Monatshefte für Chemie Chemical Monthly © Springer-Verlag 1998 Printed in Austria

# Liquid–Liquid Extraction of Cadmium and Cobalt with Mixtures of 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole (H*PMSP*) and *n*-Dodecylamine (*DDA*) in Toluene

## Sigit<sup>1</sup>, Geneviève J. Goetz-Grandmont<sup>2</sup>, and Jean-Pierre Brunette<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Nuclear Fuel Element Centre, Batan, Serpong, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Ecole Européenne Chimie Polymères Matériaux, Laboratoire de Chimie Analytique et Minérale, Université Louis Pasteur – C.N.R.S., U.M.R. 7512, F-67008 Strasbourg Cedex, France

**Summary.** The synergistic extraction of cobalt and cadmium  $(M^{2+})$  from sulfate and perchlorate media with mixtures of 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole (HPMSP, PMSP<sup>-</sup> after deprotonation) and *n*-dodecylamine (DDA, DDAH<sup>+</sup> after protonation) has been studied in toluene. In sulfate medium, an easy phase separation is observed. The synergistically extracted species is  $DDAH \cdot M(PMSP)_3$ , which results from the addition of the predominating  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$  ion pair on  $M(PMSP)_2$  with  $\log K_{syn} = 4.05$  (Co) and 4.7 (Cd). In perchlorate medium, in the presence of an excess of  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$ , which is the predominating DDA species, a stable emulsion appears in the aqueous phase in contact with a clear organic phase. Due to the interfacial character of the extraction process and to aggregation phenomena, a stronger synergistic effect is achieved with Co than with Cd, leading to an increase of the Co/Cd separation coefficient. The synergistic extraction performed from perchlorate medium is slower than that from sulfate medium.

**Keywords.** *n*-Dodecylamine; *n*-Dodecylammonium perchlorate; Emulsion; 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole; Solvent extraction; Synergistic effect.

#### Flüssig-flüssig-Extraktion von Cadmium und Cobalt mit Mischungen von 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazol (HPMSP) und *n*-Dodecylamin (DDA) in Toluol

**Zusammenfassung.** Die synergistische Extraktion von Cobalt und Cadmium ( $M^{2+}$ ) aus sulfat- und perchlorathaltigen Medien mit Mischungen aus 1-Phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazol (H*PMSP*; nach Deprotonierung: *PMSP*<sup>-</sup>) und *n*-Dodecylamin (*DDA*; nach Protonierung: *DDA*H<sup>+</sup>) wurde in Toluol untersucht. In sulfathaltigen Medien beobachtet man eine rasche Phasentrennung. Die synergistisch extrahierte Spezies ist *DDA*H · *M*(*PMSP*)<sub>3</sub>, die durch Addition des vorherrschenden lonenpaars *DDA*H · *PMSP* an *M*(*PMSP*)<sub>2</sub> entsteht (log*K*<sub>syn</sub> = 4.05 (Co) bzw. 4.7 (Cd)). Perchlorathaltige Medien zeigen in Gegenwart eines Überschusses von *DDA*H · ClO<sub>4</sub>, der hauptsächlichen Komponente der *DDA*-Spezies, eine stabile Emulsion in der wäßrigen Phase neben einer klaren organischen Phase. Aufgrund des Grenzschichtcharakters des Extraktionsvorgangs und von

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author

Aggregationsphänomenen ist der synergistische Effekt für Co größer als für Cd, woraus sich ein höherer Trennfaktor für das Paar Co/Cd ergibt. Die synergistische Extraktion aus perchlorathaltigen Medien verläuft langsamer als jene aus sulfathaltigen.

#### Introduction

During the last twenty years it has been shown that metal cations can be synergistically extracted with mixtures of the acidic chelating extractants  $\beta$ diketones, 4-acyl-5-hydroxypyrazoles, or 4-acyl-5-hydroxyisoxazoles (HL) and lipophilic ammonium salts ( $B \cdot X = B^+X^-$  with  $B^+ =$  ammonium and  $X^- =$ inorganic anion) [1–3 and Refs. cited therein]. In many cases, a two-phase anionic exchange between  $B \cdot X$  and HL occurs (1) leading to the  $B \cdot L$  ( $=B^+L^-$ ) ammonium salt. If  $X^-$  itself is not a complexing agent of  $M^{m+}$ ,  $B \cdot L$  appears to be the actual synergist. Then, the synergistic species is  $B \cdot ML_{(m+1)}$  ( $=B^+ML_{(m+1)}^-$ ) which is extracted according to one of the equilibria (2) (high *pH* range) or (3) (low *pH* range). The formation of the synergist  $B \cdot L$  depends on  $X^-$ ; it is favoured following the order perchlorate < nitrate < chloride < sulfate.

$$(B \cdot X)_{\rm org} + (HL)_{\rm org} \stackrel{K_{\rm exc}}{\rightleftharpoons} (B \cdot L)_{\rm org} + H^+ + X^- \tag{1}$$

$$M^{m+} + m(\mathrm{H}L)_{\mathrm{org}} + (B \cdot L)_{\mathrm{org}} \rightleftharpoons (B \cdot ML_{(m+1)})_{\mathrm{org}} + m\mathrm{H}^{+}$$
(2)

$$M^{m+} + (m+1)(HL)_{\rm org} + (B \cdot X)_{\rm org} \rightleftharpoons (B \cdot ML_{(m+1)})_{\rm org} + (m+1)H^{+} + X^{-}$$
(3)

A special behaviour has been observed in the extraction of the divalent cations Zn, Co, and Cd with mixtures of *n*-dodecylammonium salts ( $DDAH \cdot X$ ) and 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-benzoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole (H*PMBP*): an emulsion is formed in the aqueous phase due to the amphiphilic character of *n*-dodecylammonium perchlorate and nitrate salts. This results in an interesting synergistic effect observed from very low ammonium salt concentrations, *i.e.* close to the metal concentration [4, 5]. In order to extend this previous work, the synergistic extraction of divalent Co and Cd from sulfate and perchlorate aqueous media in toluene has been studied with 1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole (H*PMSP*). H*PMSP* differs from H*PMBP* by the presence of a long hydrocarbon chain which is expected to appreciably modify its interfacial properties. It is important to note that a good knowledge of such synergistic extraction systems is needed, since new separation processes are now developping which are based on the kinetics of metal complexation in micellar media involving mixtures of amphiphilic acidic chelating agents and ammonium salts [6].



Scheme 1

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Extraction of Co and Cd from 0.33 M sulfate medium

In the HPMSP-DDA-toluene-0.33 M sulfate liquid-liquid system, the extraction of  $H_2SO_4$  with DDA is negligible above pH 3 (indicated by a very low pH variation of the aqueous phase), *i.e.* under the present experimental conditions of Co and Cd extractions. Nevertheless, the acidic HPMSP reacts with the basic DDA in toluene to form DDAH  $\cdot$  PMSP. As the formation constant of TOPO  $\cdot$  HPMSP is close to that of TOPO  $\cdot$  HPMBP (log K = 0.80 and 0.85, TOPO = tri-*n*-octylphosphine oxide [9]), the formation constant of DDAH  $\cdot$  PMSP is expected to be close to that of DDAH  $\cdot$  PMBP (log K = 2.5 [4]). It follows that, under the experimental conditions employed, DDAH  $\cdot$  PMSP is the predominating DDA species, since HPMSP is always in excess to DDA.

Experimental distribution curves  $\log D \ vs. \ pH$  in the absence and in the presence of  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$  are shown in Fig. 1. All curves are straight lines with a slope close to 2 according to the exchange of 2 protons per extracted metal. In all cases, both organic and aqueous phases became clear after an easy phase separation by gravity. An important enhancement of the extraction is observed in the presence of  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$ . It increases regularly with increasing  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org}$ : curves  $\log D \ vs. \ \log [DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org}$  (constant pH and  $[HPMSP]_{org}$ ) are straight lines of slopes close to 1, whereas graphs of  $\log D \ vs. \ \log [HPMSP]_{org}$  (constant pH and  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org}$ ) are straight lines of slope 2 (Fig. 2). These results agree with the extraction equilibrium (4) where M = Co or Cd, HL = HPMSP and B = DDAH.

$$M^{2+} + 2(\operatorname{HL})_{\operatorname{org}} + (B \cdot L)_{\operatorname{org}} \stackrel{K_{2,1}}{\rightleftharpoons} (B \cdot ML_3)_{\operatorname{org}} + 2\operatorname{H}^+$$

$$\log D = \log \left( [B \cdot ML_3]_{\operatorname{org}} / [M^{2+}] \right) = \log K_{2,1} + 2\log \left[\operatorname{HL}\right]_{\operatorname{org}} + \log \left[B \cdot L\right]_{\operatorname{org}} + 2pH$$
(4)



Fig. 1. Extraction of Co and Cd from sulfate medium with mixtures of HPMSP (0.02M) and  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$  (squares = 0M, circles = 0.01M) in toluene at different pH values



**Fig. 2.** Extraction of Co and Cd from sulfate medium at *pH* 4.8 with mixtures of H*PMSP* and *DDA*H · *PMSP* in toluene; circles:  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org}$  variation,  $[HPMSP]_{org,i} = 0.03 M$ ; squares:  $[HPMSP]_{org}$  variation,  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org,i} = 0.01 M$ ; i denotes initial concentrations

In the absence of  $DDAH \cdot PMSP_{org}$ , the log *D vs*. [HPMSP]<sub>org</sub> curves agree with the extraction equilibrium (5):

$$M^{2+} + 2(HL)_{\text{org}} \stackrel{K_{2,0}}{\rightleftharpoons} (ML_2)_{\text{org}} + 2H^+$$

$$\log D = \log \left( [ML_2]_{\text{org}} / [M^{2+}] \right) = \log K_{2,0} + 2\log [HL]_{\text{org}} + 2pH$$
(5)

The synergism is described by equilibrium (6):

$$(ML_2)_{\text{org}} + (B \cdot L)_{\text{org}} \stackrel{K_{\text{syn}}}{\rightleftharpoons} (B \cdot ML_3)_{\text{org}}$$

$$\log K_{\text{syn}} = \log K_{2,1} - \log K_{2,0}$$
(6)

The equilibrium constants are given in Table 1 together with those determined previously with HL = HPMBP or HPMSP and  $B \cdot L = TOAH \cdot L$  or  $TOMA \cdot L$  ( $TOAH^+ = tri-n$ -octylammonium,  $TOMA^+ = methyl-tri-n$ -octyl-ammonium) [8, 10,

Table 1. Extraction from sulfate medium: equilibrium constants

	Cadmium		Cobalt	
	HPMSP	HPMBP	HPMSP	HPMBP
$log K_{2,0}$	-10.85	-9.45 <sup>a</sup>	-8.40	$-7.60^{a}$
$\log K_{2,1}$ (DDAH)	-6.15	$-4.25^{a}$	-4.35	$-2.45^{a}$
$\log K_{\rm syn}$ (DDAH)	4.70	5.20 <sup>a</sup>	4.05	5.15 <sup>a</sup>
$\log K_{\rm syn} (TOAH)^{\rm b}$	4.75	5.50	5.35	6.30
$\log K_{\rm syn} (TOMA)^{\rm b}$	_	8.20	8.55	9.15

<sup>a</sup> From Ref. [4, 5]; <sup>b</sup> from Ref. [8, 10, 11]

11].  $\log K_{2,0}$ ,  $\log K_{2,1}$ , and  $\log K_{syn}$  heavily decrease from HPMBP to HPMSP due to the weaker complexation of Co<sup>2+</sup> and Cd<sup>2+</sup> with PMSP<sup>-</sup> than with PMBP<sup>-</sup> (formation of  $ML_2$  and  $ML_3^-$ ).

DDAH · PMSP and TOAH · PMSP on the one hand and DDAH · PMBP and  $TOAH \cdot PMBP$  on the other hand are close synergistic agents for the extraction of Cd with HPMSP and HPMBP. A different trend is observed concerning the synergistic extraction of Co: in this case, DDAH · PMSP and DDAH · PMBP appear to be worse synergists than the related TOAH · PMSP and TOAH · PMBP, which results from a loss of stability of the extracted  $B \cdot CoL_3$  ion pairs from B = TOAH to B = DDAH. The stability of both  $TOAH \cdot CdL_3$  and  $DDAH \cdot CdL_3$  is probably due to the large size of the  $CdL_3^-$  ion compared to  $CoL_3^-$ . Although the structures of these very anions are not known, such a difference is expected from the larger ionic radius of  $Cd^{2+}$  and weaker complexation of  $Cd^{2+}$  with  $\hat{L}^{-}$ , *i.e.* longer Cd-O bond distances, in comparison with Co<sup>2+</sup>. This is supported by the "hard soft" classification of Pearson [12] that emphasizes the softer character of  $Cd^{2+}$  with respect to  $Co^{2+}$ , leading to weaker complexes with hard oxygen donor ligands. This is illustrated by the M-O distances in acetylacetonate complexes of Cd(II) (2.23–2.33 A, [13]) and Co(II) (2.03–209 A, [14]).

The synergistic effect achieved with the quaternary ammonium compounds  $TOMA \cdot PMBP$  and  $TOMA \cdot PMSP$  is about three orders of magnitude greater than the effect observed with the related primary and tertiary ones  $(DDAH \cdot L, TOAH \cdot L)$ . It is likely that the dissociation of  $(B \cdot L)_{\text{org}}$  is easier with the former ones, since in the latter ones the ion pairs  $(B \cdot L)_{\text{org}}$  are stabilized by hydrogen bonding between cation and anion. This is supported by the greater ability of  $TOMA \cdot L$  than  $TOAH \cdot L$  or  $DDAH \cdot L$  to exchange its anion  $L^-$  with an inorganic anion  $X^-$  (equilibrium (1), backward *e.g.*, for  $X = \text{CIO}_4$ , HL = HPMBP, and B = TOMA, TOAH, and DDAH, respectively;  $\log K_{\text{exc}} = -7.00$ , -3.95, and -3.80 [4, 10]).

Obviously, the slope analysis of metal distribution curves which gives the stoichiometry of the synergistic extracted species " $ML_2$ , BL" is not sufficient to prove its formulation as  $B \cdot ML_3$ . Nevertheless, this formulation is very likely since such species have been previously extracted in the presence of the  $TOMA \cdot ClO_4$  quaternary ammonium salt [8, 10]. Moreover, the crystal structures of *tris*(acetylacetonato) cadmate(II) and cobaltate(II) have been reported in the literature [14] showing that the formation of *tris*(4-acyl-5-hydroxypyrazolonato) metal(II) complexes is highly probable.

#### Extraction of Co and Cd from 1 M perchlorate medium

With  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  being the predominating dodecylammonium species in the HPMSP-DDA-toluene-1 M (Na, H)ClO<sub>4</sub> liquid–liquid system in the pH range of Co and Cd extractions (see Experimental), the synergistic extraction equilibrium (7), where HL = HPMSP and B = DDAH, would be expected if no special phenomenon occurs.

$$M^{2+} + 3(\mathrm{H}L)_{\mathrm{org}} + (B \cdot \mathrm{ClO}_4)_{\mathrm{org}} \stackrel{K_{3,1}}{\rightleftharpoons} (B \cdot \mathrm{M}L_3)_{\mathrm{org}} + 3\mathrm{H}^+ + \mathrm{ClO}_4^- \tag{7}$$

Equilibrium (7) can be considered as the sum of equilibria (5), (6), and (1) with  $\log K_{2,1} = -7.40$  (Co) and -9.40 (Cd) (equilibrium (5), previously determined in 1 M (Na, H)ClO<sub>4</sub> medium [11]),  $\log K_{syn} = 4.05$  (Co) and 4.70 (Cd) (equilibrium (6), determined above in sulfate medium studies), and  $\log K_{exc} = -3.80$  (see Experimental). Accordingly,  $\log K_{3,1} = -7.15$  (Co) and -8.50 (Cd). The separation coefficient can therefore be calculated as  $\log \alpha$ (ClO<sub>4</sub>, calc.) =  $\log K_{3,1}$ (Co)  $-\log K_{3,1}$  (Cd) = 1.35.

In sulfate medium, the separation coefficient was  $\log \alpha(SO_4) = \log K_{2,1}$  (Co)  $-\log K_{2,1}$  (Cd) = 1.80 (Table 1). Thus, a loss of selectivity from the synergistic extractions performed in sulfate medium to those performed in perchlorate medium would be expected.

Moreover, from equilibrium (7) the following relation with HL = HPMSP and B = DDAH would be valid:

$$\log D = \log K_{3,1} + 3\log \left[\mathrm{HL}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} + \log \left[B \cdot \mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right]_{\mathrm{org}} + 3pH + \log \left[\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right]$$

Keeping constant all the other parameters and varying  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$ , the curve  $\log D vs$ .  $\log [DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$  would be a straight line of slope 1, which is not observed.

In fact, an improvement of selectivity has been observed due to the amphiphilic properties of  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$ : a white emulsion appears in the aqueous phase which was not present in sulfate medium where the ammonium compounds were only  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$  or  $DDAH \cdot M(PMSP)_3$ . When  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org} > [M]_{org}$  (M = Co, Cd), the aqueous emulsion appears (Fig. 3), and the metal extraction into the clear organic phase is independent on  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$  (Fig. 5) and on  $[ClO_4^-]$  (varying from 0.1 to 1 M). Under these conditions, a unique curve logD vs. pH is achieved at a constant  $[HPMSP]_{org}$  which is a straight line of a slope close to 3 (Fig. 4), whereas at constant pH, logD vs.  $[HPMSP]_{org}$  curves are straight lines of slope 3 (Fig. 5). The experimental results agree with the following statistical extraction equilibrium (8)

$$M^{2+} + 3(\mathrm{H}L)_{\mathrm{org}} + (B \cdot \mathrm{ClO}_4)_{\mathrm{int}} \stackrel{K'_{3,1}}{\rightleftharpoons} (B \cdot ML_3)_{\mathrm{org}} + 3\mathrm{H}^+ + (\mathrm{ClO}_4^-)_{\mathrm{int}}$$
(8)



**Fig. 3.** Extraction of Co (squares) and Cd (circles) from perchlorate medium with mixtures of HPMSP (0.02 *M*) and  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  in toluene; aqueous emulsion broken edge *vs.* [ $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$ ]<sub>org.i</sub>



**Fig. 4.** Extraction of Co and Cd from perchlorate medium with mixtures of HPMSP (0.02 M) and  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  in toluene at different pH values;  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org,i} = open$  squares, 0M; full symbols: 0.01 M (1), 0.0008 M (2), 0.0004 M (3)



**Fig. 5.** Extraction of Co and Cd from perchlorate medium at *pH* 4.8 with mixtures of H*PMSP* and *DDA*H·ClO<sub>4</sub> in toluene; circles:  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$  variation,  $[HPMSP]_{org,i} = 0.02 M$ ; squares:  $[HPMSP]_{org}$  variation,  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org,i} = 0.01 M$ 

where HL = HPMSP and B = DDAH.  $(B \cdot ClO_4)_{int}$  and  $(ClO_4^-)_{int}$  are assumed to be interfacial species at invariable concentration,  $(B \cdot ClO_4)_{int}$  species likely being aggregates. According to the equilibrium (8),

$$\log D = \log K'_{3,1} + 3 \log [\text{H}L]_{\text{org}} + \log [B \cdot \text{ClO}_4]_{\text{int}} + 3pH - \log[\text{ClO}_4^-]_{\text{int}}$$
$$= \log K_{\text{int}} + 3 \log [\text{H}L]_{\text{org}} + 3pH$$

with  $\log K_{\text{int}} = \log K'_{3,1} + \log [B \cdot \text{ClO}_4]_{\text{int}} - \log [\text{ClO}_4^-]_{\text{int}}$ .

	Cadmium		Cobalt			
	HPMSP	HPMBP [5]	HPMSP	HPMBP [4]		
$\log K_{2,0}$	-9.40	-8.20	-7.40	-7.20		
$\log K_{\rm syn}^{a}$	4.70	5.20	4.05	5.15		
$\log K_{\rm exc}$	-3.8	-3.8	-3.8	-3.8		
$\log K_{3,1}$	-8.50	-6.80	-7.15	-5.85		
logK <sub>int</sub>	-10.85	-8.30	-8.20	-6.10		

 Table 2. Extraction from perchlorate medium: equilibrium constants

<sup>a</sup> Values from sulfate medium

The experimental values of  $\log K_{int}$  are -8.20 (Co) and -10.85 (Cd), which gives the experimental separation coefficient  $\log \alpha(\text{ClO}_4, \text{ exp.}) = \log K_{int}(\text{Co}) - \log K_{int}(\text{Cd}) = 2.65$ , which is higher than that found in sulfate medium (1.80) as already emphasized above and than that expected in the absence of emulsion (1.35).

The same trend has previously been observed with the HPMBP – DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub> synergistic system (Table 2) [4, 5]. Log  $\alpha$  increases from 1.80 in sulfate medium to 2.20 in perchlorate medium (log  $\alpha$ (ClO<sub>4</sub>) = 0.95, calculated in the absence of interfacial or aggregation phenomena). The high experimental separation coefficient obtained in perchlorate medium could be explained by the higher ability of cobalt than cadmium to be extracted as species containing aggregated ammonium salts. With HL = HPMBP it has previously been shown that Co was extracted as DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub> · DDAH · Co(PMBP)<sub>3</sub> at very low DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub> concentrations, whereas Cd was extracted as DDAH · Cd(PMBP)<sub>3</sub> [4, 5]. Under the same experimental conditions, the extraction of only DDAH · Co(PMSP)<sub>3</sub> and DDAH · Cd(PMSP)<sub>3</sub> has been observed. Nevertheless, it is likely that the formation of ammonium aggregates also occurs at higher DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub> concentrations, which could explain that at a given pH, the synergistic effect upon Co extraction is stronger than upon Cd; note that this difference of synergistic effect is actually improved with HPMBP.

A noticeable point is the slow extration of Co and Cd from perchlorate medium with mixtures of HPMSP and DDAH  $\cdot$  ClO<sub>4</sub> in toluene which needs 30–60 minutes to reach the equilibrium. Less than 15 minutes are sufficient in the case of HPMBP. Moreover, the addition of *n*-octanol (6% v/v) to the organic phase leads to the clarification of the turbid aqueous phase and to an acceleration of the Co and Cd phase transfer. The equilibrium is then reached after less than 15 minutes. The extraction process remains unchanged (same extraction curves in the presence as in the absence of *n*-octanol). It is likely that the aqueous emulsion turns into a microemulsion which could explain the extraction acceleration and confirm the interfacial character of the extraction mechanism. The lower extraction rates observed with HPMSP than with HPMBP presumably results from the long hydrocarbon chain of HPMSP which can easily interact with the dodecyl chain of DDAH  $\cdot$  ClO<sub>4</sub> in the interfacial region.

#### Conclusions

As previously observed with HPMBP [4, 5], Co and Cd are synergistically extracted with HPMSP in toluene in the presence of *n*-dodecylamine, *DDA*, that predominates as  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$  and  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  organic species in contact with aqueous sulfate and perchlorate media respectively.

In the extraction performed from sulfate medium, the extracted species is  $DDAH \cdot M(PMSP)_3$ , and the synergistic effect is higher with Cd than with Co, which is explained by a lower stability of the  $DDAH \cdot Co(PMSP)_3$  ion pair compared to  $DDAH \cdot Cd(PMSP)_3$ .

In the extraction performed from perchlorate medium, a white emulsion appears in the aqueous phase due to the amphiphilic character of  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$ . The metal phase transfer is slower than in sulfate medium. It is accelerated in the presence of *n*-octanol which likely extends the liquid–liquid interfacial surface, thus supporting the important role of the interfacial region in the extraction mechanism. The selectivity of the Co/Cd extraction increases from sulfate to perchlorate medium which indicates that the synergistic extraction of cobalt is improved, presumably because of the higher ability of  $DDAH \cdot Co(PMBP)_3$  and  $DDAH \cdot Co(PMSP)_3$  species than the related Cd species to form aggregates with  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  in the organic phase. The interfacial character of the synergistic extractions performed from perchlorate medium with mixtures of HPMSP or HPMBP and  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$  in toluene improves the synergistic effect even at very low  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$ , close to the extracted metal concentrations.

### Experimental

#### Reagents and solutions

HPMSP was synthesized according to Jensen [7]. *n*-Dodecylamine (Merck "Zur Synthese") was used without further purification. Diluents and inorganic reagents were of analytical quality. The organic phases were preequilibrated with aqueous solutions, free of extractable metals, and of the same composition as those used in the metal extraction experiments. The ionic strength of the aqueous phase was kept constant ( $I \approx 1$ ) using sulfate (0.33 *M*) or perchlorate (1 *M*) sodium salts. The initial aqueous cobalt and cadmium concentrations were 1.7 and  $0.9 \times 10^{-3} M$  respectively.

#### Liquid-liquid extraction and analytical procedures

The distribution experiments were performed in a thermostatted vessel  $(25.0\pm0.2^{\circ}C)$  using a batch technique. Equal volumes of both phases were shaken for a time sufficient to reach the equilibria (20 minutes in sulfate medium and 30 to 60 minutes in perchlorate medium) and separated by gravity. Aliquots of both phases were withdrawn and analyzed after suitable dilution (stripping into 0.1 *M* HNO<sub>3</sub> for the organic phases) by flame atomic absorption using a 2380 Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer. When the aqueous solutions remained turbid (stable emulsion formation in perchlorate medium), the aqueous concentration was determined by mass-balance calculations.

#### Symbols, extraction constant determination, errors

The distribution coefficient is  $D = [M]_{\text{org}}/[M]$ , where  $[M]_{\text{org}}$  and [M] are the analytical concentrations of M (M = Co, Cd) in the organic and aqueous phases measured when the equilibrium is attained.

"i" denotes initial concentrations before contacting the phases, "org" denotes species in the organic phase. The ion pairs  $(C^+A^-)$  are noted  $(C \cdot A)$ .  $DDAH^+$  is the protonated form of DDA (*n*dodecylamine). TOA denotes tri-*n*-octylamine.  $X^-$  always denotes an inorganic anion.  $PMSP^-$  is the deprotonated form of HPMSP (1-phenyl-3-methyl-4-stearoyl-5-hydroxypyrazole).  $PMSP^-$  is easily formed in the aqueous phase by two-phase titration of HPMSP, *e.g.* with NaOH, or by anionic exchange in the organic phase, *e.g.* between HPMSP and the quaternary lipophilic ammonium salt  $TOMA \cdot Cl$  (methyl-tri-*n*-octylammonium chloride) leading to the ion pair  $TOMA \cdot PMSP$  [8] according to equilibrium (1).

The stoichiometry of every extracted species has been determined by varying successively the concentration of the different chemical species (CS) involved in the extraction system, *i.e.*  $[H^+]$ ,  $[HPMSP]_{org}$ , and  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org}$  (sulfate medium) or  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{org}$  (perchlorate medium). The stoichiometry is given by the slopes of the distribution curves logD vs. log[CS] and by considering the electroneutrality of the extracted species.

Equilibrium extraction constants have been determined for each experimental point taking into account the quantities of extractant involved in the extracted species. Thus, the following relations have been considered:

 $[HPMSP]_{org} = [HPMSP]_{org,i} - 2[M]_{org}$  for the extraction of  $M(PMSP)_2$  by HPMSP alone;

 $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org} = [DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{org,i} - [M]_{org}$  and  $[HPMSP]_{org} = [HPMSP]_{org,i} - 2[M]_{org}$  for the extraction of  $DDAH \cdot M(PMSP)_3$  from sulfate medium by  $(HPSMP+DDAH \cdot PMSP)$ ; and

 $[HPMSP]_{org} = [HPMSP]_{org,i} - 3[M]_{org}$  for the extraction of  $DDAH \cdot M(PMSP)_3$  from perchlorate medium by  $(HPMSP+DDAH \cdot ClO_4)$ 

The errors upon the logarithmic values of the extraction constants determined in the present work vary from  $\pm 0.05$  to  $\pm 0.10$ .

#### Anionic exchange between HPMSP and DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub>

A 0.01 *M DDA* toluene solution was shaken for at least thirty minutes with an equal volume of 1.0 *M* NaClO<sub>4</sub> aqueous solution at pH = 2.00 in order to protonate *DDA*. At equilibrium, the *pH* was measured ( $pH_0 = 3.84$ ). The *pH* variation indicates that [*DDA*H · ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sub>org</sub>  $\gg$  [*DDA*]<sub>org</sub>. The same experiment was repeated in the presence of H*PMSP* at various concentrations (c = 0.01, 0.02, and 0.03 *M*); at equilibrium,  $pH = pH_C$ .

Assuming that the difference between  $pH_{\rm C}$  and  $pH_0$  is due to the formation of  $DDAH \cdot PMSP$ from  $DDAH \cdot ClO_4$ ,  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{\rm org} = 10^{-PH_{\rm C}} - 10^{-PH_0}$ . Since it appears that  $[DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{\rm org} \ll [DDA]_{\rm org,i}$  and  $[HPMSP]_{\rm org,i}$ ,  $[DDAH \cdot ClO_4]_{\rm org} \approx [DDA]_{\rm org,i}$ .  $[HPMSP]_{\rm org,i} \approx [HPMSP]_{\rm org,i}$ ; thus,  $K_{\rm exc}$  (equilibrium (1)) can be estimated:  $K_{\rm exc} = [DDAH \cdot PMSP]_{\rm org} \cdot 10^{-PH_{\rm C}} \cdot [HPMSP]_{\rm org}^{-1}$ .

In these calculations, aggregation phenomena were arbitrarily neglected. The obtained  $\log K_{exc} = -3.8$  value is the same as that previously determined with HPMBP/DDAH · ClO<sub>4</sub> mixtures [4].

#### References

- Brunette JP (1990) 4. Extraktionsseminar des Zentralinstituts f
  ür Festk
  örperphysik und Werkstofforschung, Wissenschaftliche Berichte 44: 104
- [2] Dukov IL, Jordanov VM (1995) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 13: 997
- [3] Torkestani K, Goetz-Grandmont GJ, Brunette JP (1997) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 15: 819
- [4] Kalembkiewicz J, Brunette JP, Leroy MJF (1988) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 6: 919
- [5] Sigit, Kalembkiewicz J, Leroy MJF, Brunette JP (1991) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 9: 769
- [6] Szymanowski J, Tondre C (1994) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 12: 873
- [7] Jensen BS (1959) Acta Chem Scand 13: 1668

Synergistic Extraction of Cd and Co

- [8] Lakkis M, Lakkis Z, Goetz-Grandmont GJ, Brunette JP (1991) Monatsh Chem 122: 9
- [9] Torkestani K, Blinova O, Arichi J, Goetz-Grandmont GJ, Brunette JP (1996) Solvent Extr Ion Exch 14: 1037
- [10] Brunette JP, Lakkis M, Lakkis Z, Leroy MJF (1985) Polyhedron 4: 577
- [11] Sigit, Goetz-Grandmont GJ, Brunette JP (1992) Monatsh Chem 123: 373
- [12] Pearson RG (1963) J Am Chem Soc 85: 3533
- [13] Bullen GJ (1959) Acta Cryst 12: 703
- [14] Greany TM, Raston CL, White AH, Maslen EN (1975) J Chem Soc Dalton Trans 876

Received February 23, 1998. Accepted (revised) May 8, 1998