summer climate of these sites can be sepa-

Site	Stand Age (Years)	Soil Temperature ^{<i>a</i>} (1993, 1994)	Litter Characteristics			C and N Manipulations in Treated Plots		
			Lignin (%)	N (%)	Lignin/N	NH ₄ NO ₃ Added $(g/m2)$	Sawdust Added $(kg/m2)$	
Floodplain								
Alder	$30 - 40$	10.2,8.6	27	1.2	22.5	6.67	5.0	
White spruce	$110 - 175$	8.2,7.8	21	0.6	35.0	4.23	0.7	
Upland								
Birch/aspen	$45 - 75$	10.3,8.7	19	0.6	31.7	17.14	3.58	
White spruce	150-200	8.8.7.4	23	0.5	46.0	14.29	3.2	

Table 1. Characteristics of Study Sites and Manipulation Treatments

a Average daily soil temperature at 5 *cm averaged over the period from May* 1 *to September* 30. *Data from the LTER climate database*.

rated into three main periods after snowmelt around the end of April (Yarie and others 1990; Viereck and others 1993b; US Weather Service data for Fairbanks). May is usually cool and dry (average air temperature of 9°C with 15 mm of rain). June and July are generally the hottest months of the year and are moderately dry (average temperature of 15–16°C with rainfall of 35–45 mm per month). August and September are cooler and wetter (average August temperature of 13°C and more than 50 mm of rain).

In each site, there is a set of long-term manipulation plots, including N fertilizer, sawdust, and, in the upland birch/aspen site, drought. The plots are 10×15 m in the alder and birch/aspen plots and 15×15 m in the white spruce plots. Fertilization levels were calculated to approximate the net annual mineralization, presumably doubling available N in the site. $NH₄NO₃$ was applied by dry broadcast each June, beginning in 1990. Sawdust amendment was intended to adjust the C:N ratio of the forest floor to 50 and was applied once in 1989. To simulate summer drought in the birch/aspen stand, a 10×15 –m rain shelter was set up each spring and taken down each fall beginning in 1990. Hence, the only precipitation this plot received was snowfall, which comprises approximately 35% of total annual precipitation (269 mm; Viereck and others 1993b). The sites and manipulations are described in Table 1 and in more detail by Yarie and Van Cleve (1996).

Rather than focusing on quantifying the gas fluxes across the taiga landscape, this study focused on characterizing the process controls on fluxes within specific sites. We felt it was important to examine a diversity of communities to understand the relationships between plant community, landscape position, climatic parameters, and C-gas fluxes. Hence, we chose to study one site in each ecosystem type intensively rather than attempting to replicate sites across the landscape. Although this approach does not stringently test the generality of our results for a given ecosystem type, previous work suggests that gas fluxes and their major ecological controls are generally consistent among sites with similar vegetation (Whalen and others 1991; Ruess and others 1996). Thus, although the site selection for this study may be considered to involve a case of "simple pseudoreplication" (Heffner and others 1996) for the purpose of estimating gas fluxes from different communities across the landscape, we feel the approach is valid for evaluating the process controls in different taiga communities.

The study ran from 1991 to 1994, but not all sites were sampled in all years. Measurements in the alder site were discontinued after 1992 because it had little CH_4 flux. Upland white spruce was added in 1993 to provide juxtaposition to the floodplain white spruce site, allowing plant community and landscape position to be compared as controls on C-gas flux. Measurements were discontinued in the floodplain white spruce after 1993 due to loss of personnel. All sites were examined for at least 2 years, and the floodplain spruce and upland birch/ aspen sites each were examined in 3 consecutive years.

Measurements

Flux Rates. Flux rates were measured using permanently deployed static flux chambers similar to those of Whalen and Reeburgh (1988). Aluminum bases were placed in the forest floor by cutting them in several centimeters below the mineral soil surface to form a seal. The bases had a trough that was filled with water to make a seal with removable Plexiglas lids. The lids were fitted with rubber septa for syringe sampling and were covered with aluminum foil to make them opaque and eliminate $CO₂$ fixation by plants in the chamber during measurements. Because feather mosses or *Equisetum* spp. often grew in the chambers, $CO₂$ fluxes represent the sum of belowground respiration (root plus microbial) and aboveground plant respiration. Three replicate chambers were placed randomly in the each of the control and treatment plots.

We measured gas fluxes approximately biweekly through the growing season (in 1992, we measured fluxes weekly in the birch/aspen site). The chamber headspace was sampled by syringe immediately after sealing and at 15-minute intervals for 45 minutes. Gas samples were taken with 10-mL glass syringes and stored in the sealed syringes for 1–3 days until analysis. Tests indicated no change in the concentrations of $CO₂$ or $CH₄$ during storage. Samples were analyzed using a Shimadzu GC-14A gas chromatograph (Shimadzu Corp. Kyoto, Japan) with a stainless steel Porapak-N column (2 m, 3.2 mm outer diameter, 40°C) with thermal conductivity (for $CO₂$) and flame ionization (for $CH₄$) detectors plumbed in series.

Ancillary Measurements. Starting in 1992, soil and air temperature and soil moisture measurements were made whenever flux measurements were taken. We measured soil temperatures at depths of 5 cm and 15 cm from the forest floor surface adjacent to each chamber and air temperature in each site during chamber sampling. Forest floor moisture was determined from two fresh samples taken adjacent to the control plot. These were returned to the lab and moisture content was determined by oven drying at 70°C overnight. We measured moisture on forest floor samples because this is where the highest concentrations of labile organic matter and fine roots are found, and thus where the bulk of activity should occur.

Calculations and Statistics. Flux rates from individual chambers were calculated using linear regression of concentration versus time. Slopes were typically linear $(R^2 > 0.9)$. Higher flux rates sometimes resulted in a decrease in the slope over time, in which case we excluded the last data point to approximate initial rates. Occasional anomalous measurements gave low concentrations, indicating syringe leakage, and were excluded. No fluxes were calculated from fewer than three points. Treatment effects on flux rates within sites were determined for each year and for all the years combined by two-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using ranked data from a single year or all years combined. Data were ranked to ameliorate nonnormality and unequal variances (Conover and Iman 1981).

Effects of temperature and moisture across sites were analyzed by nonlinear regression in Systat for Windows 7.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). We modeled temperature as an exponential function (Davidson and others 1998).

Temperature-only model: $flux = \alpha e^{\beta T}$,

where T is soil temperature, α is the flux rate at 0°C, and β is a temperature response coefficient; both are estimated by the software. This model will be referred to as the T-O model. We tested the model by using temperature at both 5 cm and 15 cm. The 5-cm values consistently gave much higher R^2 values, which is consistent with the greatest activity occurring in the forest floor, and so all the analyses presented here use the 5-cm temperature.

To determine whether moisture had a significant effect on respiration rates, we compared the R^2 values determined from the T-O analysis to those from models that incorporated moisture effects. We tested three different functional moisture responses.

Linear model: $flux = \alpha e^{(\beta T)} * (\times \cdot M)$

Quadratic model: $flux = \alpha e^{(\beta T)} - (M - \delta)^2$

Asymptote model: $flux = \alpha e^{(\beta T)} * (M/(M + \varepsilon)).$

In these models, α , β , and T are the same as in the T-O model, whereas M is soil moisture (g H_2O/g dry soil), and χ , δ, and ε are different moisture response constants. The quadratic model assumes an optimum moisture (represented by δ) that allows maximal activity. The asymptote model assumes that as moisture increases, respiration asymptotically approaches some maximum rate (as allowed by other factors such as temperature), but that moisture does not directly alter the biota's temperature sensitivity. The asymptote model shows a kinetic saturation response with increasing moisture, and ε represents the moisture at which the respiration rate is half the maximum. Thus, a low value indicates a droughtadapted soil community. This model structure is comparable to the Monod model used to model resource limited microbial growth (Bottomley 1998).

The calculations for the linear model routinely failed to converge on a solution, indicating that this model structure could not adequately describe respiration. The quadratic model is plausible, because moisture saturation is known to reduce respiration in some sites (Linn and Doran 1984), and the model

gave R^2 values only slightly lower than the asymptote model. However, less than 4% of the data points were above the estimated "optimum," and these wet samples did not respire less than samples at the optimum moisture. Therefore, we judged it inappropriate to use a model that forced a decline in rates when there was no evidence for such a decline in the data. The asymptote model had neither of the problems encountered with the linear and quadratic models and gave the highest R^2 values without requiring additional parameters. However, for several individual site/year combinations this model estimated ε values that were negative or greater than soil water holding capacity. In these cases, we ran the model with a minimum allowed ε value of 0.1 and a maximum of 2.5 (these soils have a water holding capacity of roughly 5 g H_2O/g soil, so 2.5 is a realistic estimate for the maximum possible half-saturation constant). Using these limits gave overall fits that were not significantly different (at α = 0.05) from the original estimates and did not substantially alter β estimates. For CH₄ fluxes, we modeled moisture by using a linear function because the main effect of moisture in these systems is to affect diffusion, in which case a linear function is the most reasonable because moisture contents never approached saturation.

Values of Q_{10} (relative increase in flux rate for a 10°C increase in temperature) for soil respiration were calculated from the temperature response as:

$$
Q_{10}=e^{10\beta}
$$

using β values from the asymptote model. The error range on Q_{10} estimates was determined by recalculating the Q₁₀ with β + 1 standard error (SE) and β – 1 SE, in which SEs of the parameter estimate were provided by Systat. For estimating Q_{10} within individual years, we used the asymptote model to minimize interference from variations in moisture.

RESULTS

Floodplain Alder

 $CO₂$ *Fluxes*. The alder site had high $CO₂$ fluxes, averaging 3.44 (\pm 0.37) g CO₂-C m⁻²d⁻¹ across the 2 years the site was sampled. There was a seasonal pattern, with low rates early and late in the season (Figure 1). This seasonality was associated with strong correlations of $CO₂$ flux with both temperature and moisture. For example, the decrease in respiration in mid-July 1992 was associated with a dry period, whereas the very strong decrease in September was associated with dropping temperature. The Q_{10} determined by the asymptote model

from the 1992 data was 1.9 and the moisture halfsaturation constant (ε) was 0.97 (Table 2). Adding the moisture effects in the asymptote model increased the overall model R^2 from 0.25 to 0.51. Sawdust had no significant effect on $CO₂$ emissions in the alder site (Figure 2). N fertilization inhibited respiration by 37% over 1991 ($P = 0.045$) but had no effect in 1992 ($P = 0.63$).

 $CH₄$ *Fluxes*. There was very little CH₄ flux in the alder site in either year of sampling (average 2-year flux rate = -0.002 mg CH₄-C m⁻²d⁻¹). In 1991 there was occasional net $CH₄$ production, but the rates were low (maximum of 0.6 mg $CH₄$ -C $m^{-2}d^{-1}$), compared with those seen in wetlands. In 1992, there were relatively consistent, but low rates of $CH₄$ consumption, except on July 14, 1992 when flux was -0.67 mg CH₄-C m⁻²d⁻¹; this was comparable to rates commonly seen in other sites. There was no significant seasonal pattern to $CH₄$ fluxes, and no clear environmental control over them. Moisture showed a weak correlation with $CH₄$ consumption in 1992 ($R^2 = 0.43$, $P = 0.11$). Sawdust apparently prevented $CH₄$ production in 1991 (Figure 2, $P = 0.05$) but had no effect on $CH₄$ fluxes in 1992. In 1992, when there was some consumption, it was inhibited by N fertilization $(P = 0.045)$.

Floodplain White Spruce

 $CO₂$ *Fluxes*. The fluxes of $CO₂$ in the floodplain spruce site were relatively low, averaging 1.57 (± 0.19) g CO₂-C m⁻²d⁻¹ across all years of sampling. There was limited seasonality to $CO₂$ fluxes (Figure 1). Very early and very late in the season, fluxes were generally low, but during the rest of the year there was little clear variation. The exception was the extremely high flux measured on July 14, 1992 (4.5 g CO₂-C m⁻² d⁻¹), which was associated with a soil temperature of 17°C, the hottest recorded that year.

Across years, both temperature and moisture influenced respiration (Table 2). In 1992, there was a strong response of $CO₂$ flux to soil temperature, with an estimated Q₁₀ of 11.7 (range 6.3–24; $R^2 =$ 0.82), but no apparent moisture response. In 1993, there was a much weaker temperature response $(Q_{10} = 1.65; R^2 = 0.37;$ Figure 3). The temperatures were consistently warmer in 1993 than in 1992 (soil temperature $>18^{\circ}$ C for over 1 month with air temperatures $>$ 20 \degree C for 2 months), but the average flux rates were lower in 1993 than in 1992 $(P = 0.004)$ and almost five times lower than would be predicted from the temperature response curve developed in 1992. In 1993, both sawdust and fertilization reduced respiration (relative inhi-

Figure 1. Patterns of $CO₂$ and CH_4 flux, forest floor soil moisture, and soil temperature (at 5-cm depth) in the control plots of the four study sites across the 4 years in which measurements were taken.

bitions of 24% and 21%, $P = 0.016$ and 0.048, respectively). These effects were not observed in 1992.

CH4 Fluxes. The floodplain spruce consistently consumed $CH₄$, with an average consumption rate of 0.29 (\pm 0.02) mg CH₄-C m⁻²d⁻¹ (Figure 1). Flux rates were consistent across time both within and between years, with the exception of two dates that gave particularly low fluxes (July 14, 1992 when soil temperature was unusually high, and July 27, 1993). We did not observe any significant correlations with either soil moisture or soil temperature. Both N fertilization and sawdust caused significant inhibition of $CH₄$ flux (61% and 68% inhibition, respectively; $P \n\leq$ 0.001 for both; Figure 2).

	Temperature-only model		Asymptote model			
Site	β (Q ₁₀)	R^2	α	β (Q ₁₀)	ε	R^2
Floodplain						
Alder (1992 only)	0.063(1.9)	0.25	1.21	0.062(1.9)	0.97	0.51
White spruce $(1992-93)$	0.026(1.3)	0.07	0.67	0.047(1.6)	1.0	0.25
Upland						
Birch/aspen (1992–94)	0.024(1.3)	0.12	1.13	0.037(1.5)	0.37	0.23
White spruce $(1993-94)$	$-0.002(0.98)$	< 0.01	0.7	0.036(1.4)	1.2	0.18

Table 2. Model Results from the Temperature-Only and Asymptote Models for Each Site

All measurements across the years available for each site were included to develop these parameters. Note that the b *values from cross-year analyses are lower than those developed from periods when moisture was not limiting CO₂ flux.*

Figure 2. Averages of $CO₂$ (a) and $CH₄$ (b) flux rates across all 4 years in the control and treatment plots.

Upland Birch/Aspen

CO₂ Fluxes. CO₂ fluxes averaged 2.07 (\pm 0.12) g CO_2 -C m⁻²d⁻¹ over the 4 years sampled and showed a strong seasonal cycle, with the highest rates in midseason (Figure 1). Across all years, the overall temperature response had a Q_{10} of only 1.45 $(R² = 0.23)$ and a moisture half-saturation constant (ε) of 0.37 g H_2O/g dry soil. The low overall Q10, however, masked strong variation among years. Both 1992 and 1994 respiration showed strong responses to temperature (Figure 3), with a Q_{10} in 1992 of 2.9 ($R^2 = 0.66$) and in 1994 of 2.0 $(R^{2} = 0.38)$. In 1993, however, there was only a very weak response to temperature $(Q_{10} = 1.4;$ $R^2 = 0.19$, and fluxes were lower than would be predicted based on other years. This is the same interannual pattern observed in the floodplain white spruce site described above. At the extreme, when soil temperature was 29 $^{\circ}$ C, the CO₂ flux was roughly 20% of what would be predicted from the temperature response curves from 1992 and 1994.

There were some indications that N manipulations and drought affected $CO₂$ flux. Over the 4 years of sampling, the mean respiration rate was 39% higher in the sawdust-amended plot than in the control plot (Figure 2). This difference was not statistically significant overall $(P > 0.1)$, but it was significant in 1992 ($P = 0.01$) and 1993 ($P =$ 0.02) and borderline in 1991 $(P = 0.12)$ and 1994 $(P = 0.11)$. Overall, fertilization significantly increased CO₂ flux by 13% ($P = 0.04$), but all the increase occurred during 1991 and the first half of 1992 (through July), during which period flux rates were 30% higher in the fertilized plot than in the control (significant at $P < 0.01$). After that, average flux in the fertilized plot was 98% of the control flux. In the drought treatment, the mean rate was 38% lower than in the control, but the decrease could not be demonstrated statistically $(P > 0.1)$.

CH4 Fluxes. Methane consumption averaged 0.58 mg (\pm 0.03) CH₄-C m⁻²d⁻¹ over the 4 years of

Figure 3. Temperature response of respiration. Temperature is the temperature at 5-cm forest floor depth. Each data point represents one flux measurement.

sampling (Figure 1). In 1992, there was a weak positive correlation with moisture $(R^2 = 0.23)$, $P = 0.08$). In 1993, there was a negative correlation with moisture for much of the season, though this was not statistically significant overall $(P \geq$ 0.1). In 1994, there was no correlation between $CH₄$ consumption and moisture. Thus, there was little correlation between $CH₄$ flux and moisture overall. No correlation between $CH₄$ consumption and soil temperature was observed $(R^2 = 0.14,$ $P = 0.19$. Over the duration of the study, fertilization, sawdust, and drought significantly inhibited CH₄ consumption by 64%, 59%, and 48%, respectively ($P \le 0.05$; Figure 2).

Upland White Spruce

 $CO₂$ *Fluxes.* Average $CO₂$ fluxes were lower in this site than in the other three sites $(1.31 \pm 0.08$ g CO_2 -C m⁻²d⁻¹; Figure 1) but were most similar to fluxes in the floodplain white spruce site. Using the data from both years of sampling, we found that Q_{10} was 1.4, and the moisture half-saturation constant (ε) was 1.2, although the overall R^2 of the model was only 0.18 (Table 2). In 1993, there was a weak temperature response of $Q_{10} = 1.1$ ($R^2 =$ 0.07; Figure 3). In 1994, there was a strong positive response ($Q_{10} = 3.4$; range 1.2–9.0; $R^2 = 0.82$). There was no discernible relationship between $CO₂$ flux and soil moisture in 1993, whereas in 1994, incorporating moisture improved the model R^2 from 0.49 for the T-O model to 0.82 in the asymp-

tote model. There were no significant treatment effects on $CO₂$ fluxes. There appeared to be some enhancement by fertilization in the first half of 1993 (30% increase, $P = 0.08$), paralleling the enhancement of $CO₂$ flux in the first year and a half of measurements in the birch/aspen stand.

 $CH₄$ *Fluxes*. The average $CH₄$ consumption rate in this site was 0.50 (\pm 0.03) mg CH₄-C m⁻²d⁻¹ (Figure 1). This was slightly lower than the upland birch/aspen site $(P = 0.02)$ and substantially higher than the floodplain white spruce site ($P \leq$ 0.001; Figure 2). There was no seasonal pattern to $CH₄$ fluxes (Figure 1), and there were no correlations with soil moisture or temperature. There were no overall significant effects of either fertilizer or sawdust (Figure 2). Sawdust did, however, significantly enhance CH_4 consumption by 41% in 1993 $(P = 0.002)$, particularly late in the season (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

Climatic Patterns

Of the years we studied, 1992 was the closest to the 30-year average summer climate for the Fairbanks area with an average monthly air temperature 1.0°C higher than the long-term average and 0.11 cm/month less rain than average. The rest of 1992, however, was unusual, with an exceptionally late spring (snow in mid-May) and a very early winter

Figure 4. Soil temperature versus soil moisture across the soils sampled. The boundary curve shown was fit to the points representing the highest soil temperature in each interval of 0.1 g H_2O/g soil.

(snow in mid-September). The following year (1993) was hot and dry with summer temperatures 1.4°C above the long-term average and with 0.85 cm/month less rain. 1994 was intermediate, with a dry, hot period in mid-summer and cool, moist periods early and late in the season. Average temperature was 1.2°C above normal, whereas rainfall was 0.17 cm/month below average. The soil temperature (5-cm depth) and moisture values, as well as $CO₂$ and $CH₄$ fluxes from the control plots are shown in Figure 1.

By analyzing processes across this range of climatic conditions, it is possible to evaluate the effects of climate on process dynamics and predict likely effects of climate change on those processes (Whalen and Reeburgh 1988). Historically, there has been an inverse correlation between air temperature and rainfall in interior Alaska. If this pattern holds into a warmer future, then we would expect that the interior of Alaska would become warmer and drier, as predicted by Manabe and others (1992). Under this scenario, 1993 would likely provide a good model for future gas flux dynamics in interior Alaska. If, however, the climate becomes warmer and wetter, as predicted by other general circulation models (Kattenberg and others 1996; Gordon and O'Farrell 1997), 1993 would be a poor model. Under this scenario, we would need to extrapolate based on the results from 1992 and 1994 when soils were moister.

Although there was no overall correlation between soil temperature and moisture across all sites and years ($R^2 = 0.07$; Figure 4), soil temperature appeared to be constrained by soil moisture, such that soil could only be very warm if it was very dry. The curve in Figure 4 describes the upper boundary of the soil moisture–temperature data cloud. Any value below the boundary is possible (for example, soils can be cold and wet at snowmelt, or cold and dry in the fall), but there may be climatological and physical constraints that make this line the limit of soil temperature at any given soil moisture. The limit on surface (5-cm depth) soil temperature is determined primarily by the temperature of rainwater and evaporative heat loss. Summer rain in interior Alaska is cool, and consequently soils are cool after a rainfall. When the weather gets hot and dry, latent heat loss limits how hot the soils can get. As long as soils are moist, evaporation can be rapid, cooling the soil. As soils dry, evaporation slows and more energy goes into heating the soil. The boundary on the soil moisture–temperature relationship is determined by soil texture and soil water content– water potential relationships (Hillel 1980). A finetextured soil potentially could reach a higher temperature at a given water content than a coarsetextured soil; at a given water content, the fine textured soil has a lower water potential and so requires more energy to evaporate water. Given the uniform texture of the soils in this study, it is not surprising to see a uniform relationship across the sites. One question is whether the boundary on the soil moisture–temperature graph would change if the Alaskan climate warmed. If a warmer climate entailed hotter or longer sunny periods alternating with cool rainy periods, then the curve would be unchanged. Soils would merely spend more time at the hot, dry end of the graph. The boundary would only change if warming occurred during the wet periods. In this case, the right limb of the curve would rise to reflect higher rainwater temperatures. In dry periods, however, the thermodynamic properties of the soil should prevail, and the boundary on the left limb of the curve likely would not change. Thus, we believe that this boundary on the relationship between soil temperature and moisture would remain similar to that in Figure 4 under the likely climate change scenarios forecast for interior Alaska. Hence, this relationship may be useful for incorporating temperature–moisture relationships into ecosystem models of soil $CO₂$ flux.

Comparison of Sites and Environmental Control of Flux Rates

Assuming a 135-day growing season, we would estimate growing season CO_2 fluxes of 464, 212, 279, and 177 g CO_2 -C m⁻²y⁻¹ for floodplain alder, floodplain spruce, upland birch/aspen, and upland spruce, respectively. Ruess and others (1996) measured soil respiration in these same sites (over different years than our measurements) by collecting $CO₂$ on soda lime in 24-hour biweekly measurements. Compared with their results, our flux estimates are lower for the white spruce sites, higher for the alder site, and similar for the birch/aspen stand. These differences may result from the inherent errors of the soda lime technique, which overestimates fluxes below 2.4 g CO₂-C m⁻²d⁻¹ (slightly higher than our estimate for the birch/aspen site), and underestimates fluxes above that value (Jensen and others 1996).

 $CO₂$ flux was related to the successional age of the site, with the greatest fluxes in the early successional alder stand, intermediate in the midsuccessional birch/aspen stand, and lowest in the late successional white spruce stands (Figures 1 and 2). This pattern also is seen in the α values from the asymptote model (equal to the moisture-unlimited respiration rate at 0°C; Table 2), which are also highest for alder, intermediate for birch/aspen, and lowest for spruce. Interestingly, the $CO₂$ fluxes and their response to temperature in the two white spruce sites were similar, suggesting a unity of control over $CO₂$ fluxes between the sites: that is, the composition of the plant community was a more important control on $CO₂$ flux than the landscape position.

The pattern of decreasing $CO₂$ flux from alder and birch/aspen to white spruce parallels the moisture-unlimited temperature sensitivity of flux. Our estimates of the moisture-unlimited Q_{10} was lowest for alder (1.9), moderate for birch/aspen (2.8), and highest for the white spruce sites (3.4–12). We evaluated this using the wettest year available for a site (1994 for upland spruce, 1992 for the other sites), because when moisture is colimiting, estimated Q_{10} values are reduced (Davidson and others 1998). We saw this effect in two ways. First, adding moisture corrections in the models (that is, going from the T-O to the aymptote model) increased estimated Q_{10} values. Second, Q_{10} values were always higher in wet years than dry, even in the asymptote model that tried to account for moisture effects.

The reasons for these trends across sites are unclear and hard to decipher from our results. Not only is $CO₂$ flux a combined result of root respiration, rhizosphere respiration, litter and root decomposition, and soil organic matter turnover, but a number of possible controlling factors covary with $CO₂$ fluxes. For example, temperature, aboveground production, litterfall, litter quality, and forest floor turnover rate all vary in parallel along the alder to spruce gradient (Table 1; Flanagan and Van Cleve 1983; Ruess and others 1996).

One important factor that may drive differences in $CO₂$ flux along the successional gradient is the contribution of roots to total soil respiration. Root $+$ rhizosphere respiration appears to be more temperature sensitive than bulk soil microbial respiration (Boone and others 1998), and thus the greater the fraction of respiration from roots, the greater the Q_{10} is likely to be (Boone and others 1998). However, a large root contribution seems unlikely to explain the high Q_{10} values in the spruce stands. First, root biomass and production are lower in the white spruce stands than either the alder or the birch/aspen stands (Ruess and others 1996). Second, if you assume steady state conditions, then root production should equal root $+$ rhizosphere respiration as analyzed by Boone and others (1998), and litterfall should equal bulk soil respiration. Given those assumptions, then the higher the ratio of root production to litterfall, the higher the overall Q_{10} for CO_2 flux should be. However, the ratio of fine root production to litterfall was actually higher in upland birch than upland spruce (Ruess and others 1996), which is the opposite pattern than that observed for Q_{10} . Thus, the high Q_{10} values in spruce may be more reflective of microbial respiration, perhaps following the pattern that colder soils exhibit higher Q_{10} values for microbial respiration than do warmer soils (Kirschbaum 1995). Alternatively, this would follow soil organic matter quality patterns. The temperature sensitivity of biochemical processes depends on the activation energy of the rate-limiting step, and it is likely that recalcitrant substrates have higher activation energy for their decomposition. Thus, one might expect greater temperature sensitivity of microbial respiration in soils with lower-quality soil organic matter.

The interaction effect of moisture on the apparent Q_{10} for CO_2 flux across years also may result from a shifting balance of root/rhizosphere and bulk soil respiration between moist and dry years. Plants are generally more sensitive to moisture limitation than soil microbes (Larcher 1995), and root/ rhizosphere respiration appears to be more temperature sensitive than bulk soil microbial respiration (Boone and others 1998). Thus, one might expect that in wet years, root processes may more strongly drive CO₂ flux, producing a high overall Q_{10} , whereas in drier years bulk soil microbial activities may be more important, leading to a lower overall Q_{10} for soil CO₂ flux. Without direct studies on root and microbial temperature and moisture responses, however, it is impossible to make solid conclusions

about the differing temperature responses across sites or across years from our data alone.

Soil moisture was significant in controlling $CO₂$ fluxes, though it rarely explained much of the variation in respiration rates. The average R^2 (average of the across-year site-based values) for the T-O model was only 0.11, whereas adding moisture effects in the asymptote model increased the average $R²$ to 0.29. A general linear model (site as category, temperature, and moisture as covariates) also showed that temperature and moisture were significantly correlated to $CO₂$ flux ($P < 0.001$ for each). Most models of soil moisture effects on microbial respiration assume a reduction as soils become saturated (Linn and Doran 1984). However, in semiarid areas, a saturation-kinetics response is common within the naturally occurring range of soil moisture (Parton and others 1987), as we observed in this study. The water-holding capacities of forest floor samples from these sites are in the range of $3-5$ g H₂O/g dry soil, and the optimum moistures estimated by the quadratic model were 1.5–1.9. Thus, these soils never approached saturation (Figure 1). When analyzing individual sites and years, moisture effects on $CO₂$ flux were not always apparent. For example, in the upland birch/aspen stand in 1992, R^2 values for the T-O and asymptote models were identical (0.67). This is easily explained by a saturation-kinetics response: when soil moisture remains above the half-saturation value (ε), sufficient water is available, and variation in moisture becomes unimportant in affecting overall $CO₂$ flux, whereas other factors, such as temperature, explain the variation in $CO₂$ fluxes. In the birch/aspen site in 1992, the lowest soil moisture recorded was 0.48 g H_2O/g dry soil, which is still above the ε value for the birch/aspen site (0.37) . The low ε value in the birch/aspen site suggests that it is relatively drought tolerant. In the other sites, ε values were close to 1 g $H₂O/g$ dry soil, suggesting that those sites may be less drought tolerant. $CO₂$ emission rates during drought stress (soil temperature at 5-cm depth $>$ 17°C; Figure 3) were substantially higher in the birch/aspen site than the others, also suggesting greater drought tolerance in this site.

The changing balance between temperature and moisture effects accounts for the different patterns of respiration between 1992, 1993, and 1994. Whereas moisture was always adequate in 1992, and $CO₂$ production generally fit an exponential function on temperature, in 1993 drought limited $CO₂$ flux and temperature explained very little of the variation in $CO₂$ fluxes. In 1994, there were suggestions of both patterns. The hottest days were

 dry, and the CO_2 fluxes were lower than would be predicted by the temperature response curves (Figure 3). Interestingly, whereas exponential relationships between $CO₂$ flux and temperature often held for temperatures below 17°C (Figure 3), flux rates at soil temperatures greater than 17°C were low and showed no relationship to temperature. This shift likely results from the relationship between maximum soil temperature and soil moisture discussed above. At a soil temperature of 17°C, the maximum soil moisture possible according to Figure 4 is approximately 1.2 g H_2O/g dry soil, which is very close to the ε values for most of the sites. Given the Alaskan climate and the properties of the soils in our sites, it is difficult for them to reach 17°C without becoming moisture limited.

The parameters in Table 2 represent the best fits of our data to the asymptote model across a range of climatic conditions. However, these parameters are not likely to be accurate for any given year, because the temperature response parameters (β) are underestimated for conditions when moisture is not limiting. Thus, in modeling on a large scale, integrating across a wide range of temperature and moisture conditions, these parameters should provide an adequate description of $CO₂$ flux dynamics. However, for modeling at a finer scale, a model that handles the shifting temperature response with moisture limitation may work better. Such a model may require more mechanistic detail on the various factors that actually drive $CO₂$ fluxes.

The timing of rainfall is critical for predicting $CO₂$ fluxes under altered climate, yet rainfall patterns are handled poorly by climate models. If a warmer climate scenario resembled 1993, with a hot dry early summer, then total soil $CO₂$ flux would be relatively small and would be much lower than would be predicted based on temperature response curves derived from cooler, moister years, such as 1992 and 1994. These conditions also could lead to stand replacement through increased fire or tree death from drought or insect attack, further changing biogeochemical relationships. If increased rainfall occurred in June and July, however, $CO₂$ flux would respond strongly to the elevated temperature; the high $CO₂$ fluxes of mid-July 1992 would then be an indication of the likely fluxes. Assuming a Q_{10} response, total CO_2 release from taiga soils under a 3°C warming would increase by 20%–25% in the midsuccessional stands and as much as 100% in the white spruce stands.

CO₂ Fluxes–Treatment Effects

Both floodplain sites showed evidence of reduced soil respiration due to N additions, though not apparently in all years. There are several possible mechanisms for N inhibition of $CO₂$ flux like this. First, when whole root systems are fertilized, some plants reduce their belowground C allocation (Gower and Vitousek 1989; Gower and others 1992; Reynolds and D'Antonio 1996). This would reduce both root and rhizosphere microbial respiration. Lignolytic activity in a number of fungi (though not all) is inhibited by high concentrations of NH_4^+ (Kaal and others 1993), and reducing lignin degradation would reduce $CO₂$ fluxes from decomposers. Additionally, condensation of N-rich compounds with phenolics can make soil organic matter more recalcitrant, also reducing microbial respiration (Haider and others 1975). Finally, addition of NH_4^+ salts can inhibit microbial activity (Gulledge and others 1997). Which mechanism(s) accounts for the respiration reduction is unclear. Interestingly, neither upland site showed any evidence of respiration inhibition by N additions; rather, there were indications of the opposite. It is unclear why the upland and floodplain sites showed different responses to N additions. It may have to do with the interactions with other resources, such as water or P. Sawdust had no overall significant effects on CO₂ fluxes. Although sawdust is a potential C source to soil microorganisms, its substrate quality may be too low for it to have a large impact on respiration rates.

Methane Fluxes

In general, $CH₄$ consumption showed little response to climatic factors. With rare exception, fluxes varied within a narrow range in a given site, and there was no consistent correlation with either temperature or moisture. Considering that $CH₄$ consumption is controlled largely by $CH₄$ diffusion into the soil (Dörr and others 1993; Schimel and others 1993; Striegl 1993), one might expect a relationship with moisture. The lack of such a relationship likely results from the fact that these soils occur in a semiarid climate and are rarely saturated, and that the $CH₄$ oxidizers in these soils are relatively insensitive to drought (Gulledge and Schimel 1998).

The $CH₄$ consumption rates were associated with landscape position, with higher rates in upland sites than in floodplain sites. Alder, with the lowest elevation, was the only site that emitted $CH₄$ to the atmosphere, and only once in 2 years did it exhibit substantial $CH₄$ consumption. The floodplain white spruce, which is on a higher river terrace than the alder stand, consumed $CH₄$ consistently but at a lower rate than either of the upland sites. This difference between upland and wetland may have resulted from differences in soil moisture. Although we did not detect significant differences in forest floor moisture, moisture in the underlying mineral soil may be more important for controlling $CH₄$ consumption rates because the zone of maximum activity lies 10–20 cm deep in the mineral horizons (Gulledge and others 1997). In 1996, Billings and others (1998) observed significantly more moisture in the mineral soil of the floodplain spruce site than in the upland birch/aspen site. Thus, elevated moisture in the floodplain soils may restrict diffusion of $CH₄$ into the soil and cause lower rates of atmospheric $CH₄$ consumption.

Methane consumption showed no consistent responses to any of the manipulation treatments across sites. Nitrogen fertilization inhibited $CH₄$ consumption in the upland birch/aspen and floodplain spruce sites, but not in the upland white spruce site (Figure 2). Differing effects of N fertilization across sites are commonly observed but poorly understood. Gulledge and others (1997) and Gulledge and Schimel (1998) showed that the atmospheric $CH₄$ oxidizers in the upland birch/aspen and spruce sites exhibit distinct physiological responses to NH_4^+ fertilization, CH_4 supply, elevated salt concentrations, and water stress. They concluded that the different responses of atmospheric CH_4 consumption to NH_4^+ fertilization resulted from the presence of physiologically distinct $CH₄$ oxidizers in the two soils. The distribution of these populations does not appear to be related strictly to landscape position because one upland site and one floodplain site showed inhibition. It also did not seem related to plant community because the two spruce sites are similar in plant community composition, climate, and $CO₂$ fluxes, but only one of them showed inhibition of $CH₄$ consumption. Being able to predict which sites will show N inhibition is important if we are to model the large-scale effects of N cycling on CH_4 consumption.

Sawdust strongly inhibited $CH₄$ consumption in the birch/aspen and floodplain spruce sites. These are the same sites that were inhibited by N fertilization, suggesting that the mechanism of inhibition by sawdust also may be linked to the microbial population differences among sites. The specific mechanism, however, remains unclear.

CONCLUSIONS

Large-scale controls on soil trace gas fluxes differed for CO_2 and CH_4 . Whereas CO_2 emission rates varied with climate and successional age, $CH₄$ consumption rates were more responsive to landscape position and N manipulations. This difference between the two gases is probably because $CO₂$ fluxes

respond to root respiration, substrate quality, and nutrient availability, whereas net $CH₄$ consumption is driven by soil diffusion characteristics and physiological and population-level changes in a small set of soil microorganisms representing a narrow range of physiologies (Schimel 1995; Schimel and Gulledge 1998). The flux of $CO₂$ showed an exponential response to temperature, with differing Q_{10} values among sites, but the moisture response was best estimated by a saturation response. Thus, when soil moisture remained above about $0.5-1$ g $H₂O/g$ soil (depending on the site), variations in soil moisture did not appear to influence respiration. This work allows us to draw several conclusions about the effects of climate change on $CH₄$ and $CO₂$ fluxes. First, $CH₄$ consumption rates in the taiga are unlikely to change substantially with climate change because $CH₄$ flux was insensitive to the climatic variation we observed. $CO₂$ fluxes, however, are likely to respond strongly, though in different directions, depending on how climate change occurs. A warmer, wetter climate could cause $CO₂$ fluxes from the taiga soil to increase substantially from current levels. If past climatic relationships hold, however, the taiga will become warmer and drier, in which case soil $CO₂$ fluxes are likely to become uncoupled from temperature and decline due to drought stress.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We gratefully acknowledge Allen Doyle, Joy Clein, and Vicki Merchlewitz for help with field sampling and lab work. The project was supported by grants from the Athens Environmental Research Lab of the US Environmental Protection Agency and the National Science Foundation Long Term Ecological Research program.

REFERENCES

- Billings SA, Richter DD, Yarie J (1998) Soil carbon dioxide fluxes and profile concentrations in two boreal forests. Can J For Res 28:1773–1783
- Boone RD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Canary JD, Kaye JP (1998) Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration. Nature 396:570–572
- Bottomley PJ (1998) Microbial ecology. In: Sylvia DM, Fuhrmann JJ, Hartel PG, Zuberer DA, editors. Principles and applications of soil microbiology. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall. p 149–167
- Castro MS, Melillo JM, Steudler PA, Chapman JW (1994) Soil moisture as a predictor of methane uptake by temperate forest soil, Can J For Res 24:1805–1810
- Conover WJ, Iman RL (1981) Rank transformations as a bridge between parametric and nonparametric statistics. Am Stat 35: 124–129

Davidson EA, Belk E, Boone RD (1998) Soil water content and

temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. Global Change Biol 4:217–227

- Dörr H, Katruff L, Levin I (1993) Soil texture parameterization of the methane uptake in aerated soils. Chemosphere 26:697– 713
- Dyrness CT, Viereck LA, Van Cleve K (1986) Fire in taiga communities of interior Alaska. In: K Van Cleve FS, Chapin PW III, Flanagan LA, Viereck, and Dyrness CT, editors. Forest ecosystems in the Alaskan Taiga. New York: Springer-Verlag, p 74– 86.
- Flanagan PW, Van Cleve K (1983) Nutrient cycling in relation to decomposition and organic-matter quality in taiga ecosystems. Can J For Res 13:795–817
- Gordon HB, O'Farrell SP (1997) Transient climate change in the CSIRO coupled model with dynamics sea ice. Monthly Weather Rev 125:875–907
- Gower ST, Vitousek PM (1989) Effects of nutrient amendments on fine root biomass in a primary successional forest in Hawaii. Oecologia 81:566–568
- Gower ST, Vogt KA, Grier CC (1992) Carbon dynamics of Rocky Mountain douglas-fir—influence of water and nutrient availability. Ecol Monogr 62:43–65
- Gulledge J, Schimel JP (1998) Moisture control over atmospheric $CH₄$ consumption and $CO₂$ production in physically diverse soils. Soil Biol Biochem 30:1127–1132
- Gulledge JM, Doyle AP, Schimel JP (1997) Different NH_4^+ -Inhibition patterns of soil CH_4 consumption: a result of distinct CH4 oxidizer populations across sites? Soil Biol Biochem 29: 13–21
- Haider K, Martin JP, Filip Z (1975) Humus biochemistry. In Paul EA, McLaren AD, editors. Soil biochemistry Volume 4. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc. p 195–244
- Heffner RA, Butler MJ IV, Reilly CK (1996) Pseudoreplication revisisted. Ecology 77:2558–2562
- Hendricks JJ, Nadelhoffer KJ, Aber JD (1993) Assesing the role of fine roots in carbon and nutrient cycling. Trends in Ecol Evol 8:174–178
- Hillel D (1980) Fundamentals of soil physics. New York: Academic Press
- Jacoby GC, D'Arrigo RD (1995) Tree ring width and density evidence of climatic and potential forest change in alaska. Global Biogeochem Cycles 9:227–234
- Jensen LS, Mueller T, Tate KR, Ross DJ, Magid J, Nielsen NE (1996) Soil surface $CO₂$ flux as an index of soil respiration in situ: a comparison of two chamber methods. Soil Biol Biochem 28:1297–1306
- Kaal EEJ, de Jong E, Field JA (1993) Stimulation of lignolytic peroxidase activity by nitrogen nutrients in the white rot fungus Bjerkandera sp. strain BOS55. Appl Environ Microbiol 59:4031–4036
- Kattenberg A, Giorgi F, Grassl H, Meehl GA, Mitchell JFB, Stouffer RJ, Tokioka T, Weaver AJ, Wigley TML (1996) Climate models—projections of future climate. In Houghton JT, Meira Filho LG, Callander BA, Harris N, Kattenberg A, Maskell K, editors. Climate change 1995: the science of climate change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, p 285– 357
- Kirschbaum MUF (1995) The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. Soil Biol Biochem 27:753–760
- Larcher W (1995) Physiological plant ecology. 3rd ed. Berlin: Springer-Verlag
- Leith H (1975) Primary production of the major vegetation units of the world. In Leith H, Whittaker RH, editors. Primary productivity of the biosphere. New York: Springer-Verlag p 203– 215
- Linn DM, Doran JW (1984) Effect of water-filled pore space on carbon dioxide and nitrous oxide production in tilled and nontilled soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J 48:1267–1272
- Manabe S, Spelman MJ, Stouffer RJ (1992) Transient responses of a coupled ocean-atmosphere model to gradual changes of atmospheric CO₂: part II: seasonal response. J Clim 5:105-126
- McGuire AD, Melillo JM, Kicklighter DW, Joyce LA (1995) Equilibrium responses of soil carbon to climate change: empirical and process-based estimates. J Biogeogr 22:785–796
- Moore TR (1996) The carbon budget of boreal forests: reducing the uncertainty. In: Breymeyer AI, Hall DO, Melillo JM, Ågren GI, editors. Global change: effects on coniferous forests and grasslands. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons
- Parton WJ, Schimel DS, Cole CV, Ojima DS (1987) Analysis of factors controlling soil organic matter levels in Great Plains grasslands. Soil Sci Soc Am J 51:1173–1179
- Pastor J, Post WM (1988) Response of northern forests to CO_2 induced climate change. Nature 334:55–58
- Reynolds HL, D'Antonio C (1996) The ecological significance of plasticity in root weight ratio in response to nitrogen: opinion. Plant Soil 185:75–97
- Ruess RW, Van Cleve K, Yarie J, Viereck LA (1996) Contributions of fine root production and turnover to the carbon and nitrogen cycling in taiga forests of the Alaskan interior. Can J For Res 26:1326–1336
- Schimel DS, Alves D, Enting I, Heimann M, Joos F, Raynaud D, Wigley T, Prather M, Derwent R, Ehhalt D, et al. (1996) Radiative forcing of climate change. In: Houghton JT, Meira Filho LG, Callander BA, Harris N, Kattenberg A, Maskell K, editors. Climate change 1995: the science of climate change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. p 65–131
- Schimel DS, Enting I, Heimann M, Wigley TML, Raynaud D, Alves D, Siegenthaler U (1995) $CO₂$ and the carbon cycle. In: Houghton JT, Meira Filho LG, Bruce J, Lee H, Callender BA, Haites E, Harriss N, Maskell K, editors. Climate change 1994: radiative forcing of climate change and an evaluation of the IPCC IS92 emission scenarios. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- Schimel JP (1995) Ecosystem consequences of microbial diversity and community structure. In: Chapin FS, Körner, editors. Arctic and Alpine Biodiversity. Berlin: Springer-Verlag. p 239– 254
- Schimel JP, Gulledge J (1998) Microbial community structure and global trace gases. Glob Change Biol 4:745–758
- Schimel JP, Holland EA, Valentine D (1993) Controls on methane flux from terrestrial systems. In Mosier AR, Duxbury J, Harper L, editors. Agroecosystem effects on radiatively active trace gasses and global climate change. Madison, WI: American Society of Agronomy. p. 167–182
- Shaver GR, Billings WD, Chapin FS III, Giblin AE, Nadelhoffer KJ, Oechel WC, Rastetter EB (1992) Global change and the carbon balance of Arctic ecosystems. BioScience 42:433–441
- Smith TM, Shugart HH, Bonan GB, Smith JB (1992) Modelling the potential response of vegetation to global climate change. Adv Ecol Res 21:93–116
- Söderström BE, Bååth E, Lundgren B (1983) Decrease in microbial activity and biomass owing to nitrogen amendment. Can J Microbiol 29:1500–1506
- Striegl RG (1993) Diffusional limits to the consumption of atmospheric methane by soils. Chemosphere 26:715–720
- Van Cleve K, Chapin FS, Dyrness CT, Viereck LA (1991) Element cycling in taiga forests—state-factor control. BioScience 41: 78–88
- Vance ED, Chapin FS III (2000) Substrate-environment interactions: multiple limitations to microbial activity in taiga forest floors. Biogeochemistry. Forthcoming
- Viereck LA, Dyrness CT, Foote MJ (1993a) An overview of the vegetation and soils of the floodplain ecosystems of the Tanana River, interior Alaska. Can J For Res 23:889–898
- Viereck LA, Van Cleve K, Adams PC, Schlentner RE (1993b) Climate of the Tanana River floodplain near Fairbanks, Alaska. Can J For Res 23:899–913
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS (1996) Moisture and temperature sensitivity of $CH₄$ oxidation in boreal soils. Soil Biol Biochem 28:1271–1281
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS (1988. A methane flux time series for tundra environements. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 2:399–409
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS. Kizer KS (1991) Methane consumpiton and emission by taiga. Glob Biogeochem Cycles 5:261–273
- Whalen SC, Reeburgh WS, Barber VA (1992) Oxidation of methane in boreal forest soils: a comparison of seven measures. Biogeochemistry 16:181–211
- Yarie J, Van Cleve K (1996) Effects of carbon, fertilizer, and drought on foliar chemistry of tree species in interior Alaska. Ecol Appl 6:815–827
- Yarie J, Van Cleve K, Schlentner R (1990) Interaction between moisture, nutrients and growth of white spruce in interior Alaska. For Ecol Manage 30:73–89