#### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# Genome sequencing and heterologous expression of antiporters reveal alkaline response mechanisms of *Halomonas alkalicola*

Lei Zhai<sup>1</sup> · Jiuyan Xie<sup>1</sup> · Yafang Lin<sup>2</sup> · Kun Cheng<sup>1</sup> · Lijiang Wang<sup>2</sup> · Feng Yue<sup>2</sup> · Jingyan Guo<sup>2</sup> · Jiquan Liu<sup>3</sup> · Su Yao<sup>1</sup>

Received: 5 September 2017 / Accepted: 8 December 2017 / Published online: 21 December 2017 © Springer Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2017

#### Abstract

*Halomonas alkalicola* CICC 11012s is an alkaliphilic and halotolerant bacterium isolated from a soap-making tank (pH > 10) from a household-product plant. This strain can propagate at pH 12.5, which is fatal to most bacteria. Genomic analysis revealed that the genome size was 3,511,738 bp and contained 3295 protein-coding genes, including a complete cell wall and plasma membrane lipid biosynthesis pathway. Furthermore, four putative Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> and K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter genes, or gene clusters, designated as *HaNhaD*, *HaNhaP*, *HaMrp* and *HaPha*, were identified within the genome. Heterologous expression of these genes in antiporter-deficient *Escherichia coli* indicated that HaNhaD, an Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter, played a dominant role in Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and pH homeostasis in acidic, neutral and alkaline environments. In addition, HaMrp exhibited Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance; however, it functioned mainly in alkaline conditions. Both HaNhaP and HaPha were identified as K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporterers that played an important role in high alkalinity and salinity. In summary, genome analysis and heterologous expression experiments demonstrated that a complete set of adaptive strategies have been developed by the double extremophilic strain CICC 11012s in response to alkalinity and salinity. Specifically, four antiporters exhibiting different physiological roles for different situations worked together to support the strain in harsh surroundings.

Keywords Halomonas alkalicola  $\cdot$  Alkalinity  $\cdot$  Na<sup>+</sup> (K<sup>+</sup>)/H<sup>+</sup>antiporter  $\cdot$  NhaD

# Introduction

Alkaliphilic and halophilic bacteria from diverse lineages are distributed worldwide in natural and human environments, such as soda lakes (Ma et al. 2004), mill wastewater

Communicated by S. Albers.

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-017-0991-6) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

☑ Jiquan Liu Liu.j.2@pg.com

- Su Yao milly@china-cicc.org
- <sup>1</sup> China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (CICC), China National Research Institute of Food and Fermentation Industries, Beijing 100015, People's Republic of China
- <sup>2</sup> Procter & Gamble Technologies (Beijing) Ltd, Beijing 101312, People's Republic of China
- <sup>3</sup> Procter & Gamble International Operations SA Singapore Branch, 70 Biopolis Street, Singapore 138547, Singapore

(Yang et al. 2010) and soap-making tanks (Tang et al. 2017). These surroundings allowed haloalkaliphilic bacteria to evolve adaptive mechanisms for survival under extreme alkaline and osmotic pressure (Padan et al. 2005).

To regulate pH homeostasis and cation concentrations in response to alkaline and saline stress, bacteria develop a variety of strategies, including adjustments to cell wall structure (Aono et al. 1999) and membrane lipid composition (Clejan et al. 1986); improvements in membrane transport activities and bioenergetics (Krulwich 1995); and accumulations of compatible solutes (Ono et al. 1998) and metabolic alterations for acid generation (Blankenhorn et al. 1999). Among these mechanisms, cation/proton antiporters play an indispensable role in cation tolerance and pH homeostasis by promoting the efflux of intracellular monovalent cations in exchange for external protons (Padan et al. 2005).

According to the sequence-based Transporter Classification Database (TCDB), cation/proton antiporters mainly belong to four superfamilies (Saier et al. 2006): the cation diffusion facilitator (CDF) superfamily, which includes members of the CaCA family (TC 2.A.19), such as ChaA and ChaB (Fujisawa et al. 2009; Sääf et al. 2001); the cation proton antiporter (CPA) superfamily (Chen et al. 2011), including the CPA1 (TC 2.A.36) and CPA2 (TC 2.A.37) families; the Na<sup>+</sup> transporting Mrp superfamily, which includes members of the multi-subunit CPA3 antiporter (TC 2.A.63) family, such as the Mrp- and Pha-type antiporters (Kajiyama et al. 2007; Putnoky et al. 1998); and the ion transporter (IT) superfamily, including NhaA (TC 2.A.33), NhaB (TC 2.A.34), NhaC (TC 2.A.35), NhaD (TC 2.A.62) and NhaE (TC 2.A.111) families (Padan et al. 2015; Pinner et al. 1992; Ito et al. 1997; Liu et al. 2005; Sousa et al. 2013).

Although cation/proton antiporters are responsible for pH homeostasis, which allows bacteria to tolerate environmental stresses, different antiporters with diverse functions have evolved in neutrophilic and alkaliphilic bacteria. For example, NhaA and NhaB are major Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters in Escherichia coli and other enterobacteria. NhaA plays an essential role during alkaline stress by adjusting intracellular pH, whereas NhaB functions in neutral conditions (Pinner et al. 1992). The NhaD antiporter allows for survival in high salinity and alkalinity, and is mainly associated with haloalkaliphiles, such as Alkalimonas amylolytica (Liu et al. 2005), Halomonas elongata (Kurz et al. 2006), Halobacillus dabanensis (Zhang et al. 2014) and Halomonas alkaliphila (Wang et al. 2017). The Mrp antiporter, distinct from the single gene-encoded antiporter, is composed of six to seven subunits. This heterooligomeric antiporter usually exists in alkaliphilic Bacillus strains and has a crucial role at high alkalinity (Kajiyama et al. 2007). A similar antiporter complex, Pha, exists in Rhizobium meliloti and is mainly responsible for potassium proton exchange (Putnoky et al. 1998).

Halomonas alkalicola CICC 11012s, deposited at the China Center of Industrial Culture Collection (CICC), was isolated from a soap-making tank (pH > 10) in a household-product plant in China. The strain is able to grow in medium with 0–80 g/L NaCl and pH 7.0–12.5 (Tang et al. 2017). A striking feature of this strain is its extreme alkaliphilic tolerance. In this study, the genome of strain CICC 11012s was sequenced and analyzed to investigate the potential

mechanisms for pH homeostasis. Through genome annotation and screening, four putative antiporters were characterized and their roles in response to alkaline stress were explored.

# Materials and methods

### **Strains and plasmids**

*H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s was previously isolated from a soap-making tank and cultured in tryptone soya agar (TSA). Two antiporter-deficient *E. coli* strains were selected to explore the functions of *H. alkalicola* antiporters: namely, *E. coli* KNabc, deficient in three Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter encoding genes (*nhaA*, *nhaB* and *chaA*), and *E. coli* TK2420, deficient in K<sup>+</sup> uptake transporter encoding genes (*kdp*, *kup* and *trk*). *E. coli* KNabc (Nozaki et al. 1996) and *E. coli* TK2420 (Epstein et al. 1993) were cultured in LBK medium. *E. coli* Trans1-T1 and vector pUC19 were used for gene cloning. The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1; the primers used in this study are listed in supplemental Table 1.

# Growth experiments under alkaline and saline conditions

Triplicate growth studies were performed in 96-well plates for determining the alkaline and saline tolerance of *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s. Tryptone soya broth (TSB; 200  $\mu$ L) of various pH values (7.0–12.0) and NaCl concentrations (0–60 g/L) was aliquoted into the wells. Cells with exponential growth in TSB (OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.5) were then inoculated into the broth. After 24 h incubation, absorbance data (OD<sub>600</sub>) were collected to illustrate growth under alkaline and salt conditions using SpectraMax<sup>®</sup> M2 multifunctional platereading machine (Molecular Device, Sunnyvale, USA).

Strains and plasmids	Characteristics	Origin
Halomonas alkalicola CICC 11012s	Alkaliphilic and halophilic strain	Our laboratory
E. coli Trans1-T1	Strain for gene cloning	Transgen Biotech
E. coli KNabc	<i>E. coli</i> mutant ( $\Delta$ <i>nhaA</i> , $\Delta$ <i>nhaB</i> and $\Delta$ <i>chaA</i> )	Nozaki et al. (1996)
E. coli TK2420	<i>E. coli</i> mutant ( $\Delta kdp$ , $\Delta kup$ and $\Delta trk$ )	Epstein et al. (1993)
Plasmids		
pUC19	Cloning vector	Our laboratory
pUC19–HaNhaD	pUC19 with HaNhaD	This study
pUC19–HaNhaP	pUC19 with HaNhaP	This study
pUC19–HaPhaD	pUC19 with HaPhaD	This study
pUC19-HaMrpD	pUC19 with HaMrpD	This study

Table 1Strains and plasmidsused in this study

#### Genome preparation, sequencing and annotation

Genomic DNA was extracted from cells during the exponential growth phase using the TIANamp Bacteria Genomic DNA Kit (Tiangen, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Qualification and quantification of the prepared DNA was measured using BioDrop µLite (Bio-Drop, Cambridge, UK). The integrity of the molecular weight fragments was verified on a 1% agarose gel. The genome of H. alkalicola CICC 11012s was sequenced on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 platform. Briefly, genomic DNA was randomly fragmented and then DNA fragments of appropriate lengths were retained by electrophoresis. Adapters were ligated to the fragments to construct the bacterial sequencing (BS) library. After quality testing, the qualified BS library was used for sequencing. Short reads were assembled into a genome sequence using SOAPdenovo (Li et al. 2010; Li et al. 2008b). The key parameter K, set at 79, was determined by optimal assembly results for the sample. The assembly results were then locally assembled and optimized according to paired-end and overlap relationships by mapping reads to contigs. The genes were predicted from the assembly results using Glimmer (Delcher et al. 1999; Salzberg et al. 1998; Delcher et al. 2007), which was developed for microorganisms, such as bacteria, archaea and viruses.

Tandem repeats were predicted using Tandem Repeat Finder (Benson 1999), and minisatellite and microsatellite DNAs were selected based on the number and length of repeated units. rRNAmmer (Lagesen et al. 2007), tRNAscan (Lowe and Eddy 1997) and Infernal software and the Rfam (Gardner et al. 2009) database were used to predict the rRNA, tRNA and sRNA, respectively.

Function annotation was accomplished by protein sequence analysis. The genes were aligned with databases to obtain the corresponding annotations. To ensure the biological meaning, the highest quality alignment was chosen as the gene annotation. Function annotation of genes was completed using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) against the non-redundant database (Version: 20121005), Cluster of Orthologous Groups of proteins (COGs) (Tatusov et al. 1997, 2003) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGGs) databases (Kanehisa 1997; Kanehisa et al. 2003, 2006).

The RAST and KEGG databases were used to analyze alkali-related genes, which are mainly responsible for the synthesis of cell wall structure, plasma membrane lipid composition, metabolisms for acid generation, bioenergetics and cation/proton antiporters that catalyze active proton transport, such as Na<sup>+</sup> (Li<sup>+</sup>)/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters and K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporters.

# Characterization of monovalent cation/proton antiporters

Genes encoding HaNhaD, HaNhaP, HaPhaD and HaMrpD were amplified from genomic DNA by PCR. *Bam*HI and *Hind*III restriction sites were inserted in the upstream and downstream regions, respectively, of the target genes. The PCR product was purified using Cycle-Pure kit (Omega, Norcross, USA), digested with *Bam*HI and *Hind*III (Takara, Dalian, China), and ligated into the pUC19 plasmid at these two sites using T4 DNA ligase (Takara, Dalian, China). The resulting recombinant plasmids, designated as pUC19–*HaNhaD*, pUC19–*HaNhaP*, pUC19–*HaPhaD* and pUC19–*HaNhaP*, were transformed into competent *E. coli* Trans-T1 cells by chemical transformation. After PCR verification, the recombinant plasmids were isolated and transformed into *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420. Primers used in this work are listed in supplemental Table 1.

Characterizations of the four putative antiporters mentioned above were carried out in *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420. Recombinant cells harboring pUC19 in *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420 were considered as corresponding controls. LBK broths of different NaCl concentrations from 0 to 600 mM were used to investigate Na<sup>+</sup> resistance in *E. coli* KNabc recombinants. Minimal medium with various KCl concentrations from 0 to 100 mM were chosen to explore K<sup>+</sup> resistance using *E. coli* TK2420 recombinants. LBK medium containing 100 mM NaCl with different pH values were used to test pH resistance. The pH of the broth was adjusted incrementally with Tris–HCl buffer (50 mM) to 6.0, 7.0, 8.0 and 9.0 (Cheng et al. 2016).

The translated amino acid sequence of HaNhaD was analyzed using BLASTP software. Comparisons between HaNhaD and NhaD, a similar antiporter from close relatives, were carried out by ESPript 3.0 (Gouet et al. 1999).

# Expression analysis in H. alkalicola CICC 11012s

Cells in mid-logarithmic phase (approximately,  $OD_{600} = 0.8-1.0$ ) grown in 30 g/L NaCl at pH 7.5, 9.0 and 11.0 were collected. RNA was extracted from *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s using the TRIzol-based method. Reverse transcription and gDNA removal were done with TransScript All-in-One First-Strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qPCR (Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China). The expression of *HaNhaD*, *HaNhaP*, *HaPhaD* and *HaMrpD* were quantified with real-time PCR on an ABI Prism 7500 System (ABI, Carlsbad, USA) using TransStart Green qPCR SuperMix (Transgen Biotech, Beijing, China). The 16S rRNA gene was used as a standard.

# Results

## **Tolerance to alkalinity and salinity**

Triplicate growth tests were performed in 96-well plates with various pH values (7.0–12.0) and NaCl concentrations (0–60 g/L). As shown in Fig. 1, *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s could tolerate a wide pH range, from pH 7.0 to 12.0, indicating the evolution of special adaptive mechanisms for high alkalinity. The species of genus *Halomonas* can generally withstand high salinity; however, strain CICC 11012s did not survive in a high salt environment. The growth of strain CICC 11012s in optimal conditions (pH 9.0 and 30 g/L NaCl) enabled genome and expression analysis.

### Genome sequence and annotation

The genome sequence of *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s has been deposited in NCBI database under accession number SRP 102919. Shotgun genome sequencing by Illumina HiSeq 2000 produced a total of 9,703,192 reads and 1288 Mb of data. Based on the assembly, the genome of *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s is 3,511,738 bp and had a GC content of 67.67%. The number of scaffolds was 142 and the number of contigs was 243. No plasmids were found. Glimmer predicted 3295 coding sequences, with the total length of genes being 3,105,471 bp and representing 88.37% of the genome. The specific gene information,



**Fig. 1** Heat maps of growth tests for *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s cultured in TSB with different pH (7.0–12.0) and salinity (0–60 g/L) values. The color bar at the right denotes the  $OD_{600}$  value of growth tests

predictions of repeat regions and non-coding RNA are listed in Fig. 2 and Table 2.

The COGs, KEGGs and non-redundant protein databases were used to annotate the predicted genes. Proteins were divided into twenty bins according to their functions in the COG database (Table 3). The adaptive strategies for bacteria in response to alkaline stress includes regulating cell wall structure and membrane lipid composition; improving membrane transport and bioenergetics activities; and promoting metabolic alterations to generate acids. Genome analysis indicated that the complete cell wall and plasma membrane lipid biosynthesis pathways, involving more than 200 genes, were found in strain CICC 11012s. Interestingly, genome analysis also identified a special pathway for teichuronic acid biosynthesis, which is usually present in Gram-positive bacteria, and unsaturated fatty acid biosynthesis pathways, which improves membrane fluidity and increases stress tolerance. Furthermore, 134 genes associated with ATPase activities and 57 genes involved in cytochrome action in the respiratory chain were annotated, thereby enabling energy production to deal with changing surroundings. An additional 17 genes were identified that encode deaminases, such as cytosine deaminase, L-serine deaminase, D-serine deaminase and adenosine deaminase, which produced acids that lowered intracellular pH in alkaline environments. Most importantly, four kinds of antiporters (HaNhaD, HaNhaP, HaPha and HaMrp) that played a crucial role in handling alkaline stress were identified by genome annotation.

### Sequence analysis of four cation/proton antiporters

HaNhaD was homologous to NhaD antiporters from Halomonas shengliensis and Halomonas elongata with 89 and 87% sequence similarities, respectively. HaMrp was an antiporter complex that contains seven MrpA-G units, in which MrpA and MrpD were major components and might play an important role in sodium proton exchange. HaMrpD had 86% sequence identity with that from Halomonas dagingensis. HaNhaP was a putative K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter and showed 86, 85 and 84% sequence similarities with NhaP from H. dagingensis, Halomonas aquamarina and Halomonas pantelleriensis, respectively. HaPha was similar to HaMrp and also composed of six units (PhaC-G and PhaA/B that were predicted as PhaA or PhaB), the difference being that HaPha was responsible for potassium efflux and proton influx. PhaD was the core unit and shared 81% identity with that from H. dagingensis. The specific sizes and arrangements of these antiporter genes or gene clusters within the genome were shown in supplemental Fig. 1. The GenBank accession numbers of HaMrpD, HaNhaD, HaNhaP and HaPhaD were MF488960 to MF488963 deposited in NCBI database.

Fig. 2 Circular genome map of H. alkalicola CICC 11012s. From inner to outer: 1, GC skew (GC Skew is calculated using a sliding window, as (G - C)/(G + C), with the value plotted as the deviation from the average GC skew of the entire sequence); 2, GC content (plotted using a sliding window, as the deviation from the average GC content of the entire sequence); 3, tRNA/rRNA; 4 and 5, CDS (colored according to COG function categories, where 4 is the reverse strand and 5 is the forward strand)



Table 2	Genome statistics of H.
alkalico	la CICC 11012s

Attribute	Value	Attribute	Value
Genome size (bp)	3,514,327	Tandem repeat number	306
GC content (%)	67.66	Total length (bp)	16,228
DNA scaffolds	142	Repeat size (bp)	6–222
DNA contig	243	Tandem repeat length/genome (%)	0.4618
Genes number	3295	rRNA number	2
Total length (bp)	3,105,471	tRNA number	57
Gene length/genome (%)	88.37	sRNA number	0
Minisatellite DNA number	221	Microsatellite DNA number	16

#### The functions of four cation/proton antiporters

HaNhaD, HaNhaP, HaPhaD and HaMrpD were amplified and ligated into cloning vector pUC19. To confirm the antiporter function, the recombinant plasmids were expressed in antiporter-deficient *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420. *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420 recombinants carrying only pUC19 were used as controls.

To explore Na<sup>+</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> transport capabilities, all *E. coli* KNabc strains mentioned above were grown in LBK

medium with various NaCl and LiCl concentrations ranging from 0 to 600 mM. Results revealed that *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaNhaD*) and *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaMrpD*) exhibited enhanced Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance in the presence of 100–500 mM NaCl over *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19), thereby indicating that these two antiporters are mainly responsible for Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> exchange (Fig. 3a). Furthermore, *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaNhaD*) grew better than *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaMrpD*) in 200–600 mM NaCl and it was the only strain that was able to tolerate Li<sup>+</sup> even up to 500 mM

Table 3	Genome annotation	of <i>H</i> .	alkalicola	CICC	11012s
---------	-------------------	---------------	------------	------	--------

Description	Value	%	
Cell cycle control, cell division, chromosome partition- ing	46	1.5	
Cell motility	36	1.1	
Cell wall/membrane/envelope biogenesis	192	6.1	
Defense mechanisms	107	3.4	
Extracellular structures	19	0.6	
Intracellular trafficking, secretion and vesicular transport	34	1.1	
Posttranslational modification, protein turnover, chap- erones	149	4.7	
Signal transduction mechanisms	162	5.2	
Chromatin structure and dynamics	4	0.1	
Replication, recombination and repair	138	4.4	
RNA processing and modification	1	0.0	
Transcription	188	6.0	
Translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis	238	7.6	
Amino acid transport and metabolism	277	8.8	
Carbohydrate transport and metabolism	140	4.5	
Coenzyme transport and metabolism	189	6.0	
Energy production and conversion	211	6.7	
Inorganic ion transport and metabolism	186	5.9	
Lipid transport and metabolism	155	4.9	
Mobilome: prophages, transposons	57	1.8	
Nucleotide transport and metabolism	82	2.6	
Secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism	81	2.6	
Function unknown	187	6.0	
General function prediction only	263	8.4	

(Fig. 3b). In contrast, the Na<sup>+</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> resistance tests did not reveal any difference between *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaN-haP*), *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaPhaD*) and *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19) (Fig. 3a, b), which suggested that HaNhaP and HaPhaD antiporter activities were not associated with sodium.

*E. coli* TK2420 strains expressing the four antiporters were selected to test K<sup>+</sup> transport in minimal medium using various KCl concentrations from 0 to 100 mM. The expression of *HaNhaP* and *HaPhaD* resulted in weak growth of recombinant *E. coli* TK2420, compared with the control, at low potassium concentrations (0–40 mM; Fig. 3c), which implied that HaNhaP and HaPhaD were capable of exporting potassium. Moreover, *E. coli* TK2420 (pUC19–*HaNhaD*) showed remarkable growth in the presence of 20 mM potassium, indicating that HaNhaD contributes to potassium import; however, no difference was detected between *E. coli* TK2420 (pUC19–*HaMrpD*) and *E. coli* TK2420 (pUC19).

The influence of pH on the four antiporters was assessed using LBK medium, with 100 mM NaCl at different pH values. When compared to the control, *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaNhaD*) exhibited better complementation under acidic, neutral and alkaline conditions; however, *HaMrpD* expression supported growth of the antiporter-deficient *E. coli* strain only in neutral and alkaline conditions. Furthermore, no difference was detected between *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaNhaP*), *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19–*HaPhaD*) and *E. coli* KNabc (pUC19) at pH 6.0–9.0 (Fig. 3d).

### Expression analysis in H. alkalicola CICC 11012s

The optimal growth conditions for strain CICC 11012s were pH 9.0 and 30 g/L NaCl. Cells grown to mid-logarithmic phase at pH 7.5, 9.0 and 11.0 in 30 g/L NaCl were collected and RNA was extracted for expression analysis. Real-time PCR analysis shows that the four antiporter genes were upregulated with pH augmentation. *HaNhaD* exhibited high expression at pH 9.0, whereas *HaMrpD* displayed maximum expression levels under pH 11.0. Similar results were obtained for genes encoding K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter activities; *HaNhaP* was up-regulated at pH 9.0 and *HaPhaD* showed maximum activity at pH 11.0 (Fig. 4).

# Discussion

To accommodate alkaline pH and high external osmotic pressure, haloalkaliphiles have developed a series of mechanisms for cytoplasmic pH homeostasis and osmotic balance. They also have efficient energetic conversion systems to support survival and propagation in harsh environments. H. alkalicola CICC 11012s, isolated from a soap-making tank (pH > 10), is a typical haloalkaliphile whose growth requires 0.5 M of total Na<sup>+</sup> as the lowest limit and pH > 8.5(Banciu and Muntyan 2015). A comparison of pH and NaCl growth requirements between strain CICC 11012s and its closest relatives is shown in Table 4. Interestingly, H. alkalicola CICC 11012s is able to grow at pH 12.5, higher than any other Halomonas strains; however, it has the lowest observed Na<sup>+</sup> tolerance and cannot survive above 80 g/L NaCl. The reasons for strain CICC 11012s' survival in such high pH intrigued us to further explore the mechanisms of pH homeostasis.

In alkaline environments, membrane structure adjustments, intracellular pH maintenance and cation concentrations are important for bacteria. Whole genome sequences reveal that *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s has developed potential adaptive strategies to cope with severe environments. For instance, strain CICC 11012s has developed complete pathways, involving 192 genes, for cell wall biosynthesis. Strikingly, a special pathway for teichuronic acid biosynthesis, which is usually present in the cell wall components of Gram-positive bacteria, was also found in strain CICC 11012s. Negative charges on teichuronic acid could give the cell surface the ability to absorb sodium and protons, as well



Fig. 3 Complementation assays of four antiporters in *E. coli* KNabc or *E. coli* TK2420 at different concentrations of NaCl, LiCl, KCl and different pH values. A, growth of *E. coli* KNabc recombinants with four antiporters in LBK medium at NaCl concentrations ranging from 0 to 600 mM; B, growth of *E. coli* KNabc recombinants with



Fig. 4 Expressions of *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s antiporters genes under alkaline environments



four antiporters in LBK medium at LiCl concentrations ranging from 0 to 600 mM; C, growth of *E. coli* TK2420 with four antiporters in minimum medium at various KCl concentrations ranging from 0 to 100 mM. D, growth of *E. coli* KNabc recombinants with four antiporters in LBK medium at different pH values

as repulse hydroxide ions, thereby enabling the cell to grow in an alkaline environment (Aono 1987). Four genes encoding 3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenases were annotated. These dehydrogenases are involved in fatty acid elongation to form unsaturated fatty acids with lower melting temperatures, thus improving membrane fluidity and increasing stresses tolerance (Banciu et al. 2005). A pathway for squalene biosynthesis also was detected. These products are located in the cell membrane and increase alkaline tolerance by compacting the bilayer to lower cation and proton permeability (Hauß et al. 2002).

Plasma membrane lipids and fatty acid components are also important for bacteria to cope with adverse conditions. Five cardiolipin synthases were identified in the genome. Cardiolipin plays a significant role in stabilizing respiratory chain components that assist in cytochrome c oxidase **Table 4** Growth conditions andcation proton antiporters of *H*.alkalicola and its relatives

Strains <sup>a</sup>	pH range (optimum)	NaCl range (%) (optimum)	Monovalent cation proton antiporters
Halomonas alkalicola	7.0-12.5 (9.0-10.0)	0-8 (0-2)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP, Pha
Halomonas elongata	5.0-9.0 (-)	3.5-20 (3.5-8)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP
Halomonas campaniensis	7.0–10.0 (9.0)	0–16 (10)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP, Pha
Halomonas shengliensis	8.0-9.0 (8.5)	0–15 (5–15)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP, Pha
Halomonas huangheensis	5.0-12.0 (7.0)	1-20 (7-10)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP
Halomonas korlensis	6.0–10.0 (8.5–9.0)	0.5-25 (6-10)	NhaD, Mrp, NhaP, Pha
Halomonas saccharevitans	6.0–10.0 (7.0–8.0)	0.5–15 (3–7.5)	NhaD, Mrp, Pha

Mrp Mrp Operon (MrpA-G), Pha Pha Operon (MrpA-G); - not reported

<sup>a</sup>Growth data were from literature: *Halomonas alkalicola* (Tang et al. 2017); *Halomonas elongata* (Vreeland et al. 1980); *Halomonas campaniensis* (Romano et al. 2005); *Halomonas shengliensis* (Wang et al. 2007); *Halomonas huangheensis* (Miao et al. 2014); *Halomonas korlensis* (Li et al. 2008a); *Halomonas saccharevitans* (Xu et al. 2007)

activity (Arias-Cartin et al. 2012), alkaliphilic adaptation (Krulwich 2006) and salt-stress response (De et al. 2009). Over 100 genes associated with ATPases and cytochromes, including cytochrome c oxidase, were identified, indicating that the strain has the potential to regulate energetic systems in extreme surroundings (Sorokin et al. 2013).

It is well known that monovalent cation/proton antiporters play an indispensable role in pH homeostasis. Antiporters of *H. alkalicola*, and its relatives, were searched in the genome profiles. Like other *Halomonas* members, *H. alkalicola* harbors proficient antiporters, such as NhaD, Mrp, NhaP and Pha (Table 3), to tolerate high alkalinity. To investigate the function of the four antiporters in response to alkalinity, *HaNhaD*, *HaNhaP*, *HaPhaD* and *HaMrpD* were expressed in antiporter-deficient *E. coli* KNabc and *E. coli* TK2420. Complementation assays indicate that HaNhaD and HaMrp are mainly responsible for Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> exchange, while HaNhaP and HaPhaD exhibit K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter activities.

The Na<sup>+</sup> cycle is crucial for pH homeostasis; however, intracellular Na<sup>+</sup> accumulation might be toxic to bacteria. Results demonstrate that HaNhaD is able to complement antiporter-deficient *E. coli* KNabc and confers Na<sup>+</sup> and Li<sup>+</sup> tolerance to strain CICC 11012s, thereby indicating that HaNhaD is mainly responsible for Na<sup>+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup> exchange. Meanwhile, HaNhaD exhibited antiporter activity in *E. coli* KNabc under acidic, neutral and alkaline conditions, with higher optical density maxima than other antiporters. HaNhaD was also up-regulated at high alkalinity, implying that it is the most significant *H. alkalicola* CICC 11012s antiporter.

The full-length HaNhaD nucleotide sequence is 1485 bp in length and is predicted to encode a protein of 494 amino acids with a molecular weight of 54 kDa. Amino acid sequence analysis shows that HaNhaD has the highest homology to NhaD from *H. shengliensis* and *H. elongata* with 89 and 87% similarities, respectively. Transmembrane topology predictions using online software HMMTOP indicate that HaNhaD has fourteen transmembrane helices that are characteristic of NhaD-type antiporters (Tusnády and Simon 2001). Furthermore, it possesses the conserved Asp (358) and Thr (359) residues (Fig. 5), which are crucial for the activity of antiporters in the NhaD family (Ostroumov et al. 2002). In addition, HaNhaD has a highly variable region at the N-terminus that is predicted to confer different pH and Na<sup>+</sup> tolerances to bacteria. Further research focusing on *HaNhaD*-deficient mutants and HaNhaD structures is required.

The HaMrp complex, a Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter composed of HaMrp A-G subunits, is similar to Mrp from the alkaliphilic Bacillus strains, which have MrpA and MrpD as major subunits (Morino et al. 2008). Complementation and expression profiles reveal that HaMrpD functions in neutral and alkaline environments and achieves its highest expression level at pH 11.0, indicating that HaMrp probably participates in pH adjustment mainly under alkaline conditions. This might be an economic way for bacteria to handle harsh surroundings, such as high alkalinity and salinity. When strain CICC 11012s was subjected to alkaline condition, HaNhaD was likely triggered first because HaNhaD is encoded by a single gene and is easily up-regulated by bacteria. As pH increases, HaNhaD alone cannot handle the increase in protons; thus the HaMrp antiporter complex begins promoting the influx of protons in exchange with sodium. As a result, HaNhaD and HaMrp work together to regulate intracellular pH homeostasis and Na<sup>+</sup> resistance in response to extreme alkalinity and salinity.

Potassium is another major monovalent cation involved in bacterial regulation of intracellular pH, enzyme activation and osmolality, as it is an important osmotic solute. Like sodium, excessive amounts of intracellular K<sup>+</sup> are detrimental to bacteria (Epstein 2003). Complementation assays reveal that HaNhaP and HaPhaD are mainly responsible for K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter activity. Although the expression levels of *HaNhaP* and *HaPhaD* were lower than that of *HaNhaD* and *HaMrpD*, a similar mechanism was detected in K<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup>

	1	10	20	3	0	40	50	60	70	
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD HspNhaD AaNhaD	.MHTIDGI MMLQRFCF .MPTLHND .MPSFGRQ .MLTINNT .MLTIRCI .MLTIRCI .MLN.TCF .MLTLHHD .MQSLRCV	AS RPF PAGGCRRHF PGQLF TLRPF TLRPF TPHGC .PSRPW TSHWPF PGQPF 'SW	RRSAWWSSLI RLPDRLALLS RVSRCWP LQRRWPVLI LQRRWPVLI QSARWLRLI ROFARWPGMI WTRGWL	VFACFALL CLPILFLL LLAALLLC VLACLL IIALTSML WPAILAIL MLALVALF LLAALLLL LAGLLCLL	F SP AAHAVT AS. PT LAAT I SP SAFAVT F SP AAFAVT I SP AAFAVT I SP AAFAVT AS G PV LAVT A SP AAFAVT F ST PVF AAS.	G.ELDMTG G.GLDLTT G.DIDLTS G.ELDLTG G.ELDLTG G.ELNLTG G.ELNLTG G.ELDLTS G.ELDLTS G.EIDLTS G.EIDLTS	LVGVLAVS FVGFLAVA TVGFFAVA VVGLVAVS SVGFFALA LVGVVAVA FVGLLAVA AIGFFAVA LVGFVCIA	IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV IFVLAYALV	MGEEKLHME MAEEKLHME MGEEKLHME MGEEKLHME MAEEKLHME MAEEKIHME MAEEKIHME MAEEKIHME MGEEKLHME	KSKPVLV KSKPVLV KSKPVLV KSKPVLV KSKPVLV KSKPVLI KSKPVLV KSKPVLV KSKPVLV
1	80	90	100	110	120	130	14	<u>•</u> 1	50	160
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD HspNhaD AaNhaD	AACHIWAI AACIIWGI AACIIWGI AACIIWGI AACIIWGI AACIIWGI AACIIWAI AACVIWGI AACLIWII	IGWVYVOS IGWVYVKA IGWVYVOA IGWVYVOA IGWVYVOA IGWVYVON IGWVYVAA IGWVYVAA	MPNEADHAE MSDTSEHAE MSDTSEHAE LPDDADHAE MSADSEHAE MSADSEHAE MSATSEYAE MSETSEYAE	RETLLEFT RETLLEFS RVTLLEFT HATLLEFT ROTLLEFT RMTLLEFT RMTLLEFT RVTLLEFT RNTLLEFT	E LMLF LLVAE LMLF LLVA	MTY INAME MTY INAME MTY INAME MTY INAME MTY INAME MTY INAME MTY INALE MTY INALE MTY INALE MTY INALE	RRVFDALR RRVFDALR RRVFDALR RRAFDVLR RRVFDALR RRVFDALR RRVFDALR RRAFDALR RRVFDALR RRVFDALR	SWMVRKKGFS SWMVRKGFF SWMVRKGFF SWMVRKGFS SWMVRKGFS SWMVRKGFS SWMVRKGFS SWML SWML RKGFS SWML	YRCLFWITT YRMLFWITT YRCLFWITT YRTLFWITT YRTLFWITT YRALFWITT YRALFWITT YRALFWITT YRTLFWITT YRTLFWITT YNTLFWITT	VLAFLIS ILAFVIS SLAFVIS VLAFCIS VLAFCIS SLAFVIS GLAFMLS FLSFFIS
	170	180	190	2	00	210	220	230	240	
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD HspNhaD AaNhaD	PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI PIADNLTI	ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI ALLMCAVVI	KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE KVAE GDKRE	INLCCINI INLACUNI INLACUNI INLACUNI INLACUNI INLCCINI INLACINI INLACINI INLCCINI	VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA VVAANAGGA	FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT FSPFGDITT	LMVWQAGM CLMVWQAGI CLMVWQAGI CLMVWQAGI CLMVWQAGL CLMVWQAGL CLMVWQAGL CLMVWQAGL CLMVWQAGL	IAFHEFFVL VHFQEFFAL IQFQEFFIL IQFQEFFVL IHFQEFFSL IEFQEFFAL IEFYEFFEL IQFQEFFIL VRIDEFLVL	LVPSLVNFI LVPSLVNFI LPSLVNFI LGPSLVNFI LIPAVVNFI LVPSLVNFV LIPSLINFI EIPSLVNFI	IPAVVMS IPAVVMS IPAVAMS VPAVVMS VPAVVMS IPAVVMS IPAVVMS IPAVVMS
2 !	50	260	270	280	290	300	31	0 3	20	330
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD HspNhaD AaNhaD	AFIKNRKF AFIONRKF IFIKDQKF FFIKNRKP FFIKNRVF FFIKNRKF CFIONRKF MFIKNQKF FFVEKROF	$\begin{array}{c} D S  V_{Q} \in D V \ W \\ A G \ L \in D  D  V \ W \\ A G \ L \in D  D  V \ W \\ S S \ V Y \in D  V \ W \\ S S \ V Y \in D  V \ W \\ D S \ U S C \ D \ V \ V \\ D S \ U S C \ D \ V \ V \\ D S \ U S C \ D \ V \ V \\ D S \ L E C \ D \ V \ V \\ D S \ L E C \ D \ V \ W \\ D S S \ V Y E \ D \ V \ U \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V Y E \ D \ V \ C \\ S \ A \ V S \ C \ C \ A \ C $	KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII KRGARRII	LFLLTVAT LFFLTVAT LFLLTVAT LFLLTVAT LFLLTVAT LFLLTVAT LFLLTVAT LFLLTVAT	AVACHTILN AVACHTILH AVICHTILH AVICHTILH AVACHTILH AVACHTILH AVACHTILH AVACHTILH AVICHSILH	L P P VL GMMT L P P VL GMMT	GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF GLGYLQFF	GYFLRRTLP GYYLROSLP GYYLRRSLP GYFLRRTLP GFYLRRSTLP GFYLRRTLP GYFLRRTLP GYFLRRTLP GYFLRMTLP	RSLEKKRTE RSLERKRTE RSLEKKRTE RSLORKRTE RSLORKRTE RSLEKKRTE RSLERKRTE RSLERKRTE RSLERKRTE RSLERKRTE	YTORCD YTORCDW YTORCDW YSROCDN YYSROCDW YYORCDW YSORCDW YSORCDW YSORCDW YSRLCDN IAERECDO
	340	350	360	3	7 <u>0</u>	380	390	400	410	
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD HspNhaD AaNhaD	KLLAOLGO KKLLEOLGO KKLLEOLGO KKLLEOLGO KKLLESLGO KKLLESLGO KKLLESLGO KKLLEGLGO KKLLEGLGO	VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN VVPFDVFN	VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI VARAEWDTI	LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM LFFYGVVM	CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFMG CVGGLGFLG	YLTMVSEAI YLGLLSDMI YLGLLSEAI YLAMLSESI YLGLLSDIM YLGLLSEAI YLGLLSDAI YLGLLSDAI YLGLLSDAI	YGNLGATW YTQWHATW YTGWNATW YSGWGATN YTGWNATW YTGWNATG YTGWNATG YTGWNATG YTGWNATG YTGWNATG YTGWNATG	AN IALGVIS AN IALGVVS AN IALGVVS AN IALGLIS AN IALGLIS AN IVLGLIS AN IVLGLIS AN ILLGVIS AN IALGVS	AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV AVVDNIPV	AFAVLTMO AFAVLTME AFAVLTME AFAVLTME AFAVLTME AFAVLTME AFAVLTMO AFAVLTMO
4 :	20	430	440	450	460	470	48	• 4	90	
HaNhaD HeNhaD HcNhaD HsNhaD HhNhaD HkNhaD HscNhaD	PEMSHGHW PDMSHGHW PEMSHGHW PEMSHGHW PEMSHGHW PEMSHGHW PDMSHGHW	ILLITLTAGY ILLITLTAGY ILLITLTAGY ILLITLTAGY ILLITLTAGY ILLITLTAGY	VGGSLLSIGS VGGSLLSVGS VGGSLLSIGS VGGSLLSIGS VGGSLLSIGS VGGSLLSIGS	AAGVALMG AAGVALMG AAGVAVMG AAGVAVMG AAGVALMG AAGVALMG	Q AR GN YTFM Q AR GN YTFM Q AR GA YTFM Q AR GN YTFM Q AR GY YTFF O AR GS YTFM	GHLRWAPVI GHLRWTPVI GHLRWAPVI GHLRWAPVI GHLRWAPVI GHLRWAPVI	A VGYAASV A LGYIASV F LGYVASI A LGYAASI A VGYAASV A LGYIASV	AT HLWLNAH AT HLWLNGH LVHLWLNAD AT HLWLNAG AT HLWLNAG AT HLWLNAE	SFTVFN SFALHG SFAVFG TFQVFG SFVTP. SFTVFG	

Fig. 5 Multiple alignment of amino acids sequences of HaNhaD with NhaD-type antiporters of other  $\gamma$ -proteobacteria. HaNhaD, *H. alka-licola*; HeNhaD, *H. elongata*; HcNhaD *H. campaniensis*; HsNhaD,

antiporter regulation. HaNhaP exhibits potassium and proton exchange abilities in neutral and alkaline environments, whereas HaPhaD plays a role in high alkalinity.

In summary, the genome of strain CICC 11012s, a double extremophile isolated from a soap-making tank, was sequenced and analyzed. Results show that this strain has developed a complete set of adaptive strategies in response to extreme alkalinity and salinity. Adjustments to the cell wall structure and plasma membrane lipid composition allow CICC 11012s to more easily adapt to harsh surroundings. Strikingly, four antiporters associated with Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> and

H. shengliensis; HhNhaD, H. huangheensis; HkNhaD, H. korlensis; HscNhaD, H. saccharevitans; HspNhaD, H. sp. Y2; AaNhaD, A. anylolytica

 $K^+/H^+$  tolerance were characterized. Results indicate that these four antiporters exhibit different physiological roles in different situations and work together to support the propagation of the strain in alkaline and saline environments.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by the Fund of National Infrastructure of Microbial Resources (No. NIMR2017-4). The authors thank Professor Yanfen Xue (Institute of Microbiology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China) and Professor Jun Liu (Tianjin Institute of Industrial Biotechnology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Tianjin, China) for kindly providing *E. coli* KNabc strain and *E. coli* TK2420 strain.

# References

- Aono R (1987) Characterization of structural component of cell walls of alkalophilic strain of *Bacillus* sp. C-125. Preparation of poly (gamma-L-glutamate) from cell wall component. Biochem J 245:467–472. https://doi.org/10.1042/bj2450467
- Aono R, Ito M, Machida T (1999) Contribution of the cell wall component teichuronopeptide to pH homeostasis and alkaliphily in the alkaliphile *Bacillus lentus* C-125. J Bacteriol 181:6600–6606
- Arias-Cartin R, Grimaldi S, Arnoux P, Guigliarelli B, Magalon A (2012) Cardiolipin binding in bacterial respiratory complexes: structural and functional implications. Biochim Biophys Acta 1817:1937–1949. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbabio.2012.04.005
- Banciu H, Muntyan M (2015) Adaptive strategies in the doubleextremophilic prokaryotes inhabiting soda lakes. Curr Opin Microbiol 25:73–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2015.05.003
- Banciu H, Sorokin D, Rijpstra WIC et al (2005) Fatty acid, compatible solute and pigment composition of obligately chemolithoautotrophic alkaliphilic sulfur-oxidizing bacteria from soda lakes. FEMS Microbiol Lett 243:181–187. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. femsle.2004.12.004
- Benson G (1999) Tandem repeats finder: a program to analyze DNA sequences. Nucleic Acids Res 27:573–580. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.2.573
- Blankenhorn D, Phillips J, Slonczewski JL (1999) Acid- and baseinduced proteins during aerobic and anaerobic growth of *Escherichia coli* revealed by two-dimensional gel electrophoresis. J Bacteriol 181:2209–2216
- Chen JS, Reddy V, Chen JH et al (2011) Phylogenetic characterization of transport protein superfamilies: superiority of Superfamily Tree programs over those based on multiple alignments. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 21:83–96. https://doi.org/10.1159/000334611
- Cheng B, Meng Y, Cui Y et al (2016) Alkaline response of a halotolerant alkaliphilic *Halomonas* strain and functional diversity of Its Na + (K +)/H + antiporters. J Biol Chem 291:26056–26065. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m116.751016
- Clejan S, Krulwich TA, Mondrus KR, Seto-Young D (1986) Membrane lipid composition of obligately and facultatively alkalophilic strains of *Bacillus* spp. J Bacteriol 168:334–340. https:// doi.org/10.1128/jb.168.1.334-340.1986
- De LV, Catucci L, Ventrella A et al (2009) Cardiolipin increases in chromatophores isolated from *Rhodobacter sphaeroides* after osmotic stress: structural and functional roles. J Lipid Res 50:256–264. https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.m800312-jlr200
- Delcher AL, Harmon D, Kasif S et al (1999) Improved microbial gene identification with GLIMMER. Nucleic Acids Res 27:4636–4641. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/27.23.4636
- Delcher AL, Bratke KA, Powers EC et al (2007) Identifying bacterial genes and endosymbiont DNA with Glimmer. Bioinformatics 23:673–679. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btm009
- Epstein W (2003) The roles and regulation of potassium in bacteria. Prog Nucleic Acid Res 75:293–320. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0079-6603(03)75008-9
- Epstein W, Buurman E, McLaggan D, Naprstek J (1993) Multiple mechanisms, roles and controls of K<sup>+</sup> transport in *Escherichia coli*. Biochem Soc Trans 21:1006–1010. https://doi. org/10.1042/bst0211006
- Fujisawa M, Wada Y, Tsuchiya T, Ito M (2009) Characterization of *Bacillus subtilis* YfkE (ChaA): a calcium-specific Ca<sup>2+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter of the CaCA family. Arch Microbiol 191:649–657. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-009-0494-7
- Gardner PP, Daub J, Tate JG et al (2009) Rfam: updates to the RNA families database. Nucleic Acids Res 37(Database issue):D136– D140. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkn766

- Gouet P, Courcelle E, Stuart D, Metoz F (1999) ESPript: analysis of multiple sequence alignments in PostScript. Bioinformatics 15:305–308. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/15.4.305
- Hauß T, Dante S, Dencher NA, Haines TH (2002) Squalane is in the midplane of the lipid bilayer: implications for its function as a proton permeability barrier. Biochim Biophys Acta 1556:149– 156. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2728(02)00346-8
- Ito M, Guffanti AA, Zemsky J, Ivey DM, Krulwich TA (1997) Role of the nhaC-encoded Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter of alkaliphilic *Bacillus firmus* OF4. J Bacteriol 179:3851–3857. https://doi. org/10.1128/jb.179.12.3851-3857.1997
- Kajiyama Y, Otagiri M, Sekiguchi J, Kosono S, Kudo T (2007) Complex formation by the mrpABCDEFG gene products, which constitute a principal Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter in *Bacillus subtilis*. J Bacteriol 189:7511–7514. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00968-07
- Kanehisa M (1997) A database for post-genome analysis. Trends Genet 13:375–376. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0168-9525(97)01223-7
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Kawashima S, Okuno Y, Hattori M (2003) The KEGG resource for deciphering the genome. Nucleic Acids Res 32:277–280. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkh063
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M et al (2006) From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D354–D357. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkj102
- Krulwich TA (1995) Alkaliphiles: 'basic' molecular problems of pH tolerance and bioenergetics. Mol Microbiol 15:403–410. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.1995.tb02253.x
- Krulwich TA (2006) Alkaliphilic prokaryotes. Springer, New York
- Kurz M, Brünig A, Galinski E (2006) NhaD type sodium/protonantiporter of *Halomonas elongata*: a salt stress response mechanism in marine habitats? Saline Syst 2:10. https://doi. org/10.1186/1746-1448-2-10
- Lagesen K, Hallin P, Rødland EA et al (2007) RNAmmer: consistent and rapid annotation of ribosomal RNA genes. Nucleic Acids Res 35:3100–3108. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkm160
- Li H, Zhang LP, Chen S (2008a) Halomonas korlensis sp. nov. a moderately halophilic, denitrifying bacterium isolated from saline and alkaline soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 58:2582–2588. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.65711-0
- Li R, Li Y, Kristiansen K, Wang J (2008b) SOAP: short oligonucleotide alignment program. Bioinformatics 24:713–714. https://doi. org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btn025
- Li R, Zhu H, Ruan J et al (2010) De novo assembly of human genomes with massively parallel short read sequencing. Genome Res 20:265–272. https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.097261.109
- Liu J, Xue Y, Wang Q et al (2005) The activity profile of the NhaDtype Na<sup>+</sup> (Li<sup>+</sup>)/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter from the soda lake haloalkaliphile *Alkalimonas amylolytica* is adaptive for the extreme environment. J Bacteriol 187:7589–7595. https://doi.org/10.1128/ jb.187.22.7589-7595.2005
- Lowe TM, Eddy SR (1997) tRNAscan-SE: a program for improved detection of transfer RNA genes in genomic sequence. Nucleic Acids Res 25:955–964. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.5.955
- Ma Y, Zhang W, Xue Y, Zhou P, Ventosa A, Grant WD (2004) Bacterial diversity of the Inner Mongolian Baer Soda Lake as revealed by 16S rRNA gene sequence analyses. Extremophiles 8:45–51. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00792-003-0358-z
- Miao C, Jia F, Wan Y et al (2014) *Halomonas huangheensis* sp. nov. a moderately halophilic bacterium isolated from a saline–alkali soil. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 64:915–920. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.056556-0
- Morino M, Natsui S, Swartz TH, Krulwich TA, Ito M (2008) Single gene deletions of mrpA to mrpG and mrpE point mutations affect activity of the Mrp Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter of alkaliphilic *Bacillus* and formation of hetero-oligomeric Mrp complexes. J Bacteriol 190:4162–4172. https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.00294-08

- Nozaki K, Inaba K, Kuroda T, Tsuda M, Tsuchiya T (1996) Cloning and sequencing of the gene for Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 222:774–779. https://doi.org/10.1006/bbrc.1996.0820
- Ono H, Okuda M, Tongpim S et al (1998) Accumulation of compatible solutes, ectoine and hydroxyectoine, in a moderate halophile, *Halomonas elongata*, KS3 isolated from dry salty land in Thailand. J Ferment Bioeng 85:362–368. https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0922-338x(98)80078-0
- Ostroumov E, Dzioba J, Loewen PC, Dibrov P (2002) Asp (344) and Thr (345) are critical for cation exchange mediated by NhaD, Na(+)/H(+) antiporter of *Vibrio cholerae*. Biochim Biophys Acta 1564:99–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-2736(02)00407-8
- Padan E, Bibi E, Ito M, Krulwich TA (2005) Alkaline pH homeostasis in bacteria: new insights. Biochim Biophys Acta 1717:67–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2005.09.010
- Padan E, Danieli T, Keren Y et al (2015) NhaA antiporter functions using 10 helices, and an additional 2 contribute to assembly/stability. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 112:E5575–E5582. https://doi. org/10.1073/pnas.1510964112
- Pinner E, Padan E, Schuldiner S (1992) Cloning, sequencing and expression of the NhaB gene, encoding a Na<sup>+</sup>: H<sup>+</sup> antiporter in *Escherichia coli*. J Biol Chem 267:11064–11068
- Putnoky P, Kereszt A, Nakamura T et al (1998) The pha gene cluster of *Rhizobium meliloti* involved in pH adaptation and symbiosis encodes a novel type of K<sup>+</sup> efflux system. Mol Microbiol 28:1091–1101. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1998.00868.x
- Romano I, Giordano A, Lama L, Nicolaus B, Gambacorta A (2005) Halomonas campaniensis sp. nov. a haloalkaliphilic bacterium isolated from a mineral pool of Campania Region, Italy. Syst Appl Microbiol 28:610–618. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. syapm.2005.03.010
- Sääf A, Baars L, von Heijne G (2001) The internal repeats in the Na<sup>+</sup>/ Ca<sup>2+</sup> exchanger-related *Escherichia coli* protein YrbG have opposite membrane topologies. J Biol Chem 276:18905–18907. https:// doi.org/10.1074/jbc.m101716200
- Saier MH Jr, Tran CV, Barabote RD (2006) TCDB: the Transporter Classification Database for membrane transport protein analyses and information. Nucleic Acids Res 34:D181–D186. https://doi. org/10.1093/nar/gkj001
- Salzberg SL, Delcher AL, Kasif S, White O (1998) Microbial gene identification using interpolated Markov models. Nucleic Acids Res 26:544–548. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/26.2.544
- Sorokin DY, Banciu H, Robertson LA et al (2013) Halophilic and Haloalkaliphilic Sulfur-Oxidizing Bacteria. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg

- Sousa PM, Videira MA, Vorburger T et al (2013) The novel NhaE-type Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter of the pathogenic bacterium *Neisseria meningitidis*. Arch Microbiol 195:211–217. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00203-012-0856-4
- Tang X, Zhai L, Lin Y et al (2017) Halomonas alkalicola sp. nov., isolated from a household product plant. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 67:1546–1550. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001757
- Tatusov RL, Koonin EV, Lipman DJ (1997) A genomic perspective on protein families. Science 278:631–637. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.278.5338.631
- Tatusov RL, Fedorova ND, Jackson JD et al (2003) The COG database: an updated version includes eukaryotes. BMC Bioinformatics 4:41. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-4-41
- Tusnády GE, Simon I (2001) The HMMTOP transmembrane topology prediction server. Bioinformatics 17:849. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/17.9.849
- Vreeland RH, Litchfield CD, Martin EL, Elliot E (1980) Halomonas elongata, a new genus and species of extremely salt-tolerant bacteria. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 30:485–495. https://doi. org/10.1099/00207713-30-2-485
- Wang Y, Cai H, Chi C et al (2007) *Halomonas shengliensis* sp. nov. a moderately halophilic, denitrifying, crude-oil-utilizing bacterium. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:1222–1226. https://doi.org/10.1099/ ijs.0.64973-0
- Wang Y, Song N, Yang L et al (2017) A novel NhaD-type Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter from the moderate halophile and alkaliphile *Halomonas* alkaliphila. Can J Microbiol 63:596–607. https://doi.org/10.1139/ cjm-2017-0104
- Xu X, Wu Y, Zhou Z et al (2007) *Halomonas saccharevitans* sp. nov. *Halomonas arcis* sp. nov. and *Halomonas subterranea* sp. nov. halophilic bacteria isolated from hypersaline environments of China. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57:1619–1624. https://doi. org/10.1099/ijs.0.65022-0
- Yang C, Wang Z, Li Y et al (2010) Metabolic versatility of halotolerant and alkaliphilic strains of *Halomonas* isolated from alkaline black liquor. Bioresour Technol 101:6778–6784. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.biortech.2010.03.108
- Zhang H, Wang Z, Wang L et al (2014) Cloning and identification of a novel NhaD-type Na<sup>+</sup>/H<sup>+</sup> antiporter from metagenomic DNA of the halophilic bacteria in soil samples around Daban Salt Lake. Extremophiles 18:89–98. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00792-013-0600-2