



One-step electrochemical synthesis of MoS₂/graphene composite for supercapacitor application

Gomaa A. M. Ali^{1,2} · Mohammad R. Thalji¹ · Wee Chen Soh¹ · H. Algarni^{3,4} · Kwok Feng Chong¹

Received: 14 June 2019 / Revised: 13 November 2019 / Accepted: 14 November 2019 / Published online: 26 November 2019
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2019

Abstract

In this study, an MoS₂/graphene composite is fabricated from bulk MoS₂ and graphite rod via a facile electrochemical exfoliation method. The as-prepared samples are characterized by X-ray diffraction, field emission scanning electron microscopy, Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy and ultraviolet-visible spectroscopy techniques to confirm the formation of the MoS₂/graphene composite. The electrochemical behavior of the MoS₂/graphene composite is evaluated through cyclic voltammetry, galvanostatic charge/discharge and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy. It exhibits high specific capacitance of 227 F g⁻¹ as compared with the exfoliated graphene (85 F g⁻¹) and exfoliated MoS₂ (70 F g⁻¹) at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹. This can be attributed to the synergistic effect between graphene and MoS₂. Moreover, it displays high electrochemical stability and low electrical resistance.

Keywords Electrochemical exfoliation · Supercapacitors · Graphene · Exfoliated MoS₂ · 2D materials

Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) materials, such as graphene, have garnered great interest from researchers for decades due to their

Highlights

- An MoS₂/graphene composite is obtained via one-step electrochemical exfoliation.
- The MoS₂/graphene composite is employed as electrode material for supercapacitor application.
- MoS₂/graphene shows high specific capacitance of 227 F g⁻¹ (threefold > MoS₂ and graphene).
- MoS₂/graphene shows high electrochemical stability and low electrical resistance.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10008-019-04449-5>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Kwok Feng Chong
ckfeng@ump.edu.my

¹ Faculty of Industrial Sciences & Technology, Universiti Malaysia Pahang, 26300 Kuantan, Malaysia

² Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Al-Azhar University, Assiut 71524, Egypt

³ Research Centre for Advanced Materials Science (RCAMS), King Khalid University, P. O. Box 9004, Abha 61413, Saudi Arabia

⁴ Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, King Khalid University, P. O. Box 9004, Abha, Saudi Arabia

outstanding mechanical, optical and physical properties [1–3]. Besides graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides, particularly molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂), show promising potential in a wide range of applications including as catalysts and for energy storage and electronics [4, 5]. Bulk MoS₂ crystals can undergo exfoliation to form MoS₂ (a single, covalently bonded S–Mo–S tri-layer). This is the top-down synthesis approach for MoS₂. To date, various exfoliation approaches including sonication, ion intercalation and electrochemical exfoliation have been used to produce high-quality MoS₂ [6].

MoS₂ is a semiconducting compound that consists of a 2D plane of a hexagonal crystal structure which is analogous to graphene [7, 8]. Each MoS₂ layer consists of planes wherein the S–Mo–S atoms are combined by covalent bonds, and their neighboring layers are then attached to each other by weak van der Waals forces [9, 10]. From the other point of view, each Mo atom occupies a trigonal prism shape which is bound to six S atoms. The layered structure of MoS₂ provides a good platform for ion adsorption and diffusion, rendering it a great choice for supercapacitor applications [11]. On the other hand, another layered structure material, graphene, also possesses excellent physical properties for good energy storage applications [12, 13]. However, the major concern for layered structure material is the tendency for restacking of sheets to occur, which has a detrimental effect on the energy storage capacity. In this context, the proposal for utilizing two different layered structure materials is worth investigating, as the different

surface properties of two different materials could prevent surface interaction.

Herein, we report a novel electrode material, MoS₂/graphene composite, for supercapacitor application. The MoS₂/graphene composite is prepared via a facile electrochemical approach from the co-electrochemical exfoliation of MoS₂ crystals and graphite rod. Interestingly, the incorporation of MoS₂ with graphene leads to a remarkable enhancement in the specific capacitance of the electrode, with high cycling stability and low electrical resistance.

Experimental techniques

Sample preparation

MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite were synthesized using an electrochemical exfoliation method as previously reported, with some modifications [14, 15]. The bulk MoS₂ crystal (99.99% purity, 429ML-AB, with size of 20 × 10 mm, SPI Supplies) was used as an anode, while a platinum wire was used as a cathode, with working distance of 2 cm in 1 M Na₂SO₄ solution. The preconditioning was conducted at DC voltage of 2 V for 5 min, followed by electrochemical exfoliation at 10 V for 5 min to obtain MoS₂. A similar process was employed by replacing the MoS₂ crystal with graphite rod (99.99% purity, 150 mm in length and diameter of 3 mm, Sigma-Aldrich) to exfoliate the graphite into graphene. Subsequently, a MoS₂/graphene composite was obtained by connecting the MoS₂ crystal and graphite rod as the anode using similar electrochemical conditions. Finally, exfoliated suspensions of MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite were collected separately using a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane (0.45 μm) and then washed with deionized water via vacuum filtration to remove the Na₂SO₄ solution. The collected samples were dried at 50 °C overnight in a vacuum oven.

Structural and morphological characterization

The crystal structure was analyzed using a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer (Miniflex II with Cu-K_α radiation at 40 kV, 30 mA, λ = 1.5406 Å) within the 2θ range of 5° to 80° at a scanning rate of 0.02 θ/s with a step time of 2 s. The functional groups were examined using a PerkinElmer (Spectrum 100) infrared spectrophotometer over the range of 400–4000 cm⁻¹. UV-Vis measurements were performed using a Thermo Scientific (Genesys 10S) UV spectrophotometer at room temperature. The surface morphological analysis was conducted using a JEOL (JSM-7800F) field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) coupled with energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX).

Electrochemical characterization


Working electrodes were prepared by pressing a slurry of MoS₂ or graphene or MoS₂/graphene composite (90 wt%), carbon black (5 wt%) and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF, 5 wt%) onto a piece of nickel foam, and then drying at 70 °C for 24 h. The final mass loading of the active materials per electrode was 3–4 mg. The electrochemical performance of the electrode was investigated using a three-electrode system (3-ES), which consists of the active material as the working electrode, Ag/AgCl (CH Instruments) as a reference electrode, and Pt wire (CH Instruments) as the counter electrode. The electrochemical data were collected using an electrochemical workstation (AUTOLAB PGSTAT30, Netherlands) equipped with a frequency response analyzer. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) tests were performed in the potential range of -0.8 to 0.2 V at different scan rates. Galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD) tests were performed at different current densities. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) data were collected from 50 kHz to 0.01 Hz at open-circuit potential (OCP) with a voltage signal of 10 mV amplitude. All measurements were carried out in 1.0 M Na₂SO₄ electrolyte at room temperature.

Results and discussion

Structural and morphological characterization

Figure 1 shows the XRD patterns of graphene, MoS₂ and MoS₂/graphene composite. A sharp peak can be seen at 26.38° and another small peak at 43.24°, which are related to the (002) and (100) planes of the graphene structure, respectively. The (002) plane of graphite can be detected in graphene due to the multiple layers of graphene that are formed [16]. Electrochemical exfoliation of the layered structure involves driving ionic species (H₂O and SO₄²⁻) at high potential to intercalate into the interlayer distance, locally forming gas bubbles (SO₂ and O₂) that force the adjacent sheets to separate [17]. Furthermore, the absence of a graphene oxide peak at 2θ ~14° also signifies a negligible amount of oxidation in the samples [17]. On the other hand, all marked peaks related to the MoS₂ have been indexed to the hexagonal phase of MoS₂ (JCPDS no. 37-1492). The MoS₂ pattern shows a sharp and visible peak at 2θ = 14°, which can be assigned to the (002) plane with *d*-spacing of 6.3 Å. This indicates the layered structure of MoS₂ along the *c* axis [18]. It can be seen that the MoS₂/graphene composite retains a layered crystallinity and the positions of diffraction peaks of MoS₂. However, it is worth noting that the intensity of the diffraction peaks of MoS₂ decrease with the incorporation of graphene, particularly the (002) peak. This indicates that the incorporation of graphene further restrains the stacking of

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the graphene, MoS₂ and MoS₂/graphene composite



MoS₂ layers [19]. Furthermore, the presence of the graphene peak at 26.38° corresponding to the (002) plane is evidence that the graphene is incorporated into the composite.

Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) is employed to elucidate the functional groups of graphene, MoS₂ and the MoS₂/graphene composite [20]. As shown in Fig. 2, the peak at 620 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the Mo–S stretching vibration [21]. The multiple peaks at 700–1150 cm⁻¹ can be assigned to the sulfate groups, while the sharp peaks at 3440 and 1610 cm⁻¹ can be ascribed to the O–H stretching and water bending, respectively [22, 23]. This confirms the presence of sulfate and water molecules as intercalants during the electrochemical exfoliation process [24]. For the graphene spectrum, the intense peak located at 1610 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to the aromatic C=C (stretching), and the very weak peak located at 1124 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the C–O stretching [25]. In contrast, the absence of a C=O peak around 1715 cm⁻¹ indicates the insignificant amount of

oxidation of graphene. This further supports the XRD pattern of graphene, where the peak for graphene oxide (GO) is missing in the pattern. Interestingly, the intercalant-related peaks decrease in intensity for MoS₂/graphene composite, which indicates the intercalants are removed from the interlayer structure due to the difference in surface chemistry between graphene and MoS₂.

Figure 3a shows the UV-Vis spectra for MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite. Graphene exhibits a π - π^* absorption band at 270 nm, which is in agreement with previous studies [26]. The results show that with the incorporation of graphene into MoS₂, the absorption band at 270 nm of the MoS₂/graphene composite increases. This phenomenon could be attributed to the black-body properties of graphene, which has been reported in the literature [27]. Figure 3b–c shows the relation between $(\alpha hv)^2$ and $h\nu$. The absorption coefficient (α) was calculated as $\alpha = 2.303 \times 10^{-3} A\rho/lC$, where A is the measured absorbance, ρ is the density, l is the optical path

Fig. 2 FTIR spectra of MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite





Fig. 3 UV-Vis spectra of the MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite (a), direct transition $(\alpha h\nu)^2$ vs. $h\nu$ curves for MoS₂ (b) and MoS₂/graphene composite (c)



length and C is the concentration [8, 28]. Extrapolation of the linear portion to zero absorption coefficient gives the value of the optical energy gap, which is found to be 1.98 and 1.75 eV for MoS₂ and the MoS₂/graphene composite, respectively,

suggesting direct electronic transitions which are in good agreement with the MoS₂ band structure. In addition, the bandgap value is closer to the reported values for monolayer MoS₂ (1.9 eV) than bulk MoS₂ (1.2 eV) [29], indicating the

Fig. 4 FESEM images of MoS₂ (a), graphene (b) and MoS₂/graphene composite (c)





Fig. 5 Cyclic voltammetry curves of MoS₂ (a), graphene (b), MoS₂/graphene composite (c) at different scan rates and all as-prepared materials at 25 mV s⁻¹ (d)



successful exfoliation of bulk MoS₂. Moreover, incorporation of MoS₂ with graphene leads to a decrease in the bandgap as a result of enhanced electrical conductivity of the hybrid material [30].

To reveal the morphology of all samples, FESEM analysis was employed. As illustrated in Fig. 4a and b, the FESEM images of MoS₂ and graphene show nanosheet

formation. The close-up view of MoS₂/graphene composite in Fig. 4c shows the incorporation of MoS₂ nanosheets onto the graphene nanosheets. It is clear that the MoS₂ and graphene nanosheets are entwined to form an interconnected conductive network with a relatively smooth surface, which ensures optimum accessibility of electrolyte ions. Moreover, the elemental analysis for MoS₂/

Fig. 6 Trasatti's analysis plots of Q_V vs. $v^{-1/2}$ (a) and Q_V^{-1} vs. $v^{1/2}$ (b) for MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite





Fig. 7 GCD curves of MoS₂ (a), graphene (b), MoS₂/graphene composite (c) at current densities of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 A g⁻¹, GCD curves of all as-prepared materials at 1 A g⁻¹ (d) and specific capacitance as a function of current density (e) for the indicated materials



graphene composite shows an atomic percent of 63.3, 14.5, 0.53 and 1.38% for C, O, Mo and S, respectively (Fig. S1). As we observed from FTIR findings, the oxygen content from EDX results could be related to the intercalated water molecule and sulfate ions. Overall, all of the results from XRD, FTIR, UV-Vis and FESEM confirm the successful electrochemical exfoliation to form MoS₂/graphene composite.

Electrochemical characterization


Cyclic voltammetry is performed to determine the electrochemical performance of samples using a three-electrode system under a potential window from -0.8 to 0.2 V at different scan rates. Based on the XRD results, the *d*-spacing of the interlayer MoS₂ structure is 6.3 Å. This is beneficial for rapid intercalation of hydrated Na⁺ ions (hydrated ionic radius of the Na(H₂O)₆⁺ is 2.43 Å) into MoS₂ layers [31]. As shown in

Fig. 5a, the charge storage occurs mainly by the insertion/extraction of Na⁺ ions into the interlayer of MoS₂, which can be seen from the oxidation peak at around 0.55 V. Similar pseudocapacitance of ion insertion/extraction was observed for MoS₂ in previous reports [32]. On the other hand, CV curves for graphene show an almost rectangular shape without any obvious redox peaks, which indicates the electrical double capacitance as the dominant charge storage mechanism (Fig. 5b). The slight deviation from the ideal rectangular shape may be due to the internal resistance or surface impurities. Figure 5c shows the CV curves of the MoS₂/graphene composite at different scan rates. The CV curves feature strong electrical double-layer capacitance with a near-rectangular shape, as well as pseudocapacitance with reversible redox peaks. It is evident that the electrical double-layer capacitance is contributed by graphene, while the pseudocapacitance is associated with the surface redox of MoS₂. Such synergistic effects between MoS₂ (faradaic

Table 1 Comparison of the specific capacitance and cycling stability with some previously reported studies for MoS₂ and graphene-based nanocomposites

Material	Specific capacitance (F g ⁻¹)	Stability (%)	Reference
Graphene-ZnO nanocomposites	196 @ 0.8 mA cm ⁻²	94.0 @ 5000 cycles	[37]
Graphene/VOx-NTs	210 @ 1 A g ⁻¹	48.0 @ 5000 cycles	[38]
Graphene-honeycomb MnO ₂	210 @ 0.5 A g ⁻¹	82.4 @ 1000 cycles	[39]
Graphene oxide-MnO ₂	216 @ 0.15 A g ⁻¹	84.1 @ 1000 cycles	[40]
Fe ₃ O ₄ /graphene nanocomposites	220.1 @ 0.5 A g ⁻¹	–	[41]
Multilayered graphene/MnO ₂	252 @ 2 A g ⁻¹	96.0 @ 6000 cycles	[42]
MoS ₂ -SnO ₂	61.6 @ 1 A g ⁻¹	90.0 @ 1000 cycles	[43]
MoS ₂ @ 3D porous graphene	88.3 @ 0.1 A g ⁻¹	78.0 @ 2000 cycles	[44]
MoS ₂	144 @ 8 × 10 ⁻⁵ A g ⁻¹	92.3 @ 1000 cycles	[45]
MoS ₂ /reduced graphene oxide	218.1 @ 1 A g ⁻¹	91.8 @ 1000 cycles	[46]
MoS ₂ -graphene hybrid films	236 @ 8 × 10 ⁻⁵ A g ⁻¹	92.5 @ 1000 cycles	[45]
h-rGO@MoS ₂	238 @ 0.5 A g ⁻¹	87.8 @ 3500 cycles	[47]
MoS ₂ /graphene	227 @ 0.1 A g ⁻¹	89.0 @ 2000 cycles	This work

contribution) and graphene (non-faradaic contribution) can be represented by the following equations [32, 33]:



Furthermore, the CV curves retain their shape even at high scan rates, indicating the great high-rate performance. Noteworthy, the area of the CV curve for MoS₂/graphene composite is larger than that of MoS₂ and graphene at a scan rate of 25 mV s⁻¹ (Fig. 5d), indicating that MoS₂/graphene composite displays improved capacitive behavior and high charge storage. Detailed charge storage calculation is studied under galvanostatic charge-discharge.

Trasatti's analysis method is utilized to provide insight with respect to the charge storage mechanism in MoS₂/graphene composite. Based on Equations (S1–S3) [34], the capacitive

charge storage (Q_C) can be obtained as an intercept by extrapolating the plot of voltammetric charge (Q_V) vs. $v^{-1/2}$, and the total amount of stored charges (Q_T) is obtained as intercept (v approaching 0) through extrapolation of the plot $1/Q_V$ vs. $v^{1/2}$. As a result, the Q_C value of MoS₂/graphene composite is measured to be 104.6 C g⁻¹, and it is higher than of the graphene and MoS₂, which are 68.8 and 6.5 C g⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 6a). The high Q_C value of MoS₂/graphene composite can be related to the improved electrical double-layer capacitance, probably due to the better ion accessibility within MoS₂/graphene structure. On the other hand, the Q_T value for MoS₂/graphene composite is 208.3 C g⁻¹, which is higher than that of graphene and MoS₂ (Table S1). It can be clearly seen that the charge storage in the MoS₂/graphene composite originates equally from the capacitive and diffusion contributions (50.2% and 49.8%, respectively), which confirms the synergistic effect between graphene and MoS₂.



Fig. 8 Cycle life stability (left vs. bottom) and coulombic efficiency (right vs. bottom) at 1 A g⁻¹ current density for MoS₂/graphene composite

Fig. 9 Nyquist plots (with the insets of the high-frequency region and the equivalent circuit model) (a), Bode plots (b) for MoS₂/graphene composite electrodes before and after GCD stability



The electrochemical performance of MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene composite is further confirmed by GCD study at different current densities, as represented in Fig. 7a–c, respectively. The charging curves are symmetrical and linear to their discharge counterpart, which indicates the excellent reversibility of the samples. The discharge time significantly increases in MoS₂/graphene composite, indicating the increase in charge storage. Figure 7d compares the GCD curves of MoS₂, graphene and MoS₂/graphene electrodes at the current density of 1 A g⁻¹. It is worth noting that the MoS₂/graphene electrode has longer discharging time, indicating the higher specific capacitance of MoS₂/graphene than that of MoS₂ and graphene. The specific capacitance (C_{sp}) in F g⁻¹ of the as-prepared electrodes was calculated as: $C_{sp} = I/m(dV/dt)$, where I is the discharge current, dV/dt is the slope of the discharge curve and m is the mass of active materials on the working electrode [11, 35, 36]. Based on Fig. 7e, MoS₂/graphene composite exhibits the highest specific capacitance of 227 F g⁻¹ followed by low specific capacitance values of graphene (85 F g⁻¹) and MoS₂ (70 F g⁻¹) at a current density of 0.1 A g⁻¹. Despite the decrease in the specific capacitance with increasing current density, the MoS₂/graphene composite electrode still retains its higher capacitance as compared with other electrode materials at 5 A g⁻¹, indicating an excellent rate performance of MoS₂/graphene. A detailed comparison of specific capacitance reported with MoS₂ and graphene-based nanocomposites is listed in Table 1.

The cycling stability performance of MoS₂/graphene composite was determined by repeating the GCD test

between -0.8 and 0.2 V at a current density of 1 A g⁻¹ for 2000 cycles. The MoS₂/graphene electrode loss is only 11% from the initial specific capacitance, with high coulombic efficiency (93%). The high stability of the MoS₂/graphene composite is compared with related materials in Table 1. As shown in Fig. 8, the capacitance drop during the initial 250 cycles might be a result of the volume change due to electrolyte ion insertion. This phenomenon is widely seen in 2D materials [48].

To obtain further understanding of the electrochemical behavior of MoS₂/graphene electrode, EIS results were collected in a frequency range from 50 kHz to 0.1 Hz at OCP. The Nyquist plots (Fig. 9a) show two distinct parts, with a semicircle in the high-frequency region and a linear portion in the low-frequency region. The circuit fitting parameters are tabulated in Table 2. The equivalent circuit consists of a solution resistance (R_S), charge transfer resistance (R_{CT}), capacitor (C), constant phase element (CPE) and Warburg element (W). It shows that the MoS₂/graphene composite electrode possesses small values of R_S (0.76 Ω.cm²) and R_{CT} (0.65 Ω.cm²) as compared with graphene and MoS₂ electrodes (Fig. S2 and Table S2). The low resistance values are beneficial, as they are responsible for the quick charge transfer and fast ion diffusion to enhance electrochemical performance. The dependence of the phase angle on the frequency is evaluated in the Bode plot as presented in Fig. 9b, where the ideal capacitor behavior is represented by the phase shift of -90° in the low-frequency region [49]. The MoS₂/graphene composite electrode exhibits good capacitive performance (~ -75°), close to the ideal capacitor.

Table 2 The calculated parameters obtained by fitting of the experimental impedance data before and after the stability test for the MoS₂/graphene composite electrode

	R_S (Ω cm ²)	R_{CT} (Ω cm ²)	C (mF)	CPE (mF)	W (Ω cm ²)	S_E (cm ² g ⁻¹)	τ (s)
Before stability	0.76	0.65	0.64	96.49	0.15	280.16	0.9
After stability	0.98	0.69	0.33	102.43	0.13	280.26	1.3

Moreover, the relaxation time ($\tau = 1/f^*$) can be obtained from the frequency at a phase angle of -45° [50], to give more information regarding the frequency response of the electrode material. As shown in Fig. 9b, the MoS₂/graphene composite electrode exhibits a frequency of 1.103 Hz, which corresponds to a time constant of 0.9 s. The small relaxation time indicates that the MoS₂/graphene composite electrode can switch more rapidly from resistive to capacitive behavior. To investigate the electrochemical stability of the electrode material, the EIS test was employed after GCD stability, as shown in the inset in Fig. 9a. The R_S and R_{CT} values increase slightly after the GCD stability test, demonstrating the excellent electrochemical stability of the MoS₂/graphene electrode [49].

Conclusions

In summary, a MoS₂/graphene composite was produced by a facile electrochemical approach. The synergistic effect between MoS₂ and graphene enhanced the charge storage of MoS₂/graphene to yield high specific capacitance of 227 F g⁻¹, which is higher than that of graphene (85 F g⁻¹) and MoS₂ (70 F g⁻¹). In addition, the MoS₂/graphene composite showed a long cycle life (89% after 2000 cycles) and low electrical resistance. These findings suggest that MoS₂/graphene could be a potential electrode for high-performance supercapacitors.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to acknowledge the funding from the Ministry of Education Malaysia in the form of FRGS [RDU1901186: FRGS/1/2019/STG07/UMP/02/6] and Universiti Malaysia Pahang grant RDU170357. Moreover, the authors extend their appreciation to King Khalid University, the Ministry of Education–Kingdom of Saudi Arabia for supporting this research through a grant (RCAMS/KKU/002-18) under the Research Center for Advanced Material Science. In addition, Dr. Gomaa A. M. Ali would like to express his thanks to SESAME Synchrotron (Allan, Jordan), which through the EU-funded project OPEN SESAME provided training on material characterization testing and data analysis.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Quan Q, Lin X, Zhang N et al (2017) Graphene and its derivatives as versatile templates for materials synthesis and functional applications. *Nanoscale* 9:2398–2416
2. Lee SP, Ali GAM, Algarni H et al (2019) Flake size-dependent adsorption of graphene oxide aerogel. *J Mol Liq* 277:175–180
3. Wang J, Luo Q, Luo C et al (2017) High-performance supercapacitor electrode based on a nanocomposite of polyaniline and chemically exfoliated MoS₂ nanosheets. *J Solid State Electrochem* 21:2071–2077
4. Jia W, Tang B, Wu P (2018) Nafion-assisted exfoliation of MoS₂ in water phase and the application in quick-response NIR light controllable multi-shape memory membrane. *Nano Res* 11:542–553
5. Li Y, Wang H, Xie L et al (2011) MoS₂ nanoparticles grown on graphene: an advanced catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *J Am Chem Soc* 133:7296–7299
6. Tuteja SK, Duffield T, Neethirajan S (2017) Liquid exfoliation of 2D MoS₂ nanosheets and their utilization as a label-free electrochemical immunoassay for subclinical ketosis. *Nanoscale* 9: 10886–10896
7. Ganatra R, Zhang Q (2014) Few-layer MoS₂: a promising layered semiconductor. *ACS Nano* 8:4074–4099
8. Aboelazm EAA, Ali GAM, Algarni H et al (2018) Flakes size-dependent optical and electrochemical properties of MoS₂. *Curr Nanosci* 14:416–420
9. Theerthagiri J, Senthil R, Senthilkumar B et al (2017) Recent advances in MoS₂ nanostructured materials for energy and environmental applications—a review. *J Solid State Chem* 252:43–71
10. Gusmão R, Sofer Z, Luxa J et al (2019) Antimony chalcogenide van der Waals nanostructures for energy conversion and storage. *ACS Sustain Chem Eng* 7:15790–15798
11. Zhang S, Song X, Liu S et al (2019) Template-assisted synthesized MoS₂/polyaniline hollow microsphere electrode for high performance supercapacitors. *Electrochim Acta* 312:1–10
12. Raccichini R, Varzi A, Passerini S et al (2015) The role of graphene for electrochemical energy storage. *Nat Mater* 14:271–279
13. Ali GAM, Makhoulf SA, Yusoff MM et al (2015) Structural and electrochemical characteristics of graphene nanosheets as supercapacitor electrodes. *Rev Adv Mater Sci* 40:35–43
14. Liu N, Kim P, Kim JH et al (2014) Large-area atomically thin MoS₂ nanosheets prepared using electrochemical exfoliation. *ACS Nano* 8:6902–6910
15. Ambrosi A, Pumera M (2018) Electrochemical exfoliation of MoS₂ crystal for hydrogen electrogeneration. *Chem Eur J* 24:18551–18555
16. Jia Y, Wan H, Chen L et al (2017) Hierarchical nanosheet-based MoS₂/graphene nanobelts with high electrochemical energy storage performance. *J Power Sources* 354:1–9
17. Achee TC, Sun W, Hope JT et al (2018) High-yield scalable graphene nanosheet production from compressed graphite using electrochemical exfoliation. *Sci Rep* 8:14525
18. Liu Y, Zhao Y, Jiao L et al (2014) A graphene-like MoS₂/graphene nanocomposite as a high-performance anode for lithium ion batteries. *J Mater Chem A* 2:13109–13115
19. Chen Y, Ma W, Cai K et al (2017) In situ growth of polypyrrole onto three-dimensional tubular MoS₂ as an advanced negative electrode material for supercapacitor. *Electrochim Acta* 246:615–624
20. Kee CW (2015) Assignment of O–O and Mo=O stretching frequencies of molybdenum/tungsten complexes revisited. *J Chem* 2015: 439270–439279
21. da Silveira Firmiano EG, Rabelo AC, Dalmaschio CJ et al (2014) Supercapacitor electrodes obtained by directly bonding 2D MoS₂ on reduced graphene oxide. *Adv Energy Mater* 4:1301380–1301387
22. Zhou K, Jiang S, Bao C et al (2012) Preparation of poly(vinyl alcohol) nanocomposites with molybdenum disulfide (MoS₂): structural characteristics and markedly enhanced properties. *RSC Adv* 2:11695–11703
23. Teo EYL, Ali GAM, Algarni H et al (2019) One-step production of pyrene-1-boronic acid functionalized graphene for dopamine detection. *Mater Chem Phys* 231:286–291

24. Liu H, Chen B, Liao L et al (2019) The influences of mg intercalation on the structure and supercapacitive behaviors of MoS₂. *J Mater Sci* 54:13247–13254
25. Tanhaei M, Mahjoub AR, Safarifard V (2019) Energy-efficient sonochemical approach for the preparation of nanohybrid composites from graphene oxide and metal-organic framework. *Inorg Chem Commun* 102:185–191
26. Zhang R, Wan W, Li D et al (2017) Three-dimensional MoS₂/reduced graphene oxide aerogel as a macroscopic visible-light photocatalyst. *Chin J Catal* 38:313–320
27. Zhou Y, Zhang X, Zhang Q et al (2014) Role of graphene on the band structure and interfacial interaction of Bi₂WO₆/graphene composites with enhanced photocatalytic oxidation of NO. *J Mater Chem A* 2:16623–16631
28. Ali GAM, Fouad OA, Makhlof SA (2013) Structural, optical and electrical properties of sol-gel prepared mesoporous Co₃O₄/SiO₂ nanocomposites. *J Alloys Compd* 579:606–611
29. Wang Q, Huang J, Sun H et al (2018) MoS₂ quantum dots@TiO₂ nanotube arrays: an extended-spectrum-driven photocatalyst for solar hydrogen evolution. *ChemSusChem* 11:1708–1721
30. Abdi MM, Ekramul Mahmud HNM, Abdullah LC et al (2012) Optical band gap and conductivity measurements of polypyrrole-chitosan composite thin films. *Chin J Polym Sci* 30:93–100
31. Li Z, Xiang K, Xing W et al (2015) Reversible aluminum-ion intercalation in prussian blue analogs and demonstration of a high-power aluminum-ion asymmetric capacitor. *Adv Energy Mater* 5:1401410
32. Wang R, Wang S, Peng X et al (2017) Elucidating the intercalation pseudocapacitance mechanism of MoS₂-carbon monolayer interoverlapped superstructure: toward high-performance sodium-ion-based hybrid supercapacitor. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 9:32745–32755
33. Sarkar D, Das D, Das S et al (2019) Expanding interlayer spacing in MoS₂ for realizing an advanced supercapacitor. *ACS Energy Letters* 4:1602–1609
34. Thalji MR, Ali GAM, Algami H et al (2019) Al³⁺ ion intercalation pseudocapacitance study of W₁₈O₄₉ nanostructure. *J Power Sources* 438:227028
35. Aboelazm EAA, Ali GAM, Chong KF (2018) Cobalt oxide supercapacitor electrode recovered from spent lithium-ion battery. *Chem Adv Mater* 3:67–74
36. Ali GAM, Fouad OA, Makhlof SA et al (2014) Co₃O₄/SiO₂ nanocomposites for supercapacitor application. *J Solid State Electrochem* 18:2505–2512
37. Li Z, Zhou Z, Yun G et al (2013) High-performance solid-state supercapacitors based on graphene-ZnO hybrid nanocomposites. *Nanoscale Res Lett* 8:473
38. Fu M, Ge C, Hou Z et al (2013) Graphene/vanadium oxide nanotubes composite as electrode material for electrochemical capacitors. *Phys B Condens Matter* 421:77–82
39. Zhu J, He J (2012) Facile synthesis of graphene-wrapped honeycomb MnO₂ nanospheres and their application in supercapacitors. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 4:1770–1776
40. Chen S, Zhu J, Wu X et al (2010) Graphene oxide-MnO₂ nanocomposites for supercapacitors. *ACS Nano* 4:2822–2830
41. Wang Q, Jiao L, Du H et al (2014) Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles grown on graphene as advanced electrode materials for supercapacitors. *J Power Sources* 245:101–106
42. Wu M-S, Lin C-J, Ho C-L (2012) Multilayered architecture of graphene nanosheets and MnO₂ nanowires as an electrode material for high-performance supercapacitors. *Electrochim Acta* 81:44–48
43. Prabukumar C, Mohamed Jaffer Sadiq M, Krishna Bhat D et al (2019) SnO₂ nanoparticles functionalized MoS₂ nanosheets as the electrode material for supercapacitor applications. *Mater Res Express* 6:085526
44. Zhang F, Tang Y, Liu H et al (2016) Uniform incorporation of flocculent molybdenum disulfide nanostructure into three-dimensional porous graphene as an anode for high-performance lithium ion batteries and hybrid supercapacitors. *ACS Appl Mater Interfaces* 8:4691–4699
45. Patil S, Harle A, Sathaye S et al (2014) Development of a novel method to grow mono-/few-layered MoS₂ films and MoS₂-graphene hybrid films for supercapacitor applications. *CrystEngComm* 16:10845–10855
46. Xiao W, Zhou W, Feng T et al (2016) Simple synthesis of molybdenum disulfide/reduced graphene oxide composite hollow microspheres as supercapacitor electrode material. *Materials* 9:783–796
47. Zheng S, Zheng L, Zhu Z et al (2018) MoS₂ nanosheet arrays rooted on hollow rGO spheres as bifunctional hydrogen evolution catalyst and supercapacitor electrode. *Nano-Micro Lett* 10:62–72
48. Wang J, Wu Z, Hu K et al (2015) High conductivity graphene-like MoS₂/polyaniline nanocomposites and its application in supercapacitor. *J Alloys Compd* 619:38–43
49. Ali GAM, Manaf SAA, Divyashree A et al (2016) Superior supercapacitive performance in porous nanocarbons. *J Energy Chem* 25:734–739
50. Ali GAM, Yusoff MM, Shaaban ER et al (2017) High performance MnO₂ nanoflower supercapacitor electrode by electrochemical recycling of spent batteries. *Ceram Int* 43:8440–8448

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.