ORIGINAL PAPER

The inverse‑electron demand Diels–Alder reaction of tetrazines with cyclic enol ethers

Bettina Schafzahl¹ · Astrid C. Knall¹ · Christian Slugovc^{1,[2](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1873-5200)}

Received: 13 May 2022 / Accepted: 27 June 2022 / Published online: 26 July 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

Abstract

Inverse electron-demand Diels–Alder additions (iEDDA) between 1,2,4,5-tetrazines and suitable unsaturated dienophiles such as olefns, alkynes, or enol ethers provide facile access to pyridazines. Herein the use of cyclic enol ether derivatives for preparing pyridazines bearing 2-hydroxyethyl, 3-hyproxypropyl, and 3-oxopropyl substituents at the 4-position is disclosed and second order rate constants for the reactions with 2,3-dihydrofuran, 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran, and 2-methoxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran are presented.

Graphical abstract

Keywords Cycloadditions · Pyridazines · Kinetics · Click-reactions

Introduction

Since the frst report of the synthesis of pyridazines from tetrazines and alkenes, allenes, or alkynes by Carboni and Lindsey in 1959 [[1\]](#page-6-0), this reaction received ever increasing attention frst because of its synthetic potential in heterocyclic chemistry [[2\]](#page-6-1) and later in chemical biology applications because particular tetrazine/olefn combinations provide exceptionally fast and selective ligation reactions at very low concentrations [[3\]](#page-6-2). Additionally, applications in material

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Fritz Sauter.

chemistry evolved [[4](#page-6-3)[–6](#page-6-4)]. The mechanistic understanding of the inverse-electron demand Diels–Alder cycloaddition (iEDDA) reactions, the broader term for the reaction of a tetrazine with an olefn giving a pyridazine derivative, is well developed and nicely summarized in a recent review article [[7\]](#page-6-5).

A special case of dienophiles are enol ethers. Enol ethers undergo iEDDA and subsequently the primary formed dihydropyridazine product aromatizes upon fast or even spontaneous elimination of the corresponding alcohol [[8–](#page-6-6)[10](#page-6-7)]. This reactivity has been exploited in natural product syntheses [[11,](#page-6-8) [12](#page-6-9)], but in particular in chemical biology in so-called click to release reactions [\[3\]](#page-6-2). A click to release reaction is a bioorthogonal bond-cleavage reaction, in this case caused by the iEDDA of tetrazine with an enol ether, releasing the corresponding alcohol. In that way, e.g., drug release can be triggered [\[13–](#page-6-10)[15\]](#page-6-11) or caged fuorophores can be unmasked and used as bioorthogonal fuorogenic probes [\[16\]](#page-6-12).

 \boxtimes Christian Slugovc slugovc@tugraz.at

¹ Institute for Chemistry and Technology of Materials, Technische Universität Graz, Stremayrgasse 9, 8010 Graz, Austria

² Christian Doppler Laboratory for Organocatalysis in Polymerization, Stremayrgasse 9, 8010 Graz, Austria

Herein we wish to report the use of cyclic enol ethers as the dienophile in iEDDA reactions with tetrazines for preparing pyridazines with 2-hydroxyethyl or 3-hyproxypropyl substituents in 4-position of the pyridazine ring as an alternative for hitherto used alkynes (Scheme [1\)](#page-1-0). Earlier work of Roffey and Verge [\[8](#page-6-6)], Sauer et al. [\[9](#page-6-13)], and Boger et al. [[17\]](#page-6-14) presented some early examples of using cyclic enol ethers. Taking into account, that many reliable and high yielding synthetic strategies for preparing many diferently substituted tetrazines became available in the last years [[18](#page-6-15)[–20](#page-6-16)], access to many new pyridazine derivatives is facilitated by the herein disclosed methodology.

Results and discussion

As a starting point, the reaction of 3,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)- 1,2,4,5-tetrazine (**1a**) with 2,3-dihydrofuran (**2a**) was investigated under diferent reaction conditions. Firstly, the impact of excess of olefn **2a** on the time needed for full conversion of tetrazine **1a** was studied. A fast reaction of an equimolar amount of the starting materials at room temperature is desirable for qualifying the reaction as a click reaction. However, at 23 °C in CH₂Cl₂ as the solvent the reaction is rather slow giving full conversion of **1a** in about 12 h (Table [1](#page-4-0), entry 1). Upon increasing the excess of **2a,** the reaction becomes faster and upon using 10 equiv. of **2a**, full conversion of **1a** can be obtained after only 10 min (Table [1,](#page-4-0) entries 2–4). Increasing the temperature to 40 °C and using only a slight excess of **2a** (1.2 equiv.) gave full conversion of **1a** after 70 min (Table [1,](#page-4-0) entry 5). A further rise of the temperature to 55 °C (the boiling point of 2,3-dihydrofuran is 54–55 °C [[21](#page-6-17)]) led to reaction times of 15–25 min depending on the solvent used. In less polar toluene, the reaction is distinctly slower than in dioxane or THF at the same temperature (Table [1](#page-4-0), entries 6–8), which is in stark contrast to the iEDDA of styrenes with **1a**. In these cases, the reactions in toluene were approx. 1.5 to 2 times faster than in THF [[22\]](#page-6-18).Using toluene at 100 $^{\circ}$ C a similar reaction speed than obtained in THF at 55 °C can be obtained (Table [1,](#page-4-0) entry 9).

In all cases, a single main product formed, which is in every case accompanied by side products up to a share of about 10% in sum. The main product was readily identified as 4-(1-hydroxyethyl)-3,6-di(2-pyridyl)pyridazine (**3a**) upon comparison with published data [\[23\]](#page-6-19). The side products were found to be 3,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)-dihydrotetrazine (**3a′**) and most probably 4,7-di(pyridin-2-yl)-2,3 dihydrofuro[2,3-*d*]pyridazine (**3a″**), see Scheme [2](#page-2-0). **3a′** and **3a″** might result from the oxidation of initially formed 2,3,3a,7-tetrahydrofuro[2,3-*d*]pyridazine intermediates by remaining **1a**. Such an oxidation of dihydropyridazine derivatives by tetrazines is known [\[24,](#page-6-20) [25\]](#page-6-21) and would explain the similar amounts of **3a′** and **3a″** observed in the crude product mixture. Actually all attempts to suppress the formation of the side products failed (Table [1\)](#page-4-0). Also running the reaction under inert atmosphere of N_2 gave similar results, so that oxidation by oxygen from air, used to oxidise dihydropyridazines [\[26\]](#page-6-22), can be ruled out as reason for the formation of **3a″**. However, in the present case, aromatization of the pyridazine ring upon ring-opening of the 2,3-dihydrofuro moiety (i.e., elimination of the alcohol) is faster than oxidation and consequently **3a** is the by far prevailing product. Monitoring the reaction at early stages using ¹H NMR spectroscopy reveals the intermittent appearance of resonances tentatively assigned to 4,7-di(pyridin-2-yl)- 2,3,3a,7a-tetrahydrofuro[2,3-*d*] pyridazine (**ii** in Scheme [2\)](#page-2-0) the intermediate created upon extrusion of $N₂$ from the initially formed tetraazabarrelene derivative (denoted **i** in Scheme [2](#page-2-0)). Quantum chemical calculations on related reactions support the assignment, as the formation of the primary product **i** is found exothermic and the barrier for obtaining the distinctly more exothermic intermediate **ii** is usually rather small [\[27](#page-6-23)[–29\]](#page-6-24). No other side products or intermediates were observed. Accordingly, the preparation of **3a** from **1a** and **2** is most economically performed with a slight excess of **2** at elevated temperature in THF (according to Table [1,](#page-4-0) entry 8). Purifcation was done by column chromatography and 70% yield were obtained. For comparison, the previously disclosed synthesis of **3a** starting from **1a** and 3-butyn-1-ol (2 equiv.) was performed by heating a solution in toluene at 110 °C for 75 h. In this case, **3a** was obtained in 77% yield after a purifcation step by column chromatography [[23\]](#page-6-19). Accordingly, the use of **2a** provides a distinctly faster and resource saving access to **3a**.

In a next step, the higher homologue 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (**2b**) was used as dienophile (Scheme [3\)](#page-2-1). Testing revealed a distinctly lower reactivity when compared with **2a**. At room temperature, no reasonable reactivity could be obtained and a solution of 1.2 equiv. **2b** in toluene heated

Scheme 2

to 100 °C needed 16 h for full consumption of **1a**. Using 5 equiv. **2b** at otherwise same reaction conditions needed 5 h for completion. The product 4-(1-hydroxypropyl)-3,6-di(2 pyridyl)pyridazine (**3b**) was isolated in 70% yield after a column chromatographical purifcation step necessary for the separation of minor amounts of **3a′**. A side product similar to **3a″** was not observed.

Using 2-methoxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran (**2c**) as the dienophile allows for the preparation of aldehyde functionalized 3-[3,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)pyridazin-4-yl]propanal (**3c**), see Scheme [3.](#page-2-1) Compound **2c** reacts even slower than **2b** and full conversion of **1a** is reached only within 48 h when using 1.2 equiv. of **2c**, toluene as the solvent and a reaction temperature of 110 °C. Compound **3c** was isolated in pure form after chromatographic work up in 54% yield.

No evidence for the likely primary product, the hemiacetal 3-[3,6-di(pyridin-2-yl)pyridazin-4-yl]-1-methoxypropan-1-ol could be retrieved.

Switching to the less electron poor and thus less reactive tetrazine derivative 3,6-diphenyl-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (**1b**) distinctly slower reactions were observed in all cases. With 1.2 equiv. **2a** full conversion of **1b** is obtained in approx. 5 d using toluene as the solvent and a reaction temperature of 100 °C. When using excess of **2a** and no further solvent, the reaction time can be shortened to about 8 h. The desired product 4-(1-hydroxyethyl)-3,6-diphenyl-pyridazine (**4a**) can be isolated upon separation of minor unidentifed impurities by column chromatography in 67% yield. Similarly, 4-(1-hydroxypropyl)-3,6-diphenyl-pyridazine (**4b**) needs excess of **2b** for a reasonable short reaction time. After heating the reaction mixture for 48 h at 100 °C and similar workup as in the case of **4a**, **4b** was isolated in 53% yield. Tetrazine **1b** can also be used to prepare 3-(3,6-diphenyl) pyridazin-4-yl)propanal (**4c**). In this case, the reaction is carried out in neat **2c** for 52 h at 110 °C and after workup, 39% of the desired product is obtained.

Going for a more reactive tetrazine example, 3,6-di(pyrimidin-2-yl)-1,2,4,5-tetrazine (**1c**) was chosen. Upon reacting a dispersion of **1c** in toluene with 1.2 equiv. **2a** for 60 min at 100 °C, full conversion of the tetrazine was noted and 4-(1-hydroxyethyl)-3,6-di(pyrimidin-2-yl)-pyridazine (**5a**) was obtained in 82% yield. The relatively long reaction time is due to the poor solubility of **1c** in toluene (or in other solvents like THF or dioxane). For the reactions of **1c** with **2b** and **2c** an excess olefn and a reaction temperature of 90 °C were used. In that way, 4-(1-hydroxypropyl)-3,6 di(pyrimidin-2-yl)-pyridazine (**5b**) and 3-[3,6-di(pyrimidin-2-yl)-)pyridazin-4-yl]propanal (**5c**) were obtained, upon workup, in 54% and 72% yield, respectively. The herein presented pyridazine derivatives were characterized by ${}^{1}H$ and 13C NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Corresponding data do not show special features and are presented in the experimental part.

To set the reactivity of cyclic enol ethers into relation, the second order rate constants of their reactions with **1a** and **1c** were determined. For electron richer **1b**, not featuring intramolecular repulsive N–N interactions, recently identifed as the decisive efect for accelerating the cycloaddition step in case of tetrazines **1a** and **1c** [[30\]](#page-7-0), rate constants were not determined because the reactions are very slow under the chosen measurement conditions. Results are shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) The fve-membered cyclic enol ether **2a** reacts approx. 200 times faster than its 6-membered homologue **2b** and about 1000 times faster than **2c**. An aspect explaining this are the diferent ring strains of **2a** and **2b** as it has been shown, that the reactivity of dienophiles increase with increasing ring strain [\[31](#page-7-1)]. A similar trend has been found when using

Fig. 1 Second-order rate constants for the formation of pyridazines **3a–3c** (determined in methanol) and $5a$ – $5c$ (determined in CHCl₃) at room temperature in a logarithmic depiction

highly reactive 1,2,4,5-tetrazine-3,6-dicarboxylate (with **2a**: $k = 437 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$; with **2b**: $k = 1.75 \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ dm}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ in 1,4-dioxane) [[9](#page-6-13)].

The electron poorer tetrazine **1c** reacts roughly ten times faster than **1a**, however **1a** and **1c** are investigated in diferent solvents. When comparing the second-order rate constants in the same solvent, a higher diference was noted as *k* for the reaction of **1a** with **2a** in chloroform is only 0.011 ± 0.0005 mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹. The solubility of **1c** in methanol is too low to permit the rate constant determinations in this solvent.

In comparison to commonly used dienophiles, it is revealed that **2a** exhibits a second-order rate constant about an order of magnitude higher than styrene (with **1a** $k=0.003$ mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹ in methanol) [[32\]](#page-7-2). Cyclopentene gives a slower and norbornene a faster reaction (with **1a** $k = 0.008$ resp. 0.15 mol⁻¹ dm³ s⁻¹ in methanol) than 2a [[32\]](#page-7-2). Dienophiles **2b** and **2c** can be regarded as rather slow reactants in this reaction. However, when compared to terminal alkynes, their second-order rate constants are still about an order of magnitude higher [\[9\]](#page-6-13).

Conclusion

Using the cyclic enol ethers 2,3-dihydrofuran, 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran, and 2-methoxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran instead of the more expensive accordingly substituted alkynes provide a distinctly faster access to pyridazines bearing 2-hydroxyethyl, 3-hydroxypropyl, and 3-oxopropyl substituents at the 4-position. The fve-membered ring system 2,3-dihydrofuran reacts about 200 times faster than its six-membered homologue 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran and about 1000 times faster

Table 1 Time needed for full conversion of **1a** in the reaction with **2a** in dependence of diferent reaction conditions

Reaction conditions: to 50 mg 1a dissolved in 2 cm³ of the respective solvent the corresponding amount of 2a was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at the given temperature in a tightly closed vessel; full conversion of **1a** was defned as the time when the initially intense purple color of the reaction mixture vanished and the reaction mixture has turned pale yellow (visual inspection). The absence of **1a** was confrmed by 1 H NMR spectroscopy, which in turn revealed the formation of side products, which were quantifed in respect to the main product **3a**

than 2-methoxy-3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran. All cyclic enol ethers presented here surpass alkynes leading to the same products in their reactivity.

Experimental

Chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Fisher Scientifc, Merck, or Alfa Aesar. All reagents were used without further purifcation unless otherwise noted. Tetrazines **1a**, **1b**, and **1c** were prepared according to literature [\[33–](#page-7-3)[35\]](#page-7-4). NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 300 MHz FT NMR spectrometer (300.36 MHz (^1H)), 75.53 MHz (^{13}C)). Chemical shifts δ [ppm] are referenced to residual protonated solvent signals as internal standard CDCl₃: δ = 7.26 ppm (¹H), 77.16 ppm (¹³C). Elemental analyses were conducted on an Elementar Vario Micro Cube with CHN detector at 1200 °C. Results were found to be in good agreement $(\pm 0.3\%)$ with calculated values. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on Merck silica gel 60- F_{254} , and spots were visualized by UV light or by treatment with cerium ammonium molybdate solution or potassium permanganate. Column chromatography was performed using silica gel 60 Å from Acros Organics.

The rate constants were determined under pseudo frstorder conditions using UV–Vis spectroscopy. The decay in absorption of **1a** at 545 nm or of **1c** at 537 nm upon reaction with various amounts of the dienophiles **2a**–**2c** was recorded. All reactions were conducted at 23**±**0.5 °C using methanol in case of **1a** and chloroform in case of **1c** as the solvents. Such pseudo first-order rate constants were obtained by linearly ftting the logarithmized absorption over time data and the slope of the curve ft gave the respective observed rate constants for a given dienophile concentration, which varied between 0.05 and 0.1 mol dm^{-3} . The second-order rate constants were then derived from the linear regression equitation of the observed rate constants data plotted over the concentration of the dienophiles.

2‑[3,6‑Di(pyridin‑2‑yl)pyridazin‑4‑yl]ethan‑1‑ol (3a) 500 mg **1a** (2.12 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 0.192 cm³ **2a** (2.54 mmol, 1.2 eq) were dissolved in 10 cm^3 toluene using a tightly closed Schlenk-tube and heated at 55 °C for 15 min whereupon the colour turned to pale yellow. The solvent was removed and the remaining solid was purifed by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 50/1) affording 413 mg (70%) **3a** as a beige solid. NMR spectra were found to be in accordance with the ones described in Ref. [[23\]](#page-6-19). R_f (CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 20/1) = 0.21; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 8.77–8.69 (m, 2H, py^{3,6}), 8.67 (d, 1H, ³ J_{HH} = 5.0 Hz, py⁶), 8.60 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 8.30 (d, 1H, ³ J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz, py³), 7.97

 $(m, 1H, py^4)$, 7.89 $(m, 1H, py^4)$, 7.46 $(m, 1H, py^5)$, 7.40 $(m,$ 1H, py⁵), 6.7 (bs, 1H, -OH), 4.15 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂OH), 3.16 $(t, 2H, CH_2CH_2OH)$ ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDC₁₃, 25 °C): δ = 159.0, 157.3, 155.0, 153.4 (4C, q, py², pz^{3,6}), 149.6, 147.5 (2C, py⁶), 140.6 (1C, q, pz⁴), 138.2, 137.3 (2C, py⁴), 126.7, 125.9, 124.9, 124.2, 122.0 (5C, py^{3,5}, pz⁵), 63.6 (1C, CH₂CH₂OH), 34.7 (1C, CH₂CH₂OH) ppm.

4,7‑Di(pyridin‑2‑yl)‑2,3‑dihydrofuro[2,3‑*d***]pyridazine (3a**″**,** $C_{16}H_{12}N_4O$) 3a" was isolated from the same column chromatography performed for the isolation of **3a** giving 18 mg (3%) of **3a''**. R_f (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 20/1) = 0.34; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C})$: $\delta = 8.84 \text{ (m, 1H, py^6)}, 8.78-8.69$ $(m, 2H, py^{3,6}), 8.51$ (d, $1H, \frac{3}{H} = 7.9$ Hz, $py^{3}), 7.90$ (dt, $2H,$ ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.8 Hz, ${}^{4}J_{HH}$ = 1.5 Hz, py⁴), 7.37 (m, 2H, py⁵), 4.93 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂O), 3.90 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂O) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 160.3$ (1C, q, pz⁸), 155.12, 155.07, 154.1 (3C, q, py², pz⁴), 149.5, 149.1 (2C, py⁶), 145.0 (1C, q, pz⁷), 136.94, 136.87 (2C, py⁴), 128.2 $(1C, q, pz^3), 124.1, 123.9, 123.4, 122.9 (4C, py^{3.5}), 73.5 (1C,$ CH₂CH₂O), 30.0 (1C, CH₂CH₂O) ppm.

3‑[3,6‑Di(pyridine‑2‑yl)pyridazine‑4‑yl]propan‑1‑ol (3b) In a tightly closed Schlenk-tube a solution of 500 mg **1a** $(2.12 \text{ mmol}, 1.0 \text{ eq})$ and $(0.230 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ 2b} (2.54 \text{ mmol}, 1.2 \text{ eq})$ in 10 cm³ toluene was heated at 100 $^{\circ}$ C for 16 h. The solvent was removed and the remaining solid was purifed by column chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 50/1)$ affording 430 mg (70%) **3b**. NMR spectra were found to be in accordance with the ones described in Ref. $[23]$ $[23]$. R_f (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH $10/1$) = 0.71; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 8.78– 8.69 (m, 2H, py^{3,6}), 8.67 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 4.8 Hz, py⁶), 8.56 $(s, 1H, pz^5), 8.18$ (d, $1H, \frac{3J_{HH}}{9.1} = 8.1$ Hz, py³), 7.93 (m, 2H, py⁴), 7.42 (m, 2H, py⁵), 5.3 (s, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 3.60 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH₁, 3.12 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH₁, 2.13 (qt, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 159.1, 157.4, 155.8, 153.4 (4C, q, py², pz^{3,6}), 149.6, 147.9 (2C, py⁶), 141.7 (1C, q, pz⁴), 137.9, 137.4 (2C, py⁴), 126.2, 125.9, 124.9, 124.1, 122.0 (5C, py^{3,5}, pz⁵), 60.0 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 33.1 (1C, *C*H₂CH-₂CH₂OH), 27.2 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) ppm.

3‑[3,6‑Di(pyridine‑2‑yl)pyridazine‑4‑yl]propanal (3c, C17H14N4O) 500 mg **1a** (2.12 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 290 mg **2c** $(2.54 \text{ mmol}, 1.2 \text{ eq})$ were dissolved in 10 cm³ toluene and heated to 110 °C for 2 days. Purifcation was achieved by column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5/1) releasing 328 mg (54%) of **3c**. R_f (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $(5/1) = 0.48$; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 9.80$ $(s, 1H, CHO), 8.74-8.61$ (m, 3H, py^{3,6}), 8.50 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 8.23 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.6 Hz, py³), 7.86 (m, 2H, py⁴), 7.35 (m, 2H, py⁵), 3.37 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂COH), 2.98 (t, 2H, CH_2CH_2COH) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 200.0 (C, CH₂CH₂CHO), 158.2, 156.9, 155.5, 152.8 (4C, q, py², pz^{3, 6}), 149.1, 148.2 (2C, py⁶), 140.5 (1C, q, pz⁴), 136.8, 136.6 (2C, py⁴), 125.7, 124.4, 124.3, 123.4, 121.3 (5C, py^{3,5}, pz⁵), 43.7 (1C, CH₂CH₂CHO), 25.3 (1C, CH₂CH₂CHO) ppm.

2 ‑ (3 , 6 ‑ D i p h e n y l p y r i d a z i n ‑ 4 ‑ y l) e t h a n ‑ 1 ‑ o l (4 a , $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O$) 500 mg **1b** (2.15 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 1 cm³ 2a (excess) were placed in a tightly closed Schlenk tube and heated to 100 °C for 8 h. Purification was achieved by column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5/1 changing to cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1/1) giving 395 mg (66%) of **3c**. R_f (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 10/1) = 0.20; ¹H NMR $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3, 25 \text{ °C})$: $\delta = 8.02 - 7.93 \text{ (m, 2H, ph}^{2,6})$, 7.81 $(s, 1H, pz^5), 7.49–7.42$ (m, 2H, ph^{2,6}), 7.36 (m, 6H, ph^{3,4,5}), 4.14 (bs, 1H, CH₂CH₂OH), 3.65 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂OH), 2.81 $(t, 2H, CH_2CH_2OH)$ ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 160.8, 157.3 (2C, q, pz^{3,6}), 137.8, 136.9, 136.2 $(3C, q, pz⁴, ph¹), 129.6, 129.1, 128.7, 128.5, 128.2, 126.9)$ $(10C, \text{ph}^{2,3,4,5,6}), 125.0 \ (1C, \text{pz}^5), 60.5 \ (CH_2CH_2OH), 34.7$ $(1C, CH₂CH₂OH)$ ppm.

3 ‑ (3 , 6 ‑ D i p h e ny l p y r i d a z i n ‑ 4 ‑ y l) p ro p a n ‑ 1 ‑ o l (4 b, $C_{19}H_{18}N_2O$) 50 mg 1b (0.215 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 1 cm3 **2b** and heated to 100 °C for 48 h. Column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5/1 changing to cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 1/1) released 33 mg (53%) of 4b. R_f (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $10/1$) = 0.22; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 8.14$ (m, 2H, ph^{2,6}), 7.78 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 7.65–7.58 (m, 2H, ph^{2,6}), 7.58–7.44 (m, 6H, ph^{3,4,5}), 3.59 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 2.86 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 1.81 (m, 2H, $CH_2CH_2CH_2OH$) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 160.9$, 157.9 (q, 2C, pz^{3,6}), 140.2, 137.2, 136.5 (q, 3C, pz⁴, ph¹), 130.0, 129.3, 129.1, 129.0, 128.6, 127.2 (10C, $ph^{2,3,4,5,6}$), 124.4 (1C, pz^5), 61.7 (1C, *C*H₂CH₂CH₂OH), 32.4 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 28.6 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) ppm.

3 ‑ (3 , 6 ‑ D i p h e n y l p y r i d a z i n ‑ 4 ‑ y l) p r o p a n a l (4 c , $C_{19}H_{16}N_2O$) 50 mg **1b** (0.215 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dissolved in 1 cm³ **2c** and heated to 110 $^{\circ}$ C for 52 h. Column chromatography (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate 5/1 changing to cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1/1$) gave 24 mg (39%) of 4c. R_f (cyclohexane/ethyl acetate $1/1$) = 0.57; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 9.70 (s, 1H, CH₂CH₂CHO), 8.12 (m, 2H, ph^{2,6}), 7.8 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 7.63–7.45 (m, 8H, ph^{2,3,4,5}), 3.10 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CHO), 2.69 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CHO) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 199.6 (1C, CH₂CH₂CHO), 160.6, 158.0 (q, 2C, pz^{3,6}), 138.7, 137.0, 136.3 (q, 3C, pz⁴, ph¹), 130.1, 129.2, 129.1, 128.8, 127.2 $(10C, \text{ph}^{2,3,4,5,6}), 124.4 \ (1C, \text{pz}^4), 43.0 \ (1C, \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CHO}),$ 24.7 (1C, *CH*₂CH₂CHO) ppm.

2‑[3,6‑Di(pyrimidin‑2‑yl)pyridazin‑4‑yl]ethan‑1‑ol (5a, C₁₄H₁₂N₆O) 110 mg **1c** (0.462 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 0.042 cm³ **2a** $(0.455 \text{ mmol}, 1.2 \text{ eq})$ were dispersed in 1 cm³ toluene and heated at 100 °C for 60 min. Flash chromatography $(CH_2Cl_2/$ MeOH 10:1) yielded 106 mg (82%) of 5a. R_f (CH₂Cl₂/ MeOH 10/1) = 0.24; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 8.95 (d, 4H, $^{3}J_{HH}$ = 4.7 Hz, pr^{4,6}), 8.62 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 7.45 $(t, 1H, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.7 \text{ Hz}, \text{pr}^{5}), 7.39 \text{ (t, 1H, } {}^{3}J_{HH} = 4.9 \text{ Hz}, \text{pr}^{5}),$ 4.04 (t, 3H, CH₂CH₂OH), 3.08 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂OH) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 163.3, 161.9 $(2C, q, pr^2), 159.0, 157.0 (2C, q, pz^{3.6}), 157.9, 157.5 (4C,$ pr^{4,6}), 139.8 (1C, q, pz⁴), 128.6 (1C, pz⁵), 121.3, 120.8 (2C, pr⁵), 62.7 (1C, CH₂CH₂OH), 34.7 (1C, CH₂CH₂OH) ppm.

3‑[3,6‑Di(pyrimidin‑2‑yl)pyridazin‑4‑yl]propan‑1‑ol (5b, $C_{15}H_{14}N_6O$) 100 mg **1c** (0.426 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dispersed in 1 cm3 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-pyran and stirred at 90 °C for 40 min. The crude product was purifed using column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH 20/1) giving 67 mg (53%) of **5b**. R_f $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH$ 10/1) = 0.25; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 8.97 (m, 4H, pr^{4,6}), 8.58 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 7.45 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 4.9 Hz, pr⁵), 7.41 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 4.9 Hz, pr⁵), 3.59 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 3.5 (bs, 1H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 2.98 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 2.00 (m, 2H, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 163.9, 162.0 (q, 2C, pr²), 159.1, 157.0 (q, 2C, pz^{3,6}), 158.0, 157.5 (4C, pr^{4,6}), 141.4 (q, 1C, pz⁴), 127.8 (1C, pz⁵), 121.3, 120.8 (2C, pr⁵), 60,8 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 32.6 (1C, CH₂CH₂CH₂OH), 27.6 (1C, *CH*₂CH₂CH₂OH) ppm.

3‑[3,6‑Di(pyrimidin‑2‑yl)pyridazin‑4‑yl]propanal (5c, $C_{15}H_{12}N_6O$) 51 mg **1c** (0.213 mmol, 1.0 eq) was dispersed in 1 cm³ **2c** and stirred at 90 $^{\circ}$ C for 80 min. The crude product was purified via column chromatography $(CH₂Cl₂/$ MeOH 20:1) giving 45 mg (72%) **5c**. R_f (CH₂Cl₂/MeOH $10/1$) = 0.36; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃, 25 °C): δ = 9.81 (s, 1H, CH₂CH₂CHO), 8.99 (m, 4H, pr^{4,6}), 8.58 (s, 1H, pz⁵), 7.44 (m, 2H, pr³), 3.22 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CHO), 2.96 (t, 2H, CH₂CH₂CHO) ppm; ¹³C{¹H} NMR (75 MHz, CDC₁₃, 25 °C): $\delta = 199.9$ (1C, CH₂CH₂CHO), 163.9 (q, 2C, pr²), 158.0, 157.6 (4C, $pr^{4,6}$), 157.1 (q, 2C, $pz^{3,6}$), 140.5 (q, 1C, pz⁴), 128.0 (1C, pz⁵), 121.4, 120.8 (2C, pr⁵), 44.0 (1C, CH₂CH₂CHO), 24.9 (1C, *CH₂CH₂CHO*) ppm.

Acknowledgements ACK acknowledges the Austrian Science Fund for receiving a Lise Meitner fellowship [T 578-N19].

Funding Open access funding provided by Graz University of Technology.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- 1. Carboni RA, Lindsey RV (1959) J Am Chem Soc 81:4342
- 2. Foster RAA, Willis MC (2013) Chem Soc Rev 42:63
- 3. Oliveira BL, Guo Z, Bernardes GJL (2017) Chem Soc Rev 46:4895
- 4. Knall AC, Slugovc C (2013) Chem Soc Rev 42:5131
- 5. Knall AC, Kovačič S, Hollauf M, Reishofer DP, Saf R, Slugovc C (2013) Chem Commun 49:7325
- 6. Knall AC, Hoefer SF, Hollauf M, Thaler F, Noesberger S, Hanzu I, Ehmann H, Hobisch M, Spirk S, Wen S, Yang R, Rath T, Trimmel G (2019) J Mater Sci 54:10065
- 7. Deb T, Tu J, Franzini RM (2021) Chem Rev 121:6850
- Roffey P, Verge JP (1972) Antiinflammatory 3,6-disubstituted-astriazines and -pyridazines. US Patent 3644358 A, Feb 22, 1972; (1972) Chem Abstr 76:140903
- 9. Sauer J, Heldmann DK, Hetzenegger J, Krauthan J, Sichert H, Schuster J (1998) Eur J Org Chem 1998:2885
- 10. Sparey TJ, Harrison T (1998) Tetrahdron Lett 39:5873
- 11. González JC, Dedola T, Santana L, Uriarte E, Begala M, Copez D, Podda G (2000) J Heterocycl Chem 37:907
- 12. González-Gómez JC, Santana L, Uriarte E (2002) Synthesis 43:475–478
- 13. Jiménez-Moreno E, Guo Z, Oliveira BR, Albuquerque IS, Kitowski A, Guerreiro A, Boutureira O, Rodrigues T, Jiménez-Osés G, Bernardes GJL (2017) Angew Chem Int Ed 56:243
- 14. Xu M, Tu J, Franzini RM (2017) Chem Commun 53:6271
- 15. Lelieveldt LPWM, Eising S, Wijena A, Bonger KM (2019) Org Biomol Chem 17:8816
- 16. Wu H, Alexander SC, Jin S, Devaraj NK (2016) J Am Chem Soc 138:11429
- 17. Hamasaki A, Ducray R, Boger DL (2006) J Org Chem 71:185
- 18. Qu Y, Sauvage FX, Clavier G, Miomandre F, Audebert P (2018) Angew Chem Int Ed 57:12057
- 19. Mao W, Shi W, Li J, Su D, Wang X, Zhang L, Pan L, Wu X, Wu H (2019) Angew Chem Int Ed 58:1106
- 20. Xie Y, Fang Y, Huang Z, Tallon AM, Am Ende CW, Fox JM (2020) Angew Chem Int Ed 59:16967
- 21. Wilson CL (1947) J Am Chem Soc 69:3002
- 22. Wijnen JW, Zavarise S, Engberts JBFN, Charton M (1996) J Org Chem 61:2001
- 23. Hoogenboom R, Kickelbick G, Schubert US (2003) Eur J Org Chem 24:4887
- 24. Wan ZK, Woo GHC, Snyder JK (2001) Tetrahedron 57:5497
- 25. Rahanyan N, Linden A, Baldridge KK, Siegel JS (2009) Org Biomol Chem 7:2082
- 26. Padwa A, Ku H (1980) Tetrahedron Lett 21:1009
- 27. Cioslowski J, Sauer J, Hetzenegger J, Karcher T, Hierstetter T (1993) J Am Chem Soc 115:1353
- 28. Törk L, Jiménez-Osés G, Doubleday C, Liu F, Houk KN (2015) J Am Chem Soc 137:4749
- 29. Denk C, Svatunek D, Filip T, Wanek T, Lumpi D, Fröhlich J, Kuntner C, Mikula H (2014) Angew Chem Int Ed 53:9655
- 30. Svatunek D, Wilkovitsch M, Hartmann L, Houk KN, Mikula H (2022) J Am Chem Soc 144:8171
- 31. Thalhammer F, Wallfahrer U, Sauer J (1990) Tetrahedron Lett 31:6851
- 32. Knall AC, Hollauf M, Slugovc C (2014) Tetrahedron Lett 55:4763
- 33. Klingele MH, Boyd PWD, Moubaraki B, Murray KS, Brooker S (2006) Eur J Inorg Chem 573
- 34. Chen W, Wang D, Dai C, Hamelberg D, Wang B (2012) Chem Commun 48:1736
- 35. Krivopalov VP, Bushuev MB, Galitov YV, Shkurko OP (2010) Russ Chem Bull 59:1808

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.