### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# Ten years change in post-fracture care for hip fracture patients

Shun Shimodan<sup>1,2</sup> · Dai Sato<sup>1,3</sup> · Kaname Takahashi<sup>1,4</sup> · Yumejiro Nakamura<sup>1,4</sup> · Ryota Hyakkan<sup>1,4</sup> · Takamasa Watanabe<sup>1,4</sup> · Ryosuke Hishimura<sup>1,5</sup> · Masahiro Ota<sup>1,6</sup> · Hirokazu Shimizu<sup>1,7</sup> · Yoshihiro Hojo<sup>7</sup> · Yuichi Hasegawa<sup>1,8</sup> · Toshiya Chubachi<sup>1,8</sup> · Keigo Yasui<sup>8</sup> · Takeru Tsujimoto<sup>1,9</sup> · Yukinori Tsukuda<sup>1,9</sup> · Tsuyoshi Asano<sup>1</sup> · Daisuke Takahashi<sup>1</sup> · Masahiko Takahata<sup>1</sup> · Norimasa Iwasaki<sup>1</sup> · Tomohiro Shimizu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 10 April 2019 / Accepted: 3 September 2019 / Published online: 3 October 2019 © The Japanese Society Bone and Mineral Research and Springer Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2019

### Abstract

**Introduction** This multicenter, retrospective study aimed to clarify the changes in postoperative care provided by orthopaedic surgeons after hip fractures and clarify the incidence of secondary fractures requiring surgery.

**Materials and methods** Subjects were patients with hip fracture treated surgically in seven hospitals during the 10-year period from January 2008 to December 2017. Data on patient demographics, comorbidities, preoperative and postoperative osteoporosis treatments, and secondary fractures were collected from the medical records.

**Results** In total, 4764 new hip fractures in 982 men and 3782 women (mean age:  $81.3 \pm 10.0$  years) were identified. Approximately 10% of patients had a history of osteoporosis drug treatment and 35% of patients received postoperative drug treatment. The proportion of patients receiving postoperative drug therapy increased by approximately 10% between 2009 and 2010, 10% between 2010 and 2011, and 10% between 2011 and 2013. Although the rate of secondary fractures during the entire period and within 3 years decreased from 2011, the rate of secondary fracture within 1 year remained at around 2% every year.

**Conclusions** The approval of new osteoporosis drugs and the establishment of osteoporosis liaison services have had a positive effect on the use of postoperative drug therapy in the orthopedic field. Our finding that the rate of secondary fracture within 1 year of the initial fracture remained around 2% every year, despite improvements in postoperative drug therapy, suggests that both rehabilitation for preventing falls and early postoperative drug therapy are essential to prevent secondary fractures.

Keywords Hip fracture · Secondary fracture · Anti-osteoporosis therapy · Osteoporosis liaison service

Shun Shimodan and Dai Sato are first authors.

Tomohiro Shimizu simitom@wg8.so-net.ne.jp

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Faculty of Medicine and Graduate School of Medicine, Hokkaido University, Kita-15 Nishi-7, Kita-ku, Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-8638, Japan
- <sup>2</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kushiro City General Hospital, Kushiro, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Iwamizawa City Hospital, Iwamizawa, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>4</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hakodate General Central Hospital, Hakodate, Hokkaido, Japan
- 🖄 Springer

- <sup>5</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Ebetsu City Hospital, Ebetsu, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>6</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Hokushokai Hospital, Iwamizawa, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Kushiro Rosai Hospital, Kushiro, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>8</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Obihiro Kosei Hospital, Obihiro, Hokkaido, Japan
- <sup>9</sup> Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Otaru City Hospital, Otaru, Hokkaido, Japan

#### Introduction

Hip fractures are associated with increased morbidity, functional decline, and death in older adults, as well as increased use of health care services in most industrialized countries [1, 2]. It is estimated that the number of hip fractures worldwide will rise from 1.7 million in 1990 to 6.3 million in 2050 [3]. In particular, given that threequarters of the world's population lives in Asia, it is projected that Asian countries will contribute more to the pool of hip fractures in the coming years. By 2050, more than 50% of all osteoporotic fractures will occur in Asia [4, 5]. According to a nationwide survey of hip fractures in Japan, the total number of patients who experienced a hip fracture in 2012 was 175,700 (men, 37,600; women, 138,100), which represents an increase from 2007 (total, 148,100; men, 31,300; women, 116,800) [6, 7]. The annual costs of medical and nursing care associated with osteoporotic fractures have been estimated to be JPY 797.4 to 989.5 billion (US\$7.974 to 9.895 billion; US\$1 = JPY100) in Japan [8] and are expected to rise in parallel with the increase in the incidence of osteoporotic fractures.

Patients with hip fractures have a 2.5-fold increased risk of secondary fractures compared to age-matched persons without previous fractures [9]. More specifically, patients who have sustained one hip fracture have a higher risk of a contralateral hip fracture compared to the general populations [10], and second hip fractures often occur within 1 year of initial fractures [11, 12]. Treatment with anti-osteoporosis drugs is essential for patients after their initial fracture, as a first fracture is the highest risk factor for a second fracture [13, 14]. Nevertheless, data suggest that few patients with hip fracture actually received pharmacologic therapy for osteoporosis [15–18]. Therefore, poor persistence with osteoporosis treatments is a global public health problem.

Recently, various anti-osteoporosis drugs have been developed and are available on the market. In Japan, teriparatide (TPD), recombinant human parathyroid hormone (1-34), and denosumab (DSMAB), a fully human monoclonal antibody that binds the cytokine receptor activator of NFkB ligand (RANKL), were approved in 2010 and 2013, respectively. Additionally, based on the fracture liaison services (FLS) [19, 20], a coordinator-based secondary fracture prevention service developed in the United Kingdom; the osteoporosis liaison service (OLS) was established in Japan in 2012 as a comprehensive care system for osteoporosis. On the contrary, in Japan, hip fractures are usually treated by orthopedic surgical procedures and followed with postoperative therapy by the same orthopedic surgeon, not a physician specializing in osteoporosis treatment. Therefore, it is of great importance

to assess how postoperative care after hip fracture that is provided by an orthopedic surgeon has changed now that various anti-osteoporosis drugs have been approved and the OLS has been established.

The objectives of this multicenter retrospective study were to investigate the rate of postoperative care change after hip fracture and the incidence of secondary fractures requiring surgery. The hypothesis of this study is that development of anti-osteoporosis drugs and dissemination of knowledge about treatment for osteoporosis among surgeons has improved the treatment rate for bone fragility and the prevention of secondary fractures.

### **Materials and methods**

This study was designed as a retrospective, registry-based, uncontrolled, follow-up study. This study was approved by the local ethics committee at the Hokkaido University Hospital (017-0448) and by each participating hospital. A total of 4803 hip fracture patients treated with surgery at seven hospitals that function as base hospitals in regional cities in Hokkaido prefecture in Japan during the 10-year period from January 2008 to December 2017 were included. Data were collected from medical records. Thirty-nine patients who were younger than 50 years were excluded. Finally, 4764 patients (male, 982; female, 3782) were included in this current study. Three of the seven hospitals carried out OLS.

Data on patient demographics including age, sex, and body mass index (BMI), comorbidities including diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), malignant tumor, and rheumatoid arthritis, and glucocorticoid use, preoperative medical history for osteoporosis drugs including bisphosphonate (BP), selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM), TPD and DSMAB, and calcium (Ca) or active vitamin D3 preparation at surgery were collected from their medical records. Data on postoperative osteoporosis treatment, osteoporosis inspection (dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry), outpatient visits after discharge, the occurrence of a secondary fracture (contralateral hip fracture, distal radial fracture and proximal humerus fracture) requiring surgery, and follow-up period after the initial surgery were also collected from medical records.

Chi-squared or independent *t* tests were used to compare differences in subject demographics. The incidence of secondary fracture was estimated by the Kaplan–Meier method, and differences were investigated by the log-rank test among the patients who could be followed. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics version 23.0 (IBM Corporation, Armonk, NY) with a significance level set at 0.05.

# Results

# Patient demographics and osteoporosis care during the whole period

In this study, the number of the hip fractures treated with the surgery in this study gradually increased during the



Fig. 1 Longitudinal numbers of the hip fracture cases during the whole period. White circle, total patient number; black square, male patient number; black circle, female patient number

Table 1 Summary of patient demographics, comorbidities, and preoperative and postoperative treatment according to sex

entire period (Fig. 1). Table 1 shows a summary of patient demographics. Male patients with hip fracture were significantly younger than the female patients (P < 0.001). A significantly higher proportion of male patients experienced COPD and malignant tumors compared to female patients (P < 0.001). In contrast, a significantly higher proportion of female patients experienced rheumatoid arthritis (RA) compared to male patients (P = 0.024). Preoperatively, 8.4% of patients underwent osteoporosis drug therapy and 6.3% took active vitamin D3 or Ca preparations. Postoperatively, 13.0% of patients underwent osteoporosis inspection, 34.2% underwent osteoporosis drug therapy, and 12.6% took active vitamin D3 or Ca preparations. A significantly lower proportion of male patients underwent preoperative and postoperative inspection and therapy compared to female patients (P < 0.001). Postoperatively, 35.7% of patients attended outpatient visits after discharge, 4.1% sustained a secondary fracture, and 2.0% had a secondary fracture within 1 year of the initial fracture. The mean follow-up period was 25.8 months. There were no significant differences in these parameters between male and female patients.

Patients who underwent preoperative drug therapy were older than those who did not (P < 0.001) (Table 2). Significantly more patients who underwent preoperative osteoporosis drug therapy experienced RA and used glucocorticoid

	Total ( $N = 4764$ )	Male ( <i>N</i> =982)	Female ( $N = 3782$ )	P value
Age (years)	81.3 (10.0)	78.0 (10.6)	82.2 (9.6)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	21.1 (3.9)	21.2 (3.5)	21.1 (4.0)	0.486
Comorbidities				
DM	981 (20.6%)	210 (21.4%)	771 (20.4%)	0.490
CKD	1094 (23.0%)	232 (23.6%)	862 (22.8%)	0.580
COPD	213 (4.5%)	71 (7.2%)	142 (3.7%)	< 0.001
Malignant tumor	585 (12.3%)	171 (17.4%)	414 (10.9%)	< 0.001
RA	133 (2.8%)	17 (1.7%)	116 (3.1%)	0.024
GC use	136 (2.8%)	20 (2.5%)	116 (3.1%)	0.084
Preoperative				
Osteoporosis drug therapy	404 (8.4%)	26 (2.6%)	378 (10.0%)	< 0.001
Ca or active Vit.D3 preparations	301 (6.3%)	29 (3.7%)	272 (7.2%)	< 0.001
Postoperative				
Osteoporosis inspection	617 (13.0%)	96 (9.8%)	521 (13.8%)	0.001
Osteoporosis drug therapy	1631 (34.2%)	241 (24.5%)	1390 (36.8%)	< 0.001
Ca or active Vit.D3 preparations	599 (12.6%)	79 (8.0%)	520 (13.7%)	< 0.001
Outpatient visits after discharge	1701 (35.7%)	330 (33.6%)	1371 (36.3%)	0.123
Secondary fx	194 (4.1%)	37 (3.8%)	157 (4.1%)	0.585
Secondary fx within 3 years	145 (3.0%)	30 (3.1%)	115 (3.0%)	0.981
Secondary fx within 1 year	97 (2.0%)	23 (2.3%)	74 (2.0%)	0.516
Duration between fxs, (months)	21.1 (22.3)	14.9 (23.3)	22.6 (16.2)	0.061

BMI body mass index, Ca calcium, DM diabetes mellitus, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, CKD chronic kidney disease, RA rheumatoid arthritis, GC glucocorticoid steroid, Vit.D3 vitamin D3, fx fracture

Deringer

 
 Table 2
 Summary of patient demographics, comorbidities, and preoperative and postoperative treatment according to the use of preoperative osteoporosis drug therapy

	Preoperative $(+) (N=404)$	Preop- erative (–) ( <i>N</i> =4360)	P value
Age (years)	83.3 (8.1)	81.2 (10.1)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	20.9 (4.1)	21.2 (3.3)	0.214
Comorbidities			
DM	64 (15.8%)	917 (21.0%)	0.014
CKD	90 (22.3%)	1004 (23.2%)	0.732
COPD	23 (5.7%)	190 (4.4%)	0.214
Malignant tumor	53 (13.1%)	532 (12.2%)	0.591
RA	27 (6.7%)	106 (2.4%)	< 0.001
GC use	27 (6.7%)	109 (2.5%)	< 0.001
Postoperative			
Osteoporosis inspection	87 (21.5%)	530 (12.2%)	< 0.001
Osteoporosis drug therapy	353 (87.8%)	1278 (29.3%)	< 0.001
Ca or active Vit.D3 preparations	120 (29.7%)	479 (11.0%)	< 0.001
Follow-up	180 (44.6%)	1521 (34.9%)	< 0.001
Secondary fx	19 (4.7%)	175 (4.0%)	0.504
Secondary fx within 3 years	18 (4.5%)	127 (2.9%)	0.084
Secondary fx within 1 year	13 (2.3%)	84 (2.0%)	0.338
Duration between fxs (months)	13.0 (11.9)	22.0 (23.0)	0.098

*BMI* body mass index, *Ca* calcium, *DM* diabetes mellitus, *CKD* chronic kidney disease, *COPD* chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, *RA* rheumatoid arthritis, *GCs* glucocorticoid steroid, *Vit.D3* vitamin D3, *fx* fracture

steroids (GCs) compared to those who did not (P < 0.001). The proportion of patients who underwent postoperative osteoporosis inspection, took active vitamin D3 or Ca preparations, and attended outpatient visits after discharge was higher in patients who received preoperative drug therapy compared to those who did not (P < 0.001). Although 1278 patients of 4360 patients (29.3%) who did not receive preoperative therapy started drug therapy postoperatively, 51 patients of 404 patients (12.2%) who received preoperative therapy did not continue drug therapy. No differences in the incidence of secondary fracture and secondary fracture within 1 year and 3 years, or the duration between the initial and secondary fractures were found in patients who underwent preoperative drug therapy and those who did not.

# Changes in postoperative osteoporosis care over time (2008–2017)

The proportion of patients who received postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy increased by approximately 10% from 2010 (when TPD was approved), 2011 and 2013 (when



**Fig. 2** Longitudinal trends in postoperative osteoporosis care during the whole period. Black circle, proportion of patients who received preoperative drug therapy; white circle, proportion of patients who received postoperative drug therapy; square, proportion of patients who received postoperative inspection; triangle, proportion of patients who attended outpatient appointments after discharge. *TPD* teriparatide, *OLS* osteoporosis liaison service

DSMAB was approved) compared with their proportion in the preceding (Fig. 2). The proportion of patients who received preoperative osteoporosis drug therapy was around 5%. The majority of the postoperative drug therapy involved BP (over 80%) (Fig. 3). The proportion of TPD and DSMAB administration increased slowly after approval. On the contrary, the proportion of postoperative osteoporosis inspection and outpatient visits after discharge increased gradually from 2014 (Fig. 2). The proportion of patients who took Ca or active vitamin D3 was 5-10% until 2012, increased gradually and reached around 20% in 2017. One hospital started OLS from 2012 and the other two hospitals stared it from 2015. There were totally 1295 patients who received OLS. The hospitals operating OLS exhibited higher proportion of postoperative therapy compared to those without OLS (Fig. 4).

### Efficacy of postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy

Patients who received postoperative drug therapy were significantly more likely to have received preoperative drug therapy and take Ca or active vitamin D3 preparations compared to those who did not receive postoperative drug therapy (Table 3). Of the 1631 patients who received postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy, 509 patients (31.2%) underwent postoperative inspection, and 728 patients (44.6%) attended outpatient appointments after discharge. These proportions were significantly higher compared to patients who did not receive postoperative drug therapy. On the contrary, no significant differences in the occurrence



**Fig. 3** Longitudinal trends in postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy during the whole period. Black circle, proportion of patients who received postoperative bisphosphonate (BP) therapy; white circle, proportion of patients who received postoperative selective estrogen receptor modulator (SERM) therapy; square, proportion of patients who received postoperative teriparatide (TPD) therapy; triangle, proportion of patients who received postoperative denosumab (DSMAB) therapy



**Fig.4** Longitudinal trends in the proportion of the postoperative therapy between hospitals with and without OLS. *OLS* osteoporosis liaison service

of secondary fractures, occurrence of secondary fractures within 1 year of the initial fracture, or duration between initial and secondary fractures were noted in patients who had received postoperative drug therapy and those who had not.

The 120-month cumulative incidence of secondary fracture was estimated to be 23.9% in patients with postoperative therapy and 32.5% in those without postoperative therapy, with a difference between the two groups, albeit not statistically significant (P=0.057, log-rank test) (Fig. 5). Although the prevalence of secondary fractures during the whole period and within 3 years of initial surgery decreased from 2011, the prevalence of secondary fractures occurring within 1 year was around 2% every year (Fig. 6). No significant differences in the occurrence of secondary fractures, occurrence of secondary fractures within 1 year of the initial fracture, or duration between initial and secondary fractures were found in patients who had received postoperative Ca or active vitamin D3 preparations and those who had not.

# Discussion

This multicenter retrospective study addressed the changes in approaches to postoperative osteoporosis treatment. The finding of this current study that the total number of hip fractures increased over 10 years is consistent with the overall trend of increase due to the aging population in Japan [21]. Although the majority of the postoperative drug therapy involved bisphosphonate over the whole period, the proportion of patients undergoing postoperative drug therapy and inspection and the number of patients attending outpatient visits after discharge increased gradually. Considering that the proportion of the secondary fracture within 3 years clearly decreased from 2011, when the proportion of the postoperative drug therapy got increased, the improvement of the postoperative therapy could be considered to have a positive effect for preventing the secondary fracture.

The increase in the proportion of drug therapy demonstrated in this study is consistent with the recent Japanese report [22], suggesting that orthopedic surgeons are promoting awareness of osteoporosis interventions after the first fragility fractures much better. In North America, several studies have recommended that the orthopedic surgeon directly treating the fracture should perform a BMD examination and forward the results to the primary care physician following the course of osteoporosis treatment [23-25]. Additionally, Miki et al. showed improved rates of early osteoporosis drug treatment following hip fractures when osteoporosis education was initiated by the treating orthopedic surgeon while the patient is still in the hospital and the treatment is initiated in an orthopedic osteoporosis clinic [25]. The main limitation of this multicenter retrospective therapy was that the time of starting OLS and insurance medical treatment system, such as on diagnosis procedure combination system, varies with each hospital. The finding of this study that almost all postoperative therapy in the entire period was BP and TPD and DSMAB might not contribute directly to the increase in postoperative therapy as expected might be affected by the medical care system in Japan. However, considering that the rates of postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy increased by approximately 10% in 2010, 2011, and 2013 and the differences in the proportions of postoperative therapy between hospitals with and without OLS, the approval of new osteoporosis drugs and the establishment of OLS programs could have a further positive effect on the administration of postoperative drug therapy in patients with P

Table 3 Summary of patient demographics, comorbidities, and preoperative and postoperative treatment according to the use of postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy

	Postoperative $(+)$ ( $N=1631$ )	Postoperative $(-)$ (N=3133)	P value	
Preoperative				
Osteoporosis treatment	353 (21.6%)	51 (1.6%)	< 0.001	
Ca or active Vit.D3 preparations	168 (10.3%)	133 (4.2%)	< 0.001	
Postoperative				
Osteoporosis inspection	509 (31.2%)	108 (3.4%)	< 0.001	
Outpatient visits after discharge	728 (44.6%)	973 (31.1%)	< 0.001	
Secondary fx.	58 (3.6%)	136 (4.3%)	0.191	
Secondary fx within 3 years	49 (3.0%)	96 (3.1%)	0.909	
Secondary fx within 1 year	31 (1.9%)	66 (2.1%)	0.687	
Duration for secondary fx, month	17.5 (18.9)	22.9 (23.5)	0.135	

BMI body mass index, Ca calcium, DM diabetes mellitus, CKD chronic kidney disease, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, RA rheumatoid arthritis, GCs glucocorticoid steroid, Vit.D3 vitamin D3, fx fracture



Fig. 5 Kaplan-Meier curves for the occurrence of secondary fracture between patients with and without postoperative therapy



Fig. 6 Longitudinal trends in secondary fractures during the whole period. White circle, proportion of patients who sustained a secondary fracture; white square proportion of patients who had a secondary fracture within 3 years of the initial hip fracture; black circle, proportion of patients who suffered a secondary fracture within 1 year of the initial hip fracture. Fx fracture

the hip fracture. The finding of this study that the proportion of the postoperative osteoporosis inspection has risen since 2014 could also be considered to be the effect of OLS.

Our finding that postoperative drug therapy showed a trend to reduce the secondary fracture is consistent with the previous prospective cohort studies about the efficacy of bisphosphonate for preventing a secondary hip fracture [26, 27]. Because less than half of the patients who received postoperative drug therapy attended outpatient visits after discharge in this study, the discrepancy of efficacy for preventing the secondary fracture between this study and these previous prospective cohort studies might be explained by the differences in follow-up rate, as well as the study design. More specifically, the proportion of patients undergoing postoperative drug therapy was higher than the proportion of patients who attended outpatient appointments after discharge between 2011 and 2013, suggesting that the continuation of postoperative drug therapy might be more difficult in Japan compared to in the immediate postoperative period. Because the prescription of anti-osteoporosis therapy medications in rehabilitation hospitals is limited in Japan, modification of the care system as well as osteoporosis education for orthopedic surgeons might be necessary for the prevention of secondary fractures.

Our finding that half of patients with a secondary fracture experienced that fracture within 1 year of the initial hip fracture is consistent with the previous reports about the incidence of secondary hip fracture [28–30]. The reason for the transient marked increase in risk is not known, but immobilization and impaired coordination are potential factors [31, 32]. In contrast, our finding that the rate of secondary fracture within 1 year after the initial fracture remained around 2% every year, regardless of improvements in postoperative drug therapy, is contrary to the conclusion

of the recent large cohort studies that reported immediate treatment after initial fracture can prevent a higher number of new fractures compared to when treatment is delayed [33, 34]. The discrepancy between this current study and other reports might be explained by a limitation of this study that we did not include all secondary fractures, but only those treated surgically. Considering Lyles's report that there was no difference in new hip fracture occurrence within 1 year of the surgery [26], rehabilitation for preventing fall in addition to early postoperative drug therapy after surgery is essential for secondary fracture prevention.

Several other limitations need to be considered when interpreting the results of this study. First, in this study, patients who received BP therapy were not divided according to alendronate, risedronate, minodronate, ibandronate, and zoledronate therapy. Given the possibility of differences in adherence and absorptivity of each drug, future studies should address the efficacy and adherence of each individual drug. Second, because all data were collected retrospectively from medical records, adherence to therapy could not be investigated.

Third, other secondary fragility fractures such as distal radius, proximal humerus, and lumbar vertebrae fractures that do not require surgery were not investigated. Therefore, future prospective studies that focused on specific therapies might be necessary. Fourth, this study did not include dementia as a comorbidity. Dementia has reported to be a strong risk factor for hip fracture. In addition, the presence of dementia is expected to disturb the follow-up, nutritional instruction, and treatment compliance in osteoporotic patients. Fifth, although the participating hospitals were main hospitals in their cities, patients who received therapy from other hospitals in the same area may have been included.

### Conclusions

Over the study period, the proportion of patients receiving postoperative drug therapy and inspection, and attending outpatient visits after discharge increased gradually in the north side of Japan. The approval of new osteoporosis drugs and establishment of OLS programs could have a further positive effect on postoperative drug therapy in the orthopedic field. Our finding that the proportion of secondary fractures within 1 year of the initial fracture remained around 2% every year, despite improvements in postoperative osteoporosis drug therapy, suggests that rehabilitation for preventing falls as well as early postoperative drug therapy after surgery, are essential for secondary fracture prevention.

Acknowledgements This project was supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science, and Technology of Japan 17K16670 (T. Shimizu).

### **Compliance with ethical standards**

**Conflict of interest** Shun Shimodan, Dai Sato, Kaname Takahashi, Ryosuke Hishimura, Masahiro Ota, Hirokazu Shimizu, Yuichi Hasegawa, Toshiya Chubachi, Takeru Tsujimoto, Yukinori Tsukuda, Tsuyoshi Asano, Daisuke Takahashi, Masahiko Takahata, Norimasa Iwasaki, Tomohiro Shimizu declare that they have no conflict of interest.

# References

- Jacobsen SJ, Goldberg J, Miles TP, Brody JA, Stiers W, Rimm AA (1990) Hip fracture incidence among the old and very old: a population-based study of 745,435 cases. Am J Public Health 80:871–873
- Boonen S, Autier P, Barette M, Vanderschueren D, Lips P, Haentjens P (2004) Functional outcome and quality of life following hip fracture in elderly women: a prospective controlled study. Osteoporos Int 15:87–94. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0019 8-003-1515-z
- Sambrook P, Cooper C (2006) Osteoporosis. Lancet 367:2010– 2018. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)68891-0
- Dhanwal DK, Dennison EM, Harvey NC, Cooper C (2011) Epidemiology of hip fracture: Worldwide geographic variation. Indian J Orthop 45:15–22. https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5413.73656
- Bhandari M, Swiontkowski M (2017) Management of acute hip fracture. N Engl J Med 377:2053–2062. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMcp1611090
- Orimo H, Yaegashi Y, Onoda T, Fukushima Y, Hosoi T, Sakata K (2009) Hip fracture incidence in Japan: estimates of new patients in 2007 and 20-year trends. Arch Osteoporos 4:71–77. https://doi. org/10.1007/s11657-009-0031-y
- Orimo H, Yaegashi Y, Hosoi T, Fukushima Y, Onoda T, Hashimoto T, Sakata K (2016) Hip fracture incidence in Japan: Estimates of new patients in 2012 and 25-year trends. Osteoporos Int 27:1777–1784. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-015-3464-8
- Harada A, Matsui Y, Takemura M, Ito Z, Wakao N, Ota T (2005) Cost-utility analysis of osteoporosis. Nihon Ronen Igakkai Zasshi 42:596–608
- Colon-Emeric C, Kuchibhatla M, Pieper C, Hawkes W, Fredman L, Magaziner J, Zimmerman S, Lyles KW (2003) The contribution of hip fracture to risk of subsequent fractures: data from two longitudinal studies. Osteoporos Int 14:879–883. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00198-003-1460-x
- Hagino H, Sawaguchi T, Endo N, Ito Y, Nakano T, Watanabe Y (2012) The risk of a second hip fracture in patients after their first hip fracture. Calcif Tissue Int 90:14–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00223-011-9545-6
- Yamanashi A, Yamazaki K, Kanamori M, Mochizuki K, Okamoto S, Koide Y, Kin K, Nagano A (2005) Assessment of risk factors for second hip fractures in Japanese elderly. Osteoporos Int 16:1239–1246. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-005-1835-2
- Nymark T, Lauritsen JM, Ovesen O, Rock ND, Jeune B (2006) Short time-frame from first to second hip fracture in the Funen County Hip Fracture Study. Osteoporos Int 17:1353–1357. https ://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-006-0125-y
- Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Browner WS, Stone K, Fox KM, Ensrud KE, Cauley J, Black D, Vogt TM (1995) Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. N Engl J Med 332:767–773. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJM199503233321202
- 14. Gehlbach S, Saag KG, Adachi JD, Hooven FH, Flahive J et al (2012) Previous fractures at multiple sites increase the risk for subsequent fractures: the Global Longitudinal Study of

Osteoporosis in Women. J Bone Miner Res 27:645–653. https:// doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.1476

- Solomon DH, Finkelstein JS, Katz JN, Mogun H, Avorn J (2003) Underuse of osteoporosis medications in elderly patients with fractures. Am J Med 115:398–400
- Gardner MJ, Brophy RH, Demetrakopoulos D, Koob J, Hong R, Rana A, Lin JT, Lane JM (2005) Interventions to improve osteoporosis treatment following hip fracture. A prospective, randomized trial. J Bone Jt Surg Am 87:3–7. https://doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.D.02289
- Colon-Emeric CS, Lyles KW, House P, Levine DA, Schenck AP, Allison J, Gorospe J, Fermazin M, Oliver K, Curtis JR, Weissman N, Xie A, Saag KG (2007) Randomized trial to improve fracture prevention in nursing home residents. Am J Med 120:886–892. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2007.04.020
- Tsukutani Y, Hagino H, Ito Y, Nagashima H (2015) Epidemiology of fragility fractures in Sakaiminato, Japan: incidence, secular trends, and prognosis. Osteoporos Int 26:2249–2255. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00198-015-3124-z
- McLellan AR, Gallacher SJ, Fraser M, McQuillian C (2003) The fracture liaison service: success of a program for the evaluation and management of patients with osteoporotic fracture. Osteoporos Int 14:1028–1034. https://doi.org/10.1007/s0019 8-003-1507-z
- Eisman JA, Bogoch ER, Dell R, Harrington JT, McKinney RE Jr, McLellan A, Mitchell PJ, Silverman S, Singleton R, Siris E, Prevention ATFoSF (2012) Making the first fracture the last fracture: ASBMR task force report on secondary fracture prevention. J Bone Miner Res 27:2039–2046. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.1698
- Hagino H, Endo N, Harada A, Iwamoto J, Mashiba T, Mori S, Ohtori S, Sakai A, Takada J, Yamamoto T (2017) Survey of hip fractures in Japan: recent trends in prevalence and treatment. J Orthop Sci 22:909–914. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jos.2017.06.003
- 22. Iba K, Dohke T, Takada J, Sasaki K, Sonoda T, Hanaka M, Miyano S, Yamashita T (2018) Improvement in the rate of inadequate pharmaceutical treatment by orthopaedic surgeons for the prevention of a second fracture over the last 10 years. J Orthop Sci 23:127–131. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jos.2017.09.008
- Bogoch ER, Elliot-Gibson V, Beaton DE, Jamal SA, Josse RG, Murray TM (2006) Effective initiation of osteoporosis diagnosis and treatment for patients with a fragility fracture in an orthopaedic environment. J Bone Jt Surg Am 88:25–34. https://doi. org/10.2106/JBJS.E.00198
- Rozental TD, Makhni EC, Day CS, Bouxsein ML (2008) Improving evaluation and treatment for osteoporosis following distal radial fractures. A prospective randomized intervention. J Bone Jt Surg Am 90:953–961. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.G.01121
- 25. Miki RA, Oetgen ME, Kirk J, Insogna KL, Lindskog DM (2008) Orthopaedic management improves the rate of early osteoporosis

treatment after hip fracture. A randomized clinical trial. J Bone Jt Surg Am 90:2346–2353. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.G.01246

- Lyles KW, Colon-Emeric CS, Magaziner JS, Adachi JD, Pieper CF et al (2007) Zoledronic acid and clinical fractures and mortality after hip fracture. N Engl J Med 357:1799–1809. https://doi. org/10.1056/NEJMoa074941
- Osaki M, Tatsuki K, Hashikawa T, Norimatsu T, Chiba K, Motokawa S, Furuichi I, Doiguchi Y, Aoyagi K, Shindo H (2012) Beneficial effect of risedronate for preventing recurrent hip fracture in the elderly Japanese women. Osteoporos Int 23:695–703. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-011-1556-7
- Dretakis KE, Dretakis EK, Papakitsou EF, Psarakis S, Steriopoulos K (1998) Possible predisposing factors for the second hip fracture. Calcif Tissue Int 62:366–369
- Wiktorowicz ME, Goeree R, Papaioannou A, Adachi JD, Papadimitropoulos E (2001) Economic implications of hip fracture: health service use, institutional care and cost in Canada. Osteoporos Int 12:271–278. https://doi.org/10.1007/s001980170 116
- Chapurlat RD, Bauer DC, Nevitt M, Stone K, Cummings SR (2003) Incidence and risk factors for a second hip fracture in elderly women. The study of osteoporotic fractures. Osteoporos Int 14:130–136. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-002-1327-6
- Bischoff-Ferrari HA, Dawson-Hughes B, Willett WC, Staehelin HB, Bazemore MG, Zee RY, Wong JB (2004) Effect of Vitamin D on falls: a meta-analysis. JAMA 291:1999–2006. https://doi. org/10.1001/jama.291.16.1999
- van Helden S, Wyers CE, Dagnelie PC, van Dongen MC, Willems G, Brink PR, Geusens PP (2007) Risk of falling in patients with a recent fracture. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 8:55. https://doi. org/10.1186/1471-2474-8-55
- Johansson H, Siggeirsdottir K, Harvey NC, Oden A, Gudnason V, McCloskey E, Sigurdsson G, Kanis JA (2017) Imminent risk of fracture after fracture. Osteoporos Int 28:775–780. https://doi. org/10.1007/s00198-016-3868-0
- Kanis JA, Johansson H, Oden A, Harvey NC, Gudnason V, Sanders KM, Sigurdsson G, Siggeirsdottir K, Fitzpatrick LA, Borgstrom F, McCloskey EV (2018) Characteristics of recurrent fractures. Osteoporos Int 29:1747–1757. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00198-018-4502-0

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.