Clin Oral Invest (2013) 17:1329–1338
DOI 10.1007/s00784-012-0829-7

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Is there a relationship between hyperactivity/inattention symptoms and poor oral health? Results from the GINIplus and LISAplus study

Gabriele Kohlboeck · Daniela Heitmueller · Claudia Neumann · Carla Tiesler · Joachim Heinrich · Roswitha Heinrich-Weltzien · Reinhard Hickel · Sibylle Koletzko · Olf Herbarth · Jan Kühnisch · the GINIplus Study Group, LISAplus Study Group

Received: 6 June 2011 / Accepted: 14 August 2012 / Published online: 29 August 2012

Abstract
Objectives A few clinical observations reported that children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) have poor oral health compared to children without ADHD. However, evidence is not conclusive. We assess the association between hyperactivity/inattention and oral health in a population-based study.
Material and methods As part of the ongoing birth cohort studies German Infant Nutritional Intervention-plus (GINIplus) and Influences of lifestyle-related factors on the immune

The GINIplus Study Group comprises the Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology, Munich (Heinrich J, Wichmann HE, Sausenthaler S, Zutavern A, Chen, Chih-Mei, Schnappinger M, and Rzehak P); Department of Pediatrics, Marien-Hospital, Wesel (Berdel D, von Berg A, Beckmann C, Groß I); Department of Pediatrics, Ludwig Maximilians University, Munich (Koletzko S, Reinhardt D, and Krauss-Etschmann S); Department of Pediatrics, Technical University, Munich (Bauer CP, Brockow I, Grübl A, and Hoffmann U); IUF–Institut für Umweltmedizinische Forschung at the Heinrich-Heine-University, Düsseldorf (Krämer U, Link E, and Cramer C); Centre for Allergy and Environment, Technical University, Munich (Behrendt H)
The LISAplus Study Group consists of the following: Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health, Institute of Epidemiology, Munich (Heinrich J, Wichmann HE, Sausenthaler S, Chen CM, and Schnappinger M); Department of Pediatrics, Municipal Hospital "St.Georg", Leipzig (Borte M and Diez U), Marien-Hospital Wesel, Department of Pediatrics, Wesel (von Berg A, Beckmann C, and Groß I); Pediatric Practice, Bad Honnef (Schaaf B); Helmholtz Centre for Environmental Research–UFZ, Department of Environmental Immunology/Core Facility Studies, Leipzig (Lehmann I, Bauer M, Gräbsch C, Röder S, and Schilde M); University of Leipzig, Institute of Hygiene and Environmental Medicine, Leipzig (Herbarth O, Dick C, and Magnus J); IUF–Institut für Umweltmedizinische Forschung, Düsseldorf (Krämer U, Link E, and Cramer C); Technical University Munich, Department of Pediatrics, Munich (Bauer CP and Hoffmann U); ZAUM–Center for Allergy and Environment, Technical University, Munich (Behrendt H, Grosch J, and Martin F)

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00784-012-0829-7) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

G. Kohlboeck (✉) · C. Tiesler · J. Heinrich
German Research Center for Environmental Health,
Institute of Epidemiology I, Helmholtz Zentrum Muenchen,
Ingolstaedter Landstr. 1,
85764 Neuherberg, Germany
e-mail: gabriele.kohlboeck@helmholtz-muenchen.de

D. Heitmueller · C. Neumann · R. Hickel · J. Kühnisch
Department of Conservative Dentistry and Periodontology,
Ludwig Maximilians University of Munich,
Munich, Germany

C. Tiesler · S. Koletzko
Division of Metabolic and Nutritional Medicine,
University of Munich Medical Center,
Dr von Hauner Children's Hospital,
Munich, Germany

R. Heinrich-Weltzien
Department of Preventive Dentistry and Dentistry for Children,
University Hospital, Friedrich-Schiller-University of Jena,
Jena, Germany

O. Herbarth
Faculty of Medicine, Environmental Medicine and Hygiene,
University Leipzig,
Leipzig, Germany

system and the development of allergies in childhood-plus (LISAplus), 1,126 children at age 10 years (±10.2) from Munich (Germany) were included in the present analysis. During the dental examination, oral hygiene, non-cavitated and cavitated caries lesions, dental trauma, and enamel hypomineralization (EH) in the permanent dentition (MIH/1) were recorded. Children with a Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralization were subcategorized into those with EH on at least one first permanent molar (MIH/1A), and on at least one first permanent molar and permanent incisor (MIH/1B). Data on children's hyperactivity/inattention symptoms were collected by parent-reported Strength and Difficulties Questionnaire. Logistic regressions and zero-inflated Poisson regression models were applied adjusted for gender, parental education, parental income, and methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication.

Results Logistic regressions showed that non-cavitated caries lesions were positively related with the presence of hyperactivity/inattention (OR_{adj}=1.51,$CI_{95\%}$=1.08–2.11). When adjusted for parental background, an association showed between hyperactivity/inattention symptoms and MIH/1A but did not reach statistical significance (OR_{adj}= 1.59,$CI_{95\%}$=1.00–2.53).

Conclusions Children with borderline and abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention symptoms showed more non-cavitated caries lesions. Severe levels of hyperactivity/inattention may contribute to a higher risk for MIH/1A in school age.

Clinical relevance Adequate dental preventive care for children with hyperactivity/inattention, especially from a low social background, is of importance for optimal caries prevention.

Keywords Hyperactivity · Inattention · ADHD · Oral health · Dental caries · Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralization

Introduction

Dental caries is one of the most prevalent chronic disorders in children. Although epidemiological studies have reported a decline in caries among children in Western Europe during the last decades [1], there is a remaining constant incidence rate and an increasing number of caries-affected teeth with increasing age, especially in lower socioeconomic groups, immigrants, and in children [2–4]. This increase is thought to be linked to the presence of caries risk factors, e.g., frequent intake of sugared drinks, inappropriate oral hygiene, and suboptimal fluoride supplementation [5].

Besides the decline of caries, it has become obvious that enamel hypomineralization in terms of molar-incisor-hypomineralization is prevalent in children [6–9]. Such enamel hypomineralizations (EH) can be diagnosed as demarcated opacities in enamel with or without surfaces disintegrations [8]. The loss of enamel leads to unprotected dentin and unexpectedly fast caries development can be seen in these teeth [9]. In cases where any enamel breakdowns affect the teeth—mostly first permanent molars—these teeth have to be restored. Furthermore, affected teeth can be very sensitive to a current of air, to cold and warmth, and mechanical stimuli (toothbrushing) and may create toothache shortly after eruption [10, 11].

The dental community also raises concerns about oral health in children with attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) [12, 13]. Since ADHD is characterized by symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity to the extent that the symptoms impair the child's ability to function [14], it has been suggested that these children may be unable to perform regular routine activity like toothbrushing in an effective manner which may lead to improper oral hygiene practices [13, 15]. Furthermore, the diet and appetite of child with hyperactivity and inattention may be altered by medications that could contribute to an increased caries risk [12].

So far, only a few small case–control studies on the oral health of children with/without ADHD have been published [12, 13, 16–18]. These studies have not produced consistent results about caries frequency and experience. Two studies reported that children with ADHD have higher dental caries prevalence [16, 17], one study found higher non-cavitated caries lesions [12], and another study found higher dental caries experience in ADHD subjects to be explained by poor oral hygiene and increased consumption of sugary foods [13], whereas one study found no association between ADHD and dental caries or oral hygiene [18]. For example, a study of Blomqvist et al. [17] observed a significant higher dental caries prevalence in children with ADHD, but found no evidence for a poor oral hygiene in these children. The inconsistent findings may be partially explained by small sample sizes, confounding factors, or heterogeneity of the study populations, which makes interpretation of these studies difficult. Furthermore, no studies were conducted so far to investigate possible associations between EH/MIH and hyperactivity/inattention [19].

As far as we know, no previous studies have investigated hyperactivity/inattention symptoms in relation to caries and MIH on the basis of a large population-based sample. Therefore, our work aimed to associate hyperactivity/inattention symptoms with relevant dental health markers, e.g., oral hygiene, caries, MIH, and dental trauma in two large population-based birth cohorts at 10 years of age. It was hypothesized that there is a significant association between hyperactivity/inattention and the selected dental health indicators of the permanent dentition.

Material and methods

Study population

Data from two ongoing German birth cohort studies were combined for the present analysis. The German Infant Nutritional Intervention (GINI)plus study is a prospective birth cohort study that was initiated to investigate the influence of nutritional intervention during infancy as well as air pollution and genetics on allergy development. Details on study design, recruitment, and exclusion criteria have been described elsewhere [20, 21]. In brief, between September 1995 and June 1998, a total of 5,991 healthy full-term newborns were recruited in obstetric clinics in two regions of Germany (urban Munich and rural Wesel). All children were followed at the ages of 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 10 years to collect information on health outcomes and covariates, such as children's nutrition and other lifestyle factors. In the 10-year follow-up, 3,317 children (55.4 %) of the original study population participated. Loss to follow-up was associated with a lower level of parental education, a negative history of parental atopy, the absence of atopic diseases of the child during the first 2 years of life, and residency in Wesel.

The LISAplus study is a population-based birth cohort investigating "Influences of lifestyle-related factors on the immune system and the development of allergies in childhood". Details on study design are described elsewhere [22, 23]. In brief, between November 1997 and January 1999, a total of 3,097 newborns were recruited in the four German cities Munich, Leipzig, Wesel, and Bad Honnef. Data on the child's health were collected by repeated parental-completed questionnaires at regular time intervals during the first 10 years (0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 4, 6, and 10 years of age).

Since dental examinations were only conducted in children from Munich, only their data were analyzed here. Children who received methylphenidate (Ritalin) or atomoxetine (Strattera) medication but had a normal value of hyperactivity/inattention were removed from our study sample (n=9). A complete set of data from the GINIplus and the LISAplus studies on dental examinations, and strengths and difficulties questionnaire data were available for 1,126 participants (577 male (51.2 %), 549 female (48.7 %)).

Approval by the local ethics committees (Bavarian General Medical Council) and written consent from participant's parents or guardians were obtained.

Measurement of hyperactivity/inattention

The Strengths & Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) is a brief behavioral screening questionnaire which can be filled out by parents and teachers or as self-report by children aged 11 years or older [24]. The German version of the SDQ has been found to be a valid and reliable screening instrument [25]. The SDQ comprises 25 items on psychological attributes divided into five subscales: (1) emotional symptoms; (2) conduct problems; (3) hyperactivity/inattention; (4) peer relationship problems; and (5) prosocial behavior. Each item is reported as 0 = "not true", 1 = "somewhat true", and 2 = "certainly true". The sum of four of the five subscale scores (the prosocial scale is excluded) yields a total difficulties score (range 0–40). The SDQ subscale hyperactivity/inattention ranges from 0 to 10 where higher scores denote more problems and was categorized according to the norms of the German SDQ version [25] into two groups indicating a "normal" vs. a "borderline" or "abnormal" amount of symptoms.

Oral health variables

Prior to the dental examination, each participant brushed their teeth. A halogen lamp to illuminate the oral cavity (Ri-Magic, Rudolf Riester GmbH, Jungingen, Germany), a blunt CPI probe (CP-11.5B6, Hu-Friedy, Chicago, IL, USA), a dental mirror, and cotton rolls for drying teeth were used to improve the clinical detection and diagnosis of plaque, sulcus bleeding/gingival inflammation, (non-cavitated) caries lesions as well as enamel hypomineralization. Oral hygiene was determined by recording the presence of plaque and gingivitis on Ramfjord teeth—16, 11, 26, 31, 36, and 46 [26, 27]. Non-cavitated caries lesions on all teeth and tooth surfaces were scored using the Universal Visual Scoring System ([28, 29]; http//:www.univiss.net). No x-rays were taken for caries diagnoses. The caries status and traumatized teeth were determined according to the WHO standard [27] as tooth and surface related DMF index for the permanent dentition (DMFT/S). In addition to the caries status, each child was carefully screened for EH according to the criteria of the European Academy of Pediatric Dentistry [8, 9]. In general, possible EH with a diameter <1 mm were not documented. Other enamel disturbances, e.g., hypoplastic defects, fluorosis (diffuse opacities), amelogenesis imperfecta and dentinogenesis imperfecta were distinguished from EH and were not scored. Children were grouped according to their distribution pattern of EH. At the beginning, all children with a minimum of one EH in the permanent dentition were categorized as group MIH/1; otherwise, subjects with no demarcated opacities were scored as free of EH. After this, we separated the children with at least one affected permanent molar to group MIH/1A [8–10]. Inside the MIH/1A-group, the distribution pattern was further analyzed so that children with EH on first permanent molars and incisors were additionally classified as MIH/1B [30].

Each child was investigated at the designated appointment by one of three calibrated dentists (JK, DM, and CN). Calibration training of the dentists was conducted in order to

establish intra-rater and inter-rater reliability. The (un)weighted Kappa values for the intra- and inter-rater reliability were in a good to excellent order of magnitude (Intra-rater reliability of JK for occlusal/smooth surfaces: DMF 1.00/0.97, UniViss 0.98/0.90, enamel hypomineralization 0.75/0.93; Intra-rater reliability of DM: DMF 0.85/0.90, UniViSS 0.90/0.97, enamel hypomineralization 0.81/0.82; Intra-rater reliability of CN: DMF 0.86/0.90, UniViSS 0.90/0.81, enamel hypomineralization 0.85/1.00. Inter-rater reliability of JK for occlusal and smooth surfaces: DMF 1.00/0.99, UniViSS 0.93/0.97, enamel hypomineralization 0.87/0.96; Inter-rater reliability of DM: DMF 0.76/0.92, UniViSS 0.97/0.89, enamel hypomineralization 0.93/0.91; Inter-rater reliability of CN: CMF 0.86/0.92, UniViSS 0.89/0.93, enamel hypomineralization 0.72/0.95).

Statistical analysis

Mean and standard deviation (SD) were used to describe continuous variables. Student's t-tests, Wilcoxon test, and Chi-square test were used to compare several variables between subjects with normal vs. borderline or abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention; a 0.05 level of significance was chosen. Kolmogorov Smirnov test and normal probability plots were used to test for normal distribution of oral health variables.

Logistic regression was applied to evaluate the effect of hyperactivity/inattention on the presence of a dichotomous coded oral health characteristic (code: 0 = "no", 1 = "yes").

Because there was little variability in continuous oral health data, e.g., for the number of teeth with caries (i.e., about 98 % of values are zero representing the caries-free children), it is quite difficult to obtain statistically or practically significant findings with them. Since the DMF index is a count variable, a Poisson regression should be used for count data. The zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) regression, as compared with Poisson regression, is the most appropriate model for the dependent variable due to the overdispersion in the number of zero behavior problems [31, 32]. Therefore, we applied ZIP modeling to evaluate the effect of hyperactivity/inattention on several oral health markers. The ZIP uses a Logit model with binomial assumption to determine if an individual count outcome is from the always-zero or the not-always-zero group and a Poisson model for count data to model outcomes in the not-always-zero group [33]. Results of ZIP are presented as exp(beta) regression coefficients related to the log count model with their standard errors, and p values.

To account for potential confounding factors that might be associated with hyperactivity/inattention in children at age 10 years, gender, socioeconomic status of parents, body mass index, and methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication were selected based on the information from previous studies and were determined a priori. In the analysis, parental education was categorized based on the highest and completed grade in school and vocational training [34] from either parent. Household equivalent income was calculated by dividing the net household income per month, which was reported on an eleven-point scale ranging from less than €500 to more than €3,000, by the equivalence scale that considers family size based on the new Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) guidelines [35]. Information on medication for hyperactivity/inattention symptoms was available from self-administered questionnaires filled in by the parents including the brand name or generic name of the medication. A sensitivity analysis was carried out whether children with hyperactivity/inattention drink more sugared drinks than children without hyperactivity/inattention. Children's drinking habits over the past year were measured by an 82-item food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) [36] administered to the parents. The parents were asked about the number of sugared drinks their children consumed by the time of day (breakfast, pauses, lunch, afternoon, dinner, evening, night), where total amount of sweet drinks was defined as sum of number of lemonade, coke, ice tea, sport drinks, and energy drinks consumed per day.

All computations were performed using the statistical software package SAS for Windows, version 9.1 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina).

Results

Characteristics of the Munich GINIplus/LISAplus study sample are shown in Table 1. Subjects were assessed about 10 years after the baseline survey at birth. Mean age at dental examination was 10.2±0.2 years (range 9.8–11.8 years).

161 subjects (14.3 %) of the study population (n=1,126) showed a borderline or abnormal amount of hyperactivity/inattention. Males showed significantly more borderline or abnormal hyperactivity/inattention (p<0.001) than females.

No cavitated caries lesions and caries-associated restorations in the permanent dentition (DMF = 0) was found in 83.6 % (n=941) of the 10-year-olds. The mean (SD) caries experience among all children amounted to 0.30 (0.80) DMFT and 0.39 (1.22) DMFS (Table 2). Non-cavitated caries lesions were detected in 1.10 (1.47) permanent teeth and 1.45 (2.22) surfaces, respectively. Of all children, 33.8 % (n=381) had a minimum of one EH in the permanent dentition (MIH/1). Of all 10-year-olds, 13.7 % (n=154) had at least one affected permanent molar (MIH/1A). Nine percent (n=101) of children had EH on first permanent molars and incisors (MIH/1B).

Table 1 Characteristics of the study population in Munich at the 10-year follow-up

GINIplus and LISAplus (N=1,126)	n / mean	Percentage / SD
Study group		
GINI	678	60.2
LISA	448	40.0
Gender		
Male	577	51.2
Female	549	48.7
Age at examination (min: 9.77; max: 11.83)	10.2	0.2
Parental education[a]		
High	826	77.0
Medium	202	18.8
Low	45	4.2
Parental income[b]		
High	794	70.5
Medium	243	21.6
Low	89	7.9
SDQ hyperactivity/inattention[c]		
Normal	965	85.7
Borderline	60	5.3
Abnormal	101	9.0
Medication[d]		
None	866	100.0
Methylphenidate (Ritalin) or atomoxetine (Strattera)	16	1.6

[a] Educational status of parents defined as 1 = high, 2 = medium, 3 = low

[b] Income status of parents defined as 1 = high, 2 = medium, 3 = low

[c] Hyperactivity/ inattention defined as <6 normal value, 6 = borderline value, >6 abnormal value

[d] Children with medication treatment data (n=1,020)

There were no significant differences in DMFT/S index or in number of teeth/surfaces with caries (DT/DS) between subjects with a normal vs. borderline or abnormal value of hyperactivity/inattention (Table 2). Significantly, more children with borderline or abnormal value of hyperactivity/inattention had at least one non-cavitated caries lesion (p<0.02) compared to children with a normal value of hyperactivity/inattention. Children with borderline or abnormal value of hyperactivity/inattention also showed a higher number of teeth with non-cavitated lesions on tooth and tooth surfaces (p<0.01) than children with normal value of hyperactivity/inattention. No significant differences could be found in children with MIH/1, MIH/1A, or MIH/1B with regard to borderline/abnormal value of hyperactivity/inattention.

A total of 16 subjects (1.6 %) of the study population received methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication (Table 3). There was a high percentage of children (89.0 %) with borderline or abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention who received no treatment. Sixteen (11.0 %) of the 145 subjects with borderline or abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention received methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication. Children with hyperactivity/inattention had a higher consumption of sugared drinks than children without such symptoms.

The association of hyperactivity/inattention for dichotomous oral health variables was investigated by logistic regression models (Table 4). In unadjusted logistic regression analysis, children with borderline or abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention had an increased likelihood (OR_{unadj}=1.51; $CI_{95\%}$=1.08–2.11) of being classified with non-cavitated caries lesions compared to children with normal values of hyperactivity/inattention. However, this association did not remain significant when controlled for gender and socioeconomic status, but became significant again when methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication was added as a confounder into the model (OR_{adj}=1.51, $CI_{95\%}$=1.02–2.23). When adjusted for parental background, an association showed between hyperactivity/inattention symptoms and MIH/1A, but did not reach statistical significance (OR_{adj}=1.59,$CI_{95\%}$=1.00–2.53). For none of the other oral health characteristics (caries, trauma), significant relationships were found with hyperactivity/inattention.

Unadjusted ZIP models showed that association between hyperactivity/inattention and number of teeth with gingivitis just failed statistical significance ($\exp(\beta)_{unadj}$ = 1.13, SE= 0.06, p=0.05; Table 5). In the fully adjusted ZIP models, no other oral health variables, such as DMFT/S index, number of permanent teeth with caries, number of filled permanent teeth/surfaces (FT/FS), or number of teeth with plaque, were significantly related with hyperactivity/inattention.

Discussion

The present study indicates that borderline or abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention were related with a higher frequency of non-cavitated caries lesions at the age of 10 years. There was an association with MIH/1A when controlled for parental background, albeit not statistically significant.

Our study provides some new information to clarify the current debate whether hyperactivity/intention symptoms are associated with caries in children. The association between hyperactivity/inattention with non-cavitated caries lesions in our study may be explained as a consequence of parental socioeconomic status but not with methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication. Low socioeconomic status of parents may increase risk for non-cavitated caries lesions in 10-year-olds. This suggests that the association of hyperactivity/inattention symptoms with caries is weaker than the social circumstances of the children. Our result that children

Table 2 Oral health by SDQ hyperactivity/inattention categories in the study population at 10-year follow-up

Oral health variables	SDQ hyperactivity/inattention categories						*p* value[a]
	Total (*n*=1,126)		Normal value (*n*=965)		Borderline or abnormal value (*n*=161)		
	N mean	% SD	*n*/*N* mean	% SD	*n*/*N* mean	% SD	
Number of index teeth with plaque (min:0 max:6)	2.06	1.82	2.02	1.82	2.35	1.83	0.0192
Number of index teeth with gingivitis (min:0 max:6)	1.83	2.04	1.80	2.02	2.04	2.10	0.2817
Number of traumatic injuries to permanent teeth	1.91	0.28	1.91	0.28	1.91	0.28	0.9291
At least one non-cavitated caries lesion							
No	581	51.6	512/965	53.1	69/161	42.9	0.0165
Yes	545	48.4	458/965	47.0	92/161	57.1	
Number of non-cavitated caries lesions on all teeth	1.10	1.47	1.05	1.42	1.40	1.70	0.0076
Number of non-cavitated carious tooth surfaces	1.45	2.22	1.36	2.10	1.96	2.80	0.0062
Caries or non-cavitated caries lesion							
No	516	45.8	457/965	46.9	63/161	39.1	0.0655
Yes	610	54.2	517/965	53.1	98/161	60.9	
DMFT	0.30	0.80	0.30	0.80	0.27	0.72	0.7627
DMFS	0.39	1.22	0.39	1.24	0.37	1.01	0.8051
Number of carious teeth (DT)							
0	1,101	97.8	943/965	97.7	158/161	98.1	0.2629
1	23	2.0	21/965	2.2	2/161	1.2	
2	2	0.2	1/965	0.1	1/161	0.6	
Number of carious surfaces (DS)							
0	1,101	97.8	943/965	97.7	158/161	98.1	0.2930
1	19	1.7	18/965	1.9	1/161	0.6	
2	4	0.4	3/965	0.3	1/161	0.6	
3	2	0.2	1/965	0.1	1/161	0.6	
Number of filled teeth (FT)	0.27	0.76	0.28	0.76	0.24	0.70	0.5600
Number of filled surfaces (FS)	0.36	1.16	0.37	1.19	0.30	0.89	0.5551
MIH/1A							
No	972	86.3	840/965	87.1	132/161	82.0	0.0837
Yes	154	13.7	125/965	12.9	29/161	14.2	
MIH/1B							
No	1,025	91.0	880/965	91.2	145/161	90.1	0.6424
Yes	101	9.0	85/965	8.8	16/161	9.9	
MIH/1							
No	745	66.2	643/965	66.7	102/161	63.4	0.4158
Yes	381	33.8	322/965	33.3	59/161	36.6	
Number of hypomineralized teeth	0.76	1.45	0.74	1.43	0.86	1.53	0.3529
Number of hypomineralized first permanent molars (min:0 max:4)	0.27	0.77	0.25	0.73	0.40	0.99	0.0673

[a] Chi^2 -test or Wilcoxon rank test

with hyperactivity/inattention have a higher intake of sugared drinks suggests an increased caries risk for these children. As a possible explanation of the high sugar food/hyperactivity relationship, parental educational style may be suggested [37–39].

The results of the present study regarding differences in caries dependent from socioeconomic status is consistent with considerable evidence worldwide that associate socioeconomic disadvantages with the incidence and severity of childhood dental caries prevalence [40–43]. Even within a single country, disparities by social position exist in large part because of differences in diet, fluoride use, and social empowerment [42]. An ecological study [43] using oral disease data from World Health Organization databases found that socioeconomic background variables alone accounted for approximately 50 % of the differences in the prevalence of dental caries at 12 years of age.

Table 3 Medication and consumption of sugared drinks by SDQ hyperactivity/inattention categories in the study population at 10-year follow-up

Medication	SDQ hyperactivity/ inattention categories						*p* value[a]
	Total (*n*=1,011)		Normal value (*n*=965)		Borderline or abnormal value (*n*=145)		
	n/*N* mean	% SD	*n*/*N* mean	% SD	*n*/*N* mean	% SD	
No medication	995/1,011	98.4	866/866	99.0	129/161	89.0	<0.0001
methylphenidate (Ritalin) or atomoxetine (Strattera)	16/1,011	1.6	0	0	16/161	11.0	
Average daily consumption of sugared drinks[b]	6.34	3.38	6.17	3.28	7.37	3.82	0.0001

[a] Chi^2 -test or t-test

[b] Sensitivity analysis: Children with food frequency questionnaire data (*n*=982)

We found more non-cavitated caries lesions in children with borderline/abnormal values of hyperactivity/inattention in unadjusted analyses. This is in line with a case–control study [12] which found that children with a Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders fourth edition (DSM-IV) diagnosis of ADHD had more non-cavitated caries lesions at age 6–10 years, but not with another case–control study of children with DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD [17] at the age of 11 years. These inconsistent results may at least partly be due to small sample sizes or that we used a broader construct to classify children with hyperactivity/inattention than the definitions used in these studies. Another plausible explanation is the different age of the children investigated in these studies. As the children in our study were 10 years of age, they probably had mainly newly erupted teeth, and the non-cavitated lesions had not yet become cavitated. With regard to DMFT or DMFS scores, results of our unadjusted analyses agree with two case–control studies that found no statistical significant differences in dmfs and DMFS scores in children medicated for ADHD at the age of 7 [18], and in children treated for ADHD in an outpatient psychiatry department with/without medication at the age of 8 years [13]. Our results are not in line with results from a case–control study which reports a significantly higher DMFS and decayed surfaces (DS) in permanent teeth in children with a DSM-IV diagnosis of ADHD at the age of 11 years compared to controls [17]. Since analyses of that study were based on children who fulfilled DSM-IV criteria for ADHD, it may be the case that differences in results between studies may be due the use of hyperactivity/inattention symptoms in our study. Our results also differ from Broadbent et al. [16] who found children with ADHD had nearly 12 times the odds of having a high DMFT score than children who did not have ADHD. Since this study used a matched case–control design according to the DMFT score instead of ADHD criteria, interpretation of their findings may be limited.

With respect to oral hygiene characteristics, we found no difference in teeth with plaque between the groups, which is in agreement with Bimstein et al. [18], but not with Chandra et al. [13].

Table 4 SDQ hyperactivity/inattention categories as predictor of oral health characteristics (binary outcome) at age 10 years

Oral health variables	Unadjusted model[a]			Adjusted model[b]			Adjusted model[c]		
	OR	$CI_{95\%}$	*p*	OR	$CI_{95\%}$	*p*	OR	$CI_{95\%}$	*p*
Non-cavitated caries lesions	1.51	1.08–2.11	0.0170	1.37	0.96–1.96	0.0805	1.51	1.02–2.23	0.0388
Caries or non-cavitated caries lesions	1.38	0.98–1.94	0.0662	1.22	0.85–1.74	0.2778	1.26	0.85–1.86	0.2543
Caries in permanent teeth	0.93	0.58–1.46	0.7387	0.86	0.53–1.39	0.5288	0.74	0.42–1.30	0.2874
MIH/1A	1.48	0.95–2.30	0.0853	1.59	1.00–2.53	0.0505	1.08	0.62–1.89	0.7742
MIH/1B	1.14	0.65–2.00	0.6426	1.15	0.64–2.08	0.6351	0.53	0.22–1.25	0.1447
MIH/1	1.16	0.82–1.64	0.4160	1.20	0.83–1.72	0.3353	1.00	0.66–1.50	0.9890
Trauma in permanent teeth	0.97	0.54–1.76	0.9294	1.02	0.56–1.88	0.9415	1.12	0.58–2.15	0.7376

[a] Odds ratios (OR) of hyperactivity/inattention categories on presence of oral health characteristics (defined as 0 = no, 1 = yes) are estimated by logistic regression

[b] Adjusted for gender, parental education, and parental income

[c] Model 2 and, in addition, methylphenidate and atomoxetine medication

Table 5 SDQ hyperactivity/inattention categories as predictor for oral health characteristics (continuous variables) at age 10 years of age

Oral health variables	Unadjusted model[a]			Adjusted model[b]			Adjusted model[c]		
	exp(β)	SE	p	exp(β)	SE	p	exp(β)	SE	p
Number of index teeth with plaque	1.10	0.06	0.1349	1.05	0.07	0.4618	1.05	0.07	0.4912
Number of index teeth with gingivitis	1.13	0.06	0.0486	1.11	0.07	0.1469	1.11	0.08	0.1828
Number of permanent teeth with initial caries lesions	1.13	0.09	0.1635	1.10	0.09	0.2923	1.10	0.10	0.3235
DMFS	0.98	0.17	0.9038	0.99	0.17	0.9736	0.96	0.21	0.8578
DMFT	0.94	0.23	0.7789	0.88	0.24	0.6015	1.09	0.27	0.7449
Number of permanent teeth with caries	6.76	1.38	0.1658	5.97	1.35	0.1857	4.03	1.02	0.6348
Number of hypomineralized permanent teeth	1.08	0.11	0.4363	1.15	0.12	0.2268	0.93	0.15	0.6335
Number of hypomineralized first permanent molars	1.28	0.18	0.1575	1.33	0.18	0.1198	1.36	0.22	0.1568

[a] Exp (β) is the regression estimate of hyperactivity/inattention categories for the respective outcome (oral health characteristics) in a zero-inflated Poisson regression model (ZIP)

[b] Adjusted for gender, parental education, parental income

[c] Model 2 and in addition methylphenidate and atomoxetine medication

In our study, we found a significant association of hyperactivity/inattention and MIH diagnosis at the age of 10 years when controlled for parental social background. So far, there is no previous study available that investigated the relationship between EH or MIH and later hyperactivity/inattention symptoms. Since the relationship between hyperactivity/inattention and MIH attenuated largely when controlled for ADHD medication, it might be the case that systemic ADHD medication is an effect modifier of this relationship. However, due to our cross-sectional design, we are unable to address temporal relationships or causal chains. One interpretation of this result would be that the ADHD medication reduces symptoms of hyperactivity, impulsivity, and inattention, and that this reduction of symptoms might improve oral hygiene practices of these children. It is also possible that the MIH/hyperactivity relationship is coincidental. Other speculations would be that there are unknown common grounds between MIH/hyperactivity, or that improper cleaning is responsible for this relationship, or a combination of these factors. Thus, it is suggested to examine whether such an association exists in future studies. Future MIH studies should also consider systemic medications as possible etiological factor for MIH.

To our knowledge, this is the first population-based study to examine oral health as assessed by dental examination and hyperactivity/inattention symptoms in school age. Our study has a number of strengths. Importantly, we were able to control for a variety of potential confounders, including demographic factors and methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication.

We measured oral health characteristics by a valid and precise clinical examination with good intra-rater and inter-rater reliability. Our results are based on data of a population-based birth cohort in Munich. To exclude influence by prematurity, perinatal diseases, and antibiotics, we included only healthy newborns. We used a standardized and well validated, brief behavioral screening questionnaire (SDQ) which has been especially developed for use in epidemiological studies. Unlike previous studies using different ADHD definitions as study inclusion criteria, our definition of hyperactivity/inattention symptoms refers to subjects with a more severe amount of hyperactivity/inattention (85th percentile). However, although the SDQ has been found to have good validity for the main child psychiatric conditions including hyperactivity–inattention [44], this does not necessarily mean that the SDQ identifies a clinical diagnosis of ADHD specifically. Thus, an under- or overestimation of the hyperactivity/non-cavitated lesions relationship is possible.

A limitation of our study is that we could include only children whose parents consented for the clinical examination of their children. This may have resulted in a potential bias. In addition, the majority of parents in our sample were highly educated, which reflects the metropolitan area of Munich with a relatively high quality of life, high costs of living, low unemployment and crime rates, but also the fact that better educated parents more often agreed to the research project and showed less drop out over the 10 years compared to parents with a lower level of education. Since we adjusted logistic regressions and ZIP models for socioeconomic status, we likely eliminated group-specific drop out due to different socio-demographical background of parents. In fact, in the present study, we could demonstrate that differences in parental socioeconomic status were associated with differences in oral health. Due to the small

sample size of children with borderline and abnormal hyperactivity, we may not have had enough power to detect differences between in several oral health characteristics. In addition, prematurely born children were excluded from the study, and we might have lost some children with hyperactivity/inattention, as prematurity is a risk for ADHD. Another source of possible under-reporting is that we had to rely on the information provided by the parents. It may be more meaningful to make use of a questionnaire with children and teachers as well to confirm the status of children's psychopathology. However, results on SDQ criterion validity showed that total difficulties reported by mothers could discriminate significantly between children attending psychiatric clinics versus attending a dental clinic [24, 45]. Although SDQ scales were not specifically based on the DSM or international classification of diseases (ICD) diagnostic criteria, the convergent designation of a scale as hyperactivity/inattention implies links to the diagnostic category of ADHD [46]. However, about 1 % of children with normal values of hyperactivity/inattention according to the SDQ norms received methylphenidate or atomoxetine medication

Conclusions

Non-cavitated caries lesions were significantly related with hyperactivity/inattention symptoms. However, our findings further indicate that parental socioeconomic status influences the caries and hyperactivity/inattention relationship. Therefore, adequate dental preventive care for children with hyperactivity/inattention, especially from a low social background, seems to be of importance for optimal caries prevention. In addition, high levels of hyperactivity–inattention symptoms were found to be a possible risk factor for MIH/1A diagnosis in the investigated 10-year-olds. The dental community may pay attention to this observation as the etiology of MIH is remaining unclear so far.

Acknowledgments We thank all the families who participated in the GINIplus and LISAplus study. Furthermore, we thank all members of the GINIplus and LISAplus study group (see Appendix S1) for their excellent work. The GINIplus and LISAplus were funded by grants 01 EG 9732 and 01 EG 9705/2 from the Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research, and Technology; 01 EE 9401-4 from the Federal Ministry for Education, Science, Research, and Technology; and FKZ 20462296 from the Federal Ministry of Environment, and Ludwig Maximilians Universities' innovative research priority Project MC-Health. The dental investigation was funded by a grant of the German Research Foundation (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft, FKZ KU 2518/1-1 and HE 3294/7-1). The GABA GmbH, Lörrach, Germany, supported the dental examination with oral health care packages for all participating children.

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

1. Marthaler TM (2004) Changes in dental caries 1953–2003. Caries Res 38(3):173–181. doi:10.1159/000077752
2. Schiffner U, Hoffmann T, Kerschbaum T, Micheelis W (2009) Oral health in German children, adolescents, adults and senior citizens in 2005. Community Dent Health 26(1):18–22
3. Geyer S, Schneller T, Micheelis W (2010) Social gradients and cumulative effects of income and education on dental health in the Fourth German Oral Health Study. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 38(2):120–128. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.2009.00520.x
4. Kühnisch J, Heinrich-Weltzien R, Senkel H, Clasen A, Stößer L (2001) Dental health and caries topography in 8-year-old German and immigrant children. Eur J Paediatr Dent 2:191–196
5. Bagramian RA, Garcia-Godoy F, Volpe AR (2009) The global increase in dental caries. A pending public health crisis. Am J Dent 22(1):3–8
6. Mach DS (2009) Zahngesundheit unter besonderer Berücksichtigung von (Molaren-Inzisiven-)Hypomineralisationen bei 10-Jährigen in München. Doctoral Thesis, Ludwig-Maximilians-University of Munich, Munich
7. Jalevik B (2010) Prevalence and diagnosis of Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH): a systematic review. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 11(2):59–64
8. Lygidakis NA, Wong F, Jalevik B, Vierrou AM, Alaluusua S, Espelid I (2010) Best clinical practice guidance for clinicians dealing with children presenting with Molar-Incisor-Hypomineralisation (MIH): an EAPD policy document. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 11(2):75–81
9. Weerheijm KL, Duggal M, Mejare I, Papagiannoulis L, Koch G, Martens LC, Hallonsten AL (2003) Judgement criteria for molar incisor hypomineralisation (MIH) in epidemiologic studies: a summary of the European meeting on MIH held in Athens, 2003. Eur J Paediatr Dent 4(3):110–113
10. Weerheijm KL, Jalevik B, Alaluusua S (2001) Molar-incisor hypomineralisation. Caries Res 35(5):390–391
11. Jalevik B, Klingberg GA (2002) Dental treatment, dental fear and behaviour management problems in children with severe enamel hypomineralization of their permanent first molars. Int J Paediatr Dent 12(1):24–32
12. Grooms MT, Keels MA, Roberts MW, McIver FT (2005) Caries experience associated with attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Pediatr Dent 30(1):3–7
13. Chandra P, Anandakrishna L, Ray P (2009) Caries experience and oral hygiene status of children suffering from attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. J Clin Pediatr Dent 34(1):25–29
14. Wolraich M (1998) Attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Prof Care Mother Child 8(2):35–37
15. Friedlander AH, Yagiela JA, Mahler ME, Rubin R (2007) The pathophysiology, medical management and dental implications of adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. J Am Dent Assoc 138 (4):475–482, quiz 535, 537
16. Broadbent JM, Ayers KM, Thomson WM (2004) Is attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder a risk factor for dental caries? a case–control study. Caries Res 38(1):29–33. doi:10.1159/000073917
17. Blomqvist M, Holmberg K, Fernell E, Ek U, Dahllof G (2006) Oral health, dental anxiety, and behavior management problems in children with attention deficit hyperactivity disorder. Eur J Oral Sci 114(5):385–390. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0722.2006.00393.x
18. Bimstein E, Wilson J, Guelmann M, Primosch R (2008) Oral characteristics of children with attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder. Spec Care Dent 28(3):107–110. doi:10.1111/j.1754-4505.2008.00021.x
19. Alaluusua S (2010) Aetiology of Molar-Incisor Hypomineralisation: a systematic review. Eur Arch Paediatr Dent 11(2):53–58

20. Filipiak B, Zutavern A, Koletzko S, von Berg A, Brockow I, Grubl A, Berdel D, Reinhardt D, Bauer CP, Wichmann HE, Heinrich J (2007) Solid food introduction in relation to eczema: results from a four-year prospective birth cohort study. J Pediatr 151(4):352–358. doi:10.1016/j.jpeds.2007.05.018
21. von Berg A, Koletzko S, Filipiak-Pittroff B, Laubereau B, Grubl A, Wichmann HE, Bauer CP, Reinhardt D, Berdel D (2007) Certain hydrolyzed formulas reduce the incidence of atopic dermatitis but not that of asthma: three-year results of the German Infant Nutritional Intervention Study. J Allergy Clin Immunol 119 (3):718–725. doi:10.1016/j.jaci.2006.11.017
22. Schnabel E, Sausenthaler S, Schaaf B, Schafer T, Lehmann I, Behrendt H, Herbarth O, Borte M, Kramer U, von Berg A, Wichmann HE, Heinrich J (2010) Prospective association between food sensitization and food allergy: results of the LISA birth cohort study. Clin Exp Allergy 40(3):450–457. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2222.2009.03400.x
23. Heinrich J, Bolte G, Holscher B, Douwes J, Lehmann I, Fahlbusch B, Bischof W, Weiss M, Borte M, Wichmann HE (2002) Allergens and endotoxin on mothers' mattresses and total immunoglobulin E in cord blood of neonates. Eur Respir J 20(3):617–623
24. Goodman R (1997) The strengths and difficulties questionnaire: a research note. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 38(5):581–586
25. Woerner W, Becker A, Rothenberger A (2004) Normative data and scale properties of the German parent SDQ. Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry 13(Suppl 2):II3–II10. doi:10.1007/s00787-004-2002-6
26. Muhlemann HR, Son S (1971) Gingival sulcus bleeding—a leading symptom in initial gingivitis. Helv Odontol Acta 15(2):107–113
27. Loe H, Silness J (1963) Periodontal disease in pregnancy. I. Prevalence and severity. Acta Odontol Scand 21:533–551
28. Kuhnisch J, Goddon I, Berger S, Senkel H, Bucher K, Oehme T, Hickel R, Heinrich-Weltzien R (2009) Development, methodology and potential of the new Universal Visual Scoring System (UniViSS) for caries detection and diagnosis. Int J Environ Res Public Health 6(9):2500–2509. doi:10.3390/ijerph6092500
29. Kuhnisch J, Bucher K, Henschel V, Albrecht A, Garcia-Godoy F, Mansmann U, Hickel R, Heinrich-Weltzien R (2011) Diagnostic performance of the universal visual scoring system (UniViSS) on occlusal surfaces. Clin Oral Investig 15(2):215–223. doi:10.1007/s00784-010-0390-1
30. Heitmuller D, Thiering E, Hoffmann U, Heinrich J, Manton D, Kuhnisch J, Neumann C, Bauer CP, Heinrich-Weltzien R, Hickel R (2012) Is there a positive relationship between molar incisor hypomineralisations and the presence of dental caries? Int J Paediatr Dent. doi:10.1111/j.1365-263X.2012.01233.x
31. Atkins DC, Gallop RJ (2007) Rethinking how family researchers model infrequent outcomes: a tutorial on count regression and zero-inflated models. J Fam Psychol 21(4):726–735. doi:10.1037/0893-3200.21.4.726
32. Boehning D, Dietz E, Schlattmann P (1997) Zero-inflated count models and their applications in public health and social science. In: Rost J, Langeheine R (eds) Applications of latent trait and latent class models in the social sciences. Wachsmann, Muenster, pp 333–344
33. Liu W, Cela J (2008) Count data models in SAS. Statistics and Data Analysis: 1–12
34. Ahrens W, Bellach BM, Joeckel KH (1998) Messung soziodemographischer Merkmale in der Epidemiologie. Schriften wissenschaftlicher Institute. RKI Schriften. Urban & Vogel
35. Hauser R (1988) Adequacy and poverty among the retired. AGEING WORKING PAPERS AWP 3.2, vol OECD-Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris
36. Stiegler P, Sausenthaler S, Buyken AE, Rzehak P, Czech D, Linseisen J, Kroke A, Gedrich K, Robertson C, Heinrich J (2010) A new FFQ designed to measure the intake of fatty acids and antioxidants in children. Public Health Nutr 13(1):38–46. doi:10.1017/s1368980009005813
37. Wiles NJ, Northstone K, Emmett P, Lewis G (2009) 'Junk food' diet and childhood behavioural problems: results from the ALSPAC cohort. Eur J Clin Nutr 63(4):491–498. doi:10.1038/sj.ejcn.1602967
38. Schnoll R, Burshteyn D, Cea-Aravena J (2003) Nutrition in the treatment of attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder: a neglected but important aspect. Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 28(1):63–75. doi:10.1023/a:1022321017467
39. Kohlboeck G, Sausenthaler S, Standl M, Koletzko S, Bauer CP, von Berg A, Berdel D, Kramer U, Schaaf B, Lehmann I, Herbarth O, Heinrich J (2012) Food intake, diet quality and behavioral problems in children: results from the GINI-plus/LISA-plus studies. Ann Nutr Metab 60(4):247–256. doi:10.1159/000337552
40. US-Department-of-Health-and-Human-Services (2000) Oral health in America: a report of the surgeon general—executive summary
41. Centers-for-Disease-Control-and-Prevention (2005) Surveillance for dental caries, dental sealants, tooth retention, edentulism, and enamel fluorosis—United States, 1988–1994 and 1999–2002. Morb Mortal Wkly Rep (MMWR) 54(03):1–44
42. Petersen PE, Lennon MA (2004) Effective use of fluorides for the prevention of dental caries in the 21st century: the WHO approach. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol 32(5):319–321. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0528.2004.00175.x
43. Hobdell MH, Oliveira ER, Bautista R, Myburgh NG, Lalloo R, Narendran S, Johnson NW (2003) Oral diseases and socioeconomic status (SES). Br Dent J 194(2):91–96
44. Goodman R, Ford T, Simmons H, Gatward R, Meltzer H (2000) Using the Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire (SDQ) to screen for child psychiatric disorders in a community sample. Br J Psychiatry 177(6):534–539. doi:10.1192/bjp. 177.6.534
45. Goodman R, Scott S (1999) Comparing the strengths and difficulties questionnaire and the child behavior checklist: is small beautiful? J Abnorm Child Psychol 27(1):17–24
46. Achenbach TM, Becker A, Dopfner M, Heiervang E, Roessner V, Steinhausen HC, Rothenberger A (2008) Multicultural assessment of child and adolescent psychopathology with ASEBA and SDQ instruments: research findings, applications, and future directions. J Child Psychol Psychiatry 49(3):251–275. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7610.2007.01867.x