ORIGINAL PAPER

Teng Wenxiang · Liu Pengyu · Shen Gang · Hu Kun · He Jipeng · Wang Cheng

Refined plate elements for the analysis of composite plate using Carrera unified formulation

Received: 2 February 2023 / Revised: 2 April 2023 / Accepted: 24 April 2023 / Published online: 13 May 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract The analysis of a composite plate by refined plate theories is presented in this paper. The displacement fields of monolayer plate are expressed by means of Carrera unified formulation (CUF), and Taylor-like series expansion is employed along the thickness direction. The governing differential equation of monolayer plate is derived by Hamilton's principle, and the related element stiffness matrix, mass matrix, and load vector are obtained. The element matrix of composite plate is obtained by superimposing single-layer plate elements, and the global matrix is obtained in the finite element framework. Due to the shear locking phenomenon of thin plate, the higher-order model is revised by tensor component mixed interpolation (MITC). The accuracy and reliability of the present model are demonstrated by comparing with classical plate model (classical plate theory and first-order shear deformation theory) and a solid model generated in the commercial software ANSYS. Meanwhile, the geometric parameter optimization of composite plate is studied based on the constructed higher-order model by the multi-objective genetic algorithm.

1 Introduction

The plate model is widely used in various fields, and the earliest modeling methods cannot satisfy the requirements of accuracy and efficiency, which prompts people to conduct in-depth research on plate model. A system

T. Wenxiang · L. Pengyu (B) · S. Gang · H. Kun · H. Jipeng · W. Cheng School of Mechanical Engineering, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan 232001, China e-mail: 1948024728@qq.com

T. Wenxiang e-mail: wxtengcumt@163.com

S. Gang e-mail: shenganghit@163.com

H. Kun e-mail: hk924@126.com

H. Jipeng e-mail: 2763682057@qq.com

W. Cheng e-mail: 1044435087@qq.com

T. Wenxiang · L. Pengyu · S. Gang · H. Kun · H. Jipeng · W. Cheng State Key Laboratory of Mining Response and Disaster Prevention and Control in Deep Coal Mines, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan 232001, China

T. Wenxiang · S. Gang · H. Kun

Mining Intelligent Technology and Equipment Provinces and Ministries Jointly Build a Collaborative Innovation Center, Anhui University of Science and Technology, Huainan 232001, China

of equations is developed for the theory of bending of thin elastic plates which takes into account the transverse shear deformability of the plate by Reissner [\[1\]](#page-18-0). The results show that this theory can be applied to other problems with deviations from the classical plate theory. A two-dimensional theory of flexural motions of isotropic, elastic plates is deduced from the three-dimensional equations of elasticity by Mindlin [\[2\]](#page-18-1). A general three-dimensional solution for the statics and dynamics of laminated plates of orthotropic materials is presented by Srinivas and Rao, and the solution is in the series form [\[3\]](#page-18-2). Özakça analyzed the plate structure, studied the characteristics of tetrahedral three-dimensional solid elements of various nodes, and compared and tested them at the same time [\[4\]](#page-18-3). Classical lamination theory and first-order and Reddy's higher-order shear deformation theory are considered by Carvelli, and the deformation and plate thickness stress distribution are compared with equivalent three-dimensional elastic solutions. Sufficiently accurate equilibrium equations of stress distribution are obtained from CLT, FSDT, and HSDT [\[5\]](#page-18-4). However, the classical plate theories have inherent disadvantages. The transverse shear deformation is ignored in CPT, and a constant shear stress is assumed in FSDT.

More precise plate models are required to solve the problems of structures with complicated boundary conditions and geometries. The equivalent single-layer model (ESL) and the layer-wise model (LW) were considered by Ballhause. A unified formulation for the electro-mechanical analysis of multilayer plates embedding piezo-layers was proposed [\[6\]](#page-18-5). The characteristics of functionally graded material (FGM) plates under lateral mechanical loads were studied by Brischetto, and the unified formulation (UF) and Reissner's mixed variational theorem (RMVT) are extended to FGMs [\[7\]](#page-18-6). The Kirchhoff and Reissner–Mindlin theories were improved by Carrera by adding generalized displacement variables to the Taylor-like series expansion of the thickness plate direction [\[8\]](#page-18-7). Carrera and Cinefra adopted the tensor component mixed interpolation technique to reduce the influence of shear locking on the results $[9-11]$ $[9-11]$. Mixed plate elements for accurate evaluation of transverse mechanical stresses and electrical displacement are developed and compared by Carrera and Büttner [\[12\]](#page-18-10). Meanwhile, classical formulation based on the principle of virtual displacements is implemented for comparison purposes. Classical (Kirchhoff and Reissner–Mindlin), refined (Reddy and Kant), and other higher-order displacement fields are implemented up to fourth-order expansion by Carrera and Miglioretti [\[13\]](#page-18-11). A number of classical and refined two-dimensional theories for the analysis of metallic and composite layered plates are evaluated by Carrera. And thin plate, shear deformation, and higher-order plate theories are compared [\[14\]](#page-18-12).

With further study of plates, it is found that Carrera unified formulation is more accurate than traditional methods in describing the displacement field of plate models. The free vibration of composite plates with Carrera unified formulation under the finite element framework is analyzed by Pagani, Zappino, Carrera, and Daraei. The solution under mechanical response is given [\[15](#page-18-13)[–18\]](#page-18-14). Carrera and Zappino have compared the results obtained by using an improved one-dimensional model based on CUF theory with classical finite element analysis [\[19\]](#page-18-15). Piezoelectric laminated plate is studied by Jiang [\[20\]](#page-18-16) and Rouzegar [\[21\]](#page-18-17) based on refined plate theory. Yarasca adopted Carrera unified formulation and proposed an effective objective optimization method. An improved equivalent single-layer plate theory with multiple displacements and multiple stresses as output parameters is developed [\[22,](#page-18-18) [23\]](#page-18-19). The free vibration behavior of functionally graded plates with inplane material inhomogeneity is studied by Xue [\[24\]](#page-18-20) by combining isometric analysis and refined plate theory. Cinefra [\[25\]](#page-18-21) applied Carrera unified formulation to describe the fluid cavity with a standard pressure-based finite element formulation of the acoustic field. Meanwhile, the numerical results of the case of the plate back to a fluid filled cavity are given. Foroutan [\[26\]](#page-18-22) adopted a total Lagrange approach and established the unified formulation of the full geometrically nonlinear refined plate theory. Van Do establishes thermal buckling response based on higher-order shear deformation plate theory and proposes an enhanced mesh-free radial point interpolation method (RPIM) [\[27\]](#page-19-0). According to the Carrera unified formulation (CUF), all equations for shells of revolution of higher order are presented by Carrera [\[28\]](#page-19-1). Meanwhile, Carrera and Zozulya research a Navier closed-form solution method for elastic shells of revolution developed using the CUF approach [\[29\]](#page-19-2). A layer-wise beam model based on the CUF theory was established by Hui to solve the geometrically nonlinear problem of sandwich beams [\[30\]](#page-19-3). CUF higher-order theory is used by Nagaraj [\[31\]](#page-19-4) and Ferreira [\[32\]](#page-19-5) to analyze the damage of composite plates. Carrera established a higher-order model of orthotropic plates [\[33,](#page-19-6) [34\]](#page-19-7). CUF theory and multi-objective particle swarm optimization algorithm are adopted by Rahmani to study the multi-objective optimal material distribution of porous functionally graded plates under free vibration [\[35,](#page-19-8) [36\]](#page-19-9).

In this paper, the mechanics properties of composite plate are analyzed based on the Carrera unified formulation. And the results are compared with the commercial finite element method (FEM) code analyses.

Fig. 1 Composite plate geometric structure and coordinate system

Finally, the geometric parameters of the higher-order model are optimized according to the analysis results, and some meaningful conclusions are found.

2 Geometric and constitutive relations of composite plates

The geometric structure and coordinate system of the composite plate are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-2-0) It is made of three different metal plates, and the thickness is t_1 , t_2 , and t_3 , respectively, in which Ω represents the cross section of the composite plate and *a* and*b* represent the length and width of the composite plate, respectively. For the convenience of research, the upper surface is the *xoy* plane of coordinates and the thickness direction is the *z*-axis. The Cartesian coordinate system is established.

The stress vector σ and strain vector ε are divided into six components, expressed as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xy} & \sigma_{xz} & \sigma_{zz} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$
\n
$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xy} & \varepsilon_{xz} & \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$
\n(1)

where the same subscripts stand for the normal stress (strain) and the different subscripts stand for the shear stress (strain).

Based on the hypothesis of small deformation, the relationship between strain and displacement can be expressed as:

$$
\varepsilon = Bu \tag{2}
$$

where linear differential operator matrix *B* can be expressed as:

$$
\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x & 0 & \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial z & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial x & 0 & \partial z/\partial x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \partial/\partial x & \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial z \end{bmatrix}
$$
(3)

According to Hooke's law, the stress–strain relationship can be expressed as:

$$
\sigma = C\epsilon \tag{4}
$$

where C is the material coefficient matrix. It can be expressed by elastic modulus E and Poisson's ratio v , given as follows:

$$
C = \begin{bmatrix} 2\mu + \lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & 2\mu + \lambda & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda & 2\mu + \lambda \\ & & \mu & \mu \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (5)

where μ and λ are, respectively:

$$
\mu = \frac{E}{2(1+v)}, \lambda = \frac{vE}{(1+v)(1-2v)}
$$
\n(6)

In order to obtain the following fundamental nuclei, material coefficient matrix C is divided into C_{pp} , C_{nn} , *Cpn*, and *Cnp*, expressed as:

$$
\boldsymbol{C}_{pp} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{66} \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{C}_{nn} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{55} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{44} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & C_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{C}_{pn} = \boldsymbol{C}_{np}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & C_{13} \\ 0 & 0 & C_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}
$$

For isotropic materials, each element can be expressed as:

$$
C_{11} = C_{22} = C_{33} = 2\mu + \lambda
$$

\n
$$
C_{12} = C_{13} = C_{23} = \lambda
$$

\n
$$
C_{44} = C_{55} = C_{66} = \mu
$$
\n(8)

3 Refined plate model based on CUF theory

According to Carrera unified formulation, the extended function F_{τ} is introduced to describe the cross-sectional displacement field of composite plate:

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(x, y, z) = \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}(z)\boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}(x, y), \tau = 0, 1, \cdots N \tag{9}
$$

where $u(x, y, z)$ represents the displacement field in three directions of the composite plate model in Cartesian coordinate system, \mathbf{F}_{τ} is a function of thickness coordinate *z*, \mathbf{u}_{τ} is a generalized displacement vector about cross-sectional coordinates x and y, N represents the expansion order of the model, repeated subscript τ represents the dummy index, and the paired subscript τ represents summation according to Einstein summation convention.

The higher-order model of composite plate is established based on CUF frame and the thickness direction of the plate adopts Taylor-like series expansion:

$$
\boldsymbol{F}_{\tau} = \boldsymbol{z}^{\tau}(\tau = 0, 1, \cdots N) \tag{10}
$$

The displacement field of composite plate cross section can be expressed as:

$$
u = u_0 + zu_1 + z^2 u_2 + z^3 u_3 + \dots + z^N u_N
$$

\n
$$
v = v_0 + zv_1 + z^2 v_2 + z^3 v_3 + \dots + z^N v_N
$$

\n
$$
w = w_0 + zw_1 + z^2 w_2 + z^3 w_3 + \dots + z^N w_N
$$
\n(11)

where CPT and FSDT are special cases of expansion order $N = 1$. The displacement field is expressed in the following form:

$$
u = u_0 + zu_1, v = v_0 + zv_1, w = w_0 + zw_1 \tag{12}
$$

4 Finite element formulation

The cross section was divided by four-node plate element, and the displacement vector was obtained by Lagrange function $N_i(x, y)$ interpolation:

$$
\mathbf{u}(x, y, z) = \mathbf{F}_{\tau} N_i(x, y) \mathbf{u}_{\tau i}, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{13}
$$

where $u_{\tau i}$ is the generalized displacement vector of the node. The expression form of $N_i(x, y)$ is as follows:

$$
N_1 = 0.25(1 - \xi)(1 - \eta), N_2 = 0.25(1 + \xi)(1 - \eta)
$$

\n
$$
N_3 = 0.25(1 + \xi)(1 + \eta), N_4 = 0.25(1 - \xi)(1 + \eta)
$$
\n(14)

where the value interval of non-dimensional natural coordinate ξ and η is [−1,1]. The four-node plate element and natural coordinate system are shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0) The expression of natural coordinate is as follows:

$$
\xi = \frac{2x}{aa} - 1, \ \eta = \frac{2y}{bb} - 1 \tag{15}
$$

where *aa* and *bb* stand for the length and width of the four-node plate element.

Fig. 2 Four-node plate element and natural coordinate system: **a** actual element; **b** basic element

5 Differential equation of motion

The governing differential equation of plate element is derived by Hamilton principle, and the formulation is as follows:

$$
\delta H = \delta \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L dt = 0
$$

\n
$$
L = T - U - U_p
$$

\n
$$
\delta u|_{t=t_1} = \delta u|_{t=t_2} = 0
$$
\n(16)

where δ is the imaginary strain, *U* is the strain energy, U_p is the potential energy, and *T* is the kinetic energy. Their expressions are written as follows:

$$
U = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \mathbf{\varepsilon}^{T} \boldsymbol{\sigma} dV
$$

\n
$$
U_{p} = -\int_{A} u^{T} p dA - \int_{l} u^{T} q dy - u^{T} r
$$

\n
$$
T = \frac{1}{2} \int_{V} \rho \frac{\partial u^{T}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} dV
$$
\n(17)

where ρ represents the density, *V* represents the volume of the composite plate, A represents the area of surface force *p*, *l* represents the length of line load *q*, and *r* represents the concentrated load. Substituting Eq. [17](#page-4-1) into Eq. [16](#page-4-2) gives the unified formulation:

$$
\int\limits_V N^T \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^T \rho \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau} N \frac{\partial^2 \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau}}{\partial t^2} dV + \int\limits_V N^T \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^T \boldsymbol{B}^T \boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau} N \boldsymbol{u}_{\tau} dV = \int\limits_A N^T \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^T \boldsymbol{p} dA + \int\limits_l N^T \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^T \boldsymbol{q} d\mathbf{y} + N^T \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^T \boldsymbol{r}
$$
\n(18)

The following formulation can be obtained by changing the form:

$$
m\frac{\partial^2 u_{\tau}}{\partial t^2} + k u_{\tau} = f \tag{19}
$$

where *m* stands for the element mass matrix, *k* stands for the element stiffness matrix, and *f* stands for the boundary conditions. Their expressions are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\mathbf{m} &= \int_{V} N^{T} \mathbf{F}_{\tau}^{T} \rho \mathbf{F}_{\tau} N dV \\
\mathbf{k} &= \int_{V} N^{T} \mathbf{F}_{\tau}^{T} \mathbf{B}^{T} \mathbf{C} \mathbf{B} \mathbf{F}_{\tau} N dV \\
\mathbf{f} &= \int_{A} N^{T} \mathbf{F}_{\tau}^{T} p dA + \int_{l} N^{T} \mathbf{F}_{\tau}^{T} q d\mathbf{y} + N^{T} \mathbf{F}_{\tau}^{T} \mathbf{r}\n\end{aligned} \tag{20}
$$

 $k^{\tau s i j}$ is described in the form of the fundamental nuclei and does not depend on the expansion order. Its expression is written as follows:

$$
k^{rsij} = \begin{bmatrix} k_{xx}^{rsij} & k_{xy}^{rsij} & k_{xz}^{rsij} \\ k_{yx}^{rsij} & k_{yy}^{rsij} & k_{yz}^{rsij} \\ k_{zx}^{rsij} & k_{zy}^{rsij} & k_{zz}^{rsij} \end{bmatrix} \tag{21}
$$

The nine components are listed as follows:

$$
k_{xx}^{rsij} = Z_{pp11}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{pp66}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j,y} d\Omega + Z_{nn55}^{\tau_{z},s,z} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{xy}^{rsij} = Z_{pp12}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{pp66}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j,y} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{xz}^{rsij} = Z_{pp12}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{nn55}^{\tau_{s,z}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{yx}^{rsij} = Z_{pp12}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j,y} d\Omega + Z_{pp66}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j,x} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{yy}^{rsij} = Z_{pp22}^{rs} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j,y} d\Omega + Z_{pp66}^{\tau_{s}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{nn44}^{\tau_{z},s,z} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{yz}^{rsij} = Z_{pn23}^{\tau_{z}s} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j,y} d\Omega + Z_{nn44}^{\tau_{s,z}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{zx}^{rsij} = Z_{nn55}^{\tau_{z}s} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{np13}^{\tau_{s,z}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{zy}^{rsij} = Z_{nn44}^{\tau_{z}s} \int_{\Omega} N_{i} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{np23}^{\tau_{s,z}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,y} N_{j} d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
k_{zz}^{rsij} = Z_{nn55}^{\tau_{s}} \int_{\Omega} N_{i,x} N_{j,x} d\Omega + Z_{nn44
$$

where the following notation is introduced to indicate the line integrals along the thickness direction:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\left(Z_{pp}^{\tau s}, Z_{pn}^{\tau s}, Z_{np}^{\tau s}, Z_{nn}^{\tau s}\right) &= \left(C_{pp}, C_{pp}, C_{pp}, C_{pp}\right) E_{\tau s} \\
\left(Z_{pn}^{\tau s,z}, Z_{nn}^{\tau s,z}, Z_{np}^{\tau z,s}, Z_{nn}^{\tau z,s}, Z_{nn}^{\tau z,s,z}\right) &= \left(C_{pn} E_{\tau s,z}, C_{nn} E_{\tau s,z}, C_{np} E_{\tau z,s}, C_{nn} E_{\tau z,s}, C_{nn} E_{\tau z,s,z}\right) \\
\left(E_{\tau s}, E_{\tau s,z}, E_{\tau z,s}, E_{\tau z,s,z}\right) &= \int_A \left(F_{\tau} F_s, F_{\tau} F_{s,z}, F_{\tau,z} F_s, F_{\tau,z} F_{s,z}\right) dz\n\end{aligned} \tag{23}
$$

where $m^{\tau s i j}$ is the mass matrix in the form of the finite element. Its components are

$$
m_{xx}^{tsij} = m_{yy}^{tsij} = m_{zz}^{tsij} = \rho \int_A F_{\tau} F_s dz \int_{\Omega} N_i N_j d\Omega
$$

\n
$$
m_{xy}^{tsij} = m_{xz}^{tsij} = m_{yx}^{tsij} = m_{yz}^{tsij} = m_{zx}^{tsij} = m_{zy}^{tsij} = 0
$$
\n(24)

Fig. 3 Shear strain interpolations and sample points (M, N, P, Q) of the MITC4 plate element

Global integration of the stiffness matrices of the composite plate model is based on the four indices *i*, *j*, τ , and *s* which are associated with the expansion functions and the shape functions.

The contact surfaces of different materials are equivalent to two different four-node plate elements superimposed on each other in the thickness direction, and each element node corresponds to each other. Therefore, the formulation of the element mass matrix m_c and element stiffness matrix k_c of the composite plate is as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{m}_{c} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{m}_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{V} N^{T} \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^{T} \rho_{j} \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau} N dV, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n
$$
\n
$$
\boldsymbol{k}_{c} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \boldsymbol{k}_{j} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \int_{V} N^{T} \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau}^{T} \boldsymbol{B}^{T} \boldsymbol{C}_{i} \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{F}_{\tau} N dV, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n
$$
\n(25)

where m_i and k_j represent the element mass matrix and stiffness matrix of single-layer plate, respectively, and *n* represents the number of layers of composite plate.

For the composite plate structure, the global stiffness matrix, mass matrix, and load vector can be obtained in the finite element frame through the assembly program.

6 Shear locking phenomenon and MITC4 element

Shear locking is a numerical problem in finite element analysis. In the analysis of thin plate, when the plate thickness becomes very small, the shear strain energy becomes very larger. This results in shear locking phenomenon. Therefore, the tensor component mixed interpolation (MITC) method is adopted to revise the four-node plate element characteristic matrix.

The shear strains γ_{xz} and γ_{yz} were interpolated into the sample points (M, N, P, Q) as shown in Fig. [3.](#page-6-0)

The revised transverse strain vector ε_m is written as follows:

$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_{m} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{N}_{a}^{T} \\ & \boldsymbol{N}_{b}^{T} \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{xz} \\ \gamma_{xz} \\ \varepsilon_{zz} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (26)

where interpolation vector and strain vector are written as follows:

$$
N_a = \begin{bmatrix} N_{a1} & N_{a2} \end{bmatrix}^T, N_b = \begin{bmatrix} N_{b1} & N_{b2} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{\gamma}_{xz} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{xz}^P & \gamma_{xz}^Q \end{bmatrix}^T, \boldsymbol{\gamma}_{yz} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{yz}^N & \gamma_{yz}^M \end{bmatrix}^T
$$
 (27)

where the component of the strain vector is the value of the corresponding strain at the sample point. The interpolation function of the sample point is constructed by using the method of element interpolation function. The expression is as follows:

$$
N_{a1} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\xi), N_{a2} = \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi), N_{b1} = \frac{1}{2}(1+\eta), N_{b1} = \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta)
$$
\n(28)

For the convenience of mathematical formulation derivation, stress vector and strain vector are divided into two groups according to their physical meanings:

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_p = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{xx} & \sigma_{yy} & \sigma_{xy} \end{bmatrix}^T, \ \boldsymbol{\sigma}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_{xz} & \tau_{yz} & \tau_{zz} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$
\n
$$
\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_p = \begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_{xx} & \varepsilon_{yy} & \varepsilon_{xy} \end{bmatrix}^T, \ \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_n = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{xz} & \gamma_{yz} & \gamma_{zz} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$
\n(29)

where subscript *p* stands for the stress–strain term of the vertical cross section and subscript *n* stands for the stress–strain term within the cross section.

The linear differential operator matrix is divided into two groups:

$$
\boldsymbol{B}_{p} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \partial/\partial y & 0 \\ \partial/\partial y & \partial/\partial x & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \boldsymbol{B}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \partial/\partial z & 0 & \partial/\partial x \\ 0 & \partial/\partial z & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial/\partial z \end{bmatrix}
$$
(30)

According to the formulation of the stiffness matrix, the revised matrix $BF_{\tau}N$:

$$
A_n = \begin{bmatrix} (B_n F_\tau N)_{i=1}^{x=P} & (B_n F_\tau N)_{i=1}^{x=Q} & (B_n F_\tau N)_{i=2}^{y=N} & (B_n F_\tau N)_{i=2}^{y=M} & (B_n F_\tau N)_{i=3} \end{bmatrix}^T
$$

$$
A_p = B_p F_\tau N
$$
 (31)

where the subscript *i* represents the number of rows in the matrix. The superscript $x = P$ represents the value of point *P* on the *x*-axis. The following similar superscripts will not be explained repeatedly.

$$
A_m = N_m A_n \tag{32}
$$

where *N^m* is expressed as follows:

$$
N_m = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(1+\xi) & \frac{1}{2}(1-\xi) & \frac{1}{2}(1+\eta) & \frac{1}{2}(1-\eta) & \frac{1}{2
$$

The revised matrix is as follows:

$$
A = \left[A_p \ A_m \right]^T \tag{34}
$$

The stiffness matrix of MITC4 element is written in the following form:

$$
k_m = \int\limits_V A^T C A dV \tag{35}
$$

The revised element stiffness matrix of composite plate can be expressed as:

$$
k_{cm} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{jm} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} A^{T} C_{i} A dV, \quad j = 1, 2, \cdots, n
$$
 (36)

Because the stress–strain does not participate in the derivation of the element mass matrix and load vector, the expressions of the element mass matrix and load vector do not change.

parameters	Modulus of elasticity E (Pa)	Poisson's ratio u	Density ρ (kg/m ³)	Length a(m)	Width b(m)	Thickness t(m)
First layer	2.1e11	0.3	7850			3t/10
Second layer	1.7e11	0.28	3850			4t/10
Third layer	2.1e11	0.3	7850			3t/10

Table 1 Material and geometric parameters

Table 2 The first ten natural frequencies of the TE*N* model

Model	Modal (Hz)										
										10	
TE ₁	117.8	240.5	592.0	685.8	852.4	896.6	1412	1488	1591	1906	
TE ₂	111.4	237.0	591.8	664.6	802.3	873.8	1412	1443	1591	1823	
TE ₃	111.3	235.5	591.8	660.8	798.3	865.7	1412	1426	1591	1803	
TE4	111.3	235.5	591.8	660.8	798.2	865.6	1412	1426	1591	1803	

7 Numerical results

Firstly, the convergence of the higher-order model is verified by mechanical analysis of the composite plate. Secondly, the accuracy of the model in this paper is determined by comparing the displacement of the higherorder model and ANSYS model at the verification points (the midpoint of the cross section). Considering the shear locking phenomenon of thin plate, the higher-order model is revised by tensor component mixed interpolation (MITC). Finally, in order to reduce the material loss, the geometric parameters of the composite plate were optimized based on the established higher-order model.

A square plate structure is used for numerical results in this section. The material and geometric parameters of composite plates are given in Table [1.](#page-8-0) The length and width are fixed, and the ratio of the thickness of each layer to the total thickness is 3:4:3, respectively.

7.1 Convergence study

The convergence of the present higher-order theory is demonstrated by implementing the dynamics analysis of the composite plate model. A 10×10 mesh was used to divide the cross section, the thickness $t = 0.1$ m, and one side was completely fixed. The first ten natural frequencies of the TE*N* (*N*-order Taylor-like series expansion) model were obtained, and the results are given in Table [2.](#page-8-1)

According to the data of the first ten modes in the table, when the expansion order $N = 2$, the modes of the higher-order model tend to be stable.

To obtain the convergence of mesh of the higher-order model, the static analysis of the TE2 model is carried out, and different meshes are used to divide the cross section. The midpoint of cross section is set as the verification point. Meanwhile, the *y*-displacement (u_v) and the *z*-strain (ε_z) at the verification points of the composite plate cross section were obtained, and the displacement-mesh and strain-mesh variation curves were drawn, as shown in Fig. [5.](#page-9-0) The mechanical model is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-9-1) It should be noted that S stands for the fixed constraint.

As can be illustrated from the curve in Fig. [5,](#page-9-0) with the increase in the number of meshes, the *z*-displacement at the verification points of composite plate cross section presents an upward trend and the *z*-strain presents a downward trend. When the mesh reaches 20×20 , both curves tend to be stable. Therefore, it can be concluded that stable displacement and strain of the higher-order model can be obtained by employing 20×20 finite elements and the expansion order $N = 2$.

7.2 Accuracy study

The accuracy of the higher-order model is verified by carrying out the statics study of the composite plate model. The expansion order $N = 2$, the mesh was 20×20 , and the thickness of the composite plate was a

Fig. 5 Research on mesh convergence

Table 3 *Y*-displacement at the verification points under different thicknesses

Model	DOF	$-u_y(\times 10^{-6} \text{ m})$								
				$t = 1 \text{ m}$ $t = 0.8 \text{ m}$ $t = 0.6 \text{ m}$ $t = 0.4 \text{ m}$ $t = 0.2 \text{ m}$ $t =$			0.08 _m	$t =$ 0.06 _m	$t =$ 0.04 m	$t =$ 0.02 m
CPT	2205	0.422	0.527	0.702	1.05	2.08	4.85	6.12	8.02	10.9
FSDT	2205	0.416	0.517	0.684	1.01	1.98	4.60	5.80	7.66	10.6
TE ₂	3969	0.565	0.675	0.862	1.24	2.36	5.33	6.66	8.64	11.7
ANSYS	14,553 0.792		0.895	1.07	1.43	2.48	5.35	6.65	8.57	11.5

variable. The *y*-displacement of CPT, FSDT, TE2, and ANSYS models at the verification points is given in Table [3,](#page-9-2) where eight-node solid element (solid185) is selected for ANSYS model, and 20×20 mesh is used to divide the cross section. The mechanical model is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-9-1)

According to the data in the table, as the composite plate thickness decreases, the *y*-displacement at the verification points of cross section increases, and the error with the ANSYS solid model decreases. When the plate thickness decreases to 0.2 m, the error of displacement reaches an acceptable range. The *y*-displacement of the TE2 model is closer to ANSYS model than CPT and FSDT models under different thicknesses. That is to say, the CPT and FSDT models cannot achieve reasonable results compared to the ANSYS solid model. It can be concluded that the higher-order model has accuracy and is suitable for thin plate analysis. Meanwhile, the degree of freedom (DOF) of the TE2 model is much smaller than that of the ANSYS model, which indicates that the model in this paper has a high computational efficiency.

Fig. 6 Mechanical model of composite plate

Fig. 7 Displacement of nodes on the middle line

In order to verify the accuracy of other nodes of higher-order model, a force of 50,000N is applied to the middle area of the cross section, and the upper and lower sides are completely fixed. The mechanical model is shown in Fig. [6,](#page-10-0) where S stands for the fixed constraint and *aa* stands for the length of the four-node plate element. The displacements of nodes on the middle line of the cross section are shown in Fig. [7.](#page-10-1) Meanwhile, the geometric parameter width-to-thickness ratio $S_t = a/t$ is introduced. It should be noted that *a* stands for the width of the composite plate and *t* stands for the thickness of the composite plate.

Fig. 8 Mechanical model

Table 4 The meaning of the letters in Fig. [9](#page-12-0)

Letter						
Model	TE2 higher-order model			ANSYS solid model		
$S_t = a/t$		1/0.5	1/0.08		1/0.5	1/0.08

Table 5 The meaning of the letters in Fig. [10](#page-13-0)

Figure [7a](#page-10-1) represents the *y*-displacement of TE*N* higher-order model, and Fig. [7b](#page-10-1) represents the *y*displacement of ANSYS solid model. The mesh of the two figures is 20×20 and the width-to-thickness ratio $S_t = 1/0.08$. Figure [7c](#page-10-1) represents the *z*-displacement of the TE2 higher-order model under different thicknesses, and Fig. [7d](#page-10-1) represents the *z*-displacement of ANSYS solid model. The two figures both adopt 20×20 mesh dividing cross section.

As can be seen from Fig. [7a](#page-10-1) and c, when the expansion order $N = 2$, the higher-order model converges. The variation trend of higher order is consistent with the ANSYS model, and the *y*-displacement is also very close to the ANSYS model. Meanwhile, it can be shown from Fig. [7b](#page-10-1) and d that the curve variation trend under different width-to-thickness ratios is the same as the ANSYS model, and the *z*-displacement is also consistent with the ANSYS model, which proves the accuracy of the higher-order model.

To master the accuracy of the higher-order model under different width-to-thickness ratios and constraints, the *y*-displacement nephogram of cross section with width-to-thickness ratios $S_t = 1/1$, 1/0.5, and 1/0.08 was drawn, respectively, as shown in Fig. [9](#page-12-0) (mechanical model: Fig. [8b](#page-11-0)). Meanwhile, the *z*-displacement nephogram of cross section under different constraints and loads conditions was drawn, as shown in Fig. [10](#page-13-0) (mechanical model: Fig. $8a-c$ $8a-c$), where the width-to-thickness ratio $S_t = 1/0.08$. The mechanical model of the two figures is shown in Fig. [8.](#page-11-0) It should be noted that S stands for the fixed constraints and *aa* and *bb* stand for the length and width of the four-node plate element, respectively. The higher-order model of Figs. [9](#page-12-0) and [10](#page-13-0) adopts a 20×20 mesh dividing cross section, and the expansion order $N = 2$ (Tables [4](#page-11-1) and [5\)](#page-11-2).

As shown in Fig. [9,](#page-12-0) with the decrease in the composite plate width-to-thickness ratio, the *y*-displacement nephogram of the higher-order model approaches the ANSYS model gradually. When the width-to-thickness ratio $S_t = 1/0.08$, the displacement nephogram and chromatogram of the two models are consistent, indicating that the model has accuracy for the analysis of thin plates. As can be seen from Fig. [10,](#page-13-0) with the change in constraints and loads, the *z*-displacement nephogram and chromatogram of the higher-order model are always consistent with the ANSYS model, which proves that the model has accuracy for different constraints and loads. That is to say, the modeling method presented in this paper can be used for the analysis of composite plates under complex working conditions.

For mastering the deformation of the three-dimensional model, a deformation figure of the higher-order model with a width-to-thickness ratio $S_t = 1/0.08$ was drawn. In order to improve the accuracy, draw a more

Fig. 9 Y-displacement nephogram of cross section under different width-to-thickness ratios

accurate deformation figure. Therefore, a mesh of 41×41 was adopted to divide the cross section, and the expansion order $N = 3$, as shown in Fig. [11.](#page-14-0)

As can be shown from Fig. [11,](#page-14-0) the three-dimensional deformation figure of composite plates conforms to the corresponding mechanical model under different constraints and loads. That is to say, the three-dimensional modeling of the higher-order model has accuracy.

Fig. 10 Z-displacement nephogram of cross section under different constraints and loads

7.3 Shear locking

Considering the shear locking phenomenon in the thin plate model, MITC4 element is used to revise the higher-order model and verify the availability of the constructed model in this paper. A 20×20 mesh is used to divide the cross section, and the expansion order $N = 2$. The *z*-displacement u_z at the verification points of the cross section under different width-to-thickness ratios is obtained, as shown in Table [6.](#page-15-0) The width of

Fig. 11 Three-dimensional deformation figure of composite plate

S_t	TE2 higher-order model	ANSYS solid model			
a/t	$Q4 (u_z/m)$	MITC4 (u_7/m)	Solid185 (u_7/m)		
2	7.301×10^{-7}	7.291×10^{-7}	7.684×10^{-7}		
$\overline{4}$	2.761×10^{-6}	2.757×10^{-6}	2.816×10^{-6}		
6	6.029×10^{-6}	6.023×10^{-6}	6.112×10^{-6}		
8	1.041×10^{-5}	1.040×10^{-5}	1.053×10^{-5}		
10	1.575×10^{-5}	1.574×10^{-5}	1.590×10^{-5}		
12	2.187×10^{-5}	2.187×10^{-5}	2.206×10^{-5}		
14	2.858×10^{-5}	2.860×10^{-5}	2.882×10^{-5}		
16	3.572×10^{-5}	3.576×10^{-5}	3.599×10^{-5}		
18	4.312×10^{-5}	4.319×10^{-5}	4.343×10^{-5}		
20	5.063×10^{-5}	5.074×10^{-5}	5.097×10^{-5}		
22	5.814×10^{-5}	5.828×10^{-5}	5.849×10^{-5}		
24	6.553×10^{-5}	6.571×10^{-5}	6.590×10^{-5}		
26	7.273×10^{-5}	7.296×10^{-5}	7.312×10^{-5}		
28	7.969×10^{-5}	7.997×10^{-5}	8.008×10^{-5}		
30	8.636×10^{-5}	8.669×10^{-5}	8.675×10^{-5}		

Table 6 *Z*-displacement at the verification points of cross section

Fig. 12 Error curve figure

the width-to-thickness ratio remains unchanged and the thickness changes. The mechanical model is shown in Fig. [4.](#page-9-1)

According to the *z*-displacement data in the table, with the increase in the width-to-thickness ratio S_t , the *z*-displacement at the verification points of the cross section also increases. When S_t < 12, the displacement of MITC4 revised model is less than that of Q4 model, and the displacement of Q4 model is closer to that of ANSYS model. When $S_t > 12$, the opposite conclusion was obtained, which proved that MITC4 model played a role in revising the thin plate.

In order to directly represent the critical value of MITC4 model correction effect, Q4-ANSYS error curve and MITC4-ANSYS error curve were drawn, as shown in Fig. [12.](#page-15-1)

It can be seen from Fig. [12](#page-15-1) that $S_t = 12$ is the critical value of MITC4 revised model. Because composite plate causes the shear locking phenomenon, the error reduction margin of Q4 model and ANSYS model keeps decreasing with the increase in the width-to-thickness ratio. However, the correction effect of MITC4 model is enhanced gradually, which proves that the MITC4 model has a certain correction effect on thin plate.

Fig. 13 Mechanical model

7.4 Optimization of composite plate geometric parameters

To allocate material of plate reasonably and reduce material loss, the geometric parameters of the composite plate are optimized based on the constructed higher-order model. The length (*a*) and thickness (*t*) of the plate are set as symbolic variables. The *z*-displacement and *z*-strain at the verification points of the cross section are solved by MATLAB software. In order to express the change relation of the objective function, the function expression was used to draw the *z*-displacement nephogram and *z*-strain nephogram, as shown in Fig. [14A](#page-17-0) and B. The horizontal coordinate represents the length of the plate, and the vertical coordinate represents the thickness of the plate. Meanwhile, to make the nephogram clearer, the result of 14-A is represented by \log_{10} ^u, and the result of 14-B is represented by 1/*u*.

According to the change relation of the objective function, the multi-objective genetic algorithm is used to optimize the objective function. The genetic algorithm is chosen in the MATLAB software optimization tool. The mechanical model is shown in Fig. [13,](#page-16-0) where S stands for the fixed constraint. Meanwhile, the cross-sectional mesh is 20×20 , and the expansion order $N = 2$. The mathematical model of optimization is shown in formulations 37, 38, and 39.

Function : Min =
$$
\begin{cases} u_z(a,t) = (F_{\tau} N u_{\tau 0})_{(x,y,z)=(0,0,0)} \\ \varepsilon_z(a,t) = (B F_{\tau} N u_{\tau 0})_{(x,y,z)=(0,0,0)} \end{cases}
$$
(37)

$$
Constraints: S = a/t \ge 12
$$
\n(38)

Search domain :
$$
\begin{cases} 0 \le a \le 2 \\ 0 \le t \le 0.3 \end{cases}
$$
 (39)

It should be noted that $u_{\tau0}$ represents the generalized node displacement vector containing the verification points of cross section, and it is obtained by extracting a global generalized displacement vector u_{τ} corresponding node, where $u_\tau = k \cdot f$, *k* represents the global stiffness matrix, *f* represents global load vector, and $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$ represents the coordinate value at the verification points of the cross section in the rectangular coordinate system. The results optimized by MATLAB software are shown in Fig. [14C](#page-17-0) and D, where the objective function 1 of 14-C stands for the *z*-displacement and the objective function 2 stands for the *z*-strain.

As can be seen from Fig. [14A](#page-17-0) and B, with the increase in the length and thickness of the higher-order model, the *z*-displacement at the verification points of the cross section decreases and the strain increases. Therefore, it is more appropriate for the model in this paper to adopt the multi-objective genetic algorithm.

It can be shown from Fig. [14D](#page-17-0) that the distribution of individuals decreases gradually. The distribution is the most in region 1 and the least in region 9, and the middle is relatively uniform. That is to say, Fig. [14C](#page-17-0) contains most of the optimal cases and has strong applicability. As can be seen from Fig. [14C](#page-17-0), multi-objective optimization function forms a plane curve. Under the condition of Pareto optimality, it is impossible to make the other party's objective better without damaging an optimization objective. According to the actual working conditions, the *z*-displacement should be as small as possible. Therefore, it can be seen from the iterative table that when $a = 1.3352$, $t = 0.0919$, the objective function reaches the optimal value, where $u_z = 2.542 \times 10^{-7}$ m and $\varepsilon_z = -3.986 \times 10^{-6}$.

Fig. 14 Function variation nephogram and optimization results figure

8 Conclusion

- (1) The higher-order model in this paper is based on the Carrera unified formulation and adopts the Taylorlike series expansion along the plate thickness direction. This modeling method can select the appropriate expansion series according to the actual model so that the model will be more accurate and the application occasions will be more abundant.
- (2) The higher-order model established is suitable for thin plate analysis. Compared with the ANSYS solid model, the composite plate model has higher computational efficiency while ensuring accuracy, which means that the constructed model is more suitable for engineering application under complex working conditions.
- (3) For the shear locking phenomenon that occurs in thin plate models, MITC4 element is used to revise the higher-order model. When the width-to-thickness ratio S_t < 12, higher-order models are more accurate. When $S_t > 12$, MITC4 model is more accurate. Therefore, $S_t = 12$ can be considered as the critical value of the revised model, as well as the critical value of thick plate and thin plate.
- (4) According to the actual working conditions, the multi-objective genetic algorithm is used to optimize the geometric parameters of the higher-order model. When $a = 1.3352$, $t = 0.0919$, the *z*-displacement and *z*-strain at the verification points of cross section reach optimal.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by a project supported by the Natural Science Research of Anhui University (KJ2020A0285), a project supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (2020YFB1314103), a project supported by the National Key Research and Development Program (2020YFB1314203), and a project supported by Anhui Province Key Research and Development Program (202004a07020043).

References

- 1. Reissner, E.: The effect of transverse shear deformation on the bending of elastic plates. J. Appl. Mech. **12**(2), A69–A77 (1945). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4009435>
- 2. Mindlin, R.D.: Influence of rotatory inertia and shear on flexural motions of isotropic, elastic plates. J. Appl. Mech. **18**(1), 31–38 (1951). <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4010217>
- 3. Srinivas, S., Rao, A.K.: A three-dimensional solution for plates and laminates. J. Franklin Inst. **291**(6), 469–481 (1971). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-0032\(71\)90004-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-0032(71)90004-4)
- 4. Özakça, M., Hinton, E., Rao, N.V.R.: Comparison of three-dimensional solid elements in the analysis of plates. Comput. Struct. **42**(6), 953–968 (1992). [https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7949\(92\)90106-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0045-7949(92)90106-A)
- 5. Carvelli, V., Savoia, M.: Assessment of plate theories for multilayered angle-ply plates. Compos. Struct. **39**(3), 197–207 (1997). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223\(97\)00114-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0263-8223(97)00114-1)
- 6. Ballhause, D., D Ottavio, M., Kröplin, B., Carrera, E.: A unified formulation to assess multilayered theories for piezoelectric plates. Comput. Struct. 83(15), 1217–1235 (2005)
- 7. Brischetto, S., Carrera, E.: Advanced mixed theories for bending analysis of functionally graded plates. Comput. Struct. **88**(23), 1474–1483 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruc.2008.04.004>
- 8. Carrera, E., Petrolo, M.: Guidelines and recommendations to construct theories for metallic and composite plates. Aiaa J. **48**(12), 2852–2866 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.2514/1.J050316>
- 9. Carrera, E., Cinefra, M., Nali, P.: MITC technique extended to variable kinematic multilayered plate elements. Compos. Struct. **92**(8), 1888–1895 (2010)
- 10. Cinefra, M., Kumar, S.K., Carrera, E.: MITC9 Shell elements based on RMVT and CUF for the analysis of laminated composite plates and shells. Compos. Struct. **209**, 383–390 (2019)
- 11. Cinefra, M., D Ottavio, M., Polit, O., Carrera, E.: Assessment of MITC plate elements based on CUF with respect to distorted meshes. Compos. Struct. 238, 111962 (2020)
- 12. Carrera, E., Büttner, A., Nali, P.: Mixed elements for the analysis of anisotropic multilayered piezoelectric plates. J. Intell. Mater. Syst. Struct. **21**(7), 701–717 (2010)
- 13. Carrera, E., Miglioretti, F., Petrolo, M.: Guidelines and recommendations on the use of higher order finite elements for bending analysis of plates. Int. J. Comput. Methods Eng. Sci. Mech. **12**(6), 303–324 (2011)
- 14. Carrera, E., Miglioretti, F.: Selection of appropriate multilayered plate theories by using a genetic like algorithm. Compos. Struct. **94**(3), 1175–1186 (2012)
- 15. Pagani, A., Carrera, E., Banerjee, J.R., Cabral, P.H., Caprio, G., Prado, A.: Free vibration analysis of composite plates by [higher-order 1D dynamic stiffness elements and experiments. Compos. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.08.020) **118**, 654–663 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.compstruct.2014.08.020
- 16. Zappino, E., Cavallo, T., Carrera, E.: Free vibration analysis of reinforced thin-walled plates and shells through various finite element models. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct. **23**(9), 1005–1018 (2016)
- 17. Carrera, E., Cinefra, M., Li, G.: Refined finite element solutions for anisotropic laminated plates. Compos. Struct. **183**, 63–76 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2017.01.014>
- 18. Daraei, B., Shojaee, S., Hamzehei Javaran, S.: Finite strip method based on Carrera unified formulation for the free vibration [analysis of variable stiffness composite laminates. Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng.](https://doi.org/10.1002/nme.7007) **123**(18), 4244–4266 (2022). https://doi.org/ 10.1002/nme.7007
- 19. Carrera, E., Zappino, E., Cavallo, T.: Static analysis of reinforced thin-walled plates and shells by means of finite element models. Int. J. Comput. Methods Eng. Sci. Mech. **17**(2), 106–126 (2016). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15502287.2016.1157647>
- 20. Jiang, H., Liang, L., Ma, L., Guo, J., Dai, H., Wang, X.: An analytical solution of three-dimensional steady thermodynamic [analysis for a piezoelectric laminated plate using refined plate theory. Compos. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.11.078) **162**, 194–209 (2017). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.11.078
- 21. Rouzegar, J., Abbasi, A.: A refined finite element method for bending of smart functionally graded plates. Thin-Walled Struct. **120**, 386–396 (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2017.09.018>
- 22. Yarasca, J., Mantari, J.L., Petrolo, M., Carrera, E.: Best theory diagrams for cross-ply composite plates using polyno[mial, trigonometric and exponential thickness expansions. Compos. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2016.11.053) **161**, 362–383 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. compstruct.2016.11.053
- 23. Yarasca, J., Mantari, J.L.: N-objective genetic algorithm to obtain accurate equivalent single layer models with layerwise [capabilities for challenging sandwich plates. Aerosp. Sci. Technol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017.07.035) **70**, 170–188 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ast.2017. 07.035
- 24. Xue, Y., Jin, G., Ding, H., Chen, M.: Free vibration analysis of in-plane functionally graded plates using a refined plate theory and isogeometric approach. Compos. Struct. **192**, 193–205 (2018)
- 25. Cinefra, M., Moruzzi, M.C., Bagassi, S., Zappino, E., Carrera, E.: Vibro-acoustic analysis of composite plate-cavity systems via CUF finite elements. Compos. Struct. **259**, 113428 (2021)
- 26. Foroutan, K., Carrera, E., Pagani, A., Ahmadi, H.: Post-buckling and large-deflection analysis of a sandwich FG plate with FG [porous core using Carrera's Unified Formulation. Compos. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct.2021.114189) **272**, 114189 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compstruct. 2021.114189
- 27. Van Do, V.N., Lee, C.: Nonlinear thermal buckling analyses of functionally graded circular plates using higher-order shear deformation theory with a new transverse shear function and an enhanced mesh-free method. Acta Mech. **229**(9), 3787–3811 (2018)
- 28. Carrera, E., Zozulya, V.V.: Carrera unified formulation (CUF) for shells of revolution I. Higher-order theory. Acta Mech. **234**(1), 109–136 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-022-03372-7>
- 29. Carrera, E., Zozulya, V.V.: Carrera unified formulation (CUF) for the shells of revolution. II. Navier close form solutions. Acta Mech. **234**(1), 137–161 (2023)
- 30. Hui, Y., Giunta, G., De Pietro, G., Belouettar, S., Carrera, E., Huang, Q., Liu, X., Hu, H.: A geometrically nonlinear analysis [through hierarchical one-dimensional modelling of sandwich beam structures. Acta Mech.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-022-03194-7) **234**(1), 67–83 (2023). https://doi. org/10.1007/s00707-022-03194-7
- 31. Nagaraj, M.H., Reiner, J., Vaziri, R., Carrera, E., Petrolo, M.: Compressive damage modeling of fiber-reinforced composite [laminates using 2D higher-order layer-wise models. Composites B Eng.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compositesb.2021.108753) **215**, 108753 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. compositesb.2021.108753
- 32. Ferreira, G.F.O., Almeida, J.H.S., Ribeiro, M.L., Ferreira, A.J.M., Tita, V.: A finite element unified formulation for composite [laminates in bending considering progressive damage. Thin-Walled Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tws.2021.108864) **172**, 108864 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. tws.2021.108864
- 33. Carrera, E., Zozulya, V.V.: Carrera unified formulation (CUF) for the micropolar plates and shells I Higher order theory. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct. **29**(6), 773–795 (2022)
- 34. Carrera, E., Zozulya, V.V.: Carrera unified formulation (CUF) for the micropolar plates and shells. II. Complete linear expansion case. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct. **29**(6), 796–815 (2022)
- 35. Nouri, Z., Sarrami-Foroushani, S., Azhari, F., Azhari, M.: Application of Carrera unified formulation in conjunction with finite strip method in static and stability analysis of functionally graded plates. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct. **29**(2), 250–266 (2022). <https://doi.org/10.1080/15376494.2020.1762265>
- 36. Rahmani, F., Kamgar, R., Rahgozar, R.: Optimum material distribution of porous functionally graded plates using Carrera [unified formulation based on isogeometric analysis. Mech. Adv. Mater. Struct.](https://doi.org/10.1080/15376494.2021.1881845) **29**(20), 2927–2941 (2022). https://doi.org/ 10.1080/15376494.2021.1881845

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.