



# Treatment outcomes of large and giant intracranial aneurysms according to various treatment modalities

Jai Ho Choi<sup>1</sup> · Kwan Sung Lee<sup>1</sup> · Bum-soo Kim<sup>2</sup> · Yong Sam Shin<sup>1</sup>

Received: 5 May 2020 / Accepted: 16 August 2020 / Published online: 22 August 2020  
© Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2020

## Abstract

**Purpose** This study aimed to compare the treatment outcomes of large (15–25 mm) and giant (> 25 mm) intracranial aneurysms (IAs), according to different treatment modalities.

**Methods** In total, 112 patients with large and giant IAs treated with various treatment modalities between January 2009 and December 2018 were retrospectively reviewed. Clinical and radiological parameters were analyzed and correlated with the treatment modality.

**Results** A total of 141 procedures were performed on 112 patients. We initially treated 47 cases with coil embolization, 39 with flow diverter (FD), 13 with direct clipping, and 13 with parent artery occlusion (PAO). Recurrence (46.8%) and retreatment (31.9%) rates were significantly higher in the coiling group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Complete occlusion rate (36.3%) was significantly lower in the coiling group ( $p = 0.027$ ). PAO could achieve a high complete occlusion rate (90.9%) with low complication rate (12.5%). The total complication rate was 17%. In the multivariate logistic regression analysis, FD (OR 3.406,  $p = 0.036$ ) and direct clipping (OR 5.732,  $p = 0.017$ ) showed a significantly higher complication rate than coiling. The overall mortality rate was 8% (8/139 procedures). At the last follow-up (mean  $30.6 \pm 26.4$  months), 70 of 96 patients (72.9%) showed complete or near-complete occlusion. Good functional outcome (mRS  $\leq 2$ ) was observed in 90 of 112 (80.3%) patients at the last follow-up (mean  $33.2 \pm 30.5$  months).

**Conclusions** Good clinical and radiologic outcomes with acceptable complication and mortality rates can be achieved by various treatment modalities. The selection of appropriate modality should be individualized based on the angiographic findings and clinical symptoms.

**Keywords** Intracranial aneurysm · Coil · Flow diverter · Clip · Parent artery occlusion

## Introduction

The treatment of large (15–25 mm) and giant (> 25 mm) intracranial aneurysms (IAs) is challenging for neurovascular

neurosurgeons, because of its high rates of perioperative complication and recurrence [3, 13, 27, 33]. Treatment modalities of these aneurysms include surgical neck clipping, coil embolization, parent artery occlusion (PAO) with or without bypass surgery, and flow diverters (FD). Surgical neck clipping is a well-established traditional and definitive treatment modality. However, surgical neck clipping has technical difficulties because these aneurysms have complex anatomical configuration [28]. Thus, a lengthy learning curve should be required. In addition, it has a high postoperative complication rate [28, 33]. Coil embolization with or without adjunctive techniques such as stent or balloon-assisted and multiple catheter technique is relatively safe but has a high rate of recurrence and retreatment [13, 27, 30]. PAO is a simple technique and shows a high occlusion rate. However, this technique can be applied to limited cases because of its destructive nature, which potentially leads to severe ischemic complications [20, 29, 31]. Recently, FDs have become a mainstream treatment of complex aneurysms. FDs show a high occlusion rate compared

---

This article is part of the Topical Collection on Vascular Neurosurgery - Aneurysm

---

**Electronic supplementary material** The online version of this article (<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-020-04540-1>) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

✉ Yong Sam Shin  
nsshin@catholic.ac.kr

<sup>1</sup> Department of Neurosurgery, Seoul St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222 Banpo-daero, Seochogu, Seoul, Korea

<sup>2</sup> Department of Radiology, Seoul St Mary's Hospital, College of Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, 222 Banpo-daero, Seochogu, Seoul, Korea

with coil embolization with an acceptable complication rate [4, 5, 10]. However, the complication rate is significantly increased in large and giant IAs [14, 18, 23, 34].

Despite improvements in techniques and devices for open surgery and endovascular treatment (EVT), large and giant IAs are difficult to treat regardless of treatment modalities. Even though many researchers have investigated optimal modality for large and giant IAs, general consensus is not yet established, and it sometimes depends on the physician's preference. In this study, we reviewed our 10-year experience of consecutive patients treated with four different modalities for large and giant IAs and compared the clinical and angiographical outcomes among different treatment options.

## Methods

### Study population and decision for treatment option

A total of 3137 cases of ruptured and unruptured IAs were treated with open surgery and EVT in a single tertiary institute between January 2009 and December 2018. Of these cases, only those whose aneurysm size was more than 15 mm in the largest diameter were considered. Aneurysms smaller than 15 mm were excluded, leaving a total of 130 consecutive patients. Among them, 18 patients with vertebral artery dissecting aneurysm were excluded. Finally, a total of 112 patients with large and giant aneurysm were included in this study. We retrospectively reviewed the clinical and radiological characteristics from our prospectively collected aneurysm database that includes clinical information, radiological findings, treatment modalities, outcome, and complications.

The treatment option was decided by a multidisciplinary team composed of expert neurovascular neurosurgeons and a neurointerventionist. We performed diagnostic four-vessel digital subtraction angiography (DSA) along with ipsilateral external carotid artery angiography in all patients. Balloon test occlusion (BTO) was also conducted, if it was deemed necessary. After careful review of angiographic findings and discussion by the neurovascular team, treatment option was decided on an individual basis. We considered aneurysm location, configuration, endovascular accessibility to the aneurysm, BTO results, patient's medical and neurological condition, and predicted treatment risks. If there were no definite benefits regarding clinical outcome and perioperative complication among the modalities, coil embolization and FD were primarily chosen rather than direct neck clipping and PAO.

In South Korea, FD has been approved since 2014. Coil embolization was primarily performed before 2014. Thereafter, FD was considered as a primary treatment option for large and giant IAs. However, the indication of FD is very strict in our country. FD is only indicated to patients with unruptured aneurysm. Aneurysm diameter should be over 15

mm, and only one FD is allowed in a single procedure. Moreover, the use of any detachable coil combined with FD is not permitted [25]. Therefore, patients with subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) were inevitably treated with coil embolization, surgical neck clipping, or PAO. In cases with (1) distal bifurcation aneurysm (middle cerebral artery and anterior communicating artery) with relatively small aneurysm size, (2) incorporated fetal type posterior communicating artery, (3) difficult to access endovascularly due to vessel tortuosity, and (4) intracranial stenosis around the aneurysm, we primarily considered surgical neck clipping rather than endovascular treatment. PAO was selectively considered in cases with sufficient collateral flow and inaccessible to the distal vessel over the aneurysm to apply FD or stent.

### Outcome assessment

Patients' clinical outcomes were measured by the modified Rankin Scale (mRS) at the last follow-up day. Good clinical outcome was defined as  $mRS \leq 2$ . All procedure-related and perioperative events were registered in our database. The presence of postsurgical intracranial hemorrhage was evaluated by non-enhanced computed tomography (CT). We performed diffusion-weighted imaging and gradient echo imaging within 24 h after EVT to evaluate postprocedural hemorrhage or infarction.

Immediate postoperative angiographic results were evaluated by DSA after EVT and by indocyanine videoangiography or DSA after surgery. We could not assess immediate angiographic results after FD treatment because FD showed a delayed therapeutic effect. The first follow-up radiologic examinations were performed in an outpatient clinic 3 to 12 months after the operation. Additional imaging study was conducted according to the result of the first follow-up imaging study. The angiographic results of treatment were classified as follows: (1) complete occlusion, (2) near-complete occlusion (remnant neck), or (3) sac filling. The clinical outcome and angiographic results were retrospectively assessed by two observers (J.H.C and B.K.) who were blinded to the patients' baseline characteristics.

### Statistical analysis

We performed a statistical analysis using SPSS version 24 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Categorical variables were analyzed by the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test. Continuous variables were compared using a *t* test, analysis of variance or Mann–Whitney *U* test. Multivariate logistic regression analysis to identify risk factors for procedure-related complications was performed after including variables with *p* value < 0.2 in the univariate analysis. Less than 0.05 of *p* value was regarded as statistically significant.

## Results

### Baseline patient clinical and angiographical characteristics

Clinical and angiographic characteristics of all patients treated in our institute are summarized in Table 1. Of the 112 patients, 23 (20.5%) were male, and the mean age was 55.9 years. The rate of incidentally detected lesions was 21.4% (24 of 112

**Table 1** Baseline patient clinical and angiographical characteristics

Variables	Value (percentage)
Number of patients	112 (100)
Mean age $\pm$ SD (years)	55.9 $\pm$ 14.6
Male	23 (20.5)
Presenting symptom	
SAH	23 (20.5)
Infarction/TIA	6 (5.3)
Seizure	2 (1.7)
Progressive brainstem sign	5 (4.4)
Ophthalmoplegia	18 (16.1)
Decreased V/A	19 (16.9)
Headache	15 (13.4)
Incidental	24 (21.4)
Location	
Distal ICA	63 (56.2)
AcomA/A1	5 (4.5)
PcomA	14 (12.5)
MCA	14 (12.5)
Posterior circulation	16 (14.3)
Aneurysm size	
Mean size $\pm$ SD (mm)	20.9 $\pm$ 6.5
More than 25 mm	22 (19.6)
Initial treatment modality	
Coil embolization	47 (41.9)
Single catheter	11 (9.8)
Multiple catheter	11 (9.8)
Stent-assisted	21 (18.7)
Balloon-assisted	4 (3.6)
Flow diverter	39 (34.8)
Direct neck clipping	13 (11.6)
Parent artery occlusion	13 (11.6)
With bypass surgery	6
Number of treatments	141
Single-session treatment	88
Multi-session treatments	53
Two times	20
Three times	3
Four times	1
Mean follow-up period (months)	
Clinical follow-up	33.2 $\pm$ 30.5
Radiological follow-up	30.6 $\pm$ 26.4
Complete occlusion rate at last follow-up	48 out of 96 (50.0%)
mRS at last follow-up	
0	82 (73.2)
1–2	8 (7.1)
3–5	14 (12.5)
6	8 (7.1)

SD standard deviation, SAH subarachnoid hemorrhage, TIA transient ischemic attack, V/A visual acuity, ICA internal carotid artery, AcomA anterior communicating artery, PcomA posterior communicating artery, MCA middle cerebral artery

patients). The most common presenting symptom was SAH ( $n = 23$ , 20.5%), followed by decreased visual acuity ( $n = 19$ , 16.9%), ophthalmoplegia ( $n = 18$ , 16.1%), headache ( $n = 15$ , 13.4%), ischemic symptom ( $n = 6$ , 5.3%), progressive brainstem sign ( $n = 5$ , 4.4%), and seizures ( $n = 2$ , 1.7%). The distal internal carotid artery ( $n = 63$ , 56.2%) was the most common site, followed by posterior circulation ( $n = 16$ , 14.3%), middle cerebral artery ( $n = 14$ , 12.5%), posterior communicating artery ( $n = 14$ , 12.5%), and anterior cerebral artery including anterior communicating artery ( $n = 5$ , 4.5%). The mean aneurysm size was 20.9 mm, and there were 22 cases (19.6%) with aneurysms of more than 25 mm in size. A total of 141 procedures were performed on 112 patients. Single-session treatments were performed on 88 patients. In contrast, 24 patients underwent multi-session treatments (20 patients with two sessions, three patients with three sessions, and one patient with four sessions).

### Clinical and radiological features and long-term outcome according to treatment modalities

Table 2 shows the details of the clinical and radiological features according to treatment modalities. Forty-seven patients were initially treated with coil embolization, 39 with FD, 13 with surgical neck clipping, and 13 with PAO. The mean size of aneurysms treated with coil embolization (17.9 mm) and surgical neck clipping (18.4 mm) was significantly smaller than that of those treated with FD (23.7 mm) and PAO (25.5 mm) ( $p < 0.001$ ). The treatment modality was significantly different according to the presence of SAH ( $p < 0.001$ ). Most patients with SAH were treated with coil embolization ( $n = 15$ ) or surgical neck clipping ( $n = 7$ ). Only one patient was treated with PAO, and FD was not used for treating SAH. However, the presence of SAH was not significantly associated with the recurrence ( $p = 0.080$ ), retreatment ( $p = 0.780$ ), the occurrence of complications ( $p = 0.235$ ), and long-term radiologic results ( $p = 0.731$ ) after treatment (Supplemental Table 1).

The aneurysm location was significantly different among the treatment modalities ( $p < 0.001$ ). Of the 39 aneurysms treated with FD, 33 (84.6%) were located in the distal internal carotid artery. In the surgical neck clipping group, the rate of middle cerebral artery aneurysm (5 of 13 patients, 38.4%) was higher than that of aneurysms in other locations. Complete occlusion was achieved in 20 of 47 patients (42.5%) with coil embolization on postprocedural immediate DSA. In the surgical neck clipping group, 10 of 13 patients (76.9%) showed complete occlusion on postoperative immediate examination. No significant difference was found between the two groups ( $p = 0.088$ ). The recurrence rate after the initial treatment was significantly higher in the coil embolization group (46.8%) than in other modalities group (15.3% in the surgical neck clipping group and 0% in the FD and PAO groups,  $p < 0.001$ ). In addition, the retreatment rates of patients who

**Table 2** Comparison of clinical and radiological features according to treatment modalities

	Coil embolization ( <i>n</i> = 47)	Flow diverter ( <i>n</i> = 39)	Surgical neck clipping ( <i>n</i> = 13)	Parent artery occlusion ( <i>n</i> = 13)	<i>p</i> value
Mean size ± SD (mm)	17.9 ± 2.8	23.7 ± 7.1	18.4 ± 3.6	25.5 ± 7.8	< 0.001
SAH	15 (31.9%)	0 (0%)	7 (53.8%)	1 (7.6%)	< 0.001
Location					< 0.001
Distal ICA	20 (42.5%)	33 (84.6%)	2 (15.3%)	8 (61.5%)	
AcomA/A1	4 (8.5%)	0 (0%)	1 (7.6%)	0 (0%)	
PcomA	10 (21.2%)	0 (0%)	4 (30.7%)	0 (0%)	
MCA	5 (10.6%)	3 (7.7%)	5 (38.4%)	1 (7.6%)	
Posterior circulation	8 (17.0%)	3 (7.7%)	1 (8.3%)	4 (30.7%)	
Immediate angiographic outcome					
Complete	20 (42.5%)*		10 (76.9%)*	6 (46.1%)	0.088*
Remnant neck	20 (42.5%)		2 (16.7%)		
Remnant sac	7 (15.0%)	39 (100%)	1 (7.6%)	7 (53.9%)	
Recurrence after initial treatment	22 (46.8%)	0	2 (15.3%)	0	<0.001
Retreatment after initial treatment	15 (31.9%)	6 (15.3%)	2 (15.3%)	0 (0%)	0.047

SAH subarachnoid hemorrhage

underwent surgical neck clipping (2 of 13 patients, 15.3%), FD (6 of 39 patients, 15.3%), and PAO (0 of 13 patients, 0%) were significantly lower than those of the coil embolization group (15 of 47 patients, 31.9%,  $p = 0.047$ ).

Because 24 patients underwent additional treatments, a total of 141 procedures, including 62 cases of coil embolization, 48 cases of FD, 13 cases of surgical neck clipping, 16 cases of PAO, and two delayed balloon angioplasty following FD, were finally performed. Details of multi-session treatments are described in Table 3. The mean radiological follow-up period was  $30.6 \pm 26.4$  months. Follow-up CT angiography, magnetic resonance angiography, and/or DSA were performed obtained in 96 patients, and the long-term complete or near-complete occlusion rate was 72.9% (70 of 96 patients). PAO showed the highest complete occlusion rate (10 of 13 patients, 90.9%) after a single-session treatment, followed by surgical neck clipping (7 of 11 patients, 77.8%), FD (17 of 33 patients, 56.6%), and coil embolization (8 of 31 patients, 36.3%) ( $p < 0.027$ ). Good functional outcome (mRS  $\leq 2$ ) was achieved in 90 patients (80.3%) after a mean  $33.2 \pm 30.5$  months of follow-up. Table 3 shows the clinical and radiological outcomes at the last follow-up according to different treatment modalities.

### Complications based on treatment modalities

Details of the complication are summarized in Table 4. The total complication rate was the highest with surgical neck clipping (5 of 13 patients, 38.4%), followed by FD (9 of 48 patients, 18.7%), coil embolization (8 of 62 patients, 12.9%), and PAO (2 of 16 patients, 12.5%). The most common

complication was cerebral infarction (11 of 141 procedures, 7.8%). Delayed aneurysmal ruptures occurred in three patients with coil embolization and in three patients with FD. There were two delayed in-stent stenosis after FD, leading to additional balloon angioplasty. In total, eight patients died at the last follow-up. The FD group showed higher mortality rate (4 of 48 procedures, 8.3%) than the other treatment groups, but no significance was found ( $p = 0.702$ ). Overall moderate to severe morbidity (mRS of 3–5) and mortality rates (mRS of 6) were 12.5% and 7.1%, respectively. In the univariate analysis, procedure-related complication occurred more frequently in posterior circulation aneurysm rather than in anterior circulation aneurysms ( $p = 0.031$ ). The results of the multivariate analysis showed that posterior circulation aneurysm (odds ratio (OR) 3.406, confidence interval (CI) 1.084–10.700,  $p = 0.036$ ) and treatment modality including FD (OR 5.732, CI 1.374–23.904,  $p = 0.017$ ) and surgical clipping (OR 8.497, CI 1.096–65.889,  $p = 0.041$ ) were significantly associated with procedure-related complication (Table 5).

### Discussion

In this study, we achieved a high rate of complete or near-complete occlusion (72.9%) with 12.5% and 7.1% of morbidity and mortality rates for large and giant IAs using various treatment modalities. Large and giant IAs have a tragic natural history because of (1) particular pathological anatomy, including compression of the brainstem or cranial nerve, wide aneurysm neck, complex incorporated branching vessels, adherent surrounding perforators, and atherosclerotic changes of the

**Table 3** Clinical and radiological outcome at last follow-up

	Radiological outcome				Clinical outcome (mRS)		
	Complete ( <i>n</i> = 48)	Remnant neck ( <i>n</i> = 22)	Sac filling ( <i>n</i> = 26)	Follow-up loss ( <i>n</i> = 16)	0–2 ( <i>n</i> = 91)	3–5 ( <i>n</i> = 14)	6 (death) ( <i>n</i> = 8)
<b>Single-session treatment</b>							
Coil embolization ( <i>n</i> = 31)	8 (36.3%)*	6	8	9	24	7	
Flow diverter ( <i>n</i> = 33)	17 (56.6%)*	9	4	3	28	3	2
Surgical neck clipping ( <i>n</i> = 11)	7 (77.8%)*	2		2	9	1	1
Parent artery occlusion ( <i>n</i> = 13)	10 (90.9%)*		1	2	10	2	1
<b>Multi-session treatments</b>							
Coil/coil ( <i>n</i> = 6)	1	2	3		6		
Coil/PAO ( <i>n</i> = 1)	1				1		
Coil/FD ( <i>n</i> = 5)	2	1	2		4		1
FD/FD ( <i>n</i> = 3)		1	2		2		1
FD/PAO ( <i>n</i> = 1)		1			1		
Neck clipping/coil ( <i>n</i> = 2)			2				2
FD/delayed balloon angioplasty ( <i>n</i> = 2)	1		1		2		
Coil/coil/coil ( <i>n</i> = 2)			2		2		
Coil/FD/PAO ( <i>n</i> = 1)	1				1		
Coil/coil/coil/coil ( <i>n</i> = 1)			1			1	

PAO parent artery occlusion, FD flow diverter

\**p* < 0.027

aneurysm wall and parent vessel, and (2) related postoperative risks [3, 28]. Therefore, treatment goals are not only complete occlusion of the aneurysm but also prevention of hemorrhagic and thromboembolic complications [11].

Surgery is a traditional modality for treatment of all IAs. High complete and near-complete occlusion rates can be achieved by surgery even in large and giant aneurysms. Sughrue et al. reported that they achieved 87% of complete and near-complete occlusion rates for giant aneurysms [28]. However, surgical morbidity and mortality is sharply increased with treatment of very large and giant IAs [12, 28,

33]. Sheen et al. reported that morbidity and mortality rate at 6 months were 6% and 2% for a total of 69 large (> 10 mm) and giant aneurysms [24]. In a contemporary large surgical series reported by Sughrue et al., mortality rate at the perioperative period was 13% (18 out of 140 patients) and permanent neurologic morbidity occurred in 13 patients [28]. In the surgical neck clipping group, we could achieve a high complete occlusion rate (77.8%), but complications occurred most frequently among the four treatment groups. Even though the complication rate was high (5 of 13 patients, 38.4%) in this study, most (4 of 5 patients, 80%) of the patients fully recovered over time.

**Table 4** Complications based on treatment modalities

	Coil embolization	Flow diverter	Surgical neck clipping	Parent artery occlusion	<i>p</i> value
Total number of procedures	62	48	13	16	
Complications	8 (12.9%)	9 (18.7%)	5 (38.4%)	2 (12.5%)	0.155
Infarction	3	2	4	2	
Procedural rupture		1	1		
Remote ICH	1				
Delayed rupture	3	3			
Aggravated mass effect	1	1			
Delayed in-stent stenosis		2			
Mortality after final treatment	2 (3.2%)	4 (8.3%)	1 (7.7%)	1 (6.3%)	0.702

ICH intracranial hemorrhage



**Table 5** Uni- and multivariate analyses of procedure-related complications

Characteristics	Complication		Univariate	Multivariate	Odds ratio	95% confidence interval
	Yes ( <i>n</i> = 24)	No ( <i>n</i> = 115)				
Clinical features						
Age (mean ± SD)	58.83 ± 10.98	56.05 ± 15.81	0.414			
Female	20 (83.3%)	88 (76.5%)	0.466			
Radiologic features						
Size in mm (mean ± SD)	22.65 ± 6.44	20.51 ± 6.09	0.123	0.316	0.959	0.882–1.041
Aneurysm location			0.031	0.036	3.406	1.084–10.700
Anterior circulation	16 (66.7%)	98 (85.2%)				
Posterior circulation	8 (33.3%)	17 (14.8%)				
Treatment modality						
Coil	8 (33.3%)	54 (47%)	0.155	Ref.		
FD	9 (37.5%)	39 (33.9%)		0.017	5.732	1.374–23.904
PAO	2 (8.3%)	14 (12.2%)		0.073	3.857	0.881–16.883
Surgical clip	5 (20.8%)	8 (7.0%)		0.041	8.497	1.096–65.889

Coil embolization has been developed as an alternative and has become one of the treatments of all IAs with the advent of endovascular devices [19]. However, coil embolization of large and giant IAs has several different aspects compared with that of small IAs. Adjunctive devices, such as stent or balloon, are often needed because these aneurysms usually have a wide neck [30]. Most aneurysms are recanalized over time due to coil compaction and coil migration into the aneurysm sac, resulting in luminal growing [13, 27, 30]. Gao et al. reported 29.6% of overall recanalization rate [11] and Chalouhi et al. showed 37% of retreatment rate after coiling for large and giant aneurysms [9]. In this study, the recurrence (46.8%) and retreatment (31.9%) rates were also very high similar to previous studies. The advantage of coil embolization can be a relatively safe procedure compared to other modalities [30] and our study also showed lowest complication rate.

Recent meta-analysis by Brinjikji et al. in 2013 showed a high complete occlusion rate in large (74%) and giant (76%) aneurysms treated with FD [7]. In a large Japanese series of FD for large and giant UIAs, complete occlusion was achieved in 63 out of 91 aneurysms (69.2%). They used multiple overlapping or telescoping FDs in 23 patients and inserted additional detachable coils in 34 aneurysms (34%) [22]. Although FD had a higher complete or near-complete occlusion rate than coil embolization, complication and mortality rates were not negligible. We experienced two cases with early delayed aneurysmal rupture within 1-month post procedure and one late delayed aneurysmal rupture. All early delayed rupture cases were located in the distal internal carotid artery, and the patient finally died. To avoid this fatal complication, several techniques including adjunctive coil insertion

and strict blood pressure (BP) control have been suggested [16, 23, 26]. Erez et al. reported that pipeline embolization device with partially dense coil packing showed high complete occlusion rate (23 of 27 patients, 85.2%) without delayed rupture at 1-year follow-up [21]. Oishi et al. reported two delayed aneurysm rupture, but all developed carotid cavernous fistulas. They put adjunctive coils when the aneurysm was expected with a high risk of delayed rupture, which was located in the subarachnoid space with the jet flow with a narrow neck, irregular shape, and more than 15 mm [22]. However, as previously mentioned, additional coil insertion with FD is not allowed in our country. After we experienced two early delayed rupture cases in 2014, strict BP control to reduce direct hemodynamic strain on the aneurysm wall and steroid therapy to avoid thrombus-related inflammation and autolysis to the aneurysm wall have been routinely conducted [8, 15]. Thereafter, early delayed aneurysmal rupture did not develop. In this study, symptomatic ischemic complications occurred in two patients, but all fully recovered at the last follow-up. Asymptomatic delayed in-stent stenosis developed in two patients, and they underwent additional balloon angioplasty. One aneurysm completely disappeared, and another aneurysm showed small sac filling on final angiography.

PAO showed the highest complete occlusion rate (90.0%) and the lowest complication rate (12.5%) in this study. Similar to our study, Nishi et al. reported that high complete occlusion rate (90.5%, *n* = 248) with low morbidity (5.8%) and mortality (0.7%) rate was achieved in their study [20]. PAO can be a definitive and useful modality because (1) it is a simple technique and (2) it shows a high complete occlusion rate and low recurrence rate compared with other modalities [20, 30]. However, patients treated with PAO were often exposed to

inherent ischemic complications because of its destructive nature [20]. Thus, a tolerance test should be performed prior to performing PAO [1, 17, 31]. Additional risks associated with the revascularization technique (especially high flow bypass) can occur when the patient is intolerable to the test [20, 28]. In this study, six patients underwent bypass surgery before PAO. We performed 5 low flow bypass surgeries (4 superficial temporal artery-middle cerebral artery anastomoses and 1 occipital artery-posterior inferior cerebellar artery anastomosis) and 1 high flow bypass surgery with radial artery graft. Among them, graft failure occurred in 1 patient who developed Wallenberg syndrome.

Coil embolization is a low-risk procedure and can offer short-term protection against hemorrhage [30]. However, recanalization frequently occurs with time and, thus, it may not be a definitive treatment [11, 30]. In addition, recent study regarding comparison of coil embolization and FD showed that FD provides higher aneurysm occlusion with no additional morbidity and similar clinical outcomes [9]. They concluded that FD might be a preferred treatment modality for large and giant UIAs [9]. Since the pipeline embolization device was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), the use of FD for complex IAs has significantly increased. Several investigators have suggested the safety and efficacy of FD for the treatment of IAs regardless of its size, location, and complexity [5, 7, 32, 35]. Although FD has become a mainstay treatment of any kinds of IAs, the results of large and giant IAs were relatively unfavorable because of high thromboembolic and symptomatic hemorrhagic complication rates similar to our results [2, 6, 14]. However, with the advent of new technology including phospholipid choline coating technique, development of a low-profile FD compatible with a small-caliber microcatheter, and evolution of new antiplatelet drugs, thromboembolic complication of FD can be reduced in the future. Fatal hemorrhagic complication may be reduced by adjunctive coil packing and postprocedural strict BP control and steroid therapy. Accordingly, FD will be more widely used for treating large and giant IAs. Surgery is still a useful and effective treatment modality when FD is not available due to medical problems such as vessel tortuosity and severe atherosclerotic change or socioeconomic problems. Because FD has some disadvantages, a surgical option with an appropriate indication would be valid and collaboration between surgery and EVT is still needed [24]. PAO can be a good and powerful alternative when abundant collateral flow exists and access to the distal vessel over the aneurysm to apply FD is not possible.

This study had some limitations. First, this was a single-center experience and not a population-based study. In addition, the number of patients treated with surgical neck clipping and PAO was relatively small. Therefore, our results may not be enough to draw definite conclusions. Second, although we collected the data prospectively, clinical and radiologic data were analyzed retrospectively. Third, clinical and radiologic

follow-up protocols were not standardized. Moreover, there was a relatively high follow-up loss rate (16 of 112 patients, 14.2%) in our study. Among them, 11 patients (seven with initial high Hunt-Hess grade and four with morbidity or mortality) showed poor clinical outcome (mRS  $\geq$  4) after treatment, and their family did not want any follow-up radiologic examinations.

To date, there is no general consensus as to which treatment modality is optimal for large and giant IAs, and each modality has its advantages and disadvantages. The most important point for achieving complete occlusion and reducing complications is to select an appropriate treatment modality based on the angiographic features and clinical conditions of each patient.

**Funding information** This research was funded by the Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) (2019R1F1A1057108).

## Compliance with ethical standards

**Conflict of interest** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical approval** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards

**Informed consent** For this type of study, formal consent is not required

## References

1. Abud DG, Spelle L, Piotin M, Mounayer C, Vanzin JR, Moret J (2005) Venous phase timing during balloon test occlusion as a criterion for permanent internal carotid artery sacrifice. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 26:2602–2609
2. Adeeb N, Griessenauer CJ, Shallwani H, Shakir H, Foreman PM, Moore JM, Dmytriw AA, Gupta R, Siddiqui AH, Levy EI, Snyder K, Harrigan MR, Ogilvy CS, Thomas AJ (2017) Pipeline embolization device in treatment of 50 unruptured large and giant aneurysms. *World Neurosurg* 105:232–237
3. Barrow DL, Alleyne C (1995) Natural history of giant intracranial aneurysms and indications for intervention. *Clin Neurosurg* 42: 214–244
4. Becske T, Brinjikji W, Potts MB, Kallmes DF, Shapiro M, Moran CJ, Levy EI, McDougall CG, Szikora I, Lanzino G, Woo HH, Lopes DK, Siddiqui AH, Albuquerque FC, Fiorella DJ, Saatici I, Cekirge SH, Berez AL, Cher DJ, Berentei Z, Marosfoi M, Nelson PK (2017) Long-term clinical and angiographic outcomes following pipeline embolization device treatment of complex internal carotid artery aneurysms: five-year results of the pipeline for uncoilable or failed aneurysms trial. *Neurosurgery* 80:40–48
5. Becske T, Kallmes DF, Saatici I, McDougall CG, Szikora I, Lanzino G, Moran CJ, Woo HH, Lopes DK, Berez AL, Cher DJ, Siddiqui AH, Levy EI, Albuquerque FC, Fiorella DJ, Berentei Z, Marosfoi M, Cekirge SH, Nelson PK (2013) Pipeline for uncoilable or failed aneurysms: results from a multicenter clinical trial. *Radiology* 267: 858–868

6. Brinjikji W, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, Siddiqui AH, Boccardi E, Cekirge S, Fiorella D, Hanel R, Jabbour P, Levy E, Lopes D, Lylyk P, Szikora I, Kallmes DF (2016) Risk factors for ischemic complications following pipeline embolization device treatment of intracranial aneurysms: results from the IntrePED Study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 37:1673–1678
7. Brinjikji W, Murad MH, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, Kallmes DF (2013) Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with flow diverters: a meta-analysis. *Stroke* 44:442–447
8. Cebal JR, Mut F, Raschi M, Scrivano E, Ceratto R, Lylyk P, Putman CM (2011) Aneurysm rupture following treatment with flow-diverting stents: computational hemodynamics analysis of treatment. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 32:27–33
9. Chalouhi N, Tjoumakaris S, Starke RM, Gonzalez LF, Randazzo C, Hasan D, McMahon JF, Singhal S, Moukarzel LA, Dumont AS, Rosenwasser R, Jabbour P (2013) Comparison of flow diversion and coiling in large unruptured intracranial saccular aneurysms. *Stroke* 44:2150–2154
10. Dmytriw AA, Phan K, Salem MM, Adeeb N, Moore JM, Griessnauer CJ, Foreman PM, Shallwani H, Shakir H, Siddiqui AH, Levy EI, Davies JM, Harrigan MR, Thomas AJ, Ogilvy CS (2019) The pipeline embolization device: changes in practice and reduction of complications in the treatment of anterior circulation aneurysms in a multicenter cohort. *Neurosurgery*
11. Gao X, Liang G, Li Z, Wei X, Cao P (2012) A single-centre experience and follow-up of patients with endovascular coiling of large and giant intracranial aneurysms with parent artery preservation. *J Clin Neurosci* 19:364–369
12. Gobin YP, Vinuela F, Gurian JH, Guglielmi G, Duckwiler GR, Massoud TF, Martin NA (1996) Treatment of large and giant fusiform intracranial aneurysms with Guglielmi detachable coils. *J Neurosurg* 84:55–62
13. Gruber A, Killer M, Bavinski G, Richling B (1999) Clinical and angiographic results of endosaccular coiling treatment of giant and very large intracranial aneurysms: a 7-year, single-center experience. *Neurosurgery* 45:793–803 discussion 803–794
14. Kallmes DF, Hanel R, Lopes D, Boccardi E, Bonafe A, Cekirge S, Fiorella D, Jabbour P, Levy E, McDougall C, Siddiqui A, Szikora I, Woo H, Albuquerque F, Bozorgchami H, Dashti SR, Delgado Almandoz JE, Kelly ME, Turner R, Woodward BK, Brinjikji W, Lanzino G, Lylyk P (2015) International retrospective study of the pipeline embolization device: a multicenter aneurysm treatment study. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 36:108–115
15. Lanzino G, Crobbedu E, Cloft HJ, Hanel R, Kallmes DF (2012) Efficacy and safety of flow diversion for paraclinoid aneurysms: a matched-pair analysis compared with standard endovascular approaches. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 33:2158–2161
16. Lee JY, Cho YD, Kang H-S, Han MH (2020) Healing of aneurysm after treatment using flow diverter stent : histopathological study in experimental canine carotid side wall aneurysm. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 63:34–44
17. Linskey ME, Jungreis CA, Yonas H, Hirsch WL Jr, Sekhar LN, Horton JA, Janosky JE (1994) Stroke risk after abrupt internal carotid artery sacrifice: accuracy of preoperative assessment with balloon test occlusion and stable xenon-enhanced CT. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 15:829–843
18. Lv X, Ge H, He H, Jiang C, Li Y (2017) A systematic review of pipeline embolization device for giant intracranial aneurysms. *Neurol India* 65:35–38
19. Molyneux AJ, Kerr RS, Yu LM, Clarke M, Sneade M, Yarnold JA, Sandercock P (2005) International subarachnoid aneurysm trial (ISAT) of neurosurgical clipping versus endovascular coiling in 2143 patients with ruptured intracranial aneurysms: a randomised comparison of effects on survival, dependency, seizures, rebleeding, subgroups, and aneurysm occlusion. *Lancet* 366:809–817
20. Nishi H, Ishii A, Satow T, Iihara K, Sakai N (2019) Parent artery occlusion for unruptured cerebral aneurysms: results of the Japanese Registry of Neuroendovascular Therapy 3. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 59:1–9
21. Nossek E, Chalif DJ, Chakraborty S, Lombardo K, Black KS, Setton A (2015) Concurrent use of the pipeline embolization device and coils for intracranial aneurysms: technique, safety, and efficacy. *J Neurosurg* 122:904–911
22. Oishi H, Teranishi K, Yatomi K, Fujii T, Yamamoto M, Arai H (2018) Flow diverter therapy using a pipeline embolization device for 100 unruptured large and giant internal carotid artery aneurysms in a single center in a Japanese population. *Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo)* 58:461–467
23. Rouchaud A, Brinjikji W, Lanzino G, Cloft HJ, Kadirvel R, Kallmes DF (2016) Delayed hemorrhagic complications after flow diversion for intracranial aneurysms: a literature overview. *Neuroradiology* 58:171–177
24. Sheen JJ, Park W, Kwun BD, Park JC, Ahn JS (2019) Microsurgical treatment strategy for large and giant aneurysms of the internal carotid artery. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 177:54–62
25. Shin D-S, Carroll CP, Elghareeb M, Hoh BL, Kim B-T (2020) The evolution of flow-diverting stents for cerebral aneurysms; historical review, modern application, complications, and future direction. *J Korean Neurosurg Soc* 63:137–152
26. Siddiqui AH, Kan P, Abula AA, Hopkins LN, Levy EI (2012) Complications after treatment with pipeline embolization for giant distal intracranial aneurysms with or without coil embolization. *Neurosurgery* 71:E509–E513
27. Sluzewski M, Menovsky T, van Rooij WJ, Wijnalda D (2003) Coiling of very large or giant cerebral aneurysms: long-term clinical and serial angiographic results. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 24:257–262
28. Sughrue ME, Saloner D, Rayz VL, Lawton MT (2011) Giant intracranial aneurysms: evolution of management in a contemporary surgical series. *Neurosurgery* 69:1261–1270 discussion 1270–1261
29. van der Schaaf IC, Brilstra EH, Buskens E, Rinkel GJ (2002) Endovascular treatment of aneurysms in the cavernous sinus: a systematic review on balloon occlusion of the parent vessel and embolization with coils. *Stroke* 33:313–318
30. van Rooij WJ, Sluzewski M (2009) Endovascular treatment of large and giant aneurysms. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 30:12–18
31. van Rooij WJ, Sluzewski M, Slob MJ, Rinkel GJ (2005) Predictive value of angiographic testing for tolerance to therapeutic occlusion of the carotid artery. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 26:175–178
32. Ye G, Zhang M, Deng L, Chen X, Wang Y (2016) Meta-analysis of the efficiency and prognosis of intracranial aneurysm treated with flow diverter devices. *J Mol Neurosci* 59:158–167
33. Zeeshan Q, Ghodke BV, Juric-Sekhar G, Barber JK, Kim LJ, Sekhar LN (2018) Surgery for very large and giant intracranial aneurysms: results and complications. *Neurol India* 66:1741–1757
34. Zhou G, Su M, Yin YL, Li MH (2017) Complications associated with the use of flow-diverting devices for cerebral aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Neurosurg Focus* 42:E17
35. Zhou G, Su M, Zhu YQ, Li MH (2016) Efficacy of flow-diverting devices for cerebral aneurysms: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *World Neurosurg* 85:252–262

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.