ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Diverse large lepidopteran pollinators promote the naturalisation of *Crinum asiaticum* **in invaded and disturbed habitats, despite apparent foral specialisation**

Yang Huang1 · Lan‑Ying Liu2 · Chang‑Qiu Liu2 · Qing‑Biao Lu2 · Qiang‑Bang Gong³ · Bo Cai¹ · Xing‑Hua Hu2

Received: 11 February 2020 / Accepted: 16 February 2021 / Published online: 8 March 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Crinum asiaticum is phenotypically specialised with white, scented, very long-tubed fowers, suggesting that only longproboscid hawkmoths may be efective pollinators. However, this species has frequently escaped cultivation in many tropical and subtropical regions. We therefore investigated the reproductive biology of *C. asiaticum* to understand how it is able to naturalise, despite phenotypic specialisation. We examined the ability for autonomous selfng and reliance on sexual versus vegetative reproduction in *C. asiaticum* var. *sinicum*, using a group individuals growing and propagating naturally in a suburban botanical garden. We also analysed the foral syndrome, recorded foral visitors, determined the pollination efectiveness of foral visitors, and reviewed records of foral visitors at diferent observation sites. Sexual reproduction provides a much greater potential for dispersal than vegetative reproduction in *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum*. This plant does not perform autonomous selfng and shows poor self-compatibility. The foral syndrome strongly points to long-proboscid hawkmoth pollination, whereas both hawkmoths and swallowtail butterfies with varied tongue lengths were observed as foral visitors because the nectar can accumulate to high levels and therefore flls the majority or all of the perianth tube. Both butterfies and hawkmoths effectively deposited pollen on stigmas. Thus, natural propagation should be attributed to sufficient cross pollination by local lepidopterans. Our fndings suggest that autonomous selfng and vegetative reproduction may not be necessary for naturalisation and long-term persistence of plant populations in highly disturbed habitats or new ranges, even if the plants exhibit an extremely specialised foral syndrome.

Keywords Autonomous selfng · *Crinum* · Floral phenotypic specialisation · Floral syndrome · Hawkmoth · Pollination effectiveness

Introduction

Floral phenotypic specialisation characterises numerous lineages in the radiation of angiosperms (Fenster et al. [2004;](#page-9-0) van der Niet and Johnson [2012\)](#page-10-0). It favours the use

Handling editor: Ferhat Celep.

 \boxtimes Chang-Qiu Liu changqiuliu@whu.edu.cn

- ¹ College of Tourism and Landscape Architecture, Guilin University of Technology, Guilin, China
- ² Guangxi Key Laboratory of Plant Conservation and Restoration Ecology in Karst Terrain, Guangxi Institute of Botany, Guangxi Zhuang Autonomous Region, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guilin, China
- Tongbiguan Provincial Nature Reserve, Yunnan, China

of a narrow group of pollinators (Armbruster [2006;](#page-9-1) Ollerton et al. [2007](#page-10-1)) and may lead to various ecological consequences. For instance, it constrains the spectrum of potential pollinators of a plant species, possibly leading to a specialised pollination system (Fenster et al. [2004](#page-9-0); Ollerton et al. [2007](#page-10-1)). Plants exhibiting signifcant foral phenotypic specialisation may more often experience sexual reproduction failure in the face of human disturbance than will other plants (e.g. Johnson et al. [2004;](#page-10-2) Reiter et al. [2017](#page-10-3); Walsha et al. [2019](#page-10-4)). Compared with phenotypic generalisation, it could in theory put plant populations at risk because of pollinator loss (Waser et al. [1996](#page-11-0); Memmott et al. [2004](#page-10-5)). Moreover, if introduced to new habitats, these plants are less likely to be naturalised and become further invasive because they have a lower chance of encountering effective pollinators (Ollerton et al. [2012](#page-10-6)).

On the other hand, foral phenotypic specialisation does not necessarily lead to these ecological consequences. Some plants are capable of long-term persistence without frequent sexual reproduction mediated by pollination agents. These plants may exhibit highly specialised pollination systems but produce seeds by autonomous selfng or expand ranges by vegetative reproduction (Clark-Tapia and Molina-Freaner [2004;](#page-9-2) van Kleunen et al. [2008](#page-10-7)). Alternatively, foral phenotypic specialisation does not incur specialised pollinator use (Ollerton et al. [2007;](#page-10-1) Waser et al. [2011;](#page-11-1) Celep et al. [2014](#page-9-3)). In other words, fowers may attract and be pollinated by foral visitors which their highly specialised phenotypes would not imply. A third possibility is that phenotypic specialisation does result in exclusive adaptation to a narrow range of pollinators with specifc morphology and behaviour, but these pollinators are themselves widespread and disturbance-adapted or may have co-invaded new ranges with the plants they pollinate (Gardner and Early [1996;](#page-9-4) Liu and Pemberton [2010](#page-10-8); Johnson and Raguso [2016\)](#page-10-9); consequently, a plant species will enjoy its own pollinator niche despite highly disturbed habitats or the introduction to new habitats. These scenarios are not mutually exclusive. For instance, *Nicotiana glauca* Graham is native to northern Argentina and has bird-adapted fowers. It has invaded many regions in both the New and Old World because it produces abundant seeds by autonomous selfng and pollination by birds in invaded ranges (Geerts and Pauw [2009;](#page-9-5) Ollerton et al. [2012](#page-10-6)).

Many plants have evolved pale sweet-scented fowers with long tubular structures, which provide copious nectar for hawkmoths and are pollinated by these long-proboscid insects (Martins and Johnson [2013](#page-10-10); Johnson et al. [2017](#page-10-11)). One might expect that such specialised mutualisms are fragile because the deep foral tubes would exclude most fowervisiting animals. This is particularly true for hawkmoth flowers with extraordinarily long floral tubes because even most hawkmoths species cannot gain access to the deeply concealed nectar. For example, a guild of African plants with long-tubed $(>8 \text{ cm})$ flowers are exclusively pollinated by two local long-proboscid hawkmoth species (Johnson and Raguso [2016\)](#page-10-9). The loss or rarity of these hawkmoths would probably lead to a dramatic decline in the sexual reproduction of such plants. To our knowledge, two endangered plant species showing foral syndromes suggestive of hawkmoth pollination have indeed been reported to sufer the loss of pollinators and consequent seedling recruitment failure (Johnson et al. [2004;](#page-10-2) Walsha et al. [2019](#page-10-4)). On the other hand, there are also hawkmoth-pollinated plants that become naturalised or invasive (Johnson and Raguso [2016](#page-10-9)), but few studies have investigated the mechanisms of the maintenance of their populations. One example is *Lilium formosanum* Wallace, which is native to Asia and attracts hawkmoths with white fragrant nectar-rich flowers in South

Africa (Rodger et al. [2010](#page-10-12)). However, it has the capacity for autonomous selfng so it remains unknown whether longtubed hawkmoth-adapted fowers form a barrier against plant sexual reproduction in invaded or disturbed habitats.

Crinum asiaticum L. displays a syndrome strongly pointing to the adaptation to long-proboscid hawkmoth pollination (i.e. with foral tubes of approximately 8 cm). We noticed that it could naturally set seeds and propagate in three urban and suburban botanical gardens in southern China (i.e. Guilin Botanical Garden, South China Botanical Garden, and Xishuangbanna Tropical Botanical Garden). There have been anecdotal reports of insects visiting *C. asiaticum* elsewhere (Knuth and Loew [1904](#page-10-13)–1905; Miyake et al. [1998](#page-10-14); Matyot [2005\)](#page-10-15), but the reproductive ecology has not been formally investigated. Here, we explored how *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* is propagated in an urban botanical garden. Our aims were to (1) test for the dependence of *C*. *asiaticum* on sexual versus vegetative reproduction for propagation, (2) test for self-compatibility and the capability of autonomous selfng, (3) analyse the foral syndrome, (4) determine the identity of foral visitors and their contributions to reproductive success, and (5) review documents of foral visitors at diferent observation sites worldwide.

Materials and methods

Study species and sites

Crinum asiaticum var. *sinicum* is a perennial herb that produces one or more umbels with white*,* long-tubed, salverform fragrant fowers. *C. asiaticum* often grows near rivers and is naturally distributed in southeastern Asia and Oceania. It is widely cultivated as an ornamental in tropical and subtropical regions and has extensively escaped cultivation in both hemispheres (WCSP [2016](#page-11-2)). The variety *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* (Roxb. ex Herb.) Baker is extensively cultivated or naturally distributed in southern China. To our knowledge, it is usually difficult to tell whether individuals of this variety growing at a site are wild or cultivated in China, although it is reported to occur naturally in several southernmost provinces, such as Guangxi and Guangdong (Ji and Meerow [2000\)](#page-10-16). It was introduced into a suburban garden, the Guilin Botanical Garden in 1976 and has propagated naturally from eight to more than 30 individuals. Guilin is within the natural historical range of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* because it is located in one of the southernmost provinces of China. However, the Guilin Botanical Garden is surrounded by farmlands and hills without primitive vegetation so the habitat is highly disturbed. New plantlets emerge every year in large numbers, but most were removed by gardeners. Sometimes one or two well-grown plantlets were left, which is the reason why the number of adult plants has increased. This study was conducted in the Guilin Botanical Garden, which is located on Yanshan Street, Guilin City, Guangxi, China (25° 0454″ N, 110° 1815″ E, 124 m altitude).

Dispersal modes

To estimate the contribution of sexual and vegetative reproduction to natural propagation, we first compared their dispersal distances without assistance of agents. As fruits developed, the fowering stem was forced by the weight of the fruits to fall and contact the ground. The seeds in the fruits germinate in October, such that each infructescence produces several seedlings growing side by side. On 15 November 2019, using a plastic ruler, we measured the distance (e.g. the dispersal distance by sexual reproduction)

tive reproduction. Values are means $(\pm SE)$

between the stem and the central seedling among these seedlings (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). To assess the dispersal distance by vegetative reproduction, we measured the distance between the main bulb and the largest shoot from the bulbils produced by the main bulb (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0). The measurement was conducted on the ground level.

Possibility of secondary dispersal was also tested. We examined fruits of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* to determine whether they provided edible tissues for animals. To test whether fruits could be dispersed by water like the fruits of other *Crinum* (Bjorå et al. [2006\)](#page-9-6), we tested whether fruits foat and estimated the extent to which seeds tolerate soaking. We randomly chose three fruits from each of 30 individuals and divided them into 3 groups. Then each group contained 30 fruits from 30 individuals. Each group was put in a glass container nearly full of water. Groups 1, 2 and 3 soaked for 0, 6 and 12 days, respectively. Then we scattered them on a seed bed that was kept moist by watering every 3 days in a greenhouse in Guilin Botanical Garden. We counted the seeds that had germinated in December 30.

Floral visitors and proboscis lengths

We observed diurnal and nocturnal floral visitors from 2017 to 2020 during peak fowering in late July and early August. Nocturnal observations were conducted from 19:30 to 22:30 when nocturnal visitors were most active. Diurnal observations were conducted randomly from 7:00 to 19:00. The total time spent observing nocturnal or diurnal visitors each year is listed in Table [1.](#page-2-1) Four to eight umbels were observed during each observation session. Each umbel includes four Fig. 1 The comparison of dispersal distances by sexual and vegeta- or more open flowers. During each observation session, we

Table 1 Observation duration and number of visits by diferent insect groups

Additionally, seven and four visits by diurnal hawkmoths (Macroglossum sp.) were recorded in 2017 and 2019, respectively. Pollen-collecting bees probably belonged to *Lasioglossum*. Each number in bold indicates the total visits by a type of insect during the diurnal/nocturnal observations in a year. Day and night observations were conducted at 7:00–19:00 and 19:30–22:00, respectively

recorded the number of visits to individual inforescences by each of the three visitor groups.

The proboscis length of three foral-visiting hawkmoth species was measured. The individuals of hawkmoths for this measurement were captured over several years when they were visiting other flowers (including *Quisqualis indica*, *Lufa acutangula*, *Gardenia jasminoides*, and *Hymenocallis littoralis*) around Guilin Botanical Garden.

We summarised all reports on floral visitors to *C*. *asiaticum* and determined proboscis lengths of the visitors by searching Google Scholar for papers containing the terms "*Crinum asiaticum*" and "pollination". The data on proboscis length of the visitors that we did not measure were retrieved from previous studies.

Floral traits

To test whether the anthesis of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* tended to start around dusk, we randomly chose one foral bud at 18:00 h from each of 35 individuals to document when it started to open. We defned the time that the perianth lobes burst as when anthesis started.

Perianth tube length of 25 fowers from 25 individuals were measured to the nearest millimetre with a plastic ruler.

To examine the amount of nectar that a flower could provide for nocturnal visitors, we caged one flower of 24 individuals in a nylon net (aperture: 0.3×0.3 mm) from 19:00 h to 8:30 h the next day. Nectar volume was measured using glass capillary tubes (0.5 mm in diameter) and nectar concentration was measured using a hand-held sucrose refractometer (0%–50%, g solute per 100 g solution; Bellingham and Stanley, Ltd., London, UK).

We documented regular floral visitors with proboscises much shorter than the perianth tubes of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* in all the four successive flowering seasons, and we investigated why this occurred. We that nectar could accumulate quickly and easily rose to a level near the tube entrance, such that short-proboscid insects could access some of the nectar. To examine the accessibility of nectar for short-proboscid visitors, we estimated nectar column length in fowers and the distance between the entrance of the perianth tube and the nectar (nectar depth) in the summer of 2020. We inserted a glass capillary tube (0.1 mm in diameter) into the perianth tube at 4 mm and removed the tube to test whether there was any nectar in the tube. If no nectar was in the tube, we inserted the tube for the second time at 8 mm and removed it. If there was no nectar, we continued to push the tube deeper and the length of the tube insertion increased for 4 mm (i.e. 4, 8, 12, 16, …) until there was a noticeable nectar column in the tube. Nectar depth was estimated as $(a+b)/2$, where *a* is the length of the inserted portion when the tube tip obtained an amount of nectar, whereas *b* is the length of the inserted portion immediately before

insertion, during which the tube tip obtained an amount of nectar. Nectar column length was estimated as "average perianth tube length–nectar depth". We measured nectar depth in four fowers from each of two inforescences of a plant at 7:30 h when diurnal nectar foragers became active after a few minutes; nectar depth in another four fowers from a second inforescence of the same plant was measured at 19:30 h when nocturnal nectar foragers became active. A total of 21 plants were used for nectar depth. The measurements were conducted over 7 days for three plants per day. We then determined the number of fowers that presented nectar that was accessible to short-proboscid foral visitors.

Mating system and pollination efectiveness

To test incompatibility, the capacity of autonomous selfng and the overall pollination efectiveness of foral visitors in *C. asiaticum*, we chose 16 flowers from each of the 12 individuals to conduct four pollination treatments. The 16 fowers were equally divided into four groups, and four fowers of each group were used for one pollination treatment. Flowers used in the autonomous selfng treatment were bagged and did not receive hand pollination. A second group of fowers was also bagged and hand-pollinated with pollen from newly opened flowers from the same plant for the selfpollination treatment. Flowers used in the cross-pollination treatment were bagged and hand-pollinated with outcrossing pollen from two diferent pollen donors. The bags (apertures: 0.3×0.3 mm) used in the above three treatments were removed when the fowers wilted. Another group of fowers remained open to all potential visitors throughout anthesis was the natural-pollination treatment. The four pollination treatments were conducted in early August 2019. We checked the number of the ovaries that were signifcantly expanded 60 d after the wilting of the treated fowers.

To compare the pollination efectiveness of diurnal and nocturnal foral visitors, we bagged a group of 62 foral buds before opening in the afternoon in a nylon net (apertures: 0.3×0.3 mm). A total of 31 individual plants were used, and each had two bagged foral buds. Once the fowers opened, we emasculated the fowers and bagged them again. One day later, they were in the female phase and we exposed half of them to nocturnal visitors for a night (from 19:00 to 7:00 h the next day) and the other half to diurnal visitors (from 7:00 to 18:00 h). We then counted the pollen grains on each stigma using the methods introduced by Kiepiel and Johnson (2014) (2014) (2014) . One of the two flowers in each plant was exposed at night, whereas the other was exposed during the daytime. The experiment was conducted in mid-August.

Statistical analysis

To compare pollen grains deposited by diurnal and nocturnal foral visitors, a generalised linear model with a Poisson distribution and a logit link function was applied. To compare the fruit sets and seed germination rates of diferent pollination treatments, we applied a generalised linear model with a binomial distribution with a log link function. The diference between the dispersal distances by sexual versus vegetative reproduction was analysed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS 22.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

Results

Dispersal modes

The dispersal distance by sexual reproduction was signifcantly longer than that by vegetative reproduction $(U=0,$ *P*<0.001); the former was approximately 7 times longer than the latter (Fig. [1\)](#page-2-0).

Bulbils could not be spontaneously separated from the main bulb, such that they always grew next to the main bulb. In contrast, fruits were shed from infructescence when they ripened, providing an opportunity to move away from the parental plant.

Fruit coats were dry, membranaceous and translucent. Seed coats were dry and chartaceous, not feshy. Fruits therefore did not seem to provide any edible tissues for animals and we have never found signs of being gnawed by any animals. Seeds foated and the seed germination rates of the seeds experiencing 0-day soaking, 4-day soaking and 12-day soaking were 46.7%, 50.0% and 43.3% respectively.

The three soaking treatments did not signifcantly difered in germination rate (Wald χ^2 = 0.187, df = 2, *P* = 0.911), suggesting that soaking for 12 days did not even signifcantly reduce seed vigour.

Floral visitors and proboscis lengths

Both hawkmoths and butterfies were common foral visitors to *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* in each of the four observation years, despite inter-annual fuctuation in abundance (Table [1\)](#page-2-1). Four hawkmoth species visited fowers in the evenings (Table [1](#page-2-1), Fig. [2](#page-4-0)a, Online Resource 1). *Agrius convolvulii* alighted or hovered around flowers when extracting nectar. The remaining species alighted and did not hover. All these hawkmoths contacted anthers and stigmas, carrying pollen on various parts of the body and wings (Fig. [2,](#page-4-0) Online Resource 1).

Flower-visiting butterfies belonged to Papilionidae (i.e. swallowtail butterflies). These butterflies fluttered their wings when visiting a flower, such that the wings contacted the anthers and stigma, carrying pollen on the ventral surfaces of the body and wings (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)b). Diurnal hawkmoths (*Macroglossum* sp.) and pollen-collecting bees (*Lasioglossum* sp.) were rare visitors (Table [1\)](#page-2-1). The former alighted on the fowers but they might not contact the foral sexual organs as frmly as did the nocturnal hawkmoths because of their small stature; the latter never contacted stigmas because the stigma and the anthers were so widely spaced.

Hawkmoths were seen visiting *C*. *asiaticum* in all four localities where attempts to observe foral visitors were made, whereas swallowtail butterfies were seen in our study site and in Japan (Table [2\)](#page-5-0). Proboscis length varied greatly among the visitor species (Table [2](#page-5-0)).

Fig. 2 Flowers of *Crinum asiaticum* var. *sinicum* and two representative foral visitors. **a** *Agrius convolvuli*. **b** *Papilio helenus*. Magnify of the pictures to demonstrate pollen attached to the bodies of visitors

LP long-proboscid; *SP* short-proboscid. *X* indicates the species or the type of visitor observed in the site. The study by Tiple et al. (2009) did not provide the S.E. for the data on *Papilio polytes*. Miller [\(1997](#page-10-18)) provides one sample for *Theretra japonica* and *T*. *oldenlandiae* while other samples for the two species were provided by this study. The four localities: (1) Guilin Botanical Garden, southern East Asia, (2) Japan, eastern East Asia (Miyake et al. [1998\)](#page-10-14), (3) Seychelles Islands, western Indian Ocean, (Matyo[t2005](#page-10-15)), (4) Singapore, southern Southeast Asia (Knuth and Loew [1904–](#page-10-13)1905). Butterflies were not identified to the species level in Japan. The details for Knuth and Loew (1904–1905) in Singapore were not found. *Macroglossum* hawkmoths were not identifed to the species level in Guilin and Japan, and thus, they were not listed here

Floral traits

The foral syndrome of *C*. *asiaticum* coincides well with the reports on hawkmoth fowers in the literature (Table [3](#page-6-0)). The anthesis of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* began at dusk. Flowers were fragrant. Nectar was abundant and dilute, and contained in a remarkably long perianth tube. The anthers immediately dehisced after the protandrous fower opened when the style curved outwards so the visitor could contact the newly exposed pollen but had little chance to contact the stigma; the style became erect the next day so that the visitor was more likely to contact the stigma. The stigma did not contact the anthers throughout the anthesis.

Among the 84 measured flowers from 21 plants, nectar in 48 fowers was accessible to the swallow butterfy *Papilio helenus* to some extent at 7:30 h, which was the dominant butterfy visitor at Guilin Botanical Garden (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)). Among the other group of 84 measured fowers at 19:30, nectar in 62 fowers could more or less be reached by the hawkmoth, *Acosmeryx pseudomissa*, which had the shortest proboscis among the nocturnal nectar foragers.

Mating system and pollination efectiveness

No ovaries expanded in the autonomous selfng treatments; therefore, this treatment was not included in the statistical analysis. Fruit set difered among the self-pollination, cross-pollination, and natural-pollination treatments (Wald χ^2 = 21.105, df = 2, *P* < 0.001, Fig. [4](#page-7-1)). The fruit set in both the cross-pollination and natural-pollination treatments (both $P < 0.001$) were significantly higher than those from the self-pollination treatment*,* but the two treatments did not difer signifcantly from each other in fruit set $(P=0.552,$ Pollen Limitation Index = 0.08, 2019). Thus, the study population of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* could perform sexual reproduction without pollinators and has a low level of self-compatibility (Self-Incompatibility $Index=0.69$).

The flowers exposed to diurnal and nocturnal visitors did not difer signifcantly in pollen deposition (Wald *χ*2=1.219, df=1, *P*=0.270, Fig. [5](#page-7-2)).

Ocimene is a terpenoid, linalool and nerolidol are oxygenated terpenoids, methyl benzoate is a benzenoid ester. Other data were provided by our observations and measurements for *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* in Guilin Botanical Garden

Discussion

Seedlings exhibited even longer dispersal distances than plantlets produced by vegetative reproduction in *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Seeds foated, tolerated soaking for at least 12 days and therefore could be potentially dispersed further. The foral syndrome implied a specialised pollination system involving hawkmoths with proboscises of approximately 8 cm in length or longer. Its fowers did attract hawkmoths with relatively long proboscises (Fig. [2](#page-4-0); Table [2](#page-5-0)). However, swallowtail butterfies were also frequent visitors, depositing pollen grains as efectively as hawkmoths (Fig. [5](#page-7-2)). Flowers did not produce seeds without pollinators and were only poorly self-compatible (Self-Incompatibility Index = 0.69). No pollen limitation was found in 2019 (Pollen Limitation Index = 0.08) (Fig. [4](#page-7-1)).

The comparison of dispersal distances by sexual versus vegetative reproduction highlighted the advantage of sexual reproduction in dispersal (Fig. [1](#page-2-0)). Clonal reproduction is common in Amaryllidaceae and other families, enhancing the establishment and persistence of plant populations in intensely disturbed habitats or new locations (Klimes et al. [1997](#page-10-19); Clark-Tapia and Molina-Freaner [2004](#page-9-2); Liu et al. [2006\)](#page-10-20). However, *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* does not have rhizomes. Furthermore, the bulbils were closely attached to the centre bulb and could not be autonomously separated and be moveable. On the other hand, the long flowering stem bent sideways to contact the ground with the heavy fruits, consequently positioning the seedlings distant from the main stem of the plant. In comparison to seedlings, the bulbils compete more intensely with the centre bulb because they are extremely close, suggesting that seedlings have a greater chance of surviving. This may further constrain the contribution of vegetative reproduction to the natural propagation of *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* at Guilin Botanical Garden and other localities. Thus, the individuals may have grown from seedlings because they were all widely spaced. Moreover, fruits and seeds displayed no signs of attracting animals but seeds retained vigour after soaking for a long period, which might promote secondary dispersal by flowing water. Given that *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* often occurs near rivers or streams, seed dispersal by water seems particularly probable. Adaptations of seeds to dispersal by water may be common in the genus *Crinum* (Bjorå et al. [2006\)](#page-9-6).

Autonomous selfng is another trait that enables plants to regenerate populations in the absence of pollinators. For example, an investigation of invasive vs. non-invasive Iridaceae demonstrated that invasive species displayed signifcantly higher levels of fecundity by autonomous selfng (van Kleunen et al. [2008](#page-10-7)). *Lilium formosanum*, which invaded South Africa, is capable of autonomous selfng, although hawkmoths also contribute to reproductive success (Rodger et al. [2010\)](#page-10-12). *Crinum asiaticum* var. *sinicum* produced no seeds with foral visitors excluded and hand self-pollination initiated much less fruit than did hand cross-pollination and natural pollination (Fig. [4\)](#page-7-1), confrming that abundant seed production of this species resulted from cross-pollination by foral visitors in Guilin Botanical Garden. Insects were

Fig. 3 Nectar column length and nectar depth. The red bar indicates nectar column length (84 fowers were measured in total) at 7:30 (**a**) and 19:30 (**b**). The higher blue dotted line indicates the average height of the perianth tube (i.e. the entrance of the tube); the distance between the higher blue dotted line and a red bar represents nectar depth. The lower blue dotted line indicates the estimated level that the insect could reach within the perianth tube and nectar above this line could be accessed by the insect. The black vertical dotted line indicates the average length of fully uncoiled proboscises of the insect. The butterfy and hawkmoth are *Papilio helenus* and *Acosmeryx pseudomissa,* respectively. The former was active by day and was the most abundant diurnal nectar forager, whereas the latter was the shortestproboscid nocturnal nectar forager for *Crinum asiaticum* var. *sinicum* at Guilin Botanical Garden

observed visiting this species at all four sites where observations were conducted (Table [2](#page-5-0)). Our fndings are similar to those in some other plants (Herrera and Nassar [2009](#page-9-9); Nien-huis and Stout [2009](#page-10-21); Skogen et al. [2016](#page-10-22)), in which flowers show phenotypic specialisation and pollinators are necessary for seed production, but high levels of seed set in invaded

Fig. 4 Mean proportion fruit set after three pollination treatments $(\pm SE)$. $n=12$ for each treatment. Fruit set with different letters differed signifcantly at the 5% level in a generalised linear model with a binomial distribution and a logit link function. The autonomous selfing treatment led to no fruit production and is not shown here

Fig. 5 Mean pollen grain deposition per stigma $(\pm SD)$ on virgin fowers exposed to nocturnal (hawkmoths) and diurnal (mostly swallowtail butterflies) floral visitors. $n=31$ for each treatment. A generalised linear model with a binomial distribution and a logit link function revealed no signifcant diference between diurnal and nocturnal deposition (Wald χ^2 = 1.219, df = 1, *P* = 0.270)

or disturbed habitats were documented because of the abundant efficient pollinators. Our study demonstrates that plants with extremely long-tubed fowers such as *C. asiaticum* var. *sinicum* can also be pollinated enough and flourish in those habitats without autonomous selfng and breakdown of selfincompatibility (Fig. [4](#page-7-1)).

Crinum asiaticum exhibits floral phenotypic specialisation that points to hawkmoth pollination (Table [3](#page-6-0)). Although floral scent composition was not investigated here, an analysis of floral scent for this species in Japan revealed volatile organic compounds typically associated with hawkmoth pollination (Table [3](#page-6-0)). In particular, the extraordinarily long perianth tubes might imply adaptation to hawkmoths with long proboscises of similar length (ca. 8 cm). In the Old World, only a few hawkmoth species possess such proboscises (Miller [1997\)](#page-10-18), suggesting that plants with similar foral tube lengths may have remarkably specialised pollination systems. In Africa, there is indeed a guild of plant species whose nectar is concealed in floral tubes > 8 cm, which is mainly pollinated by the long-proboscid *Agrius convolvuli* (Johnson and Raguso [2016\)](#page-10-9). This hawkmoth is widespread in the Old World (Pittaway and Kitching [2020\)](#page-10-23) and was recorded as one of the visitors to *C*. *asiaticum* in three widely spaced sites (Table [2;](#page-5-0) Online Resource 1). Unlike the long-tubed flowers in Africa studied by Johnson and Raguso [\(2016](#page-10-9)), long-proboscid hawkmoths other than *A*. *convolvuli*, i.e. *Psilogramma discistriga* and *P*. *increta* (Table [2](#page-5-0)), were also common foral visitors to the population we observed. Both *Psilogramma* species are widespread and common in Asia; several other hawkmoth species (e.g. *Pergesa actea* and *Apocalypsis velox*, with proboscises not shorter than 70 mm, unpublished data) with long proboscises not recorded in this study can also be easily found in tropical Asia (Pittaway and Kitching [2020](#page-10-23)). There might therefore be a long-proboscid hawkmoth pollinator niche composed of more abundant hawkmoths species for the Asian fora.

The hawkmoth visitors to *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum* could not avoid contacting the highly exserted style and the neighbouring stamens and thereby transferring pollen. This was shown by the photos of these moths visiting flowers and pollen deposition data (Figs. [2,](#page-4-0) [5](#page-7-2); Online Resource 1). Notably, the document of *A*. *convolvuli* as foral visitors to *C*. *asiaticum* in Seychelles, where *C*. *asiaticum* has been introduced (Matyot [2005](#page-10-15)), demonstrated that *C*. *asiaticum* could be pollinated by hawkmoths outside its native range.

A striking aspect of pollination ecology in *C*. *asiaticum* is that unlike many plants with long-tubed fowers (e.g. Paudel et al. [2016](#page-10-24); Johnson and Raguso [2017;](#page-10-11) Balducci et al. [2019a](#page-9-10), [b](#page-9-11)), it is visited not only by long-proboscid insects but also by those with relatively short proboscises, i.e. swallowtail butterfies and the hawkmoth *Acosmeryx pseudomissa* (Table [2](#page-5-0)). The butterflies could deliver pollen (Fig. [5](#page-7-2)), whereas the short-proboscid hawkmoth probably also delivered pollen since it brushed against the high exserted foral sexual organs and picked up pollen (Online Resource 1). Both butterfies and short-proboscid hawkmoths were also recorded as foral visitors to a *C*. *asiaticum* population in Japan (Table [2](#page-5-0)). These short-proboscid lepidopterans were not expected to visit *C*. *asiaticum* according to the pollination syndrome concept, which hypothesises an association between fowers of a species with a functional group of foral visitors if the fowers display a specifc foral syndrome (Fenster et al. [2004\)](#page-9-0).

Although *C*. *asiaticum* largely conforms to the long-proboscid hawkmoth pollination syndrome, two characters are likely to lead to a relatively generalised pollination system. First, given that fowers of *C*. *asiaticum* remain open for several days and do not close at night, as we can see in some typical sphingophilous plants (e.g. Hirota et al. [2013,](#page-10-25) [2019](#page-10-26)), it is not surprising that they attract diurnal visitors, such as butterfies, by day. *Lonicera japonica* Thunb. provides another example, in which fragrant long-tubed fowers are visited by hawkmoths in the evening and do not close in the daytime and attract pollen-collecting bumblebees, leading to a bimodal pollination system (Miyake and Yahara [1998](#page-10-14)). Second, nectar can accumulate to such a high level in flowers of *C*. *asiaticum* that it can in part be accessed by shortproboscid lepidopterans (Fig. [3;](#page-7-0) see Manning and Goldblatt [2005](#page-10-27) for a similar case). Thus, *C*. *asiaticum* may represent an example of a specialised foral syndrome with a relatively generalised pollination system (Ollerton et al. [2007](#page-10-1)).

Hawkmoths and swallowtail butterfies are common foral visitors in tropical and subtropical Asia (Miyake and Yahara [1998](#page-10-14); Morinaga et al. [2009](#page-10-28); Nakajima et al. [2018](#page-10-29); Liu et al. [2019\)](#page-10-30); therefore, it is likely that *C*. *asiaticum* is also pollinated by such insects at other sites in Asia (Table [2](#page-5-0)). We can further predict that it may beneft from similar pollinator niches where it is not native but has been naturalised because large hawkmoths and swallowtails also occur in other tropical zones (Johnson et al. [2004;](#page-10-2) Epps et al. [2015](#page-9-12); Johnson et al. [2017\)](#page-10-11). Moreover, *C*. *asiaticum* can become a very large plant and can persist for decades (personal observation), fowering frequently, so sexual reproduction may be assured in at least some years if pollinators show substantial annual fuctuations. Although foral specialisation does not seem to pose a barrier against naturalisation in new ranges, there are indeed examples that confrm the role of specialised foral syndromes in hindering naturalisation or invasion (Richardson [2000](#page-10-31)). Perhaps foral specialisation leads to poor or no sexual reproduction in new ranges only when no local foral visitors have pollinator niches similar to those in the native ranges of plants or plants presents foral rewards that are not sufficiently competitive in local communities.

Conclusion

Sexual reproduction provides a much greater potential for dispersal than vegetative reproduction in *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum*. Despite poor self-compatibility and the absence of autonomous selfng, *C*. *asiaticum* var. *sinicum*, showing a highly specialised foral syndrome, could attract fower-visiting lepidopterans with a wide range of proboscis lengths that promoted the sexual reproduction and natural propagation of

this plant in a suburban botanical garden. The naturalisation and long-term persistence of populations of *C*. *asiaticum* are likely attributed to pollination by various large local lepidopterans in many tropical and subtropical regions. Our results suggest that a highly specialised foral syndrome does not necessarily act as a barrier against the naturalisation and sexual reproduction of a plant species, even if there is no capacity for autonomous self-pollination or highly expansive vegetative reproduction (Figs. [1,](#page-2-0) [4\)](#page-7-1).

Information on Electronic Supplementary Material

Online Resource 1. The three hawkmoth visitors not included in Fig. 2. a. *Agrius convolvuli*. b. *Acosmeryx pseudomissa*. c. *Psilogramma increta*. See the yellow pollen attached to these moths.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-021-01748-1>.

Acknowledgements We thank Ji-Bai He and Zhuo-Heng Jiang for the identifcation of lepidopterans and Zhuo-Heng Jiang for providing the photo of a *Papilio helenus* specimen used in Fig. [5.](#page-7-2) We thank Ying-Ze Xiong and Ze-Yu Tong for advice on statistical analysis. This study was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 31971563 to C.-Q. Liu and 31560068 to X.-H. Hu), the Science and Technology Basic Resources Investigation Program of China (Grant No. 2017FY100100) and Elevation of Talent Program of Guangxi Karst Ecological Construction and Sustainable Utilization of Plant Resources in 2018.

Author's contribution Chang-Qiu Liu devised this study, revised the draft critically and took the photographs of the insects visiting fowers. The remaining authors were responsible for observing foral visitors and measuring nectar and fower and insect morphologies. Yang Huang and Lan-Ying Liu conducted the pollination treatments. Huang Yang wrote the frst draft of the manuscript. Qing-Biao Lu helped Yang Huang conduct statistical analysis. Bo Cai helped Yang Huang prepare the fgures. All authors read and approved the fnal manuscript.

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no confict of interest.

Human and animal rights statement The study did not involve research involving human participants and/or animals.

Ethical Statement In the study "Diverse large Lepidoptera pollinators promote the naturalisation of Crinum asiaticum under cultivation despite apparent foral specialisation", we observed the following rules: The manuscript should not be submitted to more than one journal for simultaneous consideration. The submitted work should be original and should not have been published elsewhere in any form or language (partially or in full), unless the new work concerns an expansion of previous work. (Please provide transparency on the re-use of material to avoid the concerns about text-recycling ('self-plagiarism'). A single study should not be split up into several parts to increase the quantity of submissions and submitted to various journals or to one journal over time (i.e. 'salami-slicing/publishing'). Concurrent or secondary

publication is sometimes justifable, provided certain conditions are met. Examples include: translations or a manuscript that is intended for a diferent group of readers. Results should be presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsifcation or inappropriate data manipulation (including image based manipulation). Authors should adhere to discipline-specifc rules for acquiring, selecting and processing data. No data, text, or theories by others are presented as if they were the author's own ('plagiarism'). Proper acknowledgements to other works must be given (this includes material that is closely copied (near verbatim), summarized and/or paraphrased), quotation marks (to indicate words taken from another source) are used for verbatim copying of material, and permissions secured for material that is copyrighted.

References

- Armbruster WS (2006) Evolutionary and ecological aspects of specialized pollination: Views from the Arctic to the Tropics. In: Waser NM, Ollerton J (eds) Plant–pollinator interactions: from specialization to generalization. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, pp 260–282
- Balducci MG, Martins DJ, Johnson SD (2019a) Pollination of the long-spurred African terrestrial orchid *Bonatea steudneri* by long-tongued hawkmoths, notably *Xanthopan morganii*. Pl Syst Evol 305:765–775. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-019-01605-2>
- Balducci MG, Martins DJ, Johnson SD (2019b) Pollination of the long-spurred African terrestrial orchid *Bonatea steudneri* by longproboscid hawkmoths, notably *Xanthopan morganii*. Pl Syst Evol 305:765–775. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-019-01605-2>
- Bjorå CS, Kwembeya EG, Nordal I (2006) *Crinum jasoniia*: a new endemic pan species of the Luangwa Valley in Zambia with notes on diferent seed structures in the genus. Kew Bull 61:569–577
- Celep F, Atalay Z, Dikmen F, Doğan M, Classen-Bockhof R (2014) Flies as pollinators of melittophilous *Salvia* species (Lamiaceae). Amer J Bot 101:2148–2159.<https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1400422>
- Clark-Tapia R, Molina-Freaner F (2004) Reproductive ecology of the rare clonal cactus *Stenocereus eruca* in the Sonoran desert. Pl Syst Evol 247:155–164. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00606-003-0118-7>
- Dobson HEM (2006) Relationship between foral fragrance composition and type of pollinator. In: Dudareva N, Pichersky E (eds) Biology of foral scent. Taylor & Francis, Boca Raton, pp 147–198
- Epps MJ, Allison SE, Wolfe LM (2015) Reproduction in *Flame Azalea* (Ericaceae): a rare case of insect wing pollination. Am Nat 186(2):294–301
- Fenster CB, Armbruster WS, Wilson P, Dudash MR, Thomson JD (2004) Pollination syndromes and foral specialization. Annual Rev Ecol Syst 35:375–403. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecols](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132347) [ys.34.011802.132347](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.34.011802.132347)
- Gardner RO, Early JW (1996) The naturalization of Banyan fgs (*Ficus* spp. Moraceae) and their pollinating wasps (Hymenoptera: Agaonidae) in New Zealand. New Zealand J Bot 34:103–110. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.1996.10412697) doi.org/10.1080/0028825X.1996.10412697
- Geerts S, Pauw A (2009) African sunbirds hover to pollinate an invasive hummingbird-pollinated plant. Oikos 118:573–579. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.17167.x) doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.17167.x
- Haber A, Frankie GW (1989) A tropical hawkmoth community: Costa Rican dry forest Sphingidae. Biotropica 21:155–172. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2388706) [org/10.2307/2388706](https://doi.org/10.2307/2388706)
- Herrera I, Nassar JM (2009) Reproductive and recruitment traits as indicators of the invasive potential of *Kalanchoe daigremontiana* (Crassulaceae) and *Stapelia gigantea* (Apocynaceae) in a

Neotropical arid zone. J Arid Environm 73:978–986. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.05.004) [org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.05.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2009.05.004)

- Hirota SK, Nitta K, Suyama Y, Kawakubo N, Yasumoto AA, Yahara T (2013) Pollinator-mediated selection on fower color, fower scent and flower morphology of *Hemerocallis*: evidence from genotyping individual pollen grains on the stigma. PLoS ONE 8:e85601. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0085601>
- Hirota SK, Miki N, Yasumoto AA, Yahara T (2019) UV bullseye contrast of *Hemerocallis* fowers attracts hawkmoths but not swallowtail butterfies. Ecol Evol 9:52–64. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4604) [ece3.4604](https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.4604)
- Ji Z-H, Meerow A-W (2000) Amaryllidaceae Jaume Saint Hilaire. In: Wu ZY, Raven PH & Hong DY (Eds.), Flora of China, vol. 24. Science Press, Beijing & Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis
- Johnson SD, Moré M, Amorim FW, Haber WA, Frankie GW, Stanley DA, Cocucci AA, Raguso RA (2017) The long and the short of it: a global analysis of hawkmoth pollination niches and interaction networks. Funct Ecol 31:101–115. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12753) [org/10.1111/1365-2435.12753](https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12753)
- Johnson SD, Neal PR, Peter CI, Edwards TJ (2004) Fruiting failure and limited recruitment in remnant populations of the hawkmothpollinated tree *Oxyanthus pyriformis* subsp. *pyriformis* (Rubiaceae). Biol Conserv 120:31–39. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bioco](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.01.028) [n.2004.01.028](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2004.01.028)
- Johnson SD, Raguso RA (2016) The long-proboscid hawkmoth pollinator niche for native and invasive plants in Africa. Ann Bot (Oxford) 117:25–36.<https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcv137>
- Kiepiel I, Johnson SD (2014) Shift from bird to butterfy pollination in *Clivia* (Amaryllidaceae). Am J Bot 101(1):190–200
- van Kleunen M, Manning JC, Pasqualetto V, Johnson SD (2008) Phylogenetically independent associations between autonomous selffertilization and plant invasiveness. Amer Naturalist 171:195–201. <https://doi.org/10.1086/525057>
- Klimes L, Klimesová J, Hendriks R, van Groenendael J (1997) Clonal plant architecture: Clonal plant architecture: a comparative analysis of form and function. In: de Kroon H, van Groenendael J (eds) The Ecology and Evolution of Clonal Plants. Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, pp 1–30
- Knuth P, Loew E (1904–1905) Handbuch der blütenbiologie, vol 3. Wilhem Engelmann, Leipzig
- Liu J, Dong M, Miao S-L, Li ZY, Song MH, Wang RQ (2006) Invasive alien plants in China: role of clonality and geographical origin. Biol Invasions 8:1461–1470. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s1053](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-5838-x) [0-005-5838-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-005-5838-x)
- Liu C-Q, Gao Y-D, Niu Y, Xiong Y-Z, Sun H (2019) Floral adaptations of two lilies: implications for the evolution and pollination ecology of huge trumpet-shaped fowers. Amer J Bot 106:1–11. [https](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1275) [://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1275](https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1275)
- Liu H, Pemberton R (2010) Pollination of an invasive orchid, *Cyrtopodium polyphyllum* (Orchidaceae), by an invasive oil-collecting bee, *Centris nitida*, in southern Florida. Botany 88:290–295. [https](https://doi.org/10.1139/B10-017) [://doi.org/10.1139/B10-017](https://doi.org/10.1139/B10-017)
- Manning JC, Goldblatt P (2005) Radiation of pollination systems in the Cape genus *Tritoniopsis* (Iridaceae: Crocoideae) and the development of bimodal pollination strategies. Int J Pl Sci 166:459–474. <https://doi.org/10.1086/428703>
- Martins DJ, Johnson SD (2013) Interactions between hawkmoths and fowering plants in East Africa: polyphagy and evolutionary specialization in an ecological context. Biol J Linn Soc 110:199–213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12107>
- Matyot P (2005) The hawkmoths (Lepidoptera: Sphingidae) of Seychelles: identifcation, historical background, distribution, food plants and ecological considerations. Phelsuma 13:55–80
- Memmott J, Waser NM, Price MV (2004) Tolerance of pollination networks to species extinctions. Proc Roy Soc London B 271:2605– 2611. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2004.2909>
- Miller WE (1997) Diversity and evolution of tongue length in hawkmoths (Sphingidae). J Lepid Soc 51:9–31
- Miyake T, Yamaoka R, Yahara T (1998) Roral scents of hawkmothpollinated fowers in Japan. J Pl Res 111:199–205. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02512170) [org/10.1007/BF02512170](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02512170)
- Miyake T, Yahara T (1998) Why does the flower of *Lonicera japonica* open at dusk? Canad J Bot 76:1806–1811. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1139/b98-119) [org/10.1139/b98-119](https://doi.org/10.1139/b98-119)
- Morinaga SI, Kumano Y, Ota A, Yamaoka R, Sakai S (2009) Daynight fuctuations in foral scent and their efects on reproductive success in *Lilium auratum*. Populat Ecol 51:187–195. [https](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-008-0097-1) [://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-008-0097-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-008-0097-1)
- Nakajima R, Okamiya H, Shimokawa S, Yamamoto K, Kato H, Murakami N (2018) Comparison of floral traits and pollinator assemblages of insular and mainland varieties of *Lilium auratum*. Pl Spec Biol 33:276–288. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1442-1984.12222) [org/10.1111/1442-1984.12222](https://doi.org/10.1111/1442-1984.12222)
- Nienhuis C, Stout JC (2009) Efectiveness of native bumblebees as pollinators of the alien invasive plant *Impatiens glandulifera*. J Pollinat Ecol 1:1–11. [https://doi.org/10.26786](https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603(2009)1) [/1920-7603\(2009\)1](https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603(2009)1)
- Ollerton J, Watts S, Connerty S, Lock J, Parker L, Wilson I, Schueller SK, Nattero J, Cocucci AA, Izhaki I, Geerts S, Pauw A, Stout JC (2012) Pollination ecology of the invasive tree tobacco *Nicotiana glauca*: comparisons across native and non-native ranges. J Pollinat Ecol 9:85–95
- Ollerton J, Killick A, Lamborn E, Watts S, Whiston M (2007) Multiple meanings and modes: on the many ways to be a generalist fower. Taxon 56:717–728. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25065855>
- Paudel BR, Shrestha M, Burd M, Adhikari S, Sun Y-S, Li Q-J (2016) Coevolutionary elaboration of pollination-related traits in an alpine ginger (*Roscoea purpurea*) and a tabanid fy in the Nepalese Himalayas. New Phytol 211:1402–1411. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13974) [org/10.1111/nph.13974](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13974)
- Pittaway AR, Kitching IJ (2020) Sphingidae of the Eastern Palaearctic (including Siberia, the Russian Far East, Mongolia, China, Taiwan, the Korean Peninsula and Japan), The Natural History Museum, London. Available at: [http://tpittaway.tripod.com/china](http://tpittaway.tripod.com/china/china.htm) [/china.htm](http://tpittaway.tripod.com/china/china.htm)
- Reiter N, Vlcek K, O'Brien N, Gibson M, Pitts D, Brown GR, Bower CC, Phillips RD (2017) Pollinator rarity limits reintroduction sites in an endangered sexually deceptive orchid (*Caladenia hastata*): implications for plants with specialized pollination systems. Biol J Linn Soc 184:122–136.<https://doi.org/10.1093/botlinnean/box017>
- Richardson DM (2000) Plant invasions—the role of mutualisms. Biol Rev 75:65–93
- Rodger JG, van Kleunen M, Johnson SD (2010) Does specialized pollination impede plant invasions? Int J Pl Sci 171:382–391. [https](https://doi.org/10.1086/651226) [://doi.org/10.1086/651226](https://doi.org/10.1086/651226)
- Skogen KA, Jogesh T, Hilpman ET, Todd SL, Rhodes MK, Still SM, Fant JB (2016) Land-use change has no detectable effect on reproduction of a disturbance-adapted, hawkmoth-pollinated plant species. Amer J Bot 103:1950–1963. [https://doi.org/10.3732/](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1600302) [ajb.1600302](https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.1600302)
- Van der Niet T, Johson SD (2012) Phylogenetic evidence for pollinatordriven diversifcation of angiosperms. Trends Ecol Evol 27:353– 361.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2012.02.002>
- Walsha SK, Pender RJ, Junker RR, Daehlerb CC, Morden CW, Lorence DH (2019) Pollination biology reveals challenges to restoring populations of *Brighamia insignis* (Campanulaceae), a critically endangered plant species from Hawai'i. Flora 259:151448. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2019.151448) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.fora.2019.151448](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.flora.2019.151448)
- Waser NM, Chittka L, Price MV, Williams NM, Ollerton J (1996) Generalization in pollination systems, and why it matters. Ecology 77:1043–1060. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2265575>
- Waser NM, Ollerton J, Erhardt A (2011) Typology in pollination biology: lessons from an historical critique. J Pollinat Ecol 3:1–7. [https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603\(2011\)2](https://doi.org/10.26786/1920-7603(2011)2)
- WCSP (2016) World checklist of selected plant families, The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew. Available at: <http://apps.kew.org/wcsp/>

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.