

# Spectral radius and *k*-connectedness of a graph

Lihua Feng<sup>1</sup> · Pengli Zhang<sup>1</sup> · Weijun Liu<sup>1</sup>

Received: 31 October 2016 / Accepted: 17 April 2017 / Published online: 21 April 2017 © Springer-Verlag Wien 2017

**Abstract** A connected graph G is said to be k-connected if it has more than k vertices and remains connected whenever fewer than k vertices are deleted. In this paper, we present a tight sufficient condition for a connected graph with fixed minimum degree to be k-connected based on its spectral radius, for sufficiently large order.

**Keywords** Spectral radius  $\cdot$  Closure  $\cdot$  Degree sequence  $\cdot$  Minimum degree  $\cdot$  *k*-Connected

## Mathematics Subject Classification 05C50

# **1** Introduction

Let *G* be a connected graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G) such that |V| = nand |E| = m. We denote by  $d_v$  the degree of a vertex v in *G* and  $\delta$  the minimum degree. Let  $K_n$  denote a complete graph on *n* vertices. For two vertex-disjoint graphs *G* and *H*, we use  $G \vee H$  to denote the join of *G* and *H*. A graph *G* is said to be *k*-connected

Lihua Feng fenglh@163.com

Communicated by A. Constantin.

This research was supported by NSFC (Nos. 11671402, 11371207, 11301302), Hunan Provincial Natural Science Foundation (No. 2016JJ2138) and Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences Project of CSU.

Weijun Liu wjliu6210@126.com

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central South University, New Campus, Changsha 410083, Hunan, People's Republic of China

if it has more than k vertices and remains connected whenever fewer than k vertices are deleted. Similarly, G is k-edge-connected if it has at least two vertices and remains connected whenever fewer than k edges are deleted.

The *adjacency matrix* of *G* is defined as  $A(G) = (a_{ij})_{n \times n}$ , where  $a_{ij} = 1$  if two vertices *i* and *j* are adjacent in *G*, and  $a_{ij} = 0$  otherwise. The largest eigenvalue of A(G), denoted by  $\lambda(G)$ , is called the *spectral radius* of *G*.

In spectral graph theory, one of the most attractive problems is the Brualdi–Solheid problem [5]: Given a set  $\mathcal{G}$  of graphs, find an upper bound for the spectral radius in this set and characterize the graphs in which the maximal spectral radius is attained. In recent decades, many researchers [2,13,31] intended to investigate this problem for various graph classes with certain constraints. For more details, one may refer to the recent comprehensive monograph by Stevanović [30].

Analogous to the Brualdi–Solheid problem, Nikiforov [23] proposed the following so-called Brualdi–Solheid–Turán type problem.

**Problem 1** For a given graph F, what is the maximum spectral radius of a graph G on n vertices without subgraph isomorphic to F?

Regarding Problem 1 and its variations, Nikiforov and many other mathematicians discovered wide recognized results. Fiedler and Nikiforov [16] obtained tight sufficient conditions for graphs to be hamiltonian or traceable, this paper became the motivation of many related results [19,21,22,27,28]. Cioabă and his collaborators [10–12] studied the matchings and the eigenvalues in regular graphs extensively. When we talk about the connectivity and the eigenvalues, perhaps the most famous one is due to Fiedler [15] which states that the second smallest Laplacian eigenvalue is at most the connectivity for any non-complete graph. For adjacency eigenvalues, the relation between the connectivity, edge-connectivity and the eigenvalues was reported in [8,9,17,20,29]. Extending and improving the result in [6], Cioabă [8] obtained that

**Theorem 1.1** [8] Let  $d \ge k \ge 2$ . If the second largest eigenvalue  $\lambda_2$  of a d-regular graph satisfies

$$\lambda_2 < d - \frac{(k-1)n}{(d+1)(n-d-1)},$$

then the edge-connectivity of G is at least k.

Let *G* be a simple graph of order  $n \ge k + 1$ . It is known [3, Page 4] that, if  $\delta \ge \frac{1}{2}(n + k - 2)$ , then *G* is *k*-connected. From the spectral point of view, we naturally ask the following question: can one find a sufficient spectral condition for a connected graph to be *k*-connected? Along this line, the authors obtained such a sufficient condition for a general graph without any minimum degree restriction [14]. Borrowing ideas from [25], in this paper, by utilizing the degree sequence and the closure concept, we shall present a tight sufficient spectral condition for a graph with fixed minimum degree to be *k*-connected, for sufficiently large order (and therefore for relatively small  $\delta$ ). Such results may be of independent interest.

## **2** Preliminaries

We first present several graph notations.

An integer sequence  $\pi = (d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n)$  is called *graphical* if there exists a graph *G* having  $\pi$  as its vertex degree sequence; in that case, *G* is called a *realization* of  $\pi$ . If *P* is a graph property, such as hamiltonian or *k*-connected, we call a graphical sequence  $\pi$  *forcibly P* if every realization of  $\pi$  has property *P*. More results in this subject can be found in the survey paper [1].

Bondy and Chvátal introduced the concept of stability in [4], which plays a prominent role in many structural graph theory problems. Let P be a property defined on all graphs of order n. Let k be a nonnegative integer. Then P is said to be k-stable if whenever G + uv has property P and

$$d_G(u) + d_G(v) \ge k,$$

where  $uv \notin E$ , then *G* itself has property *P*. The most famous properties such as the hamiltonicity and traceability are respectively *n*-stable and (n - 1)-stable. Among all the graphs *H* of order *n* such that  $G \subset H$  and

$$d_G(u) + d_G(v) < k$$

for all  $uv \notin E(H)$ , there is a unique smallest one, we shall call this graph the *k*-closure of *G*, denoted  $cl_k(G)$ . Obviously,  $cl_k(G)$  can be obtained from *G* by iteratively joining two nonadjacent vertices such that their degree sum is at least *k*.

The following lemmas will be used in this paper.

**Lemma 2.1** [7] Let G be a connected graph and  $\pi = (d_1 \le d_2 \le \cdots \le d_n)$  be a graphical sequence. Suppose  $n \ge 2$ , and  $1 \le k \le n - 1$ . If

$$d_i \le i + k - 2 \Longrightarrow d_{n-k+1} \ge n - i,$$

for  $1 \le i \le \frac{1}{2}(n-k+1)$ , then  $\pi$  is forcibly k-connected.

**Lemma 2.2** [4] The property that "G is k-connected" is (n + k - 2)-stable.

**Lemma 2.3** [4] Let P be a property of graph G of order  $n \ge 4$ . If P is k-stable and  $cl_k(G)$  has property P, then G itself has property P.

**Lemma 2.4** [18,24] If G is a graph of order n, size m, and minimum degree t, then

$$\lambda(G) \le \frac{1}{2} \left( t - 1 + \sqrt{8m - 4nt + (t+1)^2} \right).$$

**Lemma 2.5** [18] *If*  $2m \le n(n-1)$ , *the function* 

$$f(x) = \frac{x-1}{2} + \sqrt{2m - nx + \frac{(x+1)^2}{4}}$$

is decreasing in x for  $x \leq n - 1$ .

### 3 Main results

For convenience, in the rest of this paper, we denote

$$A(n, k, \delta) = K_{k-1} \lor (K_{\delta-k+2} \cup K_{n-\delta-1}),$$
  

$$F_0(k, \delta) = (\delta - k + 2)(k^2 - 2k + 4) + 3.$$

We first describe our strategy here. We will prove Theorem 3.4 by contradiction. Assume that  $\lambda(G) \ge n - \delta + k - 3$ , but *G* is not *k*-connected. In order to get our result, we need to show  $G = A(n, k, \delta)$ , and  $A(n, k, \delta)$  is exactly the unique closure. To prove the uniqueness of  $A(n, k, \delta)$ , we need to demonstrate that the spectral radius of the subgraph of  $A(n, k, \delta)$  is less than  $n - \delta + k - 3$ , which is stated in Theorem 3.1. Thus Theorem 3.1 is the basis in the sense of closure in the proof of our main result.

In the proof the Theorem 3.1, it is required that the  $K_{k-1}$  part of  $A(n, k, \delta)$  has at least 2 vertices, so we assume  $k \ge 3$  in Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 3.1** Let  $\delta \ge k \ge 3$ , and let G be a connected graph of order  $n \ge F_0(k, \delta)$ and minimum degree  $\delta$ . If G is a subgraph of  $A(n, k, \delta)$ , then

$$\lambda(G) < n - \delta + k - 3,$$

unless  $G = A(n, k, \delta)$ .

*Proof* We write  $\lambda := \lambda(G)$  for short. Let  $x = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)^T$  be the unique positive unit eigenvector corresponding to  $\lambda$ . According to the Rayleigh's principle, we have

$$\lambda = \langle A(G)x, x \rangle = x^T A(G)x = 2 \sum_{ij \in E(G)} x_i x_j.$$

Let *G* be a proper subgraph of  $A(n, k, \delta)$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that *G* is obtained by omitting just one edge  $\{u, v\}$  of  $A(n, k, \delta)$ . Now we denote by *X* for the set of vertices in  $A(n, k, \delta)$  of degree  $\delta$ , *Y* the set of their neighbors, and *Z* the set of the remaining  $n - \delta - 1$  vertices. Since *G* is a connected graph with minimum degree  $\delta$ , *G* must contain all the edges incident with *X*. Therefore  $\{u, v\} \subset Y \cup Z$ , and this implies three possibilities: (a)  $\{u, v\} \subset Y$ ; (b)  $u \in Y, v \in Z$ ; (c)  $\{u, v\} \subset Z$ . We denote the corresponding graph in each of these three cases by  $G_1, G_2$  and  $G_3$ , respectively. We shall show that  $\lambda(G_1) \leq \lambda(G_2) \leq \lambda(G_3)$ .

Firstly, suppose that case (a) holds, that is,  $\{u, v\} \subset Y$  and  $G = G_1$ . We will show that  $\lambda(G_1) \leq \lambda(G_2)$ . We choose a vertex  $w \in Z$ , then remove the edge  $\{u, w\}$  and add the edge  $\{u, v\}$ , we obtain one graph G', which is of the form  $G_2$  in case (b). If  $x_w \leq x_v = x_u$ , then  $x^T A(G')x - x^T A(G_1)x = 2x_u(x_v - x_w) \geq 0$ , by the Rayleigh principle, we have  $\lambda(G') \geq \lambda(G_1)$ . If  $x_w > x_v$ , we construct the vector x' of G' from x by swap the entries  $x_v$  and  $x_w$ . In this case, we note that  $G_1 \setminus \{v, w\}$  and  $G' \setminus \{v, w\}$ are isomorphic, then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} x'^{T}A(G')x' - x^{T}A(G_{1})x \\ &= 2x_{w}\left(\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u,v\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{w\}} x_{i} + x_{u} + x_{v}\right) + 2x_{v}\left(\sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u,v\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{w\}} x_{i}\right) \\ &- 2x_{v}\left(\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u,v\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{w\}} x_{i} + x_{w}\right) - 2x_{w}\left(\sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u,v\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{w\}} x_{i} + x_{u}\right) \\ &= 2(x_{w} - x_{v})\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

so by the Rayleigh principle, we have  $\lambda(G') > \lambda(G_1)$ .

Secondly, suppose that case (b) holds, that is,  $u \in Y$ ,  $v \in Z$ , and  $G = G_2$ . We will show that  $\lambda(G_2) \leq \lambda(G_3)$ . We choose a vertex  $w \in Z$ , then remove the edge  $\{v, w\}$ and add the edge  $\{u, v\}$ , we obtain one graph G'', which is of the form  $G_3$  in case (c). If  $x_u \geq x_w$ , then  $x^T A(G'')x - x^T A(G_2)x = 2x_v(x_u - x_w) \geq 0$ , by the Rayleigh principle, we have  $\lambda(G'') \geq \lambda(G_2)$ . If  $x_u < x_w$ , we construct the vector x' from x by swap the entries  $x_w$  and  $x_u$ . Since  $G_2 \setminus \{u, w\}$  and  $G'' \setminus \{u, w\}$  are isomorphic, then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} x^{T}A(G'')x' - x^{T}A(G_{2})x \\ &= 2x_{w}\left(\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{v,w\}} x_{i} + x_{u} + x_{v}\right) + 2x_{u}\left(\sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{v,w\}} x_{i}\right) \\ &- 2x_{u}\left(\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{v,w\}} x_{i} + x_{w}\right) - 2x_{w}\left(\sum_{i\in Y\setminus\{u\}} x_{i} + \sum_{i\in Z\setminus\{v,w\}} x_{i} + x_{v}\right) \\ &= 2(x_{w} - x_{u})\sum_{i\in X} x_{i} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

again by the Rayleigh principle, we have  $\lambda(G'') > \lambda(G_2)$ .

Therefore, we may assume  $\{u, v\} \subset Z$  and  $G = G_3$  in the rest of this theorem. From symmetry, we obtain  $x_i = x_j := x$  for any  $i, j \in X$ ;  $x_i = x_j := y$  for any  $i, j \in Y$ ; and  $x_i = x_j := z$  for any  $i, j \in Z \setminus \{u, v\}$ . As the vertices u and v are symmetric, we may write  $x_u = x_v := t$ . From  $\lambda x_i = \sum_{ji \in E(G)} x_j$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \lambda x &= (\delta - k + 1)x + (k - 1)y, \\ \lambda y &= (\delta - k + 2)x + (k - 2)y + (n - \delta - 3)z + 2t, \\ \lambda z &= (k - 1)y + (n - \delta - 4)z + 2t, \\ \lambda t &= (k - 1)y + (n - \delta - 3)z. \end{split}$$

From the above system, we obtain

$$x = \left(\frac{k-1}{\lambda - \delta + k - 1}\right)y,\tag{1}$$

🖉 Springer

$$z = \left(1 - \frac{(\delta - k + 2)(k - 1)}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - \delta + k - 1)}\right)y,$$
  
$$t = \left(\frac{\lambda + 1}{\lambda + 2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{(\delta - k + 2)(k - 1)}{(\lambda + 1)(\lambda - \delta + k - 1)}\right)y.$$
 (2)

Note that if we delete all edges incident to *X*, and add the edge  $\{u, v\}$  to *G*, we obtain the graph  $\overline{K_{\delta-k+2}} \cup K_{n-\delta+k-2}$ . Let x'' be the restriction of *x* to  $K_{n-\delta+k-2}$ . We see that

$$\begin{split} & \langle A(K_{n-\delta+k-2})x'', x'' \rangle \\ &= \langle A(G)x, x \rangle + 2t^2 - 2(k-1)(\delta-k+2)xy - (\delta-k+2)(\delta-k+1)x^2 \\ &= \lambda + 2t^2 - 2(k-1)(\delta-k+2)xy - (\delta-k+2)(\delta-k+1)x^2. \end{split}$$

Observe that

$$\langle A(K_{n-\delta+k-2})x'', x'' \rangle \leq \lambda(K_{n-\delta+k-2}) = n-\delta+k-3,$$

from the above, we have

$$\lambda + 2t^2 - 2(k-1)(\delta - k + 2)xy - (\delta - k + 2)(\delta - k + 1)x^2 \le n - \delta + k - 3.$$
(3)

Suppose on the contrary that  $\lambda \ge n - \delta + k - 3$ , then (3) implies

$$2(k-1)(\delta - k + 2)xy + (\delta - k + 2)(\delta - k + 1)x^2 \ge 2t^2.$$

By applying (1) and (2), we obtain

$$2\frac{(k-1)^{2}(\delta-k+2)}{\lambda-\delta+k-1}y^{2} + \frac{(\delta-k+2)(\delta-k+1)(k-1)^{2}}{(\lambda-\delta+k-1)^{2}}y^{2}$$
  
>  $2\left(1-\frac{1}{\lambda+2}\right)^{2}\left(1-\frac{(\delta-k+2)(k-1)}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda-\delta+k-1)}\right)^{2}y^{2}.$ 

Recall that the Bernoulli inequality states that: for any nonzero real number x > -1, and an integer n > 1, we have

$$(1+x)^n > 1 + nx. (4)$$

Eliminating  $y^2$  and applying (4) to the previous inequality, we get

$$2\frac{(k-1)^2(\delta-k+2)}{\lambda-\delta+k-1} + \frac{(\delta-k+2)(\delta-k+1)(k-1)^2}{(\lambda-\delta+k-1)^2} \\ > 2\left(1-\frac{2}{\lambda+2} - \frac{2(\delta-k+2)(k-1)}{(\lambda+1)(\lambda-\delta+k-1)}\right).$$

Deringer

Rewriting this inequality, we have

$$\frac{(\delta - k + 2)(\delta - k + 1)(k - 1)^2}{(\lambda - \delta + k - 1)} + 2(k - 1)^2(\delta - k + 2)$$
  
>  $2\left(\lambda - \delta + k - 1 - \frac{2(\lambda - \delta + k - 1)}{\lambda + 2} - \frac{2(\delta - k + 2)(k - 1)}{\lambda + 1}\right)$   
>  $2(\lambda - \delta + k - 1 - 4).$ 

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - \delta + k - 1 &> (n - \delta + k - 3) - \delta + k - 1 & \text{as } n \ge F_0(k, \delta) \\ &\ge (\delta - k + 2)(k^2 - 2k + 4) + 3 - 2(\delta - k + 2) \\ &= (\delta - k + 2)(k^2 - 2k + 2) + 3, \end{aligned}$$

the previous inequality in turn becomes

$$\begin{split} &(\delta-k+2)(\delta-k+1)(k-1)^2 \\ &> 2(\lambda-\delta+k-1)\left(\lambda-\delta+k-1-4-(k-1)^2(\delta-k+2)\right) \\ &> 2\left((\delta-k+2)(k^2-2k+2)+3\right)((\delta-k+2)(k^2-2k+2)+3) \\ &-4-(k-1)^2(\delta-k+2)) \\ &= 2\left((\delta-k+2)(k^2-2k+2)+3\right)(\delta-k+1), \end{split}$$

obviously, this is a contradiction and the proof is complete.

The following result is of independent interest, and its ideas will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.4.

**Theorem 3.2** Let G be a connected graph of order n with minimum degree  $\delta$ . If

$$2m \ge n^2 - (2\delta - 2k + 5)n + 2(\delta + 1)(\delta - k + 2),$$

then G is k-connected unless  $G = A(n, k, \delta)$ .

*Proof* Suppose *G* is not *k*-connected. From Lemma 2.1, there exists an integer  $1 \le i \le \frac{n-k+1}{2}$  such that  $d_i \le i+k-2$  and  $d_{n-k+1} \le n-i-1$ . Thus we have

$$2m \le i(i+k-2) + (n-i-k+1)(n-i-1) + (k-1)(n-1)$$
  
=  $2i^2 - (2n-2k+2)i + n(n-1).$  (5)

Since  $\delta \le i + k - 2$ , we have  $\delta - k + 2 \le i \le \frac{n-k+1}{2}$ . We assume that  $f(x) = 2x^2 - (2n - 2k + 2)x$  with  $\delta - k + 2 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}(n - k + 1)$ . As the symmetric axis of f(x) is  $x_0 = \frac{1}{2}(n - k + 1)$ , we find that

$$f_{\max}(x) = f(\delta - k + 2) = 2(\delta + 1 - n)(\delta - k + 2).$$

Deringer

Therefore  $2m \le n^2 - (2\delta - 2k + 5)n + 2(\delta + 1)(\delta - k + 2)$ .

If  $2m = n^2 - (2\delta - 2k + 5)n + 2(\delta + 1)(\delta - k + 2)$ , then  $i = \delta - k + 2$ ,  $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_{\delta-k+2} = \delta$ ,  $d_{\delta-k+3} = \cdots = d_{n-k+1} = n - \delta + k - 3$ ,  $d_{n-k+2} = \cdots = d_n = n - 1$ . Thus  $G = K_{k-1} \vee (K_{\delta-k+2} \cup K_{n-\delta-1})$ , which is not *k*-connected from the definition.

Nikiforov [25] recently obtained a sufficient spectral condition for a graph to be hamiltonian. Soon he [26] obtained the following refined result.

**Theorem 3.3** Let  $t \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge t^3/2 + t + 4$ , and let G be a graph of order n. If  $\delta(G) \ge t$  and

$$\lambda(G) \ge n - t - 1,$$

then G has a hamiltonian cycle unless  $G = K_t \vee (K_{n-2t} \cup \overline{K_t})$  or  $G = K_1 \vee (K_{n-t-1} \cup K_t)$ .

Thus, except for at most two graphs, when  $\lambda(G) \ge n - t - 1$ , the graph G is 2-connected. So in the next main theorem, we only consider the case the connectivity is at least 3.

**Theorem 3.4** Let  $\delta \ge k \ge 3$ ,  $n \ge F_0(k, \delta)$ . Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \delta$ . If

$$\lambda(G) \ge n - \delta + k - 3,$$

then G is k-connected unless  $G = A(n, k, \delta)$ .

*Proof* Suppose that  $\lambda(G) \ge n - \delta + k - 3$  and *G* is not *k*-connected. From Lemma 2.2, we consider the closure  $H := cl_{n+k-2}(G)$  of *G*. By Lemma 2.3, *H* is not *k*-connected, and  $\delta(H) \ge \delta(G) \ge \delta$  and  $\lambda(H) \ge \lambda(G) \ge n - \delta + k - 3$ . Obviously, for any two nonadjacent vertices  $i, j \in V(H), d_i + d_j \le n + k - 3$ . From Theorem 3.1, we need only to prove  $H = A(n, k, \delta)$ .

According to the assumptions of the theorem and Lemmas 2.4, 2.5, we have

$$n-\delta+k-3 \le \lambda(H) \le \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta-1+\sqrt{(\delta+1)^2+4(2m-n\delta)}\right),$$

this implies

$$2m \ge n^2 + (2k - 2\delta - 5)n + (2\delta - k + 3)(\delta - k + 2) := g_1(n).$$
(6)

We next prove that  $i = \delta - k + 2$ . Suppose on the contrary  $i \ge \delta - k + 3$ . Since G is not k-connected, from (5) of Theorem 3.2, we consider  $f(x) = 2x^2 - (2n - 2k + 2)x$  with  $\delta - k + 3 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}(n - k + 1)$ . Obviously  $f_{\max}(x) = f(\delta - k + 3)$ , we have

$$2m \le n^2 + (2k - 2\delta - 7)n + 2(\delta - k + 3)(\delta + 2) := g_2(n).$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

From (6) and (7), bearing in mind that  $n \ge 2k\delta - 2k^2 + 5k := F_1(k, \delta)$ ,  $F_1(k, \delta) \le F_0(k, \delta)$ , we obtain

$$g_1(n) - g_2(n) = -6 - k + 2n - k\delta - 3\delta + k^2$$
  

$$\geq -6 - k + 2(2k\delta - 2k^2 + 5k) - k\delta - 3\delta + k^2$$
  

$$= 3(k - 1)(\delta - k + 2) > 0,$$

hence inequality (7) cannot hold. Therefore, we have  $i = \delta - k + 2$ , and thus

$$d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_{\delta - k + 2} = \delta.$$

Next, we shall show that  $d_{\delta-k+3} \ge n - \delta + k - 3 - k\delta + \delta - 3k + 2 + k^2$ . Since  $n \ge F_0(k, \delta), n - \delta + k - 3 - k\delta + \delta - 3k + 2 + k^2 > 0$ . Suppose on the contrary that  $d_{\delta-k+3} < n - \delta + k - 3 - k\delta + \delta - 3k + 2 + k^2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2m &< (\delta - k + 2)\delta + (n - \delta + k - 3 - k\delta + \delta - 3k + 2 + k^2) \\ &+ (n - \delta - 2)(n - \delta + k - 3) + (k - 1)(n - 1) \\ &= n^2 + (2k - 2\delta - 5)n + (2\delta - k + 3)(\delta - k + 2) \\ &\le 2m. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $d_i \ge n - \delta + k - 3 - k\delta + \delta - 3k + 2 + k^2$  for any  $i \in \{\delta - k + 3, ..., n\}$ .

Now, we conclude that  $\{\delta - k + 3, ..., n\}$  induces a complete graph. Suppose  $i, j \in \{\delta - k + 3, ..., n\}$  and i, j are not adjacent, we have

$$d_i + d_j \ge 2n - 2\delta + 2k - 6 - 2k\delta + 2\delta - 6k + 4 + 2k^2$$
  

$$\ge n + (2k\delta - 2k^2 + 5k) - 2\delta + 2k - 6 - 2k\delta + 2\delta - 6k + 4 + 2k^2$$
  

$$= n + k - 2 > n + k - 3,$$

the second inequality holds as  $n \ge 2k\delta - 2k^2 + 5k$ . This contradicts the property of *H*. Hence we can get  $\{\delta - k + 3, ..., n\}$  induces a complete graph.

Let  $X = \{1, 2, ..., \delta - k + 2\}$ , *Y* be the set of vertices in  $\{\delta - k + 3, ..., n\}$  having neighbors in *X*. In fact, every vertex from *Y* is adjacent to every vertex in *X*. Indeed, suppose that is not the case, and let  $w \in Y$ ,  $u, v \in X$  be such that *w* is adjacent to *u*, but not to *v*. We see that  $d_w + d_v \ge (n - \delta + k - 2) + \delta = n + k - 2$ , which contradicts the fact that *H* is the closure of *G*.

Next, let  $\ell := |Y|$ . As the degree of the vertices in X is  $\delta$ , we have  $k - 1 \le \ell \le \delta$ . If  $\ell = k - 1$ , then  $H = A(n, k, \delta)$ . If we delete k - 1 vertices in  $K_{k-1}$ , we obtain a graph that is not connected. Thus in this case, H is not k-connected.

If  $\ell = k$ , then *H* is *k*-connected from definition.

If  $\ell = \delta$ , then  $H = K_{\delta} \vee (K_{n-2\delta+k-2} \cup \overline{K_{\delta-k+2}})$ . Since every vertex from *Y* is adjacent to every vertex in *X*, and  $\delta \ge k$ , it follows that if we delete any k-1 vertices, the resulting graph is connected. Therefore in this case, *H* is *k*-connected.

If  $k \leq \ell < \delta$ , obviously *H* is *k*-connected.

The proof is completed.

Since  $\lambda(A(n, k, \delta)) \ge n - \delta + k - 3$ , we immediately have

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $\delta \ge k \ge 3$ ,  $n \ge F_0(k, \delta)$ . Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \delta$ . If

$$\lambda(G) \ge \lambda(A(n, k, \delta)),$$

then G is k-connected unless  $G = A(n, k, \delta)$ .

Since  $A(n, k, \delta)$  is k-edge-connected, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.6** Let  $\delta \ge k \ge 3$ ,  $n \ge F_0(k, \delta)$ . Let G be a connected graph of order n and minimum degree  $\delta(G) \ge \delta$ . If

$$\lambda(G) \ge n - \delta + k - 3,$$

then G is k-edge-connected.

At last, we would like to mention that we do not make too much efforts to optimize the lower bound for n. For smaller n, we can not find the way to work out. We leave it for further study.

**Acknowledgements** The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the referee for his/her careful reading, valuable comments for this paper, which leads to a great improvement of the presentation.

### References

- Bauer, D., Broersma, H.J., van den Heuvel, J., Kahl, N., Nevo, A., Schmeichel, E., Woodall, D.R., Yatauro, M.: Best monotone degree conditions for graph properties: a survey. Graphs Comb. 31, 1–22 (2015)
- Berman, A., Zhang, X.D.: On the spectral radius of graphs with cut vertices. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 83, 233–240 (2001)
- 3. Bollobás, B.: Extremal Graph Theory. Academic Press, New York (1978)
- 4. Bondy, J.A., Chvátal, V.: A method in graph theory. Discrete Math. 15, 111–135 (1976)
- Brualdi, R.A., Solheid, E.S.: On the spectral radius of complementary acyclic matrices of zeros and ones. SIAM J. Algebr. Discrete Method 7, 265–272 (1986)
- 6. Chandran, S.L.: Minimum cuts, girth and spectral threshold. Inf. Process. Lett. 89(3), 105–110 (2004)
- 7. Chvátal, V.: On Hamiltons ideals. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 12, 163–168 (1972)
- Cioabă, S.M.: Eigenvalues and edge-connectivity of regular graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 432, 458–470 (2010)
- Cioabă, S.M., Gu, X.: Connectivity, toughness, spanning trees of bounded degree, and the spectrum of regular graphs. Czechoslov. Math. J. 66(141), 913–924 (2016)
- Cioabă, S.M., Gregory, D.: Large matchings from eigenvalues. Linear Algebra Appl. 422, 308–317 (2007)
- Cioabă, S.M.: Perfect matchings eigenvalues and expansion. C.R. Math. Acad. Sci. Soc. R. Can. 27(4), 101–104 (2005)
- Cioabă, S.M., Gregory, D., Haemers, W.: Matchings in regular graphs from eigenvalues. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 99, 287–297 (2009)
- Feng, L.H., Cao, J., Liu, W., Ding, S., Liu, H.: The spectral radius of edge chromatic critical graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 492, 78–88 (2016)

🖉 Springer

- Feng, L.H., Zhang, P.L., Liu, H., Liu, W., Liu, M., Hu, Y.: Spectral conditions for some graphical properties. Linear Algebra Appl. 524, 182–198 (2017)
- 15. Fiedler, M.: Algebraic connectivity of graphs. Czechoslov. Math. J. 23, 298–305 (1973)
- Fiedler, M., Nikiforov, V.: Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 432(9), 2170–2173 (2010)
- Gu, X., Lai, H.-J., Li, P., Yao, S.: Edge-disjoint spanning trees, edge connectivity and eigenvalues in graphs. J. Graph Theory 81, 16–29 (2016)
- Hong, Y., Shu, J.L., Fang, K.: A sharp upper bound of the spectral radius of graphs. J. Comb. Theory Ser. B 81, 177–183 (2001)
- Li, B.-L., Ning, B.: Spectral analogues of Moon–Moser's theorem on Hamiltonian paths in bipartite graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 515, 180–195 (2017)
- Liu, H., Lu, M., Tian, F.: Edge-connectivity and (signless) Laplacian eigenvalue of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 439, 3777–3784 (2013)
- Liu, R., Shiu, W.C., Xue, J.: Sufficient spectral conditions on Hamiltonian and traceable graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 467, 254–266 (2015)
- Lu, M., Liu, H., Tian, F.: Spectral radius and Hamiltonian graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. 437(7), 1670– 1674 (2012)
- Nikiforov, V.: The spectral radius of graphs without paths and cycles of specified length. Linear Algebra Appl. 432, 2243–2256 (2010)
- Nikiforov, V.: Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph. Comb. Probab. Comput. 11, 179–189 (2002)
- Nikiforov, V.: Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs with large minimum degree. Czechoslov. Math. J. 66(141), 925–940 (2016)
- Nikiforov, V.: Spectral radius and Hamiltonicity of graphs with large minimum degree. arXiv:1602.01033v3
- Ning, B., Ge, J.: Spectral radius and Hamiltonian properties of graphs. Linear Multilinear Algebra 63(8), 1520–1530 (2015)
- Ning, B., Li, B.: Spectral radius and traceability of connected claw-free graphs. Filomat 30(9), 2445– 2452 (2016)
- Suil, O., Cioabă, S.M.: Edge-connectivity, eigenvalues, and matchings in regular graphs. SIAM J. Discrete Math. 24, 1470–1481 (2010)
- 30. Stevanović, D.: Spectral Radius of Graphs. Academic Press, Amsterdam (2015)
- Stevanović, D., Aouchiche, M., Hansen, P.: On the spectral radius of graphs with a given domination number. Linear Algebra Appl. 428, 1854–1864 (2008)