ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Histomorphometry and cortical robusticity of the adult human femur

Justyna Jolanta Miszkiewicz1,2 · Patrick Mahoney2

Received: 31 January 2017 / Accepted: 27 December 2017 / Published online: 13 January 2018 © The Japanese Society for Bone and Mineral Research and Springer Japan KK, part of Springer Nature 2018

Abstract

Recent quantitative analyses of human bone microanatomy, as well as theoretical models that propose bone microstructure and gross anatomical associations, have started to reveal insights into biological links that may facilitate remodeling processes. However, relationships between bone size and the underlying cortical bone histology remain largely unexplored. The goal of this study is to determine the extent to which static indicators of bone remodeling and vascularity, measured using histomorphometric techniques, relate to femoral midshaft cortical width and robusticity. Using previously published and new quantitative data from 450 adult human male $(n = 233)$ and female $(n = 217)$ femora, we determine if these aspects of femoral size relate to bone microanatomy. Scaling relationships are explored and interpreted within the context of tissue form and function. Analyses revealed that the area and diameter of Haversian canals and secondary osteons, and densities of secondary osteons and osteocyte lacunae from the sub-periosteal region of the posterior midshaft femur cortex were signifcantly, but not consistently, associated with femoral size. Cortical width and bone robusticity were correlated with osteocyte lacunae density and scaled with positive allometry. Diameter and area of osteons and Haversian canals decreased as the width of cortex and bone robusticity increased, revealing a negative allometric relationship. These results indicate that microscopic products of cortical bone remodeling and vascularity are linked to femur size. Allometric relationships between more robust human femora with thicker cortical bone and histological products of bone remodeling correspond with principles of bone functional adaptation. Future studies may beneft from exploring scaling relationships between bone histomorphometric data and measurements of bone macrostructure.

Keywords Bone histomorphometry · Osteocyte lacunae · Osteons · Haversian canals · Femur

Abbreviations

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article [\(https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-017-0899-3\)](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-017-0899-3) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

 \boxtimes Justyna Jolanta Miszkiewicz Justyna.Miszkiewicz@anu.edu.au

¹ Skeletal Biology and Forensic Anthropology Research Group, School of Archaeology and Anthropology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

Human Osteology Research Laboratory, Skeletal Biology Research Centre, School of Anthropology and Conservation, University of Kent, Canterbury, Kent CT2 7NR, UK

Introduction

Analyses of bone microstructure can offer insights into skeletal growth, metabolism and structure–function adaptive relationships $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$ $[1-3]$. More specifically, histomorphometric examination yields remodeling data that can be evaluated in relation to mechanical loading history, diet, and disease [e.g., [4–](#page-13-2)[7](#page-13-3)], and has been of particular importance in studies investigating the relationship between ontogeny, age-related disease, and bone modeling and remodeling [e.g., [8–](#page-13-4)[10](#page-13-5)]. Recently, we [[2\]](#page-13-6) reported signifcant positive and negative correlations between diferent static histomorphometric variables that relate to bone remodeling associated with mechanical stimuli. However, relationships between bone gross anatomy and the underlying bone microstructure remain largely unexplored. Therefore, the present study builds upon this previous work, and examines the scaling relationships between the size of the femur when measured at the mid-shaft region, and the underlying histomorphometric data [[2\]](#page-13-6). Our goal is to investigate the extent to which static histomorphometric evidence of cortical bone remodeling and vascularity relates to midshaft cortical width (Ct. Wi),^{[1](#page-1-0)} and a femoral robusticity index (Ct.Wi.RI) calculated from Ct.Wi data. We aim to provide insights into the complex relationship between outer and inner bone anatomy in relation to biological (metabolic and functional) processes. The modern human sample in our study is unique, deriving from a large well-preserved recent archaeological skeletal collection curated at the University of Kent (UK). Usually, except for diagnostic bone biopsies taken from patients [e.g., [12](#page-13-7)], research into cortical histomorphometric variation in humans relies on smaller samples of cadavers [e.g., [5–](#page-13-8)[7](#page-13-3)], or comparative experimental studies utilizing non-human animal models [e.g., [8\]](#page-13-4). In addition to revealing relationships between the size of a femur and the underlying products of bone remodeling, the present study extends previously reported human cortical histomorphometric data [[2\]](#page-13-6).

Form and function of limb long bones

The biomechanical properties of lower limb long bone diaphysis are best explained using basic structural engineering principles [[13](#page-13-9), [14](#page-13-10)]. Large mechanical stress sustained by the human leg will be accommodated by periosteal expansion, strengthening bone tissue and minimizing fracture risk [\[15](#page-13-11)]. Previous experimental studies have demonstrated bone enlargement under dynamic and/or repetitive mechanical loading regimes, and a decline in bone mass when load bearing is removed [e.g., [16](#page-13-12), [17](#page-13-13)]. Based upon these types of correlations, cross-sectional thickness or width of the cortex, robusticity index, measurements of area moments of inertia, or simple cross-sectional geometry, have all served as proxies for the functional adaptation of the human femur [see [18](#page-13-14) for evaluation].

At the histological level, when examined in a transverse plane, products of cortical remodeling may be informative of functional adaptation [e.g., $1-3$, [7](#page-13-3)]. These include geometric properties (e.g., surface area, diameter, shape circularity) of secondary osteons (hereafter 'osteons') and Haversian canals (indicative of bone vascularity), as well as densities of osteons and osteocyte lacunae [\[19\]](#page-13-15). By summing the number of fragmentary and intact osteons, a total osteon population density can be estimated for an examined section area, indicating an average number of bone remodeling products, serving as a proxy for bone density [[2,](#page-13-6) [19](#page-13-15)]. Similarly, osteocytes (in living bone), or osteocyte lacunae (in preserved ancient bone) can be totaled per section area to indicate average density and, by extension, refect an approximate rate of osteocyte proliferation [\[2,](#page-13-6) [20\]](#page-13-16). These variables may then be linked to bone functional adaptation given the mechanosensing properties of osteocytes [\[21](#page-13-17)]. Relatively smaller or larger osteon and Haversian canal area and diameter measurements represent transverse cross-sectional surfaces of bone microstructure, and may indicate how fast or slow, and/ or frequently cortical bone is flled by basic multicellular units [[22](#page-13-18)]. Indeed, previous human and non-human animal research demonstrated higher osteon and osteocyte lacunae densities, and smaller osteons and Haversian canals at bone sites associated with larger strain, mechanical stress, or type (direction) of mechanical load [e.g., [22](#page-13-18)[–28\]](#page-14-0).

Given that modeling of the human skeleton ceases almost completely with the onset of adulthood, information about the underlying remodeling activity can be mainly accessed using microscopic methods. Although it is estimated that only an approximate 30% of overall remodeling activity relates to micro-damage repair [\[29\]](#page-14-1), the accumulation of bone remodeled in response to function should manifest differently when evaluating the same bone type of diferent sizes. However, limited empirical research has been undertaken investigating direct bone macro- and microscopic scaling relationships in human bone. Recent mathematical theoretical models of remodeling demonstrated that mean biomechanical stress nonuniformity has an important role in trabecular bone functional adaptation [[30\]](#page-14-2). Experimentally, initial links have been identifed between bone robusticity and cortical remodeling, warranting further investigation [[31–](#page-14-3)[34](#page-14-4)]. For example, using multiple methods applied to ten human cadaveric tibiae, Goldman et al. [[31](#page-14-3)] showed that bone robusticity had an efect on cortical remodeling by increasing the number and size of osteons. It was suggested that remodeling may be subject to global signaling that infuences bone robusticity. Another recent study [[32\]](#page-14-5) demonstrated that diferences in bone mass attainment due to sexual dimorphism may not be entirely representative of the classic perception that females attain more slender bones than males. Using a large sample $(n = 241)$ of femora derived from an anthropological skeletal collection, Jepsen et al. [[32\]](#page-14-5) showed that, in fact, bone mass is relative to sexspecifc body and bone size. This is supported by an earlier study suggesting similar bone mechanical properties for different bone size in males and females [[33\]](#page-14-6). Finally, using 115 adult human long bones, Schlecht and Jepsen [[34\]](#page-14-4) indicated co-variance between bone robusticity and strength/ stifness, highlighting the fact that meaningful analyses of

¹ For the sake of clarity, and to ensure that our study follows standard histomorphometry nomenclature [[11](#page-13-19)], we refer to the cortical distance between the endosteum and periosteum as 'cortical width' (defning transverse 2D measurements of diaphyseal cortex) rather than 'cortical thickness' (implying 3D measurements) [e.g., [24](#page-14-7)].

skeletal traits may be best achieved when multiple aspects of bone functional adaptation (e.g., size, volume, stifness) are considered together. Therefore, these studies have begun to indicate clear relationships between bone microanatomy and gross morphology. Recently, we [[2\]](#page-13-6) reported a series of positive and negative correlations between classic static histomorphometric variables representing products of cortical remodeling in the human midshaft femur. Here, these data are analyzed in relation to femoral cortical width and its associated femoral robusticity in the same sample, extending the original fndings. Two predictions are investigated by exploring scaling relationships between bone metabolic and structural change:

Predictions:

- (a) Functional relationships: if femoral diaphyseal cortical properties are infuenced and/or underlie mechanically induced remodeling, the following basic engineering principles apply—(1) osteon and osteocyte lacunae densities should correlate with an increase in cortical width and femoral robusticity and scale with positive allometry, but (2) osteon and Haversian canal size and diameter should correlate with an increase in cortical width and femoral robusticity and scale with negative allometry.
- (b) Dimensional relationships: if bone microstructure is a simple refection of the intra-specifc variation in femur size (i.e., 'naturally' larger vs 'naturally' smaller bone), then all histology variables should increase in size or density at proportionally the same rate as cortical width and femoral robusticity increase in size. Under this scenario, the growth ratio between the variables will be isometric.

Materials and methods

Data used in our study derive from a skeletal sample $(n = 450)$ of British modern human adult remains curated at the Skeletal Biology Research Centre at the University of Kent (UK). These remains were recovered from one site and have been dated to between 900 and 400 years ago [\[24](#page-14-7)]. Examination of this skeletal material followed standard per-missions and anthropological codes of practice and ethics.^{[2](#page-2-0)} Given the historical context of this sample, Human Tissue Act(s) regulations do not pertain to our study.

Table 1 Descriptive data for posterior cortical width (Ct.Wi in mm) and femoral robusticity index (Ct.Wi.RI = Ct.Wi/Max.L \times 100)

a Portion of data from [\[24:](#page-14-7) 51, 52]

Individuation procedures

Standard anthropological methods of age-at-death and sex estimation were followed to reconstruct the biological profle of each adult [\[35\]](#page-14-8). A total of 450 adults were separated into age and sex sub-groups, resulting in 217 females, 233 males, 126 young (20–35 years old) and 319 middle-aged adults (36–50 years old), and 5 older adults (50+ years old) (four males, and one female).

Further sub-divisions were made into 49 young males and 77 young females, 139 middle-aged females, and 180 middle-aged males (Table [1](#page-2-1)). Due to the small sample size, individuals in the 'older' age category (aged ≥ 50 years) were excluded from analyses that controlled for age.

Macroscopic and microscopic femoral examination

The process of femoral midshaft sectioning, and thin section preparation in this sample has been previously described elsewhere [e.g., [2](#page-13-6), [24](#page-14-7)]. The thin sections were originally produced as part of a larger project [\[36\]](#page-14-9). In brief, right

 $\sqrt{2}$ See [\[51](#page-14-10)[–53\]](#page-14-11).

 $(n = 367)$ and left $(n = 83)$ femora, selected from individuals with no evident skeletal pathology, were pooled due to a lack of data asymmetry. In order for the sectioning to be as minimally invasive as possible, the posterior quarter of midshaft diaphysis was extracted (approximately 1 ± 0.2 cm thick) and examined. The posterior femoral aspect was also chosen as a suitable sectioning location as it relates closely to lower limb behavior (i.e., the sectioning location overlaps linea aspera). Prior to thin section preparation, Ct.Wi was recorded using standard digital calipers by placing the measuring needles on the most external surfaces of the endosteum and periosteum. Robusticity indices were calculated by dividing Ct.Wi data by maximum femoral length [[18](#page-13-14)]. Thin section preparation followed standard procedures [see [2](#page-13-6)]. Samples were embedded in Buehler EpoxiCure® resin, cut on a precision saw, attached to microscope slides, ground and polished to reveal histology. This was followed by cleaning and dehydrating in a series of ethanol baths and covering with glass slips.

Some of the histology data examined here were previously analyzed in other studies addressing questions that are not the focus of the present research [e.g., [2,](#page-13-6) [24,](#page-14-7) [37](#page-14-12)]. However, relationships between histomorphometric variables and femoral cortical width and robusticity are examined here for the frst time. In brief, values of intact (N.On), fragmentary (N.On.Fg), and total osteon population density (OPD), as well as osteon area (On.Ar), Haversian canal area (H.Ar) and diameter (H.Dm), and osteocyte lacunae density (Ot.Dn) were recorded under a BX51 Olympus microscope with an Olympus DP25 camera. Additional imaging of thin sections (Fig. [1\)](#page-4-0) was undertaken using an AmScope MU130 microscope digital camera and its associated AmScope (2016) software. A mean value was calculated for each variable from a maximum of six regions of interest (ROIs), extending along the sub-periosteal cortical region. Measurements and counts were performed using CELL® Live Biology Imaging software (Olympus). In some cases, the archaeological condition of samples meant it was difficult to consistently select the exact same ROIs (e.g., due to localized bioerosion). However, data are in line with current standards (recommending 25–50 osteons to be evaluated per section), and were captured using a range of 2×, 4×, 10×, 20×, and 40× magnification [\[2](#page-13-6)].

Inferential statistics

Statistical analyses were undertaken using IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0[®] (2013), *R* (2.5.0, i386 3.4.0)[®] (2007), and Past3[®] [\[38](#page-14-13)]. Data were examined for normal distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov or Shapiro–Wilk tests depending on sample size within age and sex groupings), intra-observer error $(n = 45)$, and data asymmetry between right and left femora (independent samples *t* test) [[36\]](#page-14-9). The macro−microscopic associations were investigated in two stages. Simple correlations were performed frst and reduced major axis (RMA) regressions were undertaken second. In both stages, cortical width, and robusticity indices were considered independent variables and thus plotted on the *x* axis. This is because our research questions center on determining the extent to which histology (*y* axis) depends on macrostructure. However, it is noted that RMA regression does not require a well-defned mutual relationship between the two variables [\[39\]](#page-14-14). In fact, it is acceptable to use RMA in tests which include somewhat arbitrary, but co-dependent *x* and *y* variable interaction [\[39](#page-14-14)]. This is a suitable approach in the present study, given there may never be absolute certainty as to whether, universally, bone robusticity infuences histology, or histology determines bone robusticity.

Firstly, due to skewed raw data, the simple correlations were sought using non-parametric Spearman's Rho tests in the entire sample, and then repeated within each of the age and sex sub-groups. The strength of each correlation was evaluated by the value of r_s^2 (coefficient of determination), with coefficients between 20 and 40% being deemed weak to moderate correlations [\[40\]](#page-14-15). Here, scattergrams for the three strongest correlations are presented (Figs. [2](#page-5-0), [3\)](#page-6-0), and results are interpreted only for r_s^2 values \geq 20%. All results are presented in Tables [2](#page-7-0), [3](#page-8-0), [4](#page-9-0) [5;](#page-10-0) Supplement Tables 2, 3). A line of best ft is included in the Scattergrams (Figs. [2,](#page-5-0) [3\)](#page-6-0) to visualize the direction in data change. Given the skewness of raw data, we also ftted each plot with a loess line to illustrate monotonic downward or upward trend(s) in data [[41\]](#page-14-16). As previously documented [\[2](#page-13-6), [24](#page-14-7)], no intra-observer error was identifed, but there were inconsistent patterns in histology data distribution (i.e., fuctuating between normal and abnormal within age and sex sub-groups), and though transformed for the purpose of parametric testing in our previous studies [e.g., [2,](#page-13-6) [24](#page-14-7)], raw data were analyzed here via non-parametric tests. This was necessary because of the new addition of macroscopic cortical width measurements, and fexibility in making no assumptions about the underlying data distribution in the broader (or interpretive) context of bone metabolism. The correlations were performed on every single histology variable, along with additional four histology 'ratio' variables (presented in the Supplement):

- H.Ar: On.Ar—indicating how much of lamellar wall per osteon there is per section, along with any mutual, accompanying changes in the size of Haversian canal and osteon surface area (the higher the ratio value, the larger the microstructural unit, and the thinner the lamellar portion of osteons);
- N.On: OPD—indicating a biological correspondence of intact osteons to total osteon population (the higher the value, the denser the bone section in unremodeled osteons);

Fig. 1 A series of images illustrating variation (with age-at-death, sex, and measures of cortical width/robusticity) in osteon and osteocyte lacunae densities, and Haversian canal and osteon size in the present sample of sub-periosteal posterior human midshaft femoral sections

- Ot.Dn: OPD—indicating a biological correspondence of osteocyte lacunae to total osteon population (the higher the value, the denser the bone section);
- Ot.Dn: On.Ar—indicating a biological correspondence of osteocyte lacunae to osteon surface area (a value of 1 would suggest a tight relationship between osteon size

Fig. 2 A series of 'strong' (see Table [2\)](#page-7-0) simple correlations (raw data, **a**–**c**), and their log-transformed reduced major axis regressions (**a**–**c** log, see Table [4](#page-9-0)), indicating negative and positive relationships between femoral cortical width and histology data

and cell density, and thus disprove the hypothesized opposite efect of biomechanical stimulation upon cortical histomorphometry).

Secondly, regression of log-transformed data was conducted through RMA analysis to examine the growth ratio between the variables. This statistical model accounts for

EXAMPLES OF RAW DATA CORRELATIONS (A-C) AND THEIR LOG-TRANSFORMED REDUCED MAJOR AXIS REGRESSIONS (A-C LOG) FOR CORTICAL WIDTH ROBUSTICITY INDEX AND HISTOLOGY DATA

Fig. 3 A series of 'strong' (see Table [3\)](#page-8-0) simple correlations (raw data, **a**–**c**), and their log-transformed reduced major axis regressions (**a**–**c** log, see Table [5](#page-10-0)), indicating negative and positive relationships between femoral cortical width robusticity index and cortical histology data

variation in data plotted on both the *x* and *y* axis (given these are data from deceased humans, and bone remodeling rates vary intra-specifcally) [\[39,](#page-14-14) [42\]](#page-14-17). Additionally, the RMA regression is symmetrical, whereby deviations in *x* and *y* data are minimized [\[38\]](#page-14-13). Macrostructure data on the *x* axis were regressed against histology (and ratio) data on the *y* axis. The RMA regression results were evaluated based on slope (b) , r^2 (coefficient of determination),

Underlined r_c^2 results indicate weak to moderate correlations, whereas the *p* values in bold indicate statistical significance < 0.05

Underlined r_s^2 results indicate weak to moderate correlations, whereas the p values in bold indicate statistical significance < 0.05

 \overline{a}

 $\ddot{.}$

 \overline{a}

Ţ

 $\overline{}$

Table 4 Results from reduced major axis (RMA) regression tests for all signifcant and 'strongest' correlations as identifed in Table [3](#page-8-0) (also see Fig. [2\)](#page-5-0), where histology data are regressed against Ct.Wi

the 95% slope confdence interval (95% CI), intercept, and signifcance (*p*) values. Scattergrams representing the three strongest RMA regression results are presented (Figs. [2](#page-5-0), [3\)](#page-6-0), and all results are reported in Tables [4](#page-9-0) and [5](#page-10-0) (as well as Supplement Table 3). The RMA regressions were only undertaken on the strongest initial correlations identifed in the frst step of the analysis (Tables [2,](#page-7-0) [3](#page-8-0); Supplement Table 2). Isometric macro−microscopic growth is identifed when/if *b* equals 1. This means that the growth ratio between femoral size and the underlying microscopic structures is constant, indicating a dimensional anatomical efect. Negative or positive allometry is identified when/if b is $\langle 1, \text{ or } \rangle$, respectively, which is also evaluated through 95% CIs. This means that the growth ratio between femoral size and the underlying microscopic structures is not constant, and one increases at a proportionally faster/slower rate that the other. When viewed alongside our predictions, this indicates a bone functional adaptation effect.

Table 5 Results from reduced major axis (RMA) regression tests for all signifcant and 'strongest' correlations as identifed in Table [4](#page-9-0) (also see Fig. [3\)](#page-6-0), where histology data are regressed against Ct.Wi.RI

Results

Descriptive statistics for histology data were previously published in [[2](#page-13-6)] and partly in [[23](#page-13-20), [37\]](#page-14-12). Descriptive data for the new Ct.Wi and Ct.Wi.RI variables are given in Table [1](#page-2-1), whereas histology ratio data appear in Supplement Table 1. Results from the inferential analysis are presented in Tables [2,](#page-7-0) [3,](#page-8-0) [4](#page-9-0) [5;](#page-10-0) Supplement Tables 2, 3. Of 198 correlation tests performed, $145 \left(\sim 73\% \right)$ were statistically significant at *p* < 0.05 (Tables [2,](#page-7-0) [3;](#page-8-0) Supplement Table 2). Using Ct.Wi data only (99 tests), 70 (\sim 71%) were statistically significant (*p* range from 0.000−0.048) (Table [2](#page-7-0); Supplement Table 2; Figs. [2](#page-5-0), [3](#page-6-0)). Twelve of those were of moderate strength (*rs* range from −0.596 to −0.432). A further 29 signifcant correlations were weak, explaining $>10\%$ but <20% of data variation. The remaining signifcant results failed to explain

substantial portions of data $\left($ <10%), although some general trends in data were still identifed.

Subsequent analyses where femoral robusticity calculated from Ct.Wi was assessed against the histology variables (repeated 99 tests) revealed 75 (\sim 76%) statistically significant (*p* range from 0.000−0.046) correlations (Table [3](#page-8-0); Supplement Table 2; Fig. [3](#page-6-0)), of which seven were also of moderate strength (r_s range from -0.517 to 0.424). There were 34 weak signifcant correlations (explaining >10% but <20% of data variation), and the remaining signifcant correlations failed to explain $>10\%$ of data variation. Therefore, there was a slight improvement in the number and strength of the relationships between Ct.Wi.RI and the histomorphometric variables (Table [3](#page-8-0); Supplement Table 2; Fig. [3](#page-6-0)).

Reduced major axis regression analyses revealed consistent relationships between femoral cortical width and the size of the histology variables. The relationship of Haversian canal size (area and diameter) and the relationship of osteon area to cortical width is negatively allometric (Tables [4,](#page-9-0) [5](#page-10-0); Figs. [2,](#page-5-0) [3;](#page-6-0) Supplement Tables 1−3). Thus, individuals with smaller Haversian canals and smaller osteons have a relatively greater cortical width compared to individuals with thinner femoral cortical bone. The scaling relationship between our measurement of femoral size and the histology frequency and density variables is less consistent. The relationship of intact osteon density and osteon population density to cortical width is isometric, while osteocyte lacunae density and cortical width scale with positive allometry. This implies that the frequency of osteons and cortical width increases or decreases in number or size at relatively equivalent rates. In contrast, individuals with fewer osteocyte lacunae have relatively thinner femoral cortical bone, but individuals with thicker femoral cortices have a proportional greater density of osteocyte lacunae. This latter pattern occurs because osteocytes accumulate at a faster rate than the relative increase in femoral cortical width. Thus, individuals with thicker cortical bone at the posterior quarter of the midshaft diaphysis have a greater density of osteons, but they also have a proportionally greater density of osteocyte lacunae. Overall, RMA regression analyses have revealed biological scaling relationships whereby individuals with thicker cortices have relatively smaller Haversian canals and osteons combined with a greater density of osteocyte lacunae, compared to individuals with thinner femoral cortices.

Discussion

The aim in this study was to investigate structural relationships between measurements of cortical width and robusticity, and histomorphometric variation in the human midshaft femur. Two predictions were tested, evaluating whether macro- and microstructural cortical bone associations can

be explained from (1) functional and/or (2) dimensional perspectives. Our analyses reveal that, on average, relative changes in histomorphometric measurements of bone remodeling products (i.e., secondary osteon tissue) occur in association with equivalent changes in femoral cortical width. These associations are fairly consistent, with a directional, allometric relationship between cortical bone micro- and macro- structures. As age and sex variation was accounted for in our study (when undertaking statistical analyses within the sub-groups), these fndings support the idea that bone functional adaptation may play a major role in the structural design of femur diaphysis. However, it is impossible to completely rule out inherent intra-specifc sex and age variation in human bone metabolism given that this study utilizes histomorphometric data from archaeological humans. Our data provide a basis from which to investigate these scaling and functional efects further in experimental contexts.

Functional prediction

Our data are compatible with a biomechanical explanation of femur size and structure in this sample. Osteon and Haversian canal size became smaller with an increase in cortical width and/or robusticity. However, these trends were not consistent across the entire sample. For example, not only was fragmentary osteon density not signifcantly associated with Ct.Wi or Ct.Wi.RI, its r_s coefficient also fluctuated between positive and negative between and within age and sex (sub)groups (Tables [2,](#page-7-0) [3](#page-8-0), [4,](#page-9-0) [5](#page-10-0); Supplement Table 2). This may be due to the efects of aging and/or sex-specifc factors underlying bone remodeling in adults. In all other instances, where results were not significant, the biomechanical prediction was mainly supported.

The gross structure and geometric properties of a long bone diaphysis are indicators of functional adaptation, and are modeled predominantly during the child and adolescent stages of ontogeny [\[10](#page-13-5), [15,](#page-13-11) [43](#page-14-18)]. In most cases, once adulthood is reached, optimal mechanical loading is accommodated by targeted remodeling of accrued localized microdamage by replacing and/or adding new bone [[29\]](#page-14-1). Through a series of positive and negative correlations, along with tests for allometry, the present study supports this functional adaptation of structure in the midshaft human femur. These results agree with basic engineering predictions, and support previous studies of cortical histomorphometric change in relation to strain or mechanical load [e.g., [7,](#page-13-3) [22](#page-13-18)[–28](#page-14-0), [44](#page-14-19)]. However, it is noted that the sample utilized here relies on mechanical loading inferences through simple measurements of bone robusticity. Variation in correlations between the age and sex groups indicates relationships between cortical size and the underlying microstructure are not consistent (Tables [2,](#page-7-0) [3](#page-8-0), [4](#page-9-0) [5;](#page-10-0) Supplement Tables 2, 3), supporting

well-established intra-specifc diferences in human bone metabolic activity [\[5](#page-13-8)]. There is no doubt that the individuals in our sample represent a variety of physical activity regimes. There seems to be a clear functional signal in the results in the young male category, potentially suggesting higher intensity and/or frequency of mechanical load experienced by this group [see [24](#page-14-7) for review of behaviors potentially represented by this sample].

Dimensional prediction

The study of allometry in biology has long had important implications for our understanding of structural and functional tissue relationships [\[45\]](#page-14-20). It has been of particular importance in studies examining mechanical adaptation of mammalian trabecular bone [\[46\]](#page-14-21). However, as identifed recently, the assumption that simple intra-specifc variation in human skeletal size may be considered to play a role in determining microstructural geometric or other quantitative bone data, is rarely accounted for in research. The present study revealed an isometric relationship between osteon density and cortical robusticity, which supports the idea that larger femora maintain more frequent osteons. However, if only this type of relationship explains the changes in histomorphometric data that accompanies increases in femoral robusticity, then it is unclear why the more robust femora also revealed allometric scaling relationships with bone microstructure. Both Goldman et al. [\[31\]](#page-14-3) and Schlecht and Jepsen [[34](#page-14-4)] previously identifed a link between the micro- and macrostructure of bone. Our results support their findings, but also highlight the potential effect of localized remodeling on histomorphometry. Similar to our fndings, a study by Goldman et al. [\[31](#page-14-3)] noted that robust tibiae appear to have more numerous osteons. This micro–macro efect in our study was not consistent across the sample, indicating potential mechanically induced remodeling may obscure otherwise clear robusticity-related relationships. The study by Goldman et al. [\[31\]](#page-14-3) examined human tibiae from two diferent midshaft locations allowing for a broader examination and intra-bone comparison of intra-cortical remodeling, whereas our study focused on sub-periosteal histology from the posterior femoral midshaft only. Thus, the diferent fndings from the two studies are probably infuenced by the diferent sampling locations, which also suggests that remodeling is not constant across intra- and inter-specifc cortical sites, bones, and individuals.

Bone structural relationships at the macroscopic and microscopic level are complex

Using geometric properties of osteons and Haversian canals, which are inversely related to strain, our osteon density data could be simply interpreted in a broader mechanical context.

While our results support structural bone functional adaptation, it is difficult to exclude the scaling effect of cortical size on histological parameters. This is most clear for osteon densities. Both the intact and total population densities increased in value along with an increase in cortical width and robusticity, which in principle agrees with the frst part of both predictions evaluated here. However, given that fragmentary osteon density data did not follow our predictions, and were not signifcantly correlated with cortical width and/or robusticity either, this may refect diffculty in distinguishing between scaling versus functional adaptation relationships at the human midshaft femur. This relationship is likely to be further complicated by the efect of aging and sex on the fragmentary osteon density data across the sample. Whilst our RMA regressions attempt to address this, they explain only a portion of the entire dataset, encouraging future research to collect more data. Fragmentary osteon density is a valid proxy for cortical products of bone remodeling because they are remnants of preceding or pre-existing intact osteons [\[19\]](#page-13-15). Their increased presence can indicate a higher proportion of cortical bone being remodeled and flled with new osteons. By examining the *r* coefficients of variation (Tables 2 , 3), fragmentary osteons were positively correlated with cortical width and robusticity in the entire sample, young adults, middle-aged adults, and young males. The relationship was negative in all the remaining sub-groups. This, however small, deviation from the rest of the results highlights the complexity of functional, structural, and metabolic activity in bone.

It is now well established that there is a complementary interaction between genetic, hormonal, dietary, and mechanical factors in regulation of bone remodeling [\[15](#page-13-11)]. Of course the results from our skeletal collection do not account for the broad biological picture of bone metabolism. We acknowledge that the standard anthropological age categories are relatively broad and thus may relate to minor osteon number variation with age $[47]$ $[47]$ $[47]$. In our previous study $[24]$ $[24]$, we also reported histomorphometric variation with social status in this sample related to documented lifestyles [see also [48](#page-14-23)]. Our conclusions were supported by an evaluation of histological variation adjusted by femoral robusticity index based on midshaft circumference. This showed that femora of similar size in age- and sex-matched humans have diferent remodeling activity when related to a known behavioral context. Given that the aim in the present study was to seek structural biology relationships (rather than undertaking group comparisons), our present results support these previous conclusions. The complexity of factors behind cortical bone remodeling thus makes it difficult to characterize either biomechanical or dimensional relationships between macro- and microstructure—they are probably complimentary or dependent upon individual/populational aspects of biology and/or lifestyle. We further acknowledge that it was not possible to measure collagen and mineral content in our study, i.e., bone components which are important in facilitating mechanical adaptation [[49\]](#page-14-24). Our fnding has methodological implications whereby it seems that data collected either macro- or microscopically alone, may not refect the complexity of bone form−function relationship.

Conclusions

This study demonstrates a relationship between femoral size and the underlying histological products of bone growth. The density of osteons, and osteocyte lacunae, increased in more robust femora, and in those with thicker cortical bone. Allometric scaling relationships were also observed. More robust femora with thicker cortical bone also had smaller osteons and Haversian canals that scaled with negative allometry. These data are compatible with the idea that human femoral macroscopic and microscopic structures are driven by functional adaptation in the sample studied here. Our data suggest that future research can beneft from examining morphometric relationships between cortical bone histology and macrostructure. Studies aiming to unravel functional adaptation from bone should ideally undertake an integrative approach of macroscopic (robusticity, size, geometric properties), microscopic (e.g., histological parameters), and strength/stifness (mineral density, collagen orientation) variables. Only then will a more complete human femur form−function relationship be understood [[50\]](#page-14-25).

Acknowledgements We thank the School of Anthropology and Conservation (University of Kent, UK) for research funding (JJM), The Royal Society for equipment funding (PM), Prof Kate Robson Brown (Bristol), Prof Richard Grifths (Kent), and Prof Dr David Roberts (Kent) for research support, and Prof Stephen Haslett (ANU), Dr Simon Tollington (Kent), Alannah Pearson (ANU), Dr Julien Louys (ANU) for statistical advice. Invaluable feedback from the editor and two reviewers greatly improved our manuscript.

References

- 1. Cambra-Moo O, Nacarino-Meneses C, Díaz-Güemes I, Enciso S, Gil OG, Rodríguez LL, Angel Rodríguez MÁ, Antonio BH (2015) Multidisciplinary characterization of the long-bone cortex growth patterns through sheep's ontogeny. J Struct Biol 191:1–9
- 2. Miszkiewicz JJ (2016) Investigating histomorphometric relationships at the human femoral midshaft in a biomechanical context. J Bone Miner Metab 34:179–192
- 3. Skedros JG, Su SC, Bloebaum RD (1997) Biomechanical implications of mineral content and microstructural variations in cortical bone of horse, elk, and sheep calcanei. Anat Rec 249:297–316
- 4. Bourrin S, Ghaemmaghami F, Vico L, Chappard D, Gharib C, Alexandre C (1992) Effect of a five-week swimming program on rat bone: a histomorphometric study. Calcif Tissue Int 51:137–142
- 5. Britz HM, Thomas CDL, Clement JG, Cooper DML (2009) The relation of femoral osteon geometry to age, sex, height and weight. Bone 45:77–83
- 6. Dempster DW, Cosman F, Kurland ES, Zhou H, Nieves J, Woelfert L, Shane E, Plavetić K, Müller R, Bilezikian J, Lindsay R (2001) Efects of daily treatment with parathyroid hormone on bone microarchitecture and turnover in patients with osteoporosis: a paired biopsy study. J Bone Miner Res 16:1846–1853
- 7. Schlecht SH, Pinto DC, Agnew AM, Stout SD (2012) Brief communication: the efects of disuse on the mechanical properties of bone: what unloading tells us about the adaptive nature of skeletal tissue. Am J Phys Anthropol 149:599–605
- 8. Li XJ, Jee WSS, Ke HZ, Mori S, Akamine T (1991) Age-related changes of cancellous and cortical bone histomorphometry in female Sprague-Dawley rats. Cells Mater Suppl 1:25–35
- 9. Rauch F, Travers R, Parftt AM, Glorieux FH (2000) Static and dynamic bone histomorphometry in children with osteogenesis imperfecta. Bone 26:581–589
- 10. Pitfeld R, Miszkiewicz JJ, Mahoney P (2017) Cortical histomorphometry of the human humerus during ontogeny. Calcif Tissue Int 101:148–158
- 11. Dempster DW, Compston JE, Drezner MK, Glorieux FH, Kanis JA, Malluche H, Meunier PJ, Ott SM, Recker RR, Parftt AM (2013) Standardized nomenclature, symbols, and units for bone histomorphometry: a 2012 update of the report of the ASBMR Histomorphometry Nomenclature Committee. J Bone Miner Res 28:2–17
- 12. Chavassieux PM, Arlot ME, Roux JP, Portero N, Daifotis A, Yates AJ, Hamdy NAT, Malice M, Freedholm D, Meunier PJ (2000) Efects of alendronate on bone quality and remodeling in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: a histomorphometric analysis of transiliac biopsies. J Bone Miner Res 15:754–762
- 13. Athanasiou KA, Zhu CF, Lanctot DR, Agrawal CM, Wang X (2000) Fundamentals of biomechanics in tissue engineering of bone. Tissue Eng 6:361–381
- 14. Frost HM (1987) Bone "mass" and the "mechanostat": a proposal. Anat Rec 219:1–9
- 15. Robling AG, Castillo AB, Turner CH (2006) Biomechanical and molecular regulation of bone remodeling. Annu Rev Biomed Eng 8:455–498
- 16. Lanyon LE, Goodship AE, Pye CJ, MacFie JH (1982) Mechanically adaptive bone remodeling. J Biomech 15:141–154
- 17. Moustafa A, Sugiyama T, Saxon LK, Zaman G, Sunters A, Armstrong VJ, Javaheri B, Lanyon LE, Price JS (2009) The mouse fbula as a suitable bone for the study of functional adaptation to mechanical loading. Bone 44:930–935
- 18. Stock JT, Shaw CN (2007) Which measures of diaphyseal robusticity are robust? A comparison of external methods of quantifying the strength of long bone diaphyses to cross-sectional geometric properties. Am J Phys Anthropol 134:412–423
- 19. Stout S, Crowder C (2011) Bone remodeling, histomorphology, and histomorphometry. In: Crowder C, Stout SD (eds) Bone histology: an anthropological perspective. CRC Press, Boca Raton, pp 1–21
- 20. Bromage TG, Juwayeyi YM, Katris JA, Gomez S, Ovsiy O, Goldstein J, Janal MN, Hu B, Schrenk F (2016) The scaling of human osteocyte lacuna density with body size and metabolism. C R Palevol 15:33–40
- 21. Klein-Nulend J, Bakker AD, Bacabac RG, Vatsa A, Weinbaum S (2012) Mechanosensation and transduction in osteocytes. Bone 54:182–190
- 22. Van Oers RFM, Ruimerman R, Van Rietbergen B, Hilbers PAJ, Huiskes R (2008) Relating osteon diameter to strain. Bone 43:476–482
- 23. Britz HM, Jokihaara J, Leppänen OV, Järvinen TL, Cooper DM (2012) The efects of immobilization on vascular canal orientation in rat cortical bone. J Anat 220:67–76
- 24. Miszkiewicz JJ, Mahoney P (2016) Ancient human bone microstructure in medieval England: comparisons between two socioeconomic groups. Anat Rec 299:42–59
- 25. Skedros JG, Mason MW, Bloebaum RD (1994) Diferences in osteonal micromorphology between tensile and compressive cortices of a bending skeletal system: indications of potential strain-specific differences in bone microstructure. Anat Rec 239:405–413
- 26. Wojda SJ, Weyland DR, Gray SK, Mcgee-Lawrence ME, Drummer TD, Donahue SW (2013) Black bears with longer disuse (hibernation) periods have lower femoral osteon population density and greater mineralization and intracortical porosity. Anat Rec 296:1148–1153
- 27. Sugawara Y, Kamioka H, Ishihara Y, Fujisawa N, Kawanabe N, Yamashiro T (2013) The early mouse 3D osteocyte network in the presence and absence of mechanical loading. Bone 52:189–196
- 28. Totland GK, Fjelldal PG, Kryvi H, Løkka G, Wargelius A, Sagstad A, Hansen T, Grotmol S (2011) Sustained swimming increases the mineral content and osteocyte density of salmon vertebral bone. J Anat 219:490–501
- 29. Martin RB (2002) Is all cortical bone remodeling initiated by microdamage? Bone 30:8–13
- 30. Kim YK, Kameo Y, Tanaka S, Adachi T (2017) Capturing microscopic features of bone remodeling into a macroscopic model based on biological rationales of bone adaptation. Biomech Model Mechanobiol 16(5):1697–1708
- 31. Goldman HM, Hampson NA, Guth JJ, Lin D, Jepsen KJ (2014) Intracortical remodeling parameters are associated with measures of bone robustness. Anat Rec 297:1817–1828
- 32. Jepsen KJ, Bigelow EM, Schlecht SH (2015) Women build long bones with less cortical mass relative to body size and bone size compared with men. Clin Orthop Relat Res 473:2530–2539
- 33. Tommasini SM, Nasser P, Jepsen KJ (2007) Sexual dimorphism afects tibia size and shape but not tissue-level mechanical properties. Bone 40:498–505
- 34. Schlecht SH, Jepsen KJ (2013) Functional integration of skeletal traits: an intraskeletal assessment of bone size, mineralization, and volume covariance. Bone 56:127–138
- 35. Buikstra JE, Ubelaker DH (1994) Standards for data collection from human skeletal remains. Arkansas Archaeology Survey, Fayetteville
- 36. Miszkiewicz JJ (2014) Ancient human bone histology and behaviour. University of Kent, PhD Thesis
- 37. Mahoney P, Miszkiewicz JJ, Chapple S, Le Luyer M, Schlecht SH, Stewart TJ, Grifths RA, Deter C, Guatelli Steinberg D (2018) The biorhythm of human skeletal growth. J Anat 232(1):26–38
- 38. Hammer Ø, Harper DAT, Ryan PD (2001) PAST-palaeontological statistics, ver. 1.89. Palaeont Electr 4:1–9
- 39. Smith RJ (2009) Use and misuse of the reduced major axis for line-ftting. Am J Phys Anthropol 140:476–486
- 40. Taylor R (1990) Interpretation of the correlation coefficient: a basic review. J Diagn Med Sonogr 6:35–39
- 41. Breitling LP (2015) Calcium intake and bone mineral density as an example of non-linearity and threshold analysis. Osteoporos Int 26:1271–1281
- 42. Warton DI, Wright IJ, Falster DS, Westoby M (2006) Bivariate line-ftting methods for allometry. Biol Rev 81:259–291
- 43. Pearson OM, Lieberman DE (2004) The aging of Wolf's "law": ontogeny and responses to mechanical loading in cortical bone. Am J Phys Anthropol 125:63–99
- 44. LaMothe JM, Hamilton NH, Zernicke RF (2005) Strain rate infuences periosteal adaptation in mature bone. Med Eng Phys 27:277–284
- 45. Thompson DW (1942) On growth and form. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge
- 46. Barak MM, Lieberman DE, Hublin JJ (2013) Of mice, rats and men: trabecular bone architecture in mammals scales to body mass with negative allometry. J Struct Biol 183:123–131
- 47. Thompson DD, Galvin CA (1983) Estimation of age at death by tibial osteon remodeling in an autopsy series. Forensic Sci Int 22:203–211
- 48. Nowlan NC, Jepsen KJ, Morgan EF (2011) Smaller, weaker, and less stiff bones evolve from changes in subsistence strategy. Osteoporos Int 22:1967–1980
- 49. Yerramshetty JS, Akkus O (2008) The associations between mineral crystallinity and the mechanical properties of human cortical bone. Bone 42:476–482
- 50. Currey JD (2003) The many adaptations of bone. J Biomech 36:1487–1495
- 51. Code of Ethics of the American Association of Physical Anthropologists (2003) [http://physanth.org/documents/3/ethics.pdf.](http://physanth.org/documents/3/ethics.pdf) Accessed 24 Jan 2011
- 52. British Association for Biological Anthropology and Osteoarchaeology Code of Practice (2010) [http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethi](http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethics-and-standards) [cs-and-standards.](http://www.babao.org.uk/index/ethics-and-standards) Accessed 24 Jan 2011
- 53. Mays S, Elders J, Humphrey L, White W, and Marshall P (2013) Science and the dead: guidelines for the destructive sampling of archaeological human remains for scientifc analysis. Advisory panel on the archaeology of burials in England. English Heritage