

High doses of onabotulinumtoxinA in post-stroke spasticity: a retrospective analysis

Alessio Baricich · Elisa Grana · Stefano Carda · Andrea Santamato · Carlo Cisari · Marco Invernizzi

Received: 16 December 2014/Accepted: 19 February 2015/Published online: 28 February 2015 © Springer-Verlag Wien 2015

Abstract We retrospectively evaluated the efficacy and safety of high doses of onabotulinumtoxinA (from 600 to 800 units) in 26 patients affected by upper and/or lower limb post-stroke spasticity. They were assessed before, 30 and 90 days after treatment. We observed a significant muscle tone reduction and a significant functional improvement (assessed with the Disability Assessment Scale). No adverse events were reported. In our retrospective analysis the treatment with high doses of onabotulinumtoxinA showed to be effective and safe.

Introduction

Post-stroke spasticity (PSS) has been described as a relevant clinical problem in stroke survivors, as it can impair

A. Baricich (⊠) · E. Grana · C. Cisari · M. Invernizzi Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine - University Hospital "Maggiore della Carità", Novara, Italy e-mail: alessio.baricich@maggioreosp.novara.it

A. Baricich · E. Grana · C. Cisari · M. Invernizzi Department of Health Sciences - Università del Piemonte Orientale, Novara, Italy

S. Carda

Unit of Neuropsychology and Neurorehabilitation, Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), Lausanne, Switzerland

A. Santamato

Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation Section - "OORR Hospital", University of Foggia, Foggia, Italy manual dexterity, mobility and balance, with a negative impact on independence (Martin et al. 2014).

OnabotulinumtoxinA has been proposed as a part of effective integrated treatment programme for the management of PSS (Brashear et al. 2002a, b; Wissel et al. 2009; Baker and Pereira 2013).

Clinical experience showed a good safety profile (Ghasemi et al. 2013) both in the short- (Naumann and Jankovic 2004) and in the long-term use (Naumann et al. 2006).

The optimal dose for onabotulinumtoxinA is determined by the patient's characteristics and by the treatment's goal but there is not a general consensus on maximum dose. Francisco (2004) suggested a dose up to 400–600 units (U) per session, whereas Wissel et al. (2009) remarked that it should not exceed 600 U. However, in clinical practice doses as high as 800 U are used by some practitioners, even if safety and efficacy of routine use of doses higher than 500 U still await further evidence (Francisco 2004).

The aim of our study was to retrospectively evaluate the efficacy and safety profile of higher doses of onabotulinumtoxinA (up to 800 U) in patients affected by upper and/or lower limb PSS.

Materials and methods

Patients

We retrospectively analysed data from 119 patients affected by upper and/or lower limb PSS who referred to the Physical and Rehabilitative Medicine Unit of University Hospital "Maggiore della Carità" in Novara (Italy) between July 2012 and April 2014.

CrossMark

The inclusion criteria were: spasticity due to an ischemic or hemorrhagic stroke; time from stroke at least 6 months; total dose required of onabotulinumtoxinA \geq 600 U; age >18 years. The exclusion criteria were: previous treatment with Botulinum Toxin Type A (BoNT-A) in the last 4 months; spasticity due to any other cause; presence of other concomitant neurological or neuromuscular diseases; dementia; concomitant therapy with myorelaxants (oral or intrathecal baclofen, benzodiazepines, tizanidine); previous treatment of PSS with phenol, alcohol injection or local surgery; presence of fixed contractures or muscular fibrosis at ultrasound evaluation that could have negatively influenced the treatment with onabotulinumtoxinA.

26 patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria were included in this study; 93 patients were excluded due to treatment with other BoNT-A formulations (abobotulinumtoxinA, incobotulinumtoxinA) or doses of onabotulinumtoxinA <600 U.

Each patient and/or caregiver gave his/her written consent before the treatment.

Assessment

The same physician evaluated all the patients before treatment and 1 and 3 months after injections, as performed in clinical routine. Before treatment the patients, together with the treating physician, chose their primary therapeutic target between the four domains of the Disability Assessment Scale (DAS), i.e. dressing, limb position, pain and hygiene (Brashear et al. 2002a, b). At baseline and 30 and 90 days after treatment the functional impairment of the upper limb was evaluated with DAS (a four-point scale from 0 = nodisability to 3 = severe disability), whereas changes in muscle tone were assessed with Modified Ashworth Scale (MAS) (a five-point scale from 0 = no increase in tone, to 4 = affected parts rigid in flexion or extension) (Brashear et al. 2002a, b; Bohannon and Smith 1987).

To evaluate the efficacy of the treatment, investigators, patients and their caregivers were asked to rate the patients' overall treatment tolerability (Global Assessment of Efficacy, GAE) in a four-point scale (from 1 = very good to 4 = poor) after a postinjection period of 30 and 90 days (Kaňovský et al. 2011).

In addition, a clinical examination was performed to evaluate the safety of the treatment and the presence of adverse events, which were assessed at each visit using a semi-quantitative scale (0, no adverse effects; 4, serious adverse effects) (Mancini et al. 2005).

Treatment

OnabotulinumtoxinA (Botox[®], Allergan Inc., Irvine CA) was administered in 2 mL of 0.9 % dilution saline; the

injections were performed under ultrasonographic guide by the same investigator. The clinicians planned target muscles, doses and number of injection sites for each muscle depending on spastic hypertonia grade and muscle size. After onabotulinumtoxinA injection, all patients participated in a 10 day-rehabilitation programme (electrical stimulation and stretching of injected muscles, strengthening exercise, gait training if applicable).

Statistical analysis

Since data were not normally distributed, according to Shapiro–Wilk test (data not shown), within-group comparisons were made using the Friedman test for repeated measures. In addition, Dunn's Multiple Comparison Test was performed to evaluate differences between single variable measurements (t1 vs t0, t2 vs t0 and t2 vs t1).

For statistical purpose, a MAS score "1" was considered as 1, a MAS score "1+" as 2, and so on until 5 (Biering-Sørensen et al. 2006). An alpha error level of 0.05 was chosen.

Statistical analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 1.4 for Macintosh OS 10.6.

Results

The demographical and clinical characteristics of the 26 patients studied are represented in Table 1. Considering all the patients, 23 of them received the treatment at both upper and lower limb, whereas 3 patients were treated at lower limb only. 14 patients (53.8 %) were naive to treatment with onabotulinumtoxinA (8 previously treated with other BoNT-A formulations, 6 naive to any BoNT-A formulation for spasticity).

Muscles treated and relative doses are shown in Table 1. Concerning the GAE, both 30 days (t1) and 90 days (t2) after injection patients, caregivers and clinicians rated the efficacy of treatment as "good" or "very good", except in one case where it was evaluated as "moderate" by clinicians. The complete results of GAE are represented in Table 2, together with the results of clinical evaluations with MAS and the principal target in DAS at baseline (t0), t1 and t2.

Spasticity after injections showed a significant reduction (p < 0.0001) considering MAS results at elbow/shoulder, wrist/finger, thigh and leg. We observed a significant reduction in muscle tone in all muscle groups both at t1 vs t0 and t2 vs t0, whereas no significant difference was seen at t2 vs t1 (Table 2). As primary therapeutic target in DAS evaluation, 18 patients (69.2 %) chose limb position, 4 patients (15.4 %) dressing, 3 patients (11.5 %) hygiene and

High	doses	of	onabotulinun	ntoxinA	in	post-stroke	spasticity	ý
<i>u</i>								

Table 1 Patients' demographical and clinical characteristics

26
13
54.7 ± 11.6
50 (13)
50 (13)
50 ± 48.8
57.7 (15)
42.3 (11)
73.1 (19)
26.9 (7)
676.9 ± 86.3
9.6 ± 1.4
148.5 ± 58.6
41.1 ± 11.7
61.6 ± 16.2
58.9 ± 15.7
35 ± 22.6
165.2 ± 79.2
42.9 ± 14.7
45.4 ± 16.3
43.6 ± 22.4
39.1 ± 16.6
23.4 ± 11.1
21 ± 7.4
20 ± 0
75.6 ± 21.3
67.5 ± 17.1
100 ± 0
100 ± 0
404.4 ± 112.4
92 ± 17.3
92 ± 17.3
89.2 ± 19.8
41.6 ± 10.1
48.3 ± 11.2
72.9 ± 22
37.9 ± 9.9
40 ± 32.9
11.6 ± 2.3

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or percentage

1 patient (3.9 %) chose pain. Notably, a significant improvement in DAS principal target score has been observed at t1 vs t0 (p < 0.001) and t2 vs t0 (p < 0.05).

No adverse events were reported in patients' group (mean score 0).

1285

 Table 2
 MAS, DAS and GAE evaluation at baseline (t0), 30 days

 (t1) and 90 days (t2)

	t0 ($n = 26$)	t1 ($n = 26$)	t2 ($n = 26$)
MAS elbow/shoulder			
Mean \pm SD	3.5 ± 1	$1.5 \pm 0.5*$	$2\pm0.8^{\$}$
95 % CI	3.1-3.9	1.3–1.7	1.7-2.4
MAS wrist/finger			
Mean \pm SD	3.6 ± 0.7	$1.4 \pm 0.5^*$	$2.1\pm0.7^{\$}$
95 % CI	3.3-3.9	1.2-1.6	1.8-2.4
MAS thigh			
Mean \pm SD	2.4 ± 0.7	$0.9\pm0.4*$	$1.3\pm0.5^{^{}}$
95 % CI	1.8–3	0.6-1.2	0.9–1.6
MAS leg			
Mean \pm SD	3.7 ± 0.7	$1.5\pm0.6^*$	$2.1\pm0.7^{\$}$
95 % CI	3.4–4	1.2-1.7	1.8-2.4
DAS principal target			
Mean \pm SD	2.3 ± 0.5	$1.5\pm0.6^*$	$1.8\pm0.7^{^{-}}$
95 % CI	2.1-2.5	1.2–1.7	1.5-2
GAE patients			
Very good % (n)	_	65.4 (17)	61.5 (16)
Good % (n)		34.6 (9)	38.5 (10)
GAE caregivers			
Very good % (n)	_	57.7 (15)	69.2 (18)
Good % (n)		42.3 (11)	30.8 (8)
GAE clinicians			
Very good % (n)	_	69.2 (18)	69.2 (18)
Good % (n)		30.8 (8)	26.9 (7)
Moderate % (n)		0 (0)	3.9 (1)

Data are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) or percentage * p < 0.001 t1 vs t0

p < 0.001 t2 vs t0

^ p < 0.05 t2 vs t0

Discussion

In our study, we observed a significant muscle tone reduction and clinical improvement with high doses of onabotulinumtoxinA, without any adverse events.

In recently published literature, the efficacy and safety of higher doses of incobotulinumtoxinA in PSS treatment has been described: Santamato et al. (2013) reported no adverse events in 25 patients with upper and lower limb PSS, evaluated 30 and 90 days after injections with doses up to 840 U; moreover, Invernizzi et al. (2014) evaluated changes in autonomic heart drive potentially induced by doses greater than 600 U, without meaningful alterations in linear and non linear Heart Rate Variability measures in 11 stroke survivors.

On the other hand, the current recommended dose of onabotulinumtoxinA is 400 U per session (Brin 1997) and, even if clinical experience suggests a maximum dose of 600 U (Francisco 2004; Wissel et al. 2009), there is no evidence of safety for doses greater than 500 U except for paediatric patients (Francisco 2004; Goldstein 2006).

Interestingly, Mancini et al. (2005) reported minor adverse effects (generalised weakness, weakness of the treated limb, flu-like syndrome and oedema; mean score 1.2) 4 weeks after administration of onabotulinumtoxinA in lower limb PSS, with a mean dose of 540 U. In addition, also Varghese-Kroll and Elovic (2009) presented a case report about contralateral weakness and fatigue after repeated high doses (800 and 500 U) of onabotulinumtoxinA for PSS.

In our study, the mean total dose of onabotulinumtoxinA was 676.9 ± 86.3 U, but we did not report any adverse event. A possible explanation might be the use of ultrasonography to identify target muscles; in fact, as reported by Henzel et al. (2010), ultrasound localization may improve accuracy of needle placement, avoiding injection into vascular structures and reducing the potential risk of systemic diffusion of BoNT-A. Moreover, this technique can improve clinical outcome both in upper and lower limb PSS (Picelli et al. 2014; Santamato et al. 2014).

To our knowledge, this is the first study showing the safety and the efficacy of PSS treatment with doses of onabotulinumtoxinA up to 800 U, higher than those typically used in clinical practice for PSS.

Nevertheless, we have to take into account that our paper suffers for the limitations of a retrospective study, as selection bias and observer bias. Besides that, the sample size is relatively small.

Further research is required to better identify the optimal dose of onabotulinumtoxinA to optimize clinical outcome and safety profile.

Conflict of interest Dr. Baricich, Dr. Cisari and Dr. Invernizzi received educational grants from Allergan, Ipsen and Merz. Dr. Santamato received educational grants from Merz.

Ethical standard All procedures performed in the study were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards. For this type of study formal consent is not required.

References

- Baker JA, Pereira G (2013) The efficacy of botulinum toxin A for spasticity and pain in adults: a systematic review and metaanalysis using the Grades of Recommendation, Assessment, Development and Evaluation approach. Clin Rehabil 27:1084–1096
- Biering-Sørensen F, Nielsen JB, Klinge K (2006) Spasticity-assessment: a review. Spinal Cord 44:708–722
- Bohannon RW, Smith MB (1987) Interrater reliability of a modified Ashworth Scale of muscle spasticity. Phys Ther 67:206–207

- Brashear A, Gordon MF, Elovic E, Kassicieh VD, Marciniak C, Do M, Lee CH, Jenkins S, Turkel C (2002a) Intramuscular injection of botulinum toxin for the treatment of wrist and finger spasticity after a stroke. N Engl J Med 347:395–400
- Brashear A, Zafonte R, Corcoran M, Galvez-Jimenez N, Gracies JM, Gordon MF, McAfee A, Ruffing K, Thompson B, Williams M, Lee CH, Turkel C (2002b) Inter- and intrarater reliability of the Ashworth Scale and the Disability Assessment Scale in patients with upper-limb poststroke spasticity. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 83:1349–1354
- Brin MF (1997) Dosing, administration, and a treatment algorithm for use of botulinum toxin A for adult-onset spasticity. Spasticity Study Group. Muscle Nerve Suppl 6:S208–S220
- Francisco GE (2004) Botulinum toxin: dosing and dilution. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 83:S30–S37
- Ghasemi M, Salari M, Khorvash F, Shaygannejad V (2013) A literature review on the efficacy and safety of botulinum toxin: an injection in post-stroke spasticity. Int J Prev Med 4:S147–S158
- Goldstein EM (2006) Safety of high-dose botulinum toxin type A therapy for the treatment of pediatric spasticity. J Child Neurol 21:189–192
- Henzel MK, Munin MC, Niyonkuru C, Skidmore ER, Weber DJ, Zafonte RD (2010) Comparison of surface and ultrasound localization to identify forearm flexor muscles for botulinum toxin injections. PM R 2:642–646
- Invernizzi M, Carda S, Molinari C, Stagno D, Cisari C, Baricich A (2014) Heart rate variability (HRV) modifications in adult hemiplegic patients after botulinum toxin type a (NT-201) injection. Eur J Phys Rehabil Med (Epub ahead of print)
- Kaňovský P, Slawek J, Denes Z, Platz T, Comes G, Grafe S, Pulte I (2011) Efficacy and safety of treatment with incobotulinum toxin A (botulinum neurotoxin type A free from complexing proteins; NT 201) in post-stroke upper limb spasticity. J Rehabil Med 43:486–492
- Mancini F, Sandrini G, Moglia A, Nappi G, Pacchetti C (2005) A randomised, double-blind, dose-ranging study to evaluate efficacy and safety of three doses of botulinum toxin type A (Botox) for the treatment of spastic foot. Neurol Sci 26:26–31
- Martin A, Abogunrin S, Kurth H, Dinet J (2014) Epidemiological, humanistic, and economic burden of illness of lower limb spasticity in adults: a systematic review. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 10:111–122
- Naumann M, Jankovic J (2004) Safety of botulinum toxin type A: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Curr Med Res Opin 20:981–990
- Naumann M, Albanese A, Heinen F, Molenaers G, Relja M (2006) Safety and efficacy of botulinum toxin type A following longterm use. Eur J Neurol 13(Suppl 4):35–40
- Picelli A, Lobba D, Midiri A, Prandi P, Melotti C, Baldessarelli S, Smania N (2014) Botulinum toxin injection into the forearm muscles for wrist and fingers spastic overactivity in adults with chronic stroke: a randomized controlled trial comparing three injection techniques. Clin Rehabil 28:232–242
- Santamato A, Panza F, Ranieri M, Frisardi V, Micello MF, Filoni S, Fortunato F, Intiso D, Basciani M, Logroscino G, Fiore P (2013) Efficacy and safety of higher doses of botulinum toxin type A NT 201 free from complexing proteins in the upper and lower limb spasticity after stroke. J Neural Transm 120:469–476
- Santamato A, Micello MF, Panza F, Fortunato F, Baricich A, Cisari C, Pilotto A, Logroscino G, Fiore P, Ranieri M (2014) Can botulinum toxin type A injection technique influence the clinical outcome of patients with post-stroke upper limb spasticity? A randomized controlled trial comparing manual needle placement and ultrasound-guided injection techniques. J Neurol Sci 347:39–43

- Varghese-Kroll E, Elovic EP (2009) Contralateral weakness and fatigue after high-dose botulinum toxin injection for management of poststroke spasticity. Am J Phys Med Rehabil 88:495–499
- Wissel J, Ward AB, Erztgaard P, Bensmail D, Hecht MJ, Lejeune TM, Schnider P, Altavista MC, Cavazza S, Deltombe T, Duarte

E, Geurts AC, Gracies JM, Haboubi NH, Juan FJ, Kasch H, Kätterer C, Kirazli Y, Manganotti P, Parman Y, Paternostro-Sluga T, Petropoulou K, Prempeh R, Rousseaux M, Slawek J, Tieranta N (2009) European consensus table on the use of botulinum toxin type A in adult spasticity. J Rehabil Med 41:13–25