RESEARCH

Trends and variability in precipitation across Turkey: a multimethod statistical analysis

Ali Kaan Yetik1 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1372-8407) Bilge Arslan2 [·](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-5550-2452) Burak Şen[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8105-1106)

Received: 14 April 2023 / Accepted: 5 September 2023 / Published online: 18 September 2023 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2023

Abstract

Analyzing trends in precipitation data is crucial for understanding the efects of climate change and making informed decisions about water management and crop patterns. The objective of the presented study was to investigate precipitation trends, analyze temporal and spatial variations and identify potential change points in Turkey throughout the period from 1980 to 2019. Precipitation data were analyzed for both regional and 81 meteorological stations in Turkey on a monthly, seasonal, and annual basis. Spearman rank correlation and Mann–Kendall tests were utilized to detect possible trends and Sen's slope test to estimate the magnitude of change throughout the entire time series. The average precipitation amount of Turkey was determined 639.2 mm between the years 1980 and 2019. While Central Anatolian and Eastern Anatolian regions had below 639.2 mm, other regions were above. The range of seasonal precipitation values were found for winter 128.7–320.8 mm, 108.9–260.0 mm for spring, 43.9–109.3 mm for summer, and 79.7–238.4 mm for autumn. The analysis of the data revealed no signifcant increase or decrease in annual values on a regional basis, with the greatest change on a seasonal basis being observed in the winter. The 40-year trends of annual precipitation data belonging to 81 stations were decreasing in 23 provinces and increasing in 58 provinces, and 11 of them (14% of the total) were found to be statistically signifcant. Moreover, November was found to be a month of particular signifcance in terms of precipitation changes across the country, with a decrease observed in 80 out of 81 provinces. Spatial distribution analysis showed that the magnitude of variation in precipitation decreased as one moved from the southern to the northern regions of the country.

1 Introduction

Meteorological parameters such as precipitation, wind speed, humidity, temperature, vapor pressure, and cloudiness can difer in a variety of ways depending on the region or the period. Climate change can be induced by alterations in atmospheric composition or land use attributed to human activities (Türkeş [2012](#page-14-0)) and has been cited as the primary reason for these diferences in recent years (Leng et al. [2015](#page-13-0); Tye et al. [2019](#page-15-0); Danandeh Mehr et al. [2020;](#page-13-1) Radha et al. [2023\)](#page-14-1). Precipitation is a variable that may be analyzed to get a sense of the consequences of climate change and to conduct studies on how to adapt to these changes. Climate

² Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agriculture, Bursa Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey change is causing the global hydrological cycle to accelerate and precipitation values to change due to higher evaporation rates and increased water vapor in the atmosphere from rising temperatures (Yang and Liu [2011](#page-15-1)). Examining the hydroclimatic variations and trends with the precipitation values yields both theoretical and practical benefts for managing agricultural and water resources.

One of the most signifcant resources on Earth and a fundamental human necessity is water. Any considerable variation in water distribution will have an impact on the local or national economy, accordingly on hydrologic functions. Precipitation afects agricultural productivity at the points of the hydrological cycle, and the food supply chain is heavily reliant on available water amount (Chandniha et al. [2017](#page-13-2); Panda and Sahu [2019](#page-14-2)). The types of crops that are able to grow in various parts of the world are largely infuenced by the frequency and variability of precipitation (Panda et al. [2019](#page-14-3); Sahu et al. [2020\)](#page-14-4). The crop suffers significant yield losses as a result of the precipitation not intensifying throughout the sowing, emerging, and development stages but instead falling as heavy showers at unforeseen times (Meshram et al.

 \boxtimes Ali Kaan Yetik alikaanyetik@ohu.edu.tr

¹ Department of Biosystems Engineering, Faculty of Agricultural Sciences and Technologies, Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University, Niğde, Turkey

[2017\)](#page-14-5). Understanding the past and recent distribution of precipitation came into prominence due to its efects on agricultural activities and indirectly on the national economy. Trend detection of long-term precipitation is crucial to understand the efects of climate changes on the availability of water, along with the danger of increasing occurrences of droughts and foods (Karpouzos et al. [2010](#page-13-3); Pal et al. [2017\)](#page-14-6).

The study of the time-dependent variation of precipitation worldwide is of increasing interest. Examining precipitation trends provides valuable information about accurate water resource assessment, drought-food control, and efective water management (Huang et al. [2013](#page-13-4)). The long-term precipitation data can be used to create government strategies to minimize the negative efects of climate change, particularly in the most impacted regions. Due to its signifcance, numerous studies have been conducted to investigate the variability and trends of precipitation across the world (Mohapl [2001](#page-14-7); Feidas et al. [2007;](#page-13-5) Taschetto and England [2009;](#page-14-8) Mekis and Vincent [2011](#page-14-9); Huang et al. [2013](#page-13-4); Ali and Ahmad [2015](#page-13-6); Beyene [2015](#page-13-7); He and Gautam [2016;](#page-13-8) Türkeş [2019;](#page-14-10) et al. 2017; Gadedjisso-Tossou et al. [2021](#page-13-9); Garg et al. [2022](#page-13-10); Pawar and Rathnayake [2022\)](#page-14-11). The increasing temperatures inside the intricate climatic system of Turkey make it one of the countries most afected by climate change. Drought impacts are expected to increase in the future, in particular for developing countries in the southern and eastern parts of the Mediterranean (Tramblay et al. [2020\)](#page-14-12). Given that Turkey is geographically bordered by seas on three sides and has a dispersed topography, diferent regions will be impacted by climate change in diferent ways and to varying degrees (Türkeş [1998](#page-14-13)). Precipitation that is distributed unevenly is one of the primary issues Turkey's water resources management faces. The precipitation climatology of Turkey, along with the long-term variability, trends, and alterations in precipitation series, has been extensively examined in prior research conducted by Türkeş [\(1996,](#page-14-14) [1998,](#page-14-13) [1999,](#page-14-15) [2003\)](#page-14-16), Türkeş and Erlat ([2003,](#page-14-16) [2005,](#page-14-17) [2006](#page-14-18)), Türkeş and Tatlı [\(2009,](#page-15-2) [2011\)](#page-15-3), and Türkeş et al. ([2002](#page-15-4), [2009](#page-15-2), [2020\)](#page-15-5). Türkeş [\(1996\)](#page-14-14) stated that the average precipitation anomalies tend to change according to the regime regions. It is essential to analyze precipitation data from areas like Turkey in order to comprehend how various regimes have distinct consequences. In previous studies conducted in Turkey, Partal and Kahya [\(2006](#page-14-19)) used a framework approach to detect precipitation trends and discovered some important trends in Turkey, and Bacanli ([2017\)](#page-13-11) compared the precipitation and drought trend analyses and reported parallel results. Türkeş [\(1996\)](#page-14-14) examined the spatial and temporal characteristics of Turkey during the period 1930–1993, reporting decreasing trends in the area-averaged normalized rainfall series for the Black Sea and Mediterranean regions, as well as for Turkey as a whole. Despite the test statistics for these trends not reaching signifcance at the 5% level, the study observed a statistically signifcant decrease in annual rainfall at 15 stations across Turkey, including 7 within the Mediterranean rainfall region. Research conducted not only for the specifc regions but also encompassing the Turkey as a whole has identifed a decline in overall precipitation levels since the 1970s, coupled with a heightened prevalence of arid conditions (Türkeş et al [2007;](#page-15-6) Türkeş [2012](#page-14-0)). Türkeş and Erlat ([2003\)](#page-14-16) conducted a comprehensive analysis on the historical and contemporary climatology of precipitation, its spatiotemporal variability, and its associations with large-scale and regional atmospheric circulations. Identifcation and quantifcation of trend analysis are required for the sustainability of Turkish agriculture; nevertheless, precipitation, as one of the hydro climatic variables, has not been examined in the aggregate for the period of the last 40 years. In this study, an in-depth analysis of precipitation variations in Turkey over the years 1980 to 2019 is undertaken, integrating diverse regions and applying a range of statistical methods. While previous research has delved into precipitation trends within Turkey, the work stands out by uniquely adopting the regional divisions established. This framework enables a comprehensive examination of precipitation patterns, allowing the uncovering of insights that were previously unexplored. To bolster the robustness of the fndings, rigorous statistical tests including the Spearman rank correlation, Mann–Kendall test, and Sen's slope test are employed. By doing so, the study not only builds upon existing research but also brings a new perspective to the analysis of precipitation trends in Turkey.

The main objectives of the presented study were (i) to examine the precipitation variability of Turkey by coefficient of variation, (ii) to investigate the most probable change years in precipitation data from 1980 to 2019 by the Pettitt Mann Whitney test, and (iii) to determine the precipitation trends and slopes of 81 meteorological stations in Turkey by Spearman rank correlation, Mann–Kendall method, and Sen's Slope test.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Study site and data

Turkey with 81 provinces $(36^{\circ}-42^{\circ}N \text{ and } 26^{\circ}-45^{\circ}E)$ is located in Western Asia and a small part of Southeast Europe (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The total land area is $783\,562\,\mathrm{km}^2$, of which 97% is in Asia and 3% in Europe Turkey. The altitude of Turkey varies between 0 and 5137 m, and the highest city center (Erzurum) is located at an altitude of 1890 m, while the lowest (Zonguldak) is at 8 m from the sea level. Turkey has diverse climates due to its geographical location and irregular topography. The southern and western parts of Turkey are mostly defned by a Mediterranean, whereas

Fig. 1 Location of the study area, climate zones, and spatial distribution of the mean annual precipitation of meteorological stations from 1980 to 2019

the northern part has a midlatitude moderate climate. In central and eastern parts, however, continental steppe and cold snowy climates predominate (Türkeş [2020](#page-14-20)). Most of the rainfall occurs in winter and spring in Turkey. In summer, the amount of precipitation decreases, while the temperature and evaporation increase.

The monthly precipitation data were obtained from meteorological stations in 81 provinces by the Turkish State Meteorological Service for the period of 1980–2019 (TSMS [2021\)](#page-14-21). Türkeş [\(1996\)](#page-14-14) reported the most probable change year for the beginning of the drier period as the early 1980s. Figure [1](#page-2-0) shows the location of meteorological stations, the climatic zones, and the mean annual precipitation from 1980 to 2019. Cluster analysis in climatology is used to defne classes of synoptic types or climate regimes (Türkeş and Tatlı [2011\)](#page-15-3). In a previous studies conducted by Türkeş [\(1998](#page-14-13)) and Türkeş et al. ([2002](#page-15-4)), the categorization of the meteorological stations of Turkey primarily relied on the seasonal rainfall patterns and their geographical infuences. In present study, Turkey divided into climatic zones base on cluster analysis results reported by Unal et al. ([2003](#page-15-7)).

Annual rainfall averages ranged from 261.1 mm (Iğdır) to 2 244.9 mm (Rize) between 1980 and 2019. Türkeş et al. ([2002](#page-15-4)) observed that precipitation exhibits distinct cycle lengths across diferent seasons. In light of this fnding, an in-depth analysis of the seasonal variations in precipitation data was conducted. Seasonal trend of each station and region is calculated as follows December, January, and February for winter; March, April, and May for spring; June, July, and August for summer; and September, October, and November for autumn.

2.2 Data analysis

2.2.1 Homogeneity test and determination of possible change points of long‑term data

The standard normal homogeneity test (SNHT) was used to determine the homogeneity of the long-term precipitation data of each station, and the Pettitt Mann Whitney test was used for the detection of possible change points. The SNHT was applied at a 5% signifcance level using Eq. ([1\)](#page-3-0) (Alexandersson [1986](#page-13-12); Saini et al. [2020\)](#page-14-22):

$$
T_k = k_{z_1}^2 + (n - k)z_2^2 k = 1, 2, ..., n
$$
 (1)

where *n* is the number of total observations and z_1 and z_2 calculations are given in Eqs. (2) (2) (2) and (3) (3) :

$$
z_1 = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{x_i - \overline{x}}{\sigma_x} \tag{2}
$$

$$
z_2 = \frac{1}{k-1} \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{x_i - \bar{x}}{\sigma_x}
$$
 (3)

where \bar{x} is the mean; σ_x is the standard deviation; and *n* is the number of total observations. The homogeneity hypothesis of precipitation data was rejected if the test statistic T0=max(T(k)) is above the critical value 8.10 for the $n=40$, and the year with the maximum T_k value was considered the change point (Vezzoli et al. [2012\)](#page-15-8). The non-parametric rank test known as the Pettitt test was used to detect signifcant changes in precipitation data for each meteorological station (Pettitt [1979](#page-14-23)) (Eq. ([4\)](#page-3-3)):

$$
U_k = 2\sum_{i=1}^k r_i - k(n+1)k = 1, 2, ..., n
$$
 (4)

where U_k is the determination point of significant change (maximum or minimum) and *n* is the number of total observations. The critical value of the Pettitt test is 167 for the $n=40$ at a 5% significance level (Vezzoli et al. [2012\)](#page-15-8).

2.2.2 Spearman rank correlation

A nonparametric type of the Pearson product-moment correlation is the Spearman rank correlation (Siegel [1957\)](#page-14-24). The correlation coefficient of Spearman (rS) calculated from a sample of data is an estimate of the Pearson correlation coef-ficient (ρS) (Artusi et al. [2002](#page-13-13)). A strong Spearman correlation of $+1$ or 1 happens when one variable is a relationship $(R^2=1)$ of the other without repeated values (Zar [2005](#page-15-9)). In this regard, the rS was calculated to determine if the data series included serial correlations among two variables by Eq. (5) (5) :

$$
rS = 1 - \frac{6\sum d_i^2}{n(n^2 - 1)}\tag{5}
$$

where d_i is the significant difference between the two variables and *n* is the number of total observations.

The following criteria can be used to choose the proper rS signifcance test:

(a) When the sample size is larger than 50 ($n > 50$), the signifcance can be assessed using *t*-statistic. At a signifcance level α , by comparing the absolute value of *t* in Eq. ([6\)](#page-3-5) with the critical value *t'*, which corresponds to the degrees of freedom (*n*−2) in the *t*-value table, it can be determined whether rS is statistically significant.

$$
t = rS\sqrt{\frac{n-2}{1-rS^2}}\tag{6}
$$

(b) When the sample size is less than or equal to 50 (*n*≤50), the signifcance of rS can be tested by referring to the boundary value table for Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, with degree is $(n-2)$.

2.2.3 Mann–Kendall and Sen's slope test

The MAKASENS 1.0 computer software was used to determine monthly, seasonal, and annual precipitation data trends for 40 years (Sarkar and Ali [2009](#page-14-25)). The non-parametric Mann–Kendall statistical approach was employed to determine whether there is a statistically signifcant increase or decrease trend, and Sen's slope test technique was utilized for slope estimates (Gilbert [1987\)](#page-13-14). The Mann–Kendall test is a diferent version of Kendall's test known as Tau and is based on the rows rather than the size of the data. According to the H0 hypothesis, the time-ordered series $(X_1,$ X_2, X_n) in this test are similarly distributed, timeindependent random variables. The distribution of X_k and X_i successive data values in the series, as $(k \neq j)$ and $n \geq k$, j (n, data recording length), is not identical, according to the H1 alternative hypothesis (Mann [1945](#page-13-15)). To detect a sequence $X(X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n)$ of length *n*, the statistic S is defined as follows (Eq. (7) (7)):

$$
1\theta > 0
$$

$$
S = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} Sgn(x_j - x_i) Sgn(\theta) = 0\theta = 0
$$
 (7)

$$
-1\theta < 0
$$

When the sample size is larger than or equal to 10 $(n \geq 10)$, the statistic S approximately follows a normal distribution with a mean of 0. The variance Var(S) is calculated as (Eq. ([8\)](#page-3-7)):

$$
Var(S) = \frac{n(n-1)(2n+5) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} t_i i(i-1)(2i+5)}{18}
$$
 (8)

The Mann–Kendall Z is given by (Eq. [9\)](#page-3-8):

$$
(S-1)/\sqrt{Var(S)} S > 0
$$

\n
$$
Z = 0 \t S = 0
$$

\n
$$
(S+1)/\sqrt{Var(S)} S < 0
$$
 (9)

A negative value of *Z* indicates a decreasing trend, while a positive value indicates an increasing trend. When the absolute value of *Z* exceeds 1.64, 1.96, and 2.58, it passes the signifcance test with 90%, 95%, and 99% confdence, respectively.

The slope of trend lines was calculated using Sen's slope test, which is independent of distributions and unafected by seasonal occurrences (Van Belle and Hughes [1984;](#page-15-10) Sen [1968](#page-14-26)). The slope *m* is an unbiased estimate of the trend and exhibits improved accuracy compared to regression statistics, as it mitigates the infuence of outliers and missing data. For the sequence $X(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, the value of *m* is determined by the following Eq. (10) (10) :

$$
m = median\left(\frac{x_j - x_k}{j - k}\right), \forall j < i \tag{10}
$$

where median is the median function.

2.2.4 The coefficient of variation (CV)

The coefficient of variation—a normalized statistical measure of spread for a probability distribution—was calculated based on seasonal and annual. CV values of 81 meteorological stations were calculated with the principles in Landsea and Gray ([1992\)](#page-13-16).

Resultant test statistics from Mann–Kendall and Sen's slope tests and coefficient of variation (CV) results were shown on a GIS map. Inverse distance weighting (IDW) interpolation technique was used to analyze spatial distribution with the ArcMap 10.2.2.

3 Results

3.1 Descriptive statistics

The variation of mean annual and seasonal total precipitation data of the period 1980–2019 are given in Fig. [2.](#page-4-1) While the lowest precipitation value seen in the study region between 1980 and 2019 was measured in the year 1993 (501.01 mm), and the highest value was in the 2001 (759.06 mm). The ranges of precipitation values for the periods of

winter, spring, summer, and autumn were determined as 128.7–320.8 mm, 108.9–260.0 mm, 43.9–109.3 mm and 79.7–238.4 mm, respectively (Fig. [2](#page-4-1)).

Average precipitation values of regions and intraregional statistics (standard error) are shown in Fig. [3](#page-5-0). The axis value in Fig. [3](#page-5-0) was determined as 639.2 mm, which is the average precipitation amount of Turkey between 1980 and 2019. Regions A, B, C, F, and G had an average above 639.2 mm, while regions D and E were below.

The SNHT was applied to the precipitation data of diferent regions and Turkey at a signifcant level of 5% (Table [1](#page-6-0)). The results were supported by the Pettitt test $(p < 0.05)$, and all data were found to be homogeneous. The SNHT results of 81 meteorological stations in Turkey are presented in Supplementary Table 1. Meteorological data obtained from Balıkesir, Kars, Kastamonu, and Ardahan were found to be heterogeneous, and then the breaking points were determined with the Pettitt test. Precipitation data trend analyzes were conducted by dividing the common breakpoints determined by SNHT and Pettitt. The new periods were 1980–2007 and 2008–2019 for Balıkesir; 1980–1999 and 2000–2019 for Kars; 1980–2006 and 2007–2019 for Kastamonu; and 1980–2000 and 2001–2019 for Ardahan.

3.2 The coefficient of variation (CV)

Understanding inter-seasonal and inter-annual variations of precipitation is crucial to calculate irrigation water requirements and to plan management of water resources for various purposes. When Fig. [4](#page-7-0) is examined, the season with the highest inter-annual variation for the study period is summer (28.9–152.9%). The province with the least variation in 4 of the 5 time period —winter, spring, autumn, and annually was found to be Rize, which among 81 provinces had the greatest average precipitation. The biggest CV values in the summer months and annually were recorded in Mardin as 34.9% and 152.9%, respectively. Additionally, the CV values of 33.4% annually, 46.7% in winter, 56.9% in spring, 111%

Fig. 2 Inter-annual variations in annual and seasonal precipitation totals in Turkey for the period of 1980*–*2019

in summer, and 76.9% in autumn make Antalya a province with the most erratic precipitation.

3.3 Trend tests

3.3.1 Spearman rank correlation test

A Spearman rank correlation coefficient (rS) was used to detect the presence of trends in monthly, seasonal and annual precipitation data of regions and Turkey (Table [1\)](#page-6-0). The highest rS for the mean annual precipitation was 0.283 in region A, while the lowest was−0.036 in region F (*p*<0.05). The strongest positive Spearman correlation was determined in the June in B region (0.406), and the strongest negative was determined in the November of F region (-0.418) . The monthly, seasonal, and annual rS values of 81 meteorological stations can be found in Supplementary Table 1. The strongest negative correlation was determined as−0.486 in Erzurum in November; very strong correlations of 1.000 $(R²=1)$ were determined in October in Ağrı and Amasya provinces. Considering both the Turkey region values in Table [1](#page-6-0) and the average rS values of 81 provinces in Supplementary Table 1, it is revealed that the efects of climate change on precipitation data are most pronounced in November.

3.3.2 Mann–Kendall and Sen's slope test

The trend analysis at diferent signifcant levels (1%, 5%, and 10%) of monthly, seasonal, and annual precipitation data of diferent regions and Turkey are shown in Table [2.](#page-8-0) Although the annual precipitation trends of the A, B, C, D, and E regions are increasing and the F and G regions are decreasing, no statistically signifcant changes were found. The trend of average values of Turkey was positive, but it was not statistically significant (*Z*=1.013). However, similar to rS values, there were statistically signifcant changes in both Turkey's averages and A, E, F, and G regions in November. The changes in winter of region C were the only seasonal trend with statistically signifcant (10%). The determined Sen's slopes of trends for the monthly, seasonal, and annual precipitation data are shown in Table [3](#page-8-1) for different regions and Turkey. The highest negative trend was determined as−1.701 in the G region. The only regions with the negative slope in annual values were F and G, and regions A and B had the biggest positive slopes (2.607 and 2.595, respectively). Slope values of all the regions were positive in January, March, May, August, and September on monthly basis and in Winter and Spring on seasonal basis. The slopes of each region were decreasing in November and increasing in January. Parallel fndings were obtained with the Mann–Kendall test results for November, and all slopes were found to be negative.

Mann–Kendall test results for 81 meteorological stations are given in Supplementary Table 2 and summarized in Table [4](#page-9-0). The 40-year change in annual precipitation of 11 provinces (14% of all stations) was found to be statistically signifcant, and 23 provinces showed decreasing trend; 58 provinces showed increasing trend. All stations except Balıkesir (*Z*=0754) evaluated monthly had a declining trend in November, and 26 of them were statistically signifcant. It was revealed that precipitation in all provinces except Amasya, Balıkesir, Gaziantep (*Z*=0.000), Kars, Mardin, Ardahan, and Batman had an increasing trend in September.

Table 1

The monthly and seasonal results of standard normal homogeneity test (SNHT), Pettitt test, and Spearman's rho for the climatic zones and Turkey

In 81% of all provinces, average precipitation of January tended to increase and 15 trend was statistically signifcant. Sen's slope values of 81 provinces are given in Supplementary Table 3. Negative slopes in 19 provinces and positive slopes in 62 provinces were determined. The highest positive and negative slopes were determined in Rize and Bitlis as 5.528 and 6.405 mm year−1, respectively (stations with heterogeneous data sets were not taken into account due to the short period). The slope values in November were negative for all provinces expect Karabük (zero), and only Amasya had a positive slope in September. On the other hand, there are no positive or negative slopes at 25 stations in July and 31 stations in August (Supplementary Table 3).

Figure [5](#page-10-0) displays the maps of seasonal and annual change trends and Sen's slope values determined by analyzing the provinces' 40-year average precipitation data. The only province to show a statistically signifcant decrease during the winter was Artvin, while statistically signifcant declines also happened in Trabzon and Malatya for the summer and in Muş, Kırklareli, and Ardahan for the autumn. It was determined that no signifcant decreases were experienced in the spring season. The variation ranges of Sen's slope values for winter, spring, summer, and autumn were determined as − 2.109–2.846, − 2.709–1.829, − 1.701–2.407, and $-2.083-2.407$ mm year⁻¹, respectively (Fig. [5\)](#page-10-0).

The fact that Turkey has a large surface area and contains diferent climatic zones has caused the precipitation trends to change in diferent intensities and directions for regions and even for stations. In order to reveal these differences, a box plot of Sen's slope of 40-year precipitation data of 81 provinces is given in Fig. [6](#page-11-0) (monthly) and Fig. [7](#page-11-1) (seasonally). The median values of slopes were negative for 5 months (February, April, July, November, and December) and positive for 6 months (January, March, May, June, September, October), and the median of August was zero (Fig. [6\)](#page-11-0). The highest and lowest points were obtained in the month of September as 3.66 mm year⁻¹ and 2.79 mm year⁻¹ in November, respectively. All the median values of seasonal slopes except autumn were positive. While the highest negative slope was determined as $-2,709$ mm year⁻¹ in the spring season, the highest positive slope was determined in summer as 3.366 mm year⁻¹.

4 Discussion

Climatic variability can be described as the annual diference in values of specifc climatic variables within averaging periods such as a 30-year period (Aber et al. [1990](#page-13-17)). The magnitude, intensity, frequency, and type of precipitation vary from year to year, and variations in these factors have an impact on the environment and lifecycle. Precipitation and the hydrological cycle are all being impacted by climate

Fig. 4 Geographical distribution map of the coefficients of variation (%) of annual and seasonal precipitation totals over the period 1980–2019. In the map, circles display meteorological stations across Turkey, and colors represent the distribution of variation coefcients in percentages

change (Trenberth [2011\)](#page-14-27). Turkey, currently characterized by a semi-arid climate, is expected to be afected by reduced precipitation and heightened drought conditions resulting from global climate change, rendering it more susceptible to increased occurrences of droughts (Türkeş [2020\)](#page-14-20). It is crucial to identify diferences in monthly, seasonal, and yearly historical data series and assess the trend since climate change has a signifcant impact on irrigation water requirements. In this study, the variation of precipitation over Turkey was examined between 1980 and 2019 years,

Table 4 Number of trends at diferent signifcance level and directions by Mann–Kendall test (81 stations)

n.s. Non-signifcant

and the average precipitation was determined 639.2 mm. Another similar study which conducted by Toros ([2012](#page-14-28)), the average annual precipitation of Turkey was reported as 654 mm from 1961 to 2008, and the maximum and minimum annual precipitation values of provinces were 2 227 mm and 258 mm, respectively. İçel and Ataol [\(2014](#page-13-18)) stated that the average amount of precipitation in Turkey between 1975 and 2009 was 668.1 mm, representing an increase in precipitation of 0.43 mm when yearly averages were taken into consideration. The results were found in present study was similar to resulted from previous studies.

The most signifcant climatological feature of precipitation, which falls to the Earth's surface in various forms of condensation such as drizzle, rain, snow, freezing rain, ice pellets, and hail, is the spatial and temporal variability it exhibits. While the broad-scale zonal distribution of precipitation is generally linked to latitude, the actual distribution pattern is complex and intertwined with numerous other factors (Türkeş [2010,](#page-14-29) [2021](#page-14-30)). In light of this information, regional assessments have been conducted and compared with the fndings of previous studies. Region A (Marmara Region) stands out as the region where the variation of monthly rS and trend values were the highest. Koç [\(2001\)](#page-13-19) analyzed the precipitation data of an area that includes the Marmara Region and determined the presence of erratic precipitation. Although the B region's (Aegean) precipitation levels increased, no statistically signifcant trend was determined. Koçman et al. [\(1996](#page-13-20)) analyzed the 48-year change in the Aegean Region and reported that they did not detect any significant changes, which is consistent with our findings. Both regional and national analysis showed an increase in precipitation in the winter months, which was explained by Aziz and Yucel ([2021\)](#page-13-21) as decreasing snowfall and increasing precipitation with the increase in air temperatures. The only statistically signifcant increase in the seasonal analysis was determined for the winter period of region C (Black Sea Region). In another study conducted by İrdem [\(2005\)](#page-13-22), examining the temporal and spatial characteristics of precipitation in Turkey, an increasing trend was found in winter precipitation in the Black Sea Region. The Central Anatolia Region (Region D) is one of the regions that will be most afected by future climate change scenarios due to its geographical location and has the potential to be exposed to natural disasters such as foods due to the deterioration of hydrology. Statistically significant $(p < 0.05)$ decreases determined in July of region D were evaluated as the efects of climate change and are in line with the fndings of previous studies (Altin et al. [2012](#page-13-23); Çiçek and Duman [2015;](#page-13-24) Kızılelma et al. [2015\)](#page-13-25). Both rS values and Mann–Kendall test results for November of all regions were negative. The trend analysis results of regions A, F, and G were statistically signifcant at the level of 5%, and the decreasing trend of region E was statistically signifcant at the level of 10%. Şenocak and Emek ([2019](#page-14-31)) reported similar results for region E. The efect of major weather systems linked to the large-scale atmospheric circulation can be used to explain that regions F and G had

Fig. 5 The spatial distribution of Sen's slope values and trends (Man-Kendall test) for annual and seasonal precipitation over the period of 1980–2019. Upward and downward arrows display positive and nega-

tive trends of meteorological stations, with solid arrows denoting signifcance in both positive and negative trends and colors corresponding to Sen's slope in mm/year

weaker correlations in rS values compared to other regions. The Mediterranean Region (G) showed a decrease in precipitation values, which is consistent with previous studies focused on the Mediterranean region conducted by Maheras et al. [\(2004](#page-13-26)) and Kutiel and Türkeş ([2017\)](#page-13-27). The Southeastern Anatolia area (Region F) also shown decreasing trend, and according to Türkeş ([2003\)](#page-14-32), this region features dry sections that are prone to desertifcation. In an overarching evaluation

4 \bullet 3 Sen's slope of monthly trend line \overline{c} 1 甴 再 $\mathbf 0$ 西 ╒ \Box -1 -2 -3 $\sqrt{\hat{r}}$ Feb **Max** ADS 355 13.7 Aug $\overline{z^{\rho}}$ Dec **May** Sep oŠ

Fig. 6 Box plot of the Sen's slope for monthly precipitation data of Turkey (1980–2019)

encompassing all regions, Türkeş [\(1998](#page-14-13)) examined the variations across the regions and highlighting the prominent wet areas like the Black Sea and Mediterranean regions.

The meteorological parameter that varies the most with time and place among others is the amount of precipitation (Panda and Sahu [2019\)](#page-14-2). The lowest standard deviation of the slopes of the precipitation trends of 81 provinces between 1980 and 2019 was determined in August. August is a month that seldom experiences rain, and accordingly, in the box plot, the lowest variation range was determined in August (Türkeş [1996,](#page-14-14) [1998](#page-14-13), [2003](#page-14-32); Kadioğlu [2000\)](#page-13-28). Floods and droughts are more likely to occur in areas with more inter-annual fuctuation in precipitation (Türkeş [1996;](#page-14-14) Pandey and Ramasastri [2002](#page-14-33)). A signifcant part of Turkey has a coefficient over 20%. It is important to use water consciously in areas where the coefficient of variability for precipitation totals is high as excess precipitation variability means a lack of water, especially for agricultural activities because the higher the inter-annual variability, the higher the probability of drought occurrence is in Turkey (Türkeş [2022\)](#page-14-34). The results of Sen's slope test appeared to be reasonably compatible with those of the Mann–Kendall test as in Partal and Kahya [\(2006](#page-14-19)). Region A was the region with the greatest positive annual trend slope (2.607 mm year⁻¹). Tayanç et al. [\(2009](#page-14-35)) attributed this fuctuation to the fact that the region is located in the interior and the lack of a coastline. Due to its geographical location, the Mediterranean Region is particularly vulnerable to variations in temperature and precipitation. Karaburun ([2011](#page-13-29)) reported positive slopes for precipitation trends of the Marmara Region through the 1985–2006 period. However, the regions having negative slopes were regions F and G. In region F, seasonal trends have positive values in the winter and spring and negative values in the summer and autumn. Negative slopes in annual precipitation in the Mediterranean area have been also detected by Longobardi and Villani ([2010\)](#page-13-30) and Rio et al. [\(2011\)](#page-14-36). Furthermore, Zittis et al. [\(2021](#page-15-11)) simulated future precipitation for the Mediterranean region and revealed signifcant negative slopes (up to – 10-mm decade⁻¹) as a result of climate change scenarios.

The spatial variation of 40-year precipitation data was examined using the interpolation approach since meteorological stations dispersed throughout the national size might be inefective in displaying the spatial distribution of precipitation (Guo et al. [2020\)](#page-13-31). The annual value of CV often decreased from south to north, reaching a peak between Mardin and Şanlurfa of 36.6%. Ölgen [\(2010\)](#page-14-37) stated that for the years 1950–2009, CV values in Turkey varied between 11.92% and 31.89%, and the range of 19–25% CV value extending in the east–west line in Turkey acts as a zone separating the southern and northern regions. The reason for the great variability in the Mediterranean region is that the number and severity of the depressions that occur in the Mediterranean due to atmospheric oscillations vary greatly from year to year (Roberts et al. [2012\)](#page-14-38). In annual and seasonal precipitation variability, winter showed a diferent distribution compared to other periods. The Mediterranean Region receives air masses from diferent regions after October. Low-pressure zones flow from the south to the eastern, or from the northern Aegean to the Black Sea. As a result of the combination of these air masses, depression transitions occur in the Marmara and Aegean regions in winter, which causes precipitation of unexpected magnitude (Koçman [1993\)](#page-13-32). The distribution of winter precipitation tends to decrease from Central Anatolia to the Black Sea, with CVs of total winter precipitation higher than 30% over most of Turkey, except for the regions of Central Anatolia and Black Sea, lower values found in the eastern and western Black Sea, and the highest CV found at Iğdır station with a rate of 38% (Türkeş and Erlat [2005](#page-14-17)). The variability of the fall precipitation and the variability of the summer precipitation are quite comparable. However, the CV values have decreased since fall precipitation is far more steady than in summer months. Floods and droughts are more likely to occur in areas with more inter-annual fuctuation in precipitation (Türkeş [1996](#page-14-14); Pandey and Ramasastri [2002\)](#page-14-33). It is important to use water consciously in areas where the precipitation variability coefficient is high as excess precipitation variability means a lack of water, especially for agricultural activities, and a signifcant part of Turkey has a coefficient over 20%.

5 Conclusion

In the present study, trends for annual, seasonal, and regional series were analyzed for 81 meteorological stations of Turkey between the years1980 and 2019. Spearman rank correlation and Mann–Kendall tests were utilized to detect possible trends and Sen's slope test to estimate the magnitude of change throughout the entire time series. Although the annual precipitation trends did not show any statistically signifcant changes, our fndings reveal that certain regions and months are more susceptible to the impact of climate change. Notably, the regions Marmara (A), Eastern Anatolia (E), Southeastern Anatolia (F), and Eastern Mediterranean Anatolia (G) demonstrated signifcant changes in the average precipitation during the month of November, while Black Sea (C) exhibited pronounced changes during the winter season. The highest rS for the mean annual precipitation was 0.283 in region Marmara (A), while the lowest was−0.036 in region Southeastern Anatolia (F). Moreover, the Mann–Kendall test and Sen's slope test showed that each region showed decreasing trends in November, while they were increasing in January. Furthermore, all stations except Balıkesir had a decreasing trend in November, and the precipitation tended to increase in January for 81% of all provinces. The coefficients of variation in annual precipitation ranged between 11.8% and 34.9%. The highest variation was observed in summer (28.9–152.9%) within the seasons. For provinces, Mardin had the greatest coefficient of variation with the 34.9% for summer months and 56.9% for annually. These fndings underscore the importance of continuous monitoring and analysis of precipitation trends to better understand the impact of climate change on water resources in the region. In conclusion, the study provides new insights into the previously unknown trends and variability across Turkey and emphasizes the need for further research to address the efects of climate change on water resources.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-023-04645-4>.

Acknowledgements The authors are gratefully acknowledged to the Turkish State Meteorological Service for sharing meteorological data used for this study.

Author contribution AKY designed the study and developed the conceptualization. Data receiving was done by BS. Production of the fgures used as material and visualization was done by BA. Analysis and interpretation was performed by AKY, BA and BS. The frst version of original draft was prepared by AKY and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the fnal version of manuscript.

Data availability The precipitation datasets analyzed during the current study are not publicly available as they are the sole entity of the Turkish State Meteorological Service (TSMS). The data can only be used

for research and other academic purposes and cannot be shared with third parties unless written permission is granted by the TSMS. The datasets can be available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request after informing the TSMS.

Declarations

Ethics approval All authors have read, understood, and have complied as applicable with the statement on "Ethical responsibilities of Authors" as found in the Instructions for Authors and are aware that with minor exceptions, no changes can be made to authorship once the paper is submitted. This research did not contain any studies involving animal or human participants, nor did it take place on any private or protected areas.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

References

- Aber JD, Melillo JM, McClaugherty CA (1990) Predicting long-term patterns of mass loss, nitrogen dynamics, and soil organic matter formation from initial fne litter chemistry in temperate forest ecosystems. Can J Bot 68(10):2201–2208. [https://doi.org/10.1139/](https://doi.org/10.1139/b90-287) [b90-287](https://doi.org/10.1139/b90-287)
- Alexandersson H (1986) A homogeneity test applied to precipitation data. J Climatol 6(6):661–675. [https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.33700](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060607) [60607](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.3370060607)
- Ali N, Ahmad I (2015) Trend analysis of precipitation data in Pakistan. Sci Int 27:803–808
- Altin TB, Barak B, Altin BN (2012) Change in precipitation and temperature amounts over three decades in central Anatolia, Turkey. Atmos Clim Sci 2:107–125. [https://doi.org/10.4236/acs.2012.](https://doi.org/10.4236/acs.2012.21013) [21013](https://doi.org/10.4236/acs.2012.21013)
- Artusi R, Verderio P, Marubini E (2002) Bravais-Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients: meaning, test of hypothesis and confdence interval. Int J Biol Markers 17(2):148–151. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1177/172460080201700213) [10.1177/172460080201700213](https://doi.org/10.1177/172460080201700213)
- Aziz R, Yucel I (2021) Assessing nonstationarity impacts for historical and projected extreme precipitation in Turkey. Theor Appl Climatol 143(3):1213–1226. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03503-x) [s00704-020-03503-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-020-03503-x)
- Bacanli ÜG (2017) Trend analysis of precipitation and drought in the Aegean region. Turkey Meteorol Appl 24(2):239–249. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1622) [org/10.1002/met.1622](https://doi.org/10.1002/met.1622)
- Beyene AN (2015) Precipitation and temperature trend analysis in Mekelle city, Northern Ethiopia, the Case of Illala Meteorological Station. J Environ Earth Sci 5(19):46–52. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7617.1000324) [4172/2157-7617.1000324](https://doi.org/10.4172/2157-7617.1000324)
- Chandniha SK, Meshram SG, Adamowski JF, Meshram C (2017) Trend analysis of precipitation in Jharkhand State. India Theor Appl Climatol 130(1):261–274. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1875-x) [s00704-016-1875-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1875-x)
- Çiçek İ, Duman N (2015) Seasonal and annual precipitation trends in Turkey. Carpathian J Earth Environ Sci 10(2):77–84
- Danandeh Mehr A, Sorman AU, Kahya E, Hesami Afshar M (2020) Climate change impacts on meteorological drought using SPI and SPEI: case study of Ankara. Turkey Hydrol Sci J 65(2):254–268. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2019.1691218>
- Feidas H, Noulopoulou C, Makrogiannis T, Bora-Senta E (2007) Trend analysis of precipitation time series in Greece and their relationship with circulation using surface and satellite data: 1955–2001. Theor Appl Climatol 87(1):155–177. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-006-0200-5) [s00704-006-0200-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-006-0200-5)
- Gadedjisso-Tossou A, Adjegan KI, Kablan AKM (2021) Rainfall and temperature trend analysis by Mann-Kendall test and signifcance for rainfed cereal yields in Northern Togo. Sci 3(1):17. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3390/sci3010017) [org/10.3390/sci3010017](https://doi.org/10.3390/sci3010017)
- Garg PK, Shukla A, Yousuf B (2022) Temperature and precipitation changes over the glaciated parts of Indian Himalayan Region during 1901–2016. Environ Monit Assess 194:84. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09689-5) [1007/s10661-021-09689-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09689-5)
- Gilbert RO (1987) Statistical methods for environmental pollution monitoring. John Wiley & Son, New Jersey
- Guo B, Zhang J, Meng X, Xu T, Song Y (2020) Long-term spatio-temporal precipitation variations in China with precipitation surface interpolated by ANUSPLIN. Sci Rep 10(1):1–17. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57078-3) [10.1038/s41598-019-57078-3](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-57078-3)
- He M, Gautam M (2016) Variability and trends in precipitation, temperature and drought indices in the State of California. Hydrol 3(2):14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/hydrology3020014>
- Huang J, Sun S, Zhang J (2013) Detection of trends in precipitation during 1960–2008 in Jiangxi province, southeast China. Theor Appl Climatol 114(1):237–251. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0831-2) [s00704-013-0831-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-013-0831-2)
- İçel G, Ataol M (2014) Türkiye'de yillik ortalama sicakliklar ile yağişlarda eğilimler ve nao arasindaki ilişkileri (1975–2009). Coğrafya Dergisi 28:55–68
- İrdem C (2005) Türkiye'de yağişlarin şiddet bakimindan alansal ve zamansal değişkenliği. Dissertation, university of çanakkale onsekiz mart (In Turkish)
- Kadioğlu M (2000) Regional variability of seasonal precipitation over Turkey. Int J Climatol 20(14):1743–1760. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001130)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%3E3.0.CO;2-G) [10.1002/1097-0088\(20001130\)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001130)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%3E3.0.CO;2-G) [3E3.0.CO;2-G](https://doi.org/10.1002/1097-0088(20001130)20:14%3C1743::AID-JOC584%3E3.0.CO;2-G)
- Karaburun A (2011) Trend analysis of long term spatially distributed precipitation in Marmara region between 1975 and 2006. Fresenius Environ Bull 20(2):475–483
- Karpouzos DK, Kavalieratou S, Babajimopoulos C (2010) Trend analysis of precipitation data in Pieria Region (Greece). Eur Water $30.30 - 40$
- Kızılelma Y, Çelik M, Karabulut M (2015) İç Anadolu Bölgesinde sıcaklık ve yağışların trend analizi. Coğrafya Dergisi (64):1–10. <https://doi.org/10.17211/tcd.90494>
- Koç T (2001) Kuzeybatı Anadolu'da iklim ve ortam: sinoptik, istatistik ve uygulama boyutlarıyla. Cantay Publisher, İstanbul (In Turkish)
- Koçman A, Işık Ş, Mutluer M (1996) Ege ovalarında yağış değişkenliği ve kuraklık sorunu. Ege Coğrafya Dergisi 8:25–36. (In Turkish)
- Koçman A (1993) Türkiye İklimi. Ege Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Publishing, İzmir (In Turkish)
- Kutiel H, Türkeş M (2017) Spatial and temporal variability of dryness characteristics in Turkey. Int J Clim S1:818–828. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5040) [10.1002/joc.5040](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5040)
- Landsea CW, Gray WM (1992) The strong association between western Sahelian monsoon rainfall and intense Atlantic hurricanes. J Clim 5(5):435–453. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(1992\)](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005%3C0435:TSABWS%3E2.0.CO;2) [005%3C0435:TSABWS%3E2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005%3C0435:TSABWS%3E2.0.CO;2)
- Leng G, Tang Q, Rayburg S (2015) Climate change impacts on meteorological, agricultural and hydrological droughts in China. Global Planet Change 126:23–34. [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005%3c0435:TSABWS%3e2.0.CO;2) [0442\(1992\)005%3c0435:TSABWS%3e2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(1992)005%3c0435:TSABWS%3e2.0.CO;2)
- Longobardi A, Villani P (2010) Trend analysis of annual and seasonal rainfall time series in the Mediterranean area. Int J Climatol 30(10):1538–1546.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2001>
- Maheras P, Tolika K, Anagnostopoulou C, Vafadis M, Patrikas I, Flocas H (2004) On the relationships between circulation types and changes in rainfall variability in Greece. Int J Climatol 24:1695– 1712. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1088>
- Mann HB (1945) Nonparametric tests against trend. Econometrica: J Econ Soc 1:245–259. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1907187>
- Mekis É, Vincent LA (2011) An overview of the second generation adjusted daily precipitation dataset for trend analysis in Canada. Atmos Ocean 49(2):163–177. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2011.583910) [07055900.2011.583910](https://doi.org/10.1080/07055900.2011.583910)
- Meshram SG, Singh VP, Meshram C (2017) Long-term trend and variability of precipitation in Chhattisgarh State. India Theor Appl Climatol 129(3):729–744. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1804-z) [s00704-016-1804-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-016-1804-z)
- Mohapl JA (2001) Statistical assessment of changes in precipitation chemistry at CAPMoN Sites, Canada. Environ Monit Assess 72:1–35.<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1011916006125>
- Ölgen MK (2010) Türkiye'de yillik ve mevsimsel yağiş değişkenliğinin alansal dağilimi. Ege Coğrafya Dergisi 19(1):85–95
- Pal AB, Khare D, Mishra PK, Singh L (2017) Trend analysis of rainfall, temperature and runoff data: a case study of Rangoon watershed in Nepal. Int J Stud Res Technol Manag 5:21–38. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.18510/ijsrtm.2017.535) [10.18510/ijsrtm.2017.535](https://doi.org/10.18510/ijsrtm.2017.535)
- Panda A, Sahu N (2019) Trend analysis of seasonal rainfall and temperature pattern in Kalahandi, Bolangir and Koraput districts of Odisha. India. Atmos Sci Lett 20(10):e932. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.932) [1002/asl.932](https://doi.org/10.1002/asl.932)
- Panda A, Sahu N, Behera S, Sayama T, Sahu L, Avtar R, Yamada M (2019) Impact of climate variability on crop yield in Kalahandi, Bolangir, and Koraput districts of Odisha. India Clim 7(11):126. <https://doi.org/10.3390/cli7110126>
- Pandey RP, Ramasastri KS (2002) Incidence of droughts in diferent climatic regions. Hydrol Sci J 47:31–40. [https://doi.org/10.1080/](https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660209493020) [02626660209493020](https://doi.org/10.1080/02626660209493020)
- Partal T, Kahya E (2006) Trend analysis in Turkish precipitation data. Hydrol Process 20(9):2011–2026. [https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5993) [5993](https://doi.org/10.1002/hyp.5993)
- Pawar U, Rathnayake U (2022) Spatiotemporal rainfall variability and trend analysis over mahaweli basin, Sri Lanka. Arab J Geosci 15(4):1–16.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-022-09646-7>
- Pettitt AN (1979) A non-parametric approach to the change point problem. J Appl Stat 28(2):126–135.<https://doi.org/10.2307/2346729>
- Radha SD, Sabarathinam C, Al OF et al (2023) Variation of centennial precipitation patterns in Kuwait and their relation to climate change. Environ Monit Assess 195:20. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10587-7) [s10661-022-10587-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-022-10587-7)
- Rio SD, Herrero L, Fraile R, Penas A (2011) Spatial distribution of recent rainfall trends in Spain (1961–2006). Int J Climatol 31(5):656–667.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2111>
- Roberts N, Moreno A, Valero-Garcés BL, Corella JP, Jones M, Allcock S, Türkeş M (2012) Palaeolimnological evidence for an east–west climate see-saw in the Mediterranean since AD 900. Global Planet Chang 84:23–34.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.11.002>
- Sahu N, Saini A, Behera S, Sayama T, Nayak S, Sahu L, Takara K (2020) Impact of indo-pacifc climate variability on rice productivity in Bihar, India. Sustainability 12(17):7023. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177023) [10.3390/su12177023](https://doi.org/10.3390/su12177023)
- Saini A, Sahu N, Kumar P, Nayak S, Duan W, Avtar R, Behera S (2020) Advanced rainfall trend analysis of 117 years over West Coast Plain and Hill Agro-climatic region of India. Atmosphere 11(11):1225. <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos11111225>
- Sarkar AA, Ali MH (2009) Water table dynamics of Dhaka City and its long-term trend analysis using the "MAKESENS" model. Water Int 34(3):373–382.<https://doi.org/10.1080/02508060903115183>
- Sen PK (1968) Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau. J Am Stat Assoc 63:1379–1389
- Şenocak S, Emek MF (2019) Trend analizi yöntemleri kullanılarak Doğu Anadolu Bölgesi aylık yağış miktarlarının değerlendirilmesi. Avrupa Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi 17:807–822. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.646266) [10.31590/ejosat.646266](https://doi.org/10.31590/ejosat.646266)
- Siegel S (1957) Nonparametric statistics. Am Stat 11(3):13–19
- Taschetto AS, England MH (2009) An analysis of late twentieth century trends in Australian rainfall. Int J Climatol 29(6):791–807. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1736>
- Tayanç M, İm U, Doğruel M, Karaca M (2009) Climate change in Turkey for the last half century. Clim Change 94(3):483–502. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9511-0) doi.org/10.1007/s10584-008-9511-0
- Toros H (2012) Spatio-temporal precipitation change assessments over Turkey. Int J Climatol 32(9):1310–1325. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2353) [joc.2353](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2353)
- Tramblay Y, Koutroulis A, Samaniego L, Vicente-Serrano SM, Volaire F, Boone A, Le Page M, Llasat MC, Albergel C, Burak S, Cailleret M, Kalin KC, Davi H, Dupuy JL, Greve P, Grillakis M, Hanich L, Jarlan L, StPaul LM, Vilalta JM, Mouillot F, Velazquez DP, Seguí PQ, Renard D, Turco M, Türkeş M, Trigo R, Vidal JP, Vilagrosa A, Zribi A, Polcher J (2020) Challenges for drought assessment in the Mediterranean region under future climate scenarios. Earth-Sci Rev 210:103348. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earsc](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348) [irev.2020.103348](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103348)
- Trenberth KE (2011) Changes in precipitation with climate change. Clim Res 47(1–2):123–138.<https://doi.org/10.3354/cr00953>
- TSMS (2021) Turkish State Meteorological Service, climate data of long term season
- Türkeş M (1996) Spatial and temporal analysis of annual rainfall variations in Turkey. Int J Climatol 16(9):1057–1076. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199609)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%3E3.0.CO;2-D) [10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0088\(199609\)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199609)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%3E3.0.CO;2-D) [3E3.0.CO;2-D](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199609)16:9%3C1057::AID-JOC75%3E3.0.CO;2-D)
- Türkeş M (1998) Infuence of geopotential heights, cyclone frequency and southern oscillation on rainfall variations in Turkey. Int J Climatol 18(6):649–680. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199805)18:6%3C649::AID-JOC269%3E3.0.CO;2-3) [0088\(199805\)18:6%3C649::AID-JOC269%3E3.0.CO;2-3](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0088(199805)18:6%3C649::AID-JOC269%3E3.0.CO;2-3)
- Türkeş M (1999) Vulnerability of Turkey to desertifcation with respect to precipitation and aridity conditions. Turkish J Eng Env Sci 23(5):363–380
- Türkeş M (2003) Spatial and temporal variations in precipitation and aridity index series of Turkey. In: Bolle HJ (ed) Mediterranean climate. Regional climate studies. Springer, Berlin. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55657-9_11) [org/10.1007/978-3-642-55657-9_11](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-55657-9_11)
- Türkeş M (2010) Climatology and Meteorology. Kriter Publisher, İstanbul, 434. (In Turkish)
- Türkeş M (2012) Türkiye'de gözlenen ve öngörülen iklim değişikliği, kuraklık ve çölleşme (Observed and projected climate change, drought and desertifcation in Turkey). Ankara Uni J Env Sci 4(2):1–32. https://doi.org/10.1501/Csaum_0000000063. (in Turkish with an English abstract)
- Türkeş M (2019) Climate and drought in Turkey. In: Harmancioglu NB, Altinbilek D (eds) Water resources of Turkey, World Water Resources 2:85–125.<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-04>
- Türkeş M (2020) Climate and drought in Turkey. In: Harmancioglu N, Altinbilek D (eds) Water Resources of Turkey. World Water Resources, vol 2. Springer, Cham [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_4) [978-3-030-11729-0_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_4)
- Türkeş M (2021) General climatology: fundamentals of atmosphere, weather and climate. Kriter Publisher, İstanbul, 375. (In Turkish)
- Türkeş M (2022) Climatology and meteorology. Kriter Publisher, İstanbul, Updated and Expanded Second Edition (In Turkish)
- Türkeş M, Erlat E (2003) Precipitation changes and variability in Turkey linked to the North Atlantic Oscillation during the period 1930–2000. Int J Climatol 23:1771–1796. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.962) [joc.962](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.962)
- Türkeş M, Erlat E (2005) Climatological responses of winter precipitation in Turkey to variability of the North Atlantic Oscillation during the period 1930–2001. Theor Apply Climatol 81(1):45–69. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-004-0084-1>
- Türkeş M, Erlat E (2006) Infuences of the North Atlantic oscillation on precipitation variability and change in Turkey. Il Nuovo Cimento C 29(1):117–135
- Türkeş M, Tatlı H (2009) Use of the standardized precipitation index (SPI) and a modifed SPI for shaping the drought probabilities over Turkey. Int J Clim: J Royal Meteological Soc 29(15):2270– 2282.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1862>
- Türkeş M, Tatlı H (2011) Use of the spectral clustering to determine coherent precipitation regions in Turkey for the period 1929– 2007. Int J Climatol 31:2055–2067. [https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2212) [2212](https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.2212)
- Türkeş M, Sümer UM, Kiliç G (2002) Persistence and periodicity in the precipitation series of Turkey and associations with 500 hPa geopotential heights. Clim Res 21(1):59–81. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.3354/cr021059) [3354/cr021059](https://doi.org/10.3354/cr021059)
- Türkeş M, Koç T, Sarış F (2007) Spatial and temporal analysis of the changes and trends in precipitation total and intensity series of Turkey. Turkish J Geo Sci 5(1):57–73
- Türkeş M, Koç T, Sariş F (2009) Spatiotemporal variability of precipitation total series over Turkey. Int. J. Climatol 29(8): 1056- 1074.<https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1768>
- Türkeş M, Turp MT, An N, Ozturk T, Kurnaz ML (2020) Impacts of climate change on precipitation climatology and variability in Turkey, Chapter 14. In Harmancioglu NB, Altinbilek. (Eds.), Water Resources of Turkey. World Water Resources, vol 2. Springer, Cham, pp 467–491. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11729-0_14
- Tye MR, Katz RW, Rajagopalan B (2019) Climate change or climate regimes? Examining multi-annual variations in the frequency of precipitation extremes over the Argentine Pampas. Clim Dyn 53:245–260.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-018-4581-9>
- Unal Y, Kindap T, Karaca M (2003) Redefning the climate zones of Turkey using cluster analysis. Int J Climatol 23(9):1045–1055. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.910>
- Van Belle G, Hughes JP (1984) Nonparametric tests for trend in water quality. Water Resour Res 20(1):127–136. [https://doi.org/10.1029/](https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i001p00127) [WR020i001p00127](https://doi.org/10.1029/WR020i001p00127)
- Vezzoli R, Pecora S, Zenoni E, Tonelli F (2012) Data analysis to detect inhomogeneity, change points, trends in observations: an application to Po river discharge extremes. CMCC Res Paper 1:138. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2195345>
- Yang Z, Liu Q (2011) Response of streamfow to climate changes in the Yellow River Basin, China. J Hydrometeorol 12(5):1113–1126. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JHM-D-10-05004.1>
- Zar JH (2005) Spearman rank correlation. Encycl Biostat 7. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470011815.b2a15150) [org/10.1002/0470011815.b2a15150](https://doi.org/10.1002/0470011815.b2a15150)
- Zittis G, Bruggeman A, Lelieveld J (2021) Revisiting future extreme precipitation trends in the Mediterranean. Weather Clim Extremes 34:100380.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2021.100380>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.