RESEARCH

Performance evaluation of CMIP6 in simulating extreme precipitation in Madagascar

Mirindra Finaritra Rabezanahary Tanteliniaina1,2 · Jun Zhai2,3 · Mihasina Harinaivo Andrianarimanana4

Received: 28 November 2023 / Accepted: 26 January 2024 / Published online: 1 February 2024 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2024

Abstract

This study assesses the performance of 37 global climate models (GCMs) from the Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project Phase 6 (CMIP6) in simulating extreme precipitation in Madagascar. In this study, six extreme precipitation indices from the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) were used, namely consecutive dry days (CDD), heavy precipitation days (R10MM), very heavy precipitation days (R20MM), maximum 5-day precipitation (RX5DAY), extremely wet days (R99P), and simple daily intensity (SDII). The performance of the model was evaluated from 1998 to 2014 against the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 3B42 (TRMM) and the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP). The results show that most of the models in CMIP6 reasonably reproduce the annual precipitation cycle of the study area. The results also suggested that models from CMIP6 tend to underestimate extreme precipitation indices such as CDD, R20MM, SDII, and R99P. However, for R10MM and RX5DAY, the performance of individual models varies remarkably. By looking at the performance metrics, not a single model consistently performed well. Model performance changes with the reference data, the extreme precipitation indices, and the performance metrics. However, the fndings of this study indicate that overall, multi-model ensemble mean outperforms most individual models. The study lays the basis for the analysis and projection of future extreme precipitation in Madagascar but also provides scientifc evidence for the choice of models.

1 Introduction

Precipitation has an important role in the development of low- and lower-middle-income countries whose economies highly depend on agriculture. For instance, statistics from the World Bank showed that, in 2022, value added from agriculture, forestry, and fshery activities accounted for 20.23% of their Gross Domestic Products (GDP; [https://](https://data.worldbank.org/) data.worldbank.org/). However, in these countries, agriculture is a rainfed activity subject to high climate risks (Rama

 \boxtimes Mirindra Finaritra Rabezanahary Tanteliniaina mirindra@qq.com

- ¹ College of Civil Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400045, China
- ² Institute for Smart City of Chongqing University in Liyang, Chongqing University, Liyang 213300, Jiangsu, China
- ³ College of Environment and Ecology, Department of Water Science and Engineering, Chongqing University, Chongqing 400045, China
- Management Science and Engineering Post-Doctoral Research Station, China Three Gorges University, Yichang 443002, China

Rao et al. [2022](#page-11-0)), making productivity uncertain. Hence, the variability in rainfall coupled with the increase in extreme precipitation events observed and reported globally (Gimeno et al. [2022;](#page-10-0) Mukherjee et al. [2018;](#page-11-1) Tabari [2020](#page-11-2)) constitute a threat to these already vulnerable countries.

The understanding of the earth's climate system strongly depends on the accuracy of information provided by global climate models (GCMs) from the Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project (CMIP). Thus, many disciplines, such as agriculture and hydrology, signifcantly rely on their accuracy. Yet, compared with observations, GCMs have been stated to display signifcant systematic bias. Therefore, the latest version (CMIP6) was reportedly developed to overcome the inconsistencies and bias of previous versions (i.e., CMIP3 and CMIP5), especially regarding the simulation of precipitation at a local scale (Eyring et al. [2016;](#page-10-1) Randriatsara et al. [2022](#page-11-3)). Since the release of CMIP6, it has been used in the simulation and projection of future precipitation by several studies (Almazroui et al. [2020;](#page-10-2) Majdi et al. [2022](#page-10-3); Moradian et al. [2023;](#page-10-4) Xin et al. [2020\)](#page-11-4).

Over the past few years, several studies have investigated the performance of CMIP6 in simulating precipitation extremes. Among these, the study of Chen et al. ([2021\)](#page-10-5) conducted in the Western North Pacifc reported a signifcant improvement in CMIP6 models but was limited to the wet season. A study conducted in East Africa shows that CMIP6 models overestimate total wet-day precipitation and consecutive wet days but underestimate very wet days and maximum 5-day precipitation (Akinsanola et al. [2021](#page-9-0); Ayugi et al. [2021;](#page-10-6) Faye & Akinsanola [2022\)](#page-10-7). Compared with its predecessor, which tends to overestimate extreme climates (Alexander & Arblaster [2017](#page-10-8)), models from CMIP6 were reported to show substantial improvement in simulating indices that refect precipitation intensity and frequency (Ayugi et al. [2021](#page-10-6); Kim et al. [2016\)](#page-10-9). However, previous studies also reported an underestimation of precipitation extremes by the latest CMIP, especially at the regional and local scales (Akinsanola et al. [2021;](#page-9-0) Ayugi et al. [2021;](#page-10-6) Chen et al. [2021](#page-10-5); Faye & Akinsanola [2022](#page-10-7)). In addition, models from CMIP6 have varying results in the simulation of extreme precipitation. Yet, to this date, limited studies examined the performance of individual models from CMIP6 in simulating extreme precipitation at local scale.

This paper brings particular attention to Madagascar, an island home to a hyper-diverse biota with an incomparable level of endemicity (Antonelli et al. [2022](#page-10-10); Culbertson et al. [2022](#page-10-11); Ralimanana et al. [2022\)](#page-11-5). Madagascar is also a country where extreme events such as droughts and foods are frequent and devastating (Rabezanahary Tanteliniaina & Andrianarimanana [2023;](#page-11-6) Randriamarolaza et al. [2022](#page-11-7); Randriamparany & Randrianalijaona [2022](#page-11-8)). A previous study reported that climate extremes in Madagascar are rarely studied (Stouffer et al. [2017](#page-11-9)). Importantly, it is one of the most complex regions where CMIP GCMs face challenges in simulating extreme precipitation (Lim Kam Sian et al. [2021\)](#page-10-12). Nevertheless, it has been reported that over the last decades, Madagascar has shown a trend towards drier conditions (Randriamarolaza et al. [2022\)](#page-11-7). Based on CMIP6 models, this drying trend over the Southeast African region is projected to continue in the future (Lim Kam Sian et al. [2022\)](#page-10-13). In addition, Madagascar is particularly vulnerable to the impact of climate change and global warming. An increase of about 1.5 °C in global temperature leads to a rise in extreme rainfall in Madagascar (Barimalala et al. [2021](#page-10-14)). Although there are already few studies investigating past and future extreme precipitation in Madagascar using models from CMIP6 (Ayugi et al. [2022;](#page-10-15) Lim Kam Sian et al. [2021](#page-10-12)), a study that specifcally investigates the performance of CMIP6 models in Madagascar is still inexistent. Therefore, assessing the ability of CMIP6 to simulate precipitation extremes over Madagascar is of great signifcance, not only for model developers and the climate modeling community but also for policymakers who rely on the accuracy of the results from climate models to develop policies and strategies to mitigate and adapt to climate change. Evaluating the performance of CMIP6 models in simulating extreme precipitation at a local scale helps improve model components and parameterizations which will eventually lead to more reliable and accurate climate projections (Akinsanola et al. [2021](#page-9-0)). In addition, it increases confdence in the ability of models to accurately simulate local climate conditions. Evaluating the performance of CMIP6 models at the local scale provides valuable information for downscaling efforts, helping to refne climate projections for specifc regions.

This study intends to close the existing gap in the literature regarding the choice of GCMs when studying future extreme precipitation at a local scale. For that, 37 GCMs from the CMIP6 were assessed against two gridded observed daily precipitation, namely the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP, Hufman et al. [2001](#page-10-16)) and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 3B42 (TRMM) version 7 (Hufman et al. [2007](#page-10-17), [2010\)](#page-10-18). In this study, six extreme precipitation indices defned by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) were used. More specifcally, this study aims to investigate the performance of models from CMIP6 in simulating extreme precipitation indices in Madagascar. The specifc questions addressed in this study are the following: (1) how well do CMIP6 GCMs simulate extreme precipitation at a local scale? and (2) are there extreme precipitation indices that are more challenging to simulate than the others? The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The next section, i.e., Section [2](#page-1-0), gives a detailed description of the study area, the data, and the methods used in this study. Section [3](#page-4-0) describes the results, and Section [4](#page-7-0) discusses the results and describes the limitations of this study. The last part of this paper is the concluding remarks, highlighting the main fndings from this study.

2 Method

2.1 Study area

Madagascar, the fourth largest island in the world (\approx $590,000 \text{ km}^2$), is located in the Indian Ocean just off the edge of the southeast coast of the African continent, between 12°–26°S and 42°–51°E (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). In terms of annual precipitation, Madagascar experiences a signifcant spatial variability. The east coast is wet, whereas the west and southwest coast is generally drier. It is a country known to sufer particularly from climatic hazards. For instance, the great South of Madagascar is known as a region where famine and food crisis, especially the one from July 2019 to June 2021, is linked to low precipitation and droughts (Harrington et al. [2022](#page-10-19); Puri & Puri [2022](#page-11-10); Ralaingita et al. [2022\)](#page-11-11).

Madagascar has two distinct seasons, which are the rainy and humid summer from November to April and the dry and

Fig. 1 Location of the study area; (**a**) Geographic location of Madagascar in the African continent, (**b**) Topographic map of Madagascar

cold winter from May to October (Randriamarolaza et al. [2022;](#page-11-7) Randriatsara et al. [2022;](#page-11-3) Tanteliniaina et al. [2020](#page-11-12)). The island has fve types of climates according to the annual precipitation: the tropical rainforest climate (more than 2000 mm per year), the tropical monsoon climate (1500 to 2000 mm per year), the tropical savanna climate (1000 to 1500 mm per year), the semi-arid climate (600 to 1000 mm per year), and

the arid climate (less than 600 mm per year) (Tanteliniaina et al. [2020\)](#page-11-12). The average temperature also varies between the regions. It ranges between 14 and 22 °C in the central highlands and 23 to 27 °C in the coastal area (Randriamarolaza et al. [2022\)](#page-11-7). The country is reported to be highly vulnerable to the impact of climate change (Nematchoua et al. [2018](#page-11-13)). Yet, it is often overlooked by the global literature.

2.2 Data and method

This study assesses the performance of CMIP6 models and their ensemble mean (Ens_mean), a simple arithmetic mean of the individual models (Eyring et al. [2016\)](#page-10-1), in simulating extreme precipitation. More specifcally, the performance of CMIP6 models was evaluated against the data from the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP; Hufman et al. [2001](#page-10-16)) and the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 3B42 (TRMM) version 7 (Hufman et al. [2007,](#page-10-17) [2010\)](#page-10-18), for the period from 1998 to 2014. It is worth noting that a relatively short period of analysis does not afect the reliability and validity of the evaluation (Chen et al. [2021](#page-10-5)). The GPCP and TRMM have been successfully used by previous studies with relatively similar scope to the present research (Akinsanola et al. [2021](#page-9-0); Chen et al. [2021\)](#page-10-5). The historical daily precipitation from 37 CMIP6 models was downloaded from the Earth System Grid Federation's website. The list of the CMIP6 models assessed in this study is provided in the supplementary information Table S.1. The choice of the 37 climate models was based on the availability at the time of the analysis because this study only used the frst realization 'r1i1p1f1'.

The climate models, the GPCP, and the TRMM have different spatial resolutions (see Table S.1 for the spatial resolution of individual climate models). The GPCP data has a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ while the TRMM has a spatial resolution of $0.25^{\circ} \times 0.25^{\circ}$. Therefore, before the analysis, the simulated and observed data were re-gridded to a common spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ using the bilinear remapping technique.

2.3 Extreme precipitation indices

This study used six extreme precipitation indices defined by the Expert Team on Climate Change Detection and Indices (ETCCDI) as listed in Table [1.](#page-3-0) These non-parametric indices were calculated using the daily precipitation. They have been used by previous studies to

measure the performance of climate models in reproducing extreme precipitation in other African regions (Akinsanola et al. [2021;](#page-9-0) Ayugi et al. [2021;](#page-10-6) Faye & Akinsanola [2022](#page-10-7); Ongoma et al. [2018](#page-11-14)) and other regions around the world (Chen et al. [2021](#page-10-5); Jeferson de Medeiros et al. [2022](#page-10-20); Kim et al. [2020;](#page-10-21) Srivastava et al. [2020\)](#page-11-15). It is also worth mentioning that the analysis in this study focuses on the wet season, i.e., from November to April (NDJFMA season).

2.4 Evaluation metrics

Following the method used in Akinsanola et al. ([2021\)](#page-9-0); and Faye & Akinsanola, ([2022](#page-10-7)), three diferent evaluation metrics, namely Normalized Root Squared Mean Error (NRMSE), the percentage of bias (PBIAS), and the Pattern Correlation Score (PCC), were used to assess the performance of CMIP6 models in simulating extreme precipitation. By using various extreme precipitation indices and metrics, the methodology used in this study allows for an in-depth evaluation of the performance of CMIP6 models (Akinsanola et al. [2021](#page-9-0); Sillmann et al. [2013](#page-11-16)).

NRMSE =
$$
\frac{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)^2}}{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}
$$
 (1)

$$
PBIAS = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (M_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} O_i}
$$
 (2)

$$
PCC(M,O) = \frac{Cov(M,O)}{\sqrt{Var(M)Var(O)}}
$$
 (3)

where Cov is the covariance, Var is the variance, n represents the total number of observations, and M_i and O_i denote the model and observed data, respectively.

Extreme indices Full name Description Description SDII Simple daily intensity Annual total precipitation divided by the number of wet days mm/day CDD Consecutive dry days Annual maximum number of consecutive dry days (i.e., daily precipitation less than 1 mm) days RX5day Maximum 5-day precipitation Annual maximum of 5 days of precipitation amount mm R10mm Heavy precipitation days Annual number of days with precipitation more than 10 mm days R20mm Very heavy precipitation days Annual number of days with precipitation more than 20 mm days R99pTOT Extremely wet days The 99th percentile of precipitation intensity on wet days in the analyzed period mm

Table 1 List of the extreme precipitation indices used in the present study based on ETCCDI

3 Results

3.1 Climatology

The monthly precipitation over Madagascar based on the CMIP6 models and the two observed data, TRMM and GPCP, is reported in Fig. [2](#page-4-1). The results support the fndings from previous research and indicate that the wet season in Madagascar starts in November and ends in April, with January as the wettest month, while the dry season begins in May and ends in October, with August as the driest month. Precipitation from GPCP and TRMM displays little diference (the *p*-value from the Kruskal–Wallis test is higher than 0.05, thus the diference between the samples is not statistically signifcant). According to GPCP, the average monthly rainfall in Madagascar ranges from 19.69 mm/month to 342.78 mm/ month. Referring to TRMM, the average monthly rainfall in Madagascar is between 19.24 mm/month and 332.79 mm/ month. In addition, most CMIP6 models overestimate precipitation, especially during the dry months (MJJASO). A comparative analysis between the precipitation from the models and the reference data shows no signifcant diference. However, it is worth noting that IITM-ESM and CESM2 display low precipitation rates during the peak in January, with only 135.84 mm/month and 153.80 mm/month, respectively. BCC-ESM1 also shows the lowest precipitation rate during the dry month, with only 9.64 mm/month in August. On the contrary, INM-CM5-0 exhibits the highest precipitation peak in January, with 412.48 mm/month. Overall, the 37 models and Ens_mean fairly reproduced the annual precipitation cycle over Madagascar, suggesting a better reproducibility of annual rainfall in Madagascar by the new CMIP6. In line

Fig. 2 Annual cycle of precipitation from the 37 CMIP6 models, TRMM (black solid line), GPCP (brown solid line) and multi-model ensemble during 1998–2014 over the study area

with previous research, this indicates that CMIP6 models and their ensemble mean show better performance (Ayugi et al. [2021;](#page-10-6) Zamani et al. [2020](#page-11-17)).

3.2 Spatial analyses of precipitation extremes

The diference between the spatial distribution of the six extreme precipitation indices from individual CMIP6 models and the reference data is shown in Figure S1 to Figure S12 in the supplementary information. Figure [3](#page-5-0) displays the spatial distribution of the extreme precipitation indices

from the Ens_mean, TRMM, and GPCP. According to Fig. [3,](#page-5-0) the results from Ens mean relatively agree with the spatial distribution of the extreme precipitation indices from TRMM and GPCP. It should be noted that the magnitude of the extreme precipitation indices from the Ens_mean is closer to GPCP than to TRMM, which is also the case for the precipitation extremes in West and East Africa (Akinsanola et al. [2021;](#page-9-0) Faye & Akinsanola [2022\)](#page-10-7). Overall, the results show that the Ens-mean tends to slightly underestimate the extreme precipitation in Madagascar (Figure S1 to Figure S12).

Fig. 3 Spatial distribution for the NDJFMA season of the CDD, the R10mm, R20mm, SDII, R99P, and RX5DAY (from left to right) in Madagascar, for the period of 1998 to 2014. Maps in the frst row

show the ensemble mean, those in the second row are the TRMM, and maps in the last row are from the GPCP

The results indicate that against the two reference data, the majority of CMIP6 models underestimate the CDD (Figure S1 and S2) for the entire country, while some models slightly overestimate CDD (e.g., AWI-ESM-1–1-LR, CESM2, FGOALS-f3-L, NorCMP1, NorESM-LM) in the West and North parts of the study area. Only IIT-ESM exhibits a noticeable positive bias in the South. This overall underestimation of CDD is consistent within the African region as reported by previous research (Ayugi et al. [2021](#page-10-6); Ogega et al. [2020](#page-11-18)). Regarding R10MM (Figure S3 and S4), the results from individual models show signifcant spatial heterogeneity. Models like CESM2, IIT-ESM, and KIOST-ESM show large underestimation $(> -35 \text{ days})$ of R10MM over the whole country, while models like IPSL-CM6-LR and IPSL-CM6A-LR-INCA exhibit signifcant overestimation $(>+40 \text{ days})$, especially in the central highlands. This result is similar to the fndings of Faye & Akinsanola, [\(2022\)](#page-10-7) in West Africa, which suggests that CMIP6 models overestimate the R10MM in the mountain regions (central highland in Madagascar). In terms of R20MM (Figure S4 and S5), SDII (Figure S7 and S8), and R99P (Figure S11 and S12) apart from FGOALS-f3-L with a noticeable overestimation of R20MM and R99P in the southwest part, the CMIP6 models underestimate these 3 extreme precipitation indices over the entire study area. In terms of RX5day (Figure S9 and S10) in particular, individual models show noticeable spatial disagreement. Models like AWI-ESM1-1-LR, CESM2, IIT-ESM, IPSL-CM5A2-INCA, IPSL-CM5A-LR, MPI-ESM-1–1-HAM, MPI-ESM1-2-HR, and MPI-ESM1- 2-LR present negative bias for the entire country. On the other hand, models like BCC-CSM2-HR, BCC-ESM1, FGOALS-f3-L, and FGOALS-g3 show a large positive bias (more than $+175$ mm) mainly in the central highlands and northern part of Madagascar. Moreover, the results indicate that some models have spatial distribution similarity because they were developed by the same institution (e.g., models from the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology) (Akinsanola et al. [2021](#page-9-0)).

Overall, the results of this paper are in line with previous studies conducted in the African continent. For instance, Ayugi et al. [\(2021\)](#page-10-6) conducted research in East Africa and found that GCMs from CMIP6 tend to underestimate CDD and R20MM. According to Chen et al. ([2020](#page-10-22)), the prominent underestimation of CDD with GCMs can be attributed to the increased resolution of CMIP6, which leads to more precipitation that is often simulated at a much fner scale by high-resolution models.

3.3 Model performance

The capability of the CMIP6 models to realistically simulate extreme precipitation indices during the wet season in Madagascar is further assessed using metrics, namely

PBIAS, NRMSE, and PCC, as displayed in the portrait diagram in Fig. [4](#page-7-1) and Fig. [5.](#page-8-0) Although the results discussed in this part focus on the average metrics for the entire study area, the spatial distribution of PBIAS and NRMSE is provided in the supplementary information (Figure S13 to Figure S24). A portrait diagram is a valuable tool used to individually assess the performance of models (Akinsanola et al. [2020](#page-9-1)). According to Fig. [4a](#page-7-1) and Fig. [5](#page-8-0)a, most CMIP6 models underestimate (blue color) CDD, R20MM, SDII, and R99P with PBIAS<0. For CDD, only CESM2 exhibits a positive bias of 38.76% and 58.00% relative to TRMM and GPCP, respectively. For CDD against TRMM, the model with the lowest PBIAS is IITM-ESM with PBIAS -2.79%, and relative to GPCP, the best performing model for CDD is MPI-ESM1-2-LR with a PBIAS of−0.61%. However, the largest PBIAS is attributed to INM-CM4-8. IITM-ESM has the largest negative bias for R20MM, SDII, and RX5DAY relative to TRMM and R10MM, R20MM, and SDII relative to GPCP. On the contrary, models like CESM-FV2, FGOALS-f3-L, CanESM5, ACCESS-ESM1-5, NESM3, and MRI-ESM2-0 exhibit low bias. Exceptionally, against TRMM, EC-Earth3 shows the largest bias for R10MM but also has the best performance in simulating SDII. This indicates that the performance of the model can contrast depending on the extreme precipitation indices. Looking at the PBIAS, despite not being the best-performing model, in terms of overall performance (i.e., considering all extreme precipitation indices), Ens_mean outperforms most of the individual models.

To complement the evaluation conducted using PBIAS, NRMSE was used as it is less infuenced by spatially compensating errors (Akinsanola et al. [2021\)](#page-9-0). NRMSE of the 37 models and their ensemble mean is displayed in Fig. [4](#page-7-1)b and Fig. [5b](#page-8-0). In general, a lower NRMSE value (i.e., NRMSE=0) indicates less residual variance for a model, which translates to better model performance. Consistent with previous studies (Akinsanola et al. [2021;](#page-9-0) Ayugi et al. [2021](#page-10-6)), results show that Ens_mean outperforms other models in the simulation of CDD, R10MM, RX5DAY, and R99P relative to both TRMM and GPCP, and in R20MM against TRMM. For the SDII in particular, EC-Earth3 shows a good model performance with a $NRMSE_{SDH}$ of 0.29 against TRMM and $NRMSE_{SDH}$ of 0.25 against GPCP. However, in line with the results from PBIAS, IITM-ESM has high NRMSE for R10MM, R20MM, and SDII. Other models like CESM2, BCC-CSM2-MR, and FGOALS-f3-L also have high NRMSE for CDD, RX5DAY, and R99P, respectively. Generally, the results show that most CMIP6 models have difficulty simulating R99P with an $NRMSE_{R99P}$ particularly high (more than 0.75).

The spatial correlation (PCC) is displayed in Error! Reference source not found.Fig. [4](#page-7-1)c and Fig. [5](#page-8-0)c. It shows that the PCC strongly varies according to the climate models.

Fig. 4 Portrait diagram showing the PBIAS between the climate models and TRMM during 1998–2014

However, the results suggest that most CMIP6 models have relatively good values of PCC more than 0.5 for CDD, R10MM, R20MM, and R99P. In line with previous fndings of Ayugi et al. ([2021](#page-10-6)) conducted in East Africa, the results indicate that reproducing the spatial pattern of SDII and RX5DAY remains a challenge for models from CMIP6. Furthermore, the results show that CMIP6 models have a higher spatial correlation with TRMM than with GPCP. This indicates that although the magnitudes of extreme precipitation are closer to GPCP, the spatial patterns of extreme precipitation from CMIP6 models are more similar to TRMM. By focusing on the PCC against TRMM, the best PCC score is attributed to KACE-1–0-G (CDD), EC-Earth3 (R10MM), BCC-ESM1 (R20MM and SDII), MIROC6 (RX5DAY), GFDL-ESM4 (R99P). Against GPCP, the best PCC score is associated with ACCESS-ESM1-5 (CDD), CESM-WACCM (R20MM and SDII), CanESM5 (RX5DAY), and BCC-ESM1 (R99P). Similar to the two previous metrics, PCC shows that Ens_mean fairly reproduced the pattern of extreme precipitation from the two reference data and outperformed most of the individual models. This is due to the reduction of the systematic bias in individual models (Ayugi et al. [2021](#page-10-6); Faye & Akinsanola [2022\)](#page-10-7).

4 Discussion

This study conducted a performance evaluation of CMIP6 models in simulating extreme precipitation in Madagascar by focusing on the wet season (NDJFMA season). Therefore, six extreme precipitation indices calculated from CMIP6 models were compared against two gridded precipitation data (GPCP and TRMM) using PBIAS, NRMSE, and PCC.

The results showed that despite the improved parametrization, enhanced spatial resolution, and physical processes with the integration of the biogeochemical cycles in CMIP6 (Eyring et al. [2016\)](#page-10-1), individual models still have persistent bias and have difficulty with the simulation of extreme precipitation at the local scale. In line with previous research, the results highlight the bias that CMIP6 models exhibit when simulating extreme precipitation in the tropics, arid, and semi-arid regions (Abdelmoaty et al. [2021](#page-9-2)). This modest performance of most CMIP6 models can be associated with the model parametrization in complex regions like Madagascar. The mesoscale features at the local scale, such as topography, vegetation cover, or coastline cause important regional heterogeneity and affect the performance of individual

Fig. 5 Same as Fig. 4 but for GPCP

models (Nikulin et al. [2012\)](#page-11-19). In particular, the topography was reported to signifcantly infuence the ability of models to replicate local precipitation (Shiru & Chung [2021](#page-11-20)). In 2018, Rondrotiana Barimalala et al. ([2018](#page-10-23)) suggested that a misrepresentation of the topography could contribute to the bias in precipitation in climate models over the southern Africa region. Moreover, the robust trade winds in the Indian Ocean also afect the ability of models to capture the air-sea interaction, hence afecting the accuracy of extreme precipitation simulation (Randriatsara et al. [2023](#page-11-21)).

Previous studies reported that as a result of the cancellation of systematic errors in the individual models, ensemble mean performance should outperform individual models (Ayugi et al. [2021](#page-10-6); Faye & Akinsanola [2022](#page-10-7)). Similar to the fndings of previous study, the results show that overall, the multi-model mean has better performance compared to most of the individual models. Nevertheless, based on the overall performance, not one model stands out as the best for Madagascar in the simulation of extreme precipitation which is also the case for Brazil (Jeferson de Medeiros et al. [2022](#page-10-20)). This enhances the necessity of using a multi-model mean which reduces the systematic bias from individual models (Akinsanola & Zhou [2019\)](#page-9-3). In addition, the overall performance of CMIP6 in simulating extreme precipitation reported in this study calls for signifcant improvement of individual models in the representation of complex features at the local scale. The results of this study highlight the need to constantly improve CMIP6 performance, especially at smaller and regional scales.

The important impacts of extreme precipitation in Madagascar is worth mentioning. Extreme events endanger the endemic fauna and fora of Madagascar (Dunham et al. [2011](#page-10-24)). The literature has shown that extreme precipitation continuously threatens the biodiversity and environmental systems of the country (Randriamarolaza et al. [2022](#page-11-7)). The change in rainfall patterns induced a dietary shift of lemurs as a response to environmental stress and the alteration of Coral reef Communities in Southwest Madagascar (Carter et al. [2022\)](#page-10-25). Besides the negative efect of extreme events on natural resources, precipitation variation has also severe effects on the socioeconomic life of the island. At the regional level, a study investigating the efect of tropical storms and cyclones showed that populations in Mozambique, Malawi, and Madagascar were extremely afected by foods. In addition, consecutive tropical storms increase the vulnerability of the population which fails to recover before another hits (Geris et al. [2022\)](#page-10-26). Extreme precipitation also harms fshing activities by decreasing available fshing hours

thus, fsh capture and income (Farquhar et al. [2022\)](#page-10-27). Finally, precipitation extremes are expected to affect the hydrological system and hydropower generation (Obahoundje & Diedhiou [2022](#page-11-22)).

Although the results and fndings from this study are relevant to the scientifc community, the main limitation remains in the use of gridded reference data due to the lack of locally observed datasets. In addition, while the metrics used in this study are good indicators of model performance, according to Srivastava et al. [\(2020\)](#page-11-15), given the models' complexity, using several metrics is advised. Nevertheless, this study is the frst to study the performance of CMIP6 in simulating extreme precipitation in Madagascar, hence provides the basis for the analysis of future extreme precipitation in a tropical island like the study area. In addition, it ofers scientifc evidence for choosing appropriate GCMs for the projections of future climate extremes and gives the necessary information for model developers to identify subregions and processes. With the advancement of computing power, it is now possible to use the High-Resolution Model Intercomparison Project (HighResMIP) for local study (Randriatsara et al. [2023](#page-11-21)). Therefore, future research are encouraged to conduct a comprehensive analysis of the performance of CMIP6 HighResMIP in the simulation of extreme events at a local scale.

5 Conclusions

This study evaluated the performance of 37 CMIP6 models against two gridded observation datasets, namely TRMM and GPCP in simulating extreme precipitation in Madagascar. Six extreme precipitation indices were chosen among the ones recommended by ETCCDI. The fndings from this study can be summarized as follows:

- (1) CMIP6 models were able to fairly reproduce the annual climatology in Madagascar. IITM-ESM and CESM2 display low precipitation rates during the peak in January. BCC-ESM1 also exhibits the lowest precipitation rate during the dry month in August. On the contrary, INM-CM5-0 displays the highest rainfall peak in January. The precipitation from GPCP and TRMM are strongly similar.
- (2) Models from CMIP6 tend to underestimate extreme precipitation indices such as CDD, R20MM, SDII, and R99P. In addition, SDII and RX5DAY remain challenging for most of the models from CMIP6. Overall, because of the reduction of systematic bias, the performance of the Ens_mean outperforms most of the individual models. Therefore, policymakers are recommended to use a multi-model ensemble as the basis for their analysis, policies, and strategies.

(3) Based on the evaluation metrics, extreme precipitation indices used in this study, and the overall performance, not one model stands out as the best. More specifcally, the model performance varies with the reference data, the extreme precipitation indices, and the performance metrics. Most CMIP6 models still have signifcant bias. Nevertheless, based on the evaluation metrics, satisfactorily performing GCMs include MPI-ESM1-LR and IIT-ESM for CDD, CanESM5 and CESM-FV2 for R10MM, NESM3 and NorESM2-MM for R20MM, EC-Earth3 for SDII, ACCESS-ESM1-5 and CESM-FV2 for RX5DAY, and CESM2 and FGOAL-f3-L for R99P.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-024-04868-z>.

Acknowledgements The authors acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme, which, through its Working Group on Coupled Modelling, coordinated and promoted CMIP6. We thank the climate modeling groups for producing and making available their model output, the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) for archiving the data and providing access, and the multiple funding agencies who support CMIP6 and ESGF.

Author contribution All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Conceptualization, data collection and analysis, formal analysis, data curation, and writing of original draft were performed by Mirindra Finaritra Rabezanahary Tanteliniaina. Supervision and Conceptualization were performed by Zhai Jun. Writing, review and editing were performed by Mihasina Harinaivo Andrianarimanana. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Data availability The datasets used in the current study are available at the Earth System Grid Federation (ESGF) at [https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/](https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/) [search/cmip6/.](https://esgf-node.llnl.gov/search/cmip6/) Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission 3B42 (TRMM) can be accessed at <https://rb.gy/agn5mh>and Global Precipitation Climatology Project is available at <https://rb.gy/uvr2vx>.

Declarations

Competing interest The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Abdelmoaty HM, Papalexiou SM, Rajulapati CR, AghaKouchak A (2021) Biases beyond the mean in CMIP6 extreme precipitation: a global investigation. Earth's Future 9(10):e2021EF002196. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002196) doi.org/10.1029/2021EF002196
- Akinsanola A, Zhou W (2019) Projections of West African summer monsoon rainfall extremes from two CORDEX models. Clim Dyn 52(3–4):2017–2028.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4238-8>
- Akinsanola A, Kooperman GJ, Pendergrass AG, Hannah WM, Reed KA (2020) Seasonal representation of extreme precipitation indices over the United States in CMIP6 present-day simulations. Environ Res Lett 15(9):094003. [https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab92c1) [9326/ab92c1](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab92c1)
- Akinsanola A, Ongoma V, Kooperman GJ (2021) Evaluation of CMIP6 models in simulating the statistics of extreme precipitation over

Eastern Africa. Atmos Res 254:105509. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105509) [atmosres.2021.105509](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2021.105509)

- Alexander LV, Arblaster JM (2017) Historical and projected trends in temperature and precipitation extremes in Australia in observations and CMIP5. Weather and Climate Extremes 15:34–56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2017.02.001>
- Almazroui M, Saeed F, Saeed S, Nazrul Islam M, Ismail M, Klutse NAB, Siddiqui MH (2020) Projected change in temperature and precipitation over Africa from CMIP6. Earth Systems and Environment 4(3):455–475. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41748-020-00161-x) [s41748-020-00161-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s41748-020-00161-x)
- Antonelli A, Smith RJ, Perrigo AL, Crottini A, Hackel J, Testo W, Farooq H, Torres Jiménez MF, Andela N, Andermann T, Andriamanohera AM, Andriambololonera S, Bachman SP, Bacon CD, Baker WJ, Belluardo F, Birkinshaw C, Borrell JS, Cable S, … Ralimanana H (2022) Madagascar's extraordinary biodiversity: evolution, distribution, and use. Science 378(6623). [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf0869) [org/10.1126/science.abf0869](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abf0869)
- Ayugi B, Zhihong J, Zhu H, Ngoma H, Babaousmail H, Rizwan K, Dike V (2021) Comparison of CMIP6 and CMIP5 models in simulating mean and extreme precipitation over East Africa. Int J Climatol 41(15):6474–6496. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7207>
- Ayugi B, Shilenje ZW, Babaousmail H, Lim Kam Sian KT, Mumo R, Dike VN, Iyakaremye V, Chehbouni A, Ongoma V (2022) Projected changes in meteorological drought over East Africa inferred from bias-adjusted CMIP6 models. Nat Hazards 113(2):1151– 1176.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-022-05341-8>
- Barimalala R, Raholijao N, Pokam W, Reason CJC (2021) Potential impacts of 15 °C, 2 °C global warming levels on temperature and rainfall over Madagascar. Environ Res Lett 16(4):044019. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abeb34) doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abeb34
- Barimalala R, Desbiolles F, Blamey RC, Reason C (2018) Madagascar Infuence on the South Indian Ocean Convergence Zone, the Mozambique Channel Trough and Southern African Rainfall. Geophys Res Lett 45(20):11380–11389. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079964) [2018GL079964](https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GL079964)
- Carter AL, Gilchrist H, Dexter KG, Gardner CJ, Gough C, Roclife S, Wilson AMW (2022) Cyclone impacts on coral reef communities in Southwest Madagascar. Front Mar Sci 9:753325. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.753325) [org/10.3389/fmars.2022.753325](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.753325)
- Chen H, Sun J, Lin W, Xu H (2020) Comparison of CMIP6 and CMIP5 models in simulating climate extremes. Science Bulletin 65(17):1415–1418.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scib.2020.05.015>
- Chen CA, Hsu H-H, Liang H-C (2021) Evaluation and comparison of CMIP6 and CMIP5 model performance in simulating the seasonal extreme precipitation in the Western North Pacifc and East Asia. Weather and Climate Extremes 31:100303. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100303) [1016/j.wace.2021.100303](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100303)
- Culbertson KA, Treuer TLH, Mondragon-Botero A, Ramiadantsoa T, Reid JL (2022) The eco-evolutionary history of Madagascar presents unique challenges to tropical forest restoration. Biotropica 54(4):1081–1102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/btp.13124>
- Dunham AE, Erhart EM, Wright PC (2011) Global climate cycles and cyclones: consequences for rainfall patterns and lemur reproduction in southeastern Madagascar. Glob Chang Biol 17(1):219–227. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02205.x>
- Eyring V, Bony S, Meehl GA, Senior CA, Stevens B, Stoufer RJ, Taylor KE (2016) Overview of the coupled model intercomparison project phase 6 (CMIP6) experimental design and organization. Geoscientifc Model Development 9(5):1937–1958. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016) [org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016](https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-9-1937-2016)
- Farquhar S, Nirindrainy AF, Heck N, Saldarriaga MG, Xu Y (2022) The impacts of long-term changes in weather on small-scale fshers' available fshing hours in Nosy Barren, Madagascar. Front Mar Sci 9:841048.<https://doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2022.841048>
- Faye A, Akinsanola AA (2022) Evaluation of extreme precipitation indices over West Africa in CMIP6 models. Clim Dyn 58(3– 4):925–939.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-021-05942-2>
- Geris J, Comte J-C, Franchi F, Petros AK, Tirivarombo S, Selepeng AT, Villholth KG (2022) Surface water-groundwater interactions and local land use control water quality impacts of extreme rainfall and fooding in a vulnerable semi-arid region of Sub-Saharan Africa. J Hydrol 609:127834. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127834) [2022.127834](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.127834)
- Gimeno L, Sorí R, Vázquez M, Stojanovic M, Algarra I, Eiras‐Barca J, Gimeno‐Sotelo L, Nieto R (2022) Extreme precipitation events. WIREs Water 9(6):e1611. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1611>
- Harrington LJ, Wolski P, Pinto I, Ramarosandratana AM, Barimalala R, Vautard R, Philip S, Kew S, Singh R, Heinrich D, Arrighi J, Raju E, Thalheimer L, Razanakoto T, van Aalst M, Li S, Bonnet R, Yang W, Otto FEL, van Oldenborgh GJ (2022) Limited role of climate change in extreme low rainfall associated with southern Madagascar food insecurity, 2019–21. Environmental Res: Climate 1(2):021003.<https://doi.org/10.1088/2752-5295/aca695>
- Hufman GJ, Adler RF, Morrissey MM, Bolvin DT, Curtis S, Joyce R, McGavock B, Susskind J (2001) Global precipitation at onedegree daily resolution from multisatellite observations. J Hydrometeorol 2(1):36–50. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541\(2001\)](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2001)002%3c0036:GPAODD%3e2.0.CO;2) [002%3c0036:GPAODD%3e2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1525-7541(2001)002%3c0036:GPAODD%3e2.0.CO;2)
- Hufman GJ, Bolvin DT, Nelkin EJ, Wolf DB, Adler RF, Gu G, Hong Y, Bowman KP, Stocker EF (2007) The TRMM multisatellite precipitation analysis (TMPA): quasi-global, multiyear, combinedsensor precipitation estimates at fne scales. J Hydrometeorol 8(1):38–55. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM560.1>
- Hufman GJ, Adler RF, Bolvin DT, Nelkin EJ (2010) The TRMM multi-satellite precipitation analysis (TMPA)(Chapter 1). In: Hossain F, and Gebremichael M (eds) Satellite rainfall applications for surface hydrology, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 3–22. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2915-7_1) [org/10.1007/978-90-481-2915-7_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-90-481-2915-7_1)
- Jeferson de Medeiros F, Prestrelo de Oliveira C, Avila-Diaz A (2022) Evaluation of extreme precipitation climate indices and their projected changes for Brazil: from CMIP3 to CMIP6. Weather Climate Extremes 38:100511.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2022.100511>
- Kim J, Ivanov VY, Fatichi S (2016) Climate change and uncertainty assessment over a hydroclimatic transect of Michigan. Stoch Env Res Risk Assess 30(3):923–944. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-015-1097-2) [s00477-015-1097-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00477-015-1097-2)
- Kim Y-H, Min S-K, Zhang X, Sillmann J, Sandstad M (2020) Evaluation of the CMIP6 multi-model ensemble for climate extreme indices. Weather and Climate Extremes 29:100269. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100269) [org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100269](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100269)
- Lim Kam Sian KT, Wang J, Ayugi BO, Nooni IK, Ongoma V (2021) Multi-decadal variability and future changes in precipitation over Southern Africa. Atmosphere 12(6):742. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12060742) [atmos12060742](https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12060742)
- Lim Kam Sian LT, Hagan DF, Ayugi BO, Nooni IK, Ullah W, Babaousmail H, Ongoma V (2022) Projections of precipitation extremes based on bias-corrected coupled model intercomparison project phase 6 models ensemble over southern Africa. Int J Climatol 42(16):8269–8289.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7707>
- Majdi F, Hosseini SA, Karbalaee A, Kaseri M, Marjanian S (2022) Future projection of precipitation and temperature changes in the Middle East and North Africa (MENA) region based on CMIP6. Theoret Appl Climatol 147(3–4):1249–1262. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-021-03916-2) [1007/s00704-021-03916-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-021-03916-2)
- Moradian S, Torabi Haghighi A, Asadi M, Mirbagheri SA (2023) Future changes in precipitation over Northern Europe based on a multi-model ensemble from CMIP6: focus on Tana River Basin. Water Resour Manage 37(6–7):2447–2463. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-022-03272-4) [1007/s11269-022-03272-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11269-022-03272-4)
- Mukherjee S, Aadhar S, Stone D, Mishra V (2018) Increase in extreme precipitation events under anthropogenic warming in India. Weather and Climate Extremes 20:45–53. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.03.005) [1016/j.wace.2018.03.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.03.005)
- Nematchoua MK, Ricciardi P, Orosa JA, Buratti C (2018) A detailed study of climate change and some vulnerabilities in Indian Ocean: a case of Madagascar island. Sustain Cities Soc 41:886– 898.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scs.2018.05.040>
- Nikulin G, Jones C, Giorgi F, Asrar G, Büchner M, Cerezo-Mota R, Christensen OB, Déqué M, Fernandez J, Hänsler A, van Meijgaard E, Samuelsson P, Sylla MB, Sushama L (2012) Precipitation climatology in an ensemble of CORDEX-Africa regional climate simulations. J Clim 25(18):6057–6078. [https://doi.org/10.1175/](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00375.1) [JCLI-D-11-00375.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00375.1)
- Obahoundje S, Diedhiou A (2022) Potential impacts of climate, land use and land cover changes on hydropower generation in West Africa: a review. Environ Res Lett 17(4):043005. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac5b3b) [org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac5b3b](https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ac5b3b)
- Ogega OM, Koske J, Kung'u JB, Scoccimarro E, Endris HS, Mistry MN (2020) Heavy precipitation events over East Africa in a changing climate: results from CORDEX RCMs. Clim Dyn 55(3–4):993–1009.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-020-05309-z>
- Ongoma V, Chen H, Gao C, Nyongesa AM, Polong F (2018) Future changes in climate extremes over Equatorial East Africa based on CMIP5 multimodel ensemble. Nat Hazards 90(2):901–920. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-017-3079-9>
- Puri P, Puri V (2022) The "First climate change famine" from 2017– 2022?- An analysis of the economics and geography of great SUD drought of Madagascar (1901–2021). ACADEMICIA: An Int Multidisciplinary Res J 12(3):100–113. [https://doi.org/10.5958/](https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-7137.2022.00184.7) [2249-7137.2022.00184.7](https://doi.org/10.5958/2249-7137.2022.00184.7)
- Rabezanahary Tanteliniaina MF, Andrianarimanana MH (2023) Projection of future drought characteristics in the Great South of Madagascar using CMIP6 and bias-correction spatial disaggregation method. Theoret Appl Climatol. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-023-04727-3) [s00704-023-04727-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-023-04727-3)
- Ralaingita MI, Ennis G, Russell-Smith J, Sangha K, Razanakoto T (2022) The Kere of Madagascar: a qualitative exploration of community experiences and perspectives. Ecol Soc 27(1):42. [https://](https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-12975-270142) doi.org/10.5751/ES-12975-270142
- Ralimanana H, Perrigo AL, Smith RJ, Borrell JS, Faurby S, Rajaonah MT, Randriamboavonjy T, Vorontsova MS, Cooke RSC, Phelps LN, Sayol F, Andela N, Andermann T, Andriamanohera AM, Andriambololonera S, Bachman SP, Bacon CD, Baker WJ, Belluardo F., … Antonelli A (2022) Madagascar's extraordinary biodiversity: threats and opportunities. Science 378(6623).<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.adf1466>
- Rama Rao CA, Raju BMK, Samuel J, Ravindra Chary G (2022) Risk management in rainfed agriculture in India. In Climate change adaptations in dryland agriculture in semi-arid areas. Springer Nature, Singapore, pp 351–365. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7861-5_26) [16-7861-5_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-7861-5_26)
- Randriamarolaza LYA, Aguilar E, Skrynyk O, Vicente-Serrano SM, Domínguez-Castro F (2022) Indices for daily temperature and precipitation in Madagascar, based on quality-controlled and homogenized data, 1950–2018. Int J Climatol 42(1):265–288. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.7243>
- Randriamparany ST, Randrianalijaona TM (2022) The vulnerability of Antandroy women to droughts in Ambovombe Androy (Madagascar). Int J Disaster Risk Reduct 72:102821. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.102821) [1016/j.ijdrr.2022.102821](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2022.102821)
- Randriatsara HH-RH, Hu Z, Ayugi B, Makula EK, Vuguziga F, Nkunzimana A (2022) Interannual characteristics of rainfall over Madagascar and its relationship with the Indian Ocean sea surface temperature variation. Theoret Appl Climatol 148(1–2):349–362. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-022-03950-8>
- Randriatsara HHH, Hu Z, Xu X, Ayugi B, Sian KTCLK, Mumo R, Ongoma V, Holtanova E (2023) Performance evaluation of CMIP6 HighResMIP models in simulating precipitation over Madagascar. Int J Climatol 43(12):5401–5421. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8153) [10.1002/joc.8153](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.8153)
- Shiru MS, Chung E-S (2021) Performance evaluation of CMIP6 global climate models for selecting models for climate projection over Nigeria. Theoret Appl Climatol 146(1–2):599–615. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-021-03746-2) [org/10.1007/s00704-021-03746-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-021-03746-2)
- Sillmann J, Kharin VV, Zhang X, Zwiers FW, Bronaugh D (2013) Climate extremes indices in the CMIP5 multimodel ensemble: Part 1 Model evaluation in the present climate. J Geophysical Res: Atmospheres 118(4):1716–1733
- Srivastava A, Grotjahn R, Ullrich PA (2020) Evaluation of historical CMIP6 model simulations of extreme precipitation over contiguous US regions. Weather and Climate Extremes 29:100268. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2020.100268>
- Stouffer RJ, Eyring V, Meehl GA, Bony S, Senior C, Stevens B, Taylor KE (2017) CMIP5 scientifc gaps and recommendations for CMIP6. Bull Am Meteor Soc 98(1):95–105. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00013.1) [1175/BAMS-D-15-00013.1](https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-15-00013.1)
- Tabari H (2020) Climate change impact on flood and extreme precipitation increases with water availability. Sci Rep 10(1):13768. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70816-2) doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-70816-2
- Tanteliniaina MFR, Chen J, Adyel TM, Zhai J (2020) Elevation dependence of the impact of global warming on rainfall variations in a tropical island. Water 12(12):3582. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123582) [3390/w12123582](https://doi.org/10.3390/w12123582)
- Xin X, Wu T, Zhang J, Yao J, Fang Y (2020) Comparison of CMIP6 and CMIP5 simulations of precipitation in China and the East Asian summer monsoon. Int J Climatol 40(15):6423–6440. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.6590>
- Zamani Y, HashemiMonfared SA, AzhdariMoghaddam M, Hamidianpour M (2020) A comparison of CMIP6 and CMIP5 projections for precipitation to observational data: the case of Northeastern Iran. Theoret Appl Climatol 142(3–4):1613–1623. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03406-x) [10.1007/s00704-020-03406-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03406-x)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.