

Effects of *Quercus suber* Decline on Woody Plant Regeneration: Potential Implications for Successional Dynamics in Mediterranean Forests

Beatriz Ibáñez,* Lorena Gómez-Aparicio, José M. Ávila, Ignacio M. Pérez-Ramos, and Teodoro Marañón

Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología (IRNAS, CSIC), PO Box 1052, 41080 Seville, Spain

ABSTRACT

In the last two decades, widespread tree decline and mortality have been documented in forests worldwide. These mortality events usually show certain level of host-specificity, translating into rapid changes in the relative abundance of the adult community. Despite these short-term changes, it is poorly understood whether the decline and mortality of certain tree species are likely to result in long-term vegetation shifts. Trajectories of forest recovery and the probability of occurrence of permanent vegetation shifts are to a large extent determined by post-mortality regeneration dynamics. Using a spatially explicit neighborhood approach, we evaluated the spatial patterns of natural regeneration of the woody plant community in mixed Mediterranean forests affected by the decline of their dominant tree species, *Quercus suber*. We predicted the abundance, survival, and richness of the seedling and sapling bank as a function of the distribution and health status of the

tree and shrub community. Results indicated that *Q. suber* decline had detectable effects on seedlings and saplings of coexistent woody species from very different functional groups (trees, shrubs, and lianas). The sign and magnitude of these effects varied substantially among coexistent species, which could imply shifts in the species ranking of seedling and sapling abundance, affecting successional trajectories and potentially leading to vegetation shifts. Because most of these changes pointed towards a loss of dominance of *Q. suber*, management strategies are urgently needed in order to attenuate adult mortality or promote its regeneration, counteracting the negative effects of global change drivers (exotic pathogens, climate change) on these valuable forests.

Key words: disturbance; global change; mediterranean forests; neighborhood models; seedling and sapling bank; succession; tree dieback.

Received 18 January 2016; accepted 4 August 2016;
published online 13 October 2016

Electronic supplementary material: The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s10021-016-0044-5) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Author Contributions BI and LGA designed the study. BI, LGA, JMA and IMP performed the research. BI analyzed the data. BI led the writing of the paper with inputs from LGA, JMA, IMP and TM.

*Corresponding author; e-mail: bibanez@irnase.csic.es

INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, widespread tree decline and mortality have been documented in forests of almost every bioregion of the world (Allen and others 2010, 2015; Breshears and others 2009; Van Mantgem and others 2009). Several global change drivers have been identified as potential causes,

such as increasing drought frequency and severity, recurrent pest outbreaks, or the spread of exotic pathogens (for example, Axelson and others 2009; Carnicer and others 2011; Loo 2009). A common feature to these mortality processes is that they usually show certain level of host-specificity, some tree species being much more vulnerable to abiotic and biotic sources of stress than others. As a result, they have a large potential to induce selective species removals and changes in community composition (Allen and Breshears 1998; Collins and others 2011). For example, in semi-arid *Pinus edulis-Juniperus monosperma* woodlands of southwestern USA, recent severe droughts have induced a shift towards *Juniperus*-dominated communities due to the higher drought tolerance of this species (Koepke and others 2010; Mueller and others 2005). In coastal California forests, the emerging pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum* has caused a rapid decline of *Notholithocarpus densiflorus* and an increase in the relative dominance of coexisting *Umbellularia californica* or *Sequoia sempervirens* (Brown and Allen-Diaz 2009; Ramage and others 2012). In Australian forests, the largest susceptibility to both drought and pathogens of the *Eucalyptus* genus compared to the co-dominant *Corymbia* genus has led to severe alterations in the relative dominance of coexisting tree species (Fensham and Holman 1999; Cahill and others 2003). However, despite this evidence for rapid changes in the relative abundance of the adult community, we still have limited information on trajectories of forest recovery after tree decline and mortality and whether they are likely to result in long-term vegetation shifts.

The probability of occurrence of permanent vegetation shifts is to a large extent determined by regeneration dynamics after tree dieback (Galiano and others 2013; Kayes and Tinker 2012; Redmond and Barger 2013; Suarez and Kitzberger 2008). Tree defoliation and mortality can induce a series of changes in local environmental conditions that alters the probability of establishment of new tree seedlings, inducing shifts of species abundance rankings in the seedling bank. For example, an increase in radiation levels and drought stress in the gaps opened after tree death could preclude the establishment of late-successional shade-tolerant species, indirectly favoring pioneer drought-tolerant species (Amoroso and others 2012; Diskin and others 2011; Ibáñez and others 2015). It has also been shown that trajectories of recovery after drought- or insect-driven tree mortality might depend not only on new seedling establishment, but also even more strongly on advance regeneration

established prior to the disturbance (Collins and others 2011; Kayes and Tinker 2012; Redmond and Barger 2013). For example, tree dieback in mature forests could release suppressed saplings of shade-tolerant species, allowing late-successional species to keep dominating the stands and indirectly limiting the establishment of light-demanding pioneer species otherwise typical of disturbed sites (DeRose and Long 2010; Veblen and others 1991). These examples illustrate the complexities inherent to post-mortality regeneration dynamics, with seedlings and saplings of different ages likely responding in different ways (for example, Galiano and others 2013) and show the need for further research that helps to elucidate long-term changes in stand composition of disturbed forests.

In this study, we analyzed the spatial patterns of natural regeneration of the woody plant community in mixed oak forests of southwestern Spain affected by the decline of its dominant tree species, *Quercus suber*. Our main objective was to elucidate whether the abundance and performance of seedlings and saplings of coexistent shrub and tree species varied depending on the composition and health status of neighboring trees, and whether differential responses among species in the regeneration bank could eventually lead to changes in species dominance at the canopy level. The decline of *Q. suber* has been reported throughout the Mediterranean Basin since the early 1990s (Brasier 1992; Brasier 1996), where tree death has occurred progressively along time forming stands of dead trees. Several abiotic (for example, extreme droughts) and biotic (for example, insects and pathogens) factors are potentially involved in this decline (Tuset and Sánchez 2004). However, in the study area, oomycete soil-borne pathogens (*Phytophthora cinnamomi* and *Pythium spiculum*) have been isolated from symptomatic *Q. suber* trees and are suggested to be the main drivers of the species decline (Brasier 1996; Romero and others 2007; Sánchez and others 2006; Sánchez and others 2002). For the analysis of natural regeneration patterns, we used a spatially explicit neighborhood approach (Canham and Uriarte 2006; Gómez-Aparicio and others 2008a; Gómez-Aparicio and others 2008b) where the abundance, survival, and richness of the seedling and sapling bank were predicted as a function of the distribution and health status of the canopy tree and shrub community. Previous studies in the same study area have shown *Q. suber* to suffer from stronger recruitment limitation than coexistent trees or arborescent shrubs (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2012), mainly due to heavy post-dispersal seed

predation and high seedling mortality due to summer drought (Gómez-Aparicio and others 2008b; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2008). Moreover, in a parallel experimental study, where seeds of *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* were sown and the recruits were monitored for 3 years, we found that defoliated and dead *Q. suber* trees generated unsuitable microsites for survival of conspecific seedlings likely due to drought stress and/or high pathogen abundance (Ibáñez and others 2015). Following this, we hypothesized that the process of *Q. suber* decline might cause the seedling/sapling bank to become even less *Q. suber* dominated, hampering the potential for self-replacement and favoring successional trajectories towards forests dominated by other coexisting woody species.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Sites and Species

The study was conducted in Los Alcornocales Natural Park, a 170 000 ha protected area in Southwestern Spain. The climate is Mediterranean type, with cold and humid winters and warm and dry summers. Mean annual temperature varies from 14.6 to 18.4°C, with a mean monthly maximum of 36°C (July) and a mean monthly minimum of 2.8°C (January). Mean annual precipitation is 975 mm (mean 1951–1999). The bedrock is dominated by Oligo-Miocene sandstone and originates acidic, nutrient-poor, sandy soils, frequently interspersed with clayish soils derived from layers of marl sediments. Our study was carried out during three consecutive years (2010, 2011 and 2012) with contrasted climatological conditions. The year 2010 was extremely wet in terms of precipitation (1346 mm annual rainfall, 40 mm summer rainfall); 2011 had an average precipitation (1037 mm annual rainfall, 16 mm summer rainfall); and 2012 was particularly dry (474 mm annual rainfall, 0 mm summer rainfall).

The flora in the Alcornocales Natural Park is dominated by mixed sclerophyll forests of *Q. suber*, located mainly on non-carbonated soils, at altitudes between 100 and 700 m a.s.l. Within the park, the structure of the forests and its diversity vary depending on orography and soil type. In low altitude sites with clayish soils, *Q. suber* forms mixed open woodlands with the evergreen drought-tolerant *Olea europaea* var. *sylvestris*. The shrub layer in these woodlands is usually dense and largely dominated by the evergreen *Pistacia lentiscus* L. and the deciduous *Crataegus monogyna* Jacq. In sandier, moister, and colder sites, *Q. suber* coexists

with the deciduous, shade-tolerant *Q. canariensis* forming closed forests. The shrubby understory is diverse and dominated by arborescent shrubs (*Arbutus unedo* L., *Phillyrea latifolia* L.) and heath species (*Erica arborea* L., *Erica scoparia* L.) (Ojeda and others 1996). These forests are relatively well conserved since 1989 (declared as Natural Park) and the main management activities are the extraction of cork, game hunting, and recreation (Anonymous 2005).

Field Sampling

The field work was conducted in six study sites within the Natural Park. Three of the sites were located in open woodlands of *Q. suber* and *O. europaea* var. *sylvestris* (hereafter woodland sites) and three in closed forests of *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* (hereafter closed forest sites). The six sites covered a gradient of climate and soil conditions (see Table A in Supplementary Material). During winter 2009, we established a 70 × 70 m plot at each of the six study sites. Each plot was subdivided into 49 10 × 10 m subplots. Within each of the 49 subplots, a smaller 1 × 1 m quadrat was permanently set up for monitoring of natural regeneration ($n = 147$ sampling quadrats per forest type, 294 quadrats in total).

During early June in 2010, 2011, and 2012, we counted and marked all the seedlings (that is, individuals emerged that spring) and saplings (that is, >1-year-old individuals smaller than 50 cm height) of woody species in each of the 1 m² sampling quadrats. We chose this sampling date to ensure that most seedlings had emerged (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2012). Additionally, seedlings and saplings of tree species were revisited in early October 2010 and October 2011 to record survival after the summer, the main mortality period in Mediterranean systems (Gómez-Aparicio 2008; Pérez-Ramos and others 2012). We also calculated species richness for each quadrat as the number of different woody plant species censused at seedling or sapling stage. Due to the low growth rates of oak species in Mediterranean systems and their resprouting ability, saplings smaller than 50 cm height can be as old as 30 years (Galiano and others 2013). Therefore, the sapling bank could represent the regeneration accumulated during the last decades and even before the first report of *Q. suber* decline in the area (Brasier 1992; Brasier 1996).

To characterize the local neighborhood of each plot, we identified and mapped all live and standing dead trees with a diameter at breast height (dbh) greater than 2 cm and all shrubs in the 70 × 70 m

permanent plots, as well as in a buffer zone 15 m (for trees) or 5 m (for shrubs) wide around each plot. Tree neighborhoods of similar size have been shown to capture the most important aspects of neighborhood interactions in temperate forests (Coates and others 2009; Gómez-Aparicio and others 2008a). Although we did not have any reference to choose the maximum shrub neighborhood, we considered a radius of 5 m to be big enough based on the small size of most shrubs in these forests (height usually <3 m) (Gómez-Aparicio and others 2012). We measured the dbh of each of the trees mapped ($n = 1341$ trees). Due to its multi-stem growth form, shrub size was characterized measuring the two diameters of the elliptical projection of its crown ($n = 3005$ shrubs). In addition, we visually evaluated the crown health status of *Quercus suber*, with a standardized semi-quantitative scale used routinely for monitoring purposes of oak decline (García and others 2011): (1) healthy reference trees, (2) defoliated trees, and (3) dead trees. No other tree or shrub species in the study area showed symptoms of decline.

Data Analysis

Neighborhood Models of Seedling and Sapling Performance and Richness

We used likelihood methods and model selection for the analysis of our data (Canham and Uriarte 2006; Johnson and Omland 2004). Following the principles of likelihood estimation, we estimated model parameters that maximized the likelihood of observing the data measured in the field. We fit separate models for each combination of dependent variables (abundance, survival, and richness), life stage (seedling and sapling), forest type (woodland and closed forest), and woody species/functional group. The dominant tree species were analyzed separately (*Q. suber*, *O. europaea* and *Q. canariensis*), whereas the remaining woody species were divided into three groups according to their life form and dispersal syndrome: fleshy-fruited shrubs (with endozoochorous dispersal), dry-fruited shrubs (with dispersal syndromes other than endozoochory, mainly abiotic dispersal), and lianas (Table B in Supplementary Material).

Our full regeneration model predicted mean seedling/sapling performance in each quadrat as a function of three components: (1) the potential seedling/sapling performance at each of the three study sites for each forest type in the absence of specific effects of neighboring trees (that is, site effects); (2) the identity, size, health status, and

spatial distribution of the trees in the neighborhood (that is, tree neighborhood effects); and (3) the size and spatial distribution of shrubs in the neighborhood (that is, shrub neighborhood effects). We tested and compared two different model frameworks (additive vs. multiplicative) to describe neighbor effects (for example, Baribault and Kobe 2011):

$$\text{Additive model} \quad Y = a_{\text{Site}} + b_{\text{Year}} * \text{NI}_{\text{Tree}} + c_{\text{Year}} * \text{NI}_{\text{Shrub}} + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Multiplicative model} \quad Y = a_{\text{Site}} * \exp(b_{\text{Year}} * \text{NI}_{\text{Tree}}) * \exp(c_{\text{Year}} * \text{NI}_{\text{Shrub}}) + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

The first term in the models, a_{Site} , represents the site effects. The second term accounts for the tree neighborhood effects, which are assumed to vary as a function of a *neighborhood index* (NI_{Tree}). The parameter b defines the steepness of the variation in performance due to an increment in NI_{Tree} and was allowed to vary between years and account for inter-annual differences in tree effects. The tree neighborhood index quantifies the net effect of $j = 1, \dots, n$ neighboring trees of $i = 1, \dots, s$ species on seedling/sapling abundance, survival or richness and was assumed to vary as a direct function of the size (dbh) and as an inverse function of the distance to neighbors:

$$\text{NI}_{\text{Tree}_{ij}} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_i \frac{\text{dbh}_{ij}^{\alpha}}{\text{dist}_{ij}^{\beta}} \quad (3)$$

where dbh_{ij} is diameter at breast height, dist_{ij} is the distance to the sampling quadrat of the j th neighboring tree of the i th species, and α and β are estimated parameters that determine the shape of the effect of the dbh (α) and the distance to neighbors (β) on the target variable. We used NI standardized ($0 < \text{NI}_i / \text{NI}_{\text{max}} < 1$) to facilitate comparisons across different seedling/sapling species. Because we were particularly interested in exploring whether tree effects varied between individuals of different species or health status, we grouped tree neighbors in four categories: healthy *Q. suber* trees, defoliated *Q. suber* trees, dead *Q. suber* trees, and the coexisting tree species at each site (*O. europaea* or *Q. canariensis*). We initially considered two categories of defoliated trees (<50 % and >50 % defoliation, see Gómez-Aparicio and others 2012 for a similar approach), but because they had similar effects on regeneration, we decided to merge them in just one category for simplicity. We then multiplied the net effect of an individual tree

by a *per-capita* coefficient (λ), one for each category (estimating therefore four different λ values), that ranged from -1 to 1 and allowed for differences between neighbors in their effects (negative or positive) on the target variable.

The third term in Equations 1 and 2 accounts for shrub neighborhood effects and were calculated as a function of a shrub neighborhood index (NI_{Shrub}). The parameter c defines the steepness of the variation in performance due to an increment in NI_{Shrub} , and was allowed to vary between years and account for inter-annual differences in shrub effects. This index is a simplified version of the tree neighborhood index and quantifies the net effect of $j = 1, \dots, n$ neighboring shrubs on seedling/sapling abundance, survival or richness following the form:

$$NI_{\text{Shrub}_j} = \sum_{j=1}^n \text{area}_j \quad (4)$$

The NI_{Shrub} was assumed to vary just as a direct function of the size (crown area) of neighbor shrubs in a 5-m-radius neighborhood. We decided not to include distance in the calculation of the index given the already restricted area over which shrubs were mapped and to keep the number of parameters in the models manageable.

Parameter Estimation and Model Selection

Because our main interest was to compare the effect of trees of different species and health status on regeneration, we first fit a simple model where only Tree neighborhood effects were considered (“Only tree” model). We then compared this simple model with more complicated models that included Site, Year or Shrub effects, finally selecting the simplest model that was not a significantly worse fit than any more complicated model. Additive and multiplicative models with the same number of parameters were also compared among them. We used the Akaike Information Criterion corrected for small sample sizes (AIC_c) to select the best model, with lower AIC_c values indicating stronger empirical support for a model (Burnham and Anderson 2002). We solved for the maximum likelihood parameter values with simulated annealing (Goffe and others 1994), a global optimization procedure. The likelihood functions used in our models varied according to the data. We used a negative binomial function to model the abundance data (counts) accounting for over-dispersion. We also tested the use of a zero-inflated Poisson distribution, but found the negative binomial to be more appropriate to the error distribution of our data. The sur-

vival data were binomial in nature, so a binomial distribution was used for the likelihood function of the corresponding models. Finally, the species richness data (counts) were adequately modeled using a Poisson likelihood function. We used asymptotic 2-unit support intervals (as an approximation to the 95 % confidence interval) to assess the strength of evidence for individual maximum likelihood parameter estimates (Edwards 1992). Coefficients whose 2-unit support intervals did not include zero were considered statistically significant. The slope of the regression (with a zero intercept) of observed versus predicted was used to measure bias (with an unbiased model having a slope of 1). We explored model residuals [that is, lack of patterns when represented against predicted values and independent variables and lack of spatial autocorrelation (Mantel test, Legendre and Fortin 1989)] to finally validate the model. All analyses were performed using R (R Development Core Team, 2009) and the “likelihood” package (Murphy 2012).

RESULTS

Seedling and Sapling Abundance

A total of 4514 seedlings and 1865 saplings of 21 woody species were counted during the three sampling years at the six study sites (Table B in Supplementary Material). Due to the scarcity of seedlings and saplings of dry-fruited shrubs, this group was only included in the analysis of seedling abundance in woodland sites. All models produced unbiased estimates of seedling and sapling abundance (slope ~ 1 , Table 1) and did not show spatial autocorrelation in their residuals with two exceptions (liana seedlings in woodlands and *Q. suber* saplings in closed forests, Table C in Supplementary Material). It is important to highlight that likelihood-based inference is very robust even in cases of lack of independence, with deviations from independence mostly resulting in underestimates of variance terms but not in biased parameters values. Both the additive and multiplicative approaches were used in best models of seedling abundance, whereas most of the best models of sapling abundance involved a multiplicative approach (Table 1). Most of the best models of seedling (7/9) and sapling (7/8) abundance showed differences among the three sites in regeneration abundance. All models detected tree neighborhood effects on seedling and sapling abundance, as indicated by significant λ values for one or more neighbor categories (Tables D and E in Supplementary Mate-

Table 1. Comparison of the Alternate Models for the Analysis of Seedling and Sapling Abundance, Survival, and Richness

Variable	Life stage	Forest type	Species	AIC _c				Model type	NP	SL
				Only tree	Tree + site	Tree + year	Tree + shrub + site + year + shrub			
Abundance	Seedling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	448.4	448.8	448.8	450.0	AD	9	1.05
			<i>O. europaea</i>	1001.5	927.5	1000.4	1000.1	AD	11	0.91
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	249.8	245.0	249.0	253.7	MT	11	1.02
		Closed forest	Dry-fruited shrubs	137.3	116.3	142.1	141.1	MT	11	0.93
			Lianas	480.3	411.7	481.1	483.2	MT	11	0.97
			<i>Q. suber</i>	342.6	317.1	341.0	345.9	AD	11	1.05
	Sapling	Woodland	<i>Q. canariensis</i>	905.5	904.8	906.6	907.3	AD	9	1.06
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	809.1	795.6	808.7	807.6	AD	11	0.92
			Lianas	1712.3	1450.3	1710.9	1716.9	MT	11	0.96
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	630.9	625.7	633.1	631.6	MT	11	0.98
			<i>O. europaea</i>	994.7	977.8	993.3	988.1	MT	12	1.00
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	217.4	203.0	218.7	219.0	MT	11	1.09
			Lianas	109.4	95.6	108.3	115.2	MT	11	1.00
Survival	Seedling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	655.1	590.2	666.0	658.5	MT	11	1.07
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	973.2	968.9	975.7	973.2	MT	11	1.02
			Fleshy-fruited shrubs	893.4	892.3	895.7	894.0	MT	9	1.07
			Lianas	1155.1	1125.7	1156.3	1153.4	AD	11	1.08
Richness	Seedling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	98.8	100.8	91.0	102.1	AD	9	0.90
			<i>O. europaea</i>	226.6	230.8	228.7	228.3	AD	8	2.07
			<i>Q. suber</i>	69.7	74.4	71.98	73.8	AD	8	1.48
		Closed forest	<i>Q. canariensis</i>	177.9	182.1	178.0	180.7	AD	8	1.02
			<i>Q. suber</i>	151.4	153.0	153.3	155.4	AD	8	0.96
			<i>O. europaea</i>	221.3	213.0	210.6	227.9	AD	11	0.99
	Sapling	Woodland	<i>Q. suber</i>	91.9	92.2	89.6	91.2	AD	9	0.97
			<i>Q. canariensis</i>	287.2	237.0	283.4	290.0	AD	10	0.98
			All woody species	898.8	903.2	903.3	901.5	AD	8	0.97
		Closed forest	<i>Q. suber</i>	1301.1	1163.9	1278.6	1287.1	AD	15	0.97
			All woody species					AD		
								AD		
								AD		

AIC_c values for trivariate and full models are only given when several bivariate models were a better fit than the 'Only Tree' model. The best model is shown in bold. Model type (additive vs. multiplicative), NP = number of parameters, and SL = slope are also given.

rial). These effects did not vary among years (Table 1). Our models did not detect any effect of shrubs on seedling abundance and only in one species (*Olea europaea*) for sapling abundance (Table 1).

In general, seedling abundance was higher in healthy tree communities (as indicated by positive λ values) than in neighborhoods dominated by defoliated or dead trees (as indicated by neutral or negative λ values) (Table D in Supplementary Material, Figures 1A, C, E, G, and 2A, C, E, G). However, the magnitude of the neighborhood effect varied among species/functional groups, causing shifts in rankings of species abundance among neighborhood types. In woodlands, *Olea* was the dominant seedling species in healthy tree neighborhoods (both conspecific and heterospecific), whereas *Q. suber* dominated the seedling bank in defoliated neighborhoods and fleshy-fruited shrubs in dead neighborhoods (Figure 1). In closed forests, lianas and (to a lesser extent) fleshy-fruited shrubs were the dominant groups in all type of neighborhoods (Figure 2). Among tree species, *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* dominated their respective conspecific healthy neighborhoods, whereas the abundance of both species was virtually null in defoliated and dead *Q. suber* neighborhoods (Figure 2E, G). In most neighborhood models of seedling abundance (7/9), the exponent α of the Neighborhood Index (which controls how neighbor effects scale with tree size, Equation 3) took high values (>2), indicating that the effect of neighboring trees scaled roughly with biomass (Table D in Supplementary Material). Low values of the β parameter (which controls the effect of distance to neighbors, Equation 3) in most models indicated that neighbor effects on seedling abundance slightly decreased with distance (Table D in Supplementary Material).


Sapling abundance of tree species was also generally lower in defoliated and dead neighborhoods than in healthy ones (Table E in Supplementary Material; Figures 1B, D, F, H and 2B, D, F, H). However, abundance of fleshy-fruited shrub and liana saplings was not negatively affected by tree decline in most situations (as indicated by neutral or positive λ values; Table E in Supplementary Material). Shifts in rankings of species abundance among neighborhood types were also found in the sapling community. In woodland sites, whereas healthy neighborhoods of both *Q. suber* and *O. europaea* were dominated by conspecific saplings, the strong negative effects of defoliated and dead trees on *Q. suber* saplings (stronger than on any other species) caused these neighborhoods to be dominated by heterospecific *O. europaea* saplings

(Figure 1f, h). In closed forests, the sapling bank kept being dominated by lianas and fleshy-fruited shrubs in all type of neighborhoods (with the exception of fleshy-fruited shrubs in *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods). Among tree species, *Q. canariensis* dominated the sapling bank in conspecific neighborhoods and was also slightly more abundant than *Q. suber* in healthy *Q. suber* neighborhoods. However, *Q. suber* dominated the sapling bank in defoliated and dead neighborhoods likely as a result of stronger negative effects of decline (more negative λ values) on *Q. canariensis* than on *Q. suber* sapling abundance (Table E in Supplementary Material). In models of sapling abundance, the value of the α parameter was highly variable among species (Table E in Supplementary Material), whereas the β parameter was generally close to 0 indicating that abundance was mostly proportional to neighbor density.

Seedling and Sapling Survival

All models produced unbiased estimates of tree seedling and sapling survival (slope ~ 1), except for *O. europaea* and *Q. suber* seedlings in woodlands and closed forests (respectively) where best models tended to overpredict (slope >1 , Table 1). Model residuals did not show spatial autocorrelation, except for *Q. canariensis* saplings (Table C in Supplementary Material). In all cases, additive models were a better fit to the data than multiplicative models. Only 25 % (2/8) of the best survival models found differences among sites in seedling and sapling survival (Table 1). All models detected tree neighborhood effects on seedling and sapling survival, as indicated by significant λ values of one or more neighbor categories (Table F, Figures H and I in Supplementary Material). These tree effects remained mostly constant among years, with three exceptions (*Q. suber* seedlings and *O. europaea* saplings in woodlands, *Q. suber* saplings in closed forests; Table 1). Our models did not detect any effect of shrubs on seedling survival (Table 1).

In woodlands, neighbor effects on survival were of generally weak magnitude, as indicated by the low value of most significant λ (Table F in Supplementary Material). Moreover, the sign of the effects on *Q. suber* seedlings and *Olea* saplings varied among years (see sign of parameter b in Table F in Supplementary Material), with survival being higher in healthy than defoliated neighborhoods in 2010 (a very wet year) but lower in 2011 (a normal year in terms of rainfall). In closed forests, *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods had the most negative effect (lowest λ value, Table F in Supplementary



◀ **Figure 1.** Predicted variation in the abundance of seedlings and saplings of different woody species (*Quercus suber*, *Olea europaea*, fleshy-fruited shrubs, dry-fruited shrubs, and lianas) as a function of the neighborhood index (NI) calculated for four different tree neighbor types [*O. europaea* (**A, B**), healthy *Q. suber* (**C, D**), defoliated *Q. suber* (**E, F**) and dead *Q. suber* (**G, H**)] in woodland sites. NI values of 0 represent neighborhoods without trees, whereas NI values of 1 represent, for each neighbor category, the neighborhoods with the highest observed value of NI (that is, the highest influence of that neighbor type). Predicted abundance of seedlings and saplings was calculated using the values of the parameters a , b , and λ in Equation 1 or 2, given in Supporting Information (Tables D and E in Supplementary Material).

Material) on seedling survival of the two oak species, followed by healthy *Q. suber* neighborhoods. Although seedling survival was lower in healthy than in declining *Q. suber* neighborhoods, sapling survival was higher (more positive λ values) in healthy neighborhoods. This was particularly true for *Q. canariensis* saplings, which suffered from strong negative effects of defoliated and dead neighbors (Table F in Supplementary Material). Values of parameters α and β in the Neighborhood Index (Equation 3) showed a very high variability among best models of seedling and sapling survival (Table F in Supplementary Material).

Seedling and Sapling Richness


The two richness models produced unbiased estimates of species richness (slope ~ 1), but showed some spatial autocorrelation in their residuals (Table C in Supplementary Material). In both cases, an additive approach offered a better fit to the data than a multiplicative approach (Table 1). In woodlands, the best model detected an effect of the tree community on richness values, but did not find any site, year, or shrub effects (Table 1). In this forest type, species richness was higher in healthy tree communities of *Quercus* and *Olea* (large positive λ values) than in neighborhoods dominated by defoliated and dead *Q. suber* trees (Table G, Figure J in Supplementary Material). In closed forests, the best model was the full model that considered the effect of all the factors tested. Thus, in this forest type, richness varied among sites was lower in *Q. canariensis* neighborhoods than in any *Q. suber* neighborhood (in all years but the dry 2012) and were positively affected by shrubs in the three study years (Table G, Figure J in Supplementary Material). Values of the α and β parameters in the Neighborhood Index of the two best richness models were roughly around 1, indicating that neighbor effects scaled with tree size (α) and declined as a simple inverse function of distance (β) (Table G in Supplementary Material).

DISCUSSION

Our results indicated that the decline of *Q. suber* in Mediterranean forests had detectable effects on both new seedlings and older saplings of coexistent woody species belonging to very different functional groups (trees, shrubs and lianas). Thus, we found total and relative regeneration abundance (both within and among functional groups) to vary depending on the health status of the canopy cover. Our neighborhood approach provided a useful framework that integrates the myriad ways that canopy and seedling species interact under natural conditions helping in this way to improve our understanding of the consequences of tree decline and mortality for regeneration dynamics.

Patterns of Natural Regeneration in Healthy Tree Neighborhoods

Healthy neighborhoods of the three dominant tree species in the study forests (*Q. suber*, *O. europaea*, and *Q. canariensis*) showed a tree seedling and sapling bank composed largely by conspecifics. It is interesting to note that the positive relationship found between abundance of conspecifics in the canopy and the seedling/sapling bank was stronger for new seedlings than for saplings (Figure 1A, B for *O. europaea*, Figure 2A, B for *Q. canariensis*, Figure 2C, D for *Q. suber*). Stronger positive conspecific effects for seedlings than for saplings could indicate the existence of negative density-dependent processes from conspecifics acting at the seedling stage due to host-specialized antagonists (for example, Janzen-Connell effects; Connell 1971; Janzen 1970), intense competition for resources, or to the generation of unfavorable abiotic conditions (for example, Bonanomi and others 2008). Accordingly, we found negative effects of conspecific neighborhoods on seedling survival of the three tree species (negative λ values), in agreement with previous studies (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2012; Ibáñez and others 2015). Our results, however, suggest that the magnitude of these negative density-dependent processes on survival were not strong enough to counteract the high number of seedlings emerged in conspecific



◀**Figure 2.** Predicted variation in the abundance of seedlings and saplings of different woody species (*Quercus suber*, *Quercus canariensis*, fleshy-fruited shrubs, and lianas) as a function of the neighborhood index (NI) calculated for four different neighbor types [*Q. canariensis* (A, B), healthy *Q. suber* (C, D), defoliated *Q. suber* (E, F), and dead *Q. suber* (G, H)] in closed forest sites. NI values of 0 represent neighborhoods without trees, whereas NI values of 1 represent, for each neighbor category, the neighborhoods with the highest observed value of NI (that is, the highest influence of that neighbor type). Predicted abundance of seedlings and saplings was calculated using the values of the parameters a , b , and λ in Equation 1 or 2, given in Supporting Information (Tables D and E in Supplementary Material).

neighborhoods (Figures 1A, B, C, D and 2A, B, C, D). High emergence values under conspecific trees are likely the result of dispersal patterns, with most seeds not being biotically dispersed and arriving under mother trees in both *Olea* (Rey and Alcántara 2000) and *Quercus* species (Pulido and Díaz 2005). For tree species in mixed forests, the existence of a conspecific sapling bank under adult canopies might be considered as a guarantee of self-replacement that could favor species coexistence (Catovsky and Bazzaz 2002; Frelich and Reich 1995; Van Breemen and Finzi 1998; Wilson and Agnew 1992). Our results therefore suggest that, in the absence of disturbances, positive canopy-sapling feedbacks could lead to a rather stable stand structure. The process of *Q. suber* decline could, however, act as a destabilizing force of this structure through its impacts on the regeneration bank.

Effects of *Quercus Suber* Decline on Natural Regeneration

A clear consequence of *Q. suber* decline was a reduction in the absolute abundance of regeneration (both seedlings and saplings) in all tree species. The negative impact of *Q. suber* decline on tree species regeneration might explain the lower species richness found in declining neighborhoods at some sites (for example, in woodlands). However, the magnitude of this effect varied substantially among co-dominant tree species. In woodland sites, the decline of *Q. suber* had a stronger negative effect on conspecific regeneration than on *O. europaea* regeneration, causing a shift in sapling relative abundance towards the dominance of *O. europaea*. Such among-species difference in the magnitude of the negative effects of decline could be due to the high abundance of *Phytophthora cinnamomi* (a soil-borne pathogen with high preference for *Q. suber*) under declining trees in woodlands (Gómez-Aparicio and others 2012) or to the better capacity of drought-tolerant *O. europaea* seedlings to tolerate stressful abiotic conditions in gaps opened after tree death (for example, high light levels and evaporation demand, Fetcher and others 1985; Royer and others 2011). Abiotic conditions in gaps might be

considered to be particularly stressful in woodlands compared to closed forests, since their open structure and smaller tree size determined the formation of larger gaps after the death of individual trees. In closed forests, on the contrary, the somewhat weaker negative effect that *Q. suber* decline had on conspecific regeneration compared to *Q. canariensis* regeneration caused a shift in sapling relative abundance toward a slight advantage in dominance for *Q. suber*. This result was quite unexpected since, in a parallel experimental study where seeds of *Q. suber* and *Q. canariensis* were sown and the recruits monitored, we did not detect clear differences in the response of new seedlings and young saplings (2–3 years old) of the two oak species to neighborhoods of contrasting health (Ibáñez and others 2015). Because the sapling bank in this study potentially included saplings much older than 3 years, it is possible that this result reflects the development of among-species differences with ontogeny, with older saplings of the shade-tolerant *Q. canariensis* being more impaired than those of *Q. suber* by the high light levels of the gaps open after tree death.

Whereas the decline of *Q. suber* had important negative effects on abundance and performance of tree seedlings and saplings, other functional groups such as fleshy-fruited shrubs and lianas were more independent of the decline process and even benefited from it in some situations. These findings could be related to the fact that seedling survival of both lianas and fleshy-fruited shrubs has been shown to benefit from high-light microsites in the forest understory (Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2012), they are not reported to be affected by *P. cinnamomi*, and they are the dominant woody species recruiting in degraded forests (for example, post-fire shrublands and reforested stands, Mendoza and others 2009). Our results therefore suggest that the process of *Q. suber* decline could induce an increase in the relative abundance of functional forms other than trees in the woody community. This finding is in agreement with previous studies that have proposed a potential development of Mediterranean forests towards more open systems with lower tree cover and

higher shrub dominance due to global environmental change (Acácio and others 2007; Matías and others 2012; Pérez-Ramos and Marañón 2012).

Implications for Successional Dynamics in Declining Forests

The effects of tree dieback on regeneration dynamics is probably one of the less studied aspects of forest die-off, particularly when compared with the abundance of studies exploring the physiological mechanisms underlying tree dieback or its impacts on ecosystem processes (McDowell and others 2013). Among the scarce regeneration studies available, a large majority has focused on regeneration patterns after insect-decline mortality in mountain forests (Battles and Fahey 2000; Collins and others 2011; DeRose and Long 2010; Kayes and Tinker 2012; Nigh and others 2008; Veblen and others 1991; Vyse and others 2009) and to a lesser extent after temperature- or drought-driven mortality in water-limited systems (Galiano and others 2013; Redmond and Barger 2013; Van Mantgem and Stephenson 2007). Here we show, for a complex system involving water-limited forests affected by aggressive exotic pathogens, that the decline of the dominant species (*Q. suber*) implies shifts in the ranking of seedling and sapling abundance among tree species, which could affect successional trajectories potentially leading to vegetation shifts (Kayes and Tinker 2012; but see Redmond and Barger 2013; Suarez and Kitzberger 2008).

Yet, predicting trajectories of forest recovery after tree dieback is not an easy task. For tree dieback to induce compositional shifts, it is necessary that the die-off species has a disadvantage in terms of propagule input or that the new conditions created are relatively more favorable for regeneration of coexisting species (Suarez and Kitzberger 2008). Here we found that in some forest types, like open woodlands, the die-off species *Q. suber* met both requisites, showing much lower seedling and sapling abundance than the co-dominant *O. europaea* in all sites (Table B in Supplementary Material) and a stronger negative response to declining neighborhoods (Figure 1F, H). Everything being equal, we could therefore expect these oak woodlands to change towards *O. europaea*-dominated systems, in agreement with our initial hypothesis of study. In closed forests, on the contrary, we found *Q. suber* to have much lower regeneration abundance than the co-dominant *Q. canariensis* at the site level (Table B in Supplementary Material), but to be somewhat less negatively affected by the process of adult

decline. Therefore, the future dominance patterns in this forest type will likely depend on the extent to which the relative advantage of *Q. suber* over *Q. canariensis* saplings under defoliated and dead trees can compensate for the intrinsic lower regeneration capacity of the species and its increasing seed limitation as the process of adult decline advances.

Understanding recruitment dynamics in *Q. suber* forests is of major ecological and social importance given the prominent role that this tree species plays in the configuration of the landscape and the economy of the Mediterranean basin and the problems of regeneration and decline that *Q. suber* suffers throughout its distribution area (Aronson and others 2009; Urbieto and others 2008). Here we have shown that based on current patterns of woody plant regeneration in declining mixed *Q. suber* forests, we could expect these systems to suffer from important changes in relative abundance among tree species as well as among functional groups (trees, shrubs, lianas) in the near future. Because most of these changes point towards a loss of cover and dominance of *Q. suber*, management strategies are urgently needed in order to either attenuate adult mortality (for example, adequate phytosanitary measures) or promote its regeneration (for example, enrichment plantings). Only by looking for stabilizing mechanisms that improve the balance between mortality and recruitment (Lloret and others 2012) we will be able to counteract the negative effects of global change drivers (exotic pathogens, climate change) on *Q. suber*, and preserve the many ecosystem services provided by these valuable forests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the director and technicians of Los Alcornocales Natural Park for the facilities and support to carry out the field work. We are also indebted to Eduardo Gutiérrez, Ana Pozuelos, Luis V. García, and several students for invaluable laboratory and field assistance. This research was supported by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (MICIIN) projects INTERBOS (CGL2008-04503-C03-03), DIVERBOS (CGL2011-30285-C02-01), RETROBOS (CGL2011-26877), and RESTECO (CGL2014-52858-R), and the Junta de Andalucía project ANASINQUE (PGC2010-RNM-5782). BI was supported by a Formación de Personal Investigador (FPI)-MICINN Grant, J.M.A. by a Formación de Personal Universitario (FPU)-MEC Grant, and I.M.P.R. by a JAEdoc-Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC) contract.

REFERENCES

- Acácio V, Holmgren M, Jansen PA, Schrotter O. 2007. Multiple recruitment limitation causes arrested succession in Mediterranean cork oak systems. *Ecosystems* 10:1220–30.
- Allen CD, Breshears DD. 1998. Drought-induced shift of a forest–woodland ecotone: rapid landscape response to climate variation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 95:14839–42.
- Allen CD, Breshears DD, McDowell NG. 2015. On underestimation of global vulnerability to tree mortality and forest die-off from hotter drought in the Anthropocene. *Ecosphere* 6:129.
- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, Kitzeberger T, Rigling A, Breshears DD, Hogg EH, Gonzalez P, Fensham R, Zhang Z, Castro J, Demidova N, Lim JH, Allard G, Running SW, Semerci A, Cobb N. 2010. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259:660–84.
- Amoroso MM, Suarez ML, Daniels LD. 2012. *Nothofagus dombeyi* regeneration in declining *Austrocedrus chilensis* forests: effects of overstory mortality and climatic events. *Dendrochronologia* 30:105–12.
- Anonymous. 2005. PORN/PRUG/PDS Parque Natural de los Alcornocales. Sevilla: Junta de Andalucía, Consejería de Medio Ambiente.
- Aronson J, Pereira JS, Pausas JG. 2009. Cork oak woodlands on the edge: ecology, adaptive management, and restoration. Washington DC: Island Press.
- Axelson JN, Alfaro RI, Hawkes BC. 2009. Influence of fire and mountain pine beetle on the dynamics of lodgepole pine stands in British Columbia, Canada. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257:1874–82.
- Baribault TW, Kobe RK. 2011. Neighbour interactions strengthen with increased soil resources in a northern hardwood forest. *Journal of Ecology* 99:1358–72.
- Battles JJ, Fahey TJ. 2000. Gap dynamics following forest decline: a case study of red spruce forests. *Ecological Applications* 10:760–74.
- Bonanomi G, Rietkerk M, Dekker SC, Mazzoleni S. 2008. Islands of fertility induce co-occurring negative and positive plant-soil feedbacks promoting coexistence. *Plant Ecology* 197:207–18.
- Brasier C. 1992. Oak tree mortality in Iberia. *Nature* 360:539.
- Brasier CM. 1996. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and oak decline in southern Europe. environmental constraints including climate change. *Annales Des Sciences Forestieres* 53:347–58.
- Breshears DD, Myers OB, Meyer CW, Barnes FJ, Zou CB, Allen CD, McDowell NG, Pockman WT. 2009. Tree die-off in response to global change-type drought: mortality insights from a decade of plant water potential measurements. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* 7:185–9.
- Brown LB, Allen-Diaz B. 2009. Forest stand dynamics and sudden oak death: mortality in mixed-evergreen forests dominated by coast live oak. *Forest Ecology and Management* 257:1271–80.
- Burnham KP, Anderson DR, Eds. 2002. Model selection and multimodel inference: a practical information-theoretic approach. New York: Springer.
- Cahill DM, Rookes JE, Wilson BA, Gibson L, McDougall KL. 2003. *Phytophthora cinnamomi* and Australia's biodiversity: impacts, predictions and progress towards control. *Australian Journal of Botany* 56:279–310.
- Canham CD, Uriarte M. 2006. Analysis of neighborhood dynamics of forest ecosystems using likelihood methods and modeling. *Ecological Applications* 16:62–73.
- Carnicer J, Coll M, Ninyerola M, Pons X, Sanchez G, Peñuelas J. 2011. Widespread crown condition decline, food web disruption, and amplified tree mortality with increased climate change-type drought. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 108:1474–8.
- Catovsky S, Bazzaz FA. 2002. Feedbacks between canopy composition and seedling regeneration in mixed conifer broad-leaved forests. *Oikos* 98:403–20.
- Coates KD, Canham CD, LePage PT. 2009. Above- versus below-ground competitive effects and responses of a guild of temperate tree species. *Journal of Ecology* 97:118–30.
- Collins BJ, Rhoades CC, Hubbard RM, Battaglia MA. 2011. Tree regeneration and future stand development after bark beetle infestation and harvesting in Colorado lodgepole pine stands. *Forest Ecology and Management* 261:2168–75.
- Connell JH. 1971. On the role of natural enemies in preventing competitive exclusion in some marine animals and in rain forest trees. In: Den Boer PJ, Gradwell G, Eds. *Dynamics of populations*. PUDOC, 298:312.
- DeRose RJ, Long JN. 2010. Regeneration response and seedling bank dynamics on a *Dendroctonus rufipennis*-killed *Picea engelmannii* landscape. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 21:377–87.
- Diskin M, Rocca ME, Nelson KN, Aoki CF, Romme W. 2011. Forest developmental trajectories in mountain pine beetle disturbed forests of Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 41:782–92.
- Edwards AWF, Ed. 1992. *Likelihood-expanded edition*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Fensham RJ, Holman JE. 1999. Temporal and spatial patterns in drought-related tree dieback in Australian savanna. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 36:1035–50.
- Fetcher N, Oberbauer S, Strain B. 1985. Vegetation effects on microclimate in lowland tropical forest in Costa Rica. *International Journal of Biometeorology* 29:145–55.
- Freligh LE, Reich PB. 1995. Neighborhood effects, disturbance, and succession in forests of the western Great Lakes region. *Ecoscience* 2:148–58.
- Galiano L, Martínez-Vilalta J, Eugenio M, Granzow-de la Cerda Í, Lloret F. 2013. Seedling emergence and growth of *Quercus* spp. following severe drought effects on a *Pinus sylvestris* canopy. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 24:580–8.
- García LV, Ramo C, Aponte C, Moreno A, Domínguez MT, Gómez-Aparicio L, Redondo R, Marañón T. 2011. Protected wading bird species threaten relict centenarian cork oaks in a Mediterranean Biosphere Reserve: a conservation management conflict. *Biological Conservation* 144:764–71.
- Goffe WL, Ferrier GD, Rogers J. 1994. Global optimization of statistical functions with simulated annealing. *Journal of Econometrics* 60:65–99.
- Gómez-Aparicio L. 2008. Spatial patterns of recruitment in Mediterranean plant species: linking the fate of seeds, seedlings and saplings in heterogeneous landscapes at different scales. *Journal of Ecology* 96:1128–40.
- Gómez-Aparicio L, Canham CD, Martin PH. 2008a. Neighbourhood models of the effects of the invasive *Acer platanoides* on tree seedling dynamics: linking impacts on communities and ecosystems. *Journal of Ecology* 96:78–90.
- Gómez-Aparicio L, Ibáñez B, Serrano MS, De Vita P, Ávila JM, Pérez-Ramos IM, García LV, Esperanza Sánchez M, Marañón

- T. 2012. Spatial patterns of soil pathogens in declining Mediterranean forests: implications for tree species regeneration. *New Phytologist* 194:1014–24.
- Gómez-Aparicio L, Pérez-Ramos IM, Mendoza I, Matias L, Quero JL, Castro J, Zamora R, Marañón T. 2008b. Oak seedling survival and growth along resource gradients in Mediterranean forests: implications for regeneration in current and future environmental scenarios. *Oikos* 117:1683–99.
- Ibáñez B, Gómez-Aparicio L, Stoll P, Ávila JM, Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2015. A neighborhood analysis of the consequences of *Quercus suber* decline for regeneration dynamics in Mediterranean forests. *PLoS ONE* 10:e0117827.
- Janzen DH. 1970. Herbivores and the number of tree species in tropical forests. *American Naturalist* 104:501–28.
- Johnson JB, Omland KS. 2004. Model selection in ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecology & Evolution* 19:101–8.
- Kayes LJ, Tinker DB. 2012. Forest structure and regeneration following a mountain pine beetle epidemic in southeastern Wyoming. *Forest Ecology and Management* 263:57–66.
- Koepke DF, Kolb TE, Adams HD. 2010. Variation in woody plant mortality and dieback from severe drought among soils, plant groups, and species within a northern Arizona ecotone. *Oecologia* 163:1079–90.
- Legendre P, Fortin MJ. 1989. Spatial pattern and ecological analysis. *Vegetatio* 80(2):107–38.
- Lloret F, Escudero A, Iriondo JM, Martínez-Vilalta J, Valladares F. 2012. Extreme climatic events and vegetation: the role of stabilizing processes. *Global Change Biology* 18:797–805.
- Loo J. 2009. Ecological impacts of non-indigenous invasive fungi as forest pathogens. *Biological Invasions* 11:81–96.
- Matias L, Zamora R, Castro J. 2012. Sporadic rainy events are more critical than increasing of drought intensity for woody species recruitment in a Mediterranean community. *Oecologia* 169:833–44.
- McDowell NG, Ryan MG, Zeppel MJB, Tissue DT. 2013. Feature: improving our knowledge of drought-induced forest mortality through experiments, observations, and modeling. *New Phytologist* 200:289–93.
- Mendoza I, Gómez-Aparicio L, Zamora R, Matias L. 2009. Recruitment limitation of forest communities in a degraded Mediterranean landscape. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 20:367–76.
- Mueller RC, Scudder CM, Porter ME, Talbot Trotter R, Gehring CA, Whitham TG. 2005. Differential tree mortality in response to severe drought: evidence for long-term vegetation shifts. *Journal of Ecology* 93:1085–93.
- Murphy L. 2012. Likelihood: methods for maximum likelihood estimation. R package version 1.6.
- Nigh GD, Antos JA, Parish R. 2008. Density and distribution of advance regeneration in mountain pine beetle killed lodgepole pine stands of the Montane Spruce zone of southern British Columbia. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 38:2826–36.
- Ojeda F, Marañón T, Arroyo J. 1996. Patterns of ecological, chorological and taxonomic diversity at both sides of the Strait of Gibraltar. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 7:63–72.
- Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2008. Factors affecting post-dispersal seed predation in two coexisting oak species: microhabitat, burial and exclusion of large herbivores. *Forest Ecology and Management* 255:3506–14.
- Pérez-Ramos IM, Marañón T. 2012. Community-level seedling dynamics in Mediterranean forests: uncoupling between the canopy and the seedling layers. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 23:526–40.
- Pérez-Ramos IM, Urbíeta IR, Zavala MA, Marañón T. 2012. Ontogenetic conflicts and rank reversals in two Mediterranean oak species: implications for coexistence. *Journal of Ecology* 100:467–77.
- Pulido FJ, Díaz M. 2005. Regeneration of a Mediterranean oak: a whole-cycle approach. *Ecoscience* 12:92–102.
- R Development Core Team. 2009. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for statistical Computing.
- Ramage BS, Forrester AB, Moritz MA, O'Hara KL. 2012. Sudden oak death disease progression across two forest types and spatial scales. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 23:151–63.
- Redmond MD, Barger NN. 2013. Tree regeneration following drought-and insect-induced mortality in piñon-juniper woodlands. *New Phytologist*. doi:10.1111/nph.12366.
- Rey PJ, Alcántara JM. 2000. Recruitment dynamics of a fleshy-fruited plant (*Olea europaea*): connecting patterns of seed dispersal to seedling establishment. *Journal of Ecology* 88:622–33.
- Romero MA, Sanchez JE, Jimenez JJ, Belbahri L, Trapero A, Lefort F, Sanchez ME. 2007. New *Pythium* taxa causing root rot on Mediterranean *Quercus* species in South-West Spain and Portugal. *Journal of Phytopathology* 155:289–95.
- Royer PD, Cobb NS, Clifford MJ, Huang C-Y, Breshears DD, Adams HD, Villegas JC. 2011. Extreme climatic event-triggered overstorey vegetation loss increases understorey solar input regionally: primary and secondary ecological implications. *Journal of Ecology* 99:714–23.
- Sánchez M, Caetano P, Romero M, Navarro R, Trapero A. 2006. *Phytophthora* root rot as the main factor of oak decline in southern Spain. In: *Progress in research on Phytophthora diseases of forest trees*. Farnham, UK: Forest Research, p 149–154.
- Sánchez ME, Caetano P, Ferraz J, Trapero A. 2002. *Phytophthora* disease of *Quercus ilex* in south-western Spain. *Forest Pathology* 32:5–18.
- Suarez ML, Kitzberger T. 2008. Recruitment patterns following a severe drought: long-term compositional shifts in Patagonian forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 38:3002–10.
- Tuset JJ, Sánchez G. 2004. *La Seca: El decaimiento de encinas, alcornoques y otros Quercus en España*. Organismo Autónomo de Parques Nacionales, Madrid: Ministerio de Medio Ambiente.
- Urbíeta IR, Zavala MA, Marañón T. 2008. Human and non-human determinants of forest composition in southern Spain: evidence of shifts towards cork oak dominance as a result of management over the past century. *Journal of Biogeography* 35:1688–700.
- Van Breemen N, Finzi A. 1998. Plant-soil interactions: ecological aspects and evolutionary implications. *Biogeochemistry* 42:1–19.
- Van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL. 2007. Apparent climatically induced increase of tree mortality rates in a temperate forest. *Ecology Letters* 10:909–16.
- Van Mantgem PJ, Stephenson NL, Byrne JC, Daniels LD, Franklin JF, Fulé PZ, Harmon ME, Larson AJ, Smith JM, Taylor AH. 2009. Widespread increase of tree mortality rates in the western United States. *Science* 323:521–4.

Veblen TT, Hadley KS, Reid MS, Rebertus AJ. 1991. The response of subalpine forests to spruce beetle outbreak in Colorado. *Ecology* 72:213–31.

Vyse A, Ferguson C, Huggard DJ, Roach J, Zimonick B. 2009. Regeneration beneath lodgepole pine dominated stands

attacked or threatened by the mountain pine beetle in the south central Interior, British Columbia. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258:S36–43.

Wilson JB, Agnew AD. 1992. *Positive-feedback switches in plant communities*. London: Academic Press.