

# **Discrepancy estimates for generalized polynomials**

**Anirban Mukhopadhyay[1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5774-775X) · Olivier Ramaré<sup>2</sup> · G. K. Viswanadham<sup>3</sup>**

Received: 30 June 2017 / Accepted: 13 October 2017 / Published online: 26 October 2017 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria 2017

**Abstract** We obtain an upper bound for the discrepancy of the sequence ( $[p(n)]$ )  $\alpha$ ] $\beta$ <sub>n</sub>>0 generated by the generalized polynomial [ $p(x) \alpha$ ] $\beta$ , where  $p(x)$  is a monic polynomial with real coefficients,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are irrational numbers satisfying certain conditions.

**Keywords** Discrepancy · Generalized polynomial · Irrationality measure

**Mathematics Subject Classification** Primary 11K38; Secondary 11K31 · 11J82

Communicated by A. Constantin.

 $\boxtimes$  Anirban Mukhopadhyay anirban@imsc.res.in

> Olivier Ramaré olivier.ramare@univ-amu.fr

G. K. Viswanadham vissu35@gmail.com

- <sup>1</sup> The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, C.I.T. Campus, Tharamani, Chennai, Tamilnadu 600 113, India
- <sup>2</sup> CNRS/Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, U.M.R. 7373, Aix Marseille Université, Site Sud, Campus de Luminy, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex 9, France
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Powai, Mumbai 400 076, India

# **1 Introduction**

A sequence  $(x_n)_{n>0}$  of real numbers is said to be uniformly distributed modulo 1 if

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\# \{ n \le N : \{ x_n \} \in [a, b) \}}{N} = b - a \tag{1}
$$

holds for all real numbers *a*, *b* satisfying  $0 \le a \le b \le 1$ . Here and in what follows,  $\{x\}$  denotes the fractional part of *x*. Weyl [\[10\]](#page-13-0) proved that if  $P(x) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  is any polynomial in which at least one of the coefficients other than the constant term is irrational, then the sequence  $(P(n))_{n>0}$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

A natural extension of the family of real valued polynomials arises by adding the operation integral part, denoted by  $[\cdot]$ , to the arithmetic operations addition and multiplication. Polynomials which can be obtained in this way are called generalized polynomials. For example  $[a_0+a_1x]$ ,  $a_0+[a_1x+[a_2x^2]]$  are generalized polynomials.

In the spirit of Weyl's result it is natural to consider the uniform distribution of generalized polynomials. The case  $([n\alpha]\beta)_{n>0}$  is treated in [\[8](#page-13-1)] (see Theorem 1.8, p. 310) and it follows from a result of Veech (see Theorem 1, [\[9](#page-13-2)]) that the sequence  $(\lceil p(n)\rceil \beta)_{n>0}, p(x)$  is a polynomial with real coefficients, is uniformly distributed under certain conditions on the coefficients of  $p(x)$  and  $\beta$ . Håland [\[4](#page-13-3)[,5](#page-13-4)] showed that if the coefficients of a generalized polynomial  $q(x)$  are sufficiently independent then the sequence  $(q(n))_{n>0}$  is uniformly distributed.

In order to quantify the convergence in [\(1\)](#page-1-0) the notion of discrepancy has been introduced. Let  $(x_n)_{n>0}$  be a sequence of real numbers and N be any positive integer. The discrepancy of this sequence, denoted by  $D_N(x_n)$ , is defined by

$$
D_N(x_n) = \sup_{0 \le a < b \le 1} \left| \frac{\#\{n \le N : \{x_n\} \in [a, b)\}}{N} - (b - a) \right|.
$$

Now we have the following definition.

**Definition 1** Let  $t \ge 1$  be a real number. We say that a pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  of real numbers is of *finite type t* if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  there is a positive constant  $c = c(\epsilon, \alpha, \beta)$  such that for any pair of rational integers  $(m, n) \neq (0, 0)$ , we have

$$
(\max(1, |m|))^{t+\epsilon} (\max(1, |n|))^{t+\epsilon} ||m\alpha + n\beta|| \ge c
$$

where  $\|x\|$  denotes the distance of x from the nearest integer.

The corresponding definition for a single real number  $\alpha$  is the one of *irrationality measure*. The precise definition is the following.

**Definition 2** Let  $t \ge 1$  be a real number. We say that an irrational number  $\gamma$  has *irrationality measure*  $t + 1$  if for any integer *n* and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we have

$$
\max(1, |n|)^{t+\epsilon} ||n\gamma|| \gg_{\epsilon, \gamma} 1.
$$

It is well known that when  $\gamma$  has irrationality measure  $t + 1$ , the discrepancy  $D_N(n\gamma)$ of the sequence  $(n\gamma)_{n>0}$  satisfies

$$
D_N(n\gamma) \ll_{\gamma,\epsilon} N^{\frac{-1}{t}+\epsilon}
$$

for each  $\epsilon > 0$ .

The discrepancy of non-trivial generalized polynomials was first considered by Hofer and Ramaré [\[6](#page-13-5)]. More precisely, they considered the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\lfloor n\alpha \rfloor \beta)_{n>0}$  and proved that for each  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$
D_N([n\alpha]\beta) \ll_{\epsilon,\alpha,\beta} N^{\frac{-1}{3t-2}+\epsilon}
$$

when  $(\alpha, \alpha\beta)$  and  $(\beta, \frac{1}{\alpha})$  are of finite type *t*.

Let  $p(x) = x^d + a_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1x + a_0 \in \mathbb{R}[x]$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $d > 2$ . In this paper we consider the discrepancy of the sequence  $(\lceil p(n)\alpha \rceil \beta)_{n>0}$ . We prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $N > 1$  be non-zero real numbers. Suppose that the pair  $(\alpha, \alpha\beta)$  *is of finite type t for a real number t*  $\geq 1$ *. Then for any*  $\epsilon > 0$ *,* 

$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \ll_{\epsilon,\alpha,\beta,d} N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)+7t+2}+\epsilon}.
$$

We use a modified version of the method of Hofer and Ramaré [\[6\]](#page-13-5) for the proof of the above theorem.

*Remark 1* The above theorem, in particular, shows that the sequence  $(\lceil p(n)\alpha \rceil \beta)$  is uniformly distributed if  $(\alpha, \alpha\beta)$  is of finite type *t* for  $t \geq 1$ . This fact also follows from a theorem of Carlson (see Theorem 2, [\[2\]](#page-13-6)). Theorem 1 of [\[9\]](#page-13-2) also implies uniform distribution of this sequence under certain conditions on the coefficients of the polynomial  $p(x)$ .

### **2 Preliminaries**

For any real number  $\tau$ , let  $f_{\tau}(x) = e(\tau\{x\})$  where  $e(x)$  denotes  $e^{2\pi ix}$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be a real number. We are going to approximate  $f_{\tau}$  by a function  $g_{\tau,\delta}$ . Here  $g_{\tau,\delta}$  is defined by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
g_{\tau,\delta}(x) = \frac{1}{(2\delta)^r} \mathbf{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]} * \cdots * \mathbf{1}_{[-\delta,\delta]} * f_\tau(x), \tag{2}
$$

where we have *r* copies of  $1_{[-\delta,\delta]}$  each denoting the indicator function of the interval  $[-\delta, \delta]$ .

<span id="page-2-1"></span>We have the following analog of Lemma 3.1 in [\[6](#page-13-5)].

**Lemma 1** *For any sequence*  $\{u_n\}_{n>0}$  *of real numbers, and any positive integer N we have*

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |f_{\tau}(u_n) - g_{\tau,\delta}(u_n)| \ll Nr\delta + Nr^2\delta|\tau| + ND_N(u_n).
$$
 (3)

Using Fourier inversion formula, we have

$$
g_{\tau,\delta}(x) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)e(-kx) ,
$$

with

$$
\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k) = \left(\frac{\sin 2\pi k\delta}{2\pi k\delta}\right)^r \frac{e(\tau+k)-1}{2\pi i(k+\tau)}.
$$

<span id="page-3-2"></span>Since  $\left|\frac{\sin 2\pi x}{x}\right|^r \ll_r \min\left(1, \frac{1}{|x|^r}\right)$ , and for any irrational  $\tau$ ,  $|e(\tau)-1| \ll ||\tau||$ , we have the following lemma which holds trivially.

**Lemma 2** *For any irrational number* τ, *we have* 

$$
|\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)| \ll_r \frac{\|\tau+k\|}{|\tau+k|} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{(|k|\delta)^r}\right).
$$

We will state Lemmas [3](#page-3-0) and [4](#page-3-1) for arbitrary real number  $\tau$  but we keep in mind that we will use these lemmas with  $\tau = -h\beta$ , for some positive integer *h*. The next lemma gives an upper bound for the tail of the Fourier series of *g*τ,δ.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Lemma 3** *Let K be sufficiently large real number such that*  $|\tau + k| \geq \frac{k}{2}$  *for all*  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with*  $|k| > K$ *. Then we have* 

$$
\sum_{|k|>K} \hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k) \ll_r (\delta K)^{-r}.
$$

<span id="page-3-1"></span>The following lemma shows that for any  $p > 1$  the  $L^p$ -norm of  $\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}$  is bounded.

**Lemma 4** *Let*  $\tau$  *be a real number and*  $0 < \delta < \min\left(\frac{1}{2|\tau|}, 1\right)$ *. Then for any real number*  $p > 1$ *, we have* 

$$
\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}|\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)|^p\ll 1,
$$

*where the implied constant depends only on p.*

*Proof* We can assume the sum is running over  $k \geq 1$ . Using Lemma [2,](#page-3-2) we get

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} |\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)|^p \leq \sum_{k\geq 1} \frac{||\tau + k||^p}{|\tau + k|^p} \min\left(1, \frac{1}{(k\delta)^{pr}}\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{k\leq \delta^{-1}} \frac{||\tau + k||^p}{|\tau + k|^p} + \delta^{-pr} \sum_{k > \delta^{-1}} \frac{1}{|\tau + k|^p k^{pr}}.
$$

Note that  $k > \delta^{-1} > 2|\tau|$  implies  $|\tau + k| \geq k/2$ , hence

$$
\sum_{k>\delta^{-1}}\frac{1}{|\tau+k|^p k^{pr}} \ll \delta^{p(r+1)-1}.
$$

Hence we have

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} |\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)|^p \ll \sum_{k\leq \delta^{-1}} \frac{||\tau+k||^p}{|\tau+k|^p} + 1.
$$

When  $\tau$  is a non-negative real number, sum on the right hand side is clearly  $\ll 1$ . Hence we can assume that  $\tau$  is a negative real number. The contributions for the sum above from the terms with  $k = [-\tau]$  and  $k = [-\tau] + 1$  are  $\leq 1$ . Hence we have

$$
\sum_{k\geq 1} |\hat{g}_{\tau,\delta}(k)|^p \ll S_1 + S_2 + 1,
$$

where

$$
S_1 = \sum_{k=1}^{[-\tau]-1} \frac{1}{|\tau+k|^p} \text{ and } S_2 = \sum_{k=[-\tau]+2}^{\delta^{-1}} \frac{1}{|\tau+k|^p}.
$$

Now the summand in  $S_1$  is monotonically increasing, hence

$$
S_1 = \int_1^{[-\tau]-1} \frac{dx}{(\tau+x)^p} + O\left(\frac{1}{(\tau+[-\tau]-1)^p}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{(\tau+1)^p}\right).
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\int_{1}^{[-\tau]-1} \frac{dx}{(\tau+x)^p} \ll 1,
$$

as  $p > 1$ . Thus we conclude

 $S_1 \ll 1$ .

In a similar way, with only difference being the summand is monotonically decreasing, one can show that

$$
S_2 \ll 1
$$

which finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

<span id="page-4-0"></span>Now we need a variant of a lemma of Weyl–van der Corput (see Lemma 2.7, [\[1](#page-13-7)]) as given by Granville and Ramaré ( see Lemma 8.3 of [\[3](#page-13-8)]).

**Lemma 5** *Suppose that*  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N$  *is a sequence of complex numbers, each with*  $|\lambda_i| \leq 1$ , and define  $\Delta \lambda_m = \lambda_m$ ,  $\Delta_r \lambda_m = \lambda_{m+r} \lambda_m$  and

$$
\Delta_{r_1,\ldots,r_k,s}\lambda_m = (\Delta_{r_1,\ldots,r_k}\lambda_{m+s})\overline{(\Delta_{r_1,\ldots,r_k}\lambda_m)}.
$$

*Then for any given*  $k \geq 1$ *, and real number*  $Q \in [1, N]$ *,* 

$$
\left|\frac{1}{8N}\sum_{m=1}^{N}\lambda_m\right|^{2^k} \leq \frac{1}{8Q} + \frac{1}{8Q^{2-2^{-k+1}}}\sum_{r_1=1}^{Q}\sum_{r_2=1}^{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdots \sum_{r_k=1}^{Q^{2^{-k+1}}}\left|\frac{1}{N}\sum_{m=1}^{N-r_1-\cdots-r_k}\Delta_{r_1,\ldots,r_k}\lambda_m\right|.
$$

<span id="page-5-0"></span>The following lemma, often called as Erdős–Turán inequality, is very useful to estimate the discrepancy of a given sequence (see Theorem 2.5, p. 112 of [\[8](#page-13-1)]).

**Lemma 6** (Erdős–Turán) *Let*  $(x_n)_{n>0}$  *be any sequence of real numbers and*  $N \geq 1$ *. The discrepancy*  $D_N(x_n)$  *of the sequence*  $(x_n)_{n>0}$  *satisfies the following:* 

$$
D_N(x_n) \le \frac{6}{H+1} + \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hx_n) \right|,
$$
 (4)

*where H is any arbitrary positive integer.*

The above lemma shows that the exponential sums play an important role not only in showing the uniform distribution of a sequence, but also in estimating the discrepancy of a given sequence.

<span id="page-5-1"></span>The following lemma is an easy consequence of Lemma [6.](#page-5-0)

**Lemma 7** Let  $\theta$  be an irrational number. Then the discrepancy  $D_L(\ell \theta)$  of the sequence  $\{\ell \theta : 1 \leq \ell \leq L\}$  *satisfies the following upper bound.* 

$$
D_L(\ell \theta) \le C \left( \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1}^H \frac{1}{j \| j \theta \|} \right)
$$

*for any*  $H > 1$  *and for some absolute constant*  $C > 0$ *.* 

If  $\alpha$  is of irrationality measure  $t + 1$  for  $t \ge 1$ , it is known that the discrepancy of  $(n^2\alpha)$  satisfies the following upper bound.

$$
D_N(n^2\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon,t} N^{-\frac{1}{t+1}+\epsilon} + N^{-\frac{2}{5}}\sqrt{\log N}
$$

<span id="page-5-2"></span>for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (see equation (50) p. 113 in [\[7\]](#page-13-9)). To estimate the discrepancy of  $([p(n)\alpha]\beta)_{n>0}$ , we need the following general version.

**Proposition 1** *Let*  $\alpha$  *be a non-zero real number of irrationality measure*  $t + 1$  *for a real*  $t \geq 1$ *. Then the discrepancy*  $D_N(p(n)\alpha)$  *of the sequence*  $(p(n)\alpha)_{n\geq 0}$  *satisfies* 

$$
D_N(p(n)\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}+\epsilon}
$$

*for any*  $\epsilon > 0$ *.* 

*Proof* Let  $x_n = p(n)\alpha$  in Lemma [6.](#page-5-0) Then

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
D_N(p(n)\alpha) \ll \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right|.
$$
 (5)

To estimate the exponential sum on the right hand side we use Lemma [5](#page-4-0) with  $Q = N$ and  $k = d - 1$ . Hence we get that

$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll N^{2^{d-1}-1}
$$
  
+  $N^{2^{d-1}+2^{-d+2}-3} \sum_{r_1=1}^N \cdots \sum_{r_{d-1}=1}^{N^{2^{-d+2}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-r_1-\cdots-r_{d-1}} e(d!hr_1 \cdots r_{d-1}n\alpha) \right|.$ 

Using the bound  $|\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n\lambda)| \ll \min(N, \frac{1}{\|\lambda\|})$  gives

$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right|^{2^{d-1}}
$$
  
\n
$$
\ll N^{2^{d-1}-1} + N^{2^{d-1}+2^{-d+2}-3} \sum_{r_1=1}^N \cdots \sum_{r_{d-1}=1}^{N^{2^{-d+2}}} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!hr_1 \cdots r_{d-1}\alpha\|}\right)
$$
  
\n
$$
\ll N^{2^{d-1}-1} + N^{2^{d-1}+2^{-d+2}-3} \sum_{m=1}^{N^{2-2^{-d+2}}} T(m) \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!hm\alpha\|}\right),
$$
 (6)

where in the second line of the above inequality

$$
T(m) = \left| \left\{ (r_1, \ldots, r_{d-1}) \in [1, N] \times \cdots \times [1, N^{2^{-d+2}}] : r_1 \cdots r_{d-1} = m \right\} \right|.
$$

Hence  $T(m) \ll \tau_{d-1}(m)$ . Let  $\epsilon_1 = \frac{\epsilon}{(2-2^{-d+2})}$ . Using the fact that  $\tau_{d-1}(m) \ll_{\epsilon_1} m^{\epsilon_1}$ we get that

<span id="page-7-1"></span>
$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right|^{2^{d-1}}
$$
\n
$$
\ll_{\epsilon,d} N^{2^{d-1}-1} + N^{2^{d-1}+2^{-d+2}-3+\epsilon} \sum_{m=1}^{N^{2-2^{-d+2}}} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!h m\alpha\|}\right).
$$
\n(7)

Let  $L = N^{2-2^{-d+2}}$ . We have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!mh\alpha\|}\right) = N|E_0| + \sum_{m \notin E_0} \frac{1}{\|d!mh\alpha\|},
$$

where

$$
E_k = \left\{ m \le L : \frac{k}{N} < \|d!mh\alpha\| \le \frac{k+1}{N} \right\}.
$$

With this notation we have

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!mh\alpha\|}\right) \ll N|E_0| + \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{N}{k}|E_k|.
$$

Observe that

$$
|E_k| = \frac{2L}{N} + O(LD_L(d!mh\alpha)).
$$

Hence we have

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!mh\alpha\|}\right) \ll L\log N + NLD_L(d!mh\alpha)\log N. \tag{8}
$$

Since  $\alpha$  has irrationality measure  $t + 1$ ,  $\|d!mh\alpha\| \geq_{\epsilon} (d!mh)^{-(t+\epsilon)}$ . Then by Lemma [7](#page-5-1)

$$
D_L(d!mh\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon} \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{L} \sum_{j=1} \frac{1}{j||d!hj\alpha||}
$$
  

$$
\ll_{\epsilon,d,t} \frac{1}{H} + \frac{(d!h)^{t+\epsilon}}{L} \sum_{j=1}^{H} j^{t-1+\epsilon}
$$
  

$$
\ll_{\epsilon,d,t} \frac{1}{H} + L^{-1}H^{t+\epsilon}h^{t+\epsilon}.
$$

Choose  $H = \left[ L^{\frac{1}{t+1}} h^{-\frac{t}{t+1}} \right]$  to get

$$
D_L(d!mh\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} L^{-\frac{1}{t+1}+\epsilon} h^{\frac{t}{t+1}+\epsilon}.
$$
\n(9)

Using this estimate in  $(8)$  gives us

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!m h\alpha\|}\right) \ll_{\epsilon, d, t} NL^{1-\frac{1}{t+1}+\epsilon} h^{\frac{t}{t+1}+\epsilon}.
$$
 (10)

The above estimate when  $L = N^{2-2^{-d+2}}$  together with [\(7\)](#page-7-1) gives

$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} N^{2^{d-1}-1} + N^{2^{d-1}-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{t+1}+\epsilon}.
$$
 (11)

In the above estimate clearly the second term dominates. Hence we get

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)h\alpha) \right| \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} N^{1 - \frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(t+1)} + \epsilon}.
$$
 (12)

Now [\(5\)](#page-6-0) and [\(12\)](#page-8-0) together gives

$$
D_N(p(n)\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} \frac{1}{H} + N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(t+1)} + \epsilon} H^{\frac{t}{t+1} + \epsilon}.
$$

Finally we choose  $H =$  $\sqrt{\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}}$ 1 to get

$$
D_N(p(n)\alpha) \ll_{\epsilon,d,t} N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}+\epsilon}.
$$

 $\Box$ 

# **3 Proof of the theorem**

Let  $H$  be any positive integer which will be chosen later. By Lemma  $6$ , we have

<span id="page-8-1"></span>
$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \le \frac{2}{H+1} + \frac{2}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(h[p(n)\alpha]\beta) \right|.
$$
 (13)

Recall that  $f_\tau(x) = e(\tau\{x\})$  and  $g_{\tau,\delta}$  is defined as in [\(2\)](#page-2-0) with  $\delta := \delta(h) = h^{-1}N^{-\theta}$ for some  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Writing  $[x] = x - \{x\}$  we have

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(h[p(n)\alpha]\beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hp(n)\alpha\beta) f_{-h\beta}(p(n)\alpha)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hp(n)\alpha\beta) g_{-h\beta,\delta}(p(n)\alpha)
$$

$$
+ O\left(\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |f_{-h\beta}(p(n)\alpha) - g_{-h\beta,\delta}(p(n)\alpha)|\right).
$$
(14)

By Lemma [1](#page-2-1) for the *O*-term on the right hand side of [\(14\)](#page-9-0) and substituting it in the inequality [\(13\)](#page-8-1) we have

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \ll \frac{1}{H} + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(hp(n)\alpha\beta)g_{-h\beta,\delta}(p(n)\alpha) \right|
$$
  
+ 
$$
+ r \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{\delta}{h} + |\beta|r^2 \sum_{h=1}^H \delta + D_N(p(n)\alpha) \log H.
$$

The Fourier inversion formula for  $g_{\tau,\delta}$  gives us

<span id="page-9-1"></span>
$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \ll \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right| + \frac{1}{H}
$$
  
+ 
$$
r \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{\delta}{h} + |\beta|r^2 \sum_{h=1}^H \delta + D_N(p(n)\alpha) \log H.
$$
 (15)

Let

$$
S_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|.
$$
 (16)

Let  $\rho$  be a real number such that  $\rho \in [1, 2]$ , which will be chosen later. We also suppose  $N^{\theta} > 2|\beta|$ . Splitting the first sum inside the modulus into  $|k| > h^{\rho} N^{\theta}$  and  $|k| \leq h^{\rho} N^{\theta}$  gives us

$$
S_N \ll \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \sum_{|k| > h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} |\hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k)|.
$$

Lemma [3](#page-3-0) with  $K = h^{\rho} N^{\theta}$  shows that the second term on the right hand side is *Hr*(1−ρ).

Hence we have

<span id="page-10-1"></span>
$$
S_N \ll \frac{1}{N} \sum_{h=1}^H \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right| + H^{r(1-\rho)}.
$$
 (17)

Using Hölder's inequality

$$
\left| \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|
$$
  
\n
$$
\ll \left( \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} |\hat{g}_{-h\beta,\delta}(k)|^{\frac{2^{d-1}}{2^{d-1}-1}} \right)^{\frac{2^{d-1}-1}{2^{d-1}}} \left( \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}} \n\ll \left( \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2^{d-1}}}.
$$
\n(18)

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Here we have used Lemma [4](#page-3-1) to get the last inequality.

Let  $\xi = \alpha(h\beta - k)$ . Using Lemma [5,](#page-4-0) with  $k = d - 1$  and  $\lambda_m = e(p(m)\xi)$  we get that the following inequalities hold for any  $Q \in [1, N]$ :

$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\xi) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \le \frac{N^{2^{d-1}}}{Q} + \frac{N^{2^{d-1}-1}}{Q^{2-2-d+2}} \sum_{r_1=1}^{Q} \sum_{r_2=1}^{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdots \sum_{r_{d-1}=1}^{Q^{2-d+2}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1-r_1-\cdots-r_{d-1}} e(d!r_1 \cdots r_{d-1}n\xi) \right|
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{N^{2^{d-1}}}{Q} + \frac{N^{2^{d-1}-1}}{Q^{2-2-d+2}} \sum_{r_1=1}^{Q} \sum_{r_2=1}^{Q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \cdots \sum_{r_{d-1}=1}^{Q^{2-d+2}} \left| \min \left( N, \frac{1}{\|d!r_1 \cdots r_{d-1}\xi \|} \right) \right|,
$$

where we have used  $\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(n\lambda) \ll \min(N, \frac{1}{\|\lambda\|})$  to get the last inequality. Let  $T(m) = |\{(r_1, \ldots, r_{d-1}) \in [1, Q] \times \cdots \times [1, Q^{2^{-d+2}}] : r_1 \cdots r_{d-1} = m\}|.$ 

With this notation the above inequality will be

$$
\left|\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\xi)\right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll \frac{N^{2^{d-1}}}{Q} + \frac{N^{2^{d-1}-1}}{Q^{2-2^{-d+2}}} \sum_{m=1}^{Q^{2-2^{-d+2}}} T(m) \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\xi m\|}\right).
$$

Let  $\epsilon > 0$  be any real number. Let  $\epsilon_2 = \frac{\epsilon}{(2 - 2^{-d+2})}$ . Since  $T(m) \le \tau_{d-1}(m) \ll_{\epsilon_2} m^{\epsilon_2}$ , we get

<span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\xi) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll_{\epsilon,d} \frac{N^{2^{d-1}}}{Q} + \frac{N^{2^{d-1}-1}}{Q^{2-2^{d+2}-\epsilon}} \sum_{m=1}^{Q^{2-2^{d+2}}} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\xi m\|}\right). \tag{19}
$$

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Now we prove the following lemma which will be used to estimate the right hand side of the above equation.

**Lemma 8** *Let*  $\xi = \alpha(h\beta - k)$ *. Then for any*  $\epsilon > 0$  *we have* 

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^L \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\ell\xi\|}\right) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} L\log N + NL^{1-\frac{1}{2t+1}+\epsilon}(h|k|)^{\frac{t}{2t+1}+\epsilon}\log N.
$$

*Proof* For  $0 \le m \le N - 1$ , define

$$
E_m = \left\{ \ell \leq L : \frac{m}{N} < \|d!\ell\xi\| \leq \frac{m+1}{N} \right\}.
$$

We have

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\ell\xi\|}\right) = N|E_0| + \sum_{\substack{l \notin E_0 \\ N-1}} \frac{1}{\|d!\ell\xi\|}
$$

$$
\leq N|E_0| + \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \frac{N}{m}|E_m|.
$$

Observe that

$$
|E_k| = \frac{2L}{N} + O(LD_L(d! \ell \xi)).
$$

Thus

<span id="page-11-0"></span>
$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^{L} \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\ell\xi\|}\right) \ll L \log N + NLD_L(d!\ell\xi) \log N. \tag{20}
$$

Using Lemma [7](#page-5-1) and the fact that

$$
||d!\ell\xi|| = ||d!\ell\alpha(h\beta - k)|| \geq \frac{C(\alpha, \beta, \epsilon)}{((d!\ell)^2 h|k|)^{1+\epsilon}}
$$

for any positive integer  $\ell \geq 1$ , we get

$$
D_L(d!\ell\xi) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon} \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{L}(h|k|(d!m)^2)^{t+\epsilon}
$$

for any positive integer *m*. Now we choose  $m = L^{1/(2t+1)}(h|k|)^{-t/(2t+1)}$  to get

$$
D_L(d!\ell\xi) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} (h^t|k|^t)^{\frac{1}{2t+1}+\epsilon} L^{-\frac{1}{2t+1}+\epsilon}.
$$
 (21)

Substituting the above estimate in  $(20)$  gives us

$$
\sum_{\ell=1}^L \min\left(N, \frac{1}{\|d!\ell\xi\|}\right) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} L\log N + NL^{1-\frac{1}{2t+1}+\epsilon}(h|k|)^{\frac{t}{2t+1}+\epsilon}\log N.
$$

Apply Lemma [8](#page-11-1) in [\(19\)](#page-11-2) with  $L = Q^{2-2^{-d+2}}$  and let  $Q = N$  to get

$$
\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\xi) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} N^{2^{d-1}-1} + N^{2^{d-1}-\left(\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2t+1}\right)+\epsilon} h^{\frac{t}{2t+1}+\epsilon} |k|^{\frac{t}{2t+1}+\epsilon}.
$$
 (22)

Summing both sides of the above inequality over *k* we get that

$$
\sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\xi) \right|^{2^{d-1}} \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} N^{2^{d-1}-1+\theta} h^{\rho} + N^{2^{d-1}-(\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2t+1})+\theta(\frac{3t+1}{2t+1})+\epsilon} h^{\frac{t}{2t+1}+\rho(\frac{3t+1}{2t+1})+\epsilon}.
$$
\n(23)

Clearly the first term on the right hand side is dominated by the second term. Putting this inequality in  $(18)$  we get that

$$
\left| \sum_{\substack{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|
$$
  

$$
\ll_{\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, d} N^{1 - (\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \theta(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \epsilon} h^{\frac{t}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}} + \rho(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \epsilon}.
$$

Hence we have

$$
\sum_{h=1}^{H} \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{|k| \le h^{\rho} N^{\theta}} \hat{g}_{-h\beta}(k) \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} e(p(n)\alpha(h\beta - k)) \right|
$$
  

$$
\ll_{\alpha, \beta, \epsilon, d} N^{1-(\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\theta(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\epsilon} H^{\frac{t}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}+\rho(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\epsilon}.
$$

From  $(17)$  and above inequality we have

$$
S_N\ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} N^{-(\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\theta(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\epsilon}H^{\frac{t}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}}+\rho(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)})+\epsilon}+O(H^{r(1-\rho)}).
$$

 $\Box$ 

Hence we have from [\(15\)](#page-9-1) with  $\delta^{-1} = hN^{\theta}$  that

$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta)
$$
  
\$\ll\_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} H^{\frac{t}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)} + \rho(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \epsilon} N^{-(\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \theta(\frac{3t+1}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}) + \epsilon} + H^{r(1-\rho)}\$  
+  $\frac{1}{H} + N^{-\theta} + N^{-\theta} \log H + D_N(p(n)\alpha) \log H$ .

We choose  $\rho = 1 + \epsilon_1$  with  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_1(\epsilon, t) > 0$  sufficiently small real number, and *r* is an integer satisfying  $r > \frac{1}{\epsilon_1}$ . Hence the second term on the right hand side is  $\ll H^{-1}$ .

Now we choose  $H = [N^{\theta}]$  with  $\theta = \frac{2 - 2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1) + (4t+1) + \rho(3t+1)}$ . With these choices we have

<span id="page-13-10"></span>
$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)+7t+2}+\epsilon} + D_N(p(n)\alpha)\log N. \tag{24}
$$

By Lemma [1,](#page-5-2) we have

$$
D_N(p(n)\alpha)\ll_{\epsilon,d,t} N^{-\frac{2-2^{-d+2}}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)}+\epsilon}.
$$

Putting this in [\(24\)](#page-13-10), we get

$$
D_N([p(n)\alpha]\beta) \ll_{\alpha,\beta,\epsilon,d} N^{-\frac{2-2-d+2}{2^{d-1}(2t+1)+7t+2}+\epsilon}.
$$

#### **References**

- <span id="page-13-7"></span>1. Graham, S.W., Kolesnik, G.: van der Corput's method of exponential sums. In: London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 126. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1991)
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>2. Carlson, D.: Good sequences of integers. J. Number Theory **7**, 91–104 (1975)
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>3. Granville, A., Ramaré, O.: Explicit bounds on exponential sums and the scarcity of square-free binomial coefficients. Mathematika **43**(1), 73–107 (1996)
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>4. Håland, I.J.: Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials. J. Number Theory **45**, 327–366 (1993)
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>5. Håland, I.J.: Uniform distribution of generalized polynomials of the product type. Acta Arith. **67**, 13–27 (1994)
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>6. Hofer, R., Ramaré, O.: Discrepancy estimates for some linear generalized monomials. Acta Arith. **173**(2), 183–196 (2016)
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>7. Koksma, J.F.: Diophantische Approximationen (in German). Springer, Berlin (1936)
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>8. Kuipers, L., Niederreiter, H.: Uniform Distribution of Sequences. Wiley, New York (1974) (Reprint: Dover Publication, Mineola, NY, 2006)
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>9. Veech, W.A.: Well distributed sequences of integers. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **161**, 63–70 (1971)
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>10. Weyl, H.: Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins. Math. Ann. **77**(3), 313–352 (1916)