Plant and Soil N Response of Southern Californian Semi-arid Shrublands After 1 Year of Experimental N Deposition

George L. Vourlitis,* Sarah Pasquini, and Gypsi Zorba

Department of Biological Sciences, California State University, San Marcos, California 92096, USA

ABSTRACT

Large inputs of atmospheric N from dry deposition accumulate on vegetation and soil surfaces of southern Californian chaparral and coastal sage scrub (CSS) ecosystems during the late-summer and early-fall and become available as a pulse following winter rainfall; however, the fate of this dry season atmospheric N addition is unknown. To assess the potential for dry season atmospheric N inputs to be incorporated into soil and/or vegetation N pools, an in situ N addition experiment was initiated in a post-fire chaparral and a mature CSS stand where 10×10 m plots were exposed to either ambient N deposition (control) or ambient +50 kg N ha⁻¹ (added N) added as $NH₄NO₃$ during a single application in October 2003. After 1 year of N addition, plots exposed to added N had significantly higher accumulation of extractable inorganic N ($NH_4-N + NO_3-N$) on ion exchange resins deployed in the 0–10 cm mineral soil layer and higher soil extractable N in the subsurface (30– 40 cm) mineral soil than plots exposed to ambient N. Chaparral and CSS shrubs exposed to added N also exhibited a significant increase in tissue N concentration and a decline in the tissue C:N ratio, and added N significantly altered the shrub tissue δ^{15} N natural abundance. Leaching of inorganic N to 1 m below the soil surface was on average 2–3 times higher in the added N plots, but large within treatment variability cause these differences to be statistically insignificant. Although a large fraction of the added N could not be accounted for in the shrub and soil N pools investigated, these observations suggest that dry season N inputs can significantly and rapidly alter N availability and shrub tissue chemistry in Mediterranean-type chaparral and CSS shrublands of southern California.

Key words: Adenostoma fasciculatum; Artemisia californica; biogeochemistry; chaparral; coastal sage scrub; Mediterranean-type ecosystems; Salvia mellifera.

INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric N deposition from human activities has significantly increased since the beginning of the industrial revolution (Galloway and others [1994;](#page-14-0) Vitousek and others [1997](#page-16-0); Fenn and others [1998,](#page-14-0) [2003a\)](#page-14-0). Most of the anthropogenic N is from fertilizer use (80 Tg N/year; 1 Tg $N = 10^{12}$ g N); however, an additional 20–25 Tg N/year is produced from fossil fuel burning and deposited as nitrogen oxides in terrestrial and marine systems (Galloway and others [1994](#page-14-0); Vitousek and others [1997\)](#page-16-0). Anthropogenic N deposition represents a

Received 25 February 2006; accepted 9 August 2006; published online 20 March 2007.

^{*}Corresponding author; e-mail: georgev@csusm.edu

significant input of N into many southern Californian semi-arid ecosystems (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996;](#page-14-0) Fenn and others [2003a\)](#page-14-0). In heavily polluted areas, oxidized N compounds including NO, $NO₂$, $HNO₃$, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and particulate $NO₃$ are important components of dry atmospheric deposition, whereas in areas close to agricultural enterprises, such as in Riverside and San Bernardino counties, compounds such as NH₃ and particulate NH4 may be larger sources of atmospheric N (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996\)](#page-14-0). Wet deposition, which comprises only about 10–15% of annual N deposition in southern California, is primarily composed of NH_4 and NO_3 (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996\)](#page-14-0). Concentrations of atmospheric N close to urban source areas are 20 times higher than in remote areas, resulting in 20–45 kg N ha⁻¹ to be deposited to heavily polluted southern Californian shrublands annually (Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Bytnerowicz and others [1987;](#page-14-0) Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996;](#page-14-0) Meixner and Fenn [2004\)](#page-15-0); however, some more exposed locales can receive up to 145 kg N ha⁻¹ annually (Fenn and Poth [2004\)](#page-14-0).

High N deposition leads to significant increases in the production of nitrate $(NO₃)$ in soil (Riggan and others [1985](#page-16-0); Fenn and others [1996](#page-14-0); Vourlitis and Zorba [2007\)](#page-16-0). This is especially true for southern California chaparral and coastal sage scrub (CSS) soils, where soil $NO₃$ concentration is typically 10– 20-times higher than ammonium $(NH₄)$ concentration because of rapid nitrification (Vourlitis and Zorba 2007). Because $NO₃$ is highly mobile in soil (Paul and Clark [1989\)](#page-15-0), $NO₃$ can be rapidly exported to groundwater and aquatic systems where it accumulates causing a cascade of ecological changes (Riggan and others [1985](#page-16-0); Carpenter and others [1998\)](#page-14-0). In southern California, groundwater and aquatic systems are critical sources for drinking water for urban, suburban, and rural populations, and stream water concentrations of $NO₃$ in highly polluted areas often exceed the maximum contaminant level of 10 mg/l set by the US Environmental Protection agency (US EPA [1995](#page-16-0); Carpenter and others [1998](#page-14-0); Fenn and Poth [1999](#page-14-0)). Given that most of atmospherically derived N (>90%) falls on terrestrial surfaces, and thus must be ''processed'' by terrestrial ecosystems before it is exported to groundwater and downstream aquatic ecosystems (Fenn and Poth [1999;](#page-14-0) Meixner and Fenn [2004](#page-15-0); Li and others [2006\)](#page-15-0), understanding the possible N deposition-induced alterations to terrestrial N storage and cycling is critically important to predicting terrestrial export of N to aquatic systems.

Chaparral and coastal sage scrub (CSS) are the dominant semi-arid ecosystems of southern Cali-

fornia (Keeley [2000\)](#page-15-0), and many chaparral and CSS shrublands are adjacent to urban areas and exposed to high levels of atmospheric N (Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Byterowicz and Fenn [1996](#page-14-0); Padgett and oth-ers [1999;](#page-15-0) Fenn and others [2003b\)](#page-14-0). Also known as ''soft-chaparral,'' CSS shrubs tolerate more xeric conditions than chaparral shrubs and are restricted to drier, low-elevation habitats on fine-textured soils that limit the infiltration of water (Westman [1981\)](#page-16-0). During late-summer and early-fall, droughtdeciduous CSS shrubs are dormant and the physiological activity of evergreen chaparral shrubs is at a seasonal minimum owing to the lack of rainfall and low soil water availability (Poole and Miller [1975;](#page-15-0) Gray and Schlesinger [1981](#page-15-0); Oechel and others [1981\)](#page-15-0). CSS has a lower rate of annual net primary production than chaparral; however, during the spring growing season CSS shrubs can accumulate 2–3 times more biomass than evergreen chaparral shrubs and CSS is more responsive than chaparral to added N (Gray and Schlesinger [1981](#page-15-0), [1983\).](#page-15-0) Chaparral shrubs are also thought to be more efficient in regenerating stored tissue N than CSS shrubs (Gray [1983\)](#page-15-0) and have a deeper root system with less horizontal proliferation of roots at the soil surface (Hellmers and others [1955\)](#page-15-0). Fire is an important agent of disturbance that has a return interval of every 48–66 years (Davis and Michaelsen [1995](#page-14-0)). Depending on intensity, fire causes ecosystem N storage to decline; however, ash deposited from the charred remains of shrubs and litter is rapidly mineralized following fire causing a transient increase in available inorganic N (DeBano and Conrad [1978;](#page-14-0) DeBano and others [1979](#page-14-0); Dunn and others [1979;](#page-14-0) Rundel [1983;](#page-16-0) Riggan and others [1985,](#page-16-0) [1994;](#page-16-0) Stock and Lewis [1986](#page-16-0); Grogan and others [2000\)](#page-15-0).

The majority of dry atmospheric N deposition in southern California accumulates during the summer and early fall when inversion conditions trap pollutants in the lower atmosphere (Bytnerowicz and others [1987;](#page-14-0) Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996;](#page-14-0) Padgett and others [1999](#page-15-0); Fenn and others [2003a](#page-14-0)). Furthermore, because rainfall and fog are infrequent during the summer and early fall, dry N deposition that accumulates on shrub and soil surfaces becomes available as a pulse after the first rainfall or heavy fog event (Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996](#page-14-0); Fenn and others [1998](#page-14-0), [2003b\)](#page-14-0). The fate of this N pulse is largely unknown, and the potential for atmospheric N to be retained in Mediterranean-type shrublands is likely to be a function of the intensity and/or duration of the precipitation event, state of ecosystem development following fire, and the time scales of the vegetation and soil microbial response

to rainfall and N (Poole and Miller [1975;](#page-15-0) Gray and Schlesinger [1981](#page-15-0); Riggan and others [1994;](#page-16-0) Bilbrough and Caldwell [1997](#page-14-0); Richstein and others [2002;](#page-15-0) D'Odorico and others [2003\)](#page-14-0).

Chaparral and CSS shrub physiology is substantially limited by drought during the summer and early fall when the majority of N is deposited, causing a potential temporal asynchrony between N availability and biotic demand (Fenn and others [2003b](#page-14-0); Meixner and Fenn [2004\)](#page-15-0). Thus, we hypothesize that N deposited during the fall will result in a significant but transient increase in available N; however, the aboveground N storage of chaparral and CSS shrubs will not be significantly affected by dry season N inputs because droughtinduced limitations in physiological activity will constrain the utilization of these available N pulses.

To determine whether N deposited during the dry summer and early-fall increases soil N availability and/or alters shrub tissue chemistry in chaparral and CSS ecosystems, N fertilizer $(NH₄NO₃)$ was added to a CSS and chaparral stand in fall 2003. Unfortunately the chaparral stand experienced a fire prior to N addition, and given the differences in recent fire history, quantitative comparisons between the chaparral and CSS response to N addition are problematic and not the focus of this report. Rather, we focus on whether dry season N addition causes changes in soil and shrub tissue chemistry in these different Mediterranean-type ecosystems after only 1 year of exposure. The results reported here represent the first year of an in situ N addition experiment that will be conducted over a minimum of 5 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site Description and Experimental Design

N-addition experiments were conducted at the Santa Margarita Ecological Reserve (SMER: 3329'N:11709'W) and the Sky Oaks Field Station (SOFS: 33°21'N:116°34'W), which are research reserves managed by San Diego State University. These sites were chosen because they are secure and long-term field manipulative experiments are both encouraged and logistically feasible. In addition, these reserves have a rich history of ecological research that extends over several decades, and this long-term data base will be useful for interpreting the results obtained over the course of this field experiment.

The SMER field site is located in SW Riverside County, California, USA at an elevation of 338 m and is composed of CSS vegetation on a $9-11^\circ$ S-SW facing slope. Soil is a sandy clay loam of the Las Posas Series derived of igneous and weathered Gabbro parent material (Knecht [1971](#page-15-0)) with a bulk density of 1.22 $g \text{ cm}^{-3}$. SMER receives an average of 36 cm of rainfall annually. The site is approximately 35 years old and is dominated almost entirely by mature, drought deciduous shrubs Artemisia californica Less. and Salvia mellifera Greene (nomenclature according to Munz [1974](#page-15-0)) that support considerable standing dead wood. Shrub cover is 0.5–2.5 m^2 m⁻² and density is 2–6 shrubs m^{-2} at the end of the summer drought and spring rainy seasons, respectively. Herbaceous species in the understorey are limited to Gnaphalium californicum, and the cover and density of this species comprise approximately 1 and 25%, respectively of the total plant cover and density during the spring rainy season.

SOFS is located in NE San Diego County, California, USA at an elevation of 1,418 m on a 4–10 SE-SW facing slope. The stand was approximately 50 years old when it burned in July 2003. Unfortunately this unplanned perturbation limits the potential for direct comparison to the mature CSS stand; however, given the extensive pre-fertilization (and fire) measurements and the unprecedented opportunity to assess the long-term effects of N deposition on a regenerating chaparral stand, fertilization proceeded as scheduled in fall 2003. Before fire the site was a virtual monoculture of the evergreen shrub Adenostoma fasciculatum H. & A. After fire the stand was again dominated by A. fasciculatum but Ceanothus sp. became a sub-dominant toward the end of the first year of N addition. Prior to the fire shrub cover was 0.83 m^2 m⁻² and density was 1.3 shrubs m^{-2} , whereas 5 months post-fire shrub cover was $0.02 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ and density was 0.4 shrubs m^{-2} . A. fasciculatum resprouts were present as soon as 2 months post-fire, and herbaceous ''fire-followers'' and Ceanothus sp. that largely depend on fire-induced germination (Keeley [2000\)](#page-15-0) began to appear shortly after the spring 2004 rainy season. The site receives an average of 53 cm of precipitation annually (mostly rain with occasional snow), and the soil is an Ultic Haploxeroll derived of micaceous schist (Moreno and Oechel [1992\)](#page-15-0) with a sandy loam texture and a bulk density of 1.34 g cm^{-3} .

Published estimates of atmospheric N pollution exposure are not available for the research sites; however, data for regions similar to SMER and SOFS are available from the US EPA (http:// www.epa.gov/). For SOFS, data averaged for Escondido and Alpine, CA located approximately

55 km from SOFS indicates a mean (±1 SD) annual atmospheric NO₂ exposure of 31.4 ± 2.5 µg m⁻³. For SMER, data from Camp Pendelton Marine Base (33 km to the SW) and Escondido, CA (56 km to the SSE) indicate a potential annual $NO₂$ exposure of $30.8 \pm 1.8 \,\text{\mu g m}^{-3}$. Estimates of NH₄ + NO₃ inputs of atmospheric N deposition derived from throughfall traps (Vourlitis and others unpublished) and a high-resolution (4 km) model (Tonnesen unpublished) suggest that both sites receive approximately 2–4 kg N ha^{-1} annually.

The experimental layout at each site consisted of a randomized design where four-10 \times 10 m plots were randomly selected to receive 50 kg N ha^{-1} as granular $NH₄NO₃$ (added N) in October 2003 and an additional four-10 \times 10 m plots served as unmanipulated controls. The δ^{15} N natural abundance of the NH₄NO₃ fertilizer was -0.8% . Given the estimated background levels of atmospheric N deposition (2–4 kg N ha⁻¹ annually), plots exposed to added N received 52–54 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹, whereas control plots received a maximum of 4 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹. The added N treatment is generally higher than the average estimates of N deposition for urban chaparral shrublands (25–35 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹; Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996](#page-14-0); Fenn and others [2003b](#page-14-0)); however, the spatial pattern of N deposition in southern California is highly variable and poorly understood, and sites at slightly higher elevations can receive between 40 and 145 kg N ha⁻¹ year⁻¹ (Meixner and Fenn [2004](#page-15-0); Fenn and Poth [2004\)](#page-14-0). In light of the large spatial variation in estimated N deposition, we decided to expose the chaparral and CSS shrublands to N deposition levels that were intermediate for low and high elevation southern Californian urban shrublands and forests.

Granular $NH₄NO₃$ was added during a single application using a handheld spreader. Given that some of the dry anthropogenic N deposition to southern California shrublands is from gaseous N (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996\)](#page-14-0) the use of granular $NH₄NO₃$ does not account for possible foliar N uptake. However, drought deciduous CSS shrubs are virtually leafless and the conductance of evergreen shrubs is at a seasonal minimum during the dry summer and fall months (Poole and Miller [1975;](#page-15-0) Gray and Schlesinger [1981\)](#page-15-0) when the majority of atmospheric dry deposition occurs (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996](#page-14-0); Padgett and others [1999](#page-15-0); Fenn and others [2003a\)](#page-14-0), so foliar N uptake is presumably low when inputs of dry N deposition are at a seasonal maximum. Application of granular N fertilizer also does not simulate potential atmospheric N inputs from wet deposition; however, because wet depo-

sition accounts for only 10–15% of the total atmospheric N deposited to southern California shrublands (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996;](#page-14-0) Padgett and others [1999](#page-15-0); Fenn and others [2003a\)](#page-14-0) and rainfall and/or fog events are infrequent during the late summer and early fall, the inability to adequately simulate wet deposition presumably does not limit the ability to determine the effects of dry season N inputs on the short term soil–plant N dynamics.

Field Sampling

Soil and shrub tissue samples were collected quarterly at each site between January 2003 and September 2004 to coincide with the seasonal variation in rainfall (spring = March–April, summer = June– July, fall = September–October, and winter = January), and samples were obtained from 2–4 randomly chosen points in each plot.

Soil samples were obtained from the surface (0– 10 cm) and subsurface (30–40 cm) mineral layers using a 4.7 cm diameter \times 10 cm deep (173.5 cm³) bucket auger or a 1.8 cm diameter $\times 10$ cm deep (25.5 cm^3) T-bar. As opposed to soil surface samples, which were collected quarterly, subsurface soil samples were obtained in the spring and fall. Samples were transferred from the core samplers to polyethylene (Whirl-Pak) sample bags and immediately returned to the lab and stored at 4° C until laboratory analysis (described below).

Mixed anion–cation resin bags ($n = 4$ per plot) were installed in the surface (0–10 cm) mineral soil following soil sampling. Resin bags consisted of 15 g anion (USF-A244B) and 15 g cation (USF-C211) exchange resin (US Filter, Rockford, IL, USA) that were mixed within 6.25×15.0 cm nylon bags (160 mesh). Resin bags were deployed for the first time during the summer 2003 field sampling campaign and were exchanged on a seasonal (3 month) basis. Immediately after field sampling resin bags were returned to the lab and stored at 4°C until analysis.

Approximately 10–15 cm long samples of live apical shoots (leaf + stem) of A. fasciculatum (chaparral) and A. californica and S. mellifera (CSS) shrubs were randomly collected seasonally ($n = 2-$ 4 sub-samples per plot). Apical stems were selected because these stems represent current-years growth (Gill and Mahall [1986\)](#page-14-0). Shrub tissue subsamples collected at each random point were pooled by plot, dried at 70° C for 1 week, and ground to pass through a 40 mesh sieve using a mechanical mill (Thomas-Wiley Mini Mill, Thomas Scientific, Swedesboro, NJ, USA).

The potential for N loss from leaching was measured using passive lysimeters (Gee and others [2002\)](#page-14-0) installed 1 m below the soil surface $(n = 3$ per treatment at each site), which is generally below the surface rooting zone (Jobbagy and Jackson [2000\)](#page-15-0). The lysimeters (Drain Gauge, Decagon, Inc., Pullman, WA, USA) consisted of two parts, a 50 cm long divergence control tube that funneled water into the drain gauge and a 100 cm long \times 20.3 cm diameter gauge equipped with a fiberglass wick to hold captured water and a gauge to measure the flow of water through the lysimeters. Gauges were installed in December 2003, and flow rates were monitored by a solid-state datalogger (CR 10X, Campbell Scientific, Inc., Ogden, UT, USA). Water samples retained by the passive lysimeters were retrieved every 3 months using a 50 ml syringe.

Laboratory Analysis

Bulk density was determined from samples collected from the bucket auger because these samples experienced minimal compaction and the volume of the sampler was fixed. The fresh sample was weighed to determine the fresh weight of soil per unit volume and the bulk density was converted to a dry weight basis using the gravimetric soil moisture data (described below). Sub-samples of fresh soil were then removed for gravimetric soil moisture and soil extractable NH_4 and NO_3 analyses (described below) and the remaining soil was air dried and passed through a 2 mm sieve to remove large rocks and other debris.

Gravimetric soil water content was determined from 10 g of fresh soil that was placed into preweighed porcelain crucibles. Soil was then dried at 105°C for 2−4 days and reweighed to determine the soil dry weight. Percent gravimetric soil water was calculated as $[(w_f-w_d)/w_d] \times 100$ where w_f was the fresh weight of the soil sample and w_d was the dry weight of the soil sample after drying at 105° C (Robertson and others [1999](#page-16-0)).

Extractable NO_3-N and NH_4-N from soil samples and resin bags was determined as soon as possible (usually 1–4 days) after sample collection. Shortterm (up to 1 week) storage at 4° C does not preclude the accumulation of NH_4 and NO_3 (Binkley and Hart [1989\)](#page-14-0), and unfortunately the potential for inorganic N accumulation during cold storage is unknown for our soils. However, although the absolute value of soil extractable NH_4 and NO_3 may be affected by cold storage, soils collected from added-N and control plots were stored and processed using the same protocol and relative differences between added-N and control soils were

presumably unaffected by cold storage. For soil extractable NH_4 and NO_4 , 10 g of fresh soil was added to 40 ml of 2 M KCl and continuously agitated on an orbital shaker for 1 h (Mulvaney [1996](#page-15-0)). The supernatant was filtered using a $0.2 \mu m$ filter and the NH_4-N (Hofer [2001\)](#page-15-0) and NO_3-N (Knepel [2001\)](#page-15-0) concentration of the extract was measured colorimetrically using an auto-analyzer (Quikchem 3000, Lachat Instruments, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Soil extractable N concentration determined from fresh soil was converted to a dry soil weight basis using the gravimetric soil moisture data and expressed as the mass of N per unit ground area basis by multiplying the soil extractable N concentration by the soil bulk density. Accumulated NH_4-N and $NO₃–N$ from resin bags were extracted in a similar manner except that the resin bags were extracted in 100 ml of 2 M KCl. Resin extractable N that accumulated in the resin bags was expressed as a mass of N per bag.

Total N and P of soil and shrub tissue were determined using a micro-Kjeldahl method where 0.4 g of soil or 0.2 g of shrub tissue was digested for 3 h at 390 $^{\circ}$ C in 3.5 ml concentrated H₂SO₄ and a catalyst containing 1.5 g K_2SO_4 and 0.015 g Se. Digests were measured colorimetrically for N (Sardina [2001\)](#page-16-0) and P (Sardina [2000](#page-16-0)) using an autoanalyzer (Quikchem 3000, Lachat Instruments, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Approximately 50 mg of dried soil and 7 mg of dried plant tissue was analyzed for C and N and the $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance at the Kansas State University, Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometry Laboratory. Isotopic and elemental analysis was conducted using a mass spectrometer (ThermoFinnigan Delta Plus, Thermo Electron, Bremen, Germany) coupled to a CHN analyzer (CE 1110, Carlo-Erba, Milan, Italy), respectively.

The concentration of leached inorganic N retrieved from the passive lysimeters was analyzed colorimetrically for NH_4-N (Diamond [2001](#page-14-0)) and $NO₃-N$ (White [2001\)](#page-16-0) using an auto-analyzer (Quikchem 3000, Lachat Instruments, Milwaukee, WI, USA). Leaching rates were calculated as the average concentration of NH_4-N or NO_3-N (mg N l^{-1}) multiplied by the total flow rate (l cm⁻²) measured between December 2003 and October 2004.

Statistical Analysis

Differences in response variables as a function of N addition and time were assessed using repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA). Separate ANOVAs were conducted for periods before (winter-fall 2003) and after (winter-fall 2004) N-addition to determine whether response variables differed significantly between control and added N plots before and after N addition. A twoway ANOVA with depth and N addition as fixed effects was performed on the annual average of response variables that did not show a statistically significant temporal trend (that is, total soil N, P, C, and δ^{15} N natural abundance). Data were analyzed using NCSS 2004 statistical software (Hintze [2004](#page-15-0)). Data were tested for normality and heteroscedasticity prior to analyses, and response variables violating these assumptions were LN-transformed (Zar [1984](#page-16-0)).

The temporal kinetics of cumulative N capture on anion–cation exchange resins was estimated using an exponential equation of the form $N_t = N_0[1-\exp(-kt)]$, where N_t is the cumulative N extracted from sequential harvest of anion–cation resin bags, N_0 is the maximum potentially accumulated N , and k is the rate constant (Stanford and Smith [1972](#page-16-0)). This model was chosen over other models (that is, linear or other non-linear models) because the temporal trend in resin accumulated N was non-linear over time and this model is commonly used to describe mineralization kinetics (Stanford and Smith [1972](#page-16-0)) even though resin accumulated N may not be synonymous with mineralization (Binkley and Hart [1989\)](#page-14-0). Coefficients were estimated using non-linear leastsquares regression, and the equality of added N and control cumulative curves was assessed using ANOVA (Hintze [2004\)](#page-15-0).

Mean differences in the total amount of inorganic N leached below the surface (1 m) rooting zone were assessed using a two-tailed *t*-test (degrees of freedom = 4) on LN-transformed data to satisfy the assumption of normality.

RESULTS

Seasonal Trends in Soil Moisture

Surface (0–10 cm) mineral soil moisture in chaparral during the winter and spring rainy season was 10–11% in 2003 and 5–9% in 2004 (Figure [1](#page-6-0)a), whereas subsurface (30–40 cm) mineral soil moisture was approximately 8% for both 2003 and 2004 (Figure [1](#page-6-0)c). During the summer and fall dry season, surface soil moisture was 1–3% in 2003 and 2004 and subsurface soil moisture was 2–4% (Figure [1](#page-6-0)a, c). In CSS, surface soil moisture in 2003 was 8–10 % during the winter and spring and 2–4 % during the summer and fall, whereas in 2004, surface soil moisture was 4–6 % during the winter and spring and 1–2 % during the summer and fall (Figure [1b](#page-6-0)). Similarly, subsurface soil moisture was on average 13 and 7% during the spring of 2003 and 2004, respectively, and 6% during the fall of 2003 and 2004 (Figure [1](#page-6-0)d).

Soil Chemistry

Greater than 94% of the seasonal variation in inorganic N ($NH_4-N + NO_3-N$) accumulation on ion exchange resins deployed in the surface (0– 10 cm) mineral soil of chaparral and CSS was described by the exponential model. In chaparral, approximately 78% of the total inorganic N accumulation for 2004 occurred during the first 6 months of observation (winter and spring), whereas in CSS nearly 98% of the total inorganic N accumulation occurred during the first 6 months (Figure [2\)](#page-7-0). This period corresponds to the winter and spring rainy season when surface soil moisture content was at a seasonal maximum (Figure [1](#page-6-0)a, b). Inorganic N accumulation during the last 6 months of observation (summer and fall) was substantially lower for chaparral and CSS (Figure [2](#page-7-0)), and the decline in resin N accumulation was coincident with a decline in surface soil moisture (Figure [1a](#page-6-0), b). Experimental N addition significantly increased the accumulation of inorganic N on ion exchange resins (Figure [2\)](#page-7-0). In chaparral, the mean \pm 1 SE $(n = 4)$ resin-accumulated N was 44.4 ± 3.1 and 22.8 ± 5.1 mg N bag⁻¹ year⁻¹ in added N and control plots, respectively $(F_{2,36} = 30.2; p < 0.001;$ Figure [2a](#page-7-0)). In CSS, added N plots accumulated 12.8 ± 2.5 mg N bag⁻¹ year⁻¹ whereas control plots accumulated 7.7 ± 2.3 mg N bag⁻¹ year⁻¹ $(F_{2,36} = 5.5; p < 0.05;$ Figure [2b](#page-7-0)). Most of the resin accumulated N in chaparral and CSS (50–80%) was in the form of $NO₃-N$.

The soil extractable inorganic N $(NO₃ + NH₄)$ content of the surface mineral soil (0–10 cm) was not significantly affected by N addition (Figure [3a](#page-8-0), b); however, the extractable N content of the subsurface (30–40 cm) mineral soil increased significantly in response to N addition for chaparral $(F_{1,6} = 7.12; \quad p < 0.05; \quad \text{Figure 3c)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CSS}$ $(F_{1,6} = 7.12; \quad p < 0.05; \quad \text{Figure 3c)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CSS}$ $(F_{1,6} = 7.12; \quad p < 0.05; \quad \text{Figure 3c)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{CSS}$ $(F_{1,6} = 5.60; p = 0.05;$ Figure [3](#page-8-0)d). Soil extractable N also varied significantly over time in chaparral surface and subsurface soil (Figure [3a](#page-8-0), c), but in CSS temporal trends in soil extractable N were less consistent (Figure [3](#page-8-0)b, d). In general, soil extractable N in chaparral surface soil increased immediately following fire (fall 2003) and reached a maximum value in January 2004, whereas soil extractable N in the subsurface mineral soil was consistently higher in the fall of each year. Prior to the chaparral fire, surface and subsurface soil

Figure 1. Mean (±1 SE, $n = 4$) gravimetric soil moisture for surface $(0-10$ cm; **a** and **b**) and subsurface (30–40 cm; c and d) mineral soil in chaparral (left panels) and coastal sage scrub (right panels) ecosystems exposed to 50 kg N ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ (added N: open symbols, dotted line) or ambient N (control: closed symbols, solid line) before and after fertilization. Also shown are the results (p-values) from a repeated measures ANOVA for N (degrees of freedom $(df) = 1,6$, time $(df = 3,18)$, and the $N \times$ time interaction $(df = 3,18)$. NS = $p > 0.05$. $W =$ winter (January), $Sp = spring (March–April),$ $S =$ summer (June–July), $F = (September-October).$

extractable N was similar in chaparral and CSS (0.5 and 0.2 g N m^{-2} , respectively), but after fire, chaparral control plots had 2–4 times higher soil extractable N pools than CSS control plots (Figure [3\)](#page-8-0). As observed with the resin accumulated inorganic N most (50–80%) of the soil extractable N was in the form of NO_3-N .

Added N failed to significantly alter total N, C, and P pools in both chaparral and CSS; however, several variables were significantly affected by soil depth (Table [1](#page-8-0)). In chaparral, total N, P, and C content, and N:P ratio declined significantly as a function of depth whereas the soil C:N ratio increased significantly as a function of depth (Table [1\)](#page-8-0). In CSS, only total soil C content declined significantly as a function of depth (Table [1](#page-8-0)). Chaparral soil $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance increased significantly as a function of N addition and depth, whereas in CSS, soil $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance increased significantly as a function of depth only $(Table 1)$ $(Table 1)$ $(Table 1)$.

Rates of NH_4-N , NO_3-N , and total inorganic N leaching in chaparral were 2–3 times higher in added N plots than in control plots; however, high within-treatment variability caused these differences to be statistically insignificant (Table [2](#page-9-0)). In contrast, no leachate was retrieved from CSS plots during any of the sampling events because the lysimeters were always empty. In addition, no flow was ever recorded from the CSS lysimeters, indicating that rain water was lost as runoff and/or used effectively by CSS shrubs.

Tissue Chemistry

Prior to N addition the tissue N and P concentration of the chaparral shrub A. fasciculatum in added N and control plots was nearly identical and a significant increase in both tissue N (Figure [4](#page-9-0)a) and P (Figure [4c](#page-9-0)) occurred after the July 2003 fire. After N addition the tissue N and P concentration was significantly higher in A. fasciculatum shrubs exposed to added N and the relative difference between control and added N shrubs was particularly large during the winter and spring 2004 sample periods. In CSS, the average tissue N and P concentration of A. californica and S. mellifera shrubs prior to N addition was similar in control and added N plots and both declined significantly between the spring and fall 2003 sample dates (Figure [4b](#page-9-0), d). After N addition the average tissue N concentration was significantly higher in shrubs exposed to added N ($F_{1,6} = 16.25$; $p < 0.01$), and tissue N concentration tended to be higher in the winter and spring whereas tissue P concentration tended to be higher during the spring and fall.

Tissue $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance varied significantly as a function of N addition and time and there were significant interactions between N addition and time for both chaparral and CSS after

Figure 2. Mean (± 1 SE, $n = 4$) cumulative inorganic N $(NH_4-N + NO_3-N)$ accumulation on mixed anion–cation resins buried in the surface soil (0–10 cm) in chaparral (a) and coastal sage scrub ecosystems (b) exposed to 50 kg N ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ (added N: *open symbols*) or ambient N (control: closed symbols) after fertilization. Also shown is the cumulative N estimated as $N_0[1-\exp(-kt)]$, where N_0 is the potentially accumulated N and k is the rate constant (per month) for plots exposed to added N (dashed lines) and ambient N (solid lines). The equality of added N and control curves was assessed using analysis of variance (ANOVA).

N addition (Figure [5\)](#page-10-0). Prior to N addition the $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance for chaparral and CSS shrub tissue increased significantly between the summer and fall 2003 sample campaigns and differences between control and added N plots were negligible. After the addition of $NH₄NO₃$ fertilizer, which had a δ^{15} N natural abundance of -0.8% (Figure [5\)](#page-10-0), the tissue $\delta^{15}N$ of A. fasciculatum exposed to added N was consistently lower than shrubs exposed to ambient N ($F_{1,6} = 11.36$; $p < 0.05$), and these relative differences became larger over time causing a significant $N \times$ time interaction ($F_{3,18} = 3.34$; $p < 0.05$ $p < 0.05$; Figure 5a). In CSS, the average δ^{15} N natural abundance of A. californica and S. mellifera shrub tissue was significantly higher in added N plots ($F_{1,6} = 49.44$; $p < 0.001$), and as with chap-

arral, these relative differences became larger over time causing a significant $N \times$ time interaction $(F_{3,18} = 3.53; p < 0.05;$ Figure [5b](#page-10-0)).

The increase in tissue N for chaparral and CSS shrubs exposed to added N caused a decrease in the tissue C:N ratio (Figure 6). Prior to N addition the tissue C:N ratio of A. fasciculatum declined over time and differences between shrubs in control and added N plots were negligible (Figure [6](#page-11-0)a). After N addition, the A. *fasciculatum* tissue C:N ratio was on average 5–10 units lower in added N plots between winter and summer ($F_{1,6} = 8.62$; $p < 0.05$) and the tissue C:N ratio increased significantly over time $(F_{3,18} = 49.44; p < 0.001;$ Figure [6](#page-11-0)a). In CSS, the average tissue C:N ratio of A. californica and S. mellifera shrubs prior to N addition was highest in the spring and fall and differences between added N and control plots were small (Figure [6](#page-11-0)b). After N addition, the average tissue C:N ratio was consistently 5–10 units lower for CSS shrubs exposed to added N ($F_{1,6} = 11.20$; $p < 0.05$) and the tissue C:N ratio for both control and added N shrubs was highest in the summer and fall $(F_{3,18} = 14.04;$ $p < 0.001$; Figure [6](#page-11-0)b).

DISCUSSION

Possible Fate of Added N

Dry N deposition accumulates on vegetation and soil surfaces of chaparral and coastal sage scrub (CSS) ecosystems during the summer and early fall (Bytnerowicz and others [1987](#page-14-0); Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996;](#page-14-0) Padgett and others [1999;](#page-15-0) Fenn and others [2003a\)](#page-14-0) and becomes available as a pulse after the onset of winter rainfall (Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Fenn and others [2003b\)](#page-14-0). Given the potential for a temporal asynchrony between N availability and biotic demand (Fenn and others [2003b;](#page-14-0) Meixner and Fenn [2004](#page-15-0)), we hypothesized that N deposited during the fall would result in a significant but transient increase in available N; however, the aboveground N storage of chaparral and CSS shrubs would not be significantly affected by dry season N inputs because drought-induced limitations in physiological activity constrain the utilization of these available N pulses.

Our results indicate that dry season N addition significantly increased the accumulation of inorganic N ($NH_4 + NO_3$) on ion exchange resins deployed in the surface (0–10 cm) mineral soil of chaparral and CSS (Figure 2). In contrast, the surface soil extractable inorganic N pool was not affected by added N whereas the subsurface (30–40 cm) soil extractable N was significantly

Figure 3. Mean $(\pm 1 \text{ SE}, n = 4)$ soil extractable inorganic N $(NH_4-N + NO_3-N)$ for surface $(0-10$ cm; **a** and **b**) and subsurface $(30-40 \text{ cm}; \text{c} \text{ and } \text{d})$ mineral soil in chaparral (left panels) and coastal sage scrub (right panels) ecosystems exposed to 50 kg N ha^{-1} $NH₄NO₃$ (added N: open symbols, dotted line) or ambient N (control: closed symbols, solid line) before and after fertilization. Also shown are the results (pvalues) from a repeated measures ANOVA for N (degrees of freedom $(df) = 1,6$, time $(df = 3,18)$, and the N \times time interaction $(df = 3.18)$. $NS = p > 0.05$. W = winter (January), Sp = spring (March– April), $S =$ summer (June– July), $F =$ (September– October).

Table 1. Mean (± 1 SE; $n = 4$ plots) Properties of Surface (0–10 cm) and Subsurface (30–40 cm) Mineral Soil for a Post-fire Chaparral and Mature Coastal Sage Scrub (CSS) Stand after 1 Year of Exposure to Experimental (50 kg N ha⁻¹; Added-N) and Ambient (Control) N Deposition

| Stand | Variable | Surface $(0-10$ cm) | | Sub-surface $(30-40 \text{ cm})$ | | N-addition | Depth | $N \times$ Depth |
|-----------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------------|
| | | Control | Added N | Control | Added N | $F_{1,12}$ | $F_{1,12}$ | $F_{1,12}$ |
| Chaparral | Total N $(g m^{-2})$ | 77 ± 7 | 68 ± 6 | 48 ± 4 | 46 ± 2 | 0.90 | $24.69***$ | 0.40 |
| | Total P $(g m^{-2})$ | 33 ± 2 | 33 ± 1 | 26 ± 1 | 26 ± 1 | 0.01 | 23.35*** | 0.01 |
| | Total C (kg m^{-2}) | 1.7 ± 0.3 | 1.3 ± 0.1 | 1.1 ± 0.1 | 1.0 ± 0.1 | 3.40 | $9.86**$ | 1.57 |
| | N:P | 2.4 ± 0.2 | 2.1 ± 0.2 | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.8 ± 0.1 | 1.24 | $8.88*$ | 0.59 |
| | C: N | 25.8 ± 1.8 | 24.3 ± 1.2 | 30.7 ± 1.5 | 26.2 ± 1.4 | 4.19 | $5.25*$ | 0.99 |
| | $\delta^{15}N$ (%) | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 2.4 ± 0.3 | 2.7 ± 0.5 | 3.2 ± 0.3 | $5.60*$ | $10.34**$ | 0.50 |
| CSS | Total N $(g m^{-2})$ | 77 ± 4 | 65 ± 10 | 74 ± 6 | 61 ± 8 | 2.83 | 0.20 | 0.01 |
| | Total P $(g m^{-2})^{\dagger}$ | 24 ± 4 | 25 ± 4 | 23 ± 4 | 24 ± 6 | 0.01 | 0.19 | 0.02 |
| | Total C (kg m^{-2}) | 1.3 ± 0.2 | 1.1 ± 0.2 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.49 | $24.70***$ | 0.29 |
| | N:P | 3.5 ± 0.5 | 2.7 ± 0.4 | 3.5 ± 0.6 | 2.6 ± 0.4 | 3.45 | 0.02 | 0.01 |
| | C: N | 15.4 ± 0.6 | 15.5 ± 0.4 | 14.4 ± 0.4 | 15.5 ± 0.2 | 1.70 | 1.33 | 1.44 |
| | $\delta^{15}N~(^o_0)$ | 1.0 ± 0.2 | 1.2 ± 0.5 | 2.8 ± 0.2 | 2.6 ± 0.3 | 0.03 | $24.42***$ | 0.26 |

Data are annual averages calculated from the spring and fall 2004. Also shown are the results from a two-way ANOVA with N addition (N) and depth as fixed effects. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001. Data were LN-transformed.

enhanced by N addition (Figure 3). The lack of correspondence between the resin and soil extractable N data for the surface mineral soil is presumably a function of the integration time associated with each measurement technique. For example, soil extractable N provides an instantaneous estimate of N availability whereas ion exchange resins integrate N availability over a longer period of time providing a potentially better index of N availability (Bundy and Meisinger [1994](#page-14-0); Binkley and Hart [1989](#page-14-0)). Because N accumulation on ion exchange resins is affected by a variety of processes, including N input, mineralization– immobilization reactions, soil transport, plant

| | Treatment (mg N m ⁻²) | | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------|-------|
| Variable | Control | Added N | ι_4 | |
| $NO3-N$ | 123.2 ± 27.5 | 221.8 ± 91.1 | -1.11 | 0.349 |
| NH_4-N | 4.8 ± 1.8 | 17.5 ± 6.3 | -1.96 | 0.114 |
| TIN-N | 127.9 ± 28.4 | 239.3 ± 69.6 | -1.21 | 0.313 |

Table 2. Mean (± 1 SE; $n = 3$) Inorganic N Leaching from Passive Lysimeters installed 1 m below the Soil Surface for Plots Receiving Added N and Plots Exposed to Ambient N (control) at the Sky Oaks Field Station

Data were collected between December 2003 and October 2004. Total inorganic N (TIN) = NH₄-N + NO₃-N. Also shown is the t-value from a 2-tailed t-test with 4 degreesof-freedom and the probability of type-I error (p-value). Data for coastal sage scrub are not shown because there was no retrievable leachate in the CSS lysimeters.

Figure 4. Mean (± 1 SE, $n = 4$) tissue N (**a** and **b**) and P (**c** and **d**) concentration for the chaparral shrub A. *fasciculatum* (left panels) and the coastal sage shrubs A. californica and S. mellifera (right panels) exposed to 50 kg N ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ (added N: open symbols, dotted line) or ambient N (control: closed symbols, solid line) before and after fertilization. Also shown are the results (p-values) from a repeated measures ANOVA for N (degrees of freedom (df) = 1,6), time (df = 2,12 before fertilization and 3,18 after fertilization), and the N \times time interaction ($df = 2.12$ before fertilization and 3,18 after fertilization). $NS = p > 0.05$. W = winter (January), Sp = spring (March–April), S = summer (June–July), F = (September-October).

uptake, and gaseous efflux and/or leaching (Binkley and Hart [1989\)](#page-14-0), the mechanism for the increase in N availability in response to added N is unknown.

Assuming that the ion exchange resins provide an effective index of N availability (Binkley and Hart [1989](#page-14-0)), these data suggest that only a fraction of the N added was recovered from chaparral and CSS surface mineral soil. For example, accounting for the surface area of the resin bags, an estimated 2.2 and 0.5 g m⁻² more inorganic N accumulated

in resin bags deployed in added N plots of chaparral and CSS, which amounts to only 44 and 11%, respectively, of the N added as $NH₄NO₃$ (5 g $N \text{ m}^{-2}$). These data suggest that most of the added N was lost and/or sequestered in other ecosystem N pools.

The mean $(\pm 1 \text{ SE})$ annual flux of inorganic N from leaching in the regenerating chaparral stand was 128 ± 28 and 239 ± 70 mg N m⁻² in control and added N plots, respectively ($p > 0.05$; Table 2), suggesting that leaching losses in chaparral stands

Figure 5. Mean (±1 SE, $n = 4$) tissue $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance for the chaparral shrub A . fasciculatum (a) and the coastal sage shrubs A . *californica* and S . *mellifera* (b) exposed to 50 kg N ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ (added N: open symbols, dotted line) or ambient N (control: closed symbols, solid line) before and after fertilization. The horizontal dashed line in each panel corresponds to the $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance of the NH₄NO₃ fertilizer (-0.8%). Also shown are the results (p-values) from a repeated measures ANOVA for N (degrees of freedom $(df) = 1,6$), time $(df = 2,12$ before fertilization and 3,18 after fertilization), and the $N \times$ time interaction $(df = 2,12)$ before fertilization and 3,18 after fertilization). NS = $p > 0.05$. W = winter (January), $Sp = spring$ (March–April), $S = summer$ (June–July), $F =$ (September–October).

were not significantly affected by added N. However, the low sample size $(n = 3)$ resulted in low statistical power, and although leaching may not have been statistically different between added N and control chaparral plots, the significantly higher resin accumulated N and subsurface soil extractable N observed in added N plots suggest that bulk soil N transport may have been higher in added N plots. Assuming that chaparral shrubs are deep rooted (Hellmers and others [1955\)](#page-15-0) and added N shrubs responded with increased root production (Kummerow and others [1982\)](#page-15-0), it is possible that large subsurface N transport from bulk flow was assimilated by deep roots before being captured by the lysimeters. In the mature CSS stand; however, no leachate was recovered from the passive lysimeters in 2004 (Table [2](#page-9-0)). These data suggest that more rainfall was exported by runoff and/or the CSS shrubs were efficient in using the available soil water and N. CSS shrubs have shallower root systems than chaparral shrubs and a more extensive lateral spread of surface roots (Hellmers and others [1955\)](#page-15-0), and CSS shrubs rapidly assimilate available N during the spring growing season (Gray and Schlesinger [1983;](#page-15-0) Gray [1983](#page-15-0)). Thus, it is possible that surface soil moisture and N were effectively assimilated by CSS shrubs, limiting the fraction of water and N exported to 1 m. However, CSS is also associated with fine-textured soils that limit the infiltration of water (Westman [1981](#page-16-0)), suggesting that a larger fraction of water and N was exported by surface runoff in CSS. The relatively smaller difference in resin extractable N between CSS plots exposed to added and ambient N (Figure [2](#page-7-0)) is consistent with this interpretation.

The increase in aboveground tissue N concentration for chaparral and CSS shrubs exposed to added N (Figure [4\)](#page-9-0) suggests that added N stimulated plant N uptake and increased aboveground N storage. Furthermore, the observed decline in the chaparral and CSS tissue C:N ratio with added N (Figure [6\)](#page-11-0) suggests that short-term increases in tissue C storage per unit added N were minimal (Rastetter and others [1992](#page-15-0)). However, aboveground tissue N concentration is often a poor indicator of aboveground N pool size because it is sensitive to N uptake, allocation, and aboveground biomass production (Chapin [1980](#page-14-0)). Although aboveground shrub biomass data are currently being processed, preliminary estimates indicate that the significant differences in aboveground tissue N concentration observed following N addition (Figure [4\)](#page-9-0) probably did not translate to significant differences in aboveground N storage, supporting our hypothesis that the aboveground N storage of chaparral and CSS shrubs would not be significantly affected by dry season N inputs.

There are several other possible avenues of N sequestration and/or loss; however, the importance of these pools and fluxes has not yet been quantified, and thus, remains speculative. First, the relatively high C content and C:N ratio of chaparral and CSS soil (Table [1](#page-8-0)) and the rapid disappearance of added N in the surface soil (Figure [3\)](#page-8-0) suggest that immobilization of added N was a distinct possibility

Figure 6. Mean $(\pm 1 \text{ SE}, n = 4)$ tissue C:N ratio for the chaparral shrub A. *fasciculatum* (a) and the coastal sage shrubs A. californica and S. mellifera (b) exposed to 50 kg N ha⁻¹ NH₄NO₃ (added N: *open symbols, dotted line*) or ambient N (control: closed symbols, solid line) before and after fertilization. Also shown are the results (p-values) from a repeated measures ANOVA for N (degrees of freedom $(df) = 1,6$, time $(df = 2,12$ before fertilization and 3,18 after fertilization), and the $N \times$ time interaction $df = 2.12$ before fertilization and 3.18 after fertilization). $NS = p > 0.05$. W = winter (January), Sp = spring (March–April), $S =$ summer (June–July), $F =$ (September–October).

(Jeffries and Maron [1997](#page-15-0); Aber and others [1998;](#page-14-0) Currie [1999](#page-14-0); Micks and others [2004;](#page-15-0) Sigüenza and others [2005](#page-16-0)). Secondly, nitrogen loss from gaseous emission of NO and N_2O has been found to be several times higher in southern Californian coniferous forests exposed to long-term (decades) atmospheric N deposition and is significantly stimulated by soil moisture (Fenn and others [1996\)](#page-14-0), suggesting that N losses during the winter and spring rainy season, when N accumulation on ion exchange resins was highest (Figure [2](#page-7-0)), may be substantial. Thirdly, root N uptake and turnover represent a significant avenue of N storage and cycling. Chaparral and CSS shrubs have an esti-

mated root:shoot ratio of approximately 0.5 and store up to 30% of N below ground (Mooney and Rundel [1979;](#page-15-0) Gray and Schlesinger [1983](#page-15-0)), and annual fine root production greatly exceeds aboveground production (Kummerow and others [1981\)](#page-15-0) indicating that belowground C and N fluxes are large and dynamic. Furthermore, root production of Mediterranean-type shrubs is significantly stimulated by added N (Kummerow and others [1982\)](#page-15-0), and the chaparral and coastal sage shrubs observed here presumably respond in a similar manner. Herbaceous plants may also sequester large amounts of added N, especially in the recovering chaparral stand where the cover of herbaceous plants increased rapidly following fire. In CSS, however, the cover of annual plants is at least 1–2 orders of magnitude below the cover of A. californica and S. mellifera, and N addition experiments indicate that CSS shrubs are more nitrophilous than herbaceous annuals (Padgett and Allen [1999](#page-15-0)). Thus, the importance of annual herbs in sequestering added N at the CSS manipulation site is presumably minimal. Finally, as mentioned above, given the relatively steep slope of the study sites, runoff is a potentially important avenue of N loss.

Temporal Trends

Inorganic N accumulation in ion exchange resins was highest during the winter and spring rainy season (Figure [2](#page-7-0)) when soil moisture was at a seasonal maximum (Figure [1a](#page-6-0), b). This result is not surprising given that N accumulation in ion exchange resins is sensitive to temporal variations in mineralization and soil N transport, both of which increase with concomitant increases in soil moisture (Binkley and Hart [1989](#page-14-0)). However, substantial differences in soil extractable N and resin accumulated N were observed between the chaparral and CSS stands (Figures $2, 3$ $2, 3$), and although differences in inorganic N pools and fluxes could be caused by many site-specific variables, the largest differences were observed following the July 2003 fire in the chaparral stand. For example, soil extractable N content was on average 0.5 and 0.2 g m^{-2} in the surface and subsurface mineral soil, respectively, at both sites prior to fire, whereas after fire, chaparral surface and subsurface soil extractable N pools were 1.0 and 0.8 g m^{-2} , respectively, higher in the recently burned chaparral stand (Figure [3\)](#page-8-0). Resin accumulated N was 3–4 times higher in the recently burned chaparral stand (Figure [2](#page-7-0)), especially during the first 6 months of observation when soil moisture was at a seasonal maximum (Figure [1](#page-6-0)a, b), suggesting that N mobility and/or mineralization may have been stimulated by fire. Transient increases in soil extractable N pools (DeBano and Conrad [1978](#page-14-0); DeBano and others [1979;](#page-14-0) Dunn and others [1979](#page-14-0); Rundel [1983](#page-16-0); Stock and Lewis [1986](#page-16-0); Grogan and others [2000](#page-15-0)), N mineralization (Marion and Black [1988\)](#page-15-0), and soil N transport are frequently observed in post-fire chaparral, and differences in resin accumulated N (Figure [2\)](#page-7-0), lysimeter water yield, and N leaching between the CSS and chaparral stands (Table [2\)](#page-9-0) are consistent with differences in pore water (Chorover and others [1994](#page-14-0)) and stream flow discharges (Riggan and others [1994\)](#page-16-0) observed between mature and recently burned and coniferous forest and chaparral stands.

The tissue N and P concentration of A. fasciculatum also increased substantially following fire (Figure [4a](#page-9-0), c) whereas the tissue C:N ratio declined (Figure [6a](#page-11-0)), a result that is also consistent with those from other regenerating Mediterranean-type ecosystems (Rundel and Parsons [1980](#page-16-0); Black [1987](#page-14-0); Gillon and others [1999](#page-15-0)). The initial post-fire increase in tissue N and P is thought to be due to the fire-induced increase in available N (Figure [3](#page-8-0)) and P (Kutiel and Naveh [1987](#page-15-0); Marion and Black [1988](#page-15-0)) and luxury consumption of N and P in regenerating shrubs (Rundel and Parsons [1980](#page-16-0); Gillon and others [1999](#page-15-0)). However, tissue N and P concentration returned to pre-fire levels by the summer and fall of 2004 (12–15 months post-fire) suggesting that internal N and P were rapidly diluted as shrub biomass production ensued.

The seasonal pattern in tissue N and P concentration observed for CSS shrubs (Figure [4](#page-9-0)b, d) is consistent with that reported for A. californica and S. mellifera (Gray [1983](#page-15-0)). Rapid N and P uptake during the winter–spring growing season lead to an increase in tissue N and P concentration; however, internal N and P reserves become diluted during the late spring and summer when biomass production reaches a seasonal peak (Mooney and Rundel [1979;](#page-15-0) Gray and Schlesinger [1981;](#page-15-0) Gray [1983\)](#page-15-0). Tissue N and P concentration during the summer and fall continued to decline presumably because low soil moisture during the summer and fall (Figure [1\)](#page-6-0) limited N and P mineralization and/ or transport.

Soil $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance increases with depth because inputs of ¹⁵N-depleted litterfall cause surface soil to be depleted in $15N$ (Nadelh-offer and Fry [1988](#page-15-0); Högberg [1997](#page-15-0)), and the spatial patterns of soil $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance in chaparral and CSS were consistent with this pattern (Table [1](#page-8-0)). However, soils exposed to chronic atmospheric N deposition reportedly have a higher δ^{15} N natural abundance because N deposition enhances processes such as nitrification, leaching, and denitrification that discriminate against 15_N (Heaton [1986;](#page-15-0) Högberg [1991;](#page-15-0) Emmett and others [1998;](#page-14-0) Korontzi and others [2000\)](#page-15-0). For chaparral (but not CSS) soil, the enrichment of soil exposed to added N (Table [1\)](#page-8-0) was consistent with this pattern, and presumably, the $15N$ enrichment of chaparral soil with added N may have stemmed from larger leaching (Table [2](#page-9-0)) and/or gaseous N losses (Fenn and others [1996\)](#page-14-0).

Plant δ^{15} N natural abundance is a function of the δ^{15} N of the source N, although net fractionation of N during uptake may cause tissue δ^{15} N to be either higher or lower than the soil $\delta^{15}N$ value (Nadelhoffer and Fry [1988;](#page-15-0) Handley and Raven [1992](#page-15-0)). Chaparral shrubs are thought to have a deeper rooting depth than CSS shrubs and CSS shrubs tend to have a wider dispersion of surface roots than chaparral shrubs (Hellmers and others [1955](#page-15-0)). These data imply that chaparral shrubs should acquire more N from deeper soil layers that are relatively more enriched in 15 N. However, during the winter and spring of 2003, when plant N uptake is at a seasonal maximum (Gray [1983\)](#page-15-0), tissue $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance of chaparral and CSS shrubs was strongly depleted $(-5.5\%$ for A. fasciculatum and -4.2% for A. californica and S. mellifera; Figure [5\)](#page-10-0), suggesting that chaparral and CSS shrubs were obtaining N from an ¹⁵N-depleted surface soil N source. Between spring and summer 2003 substantial ¹⁵N enrichment was observed for chaparral and CSS shrubs, which is presumably due to translocation of plant nitrogen during growth causing enrichment in tissue ^{15}N (Evans [2001](#page-14-0)). After summer 2003, tissue $15N$ natural abundance showed little temporal variation for the droughtdeciduous CSS shrubs, presumably because of rapid leaf abscission (Gray and Schlesinger [1981\)](#page-15-0). CSS shrubs tend to regenerate a lower percentage of internal reserves than chaparral shrubs (Gray [1983\)](#page-15-0), which may explain why continued $15N$ enrichment of CSS shrub tissue was not observed during the fall. Similar seasonal patterns for CSS control shrubs were observed in 2004; however, the depletion of tissue $15N$ was not as pronounced during the spring and summer growing season (Figure [5\)](#page-10-0). The reason for the interannual difference in $15N$ depletion is unknown but may have been due to interannual differences in soil moisture (Figure [1\)](#page-6-0), which affect N mineralization and availability and/or nutrient acquisition by CSS shrubs.

Seasonal variations in the tissue $15N$ for chaparral shrubs after summer 2003 were more difficult to interpret owing to the fire. Fire causes significant mortality of surface roots (Neary and others [1999\)](#page-15-0) and increases the $\delta^{15}N$ value of soil (Handley and Raven [1992\)](#page-15-0), thus regenerating shrubs typically acquire available N from deeper soil horizons that are enriched in $15N$ (Högberg [1997;](#page-15-0) Grogan and others [2000\)](#page-15-0). Leaching losses of N also cause soil $15N$ enrichment (Högberg [1991;](#page-15-0) Emmett and others [1998](#page-14-0)), and leaching losses were larger in the regenerating chaparral stand (Table [2\)](#page-9-0). Both these fire-induced alterations to the chaparral N cycle could explain the substantial tissue $15N$ enrichment observed for chaparral shrubs after summer 2003 (Figure [5](#page-10-0)). In contrast, A. fasciculatum is an evergreen chaparral shrub, and after the initial increase in tissue N following fire (Figure [4a](#page-9-0)), A. fasciculatum would presumably regenerate internal N more efficiently than CSS shrubs (Gray [1983](#page-15-0)), again causing tissue ^{15}N enrichment (Evans [2001\)](#page-14-0). Unfortunately, neither explanation can be excluded given the data provided.

Added N significantly altered the tissue $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance of chaparral and CSS shrubs (Figure [5](#page-10-0)); however, the response was not consistent between shrubland types. For example, CSS shrubs exposed to added N were significantly more enriched in $15N$ than control shrubs, and when averaged for the year, the $\delta^{15}N$ of CSS shrubs exposed to added N (-0.6%) was closer to the $\delta^{15}N$ of the NH₄NO₃ fertilizer (-0.8%) than the control shrubs (-2.4%) (Figure [5\)](#page-10-0). Again, assuming that the tissue δ^{15} N natural abundance is broadly indicative of the source N (Nadelhoffer and Fry [1988;](#page-15-0) Handley and Raven [1992](#page-15-0)), these data suggest that the fertilizer N source deposited during the dry season was an important source of N for CSS shrubs exposed to added N. The N fertilizer response of regenerating chaparral shrubs is more difficult to interpret; however, the tissue 15 N of regenerating shrubs in control plots may be substantially enriched in $15N$ if these shrubs acquired a larger proportion of available N from deeper soil horizons and/or were more efficient in recycling internal N reserves (Högberg [1997;](#page-15-0) Grogan and others [2000\)](#page-15-0). In contrast, chaparral shrubs in added N plots would also have access to a $NH₄NO₃$ fertilizer source that was presumably depleted in ¹⁵N ($\delta^{15}N = -0.8\%$) relative to the ambient source N, which may explain the relative tissue $15N$ depletion of chaparral in added N plots (Högberg [1991](#page-15-0); Handley and Raven [1992\)](#page-15-0). Without information on the $\delta^{15}N$ natural abundance of the ambient source N this interpretation is clearly speculative.

Caveats, Limitations, and Implications

Our data suggest that a small portion of the N added during summer dry season was incorporated into chaparral and CSS available soil N pools following winter rainfall, which altered tissue chemistry of the dominant chaparral CSS shrubs. However, this research has many caveats that limit the potential for extrapolating our results to the anthropogenic N deposition regime of southern California. First, the use of $NH₄NO₃$ fertilizer as a surrogate atmospheric N source does not simulate N input from wet deposition and may not adequately simulate N input of gaseous and particulate N, which are important constituents of dry deposition in southern California (Bytnerowicz and Fenn [1996](#page-14-0); Fenn and others [2003a](#page-14-0)). Secondly, the amount of N added (50 kg N ha⁻¹) is consistent with maximum N deposition observed in extremely polluted areas of southern California but is approximately two times higher than the average annual N deposition observed for most polluted chaparral and CSS shrub-lands (Riggan and others [1985;](#page-16-0) Fenn and others [2003a;](#page-14-0) Fenn and Poth [2004](#page-14-0)). Thirdly, the scale of our experiment, the divergent fire history, and the lack of replication for chaparral and CSS stands severely limit the potential for direct comparison of the chaparral and CSS response to added N and precludes the extrapolation of these results to other southern Californian chaparral and CSS ecosystems. Finally, many of the key N pools and fluxes, such as microbial immobilization, root and herbaceous annual N uptake and storage, and gaseous N emission are currently unknown but subject to ongoing research. Thus, the mechanisms of how N addition altered N storage and cycling are poorly understood making interpretation of these data speculative.

Given these limitations, our initial observations suggest that dry season N additions can significantly and rapidly alter N availability in Mediterranean-type chaparral and CSS shrublands of southern California. However, potentially significant but unsubstantiated losses of added N suggest that the semi-arid terrestrial ecosystems studied here were unable to sequester high dry season N inputs. Leaching losses of N, though not significantly different between added N and control plots, suggest substantial export of dry-season-derived N from recovering chaparral stands. Given the potential for large N losses from post-fire chaparral, groundwater and aquatic systems, which are critical sources for drinking water for urban, suburban, and rural populations of southern California, may be particularly vulnerable to high levels of atmospheric N deposition. This interpretation is consistent with research conducted in southern Californian coniferous forests (Fenn and Poth 1999) and regenerating chaparral stands (Riggan and others [1994](#page-16-0)) exposed to chronic atmospheric N deposition. Continued N addition will provide the opportunity to assess the longer term response of Mediterranean-type shrublands to dry-season N inputs and the potential for these semi-arid shrublands to sequester and/or export added N.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported in part by the NSF-CAREER (DEB-0133259) and NIH-NIGMS-SCORE (S06 GM 59833) programs. The authors thank Robert Mustard and David Faber for elemental analysis, R. Fagan of the Kansas State University-Stable Isotope Mass Spectrometry Laboratory (SIMSL) for conducting the stable isotope analyses, and the over 25 graduate and undergraduate student assistants whose effort made this research possible. Permission to use the SOFS and SMER research sites was graciously granted by the SDSU Field Station Programs. Comments provided by S. Hastings (SDSU) and E. Allen (UCR) of earlier versions of this manuscript are gratefully appreciated.

REFERENCES

- Aber J, McDowell W, Nadelhoffer K, Magill A, Berntson G, Kamakea M, McNulty S, Currie W, Rustad L, Fernandez I. 1998. Nitrogen saturation in temperate forest ecosystems. BioScience 48:921–34.
- Bilbrough CJ, Caldwell MM. 1997. Exploitation of springtime ephemeral N pulses by six great basin plant species. Ecology 78:231–43.
- Binkley D, Hart SC. 1989. The components of nitrogen availability assessments in forest soils. Adv Soil Sci 10:57–112.
- Black CH. 1987. Biomass, nitrogen, and phosphorus accumulation over a southern California fire cycle chronosequence. Plant Response to Stress-Functional Analysis in Mediterranean Ecosystems. In: Tenhunen JD, Catarino FM, Lange OL, Oechel WC, Eds. New York: Springer. p 445–58.
- Bundy LG, Meisinger JJ. 1994. Nitrogen availability indices. Methods of soil analysis: Part 2. Microbiolgical and biochemical properties. In: Weaver RW, Angle S, Bottomley P, Bezdicek D, Smith S, Tabatabai A, Wollum A, Eds. Soil Science Society of America Book Series No. 5. Madison: Soil Science Society of America. p 951–84.
- Bytnerowicz A, Fenn ME. 1996. Nitrogen deposition in California forests: a review. Environ Pollution 92:127–46.
- Bytnerowicz A, Miller PR, Olszyk DM. 1987. Dry deposition of nitrate, ammonium, and sulfate to a Ceanothus crassifolius canopy and surrogate surfaces. Atmos Environ 21:1749–57.
- Carpenter S, Caraco NF, Correll DL, Howarth RW, Sharpley AN, Smith VH. 1998. Nonpoint pollution of surface waters with phosphorus and nitrogen. Issues in Ecology 3, Ecological Society of America. pp. 12.
- Chapin FS III. 1980. The mineral nutrition of wild plants. Annu Rev Ecol Syst 11:233–60.
- Chorover J, Vitousek PM, Everson DA, Esperanza AM, Turner D. 1994. Solution chemistry profiles of mixed-conifer forests before and after fire. Biogeochemistry 26:115–44.
- Currie WS. 1999. The responsive C and N biogeochemistry of the temperate forest floor. Trends Ecol Evol 14:316–20.
- Davis FW, Michaelsen J. 1995. Sensitivity of fire regime in chaparral ecosystems to climate change. Global Change and Mediterranean-type Ecosystems. In: Moreno JM and Oechel WC, Eds, Ecological studies 117, New York: Springer, p 435–56.
- DeBano LF, Conrad CE. 1978. The effect of fire on nutrients in a chaparral ecosystem. Ecology 59:489–97.
- DeBano LF, Eberlein GE, Dunn PH. 1979. Effects of burning on chaparral soils: I. soil nitrogen. Soil Sci Soc Am J 43:504–9.
- Diamond D. 2001. Determination of ammonia in soil extracts by flow injection analysis. Lachat QuikChem Method 12-107-06- 3-C, Milwaukee: Lachat Instruments.
- D'Odorico P, Laio F, Porporato A, Rodriguez-Iturbe I. 2003. Hydrologic controls on soil carbon and nitrogen cycles. II. A case study. Adv Water Resour 26:59–70.
- Dunn PH, DeBano LF, Eberlein GE. 1979. Effects of burning on chaparral soils: II. Soil microbes and nitrogen mineralization. Soil Sci Soc Am J 43:509–14.
- Emmett BA, Kjonaas OJ, Gundersen P, Koopmans C, Tietema A, Sleep D. 1998. Natural abundance of $15N$ in forests across a nitrogen deposition gradient. Forest Ecol Manage 101:9–18.
- Evans RD. 2001. Physiological mechanisms influencing plant nitrogen isotope composition. Trends Plant Sci 6:121–6.
- Fenn ME, Poth MA. 1999. Temporal and spatial trends in streamwater nitrate concentrations in the San Bernardino Mountains, southern California. J Environ Qual 28:822–36.
- Fenn ME, Poth MA. 2004. Monitoring nitrogen deposition in throughfall using ion exchange resin columns: A field test in the San Bernardino Mountains. J Environ Qual 33:2007–14.
- Fenn ME, Poth MA, Johnson DW. 1996. Evidence for nitrogen saturation in the San Bernardino Mountains in southern California. Forest Ecol Manage 82:211–30.
- Fenn ME, Poth MA, Aber JD, Baron JS, Bormann BT, Johnson DW, Lemly AD, McNulty SG, Ryan DF, Stottlemyer R. 1998. Nitrogen excess in North American ecosystems: predisposing factors, ecosystem responses, and management strategies. Ecol Appl 8:706–33.
- Fenn ME, Baron JS, Allen EB, Rueth HM, Nydick KR, Geiser L, Bowman WD, Sickman JO, Meixner T, Johnson DW, Neitlich P. 2003a. Ecological effects of nitrogen deposition in the western United States. Bioscience 53:404–420.
- Fenn ME, Haeuber R, Tonnesen GS, Baron JS, Grossman-Clarke S, Hope D, Jaffe DA, Copeland S, Geiser L, Rueth HN, Sickman JO. 2003b. Nitrogen emissions, deposition, and monitoring in the western United States. Bioscience 53:391–403.
- Galloway JN, Levy H III, Kasibhatla PS. 1994. Year 2020: consequences of population growth and development on deposition of oxidized nitrogen. Ambio 23:120–3.
- Gee GW, Ward AL, Caldwell TG, Ritter JC. 2002. A vadose zone water fluxmeter with divergence control. Water Resources Research 38:10.1029/2001WR000816.
- Gill BS, Mahall BE. 1986. Quantitative phenology and water relations of and evergreen and deciduous chaparral shrub. Ecol Monogr 56:127–43.
- Gillon D, Houssard C, Valette JC, Rigolot E. 1999. Nitrogen and phosphorus cycling following prescribed burning in natural and managed Aleppo pine forests. Can J Forest Res 29:1237– 47.
- Gray JT. 1983. Nutrient use by evergreen and deciduous shrubs in southern California. I. Community nutrient cycling and nutrient-use efficiency. J Ecol 71:21–41.
- Gray JT, Schlesinger WH. 1981. Biomass, production, and litterfall in the coastal sage scrub of Southern California. Am J Botany 68:24–33.
- Gray JT, Schlesinger WH. 1983. Nutrient use by evergreen and deciduous shrubs in Southern California. II. Experimental investigations of the relationship between growth, nitrogen uptake, and nitrogen availability. J Ecol 71:43–56.
- Grogan P, Burns TD, Chapin FS III. 2000. Fire effects on ecosystem nitrogen cycling in a Californian bishop pine forest. Oecologia 122:537–44.
- Handley LL, Raven JA. 1992. The use of natural abundance of nitrogen isotopes in plant physiology and ecology. Plant Cell Environ 15:965–85.
- Heaton THE. 1986. Isotopic studies of nitrogen pollution in the hydrosphere and atmosphere: a review. Chem Geol 59:87– 102.
- Hellmers H, Horton JS, Juhren G, O'Keefe J. 1955. Root systems of some chaparral plants in southern California. Ecology 36:667–78.
- Hintze J. 2004. NCSS and PASS. Number Cruncher Statistical Systems, Kaysville, UT, USA. http://www.NCSS.com.
- Hofer S. 2001. Ammonia (Salicylate) in 2M KCl soil extracts. Lachat QuikChem Method 12-107-06-2-A, Lachat Instruments, Inc., Milwaukee, WI, USA.
- Högberg P. 1991. Development of ¹⁵N enrichment in a nitrogenfertilized forest plant–soil system. Soil Biol Biochem 23:335– 338.
- Högberg P. 1997. ¹⁵N natural abundance in soil–plant systems. Tansley Review No. 95. New Phytol 137:179–203.
- Jefferies RL, Maron JL. 1997. The embarrassment of riches: atmospheric deposition of nitrogen and community and ecosystem processes. Trends Ecol Evol 12:74–8.
- Jobbágy EG, Jackson RB. 2000. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. Ecol Appl 10:423–36.
- Keeley JE. 2000. Chaparral. North American terrestrial vegetation, 2nd Edn. In: Barbour MG, Billings WD, Eds. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. p 203–54.
- Knecht AA. 1971. Soil Survey for Western Riverside Area California. Washington, DC: United States Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service.
- Knepel K. 2001. Nitrate in 2M KCl soil extracts. Lachat Quik-Chem Method 12-107-04-1-B, Milwaukee: Lachat Instruments.
- Korontzi S, Macko SA, Anderson IC, Poth MA. 2000. A stable isotopic study to determine carbon and nitrogen cycling in a disturbed southern California forest ecosystem. Global Biogeochemical Cycles 14:177–188.
- Kummerow J, Montenegro G, Krause D. 1981. Biomass, phenology and growth. In: Miller PC, Eds. Resource use by Chaparral and Matorral. New York: Springer. p 69–96.
- Kummerow J, Avila G, Aljaro ME, Araya S, Montenegro G. 1982. Effect of fertilizer on fine root density and shoot growth in Chilean matorral. Botanical Gazette 143:498–504.
- Kutiel P, Naveh Z. 1987. The effect of fire on nutrients in a pine forest. Plant Soil 104:269–74.
- Li X, Meixner T, Sickman JO, Miller AE, Schimel JP, Melack JM. 2006. Decadal-scale dynamics of water, carbon and nitrogen in a California chaparral ecosystem: DAYCENT modeling results. Biogeochemistry 77:217–45.
- Marion GM, Black CH. 1988. Potentially available nitrogen and phosphorus along a chaparral fire cycle chronosequence. Soil Sci Soc Am J 52:1155–62.
- Meixner T, Fenn ME. 2004. Biogeochemical budgets in a Mediterranean catchment with high rates of atmospheric N deposition-importance of scale and temporal asynchrony. Biogeochemistry 70:331–56.
- Micks P, Aber JD, Boone RD, Davidson EA. 2004. Short-term soil respiration and nitrogen immobilization response to nitrogen applications in control and nitrogen-enriched temperate forests. Forest Ecol Manage 196:57–70.
- Mooney HA, Rundel PW. 1979. Nutrient relations of the evergreen shrub, Adenostoma fasciculatum, in the California chaparral. Botanical Gazette 140:109–13.
- Moreno JM, Oechel WC. 1992. Factors controlling postfire seedling establishment in southern California chaparral. Oecologia 90:50–60.
- Mulvaney RL. 1996. Nitrogen-inorganic forms. In: Sparks DL, Page AL, Helmke PA, Loeppert RH, Soltanpour PN, Tabatabai A, Johnson CT, Sumner ME, Eds. Methods of soil analysis: Part 3. Chemical methods. Soil Science Society of America Book Series No. 5. Madison: Soil Science Society of America. p 1123–84.
- Munz PA.. 1974. A flora of Southern California. Berkeley: University of California Press, 1086.
- Nadelhoffer KJ, Fry B. 1988. Controls on natural nitrogen-15 and carbon-13 abundances in forest soil organic matter. Soil Sci Soc Am J 52:1633–1640.
- Neary DG, Klopatek CC, Debano LF, Ffolliott PF. 1999. Fire effects on belowground sustainability: a review and synthesis. Forest Ecol Manage 122:51–71.
- Oechel WC, Lawrence W, Mustafa J, Martinez J. 1981. Energy and carbon utilization. In: Miller PC, Eds. Resource use by Chaparral and Matorral. New York: Springer. p 151–83.
- Padgett PE, Allen EB. 1999. Differential responses to nitrogen fertilization in native shrubs and exotic annuals common to Mediterranean coastal sage scrub of California. Plant Ecol 144:93–101.
- Padgett PE, Allen EB, Bytnerowicz A, Minich RA. 1999. Changes in soil inorganic nitrogen as related to atmospheric nitrogenous pollutants in southern California. Atmos Environ 33:769–81.
- Paul EA, Clark FE.. 1989. Soil microbiology and biochemistry. San Diego: Academic.
- Poole DK, Miller PC. 1975. Water relations of selected species of chaparral and coastal sage communities. Ecology 56:1118– 1128.
- Rastetter EB, McKane RB, Shaver GR, Melillo JM. 1992. Changes in C storage by terrestrial ecosystems: How C–N interactions restrict responses to $CO₂$ and temperature. Water Air Soil Pollut 64:327–44.
- Reichstein M, Tenhunen JD, Roupsard O, Ourcival JM, Rambal S., Dore S, Valentini R. 2002. Ecosystem respiration in two Mediterranean evergreen Holm Oak forests: drought effects and decomposition dynamics. Global Change Biol 16:27–39.
- Riggan PJ, Lockwood RN, Lopez EN. 1985. Deposition and processing of airborne nitrogen pollutants in Mediterraneantype ecosystems of southern California. Environ Sci Technol 19:781–9.
- Riggan PJ, Lockwood RN, Jacks PM, Coiver CG. 1994. Effects of fire severity on nitrate mobilization in watersheds subject to chronic atmospheric deposition. Environ Sci Technol 28:369– 75.
- Robertson GP, Coleman DC, Bledsoe CS, Sollins P.. 1999. Standard soil methods for long-term ecological research. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Rundel PW. 1983. Impact of fire on nutrient cycles in Mediterranean-type ecosystems with reference to chaparral. In: Kruger FJ, Mitchell DT, Jarvis JUM, Eds. Mediterranean-type ecosystems: the role of nutrients. New York: Springer. p 192–207.
- Rundel PW, Parsons DJ. 1980. Nutrient changes in two chaparral shrubs along a fire-induced age gradient. Am J Botany 67:51–8.
- Sardina A. 2000. Total phosphorus in Kjeldahl digests. Lachat QuikChem Method 13-115-01-1-B, Milwaukee: Lachat Instruments.
- Sardina A. 2001. Total nitrogen in Kjeldahl digests of soils and plants: block digestor method. Lachat QuikChem Method 13-107-06-2-D, Milwaukee: Lachat Instruments.
- Sigüenza C, Crowley DE, Allen EB. 2005. Soil microorganisms of a native shrub and exotic grasses along a nitrogen deposition gradient in Southern California. Appl Soil Ecol, in press.
- Stanford G, Smith SJ. 1972. Nitrogen mineralization potentials of soils. Soil Sci Soc Am Proc 36:465–72.
- Stock WD, Lewis OAM. 1986. Soil nitrogen and the role of fire as a mineralizing agent in a South African coastal fynbos ecosystem. J Ecol 74:317–28.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) (1995) National primary drinking water regulations, Report number EPA 811-F-95-002-C. Washington, DC.
- Vitousek PM, Aber JD, Howarth RW, Likens GE, Matson PA, Schindler DW, Schlesinger WH, Tilman DG. 1997. Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences. Ecol Appl 7:737–50.
- Vourlitis GL, Zorba G. 2007. Nitrogen and carbon mineralization of semi-arid shrubland soil exposed to long-term atmospheric nitrogen deposition. Biol Fertility Soils doi:10.1007/s00374- 006-0137-y.
- Westman WE. 1981. Factors influencing the distribution of species of Californian coastal sage scrub. Ecology 62:439–455.
- White J. 2001. Determination of nitrate by flow injection analysis. Lachat QuikChem Method 12-107-04-1-G, Milwaukee: Lachat Instruments.
- Zar JH. 1984. Biostatistical analysis. 2 ed. Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall, 718.