



Saddle Points in Completely Regular Topological Spaces

Detelina Kamburova¹ · Rumen Marinov² · Nadia Zlateva³ 

Received: 29 July 2023 / Accepted: 8 December 2023

© Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST) and Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2024

Abstract

We give a characterization of completely regular topological spaces. Applying some recent results for supinf problems in completely regular topological spaces we establish a variational principle for saddle points. Well-posedness of saddle point problems is studied as well.

Keywords Variational principle · Perturbed problems · Minimax problems · Saddle points · Well-posed problems

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010) 49K27 · 90C47 · 90C48

1 Introduction

Variational principles concern sufficient conditions under which after a perturbation of the optimized function, the perturbed minimization problem has a solution. In 1972, Ekeland [3] proved a variational principle in complete metric spaces. This principle is equivalent to the completeness of the metric space, see [10], as well as to Caristi's fixed point theorem and Takahashi's minimization principle, see [9]. McLinden [8] used Ekeland variational principle to derive some results of minimax type in Banach spaces.

In 2010, Kenderov and Revalski [6] proved a variational principle in completely regular topological spaces. Later, in [7], they gave a sufficient condition for existence of a perturbation such that the perturbed problem is (Tykhonov) well-posed. Let us recall that the problem to minimize $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$, where X is a topological space, is called well-posed if it has

✉ Nadia Zlateva
zlateva@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

Detelina Kamburova
detelinak@math.bas.bg

Rumen Marinov
marinov_r@yahoo.com

¹ Institute of Mathematics and Informatics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Acad. G. Bonchev Str., block 8, 1113 Sofia, Bulgaria

² Department of Mathematics and Physics, Technical University of Varna, 1, Studentska Str., 9010 Varna, Bulgaria

³ Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University, 5, James Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

unique solution $x_0 \in X$ and for every minimizing sequence $\{x_n\}_n \subset X$, $f(x_n) \rightarrow \inf_X f$ it holds that $x_n \rightarrow x_0$, i.e., x_0 is the strong minimum of f on X . In the same paper they obtained a variational principle for supinf problems.

We prove in Theorem 2 that if the variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski holds in a topological space then the space is completely regular. Furthermore, in Theorem 4, we show that if the strong variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski holds in a topological space, then the space is completely regular and satisfies the first axiom of countability. We establish a minimax variational principle in completely regular topological spaces in Theorem 7. In the final section we give a sufficient condition for existence of a perturbation such that the perturbed saddle point problem is well-posed. At the end, we give a characterization of well-posed perturbed saddle point problems.

2 Characterization of Completely Regular Topological Spaces

A topological space X is said to be completely regular if it is Hausdorff and for every set $A \subset X$ and a point $x \in X \setminus \overline{A}$ (i.e., not belonging to the closure of A) there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) \notin \overline{f(A)}$, see [2]. The following assertion is often used as a definition for completely regular topological spaces: a Hausdorff topological space X is completely regular if for every point x and a closed set A , such that $x \notin A$ there exists a continuous function $f : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ and $f(A) = 1$. Let X be a completely regular topological space and $f : X \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function. The domain of f is denoted by $\text{dom } f$ and consists of all points in X at which f has a finite value. The function f is proper if its domain is not empty. Denote by $C(X)$ the space of all continuous and bounded real-valued functions defined on X . The space $C(X)$ equipped with the supremum norm $\|f\|_\infty := \sup\{|f(x)| : x \in X\}$ is a real Banach space. We denote by \mathbb{R}_+ the set of all nonnegative real numbers.

Let us recall the variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski.

Theorem 1 [6] *Let X be a completely regular topological space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Let $x_0 \in \text{dom } f$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be such that $f(x_0) < \inf_X f + \varepsilon$. Then, there exists a continuous bounded function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $h(x_0) = 0$, $\|h\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ and the function $f + h$ attains its minimum in X at x_0 . Moreover, h can be chosen such that $\|h\|_\infty = f(x_0) - \inf_X f$.*

Assuming that the variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski holds in a Hausdorff topological space X , we prove that X is completely regular.

Theorem 2 *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. If for every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ which is proper lower semicontinuous and bounded from below and for every $x_0 \in \text{dom } f$, there exists a continuous bounded function $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $h(x_0) = 0$, $\|h\|_\infty = f(x_0) - \inf_X f$ such that the function $f + h$ attains its minimum on X at x_0 , then X is a completely regular topological space.*

Proof Let $x_0 \in X$, A be a closed subset of X , $x_0 \notin A$ and let us consider the function

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f is a proper lower semicontinuous function bounded from below then, by assumption there exists $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $h(x_0) = 0$, $\|h\|_\infty = 1$ such that the function $f + h$ attains its

minimum in X at x_0 . Let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Then

$$f(x) + h(x) = h(x) \geq f(x_0) + h(x_0) = f(x_0) = 1,$$

so $h(x) \geq 1$. As $\|h\|_\infty \leq 1$, therefore $h(A) \equiv 1$ and X is a completely regular topological space. \square

Let us note that the conclusion of Theorem 2 still holds if we make the assumptions only for the characteristic functions of closed sets instead of for all lower semicontinuous bounded below functions.

Now we recall the strong variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski.

Theorem 3 [7] *Let X be a completely regular space and $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ be a proper lower semicontinuous function bounded from below. Let $x_0 \in \text{dom } f$ has a countable local base in X . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then, there exists a continuous bounded function*

$$h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(x_0) = 0, \quad \|h\|_\infty < f(x_0) - \inf_X f + \varepsilon,$$

such that the function $f + h$ attains its strong minimum on X at x_0 .

Assuming that the strong variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski holds in a Hausdorff topological space X , we will prove that X is a completely regular topological space that satisfies the first axiom of countability.

Theorem 4 *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. If for every function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ which is proper lower semicontinuous and bounded from below, for every $x_0 \in \text{dom } f$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous bounded function*

$$h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+, \quad h(x_0) = 0, \quad \|h\|_\infty < f(x_0) - \inf_X f + \varepsilon,$$

such that the function $f + h$ attains its strong minimum on X at x_0 , then X is a completely regular topological space that satisfies the first axiom of countability.

Proof Let $x_0 \in X$, A be a subset of X , $x_0 \notin \bar{A}$ and let

$$f(x) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in \bar{A}, \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since f is a proper lower semicontinuous function bounded from below then, by assumption, there exists $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $h(x_0) = 0$, such that the function $f + h$ attains its strong minimum on X at x_0 . Let $x \in \bar{A}$ be arbitrary. Then

$$h(x) = f(x) + h(x) > f(x_0) + h(x_0) = f(x_0) = 1,$$

so $h(x) > 1$ for all $x \in \bar{A}$. Therefore, $\overline{h(\bar{A})} \geq 1$. Since $h(x_0) = 0$, $h(x_0) \notin \overline{h(\bar{A})} \supset \overline{h(A)}$ and X is a completely regular topological space.

Now we will consider the constant function $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in X$ and arbitrary fixed $x_0 \in X$. By the assumption there exists a continuous bounded function h which attains its strong minimum at x_0 and $\min_X h = h(x_0) = 0$. Consider the sets

$$L_n := \{x \in X : h(x) < 1/n\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

We will prove that the sets $\{L_n, n \geq 1\}$ form a countable local base at x_0 . First, observe that $\{x_0\} = \bigcap_{n=1}^\infty L_n$. This follows from the assumption that x_0 is the strong minimum of h and every minimizing sequence converges to x_0 . Then, take an arbitrary neighbourhood U of x_0 .

To the contrary, suppose that for each n there exists a point $x_n \in L_n$, $x_n \notin U$. But $\{x_n\}_n$ is a minimizing sequence and its limit point is x_0 , so there exists n_0 such that $x_n \in U$ for all $n \geq n_0$, which yields a contradiction. Therefore, X is a completely regular topological space with a countable local base at each point. \square

3 Variational Principle for Saddle Points

Let X and Y be topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function. A solution to the supinf problem

$$\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y)$$

is called any point (x_0, y_0) such that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y),$$

see, e.g., [7]. Analogously, a solution to the infsup problem

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y),$$

is called any point (x_0, y_0) such that

$$f(x_0, y_0) = \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) = \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y).$$

For a given function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$, denote

$$v_f(x) := \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y),$$

and by V_f denote the optimal value of the supinf problem, i.e., $V_f := \sup_{x \in X} v_f(x)$. Also, denote

$$w_f(y) := \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y), \quad (1)$$

and by W_f denote the optimal value of the infsup problem, i.e., $W_f := \inf_{y \in Y} w_f(y)$.

Let

$$\Delta_f := W_f - V_f = \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) - \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y).$$

It is clear that $\Delta_f \geq 0$.

A point (x_0, y_0) is said to be a saddle point of f on $X \times Y$ if

$$f(x, y_0) \leq f(x_0, y_0) \leq f(x_0, y), \quad \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y,$$

which is equivalent to

$$V_f = v_f(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0) = w_f(y_0) = W_f.$$

The saddle point problem for a given function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is to find a saddle point of f on $X \times Y$.

Obviously, if (x_0, y_0) is a saddle point of f on $X \times Y$, then (x_0, y_0) is a solution to both infsup and supinf problems for f , they have the same optimal values equal to $f(x_0, y_0)$, and $\Delta_f = 0$.

Note that it is possible that $\Delta_f = 0$ but the function f has no saddle point on $X \times Y$. For example, consider the function $f(x, y) := x - y$ defined on $X \times Y$, where $X := (0, 1)$,

$Y := (0, 1]$. It is easy to check that $\Delta_f = 0$ but f has no saddle point on $X \times Y$ since $(1, 1) \notin X \times Y$.

We will make the following assumptions for the function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$:

- (A1) for any $y \in Y$ the function $f(\cdot, y)$ is upper semicontinuous;
- (A2) the function $v_f(x)$ is bounded above in X and proper as a function with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$;
- (A3) for any $x \in X$ the function $f(x, \cdot)$ is lower semicontinuous;
- (A4) the function $w_f(y)$ is bounded below in Y and proper as a function with values in $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$.

It is easy to see that if the function f satisfies the assumptions (A1)–(A4), then Δ_f is finite.

Let us recall the supinf variational principle of Kenderov and Revalski.

Theorem 5 [7] *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function which satisfies the assumptions (A1)–(A2). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ be such that $v_f(x_0) > \sup_{x \in X} v_f(x) - \varepsilon$, and let $\delta > 0$ and $y_0 \in Y$ be such that $f(x_0, y_0) < \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) + \delta$. Then, there exist continuous bounded functions $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $q(x_0) = p(y_0) = 0$, $\|q\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\|p\|_\infty < \delta$ and the supinf problem*

$$\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(x, y) - q(x) + p(y)\} \tag{2}$$

has a solution at (x_0, y_0) .

Note that assuming (A3) the function $w_f(y)$ defined by (1) is lower semicontinuous, so one can follow the lines of the proof of the above result in [7] to obtain the following

Theorem 6 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function which satisfies the assumptions (A3)–(A4). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y_0 \in Y$ be such that $w_f(y_0) < \inf_{y \in Y} w_f(y) + \varepsilon$, and let $\delta > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ be such that $f(x_0, y_0) > \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) - \delta$. Then, there exist continuous bounded functions $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $h(x_0) = g(y_0) = 0$, $\|h\|_\infty < \delta$, $\|g\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ and the infsup problem*

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} \{f(x, y) - h(x) + g(y)\} \tag{3}$$

has a solution at (x_0, y_0) .

We will prove the following minimax variational principle in completely regular topological spaces.

Theorem 7 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function which satisfies the assumptions (A1)–(A4). Let $\varepsilon' > 0$, $\varepsilon'' > 0$, $x_0 \in X$ and $y_0 \in Y$ be such that*

$$\begin{aligned} v_f(x_0) &> \sup_{x \in X} v_f(x) - \varepsilon', \\ w_f(y_0) &< \inf_{y \in Y} w_f(y) + \varepsilon''. \end{aligned}$$

Then, there exist continuous bounded functions $k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $r : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $k(x_0) = r(y_0) = 0$, $\|k\|_\infty < 2\varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$, $\|r\|_\infty < \varepsilon' + 2\varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$, and the function $f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y)$ has a saddle point at (x_0, y_0) .

Proof We begin with two chains of inequalities:

$$f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon'' \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) - \varepsilon'' = w_f(y_0) - \varepsilon'' < \inf_Y w_f = \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) \quad (4)$$

and

$$f(x_0, y_0) + \varepsilon' \geq \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) + \varepsilon' = v_f(x_0) + \varepsilon' > \sup_X v_f = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y). \quad (5)$$

Combining the equality

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) - \Delta_f = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y),$$

with (4) and (5), we get

$$f(x_0, y_0) - \varepsilon'' - \Delta_f < \inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y) - \Delta_f = \sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} f(x, y) < \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) + \varepsilon',$$

hence

$$f(x_0, y_0) < \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_0, y) + \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f.$$

Analogously, we get

$$f(x_0, y_0) > \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_0) - \varepsilon' - \varepsilon'' - \Delta_f.$$

Now we apply Theorem 5 to the point x_0 for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon'$, and to the point y_0 for $\delta = \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$. Therefore, there exist continuous bounded functions $q : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and $p : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $q(x_0) = p(y_0) = 0$, $\|q\|_\infty < \varepsilon'$, $\|p\|_\infty < \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$ and the supinf problem (2) has a solution at (x_0, y_0) , i.e.,

$$\sup_{x \in X} \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(x, y) - q(x) + p(y)\} = \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(x_0, y) - q(x_0) + p(y)\} = f(x_0, y_0). \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, we apply Theorem 6 to the point y_0 for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon''$, and to the point x_0 for $\delta = \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$. Hence, there exist continuous bounded functions $h : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $h(x_0) = g(y_0) = 0$, $\|h\|_\infty < \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'' + \Delta_f$, $\|g\|_\infty < \varepsilon'$ and the infsup problem (3) has a solution at (x_0, y_0) , i.e.,

$$\inf_{y \in Y} \sup_{x \in X} \{f(x, y) - h(x) + g(y)\} = \sup_{x \in X} \{f(x, y_0) - h(x) + g(y_0)\} = f(x_0, y_0). \quad (7)$$

Let $x \in X, y \in Y$ be arbitrary. As $q(x) \geq 0, g(y) \geq 0$, from (7) and (6) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y_0) - h(x) - q(x) + p(y_0) + g(y_0) &\leq f(x, y_0) - h(x) + g(y_0) \\ &\leq f(x_0, y_0) \leq f(x_0, y) + p(y) - q(x_0) \\ &\leq f(x_0, y) + p(y) + g(y) - q(x_0) - h(x_0). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $k(x) := h(x) + q(x)$ and $r(y) := p(y) + g(y)$ we get the conclusion of the theorem. \square

Note that whenever a function f satisfies the assumptions (A1)–(A4), for any $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\varepsilon'' > 0$ one can always find x_0 and y_0 satisfying the assumptions of Theorem 7.

Definition 1 For a function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$, such that $\Delta_f = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we say that $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ is an ε -saddle point for f if

$$\begin{aligned} v_f(x_0) &> \sup_{x \in X} v_f(x) - \varepsilon/3, \\ w_f(y_0) &< \inf_{y \in Y} w_f(y) + \varepsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

If f satisfies (A1)–(A4) and $\Delta_f = 0$, from Theorem 7 easily follows a variational principle, which states that we can perturb the function f by functions with arbitrary small norms in a way that the perturbed function has a saddle point.

Theorem 8 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces, $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ satisfy (A1)–(A4) and $\Delta_f = 0$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$ be an ε -saddle point for f . Then, there exist continuous bounded functions $k : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, and $r : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $k(x_0) = r(y_0) = 0$, $\|k\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\|r\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, and the function $f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y)$ has a saddle point at (x_0, y_0) .*

If f is an additively separable function, i.e., $f(x, y) = f_1(x) + f_2(y)$, then $\Delta_{f+k+r} = 0$ for every $k \in C(X)$ and $r \in C(Y)$. The following result constitutes a dense variational principle for the saddle point problem.

Theorem 9 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces, let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ satisfy the assumptions (A1)–(A4), and $f(x, y) = f_1(x) + f_2(y)$. Then, the set $\{(k, r) \in C(X) \times C(Y) : \text{the function } f(x, y) + k(x) + r(y), (x, y) \in X \times Y \text{ has a saddle point}\}$ is a dense subset of $C(X) \times C(Y)$.*

4 Well-posedness of Saddle Point Problems

Let $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$, where X and Y are completely regular topological spaces. In [5] (in the constrained case) a sequence of points $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n \in X \times Y$ is called optimizing for the supinf problem for f if $v_f(x_n) \rightarrow V_f$ and $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow V_f$. Analogously, $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ is optimizing for the infsup problem for f if $w_f(y_n) \rightarrow W_f$ and $f(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow W_f$.

Here we consider the following

Definition 2 A sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n \in X \times Y$ is called optimizing for the saddle point problem for $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ if

1. $v_f(x_n) \rightarrow V_f$;
2. $w_f(y_n) \rightarrow W_f$;
3. $\Delta_f = 0$.

Let us note that in [1] maximinimizing sequences are considered. A sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n \in X \times Y$ is called maximinimizing for the saddle point problem for f if $w_f(y_n) - v_f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $v_f(x_n) = \inf_{y \in Y} f(x_n, y) \leq f(x_n, y_n) \leq \sup_{x \in X} f(x, y_n) = w_f(y_n)$, it is clear that every optimizing sequence for the saddle point problem is maximinimizing. Moreover, any optimizing sequence for the saddle point problem for f is optimizing for the supinf and infsup problems for f .

The supinf (resp. infsup) problem for the function f is called well-posed if any optimizing for it sequence converges to its unique solution.

Moreover, the supinf problem for the function f is sup-well-posed if the problem $\sup_{x \in X} v_f(x)$ is well-posed, see [7]. In such a case, the unique point realizing the maximum is called a sup-solution.

Analogously, the infsup problem for the function f is inf-well-posed if the problem $\inf_{y \in Y} w_f(y)$ is well-posed and the unique point realizing the minimum is called inf-solution.

Definition 3 The saddle point problem for $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ is well-posed if every optimizing for it sequence converges to the unique solution of the problem.

The saddle point problem for a function f is well-posed if and only if the supinf and infsup problems for f are sup-well-posed and inf-well-posed, respectively, and $\Delta_f = 0$. Well-posedness of the saddle point problem for f does not entail well-posedness of the corresponding supinf and infsup problems, see Remark 3-1 in [1].

If f is such that $\Delta_f = 0$, then for f :

$\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ is an optimizing sequence for the saddle point problem

\Leftrightarrow

$\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ is an optimizing sequence for both supinf and infsup problems,

and, moreover,

the supinf and infsup problems for f are well-posed

\Downarrow

the saddle point problem for f is well-posed

\Leftrightarrow

the supinf problem is sup-well-posed and the infsup problem is inf-well-posed.

The next result is about perturbations for which the perturbed saddle point problem is well-posed in the sense of Definition 3.

Theorem 10 *Let for X, Y and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ the assumptions in Theorem 7 hold and let k and r be the functions from its conclusion. Suppose that x_0 has a countable local base in X and y_0 has a countable local base in Y . Then, for arbitrary $\delta > 0$ there exist continuous bounded functions $k' : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $r' : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $k(x_0) = r(y_0) = 0$, $\|k'\|_\infty < \delta$, $\|r'\|_\infty < \delta$, and for the function $g(x, y) := f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y) - k'(x) + r'(y)$,*

- (a) *the supinf problem is sup-well-posed with unique sup-solution at x_0 ;*
- (b) *the infsup problem is inf-well-posed with unique inf-solution at y_0 ;*
- (c) *the saddle point problem is well-posed with unique solution at (x_0, y_0) .*

Proof We follow the idea of the proof of Proposition 2.10 in [7]. Consider countable local bases of open nested neighbourhoods $\{U_n, n \geq 1\}$ of x_0 and $\{V_n, n \geq 1\}$ of y_0 , respectively. For each fixed $n \geq 1$, let $h_n : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and $g_n : Y \rightarrow [0, 1]$ be continuous functions such that

$$\begin{aligned} h_n(x_0) &= 0 & \text{and} & & h_n(X \setminus U_n) &\equiv 1, \\ g_n(y_0) &= 0 & \text{and} & & g_n(Y \setminus V_n) &\equiv 1. \end{aligned}$$

Define the functions

$$\begin{aligned} k'(x) &:= \delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} h_n(x), & x \in X, \\ r'(y) &:= \delta \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} g_n(y), & y \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

The functions k' and r' are continuous bounded functions with values in $[0, \delta]$. For all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$, $x \neq x_0$ and $y \neq y_0$, $k'(x) > 0$ and $r'(y) > 0$. Furthermore, from

$k(x_0) = k'(x_0) = 0, r(y_0) = r'(y_0) = 0$ and the fact that (x_0, y_0) is a saddle point for $f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y)$, it holds that for all $x \in X$ and $y \in Y, x \neq x_0$ and $y \neq y_0$,

$$f(x, y_0) - k(x) - k'(x) + r(y_0) + r'(y_0) < f(x_0, y_0) - k(x_0) - k'(x_0) + r(y_0) + r'(y_0) < f(x_0, y) - k(x_0) - k(x_0) + r(y) + r'(y),$$

and, therefore, (x_0, y_0) is a solution for the saddle point problem, supinf problem and infsup problem for the function $g(x, y)$ in $X \times Y$. Observe that (x_0, y_0) is also a solution for the saddle point problem, supinf problem and infsup problem for the functions $f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y) + r'(y)$ and $f(x, y) - k(x) - k'(x) + r(y)$ in $X \times Y$.

To prove (a) let us consider the functions

$$v_{f-k+r+r'}(x) := \inf_Y \{f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y) + r'(y)\},$$

$$v_g(x) := \inf_Y \{f(x, y) - k(x) - k'(x) + r(y) + r'(y)\}.$$

Having in mind that $k(x_0) = k'(x_0) = 0$ and $r(y_0) = r'(y_0) = 0$ and that (x_0, y_0) is a solution for the supinf problems for the functions $f(x, y) - k(x) + r(y) + r'(y)$ and $g(x, y)$ in $X \times Y$, we have that

$$v_{f-k+r+r'}(x_0) = v_g(x_0) = f(x_0, y_0).$$

Suppose that $\{x_n\}_n$ is an optimizing sequence for v_g and $\{x_n\}_n$ does not converge to x_0 . Then, there would be some integer $n_0 \geq 1$ and a subsequence of $\{x_n\}_n$ (without renumbering), such that $x_n \notin U_{n_0}$ for every n . Furthermore, $\sup_X v_g = \sup_X v_{f-k+r+r'}$ and $v_g(x) \leq v_{f-k+r+r'}(x)$, and since k' has nonnegative values, $k'(x_n)$ converges to 0. But this contradicts $x_n \notin U_{n_0}$ for any n since the latter would imply that $k'(x_n) \geq \delta \sum_{m=n_0}^\infty (1/2^m) > 0$ for all n .

The proof of (b) is similar to the proof of (a).

To establish (c) let us observe that from the proofs of (a) and (b) it follows that the supinf and infsup problems for the function g are sup-well-posed and inf-well-posed with unique solutions at x_0 and y_0 , respectively. Moreover $\Delta_g = 0$ and, therefore, the saddle point problem for g is well-posed with unique solution at (x_0, y_0) . □

For a given function $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ denote by $S_f : C(X) \times C(Y) \rightrightarrows X \times Y$ the correspondence which assigns to every couple of functions $s \in C(X)$ and $u \in C(Y)$ the (possibly empty) set of solutions (x_0, y_0) to the saddle point problem for the function $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$. We follow the proof of Theorem 3 in [4] to get the following result.

Theorem 11 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function which satisfies (A1)–(A4). The mapping S_f is single-valued and upper semicontinuous at $(s, u) \in C(X) \times C(Y)$ if and only if the saddle-point problem for the function $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$ is well-posed.*

Proof \Rightarrow) Let $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n \in X \times Y$ be an optimizing sequence for the saddle point problem for $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$. According to the definition of such a sequence, it holds that:

1. $v_{f+s+u}(x_n) \rightarrow V_{f+s+u}$;
2. $w_{f+s+u}(y_n) \rightarrow W_{f+s+u}$;
3. $\Delta_{f+s+u} = 0$.

If $S_f(s, u) = \{(x_0, y_0)\}$, so $\Delta_{f+s+u} = 0$. Suppose that $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ does not converge to (x_0, y_0) . Then, there exist open sets U and V , such that $x_0 \in U, y_0 \in V$ and a subsequence (without renumbering) $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ such that $(x_n, y_n) \notin U \times V$ for all n .

From the upper semicontinuity of $S_f(s, u)$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, such that $S_f(s', u') \subset U \times V$ for all $s' \in C(X)$ such that $\|s' - s\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, and all $u' \in C(Y)$ such that $\|u' - u\|_\infty < \varepsilon$.

Let n be so large that $V_{f+s+u} - v_{f+s+u}(x_n) < \varepsilon/3$ and $w_{f+s+u}(y_n) - W_{f+s+u} < \varepsilon/3$. Applying Theorem 8 for the ε -saddle point (x_n, y_n) of the function $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$ one obtains functions $s_n \in C(X)$ and $u_n \in C(Y)$, such that $\|s_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\|u_n\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ and (x_n, y_n) is a solution to the supinf problem for the function $f(x, y) + s(x) - s_n(x) + u(y) + u_n(y)$. But $\|s + s_n - s\|_\infty < \varepsilon$, $\|u - u_n - u\|_\infty < \varepsilon$ and $(x_n, y_n) \in S_f(s - s_n, u + u_n)$ which contradicts $(x_n, y_n) \notin U \times V$.

\Leftarrow) Suppose that the saddle point problem for the function $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$ is well-posed with unique solution (x_0, y_0) . Hence, $\Delta_{f+s+u} = 0$ and $S_f(s, u)$ is single-valued. Suppose that S_f is not upper semicontinuous at (s, u) . Then there would exist open neighbourhoods U of x_0 and V of y_0 , respectively, such that for every $n \geq 1$ there would be $s_n \in C(X)$ and $u_n \in C(Y)$ with $\|s_n - s\|_\infty < 1/n$ and $\|u_n - u\|_\infty < 1/n$, such that $S_f(s_n, u_n) \not\subset U \times V$, i.e., $(x_n, y_n) \in S_f(s_n, u_n) \setminus U \times V$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Observe that $\Delta_{f+s_n+u_n} = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} v_{f+s_n+u_n}(x_n) &:= \inf_{y \in Y} \{f(x_n, y) + s_n(x_n) + u_n(y)\} \\ &= \sup_{x \in X} \{f(x, y_n) + s_n(x) + u_n(y_n)\} =: w_{f+s_n+u_n}(y_n). \end{aligned}$$

As $\{s_n\}_n$ and $\{u_n\}_n$ converge uniformly on X and Y to s and u , respectively, then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find n_0 , such that, for every $n \geq n_0$:

$$\begin{aligned} |v_{f+s_n+u_n}(x_n) - v_{f+s+u}(x_n)| &< \varepsilon, \\ |w_{f+s_n+u_n}(y_n) - w_{f+s+u}(y_n)| &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $v_{f+s+u}(x_n)$ and $w_{f+s+u}(y_n)$ are close eventually and $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ is an optimizing sequence for $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$. Since the saddle point problem for $f(x, y) + s(x) + u(y)$ is well-posed with unique solution (x_0, y_0) , the sequence $\{(x_n, y_n)\}_n$ converges to (x_0, y_0) . The latter contradicts the assumption $(x_n, y_n) \in S_f(s_n, u_n) \setminus U \times V$. \square

If we consider the correspondence $\tilde{S}_f : C(X \times Y) \rightrightarrows X \times Y$ which assigns to each function $z \in C(X \times Y)$ the (possibly empty) set of solutions (x_0, y_0) to the saddle point problem for the function $f(x, y) + z(x, y)$, and follow the lines of the proof of Theorem 11 we will obtain

Theorem 12 *Let X and Y be completely regular topological spaces and $f : X \times Y \rightarrow [-\infty, +\infty]$ be an extended real-valued function which satisfies the assumptions (A1)–(A4). The mapping \tilde{S}_f is single-valued and upper semicontinuous at $z \in C(X \times Y)$ if and only if the saddle-point problem for the function $f(x, y) + z(x, y)$ is well-posed.*

Acknowledgements The authors extend their heartfelt thanks to Prof. Petar Kenderov, who proposed the idea of considering variational principles for saddle point problems in completely regular topological spaces. Additionally, they highly appreciate his ongoing support and encouragement.

The research of D. Kamburova and N. Zlateva is financed by the European Union-NextGenerationEU, through the National Recovery and Resilience Plan of the Republic of Bulgaria, project SUMMIT BG-RRP-2.004-0008-C01.

Author Contributions The second and third authors contributed equally to this work.

References

1. Cavazzuti, E., Morgan, J.: Well-posed saddle point problems. In: Hiriart-Urruty, J.-B., Oettli, W., Stoer, J. (eds.) *Optimization: Theory and Algorithms*. Proc. Conf. Confolant (France 1981). Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 86, pp. 61–76. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York (1983)
2. Cullen, H.F.: *Introduction to General Topology*. Heath, Boston (1967)
3. Ekeland, I.: On the variational principle. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **47**, 324–353 (1974)
4. Gaumont, D., Kamburova, D., Revalski, J.P.: Perturbations of supinf problems with constraints. *Vietnam J. Math.* **47**, 659–667 (2019)
5. Kenderov, P., Lucchetti, R.: Generic well-posedness of supinf problems. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **54**, 5–25 (1996)
6. Kenderov, P., Revalski, J.P.: Dense existence of solutions of perturbed optimization problems and topological games. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **63**, 937–942 (2010)
7. Kenderov, P., Revalski, J.P.: Variational principles for supinf problems. *C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci.* **70**, 1635–1642 (2017)
8. McLinden, L.: An application of Ekeland’s theorem to minimax problems. *Nonlinear Anal.* **6**, 189–196 (1982)
9. Oettli, W., Théra, M.: Equivalents of Ekeland’s principle. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **48**, 385–392 (1993)
10. Sullivan, F.: A characterization of complete metric spaces. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83**, 345–346 (1981)

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.