#### **RESEARCH**



# **Bonding performance and interfacial adaptation of modern bulk‑fll restorative composites after aging in artifcial saliva: an in vitro study**

Paula Maciel Pires<sup>1,2</sup> · Aline de Almeida Neves<sup>1</sup> · Monika Lukomska-Szymanska<sup>3</sup> · Paul Farrar<sup>4</sup> · **Álvaro Ferrando Cascales5 · Salvatore Sauro2**

Received: 21 November 2023 / Accepted: 21 January 2024 / Published online: 3 February 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2024

### **Abstract**

**Objectives** This study aimed at comparing the microtensile bond strength (MTBS) and interfacial adaptation of a modern self-curing and a light-curing restorative bulk-fll composite to a conventional composite applied with the layering technique. **Methods** Forty-eight occlusal cavities were divided in three main groups (16/group) based on tested materials: (i) STELA, bulk-fll self-curing restorative (STELA, SDI Ltd.); (ii) 3 M-BULK, bulk-fll composite (Filtek One Bulk-Fill, 3 M Oral Care); and (iii) 3 M-CTR, a conventional composite (Filtek Supreme XTE, 3 M Oral Care). These were used in combination with their adhesives in self-etch (SE) or etch-and-rinse (ER) mode. Specimens stored in artificial saliva (24 h or 12 months) were evaluated for MTBS and fractography. The interfacial analysis was performed through confocal microscopy. ANOVA and Fisher's LSD post hoc tests were performed with a level of signifcance of 5%.

**Results** All the tested materials applied in ER mode presented (24 h) greater bond strength than in SE mode. Although all materials showed a signifcant drop in the bond strength after prolonged storage, STELA showed the highest bonding performance and interfaces with few gaps. 3 M-BULK had the lowest bond strength and an interface with several voids and gaps. **Conclusions** All materials were afected by interface degradation and bonding reduction over prolonged aging. However, their use in combination with adhesives applied in ER mode may ofer greater immediate bonding performance. Clinical relevance.

The use of restorative light-curing bulk-fll composites may generate gaps at the bonding interface and voids. STELA may represent a suitable alternative to avoid such issues.

**Keywords** Adhesion · Bulk-fll materials · Composite resins · Interfacial adaptation · Interfacial gaps · Resin-dentine interface

 $\boxtimes$  Salvatore Sauro salvatore.sauro@uch.ceu.es

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Pediatric Dentistry and Orthodontics, Universidade Federal Do Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
- Dental Biomaterials & Minimally Invasive Dentistry, Departamento de Odontología, Facultad de Ciencias de La Salud, Universidad CEU Cardenal Herrera, Alfara del Patriarca, 46115 Valencia, Spain
- <sup>3</sup> Department of General Dentistry, Medical University of Lodz, 251 Pomorska St., 92-213 Lodz, Poland
- <sup>4</sup> Research & Development, SDI Limited, Bayswater, Australia
- <sup>5</sup> Department of Biomaterials Engineering, Faculty of Medicine, UCAM, Universidad Católica de Murcia, Campus Los Jerónimos 135, 30107 Guadalupe, Murcia, Spain

## **Introduction**

Dental resin composites consist of a combination of hydrophobic cross-linking resin monomers, silanated inorganic fllers, and photo-sensible activators, which trigger the polymerisation through free radical reaction when irradiated by visible light  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$  $[1, 2]$ . It is well known that conventional composites are characterised by polymerisation shrinkage during light-curing procedures, which results in a volumetric reduction that may jeopardise the integrity of the bonding interface, as well as the longevity of restorations [\[3](#page-13-2), [4\]](#page-13-3). Indeed, such a shrinkage during "rapid photo-polymerisation", in combination with the high elastic modulus developed by the composite, generates internal stresses that can destroy the bonding interfaces between the composite and dental tissues (e.g. dentine and enamel); this leads to gap formation and decrease in bonding performance [\[3](#page-13-2)[–5](#page-13-4)].

Several strategies have been advocated to minimise the deleterious efect of shrinkage stress on the marginal integrity of composite restorations [[6](#page-14-0)]. For instance, techniques based on incremental composite application have been widely demonstrated to reduce cuspal defection, incidence of micro-cracks/fractures in enamel, formation of premature gaps at the resin-dentine interface [[7,](#page-14-1) [8](#page-14-2)], and dentine hypersensitivity after restorative procedures [[6,](#page-14-0) [9\]](#page-14-3). The rationale behind the use of such an incremental layering technique is based on the application and light-curing of small layers of composite (1–2 mm), as shrinkage stress is minimised when there are fewer bonded walls involved during the polymerisation; this is due to a reduction of the C-factor while the composite is applied obliquely onto the cavity walls [[10](#page-14-4)]. Moreover, the reduced thickness of such layers favours better light difusion though the composite, which also increase the degree of conversion in such materials [[11,](#page-14-5) [12\]](#page-14-6).

However, there is currently a growing interest in "low shrinkage" bulk-fll composites (BFCs) as complementary restorative materials. Such products can be found as either fowable or high-viscosity "sculptable" composites, and they have been developed as a substitute of conventional composites to avoid the need for incremental layering, so providing simpler and faster clinical procedures [\[13](#page-14-7)]. According to manufacturers, such BFCs are intended to be applied in a single incremental application with thickness up to 4 or 5 mm. The success of such a simplifed procedure can be assigned to the presence of more reactive photo-initiators within their composition, as well as to an increased translucency in modern BFCs, which permits deeper transmission of the light [\[14](#page-14-8), [15](#page-14-9)]. Furthermore, such relatively new BFCs are claimed to have low shrinkage stress thanks to the presence of stress reliever molecules and polymerisation modulators incorporated by the manufacturers within their compositions  $[16]$  $[16]$ . Nevertheless, BFCs are still affected by polymerisation shrinkage at certain extent, so in order to reduce the detrimental effects of shrinkage on the bonding interfaces, the use of a fowable liner has been advocated before application of BFCs; it seems to be a clinical proce-dure that may have a pronounced stress-relieving effect [\[17](#page-14-11)].

The use of glass ionomer cements has been also demonstrated to attenuate the stress produced by composite shrinkage and maintain the bonding performance of simplifed universal adhesives after load-cycling challenge (350,000 cycles; 3 Hz; 70 N) and after load-cycling aging followed by 8 months of immersion in artifcial saliva (AS) [\[18\]](#page-14-12). It was also demonstrated that the use of a glass ionomer-based liner produced a signifcant reduction in gap formation volume when using some bulk-fill composites [\[19](#page-14-13)].

Moreover, the use of self-curing materials has been advocated to have a lower shrinkage stress at the bonded interfaces of restorations. Indeed, less risk of gap formation is encountered in such materials, since they are characterised by a "slower" polymerisation reaction that generates a polymeric structure with reduced cross-linking density compared to light-curing composites [\[20](#page-14-14)[–22](#page-14-15)].

Recently, a self-cure, bulk-fll restorative material has been introduced in the market (STELA Automix, SDI Ltd., Australia), which comprises of an adhesive system that require no light-curing as it polymerises upon contact with the restorative material. This material contains diferent fllers such as strontium fuoroaluminosilicate glass, ytterbium trifuoride agglomerates, silica, and calcium aluminate. Regarding this latter aspect, although one may wonder whether this restorative material belongs to the compomer family, the manufacturer categorises STELA as a new-generation resin-based bulk-fll restorative material with unique chemico-physical characteristics.

Unfortunately, there is little information available on the physico-mechanical properties of STELA [[23\]](#page-14-16), and no information has been yet disclosed by the manufacturer about the amount of strontium fuoroaluminosilicate glass and calcium aluminate contained within the composition of this new class of bulk-fll restorative material, as well as whether these fllers were introduced in a pre-reacted form and/or pre-treated with any coupling agent (e.g. silane).

Thus, the aim of the present study was to compare the microtensile bond strength (MTBS) and interfacial adaptation through dye-assisted confocal microscopy after 24 h and 12 months of storage in artifcial saliva (AS) of a self-curing resin-based bulkfll system and a commercial "sculptable" light-curing bulk-fll composite to a conventional composite applied as manufacturer's instructions. All the tested materials were used in combination with their respective adhesive systems, which were applied in self-etching (SE) or etch-and-rinse (ER) mode. The frst hypothesis of this study was that the two tested bulk-fll materials would show a superior interfacial adaptation, along with less gap formation compared to conventional composite applied with the layering technique. The second hypothesis was that the bonding procedures performed in ER or SE mode would infuence the bonding performance of the tested materials both at 24 h and 12 months of storage in AS.

## **Material and methods**

#### **Sample preparation**

Human molars  $(n=48)$  were extracted for periodontal or surgical reasons under a protocol reviewed and approved by the Ethical Committee of the University CEU Cardenal Herrera (ethical approval number: CEEI22/309). Prior to extraction, patients were informed about the use of their teeth for research purposes, which was confrmed with a written consent. The teeth were stored in distilled water at 4 °C and used within 6 months since extraction.

The crowns of the teeth were separated from their roots using a diamond blade (Isomet Diamond Wafering Blades, no. 11–4244, Buehler Ltd., Lake Buf, USA) under continuous water cooling. Standardised, box-shaped class I preparations (4 mm mesio-distal width  $\times$  3 mm buccolingual width  $\times$  5 mm deep), with margins located in the occlusal enamel and the cavity foor located in the dentine were prepared using a diamond bur (FG#3145, KG Sorensen, Cotia, Brazil) and fnished with a fne diamond bur (FG#3145FF, KG Sorensen). The burs were used in a high-speed air turbine hand piece, and the preparations were performed under continuous water irrigation. The specimens were maintained in distilled water at 37  $\rm{^{\circ}C}$  (pH 6.5) not longer than 1 h before bonding procedures, and restorations were performed.

### **Materials and experimental groups**

Three main groups  $(n=16$  specimens/group) were created based on the restorative materials used in this study: (i) 3 M-CTR (Filtek™ Supreme XTE, 3 M Oral Care, GmbH, Seefeld, Germany) was used as the control conventional composite in combination with the adhesive system (SCH: Scotchbond Universal, 3 M Oral Care); (ii) 3 M-BULK (high-viscosity bulk-fll composite, Filtek™ One Bulk-Fill restorative, 3 M Oral Care) was used in combination with Scotchbond Universal (3 M Oral Care); (iii) STELA, a selfcure bulk-fll restorative system (STELA Automix, SDI Ltd, Bayswater, Australia) was used in combination with an adhesive system (STELA Primer, SDI Ltd.) that requires no light-curing, as it polymerises upon contact with the STELA restorative material.

The experimental design of this study (Fig. [1\)](#page-3-0) required that the specimens were further divided into two subgroups  $(n = 8$  specimens/group) based on the bonding procedures employed for the preparation of the specimens (self-etching (SE) or etch-and-rinse (ER) mode). In the ER groups, acid etching was performed in dentine using a 37% orthophosphoric acid gel for 15 s, which was subsequently rinsed with distilled water (15 s) and blotted, leaving the substrate moist. All the materials and adhesive systems were used according to the manufacturer's instructions and light-cured (when necessary) using light-emitting diode curing unit (Radii Plus, SDI) with a mono-wavelength of 470 nm. The irradiance of this unit was  $1200 \text{ mW/cm}^2$ , which was checked using a laboratory-grade spectral radiometer.

The specimens were fnally restored with the tested restorative materials as previously aforementioned. The conventional 3 M-CTR was placed in two horizontal increments of 2 mm, and each increment was measured suing a periodontal probe and they were separately light-cured for 30 s. 3 M-BULK was placed in a single increment (4 mm thick) and light-cured as per manufacturer's instructions. The self-cure bulk-fll restorative system (STELA) was placed in a single increment and allowed to self-cure at 37 °C for 4 min as per manufacturer's instructions (Table [1](#page-4-0)).

## **Microtensile bond strength (MTBS) testing and fracture analysis (FIB‑SEM)**

The specimens were serially sectioned after 24 h of storage in AS at 37 °C (as per the experimental design) using a low-speed diamond blade (Isomet Diamond Wafering Blades, Buehler Ltd., Lake Buf, USA) operated under constant water cooling. This latest procedure created parallel-sided sticks  $(0.9 \text{ mm}^2)$  devoid of enamel on their sides. Half of the sticks from each group were submitted to microtensile bond strength (MTBS), and the half part of specimens were tested after 12 months of storage in AS at 37 °C. The composition of the artifcial saliva was 0.103 g L<sup>-1</sup> of CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.019 g L<sup>-1</sup> of MgCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.544 g L<sup>-1</sup> of KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 30 g L<sup>-1</sup> of KCl, and 4.77 g L<sup>-1</sup> HEPES (acid) buffer and pH  $7.4$   $[24]$  $[24]$ .

For MTBS testing, the sticks were glued to a jig and tested in a MTBS testing device (BISCO Corp., Schaumburg, IL, USA). This latest procedure was performed by applying a tensile force at a crosshead speed of 1 mm/min until a failure occurred at the bonding interface. The maximum tensile load of the recorded failure (N) was divided by the respective cross-sectioned area of each stick, and the bond strength values were converted into MPa. Fractographic analyses were performed with stereomicroscope to analyse the failure mode of each specimen (adhesive, mixed, or cohesive). Representative fractured specimens were selected from each group and mounted on stubs, gold-coated (MED 010, Balzers, Balzer, Liechtenstein), and fnally observed through an ultra-high-resolution analytical focused ion beam scanning electron microscope (FIB-SEM, Thermo Scientifc Scios 2 DualBeam, Waltham, MA, USA) in secondary electron mode.

Bond strength values in MPa were initially assessed for normality distribution and variance homogeneity using Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene's tests, respectively. Analysis of variance (ANOVA factors: restorative material, adhesive bonding protocol, aging time) and Fisher's LSD post hoc test were performed. A signifcance level of 0.05 was used throughout the analysis, which was carried out using Bioestat v.5.3 (Instituto Mamirauá, Manaus, AM, Brazil).

## **Confocal microscopy evaluation of the bonded‑dentine interfaces**

Before cutting the specimens in sticks as described in the "Microtensile bond strength (MTBS) testing and fracture



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Schematic representation of the experimental design—distribution of the specimens based on the methodological procedures. MTBS, microtensile bond strength; FIB-SEM, focused ion beam scanning electron microscope; Confocal microscopy

analysis (FIB-SEM)" section, one composite-dentine slab was taken from the centre of each specimen in every experimental group. These were frst polished for 30 s each side with 1200-grit SiC papers and subsequently ultrasonicated in distilled water for 5 min. The specimens were covered with a varnish, which was applied 1 mm away from the resin-dentine interface. Subsequently, the specimens were immersed in rhodamine B (Merck KGaA, Darmstadt, Germany) water solution (0.15 wt.%, pH 7) for 24 h. The specimens were then rinsed with distilled water and immersed in an ultrasonic bath for 5 min. These were fnally polished for 30 s each side with a 1200-grit SiC paper and ultrasonicated again in distilled water for 5 min [\[24](#page-14-17)].

The slabs were analysed through a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1000, Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan) images were obtained with a 1-µm z-step to optically section the specimens to a depth of up to 20  $\mu$ m below the surface. The z-axis scan of the interface surface was pseudo-coloured arbitrarily for improved exposure and compiled into single projections using the CLSM imageprocessing software (Fluoview Viewer, Olympus Corp., Tokyo, Japan). The configuration of the system was throughout the entire experiment. Each dentine interface was investigated completely, and images were randomly obtained in five different zones of the bonding interface. These micrographs represented the most common morphological features observed along the resin-dentine interfaces.

equipped with a 63X/1.4 NA oil-immersion lens, and a 543-nm LED illumination, reflection, and fluorescence



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

## **Results**

## **Microtensile bond strength (MTBS) and failure mode analysis**

Mean bond strength was affected by the restorative material  $(F = 21.66; p < 0.001)$ , adhesive bonding protocol ( $F = 49.11$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ), and aging time ( $F = 95.01$ ;  $p < 0.001$ ). Interactions were also significant ( $p < 0.05$ ). The results obtained during the MTBS test are depicted in Table [2](#page-5-0). During analysis of the results, it was observed that at 24 h of AS storage, the lowest bond strength  $(p < 0.05)$ was obtained with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine using the adhesive SCH in SE mode (9.9 MPa); this group showed a great number of pre-fails, with most of the specimens failed in adhesive mode during the test. STELA applied both in SE (23.2 MPa) and ER (32.4 MPa) showed comparable  $(p > 0.05)$  results to those obtained with the conventional 3 M-CTR composite applied layer by layer on dentine with the SCH adhesive (SE 22.4 MPa; ER 38.8 MPa); in both cases, the highest results were obtained in ER mode compared to SE mode  $(p < 0.05)$ with 0% pre-fails. The application of 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine using the adhesive SCH in ER mode gave comparable results to STELA and 3 M-CTR applied in dentine bonded in ER mode  $(p > 0.05)$ . However, 3 M-BULK composite applied in combination with the adhesive SCH applied in ER failed prevalently in adhesive more (58%), while STELA and 3 M-CTR failed mainly in mixed mode. STELA applied in SE mode failed prevalently in adhesive mode (54%), and 3 M-CTR applied with SCH in SE mode failed prevalently in mixed mode (58%) (Table [1](#page-4-0)).

All the materials tested in this study applied on dentine bonded in SE or ER mode presented an important reduction of the bond strength after 12-month aging ( $p < 0.05$ ) with a greater number of specimens failed in adhesive mode compared to those obtained at baseline (24 h). However, STELA applied in ER mode presented the highest bond strength (22.2 MPa) after 12-month storage; a clear higher bond strength  $(p < 0.05)$  was detected when compared to STELA applied in SE mode (12.4 MPa).

# **Confocal microscopy evaluation of the bonded‑dentine interfaces**

The results of confocal microscopy of the tested diferent materials analysed at baseline (24 h) and after 12 months of AS storage are presented in Fig. [2](#page-8-0) and Fig. [3,](#page-10-0) respectively. The specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode often presented gaps and at the dentine-composite interface. This latter structure appeared prevalently permeable to the diffusion of the fuorescent dye, which accumulated inside the dentinal tubules and particularly within the hybrid layer (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)A). The specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode were characterised by a bonding interface relatively free from gaps, but with the presence of micro-cracks within the composite that were infltrated by the fuorescent dye. This latter also highlighted the presence of a hybrid layer evidently porous (Fig. [2B](#page-8-0)). Conversely, a constant presence of gaps was observed in the specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode. Also in this case, the bonding interface resulted highly permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye, which also infltrated some micro-cracks

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** The results show the mean  $(\pm SD)$  of the  $\mu TBS$ (MPa) to dentine and the percentage (%) of the failure mode analysis (adhesive/mixed/ cohesive). The percentage (%) of pre-fail values before µTBS for each tested group are also depicted (SE%/ER%)



Similar uppercase letter indicates no signifcance (in columns) between the composite applied on dentine bonded in SE mode

Similar lowercase letter indicates no signifcance (in columns) between the composite applied on dentine bonded in ER mode

Similar number indicates no signifcance between the results of a specifc composite (3 M-CTR or 3 M-BULK or STELA) in SE mode after 24 h and 12-month AS storage

Similar Greek letter indicates no signifcance between the results of a specifc composite (3 M-CTR or 3 M-BULK or STELA) in ER mode after 24 h and 12-month AS storage

\* A signifcant diference between the result of a specifc material (3 M-CTR or 3 M-BULK or STELA) in SE or ER mode at the same time of AS storage

within the composite (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)C). Specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode were often characterised by the presence of thin gaps and a dentine-composite interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye, particularly within the hybrid layer (Fig. [3D](#page-10-0)). STELA applied both in SE (Fig. [2E](#page-8-0)) and ER mode (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)F) rarely showed the presence of gaps, although a bonding interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye into the dentinal tubules and particularly within the hybrid layer.

After 12 months of storage in AS, the specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode were always characterised by the presence but with a prominent dye difusion at the resin-dentine interface and evident signs of degradation within the adhesive layer. The presence of micro-cracks within the composite was more often observed in these specimens (Fig. [3A](#page-10-0)). Also, in the specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode, the presence of a gap and clear signs of degradation in hybrid layer and adhesive layer were always detected. Moreover, the presence of micro-cracks within the composite was frequently detected and observed also in these specimens (Fig. [3B](#page-10-0)).

The resin-dentine interface of the specimens created with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode and followed by the 3 M-BULK composite build up was often characterised by the presence of gaps with severe dye difusion and signs of polymer degradation of the adhesive and hybrid layer. Most of the specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite presented several voids within the composite, predominantly in proximity of the bonding interface (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)C). The situation was quite similar in the specimens created with 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH adhesive applied in ER mode. Indeed, the presence of large gaps was always detected along with a bonding interface completely affected by degradation process of the adhesive and hybrid layer (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)D). The situation was rather diferent in the specimens created with STELA as the presence of gaps was rarely detected. However, it was always detected a clear fuorescent dye infltration within a bonding interface characterised by several porosities in the specimens created with the SE bonding protocol (Fig. [3E](#page-10-0)). Conversely, the resin-dentine interface created by application of STELA Primer in ER mode was characterised by a compact layer between dentine and composite, which was often free from dye uptake (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)F).

#### **FIB‑SEM fractographic analysis**

The results of the ultra-morphological analysis performed using the FIB-SEM on the tested materials that fractured during microtensile bond strength at baseline (24 h) and after 12 months of AS storage are presented in Fig. [4](#page-11-0) and Fig. [5](#page-12-0), respectively. The specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode that failed in mixed mode (Fig. [4A](#page-11-0)) often showed a fracture underneath the hybrid layer with no clear presence of exposed acidetched collagen fbrils, but there were several dentine tubules occluded by resin tags (Fig. 4 A1). The specimens created with the same composite used in combination with SCH applied in SE mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [4B](#page-11-0)) were often characterised by the presence of residual smear layer on dentine surface and inside the dentinal tubules, along with the presence of a porous residual adhesive still bonded to the dentine (Fig. 4 B1). The specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode that failed in mixed and adhesive mode (Fig. [4C](#page-11-0)) showed fractures occurring prevalently within the hybrid layer and with an evident presence of exposed acid-etched collagen fbrils, along with fractured resin tags inside dentinal tubules (Fig. 4 C1). When the specimens created with the 3 M-BULK in combination with the SCH applied in SE mode failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [4D](#page-11-0)), it was possible to observe on the dentine surface and on the dentinal tubule residual smear layer and parts of adhesive still partially bonded to the dentine (Fig. 4 D1). The specimens created with the STELA composite with STELA Primer applied in ER mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [4](#page-11-0)E) were characterised by fractures that occurred underneath the hybrid layer. In this case, the presence of exposed acid-etched collagen fbrils was rarely detected, but it was often observed dentine tubules rarely occluded by resin tags (Fig. 4 E1). Conversely, the specimens of STELA applied in SE mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [4](#page-11-0)F) often showed fractures occurred within the hybrid layer, but with the dentine covered by residual adhesive, as well as smear layer (Fig. 4 F1).

After 12 months of storage in AS, the specimens created with the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode that failed in adhesive and mixed mode (Fig. [5](#page-12-0)A) presented most of the time fractures within a degraded hybrid layer. These dentine surfaces were characterised by little presence of collagen fbrils, and with only few dentine tubules still occluded by resin tags (Fig. 5 A1).

The specimens created by application of SCH in SE mode followed by the CTR composite build up that failed in mixed and adhesive mode (Fig. [5B](#page-12-0)) were prevalently characterised by fractures occurred at the bonding interface with clear signs of degradation of hybrid layer. It was often observed little presence of residual collagen fbrils and the presence of obliterated dentine tubules (Fig. 5 B1).

The specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine with the SCH adhesive applied in ER mode that failed in adhesive and mixed mode (Fig. [5](#page-12-0)C)



presented fractures occurred at the bonding interface with severe degradation of the hybrid layer. The exposed dentine surface was often characterised by the presence of fractured resin tags and residual occurrence of partially denatured collagen fbrils (Fig. 5 C1). Also, the specimens created with the 3 M-BULK composite applied on dentine in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode that failed in mixed and adhesive mode (Fig. [4D](#page-11-0)) showed the presence of a degraded hybrid layer which left the underneath dentine exposed. However, the dentine surface frequently presented dentinal tubules still occluded by smear layer.

The specimens created with the STELA composite and STELA Primer applied in ER mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [5E](#page-12-0)) were characterised by fractures that the fracture occurred underneath the hybrid layer. Few exposed demineralised collagen fbrils and occluded dentine <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Confocal microscopy images of the resin-dentine interfaces ◂tested at 24 h (baseline). **A** CLSM projection image exemplifying the interfacial characteristics of the resin-dentine interface created by the 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in SE mode. It is possible to see the presence of thin gap (pointer) and a dentine-composite interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye used in this study inside the dentinal tubules (d) and particularly within the hybrid layer (pointer). **B** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in ER mode. In this case, it is possible to observe a gap-free interface, but a dentine-composite interface permeable to the penetration of the fuorescent dye into dentinal tubules (d) and predominantly within the hybrid layer (hl) and the adhesive layer (ad). However, the fuorescent dye infltrated some micro-cracks within the composite (pointers) created due to polymerisation shrinkage. **C** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in SE mode. Please note the presence of thin gap (white pointer) and bonding interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye used in this study. Also, in this case, the fuorescent dye infltrated some micro-cracks within the composite (black pointer), which formed due to polymerisation shrinkage. **D** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in ER mode. Also, in this case, it is possible to observe the presence of thin gap (pointer) and a dentine-composite interface permeable to the diffusion of the fuorescent dye, especially within the hybrid layer (hl). **E** CLSM projection image exemplifying the interfacial characteristics of the resin-dentine interface created by application of STELA in SE. There is no presence of gaps, but a bonding interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye into the dentinal tubules (d) and within the hybrid layer and the primer (pointer). **F** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of STELA in ER mode. Also, in this case, it is possible to observe no gap within a bonding interface permeable to the difusion of the fuorescent dye deep into the dentinal tubules (d) and especially within the hybrid layer (hl) and the primer (pointer)

tubules were detected, but with no clear sign of hybrid layer degradation (Fig. 5 E1). Conversely, the specimens of STELA applied in SE mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode (Fig. [5F](#page-12-0)) often showed a dentine surface characterised by degradation of the bonding interface, but with no presence of exposed collagen fbrils and with dentinal tubules still occluded by smear layer (Fig. 5 F1).

#### **Discussion**

In view of the results obtained in this study, the first hypothesis that the two tested restorative bulk-fll materials (STELA and 3 M-BULK) would show in confocal microscopy analysis superior interfacial adaptation and less gap formation compared to conventional composite applied layer by layer must be only partially accepted. Indeed, 3 M-BULK was characterised by the presence of gaps and voids in all tested cases, while STELA applied in class I cavities, both in SE and ER mode, demonstrated at 24 h greater adaptation and no presence of gaps at the resin-dentine interface compared to the conventional resin composite. Moreover, after 12 months of storage, STELA was the only material tested in this study that showed no presence of gaps at the resin-dentine interface."

It was advocated that gaps can originate from various factors, such as inadequate adhesion at the tooth-restoration interface, in combination with polymerisation shrinkage, adhesive resin degradation, insufficient light-curing, fatigue resulting from the aging procedure, diferences in the coeffcients of thermal expansion of the tooth substrate and the restorative material, the fnishing and polishing procedures, and voids as a consequence of the lack of restorative material placement in the cavity [[25\]](#page-14-18).

We consider that the absence of gaps at the bonding interface of STELA-treated specimens after 24 h (Fig. [2E](#page-8-0), F), pre-fails (0%), and a mode of failure occurring prevalently in mixed and cohesive mode (Table [2](#page-5-0)) must be principally attributed to a possible low shrinkage efect that such an innovative restorative bulk-fll composite may have had during its self-polymerisation reaction. Indeed, it has been previously reported that the self-curing polymerisation induced by chemical activators is characterised by a slower initiation rate, which may lead to less shrinkage stress on the bonding interfaces compared to light-cured resin-based composite [[20](#page-14-14)]. Self-curing materials are known to have delayed gel point, which may allow the polymers to fow from the unbonded surface due to their extended viscous phase; this results in lower shrinkage stress on the bonded surfaces, with consequent reduction of gap formation [[21,](#page-14-19) [22](#page-14-15)].

The rate and time to achieve maximum rate of polymerisation (shrinkage kinetics) is an important issue for the chemical–mechanical properties [[23\]](#page-14-16) and clinical performance of a resin-based restorative material [\[26\]](#page-14-20). Hence, since the polymeric structure produced by such a "slower" polymerisation reaction may be afected by inferior crosslinking density compared to light-curing composites, the efect of self-cure polymerisation in bulk-fll composites on mechanical properties should be further examined for STELA.

However, scrutinising the results of the current study, we believe that there must have been also a synergic bonding factor that further contributed to avoid excessive stress at the interface related to the use of the STELA Primer, as it self-polymerised together with the STELA restorative. This latter issue should be further investigated in the future to better understand the mechanism behind such results.

It was also observed that STELA applied in ER mode presented the greatest MTBS values after 12 months of AS storage  $(p < 0.05)$  and a bonding interface prevalently free form gaps and voids, both when it was applied in ER and SE mode (Fig. [3E](#page-10-0), F). Also in this case, when examining the fractographic results of STELA in details, it was possible to see that the dentine tubules remained often occluded after MTBS testing. Moreover, when there was



the presence of exposed collagen fbrils, these were often protected by minerals, which probably precipitated consequently to the ions released from STELA during prolonged storage in AS (Fig. [5](#page-12-0)E, [E1](#page-3-0)). Indeed, this innovative material contains within its composition strontium fuoroaluminosilicate glass (SFASg), which is a typical component of GICbased materials and calcium aluminate (Table [1](#page-4-0)). It is well known that SFASg in GIC-based materials can undertake a dynamic ionic exchange (e.g. fuoride, strontium) with the surrounding environment for a relatively long period of time [[27](#page-14-21)[–29\]](#page-14-22). Furthermore, recent studies have demonstrated that materials incorporating calcium aluminate agglomerates might be considered bioactive, as they may be able to remineralise tooth-material interface and create and a natural and durable seal [\[30\]](#page-14-23).

Although the potential bioactivity of STELA must be further investigated, the confocal microscopy showed a sort of mineral-enriched layer between the material and dentine;

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Confocal microscopy images of the resin-dentine interfaces ◂tested at 12 months of storage in AS. **A** CLSM projection image representing the interfacial characteristics of the resin-dentine interface created by the 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in SE mode. Note the presence of a gap and clear signs of degradation (pointer) at the bonding interface. It is also possible to see a prominent dye difusion and degradation within the adhesive layer (ad). The fuorescent dye also infltrated some micro-cracks within the composite (c). **B** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by the 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in ER mode. In this case, it is possible to observe the presence of a gap and clear signs of degradation in hybrid layer and adhesive layer (pointer). The fuorescent dye also infltrated some micro-cracks within the composite (c). **C** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in SE mode. Also in this case, it is possible to observe the presence of an evident gap (pointer) and a bonding interface totally afected by severe dye uptake as a sign of polymer degradation of the adhesive (ad). The fuorescent dye also highlighted the presence of voids within the composite (\*). **D** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in ER mode. The presence of an important gap (pointer) and a bonding interface totally degraded is clearly observed. **E** The resin-dentine interface created by application of STELA in SE mode is characterised by the presence of no gap, but it is possible to see that fuorescent dye infltrated the hybrid layer and the adjacent composite, which appears characterised by the presence of several porosities (pointer). **F** CLSM projection image of the resin-dentine interface created by application of STELA in ER mode. Also in this case, it is possible to observe no gap at the bonding interface, but there is a compact layer (pointer) free from dye uptake at the interface between the dentine (d) and the composite

this layer appeared porous in the specimens created with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode (Fig. [3E](#page-10-0)) and a more compact and thicker layer in acid-etched dentine (Fig. [3F](#page-10-0)). This latter outcome was possibly a consequent of the fact that such interfaces received more minerals from opened acid-etched tubules over time in AS storage, which increased the maturation of that particular layer at the bonding interface. This latter observation may also justify the reason why, although the absence of gaps at the interfaces created by STELA both applied in SE and ER mode, there was a signifcant drop of the MTBS values after prolonged AS storage (12 months); a remineralised bonding interface is often more brittle than a conventional resin-dentine interface and it can fracture very easily during MTBS testing [[31,](#page-14-24) [32\]](#page-14-25).

However, we need to emphasise on the results at 24 h that all the tested materials used with their adhesives applied on dentine in ER mode gave higher MTBS results compared to obtained when they were used in combination with adhesives used in SE mode (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Conversely, after 12 months of AS storage, there was a signifcant drop  $(p<0.05)$  in all tested groups, except for the 3 M-BULK used in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in SE mode, which showed nearly total failure in bonding already at 24 h. Thus, also the second hypothesis of this study that the bonding procedures performed in ER or SE mode would infuence the bonding performance of the tested materials both at 24 h and 12 months of storage AS must be partially accepted.

As previously stated, 3 M-BULK used in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in dentine in SE mode showed the lowest bonding results at 24 h, as well as the greatest number of specimen pre-fails (75%) and debonding in adhesive mode during MTBS testing. It was also observed that the resin-dentine interface of the specimens created with 3 M-BULK applied with the SCH in SE mode was often characterised by a typical thin hybrid layer, voids, and large gaps (Fig. [2C](#page-8-0)); these latter defects were very likely due to an excessive shrinkage efect of the composite that the adhesive applied in SE mode could not cope with [[33\]](#page-14-26). Conversely, the voids at the interface were mainly due to lack of adequate adaptation of such a high-viscosity restorative material in the "class I" cavity prepared as per experimental design [[15,](#page-14-9) [16](#page-14-10)].

In addition to the gap formation, clear signs of aging at the resin-dentine interface and within the adhesive and hybrid layer were often observed after 12 months of storage in AS. This result may be a consequence of severe water sorption from the one-bottle "simplifed" SCH adhesive, which trigged severe hydrolytic degradation processes at the resin-dentine bonding interface [[24](#page-14-17), [34](#page-14-27)]. A further support to this latter hypothesis comes from the fractographic analysis, which confrmed that fractures occurred often within a degraded hybrid layer, leaving behind an exposed dentine surface with dentine tubules partially only occluded and no presence of intact collagen fbrils (Fig. [5D](#page-12-0), [D1](#page-3-0)).

The results of the current study showed an interesting aspect of the 3 M-BULK applied on dentine in combination with the SCH adhesive used in ER mode. It was the only group of specimens created with the tested restorative materials in combination with the SCH adhesive applied in ER bonding mode that presented a high pre-fail rate (10%) and a high number of specimens that debonded in adhesive mode during MTBS testing at 24 h. Although this group of specimens presented no signifcant diference in MTBS at 24 h compared to the other tested materials, the resin-dentine interface was always characterised by the presence of gaps and by a hybrid layer totally infltrated by the fuorescent dye (Fig. [2](#page-8-0)D). Moreover, the fractographic analysis showed that the fracture occurred within the hybrid layer with a clear presence of acid-etched collagen fbrils not well infltrated by the resin adhesive (Fig. [4](#page-11-0)C, [C1\)](#page-3-0). In view of these outcomes, we theorise that conventional bulk-fll restorative materials should be used, especially if they are used in "class I" cavities in combination with simplifed adhesive applied in SE mode, with the layering technique as the conventional composites or applied on a liner of resin-modifed GIC or flowable resin composites. Nevertheless, most of the manufacturers claim that bulk-fll composites have lower polymerisation shrinkage than conventional composites; there is no substantial information in the literature regarding the



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 4** SEM fractographic analysis of the specimens tested at 24-h storage (baseline). **A** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in ER mode that failed in mixed mode. Analysing the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to see (A1) that the fracture occurred underneath the hybrid layer with no clear presence of exposed acid-etched collagen fbrils, but dentine tubules occluded by resin tags (rt). **B** The specimens created by application of 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in SE mode, when analysed at higher magnifcation (black circle), showed (B1) little presence of residual smear layer on the dentine surface and inside the dentinal tubules (dt). Moreover, it is possible to see the presence of a porous residual adhesive still bonded to the dentine (ad). **C** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in ER mode that failed in mixed mode. Analysing the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to note (C1) that the fracture occurred within the hybrid layer with a clear pres-

gap formation of restorative bulk-fll composites used with or without intermediate liners [\[35](#page-14-28), [36\]](#page-14-29). However, the results of this study showed that both the conventional 3 M-CTR applied using the layering technique and the 3 M-BULK showed a reduced bonding performance after 12-month AS storage; a significant  $(p < 0.05)$  drop of the MTBS was observed in both composites compared to their baseline results obtained at 24 h of AS storage (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Moreover, in both composites, a predominant presence of a large gap within the interface (Fig. [3](#page-10-0)A–D) and clear signs of hybrid layer degradation were frequently observed after prolonged storage. The issue about the longevity of such adhesive restorations can be related to two main mechanisms of degradation that occur simultaneously at the resin-dentine interface ence of exposed acid-etched collagen fbrils and fractured resin tags (rt) inside dentinal tubules. **D** The specimens created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in SE mode that failed in mixed, at higher magnifcation (black circle), showed (D1) the presence of residual smear layer (sm) on the dentine surface with no exposed dentinal tubules (dt). Also in this case, please note the presence of a porous residual adhesive still partially bonded to the dentine (ad). **E** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of STELA in ER mode that failed in mixed mode. At higher magnifcation (black circle), it is possible to see (E1) that the fracture occurred underneath the hybrid layer with no clear presence of exposed acid-etched collagen fbrils, but with exposed dentine tubules (dt) occluded rarely by resin tags. **F** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of STELA in SE mode that failed in mixed mode or adhesive mode. Examining the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to see (F1) that the fracture occurred within the hybrid layer and that the dentine is covered by a layer of residual primer

during aging in water-based fuids: (i) intrinsic or degradation of the hybrid layer due to intrinsic or proteolytic degradation of the organic matrix and (ii) extrinsic or hydrolytic degradation of the resin matrix [\[24](#page-14-17), [37](#page-15-0)]. Furthermore, there is a common consent that collagen degradation within the hybrid layer created by simplifed adhesive applied ER mode is still a current issue in modern adhesive dentistry. This is due to the use of phosphoric acid etchants that have a strong demineralisation efect in dentine, leaving collagen fbrils completely exposed and susceptible to proteolytic degradation by the endogenous dentinal enzymes (e.g. MMPs and cysteine cathepsins) [\[38](#page-15-1), [39](#page-15-2)]. Regarding the hydrolytic degradation of the resin matrix, it has been widely demonstrated that water can be trapped within the acid-etched



<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 5** SEM fractographic analysis of the specimens tested at 12 months of storage in AS. **A** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in ER mode that failed in mixed mode. Analysing the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to see (A1) that the fracture occurred within a degraded hybrid layer characterised by little presence of residual exposed collagen fbrils and few dentine tubules (dt) still occluded by resin tags (rt). **B** The specimens created by application of 3 M-CTR in combination with the SCH applied in SE mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode, when analysed at higher magnifcation (black circle), showed (B1) that the fracture occurred also in this case due to degradation of hybrid layer. It is possible to note little presence of residual exposed collagen fbrils, with large dentine tubules (dt) still occluded by resin tags or smear layer. **C** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in ER mode that failed in mixed mode or adhesive mode. Investigating the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to note (C1) that the fracture occurred due to severe

dentine collagen, which may jeopardise the penetration of hydrophilic resin monomers during bonding procedures [[40,](#page-15-3) [41](#page-15-4)]. Such a remaining water, along with the unevaporated solvents of the adhesives, can cause phase separation [\[42,](#page-15-5) [43](#page-15-6)], as well as water-tree formation between the polymerised hydrophilic and hydrophobic resin phases. Such issues can favour water uptake over time at the bonding interface, jeopardising the mechanical properties of the resin adhesive, as well as the hybrid layer [[44–](#page-15-7)[46\]](#page-15-8). Hydrolysis of resinous polymers due to water sorption is more evident in modern "simplifed all-in-one" adhesives as they contain a higher amount of solvents and hydrophilic components (e.g. HEMA and/or functional carboxylic and phosphate monomers such

degradation of the hybrid layer. It is also possible to note the presence of fractured resin tags (rt) and residual presence of partially denatured collagen fbrils. **D** The specimens created by application of 3 M-BULK in combination with SCH applied in SE mode that failed in mixed, when analysed at higher magnifcation (black circle), showed (D1) the presence of a degraded hybrid layer which left the underneath dentine exposed, but with dentinal tubules still occluded by smear layer. **E** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of STELA in ER mode that failed in mixed or adhesive mode. At higher magnifcation (black circle), it is possible to see (E1) that in the fracture occurred underneath the hybrid layer, very few exposed demineralised collagen fbrils, but with occluded dentine tubules (dt). **F** Representative SEM fractography of a specimen created by application of STELA in SE mode that failed in mixed mode. Examining the exposed dentine (black circle) at higher magnifcation, it is possible to see (F1) that the fracture occurred within the bonding interface, with the presence of no exposed collagen fbrils but with dentinal tubules still occluded by smear layer

as 4-META and 10-MPD) compared to multi-step adhesive systems, which allow excessive water sorption that lead to hydrolytic degradation of the resin matrix poorly polymerised [\[47](#page-15-9), [48](#page-15-10)].

However, it is important to highlight that the layering technique is one of the most appropriate methods to reduce the shrinkage stress on the bonding interface created with both conventional composites and high-viscosity bulk-fll composite [[14\]](#page-14-8). Indeed, the results of the current results demonstrated that the conventional composite layered at 2 mm on a dentine bonded with the SCH applied in SE or ER mode had good results in terms of immediate bond strength (24 h) compared to the bulk-fll composite used

alone (Fig. [2\)](#page-8-0). Indeed, the conventional composite 3 M-CTR applied layer by layer on a dentine bonded using the universal adhesive in ER, although it performed equally  $(p < 0.05)$ in terms of MTBS to 3 M-BULK applied in ER mode, often generated a gap-free interface, but with the difusion of the fuorescent dye into micro-cracks within the composite probably created due to polymerisation shrinkage (Fig. [2B](#page-8-0)). However, this group showed 0% of pre-test fails, while the specimens submitted to microtensile failed prevalently in mixed mode and this was the only group to show a higher number of cohesive failures (28%) compared to the other groups at 24 h (Table [2](#page-5-0)).

It was interesting to observe at 24 h of AS storage that although the 3 M-CTR composite applied on dentine bonded using the SCH adhesive in SE mode showed a MTBS comparable to that obtained by STELA and debonded prevalently in adhesive and mixed mode during bond strength testing, its interface was often characterised by the presence of gaps and great dye permeability between the dentine and composite (Fig. [2A](#page-8-0)). This was probably due to the inability of the interface created with the SE bonding technique to cope with shrinkage forces (12–15 MPa) of the composite during polymerisation [\[49\]](#page-15-11), which caused such gaps at the cavity margins; this issue may be responsible for marginal bacterial leakage and higher risks for secondary caries [[50,](#page-15-12) [51](#page-15-13)].

We believe that such an issue may not directly be related to the bonding ability of the SCH, but instead to the mechanical properties of the resin-dentine interface and the hybrid layer created by applying SE adhesives in dentine. Indeed, a previous study reported that such a layer type of resin dentine interface is particularly stif and less viscoelastic than that created in acid-etched dentine; this layer exhibits a "smooth" gradient of stifness that precludes localised stress concentrations and prevent debonding at the interface due to mechanical stress [[52\]](#page-15-14).

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the use of light-curing bulk-fll restorative composites might create resin-dentine interfaces characterised by the presence of gaps and voids. These interfaces may also have poor immediate bonding performance, especially when used in combination with simplifed universal adhesives applied in dentine in SE mode. However, the ER bonding technique may prevent formation of gaps at the resindentine interface and produce greater immediate bonding performance (24 h), although the resin-dentine bonds created using all the tested materials both in SE and ER mode were afected by a drop of bond strength after prolonged AS storage. Conversely, self-curing bulk-fll restorative systems such as STELA may represent a suitable alternative

to conventional and bulk-fll composites to create a resindentine interface less afected by gaps and voids also after prolonged AS storage. Moreover, the possible "bioactivity" of STELA is being tested to evaluate if such material can induce remineralisation through mineral precipitation of bonding interfaces created in caries-afected dentine. It is important to consider that this is an in vitro study, so its limitation is that the results presented in this article may not refect a real clinical scenario; hence, in vivo studies and clinical studies are required to confrm or reject the conclusions presented above.

**Acknowledgements** All the materials used in this study were regularly purchased from local distributors. The authors also gratefully acknowledge SDI Ltd. (Bayswater, Australia), for a generous donation of STELA Automix restorative and STELA Primer used in this study.

**Author contributions** S.S. and P.M.P. wrote the main manuscript, performed the experimental tests and analysis and interpretation of the results; P.F. contributed to the experimental design; A. A.N. performed the statistical analysis and reviewed the fnal version of the manuscript; M.L.S. contributed to the experimental design and reviewed the fnal version of the manuscript; A.F.C. performed part of the experimental tests and reviewed the fnal version of the manuscript.

**Funding** Paula Maciel Pires was undertaking a post-doctorate exchange program at Cardenal Herrera University during a part of the experimental assay and was supported by a FAPERJ grant from Brazil (E-26/205.718/2022). This study was also supported in part by a grant funded by Generalitat Valenciana (CIAICO/2022/198).

#### **Declarations**

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Informed consent** For this type of study, human molars used in this study were collected according to the guidelines of the local Ethics Committee (CEEI22/309).

**Competing interests** The authors declare no competing interests.

## **References**

- <span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Sideridou I, Tserki V, Papanastasiou G (2002) Efect of chemical structure on degree of conversion in light-cured dimethacrylatebased dental resins. Biomater 23:1819–1829. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612(01)00308-8) [1016/s0142-9612\(01\)00308-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0142-9612(01)00308-8)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>2. Ferracane JL (2020) Resin composite-state of the art. Dent Mater 27:29–38. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2010.10.020>
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>3. Schneider LF, Cavalcante LM, Silikas N (2010) Shrinkage stresses generated during resin-composite applications: a review. J Dent Biomech 2010:131630. <https://doi.org/10.4061/2010/131630>
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4. Ferracane JL (2005) Developing a more complete understanding of stresses produced in dental composites during polymerisation. Dent Mater 21:36–42.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2004.10.004>
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5. Cho E, Sadr A, Inai N, Tagami J (2011) Evaluation of resin composite polymerisation by three dimensional micro-CT imaging and nanoindentation. Dent Mater 27:1070–1078. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2011.07.008) [10.1016/j.dental.2011.07.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2011.07.008)
- <span id="page-14-0"></span>6. Carvalho RM, Pereira JC, Yoshiyama M, Pashley DH (1996) A review of polymerisation contraction: the infuence of stress development versus stress relief. Oper Dent 21:17–24
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>7. Versluis A, Douglas WH, Cross M, Sakaguchi RL (1996) Does an incremental flling technique reduce polymerization shrinkage stresses? J Dent Res 75:871–8. [https://doi.org/10.1177/00220](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345960750030301) [345960750030301](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345960750030301). (Mar)
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>8. Rosatto CM, Bicalho AA, Veríssimo C, Bragança GF, Rodrigues MP, Tantbirojn D, Versluis A, Soares CJ (2015) Mechanical properties, shrinkage stress, cuspal strain and fracture resistance of molars restored with bulk-fll composites and incremental flling technique. J Dent 43:1519–1528. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2015.09.007) [2015.09.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2015.09.007)
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>9. Umer F, Khan FR (2011) Postoperative sensitivity in class V composite restorations: comparing soft start vs. constant curing modes of LED. J Conserv Dent 14:76–79. [https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-](https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-0707.80738) [0707.80738](https://doi.org/10.4103/0972-0707.80738)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>10. Yu P, Xu YX, Liu YS (2022) Polymerization shrinkage and shrinkage stress of bulk-fll and non-bulk-fll resin-based composites. J Dent Sci 17:1212–1216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2021.12.004) [2021.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jds.2021.12.004)
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>11. Hansen EK (1986) Efect of cavity depth and application technique on marginal adaptation of resins in dentin cavities. J Dent Res 65:1319–1321. [https://doi.org/10.1177/002203458606501](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345860650110701) [10701](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345860650110701)
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>12. Hilton TJ, Schwartz RS, Ferracane JL (1997) Microleakage of four class II resin composite insertion techniques at intraoral temperature. Quintessence Int 28:135–144
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>13. Sengupta A, Naka O, Mehta SB, Banerji S (2023) The clinical performance of bulk-fll versus the incremental layered application of direct resin composite restorations: a systematic review. Evid Based Dent 24:143. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41432-023-00905>
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>14. Fronza BM, Ayres A, Pacheco RR, Rueggeberg FA, Dias C, Giannini M (2017) Characterization of inorganic fller content, mechanical properties, and light transmission of bulk-fll resin composites. Oper Dent 42:445–455. [https://doi.org/10.2341/](https://doi.org/10.2341/16-024-L) [16-024-L](https://doi.org/10.2341/16-024-L)
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>15. Son SA, Park JK, Seo DG, Ko CC, Kwon YH (2017) How light attenuation and fller content afect the microhardness and polymerisation shrinkage and translucency of bulk-fll composites? Clin Oral Investig 21:559–565. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-016-1920-2) [s00784-016-1920-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-016-1920-2)
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>16. Moszner N, Fischer UK, Ganster B, Liska R, Rheinberger V (2008) Benzoyl germanium derivatives as novel visible light photoinitiators for dental materials. Dent Mater 24:901–907. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2007.11.004) [doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2007.11.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2007.11.004)
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>17. Kaisarly D, Meierhofer D, El Gezawi M, Rösch P, Kunzelmann KH (2021) Effects of flowable liners on the shrinkage vectors of bulk-fll composites. Clin Oral Investig 25:4927–4940. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-03801-2) [org/10.1007/s00784-021-03801-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-021-03801-2)
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>18. Sauro S, Makeeva I, Faus-Matoses V, Foschi F, Giovarruscio M, Maciel Pires P, Martins Moura ME, Almeida Neves A, Faus-Llácer V (2019) Effects of ions-releasing restorative materials on the dentine bonding longevity of modern universal adhesives after load-cycle and prolonged artifcial saliva aging. Materials (Basel) 12:722.<https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12050722>
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>19. Oglakci B, Kazak M, Donmez N, Dalkilic EE, Koymen SS (2019) The use of a liner under diferent bulk-fll resin composites: 3D GAP formation analysis by x-ray microcomputed tomography. J Appl Oral Sci 25(28):e20190042. [https://doi.org/10.1590/](https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-7757-2019-0042) [1678-7757-2019-0042](https://doi.org/10.1590/1678-7757-2019-0042)
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>20. Burrer P, Par M, Fürer L, Stübi M, Marovic D, Tarle Z, Attin T, Tauböck TT (2023) Efect of polymerization mode on shrinkage kinetics and degree of conversion of dual-curing bulk-fll resin composites. Clin Oral Investig 27:3169–3180. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-023-04928-0) [1007/s00784-023-04928-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-023-04928-0)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>21. Ilie N (2022) Fracture and viscoelastic behavior of novel selfadhesive materials for simplifed restoration concepts. J Mech Behav Biomed Mater 125:104970
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>22. Braga RR, Ferracane JL (2002) Contraction stress related to degree of conversion and reaction kinetics. J Dent Res 81:114–118
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>23. Thadathil Varghese J, Raju R, Farrar P, Prentice L, Prusty BG (2023) Comparative analysis of self-cure and dual cure-dental composites on their physico-mechanical behaviour. Aust Dent J 22.<https://doi.org/10.1111/adj.13004>
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>24. Sauro S, Pashley DH (2016) Strategies to stabilise dentine-bonded interfaces through remineralising operative approaches: state of the art. Int J Adhes Adhes 69:39–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2016.03.014) [ijadhadh.2016.03.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijadhadh.2016.03.014)
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>25. Al-Zain AO, Baeesa L, Jassoma E, Alghilan MA, Hariri M, Ismail EH, Münchow EA (2023) Assessment of internal porosities for diferent placement techniques of bulk-fll resin-based composites: a micro-computed tomography study. Clin Oral Invest. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-023-05337-z) [org/10.1007/s00784-023-05337-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-023-05337-z)
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>26. Ferracane JL, Hilton TJ (2016) Polymerization stress-is it clinically meaningful? Dent Mater 32:1–10. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.06.020) [dental.2015.06.020](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.06.020)
- <span id="page-14-21"></span>27. Maciel Pires P, Ionescu AC, Pérez-Gracia MT, Vezzoli E, Soares IPM, Brambilla E, de Almeida NA, Sauro S (2022) Assessment of the remineralisation induced by contemporary ion-releasing materials in mineral-depleted dentine. Clin Oral Investig 26:6195– 6207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04569-9>
- 28. Pires PM, Neves AA, Makeeva IM, Schwendicke F, Faus-Matoses V, Yoshihara K, Banerjee A, Sauro S (2020) Contemporary restorative ion-releasing materials: current status, interfacial properties and operative approaches. Br Dent J 229:450–458. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2169-3) [10.1038/s41415-020-2169-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41415-020-2169-3)
- <span id="page-14-22"></span>29. Watson TF, Bartlett DW (1994) Adhesive systems: composites, dentine bonding agents and glass ionomers. Br Dent J 19(176):227–231.<https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.bdj.4808410>
- <span id="page-14-23"></span>30. Lööf J, Svahn F, Jarmar T, Engqvist H, Pameijer CH (2008) A comparative study of the bioactivity of three materials for dental applications. Dent Mater 24(5):653–659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2007.06.028) [dental.2007.06.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2007.06.028)
- <span id="page-14-24"></span>31. Sauro S, Osorio R, Watson TF, Toledano M (2015) Infuence of phosphoproteins' biomimetic analogs on remineralization of mineral-depleted resin-dentin interfaces created with ion-releasing resin-based systems. Dent Mater 31:759–777. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.03.013) [1016/j.dental.2015.03.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2015.03.013)
- <span id="page-14-25"></span>32. Abuna G, Feitosa VP, Correr AB, Cama G, Giannini M, Sinhoreti MA, Pashley DH, Sauro S (2016) Bonding performance of experimental bioactive/biomimetic self-etch adhesives doped with calcium-phosphate fllers and biomimetic analogs of phosphoproteins. J Dent 52:79–86. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2016.07.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2016.07.016) [016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2016.07.016)
- <span id="page-14-26"></span>33. Sofan E, Sofan A, Palaia G, Tenore G, Romeo U, Migliau G (2017) Classifcation review of dental adhesive systems: from the IV generation to the universal type. Ann Stomatol (Roma) 8:1–17. <https://doi.org/10.11138/ads/2017.8.1.001>
- <span id="page-14-27"></span>34. Maciel Pires P, Dávila-Sánchez A, Faus-Matoses V, Nuñez Martí JM, Lo Muzio L, Sauro S (2022) Bonding performance and ultramorphology of the resin-dentine interface of contemporary universal adhesives. Clin Oral Investig 26(6):4391–4405. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04402-3) [org/10.1007/s00784-022-04402-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04402-3)
- <span id="page-14-28"></span>35. Hayashi J, Espigares J, Takagaki T, Shimada Y, Tagami J, Numata T, Chan D, Sadr A (2019) Real-time in-depth imaging of gap formation in bulk-fll resin composites. Dent Mater 35(4):585–596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2019.01.020>
- <span id="page-14-29"></span>36. Nie J, Yap AU, Wang XY (2018) Infuence of shrinkage and viscosity of fowable composite liners on cervical microleakage of class II restorations: a micro-CT analysis. Oper Dent 43(6):656– 664.<https://doi.org/10.2341/17-091-L>
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>37. Hashimoto M (2010) A review–micromorphological evidence of degradation in resin-dentin bonds and potential preventional solutions. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 92(1):268–280. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.31535>
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>38. Sabatini C, Pashley DH (2014) Mechanisms regulating the degradation of dentin matrices by endogenous dentin proteases and their role in dental adhesion. A Rev Am J Dent 27(4):203–214
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>39. Nishitani Y, Yoshiyama M, Wadgaonkar B, Breschi L, Mannello F, Mazzoni A, Carvalho RM, Tjäderhane L, Tay FR, Pashley DH (2006) Activation of gelatinolytic/collagenolytic activity in dentin by self-etching adhesives. Eur J Oral Sci 114(2):160–166. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2006.00342.x) [doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2006.00342.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0722.2006.00342.x)
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>40. Tay FR, Pashley DH (2003) Water treeing–a potential mechanism for degradation of dentin adhesives. Am J Dent 16:6–12
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>41. Sauro S, Watson TF, Mannocci F, Miyake K, Hufman BP, Tay FR, Pashley DH (2009) Two-photon laser confocal microscopy of micropermeability of resin-dentin bonds made with water or ethanol wet bonding. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater 90(1):327–337.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.b.31290>
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>42. Spencer P, Wang Y (2002) Adhesive phase separation at the dentin interface under wet bonding conditions. J Biomed Mater Res 62(3):447–456.<https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.10364>
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>43. Ye Q, Park JG, Topp E, Wang Y, Misra A, Spencer P (2008) In vitro performance of nano-heterogeneous dentin adhesive. J Dent Res 87(9):829–833.<https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910808700911>
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>44. Sauro S, Mannocci F, Toledano M, Osorio R, Thompson I, Watson TF (2009) Infuence of the hydrostatic pulpal pressure on droplets formation in current etch-and-rinse and self-etch adhesives: a video rate/TSM microscopy and fuid fltration study. Dent Mater 25(11):1392–1402.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2009.06.010>
- 45. De Munck J, Van Landuyt K, Peumans M, Poitevin A, Lambrechts P, Braem M, Van Meerbeek B (2005) A critical review of the durability of adhesion to tooth tissue: methods and results. J Dent Res 84(2):118–132.<https://doi.org/10.1177/154405910508400204>
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>46. Anchieta RB, Machado LS, Martini AP, Santos PH, Giannini M, Janal M, Tovar N, Sundfeld RH, Rocha EP, Coelho PG (2015) Efect of long-term storage on nanomechanical and morphological

properties of dentin-adhesive interfaces. Dent Mater 31(2):141– 153.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2014.11.010>

- <span id="page-15-9"></span>47. Sauro S, Pashley DH, Montanari M, Chersoni S, Carvalho RM, Toledano M, Osorio R, Tay FR, Prati C (2007) Efect of simulated pulpal pressure on dentin permeability and adhesion of self-etch adhesives. Dent Mater 23(6):705–713. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2006.06.010) [dental.2006.06.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2006.06.010)
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>48. Tay FR, Frankenberger R, Krejci I, Bouillaguet S, Pashley DH, Carvalho RM, Lai CN (2004) Single-bottle adhesives behave as permeable membranes after polymerization I In vivo evidence. J Dent 32(8):611–621. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2004.04.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2004.04.006) [006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdent.2004.04.006)
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>49. Davidson CL, de Gee AJ, Feilzer A (1984) The competition between the composite-dentin bond strength and the polymerization contraction stress. J Dent Res 63(12):1396–1399. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345840630121101) [org/10.1177/00220345840630121101](https://doi.org/10.1177/00220345840630121101)
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>50. Pashley DH (1991) Dentin bonding: overview of the substrate with respect to adhesive material. J Esthet Dent 3(2):46–50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1708-8240.1991.tb00808.x>
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>51. Perdigão J, Reis A, Loguercio AD (2013) Dentin adhesion and MMPs: a comprehensive review. J Esthet Restor Dent 25(4):219– 241.<https://doi.org/10.1111/jerd.12016>
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>52. Sauro S, Osorio R, Watson TF, Toledano M (2012) Assessment of the quality of resin-dentin bonded interfaces: an AFM nanoindentation, μTBS and confocal ultramorphology study. Dent Mater 28(6):622–631. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2012.02.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2012.02.005) [005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dental.2012.02.005)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.