**ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **A Note on Co-Harada Rings**

**Phan Dan<sup>1</sup> · Banh Duc Dung2**

Received: 13 January 2020 / Accepted: 19 October 2020 / Published online: 2 March 2021 © Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology (VAST) and Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2021

#### **Abstract**

In the early 1990s, Harada and Oshiro introduced extending and lifting properties for modules and, simultaneously, considered two new classes of artinian rings which contain quasi-Frobenius (QF-) rings and Nakayama rings: one is the class of right Harada rings and the other is the class of right co-Harada rings. Although QF-rings and Nakayama rings are left-right symmetric, Harada and co-Harada rings are not left-right symmetric. However, Oshiro showed that left Harada rings and right co-Harada rings are coinside. In this paper we provide many characterizations of right co-Harada rings and (right and left) co-Harada rings.

**Keywords** Harada rings · Co-Harada rings · Small modules · Nonsmall modules · Cosmall modules · Non-cosmall modules

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010)** 16D70 · 16D80 · 16P20

## **1 Introduction**

Throughout this paper all rings will be associative with identity and modules will be unital modules. For an *R*-module *M* we write  $M_R$  ( $_R M$ ) to indicate that *M* is a right (left) *R*module. By  $J(M)$ ,  $E(M)$ ,  $Z(M)$  we denote the Jacobson radical, the injective hull and the singular submodule of *M*, respectively. We denote the set of primitive idempotents of *R* by Pi*(R)*. A ring *R* is said to have enough idempotents if the identity element of *R* can be written as the sum of a finite number of orthogonal primitive idempotens of *R*.

Let *R* be a ring and *M* a right *R*-module.  $N \leq M$  will mean *N* is a submodule of *M*. A submodule *N* of *M* is called *small in M*, denoted by  $N \leq_{sm} M$ , whenever for every submodule *L* of *M*,  $N + L = M$  implies  $L = M$ . A non-zero submodule *N* of *M* is said to

- Banh Duc Dung [dungbd@hcmute.edu.vn](mailto: dungbd@hcmute.edu.vn)

> Phan Dan [danp@hiu.vn](mailto: danp@hiu.vn)

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of General Education, Hong Bang International University, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Faculty of Applied Sciences, HCMC University of Technology and Education, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

be an *essential submodule* of *M*, denoted by  $N \leq_e M$ , if for every  $0 \neq L \leq M$ ,  $N \cap L \neq 0$ . A non-zero module *M* is called *uniform* if  $N \leq_e M$  for every non-zero submodule N of M.

A module *M* is said to be *small* if *M* is small in its injective hull. A (right) *R*-module *M* is called *non-small* if *M* is not a small submodule in its injective hull, which is equivalent to the fact that *M* is not a small submodule in any extension module of *M* (see [\[11,](#page-7-0) Proposition 1.1]). Dually, *M* is called a *non-cosmall* module, following [\[19\]](#page-8-0), if *M* is a homomorphic image of a projective module *P* whose kernel is not essential in *P*, which is equivalent to the fact that if *M* is a homomorphic image of a module *N*, then the kernel is always not essential in  $N$  (see [\[11,](#page-7-0) Proposition 3.1]).

A module *M* is called an *extending module (CS module)* if every submodule of *M* is essential in a direct summand of M. A ring R is called right CS if  $R_R$  is an extending module. A module *M* is said to be a *local module* if *M* has a unique maximal submodule.

A ring *R* is called *right QF-3* if *RR* has a direct summand *eR* (*e* is an idempotent of *R*) which is a faithful injective right ideal, and it is called *right QF-3*<sup>+</sup> if  $E(R_R)$  is projective. A ring *R* is called *right QF-2* if it is a direct sum of right uniform ideals (as right *R*-modules). *R* is called *right* (*left*) *nonsingular* if the right (left) singular ideal of *R* is zero.

*M* is called *uniserial* if the set of submodules of *M* is linearly ordered and *M* is called *serial* if it is a direct sum of uniserial modules. A ring *R* is a *right* (*left*) *serial ring* if *RR* (*RR*) is a serial module, and *R* is called a *serial ring* if *R* are both right and left serial. A two-sided artinian serial ring is also called a *Nakayama ring*.

A submodule *N* of a module *M* is called a *waist* in *M* if either  $N \leq X$  or  $X \leq N$  is satisfied for any submodule *X* of *M*.

**Definition 1** A module *M* is called *z-serial* if *M* satisfies three following conditions:

- (1) *M* is uniform,
- (2) *Z(M)* is a waist in *M*,
- (3)  $M/Z(M)$  is uniserial.

The following series of results from various sources is presented here in order to make it easier to refer to them later in the paper.

**Lemma 1** ([\[7,](#page-7-1) Lemma 7.1]) *Every direct summand of an extending module is an extending module.*

**Lemma 2** ([\[9,](#page-7-2) 18.23]) *Every local right (lef t) R-module over semiperfect ring R is isomorphic to a homomorphic image of*  $eR$  *(Re) for some*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ *. If*  $R$  *is right* (left) QF-2 *then every local right (lef t) R-module is either projective or singular.*

In [\[11\]](#page-7-0) Harara has studied the following conditions:

- *(*∗*)r* Every non-small right *R*-module contains a non-zero injective submodule.
- *(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> Every non-cosmall right *R*-module contains a non-zero projective direct summand.

He also gave a characterization of semiperfect rings with *(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>r</sup>* as follows:

**Theorem 1** ([\[11,](#page-7-0) Theorem 3.6]) *Let R be semiperfect. Then (*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>r</sup> holds if and only if there exists a set of primitive idempotents* {*ei*} *and of integers* {*ni*} *such that:*

- (1) *eiR is injective,*
- (2)  $e_i J^{t_i}$  *is projective for*  $t_i \leq n_i$  *and*  $e_i J^{n_i+1}$  *is singular, and*

(3) *Every indecomposable projective module is isomorphic to some*  $e_i J^{t_i}$ *.* 

*In this case, every submodule*  $e_i B$  *in*  $e_i R$  *either is contained in*  $e_i J^{n_i+1}$  *or equal to some*  $e_i J^{t_i}, t_i \leq n_i + 1$ , where  $J = J(R)$ *.* 

The following classes of rings have been defined by Oshiro [\[16\]](#page-8-1): A ring *R* is called a *right Harada ring* if it is right artinian and satisfies the condition *(*∗*)r*. Dually, a ring *R* is called a *right co-Harada ring* if it satisfies the condition *(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>r</sup>* and the ACC on right annihilators.

Huynh in [\[13\]](#page-7-3) studied right co-Harada rings under the name right Σ-CS rings. Many results on onesided Harada (or co-Harada) rings are given in [\[1,](#page-7-4) [10\]](#page-7-5) and [\[16\]](#page-8-1).

In 1993, Vanaja ([\[21\]](#page-8-2)) has generalized *(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>r</sup>* by considering the following condition

*(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> <sup>1</sup>*,r* Every finitely generated non-cosmall right *R*-module contains a non-zero projective direct summand.

It is known ([\[21,](#page-8-2) Theorem 1.10]) that the following are equivalent for a semiperfect ring *R*: (1) *R* satisfies (\*)<sup>\*</sup><sub>1</sub>,*r*; (2) *R*<sup>(*n*)</sup> is an extending module; and (3) Direct sum of any two indecomposable projective right *R*-modules is extending.

**Lemma 3** ([\[9,](#page-7-2) Theorem 20.15]) *Every indecomposable injective and projective right Rmodule M* is isomorphic to a summand of R, that is, there exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  *such that*  $M \cong eR$ *.* 

**Lemma 4** ([\[11,](#page-7-0) [19\]](#page-8-0)) *The following statements holds for non-cosmall modules:*

- (1) An *R*-module *M* is non-cosmall if and only if  $M \neq Z(M)$ ;
- (2) *If an R-module M contains a non-zero projective submodule, then it is non-cosmall.*

From the definition of non-cosmall modules and Lemma 3 we have

**Lemma 5** *The following statements are equivalent for a ring R and a cardinal α:*

- (1)  $R_R^{(\alpha)} = \bigoplus_I R_R$  *is an extending module, where* card $(I) = \alpha$ *.*
- (2) *Every α-generated right R-module M is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular module.*

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward and will be omitted.

**Lemma 6** ([\[11\]](#page-7-0)) *Let R be a ring.*

- (1) *If*  $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n$  *is a set of small submodules of a right R-module X, then*  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  *is a small module,*
- (2) *If R is right perfect, then a right R-module M is nonsmall if and only if there exists an element*  $m \in M$  *such that module cyclic*  $mR$  *is nonsmall.*

**Lemma 7** ([\[17\]](#page-8-3)) *A ring R is a right (resp. left) Harada ring if and only if R is left (resp. right) co-Hadada.*

The following lemma is a special case of [\[2,](#page-7-6) Lemma 11].

**Lemma 8** *Let U be a uniform module, and suppose that U is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules. Then* End*(U ) is a local ring.*

*Proof* Let  $\alpha \in \text{End}(U)$ . Then Ker $(\alpha) \cap \text{Ker}(1-\alpha) = 0$  in *U*. Since *U* is uniform, it follows that either Ker( $\alpha$ ) = 0 or Ker( $1 - \alpha$ ) = 0, respectively either  $\alpha$  or ( $1 - \alpha$ ) is monomorphic. Since *U* is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules, one of two homomorphisms is isomorphic, as required.  $\Box$ 

#### **2 Co-Harada Rings**

We start the section with a lemma which will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 9** *Let M be a uniform cyclic module such that E(M)/M has ACC on cyclic submodules. Then M is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules, and hence* End*(M) is a local ring.*

*Proof* Let  $E = E(M)$  and suppose that there is a proper submodule U of M and an isomorphism  $\varphi : U \to M$ . Consider the commutative diagram



where  $\bar{\varphi}$  is an extension of  $\varphi$ . Let  $\bar{\varphi}(eR) = U_1$  and  $\bar{\varphi}(U_1) = U_2$ , and  $\bar{\varphi}(U_n) = U_{n+1}$  for any integer number *n*. Since *E* is uniform and  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism, it follows that  $\bar{\varphi}$  is a monomorphism. So that, we get an infinite strictly ascending chain of cyclic modules  $M \le U_1 \le U_2 \le \cdots \le U_n \le \cdots$  in *E*, which is a contradiction, since  $E/M$  has ACC for cyclic submodules. Hence, *M* is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules. Moreover, by Lemma 8, End*(M)* is local.  $\Box$ 

The following theorem provides characterizations of semiperfect rings with *(*∗*)*<sup>∗</sup> *<sup>r</sup>* . This generalizes [\[15,](#page-7-7) Theorem 2.3] and [\[20,](#page-8-4) Theorem 3.8] (without assuming  $E(eR)$  is a z-serial module for every  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ ).

**Theorem 2** *Let R be a ring. The following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *R* is a semiperfect ring with  $(*)^*_r$ .
- (2) *R is a ring with enough idempotents, eR is a waist in E(eR) and E(eR)/eR has ACC on cyclic submodules for every*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ *.*
- (3) *R is a ring with enough idempotents, eR is a waist in*  $E(eR)$  *and*  $eR/Z(eR)$  *has finite length for any*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ *.*

*Proof*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . It is obvious, see Theorem 1.

*(*2*)* ⇒ *(*1*)*. Assume *(*2*)*. Then  $R_R = e_1 R ⊕ e_2 R ⊕ \cdots ⊕ e_n R$ , where  $e_i ∈ \text{Pi}(R)$  and  $e_i R$ is indecomposable. Let  $e = e_i$ . Since  $eR$  is a waist in  $E(eR)$ , it follows that  $eR$  is uniform, so that  $\text{End}(eR)$  is local by Lemma 9. Hence R is a semiperfect ring. By [\[20,](#page-8-4) Theorem 2.4], *R* satisfies condition  $(*)^{*}_{1,r}$ . Moreover, every *eR* is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules, by Lemma 9. So that *R* satisfies  $(*)_r^*$ , by [\[20,](#page-8-4) Lemma 3.1].

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  Assume (3). Then  $R_R = e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ , where  $e_i \in \text{Pi}(R)$  and  $e_iR$  is indecomposable. Let  $e = e_i$ . It follows that *eR* is uniform, since *eR* is a waist in  $E(eR)$ . If  $Z(eR) = 0$  then End $(eR)$  is local, since  $eR$  has finite length. If  $eR$  is injective then End $(eR)$  is local, since  $eR$  is uniform. We consider the case  $W = Z(eR) \neq 0$  and  $E = E(eR) \neq eR$ . By (3), length $(eR/W) = n > 0$ . Using the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 9, we get an infinite strictly ascending chain of cyclic modules  $eR \leq U_1 \leq$ *U*<sub>2</sub> ≤ ··· ≤ *U*<sub>n</sub> ≤ ··· in *E*, where *eR*  $\cong U_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$  Since  $Z(E) \neq E$  and *eR* is a waist in *E*,  $Z(U_i) \neq eR$ , so that  $Z(U_i) = Z(eR) = W$ . Then  $eR/W$  is not a module with finite length, a contradiction. It follows that *eR* is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules. Hence  $\text{End}(eR)$  is local, by Lemma 8. Therefore R is a semiperfect ring. Since *eR* is a waist in *E(eR)* for every  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ , *R* satisfies  $(*)^{*}_{1,r}$ , by [\[20,](#page-8-4) Theorem 2.4]. Since every *eR* is not isomorphic to any of its proper submodules, it follows *R* satisfies  $(*)_r^*$ , by [\[20,](#page-8-4) Lemma 3.1]. П

A well-known result of C. Faith [\[8\]](#page-7-8) asserts that a right self-injective ring is QF if and only if *R* has ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators. Next we provide some similar characterizations of right co-Harada rings.

**Theorem 3** *Let R be a ring satisfying the ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *R is a right co-Harada ring;*
- (2)  $R_R^{(2)}$  *is an extending module and*  $E(R_R)/R$  *has ACC on cyclic submodules.*
- (3) *For every*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ *, eR is a waist in*  $E(eR)$  *and*  $E(eR)/eR$  *has ACC on cyclic submodules.*
- (4) *For every*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ *, eR is a waist in*  $E(eR)$ *,*  $E(eR)$  *is a projective module and eR/Z(eR) has ACC on cyclic submodules.*

*Proof*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ . It is obvious.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ . Assume (2). It is easy to see that *R* is a direct sum of indecomposable right ideals if *R* satisfies the ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators. Since  $R_R^{(2)}$  is extending, so is *RR*. Hence *R* is a direct sum of uniform right ideals. Let  $R_R = e_1 R \oplus e_2 R \oplus \cdots \oplus e_n R$ , where  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^n$  is a set of primitive idempotents of *R* and each  $e_iR$  is uniform. Let  $e = e_i$ and  $E = E(e_i, R)$ . It is easy to see that  $E/eR$  has ACC for cyclic submodules, since it is isomorphic to a direct summand of  $E(R_R)/R$ . If  $eR$  is injective then  $End(eR)$  is local since *eR* is uniform. Assume *eR* is not injective. It follows from Lemma 9 that  $\text{End}(eR)$  is local. So that *R* is semiperfect. Since  $R_R^2$  is extending, it follows from [\[20,](#page-8-4) Lemma 12.8] that *eR* is a waist in  $E(eR)$ . Therefore (3) holds.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume (3). If *R* has the ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators then *R* is a ring with enough idempotents. It follows from (3) and Theorem 2 that *R* is a semiperfect ring with  $(*)^*$ . Hence *R* is a right co-Harada ring, by [\[6,](#page-7-9) Corollary 3.8].

 $(1)$  ⇒ *(*4*)*. It is clear, since *R* is an artinian ring, and *R* satisfies  $(*)_r^*$ .

 $(4)$  ⇒  $(3)$ . Let *f* ∈ Pi(*R*) be any element. Using the argument as above, it follows that every  $E(f R)$  is uniform and projective. So that  $E(f R) \cong e R$  for some  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ , by Lemma 3. Since  $f \in R$  is a waist in  $eR$ , then  $Z(eR) \leq fR$ . It implies that  $Z(eR) = Z(fR)$ . So that  $eR/Z(fR)$  has ACC on cyclic submodules, by (4). It follows that  $E(fR)/fR$  has ACC on cyclic submodules.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

The main result in [\[5\]](#page-7-10) is a special case of the following result.

**Proposition 1** *Let R be a ring satisfying the ACC (or DCC) on right annihilators. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *R is a right co-Harada ring.*
- (2) *R is a right perfect ring and*  $R_R^{(2)}$  *is an extending module.*
- (3) *R is a left perfect ring and*  $R_R^{(2)}$  *is an extending module.*

*Proof*  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$ ,  $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$  are obvious.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$ . Assume *R* is a right perfect ring. By [\[14\]](#page-7-11), every right *R*-module has ACC on cyclic modules. By  $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  in Theorem 3, it follows  $(1)$ .

 $(3)$  ⇒ (1). Assume *R* is a left perfect ring. Let *e* ∈ Pi(*R*). It easy to see that *eR* is a waist in  $E(eR)$  and  $eR/Z(eR)$  has finite length. It follows that *R* satisfies  $(*)_r^*$ , by  $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$  in Theorem 3, it follows (1).

**Corollary 1** *Let R be a right nonsingular ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *R is a right co-Harada ring.*
- (2) *R is a right perfect ring and*  $R_R^{(2)}$  *is an extending module.*
- (3) *R is a left perfect ring and*  $R_R^{(2)}$  *is an extending module.*
- (4) *R is Morita equivalent to a finite direct sum of upper triangular matrix rings over division rings.*

*Proof* It is shown by [\[3,](#page-7-12) Lemma 1.14] that if *R* is a ring with finite right Goldie then *R* has ACC and DCC on right annihilators. From Proposition 1 it easy to see the equivalence of *(*1*), (*2*), (*3*)*. It follows from [\[16\]](#page-8-1) that *(*1*)* ⇔ *(*4*)*.  $\Box$ 

It is also shown by Oshiro [\[16\]](#page-8-1) that a ring *R* is a left Harada ring if and only if it is a right co-Harada ring, however a right Harada ring need not to be right co-Harada (see also Oshiro [\[16\]](#page-8-1)).

*Example 1* (Oshiro, [\[16\]](#page-8-1)) There exists a right co-Harada ring which is not a right Harada ring. Consider the local QF ring  $Q = K[x, y]/(x^2, y^2)$ , in which *K* is a field.

Put

$$
J = J(Q), \quad S = \text{Soc}(Q_Q) \quad (= \text{Soc}(QQ)),
$$
  

$$
\bar{Q} = Q/S = \{\bar{a} \mid \bar{a} = a + S \forall a \in Q\}.
$$

Defined *V*, *W* by

$$
V = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} Q & Q \\ J & Q \end{array} \right], \quad W = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} Q & \bar{Q} \\ J & \bar{Q} \end{array} \right].
$$

Then:

(a) *V* is a Harada and co-Harada ring (right and left).

 $\textcircled{2}$  Springer

 $\Box$ 

(b) *W* is right co-Harada and left Harada. However, *W* is neither left co-Harada nor right Harada.

Finally we provide some characterizations of Harada rings (co-Harada rings) via perfect rings.

**Theorem 4** *Let R be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *R is a Harada ring;*
- (2) *R is a co-Harada ring;*
- (3) *R is a perfect ring and*  $R_R^{(2)}$  *and*  $_R R^{(2)}$  *are extending modules;*
- (4) *R is a perfect ring and every 2-generated right (or left) module M has a decomposition*  $M = P \oplus S_1 \oplus S_2$ , where P is projective,  $S_1$  is injective singular,  $S_2$  is small singular;
- (5) *R is a perfect ring, eR is a waist in E(eR) and Re is a waist in E(Re), for any*  $e \in \text{Pi}(R)$ ;
- (6) *R* is a perfect ring and  $M_2(R)$  is a CS ring.

*Proof* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2). It follows from a result due to Oshiro [\[17\]](#page-8-3) (see, Lemma 7). We shall prove that  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ ,  $(2) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(2) \Leftrightarrow (6)$ .

 $(2) \Rightarrow (4)$ . Assume *R* is a co-Harada ring. Let *M* be a 2-generated right *R*-module. Since *R* is a right co-Harada ring, *M* has a decomposition  $M = P \oplus S$ , where *P* is projective and *S* is singular. Since *R* is a left co-H ring, it follows that *R* is a right Harada ring, by Lemma 7. Then, *S* has a decomposition  $S = S_1 \oplus S_2$ , where  $S_1$  is injective and  $S_2$  is small. Hence  $M = P \oplus S_1 \oplus S_2$ , where P is projective,  $S_1$  is injective and singular and  $S_2$  is small and singular.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Assume (4). Let *M* be any 2-generated right *R*-module. Then, *M* has a decomposition  $M = P \oplus S_1 \oplus S_2$ , where *P* is projective, *S*<sub>1</sub> is injective and singular and *S*<sub>2</sub> is small and singular. It means that  $M = P \oplus (S_1 \oplus S_2)$ , where *P* is projective and  $S_1 \oplus S_2$ is singular. This shows that every 2-generated right *R*-module is a direct sum of a projective module and a singular module. By Lemma 5, it implies that  $R_R^{(2)}$  is an extending module. Since *R* is perfect, it follows from [\[20,](#page-8-4) Proposition 3.4] that *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)^*_{1,r}$ . It is easy to see from Theorem 1 that every indecomposable projective right *R*-module is either injective or small. Let  $P = P_1 \oplus P_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_k$ . Let  $I = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ . Let  $I_1 = \{i \in I \mid P_i \text{ is injective}\}\$  and  $I_2 = \{j \in I \mid P_j \text{ is small}\}\$ . It is easy to see that  $I = I_1 \cup I_2$ and  $I_1 \cap I_2 = \emptyset$ . Whence, we have

$$
M = P \oplus (S_1 \oplus S_2) = (\oplus_{i \in I_1} P_i) \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_2} P_j) \oplus (S_1 \oplus S_2)
$$
  
= (( $\oplus_{i \in I_1} P_i$ )  $\oplus$  S<sub>1</sub>)  $\oplus$  (( $\oplus_{j \in I_2} P_j$ )  $\oplus$  S<sub>2</sub>),

where  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I_1} P_i \oplus S_1)$  is injective, and  $(\bigoplus_{i \in I_2} P_i \oplus S_2)$  is small by Lemma 6. Hence every 2-generated (and hence every cyclic) right *R*-module is a direct sum of an injective module and a small module. Next, we shall show that *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)_r$ . Let *X* be a nonsmall right *R*-module. Since *R* is perfect, it follows from Lemma 6 that *X* contains a cyclic nonsmall module *N*. Then,  $N = N_1 + N_2$ , where  $N_1$  is a nonzero injective module and *N*<sup>2</sup> is a small module. So, *X* contains nonzero injective module *N*1. Therefore, *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)_r$ . Since *R* is a perfect ring and *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)_r$ , *R* is right artinian by [\[12\]](#page-7-13). Since *R* is right artinian and *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)_r$ , *R* is a right Harada ring and so *R* is a left co-Harada ring. It remains to show that *R* is a right co-Harada

 $\Box$ 

ring. However this is clear since *R* is right artinian and *R* satisfies the condition  $(*)^{*}_{1,r}$ . Hence *R* is a co-Harada ring.

 $(2)$  ⇒ (5). Suppose that *R* is a co-Harada ring. Then *R* is an artinian ring (see [\[17,](#page-8-3) [18\]](#page-8-5)). It follows from [\[20,](#page-8-4) Theorem 3.11] that  $eR$  is a waist in  $E(eR)$  and  $Re$  is a waist in  $E(Re)$ .

 $(5)$  ⇒ (3). Assume (5). Since *R* is perfect and *eR* (resp. *Re*) is a waist in *E*(*Re*) (resp.  $E(Re)$ , it follows that  $R_R^{(2)}$  (resp.  $_RR^{(2)}$ ) is an extending module, by [\[20,](#page-8-4) Theorem 2.4]. We have  $(3)$ .

 $(3) \Rightarrow (2)$ . Assume (3). If *R* is a left (resp. right) perfect ring and  $R_R^{(2)}$  (resp. *RR*<sup>(2)</sup>) is an extending module, the condition  $(*)^*$  (resp.  $(*)^*$ ) holds, by [\[20,](#page-8-4) Proposition 3.4]. Furthermore, *R* is a perfect (two-sided) QF-3<sup>+</sup> ring, by [\[5\]](#page-7-10). Since  $R_R$  (resp.  $_R R$ ) is isomorphic to a direct sum of modules of the form  $e_iR$  (resp.  $Re_i$ ), we obtain a faithful injective right (resp. left) ideal by letting *eR* (resp. *Re*) be the sum of one of each isomorphism type of these  $e_iR$  (resp.  $Re_i$ ). It implies that *R* is a right and left QF-3 ring. So, *R* is a semiprimary QF-3 ring. Hence *R* satisfies the ACC on right (and left) annihilators, by [\[4,](#page-7-14) Theorem 1.3]. Therefore *R* is a co-Harada ring.

*(2)* ⇒ *(6)*. Since *R* is right and left co-Harada, then *R* is two-sided artinian and  $R_R^{(2)}$ ,  $RR^{(2)}$  are extending modules. By [\[7,](#page-7-1) Lemma 12.8], the ring  $M_2(R)$  is a right and left CS ring.

 $(6)$  ⇒ (2). Since *M*<sub>2</sub>(*R*) is a right and left CS ring, then *R*<sup>(2)</sup><sub>*R*</sub> and *RR*<sup>(2)</sup> are extending modules, by [\[7,](#page-7-1) Lemma 12.8]. Since  $R$  is a perfect ring, we have (3); hence (2) holds.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

**Acknowledgements** This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2018.02.

The authors would like to thank the referees for their useful suggestions.

## **References**

- <span id="page-7-4"></span>1. Baba, Y., Oshiro, K.: Classical Artinian Rings and Related Topics. World Scientific, Singapore (2009)
- <span id="page-7-6"></span>2. Camillo, V., Nicholson, W.K., Yousif, M.F.: Ikeda–nakayama rings. J. Algebra **226**, 1001–1010 (2000)
- <span id="page-7-12"></span>3. Chatters, A.W., Hajarnavis, C.R.: Rings with Chain Conditions, vol. 44. Pitman, London (1980)
- <span id="page-7-14"></span>4. Colby, R.R., Rutter, E.A.: Generalizations of QF-3 algebras. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **153**, 371–386 (1971)
- <span id="page-7-10"></span>5. Dan, P.: Right perfect rings with the extending property on finitely generated free module. Osaka J. Math. **26**, 265–273 (1989)
- <span id="page-7-9"></span>6. Dung, N.V.: On indecomposable decompositions of CS-modules II. J. Pure Appl. Algebra **119**, 139–153 (1997)
- <span id="page-7-1"></span>7. Dung, N.V., Huynh, D.V., Smith, P.F., Wisbauer, R.: Extending Modules. Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 313. Longman Scientific & Technical, Essex (1994)
- <span id="page-7-8"></span>8. Faith, C.: Rings with ascending condition on annihilators. Nagoya Math. J. **27**, 179–191 (1966)
- <span id="page-7-2"></span>9. Faith, C.: Algebra II Ring Theory. Grundlehren Der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 191. Springer, Berlin (1976)
- <span id="page-7-5"></span>10. Faith, C., Huynh, D.V.: When self-injective rings are QF: a report on a problem. J. Algebra Appl. **1**, 75–105 (2002)
- <span id="page-7-0"></span>11. Harada, M.: Non-small modules and non-cosmall modules. In: Proceedings of 1978 Antwerp Conference, Mercel-Dekker, pp. 669–689 (1979)
- <span id="page-7-13"></span>12. Harada, M.: On one-sided QF-2 rings I. Osaka J. Math. **17**, 421–431 (1980)
- <span id="page-7-3"></span>13. Huynh, D.V.: A right countably sigma-CS ring with ACC or DCC on projective principal right ideals is left Artinian and QF-3. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347**, 3131–3139 (1995)
- <span id="page-7-11"></span>14. Jonah, D.: Rings with minimum condition for principal right ideals have the maximum condition for principal left ideals. Math. Z **113**, 106–112 (1970)
- <span id="page-7-7"></span>15. Nonomura, K.: On Nakayama rings. Commun. Algebra **32**, 589–598 (2004)
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>16. Oshiro, K.: Lifting modules, extending modules and their applications to QF-rings. Hokkaido Math. J. **13**, 310–338 (1984)
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>17. Oshiro, K.: On Harada rings I. Math. J. Okayama Univ. **31**, 161–178 (1989)
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>18. Oshiro, K.: On Harada rings III. Math. J. Okayama Univ. **32**, 111–118 (1990)
- <span id="page-8-0"></span>19. Rayar, M.: Small and Cosmall Modules. Ph.D Dissertation, Indiana University (1971)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>20. Thuyet, L.V., Dan, P., Dung, B.D.: On a class of semiperfect rings. J. Algebra Appl. **12**, 1350009 (2013)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>21. Vanaja, N.: Characterization of rings using extending and lifting modules. In: Jain, S.K., Rizvi, S.T. (eds.) Ring Theory, pp. 329–342. World Scientific, River Edge (1993)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.