### **ORIGINAL PAPER**



# **A Method for Estimating the Highest Potential Hydraulic Conductivity in the Excavation Damaged Zone in Mudstone**

**Kazuhei Aoyagi<sup>1</sup> · Eiichi Ishii1**

Received: 27 December 2017 / Accepted: 14 August 2018 / Published online: 20 August 2018 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Austria, part of Springer Nature 2018

#### **Abstract**

Excavation of deep underground openings induces permeable fractures around the opening due to stress redistribution. Such a zone is called excavation damaged zone (EDZ). In a high-level radioactive waste disposal project, the EDZ might provide pathways for the migration of radionuclides around the facility. Thus, this study focused on the development of a method for estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ around a gallery in the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory, Japan. Borehole televiewer surveys, rock core observations, and hydraulic tests were undertaken to investigate the extent and magnitude of hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ around the gallery. The observed extent (sidewall  $\leq 0.6$  m; floor≤1.6 m) of the EDZ shows good agreement with the EDZ estimated from hydro-mechanical coupling analysis. The measured hydraulic conductivities of the EDZ (3.5 × 10<sup>-6</sup> to 1.24 × 10<sup>-8</sup> m/s) are within the range of those based on the Mean Stress Index (MSI), which is defined as the ratio of the effective mean stress derived from numerical analyses to the tensile strength of intact rock. Given that the rock mass is relatively homogeneous and artificial damage (e.g., blasting-induced damage) can be neglected, as in the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory, the MSI model is likely to be applicable in estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ.

**Keywords** Excavation damaged zone · Hydraulic conductivity · Mean Stress Index · Siliceous mudstone

# **1 Introduction**

Excavation of a deep underground opening induces fractures around the opening due to stress redistribution. The development of such fractures may lead to spalling of the rock mass and instability of the opening. In particular, in a high-level radioactive waste (HLW) disposal project, the zone, where fracture development increases permeability, can provide a pathway for the migration of radionuclides around the facility (Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute [2000;](#page-15-0) Tsang et al. [2005](#page-16-0)). Such a zone is called excavation damaged zone (EDZ) (Tsang et al. [2005](#page-16-0)). To accurately understand the extent of the EDZ, it is important to create a method for predicting the hydro-mechanical characteristics in and around the EDZ. In particular, estimation of the spatial distribution of hydraulic conductivity in and around the

 $\boxtimes$  Kazuhei Aoyagi aoyagi.kazuhei@jaea.go.jp EDZ is necessary for accurate assessment of the migration of radionuclides in an HLW disposal project.

Many studies have attempted to clarify the extent and hydro-mechanical characteristics of the EDZ around an excavation in underground research laboratories (URLs), based on in situ surveys such as borehole televiewer (BTV) surveys, rock core observations, fracture mapping, deformation measurements, and seismic surveys (e.g., Sato et al. [2000](#page-16-1); Bossart et al. [2002](#page-15-1), [2004;](#page-15-2) Shao et al. [2008](#page-16-2); Baechler et al. [2011;](#page-15-3) Aoyagi et al. [2014,](#page-15-4) [2017](#page-15-5); Armand et al. [2014](#page-15-6)). In addition to in situ surveys, some studies have performed hydro-mechanical coupling analysis to estimate the hydromechanical behaviour, such as the change in pore pressure (Guayacan-Carrilo et al. [2017;](#page-15-7) Souley et al. [2017\)](#page-16-3) and hydraulic conductivity (Inoue et al. [2005](#page-15-8); Rutqvist et al. [2009](#page-15-9); Shen et al. [2011](#page-16-4); Chen et al. [2014\)](#page-15-10) around the EDZ.

Ishii [\(2015\)](#page-15-11) proposed a method to estimate the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in fault zones using an index calculated as the ratio of the effective mean stress to the tensile strength of intact rock, known as the Ductility Index (DI) or the Mean Stress Index (MSI) (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). In this paper, we use the term MSI. A correlation between MSI

<sup>1</sup> Horonobe Underground Research Center, Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Hokkaido 098-3224, Japan



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Concept of the Mean Stress Index (MSI), after Ishii ([2015\)](#page-15-11).  $\sigma_t$ is the tensile strength,  $\sigma_c$  is the uniaxial compressive strength, and *B* is equal to  $\sigma_t / \sigma_c$ 

values and the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in fault zones has been reported, and an empirically derived power law relationship between transmissivity and MSI has been proposed (i.e., the MSI model) (Fig. [2](#page-1-1)). In a fault zone, permeable fractures can form as a result of shear-induced dilation due to stress concentrations generated at the asperities/tips of faults (Fig. [3](#page-2-0)a–c; Ishii [2015](#page-15-11), [2016\)](#page-15-12).

Similarly, in the EDZ, permeable fractures have formed around gallery walls in various URLs as a result of shearinduced dilation due to stress concentrations generated at the asperities/tips of pre-existing flaws such as microcracks, grain boundaries, and low-aspect-ratio pores (Fig. [3](#page-2-0)d; Diederichs [2003,](#page-15-13) [2007](#page-15-14); Yong et al. [2010](#page-16-5), [2013](#page-16-6); Armand et al. [2014](#page-15-6); Aoyagi et al. [2017](#page-15-5)). However, factors such as the excavation method, excavation geometry, rock

support, distribution of natural (pre-existing) fractures, and stress field can affect the failure behaviour of the EDZ, and tensile fracturing can occur if the differential stress is high. Therefore, the formation mechanism differs between permeable fractures in a fault zone and in the EDZ. However, openings in these fractures can be maintained by continuous shear-induced tensile stresses (Fig. [3\)](#page-2-0), and the highest potential hydraulic conductivities of such fractures can be controlled by the MSI (Ishii [2015,](#page-15-11) [2017b](#page-15-15)). Therefore, estimation of the highest potential hydraulic conductivity using the MSI model can also be applied to the EDZ, utilising the similar degree of preservation of the opening in the EDZ and in a fault zone.

This paper focuses on the development of a method for estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity of the EDZ induced around a gallery in the Horonobe URL, Japan. BTV surveys, rock core observations, displacement measurements (i.e., convergence), and hydraulic tests were performed to understand the mechanical and hydraulic characteristics, such as the hydraulic conductivity, deformation behaviour, and extent of the EDZ. In addition, we performed a hydro-mechanical coupling analysis. The validity of the analysis was verified by comparing the results with those of the in situ surveys. Using the effective stress distribution derived from the analysis and distribution of fractures detected by the BTV survey, the highest potential hydraulic conductivity was estimated from the MSI model, and this was then compared with the in situ hydraulic test data. We also discuss the applicability of the MSI model in estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ.



<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Transmissivities of flow anomalies detected in fault zones in six regions worldwide (including discrete shear fracture systems in the diatomaceous mudstone of the Koetoi Formation) plotted against the corresponding value of the Mean Stress Index (MSI) (modified from Ishii [2017b](#page-15-15)). Horizontal bars show errors due to uncertainties

in tensile strength. The MSI model line (solid line) and standard error (dashed lines) of Ishii [\(2015](#page-15-11)) are also shown. **b** Transmissivities of flow anomalies detected in fault zones in mudstone formations (i.e., the Wakkanai and Palfris formations, and shear fracture systems in the Koetoi Formation) plotted against the corresponding MSI



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Fig. 3 a** Schematic diagram of the opening of secondary fractures caused by shear-induced tensile stresses in a damage zone. **b** Opening by mismatch of the shear fracture walls (modified from Ishii [2015](#page-15-11)). **c** Sketch of a sinistral strike-slip fault zone exposed in a horizontal outcrop of the Wakkanai Formation at the Horonobe site, annotated

with the directions of the maximum and minimum principal stresses (Ishii [2015](#page-15-11)). **d** Schematic diagram of shear-induced tensile fractures developed around the wall of a gallery. Reproduced with permission from Diederichs ([2003\)](#page-15-13)

# **2 Study Site**

### **2.1 Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory**

The Japan Atomic Energy Agency (JAEA) has been undertaking research and development at Horonobe (Hokkaido, Japan) to enhance the reliability of technology for the deep geological disposal of HLW in sedimentary rocks at this off-site URL. The layout of the Horonobe URL is shown in Fig. [4](#page-2-1). The ventilation shaft and east access shaft were excavated to 380 m below ground level, and the west access shaft was excavated to 365 m below ground level. Excavations of horizontal galleries were also completed at depths of 140, 250, and 350 m.

We undertook in situ experiments in the gallery at 350 m depth, which was excavated in Neogene siliceous mudstone of the Wakkanai Formation that contains opal–cristobalite/ tridymite. Table [1](#page-3-0) lists the average values and standard deviations of the mechanical properties of the siliceous mudstone, as obtained from laboratory tests following ISRM methods (International Society for Rock Mechanics [1978a,](#page-15-16) [b](#page-15-17), [1979a,](#page-15-18) [b\)](#page-15-19) on rock cores collected from a borehole drilled in the 350 m gallery. The uniaxial compressive strength, Young's modulus, and Poisson's ratio were obtained from ten uniaxial compressive tests using samples measuring 30 mm in diameter and 60 mm in length. The tensile strength was obtained from 10 Brazil tests using samples measuring 30 mm in diameter and 30 mm in length. The density, effective porosity, water content, and seismic velocity were measured on ten samples.



<span id="page-2-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Layout of the Horonobe URL

For the in situ experiments, we selected the gallery in Niche No. 3 for analysis, which is located at 350 m depth (Fig. [5](#page-3-1)). The niche had been excavated mechanically, without blasting, from July to August 2013, using an excavator equipped with a hydraulic impact hammer. Figure [6](#page-3-2) shows a

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Table 1** Mechanical and physical properties of siliceous mudstone in the 350 m gallery

Properties	Average value	Standard deviation
Uniaxial compressive strength (MPa)	15.4	2.59
Tensile strength (MPa)	1.83	0.37
Elastic modulus (GPa)	1.82	0.38
Poisson's ratio	0.17	0.07
P-wave velocity (km/s)	2.08	0.07
S-wave velocity (km/s)	0.91	0.03
Effective porosity $(\%)$	41.6	0.54
Saturated density $(g/cm^3)$	1.84	0.01
Dry density $(g/cm^3)$	1.42	0.02
Water content $(\%)$	29.3	0.72



<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Fig. 5** Layout of the 350 m gallery and the borehole for surface-based investigation (SAB-1)

cross section of the horseshoe-shaped niche, which is 4.0 m wide, 3.2 m high, and 25 m long. The niche is supported mainly by 200 mm-thick shotcrete, 2 m-long rockbolts, and steel arch ribs. The support structures were installed every 1 m within the first 5 m section from the entrance along the excavation of the niche, and then every 1.5 m for the last 5 m section to the end of the niche. Rockbolts were not installed in the final 10 m of the 25 m-long excavation to ensure they did not penetrate the boreholes used for the in situ experiments.

# **2.2 In Situ Experiments**

# **2.2.1 Fracture Observations**

We examined the distribution of fractures on the sidewall and below the floor of Niche No. 3 using BTV surveys of the boreholes, as shown in Figs. [7](#page-3-3) and [8.](#page-4-0) The BTV has a borehole image processing (BIP) system with a high-resolution charge coupled device (CCD) camera that can capture



<span id="page-3-2"></span>**Fig. 6** Vertical cross section of Niche No. 3



<span id="page-3-3"></span>**Fig. 7** Locations of boreholes for BTV surveys and convergence measurements. **a** Plan view. **b** Cross-sectional view

a full-colour image of the borehole wall and detect fractures with an aperture of  $\sim 0.1$  mm.

We also studied rock cores to analyse the fracture characteristics and their distribution. The fractures were classified into four types: shear, tensile, hybrid, and drilling/ coring/handling-induced fractures. This classification follows the previous studies (Ishii [2016](#page-15-12), [2017a\)](#page-15-20), based on the structural geology of the siliceous mudstone. Shear <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Locations of boreholes, sections subjected to hydraulic tests, and convergence measurements. **a** Vertical section perpendicular to the tunnel axis. **b** Cross-sectional view of the niches, boreholes, and test

sections



fractures are characterized by slickenlines or slickensteps, whereas tensile fractures are characterized by natural plumose structures with arrest lines; hybrid fractures are defined by the coexistence of both these characteristics (Ishii [2016\)](#page-15-12). Drilling/coring/handling-induced fractures are characterized by well-developed hackle plume components, which originate within the core, and by twist and inclusion hackle faces and steps that are geometrically related to the core boundary (Kulander et al. [1990](#page-15-21)).

Aoyagi et al. ([2017](#page-15-5)) reported that all of the fractures in the niche are tensile or hybrid fractures with hackle plumes and arrest lines on their surfaces. These fractures are developed pervasively over the niche wall, subject to the wall geometry. As such, it was concluded that these fractures are EDZ fractures that were induced by gallery excavation. Furthermore, there are no natural fractures according to the fracture map on the sidewall. This observation is consistent with the results of the surface-based borehole investigation (SAB-1; Fig. [5](#page-3-1)), which did not identify any fractures in the core section near Niche No. 3 (Suko et al. [2013](#page-16-7)). Given this, we consider that the EDZ is marked by the zone, where tensile and hybrid fractures are densely developed in the vicinity of the sidewall and floor. Although the extent of the EDZ is difficult to precisely determine, a densely fractured EDZ would provide a pathway for the migration of radionuclides around an HLW disposal site. Thus, in this study, we assumed that the extent of the densely fractured zone is the same as that of the EDZ.

Figure [9](#page-5-0) shows an example image of the EDZ taken from the BTV survey and rock core observations in borehole H2-1. The BTV image shows that fractures are densely developed at 0–0.2 m from the niche wall (Fig. [9a](#page-5-0)), but not beyond this zone. In this fractured zone, hackle plumes and an arrest line are present on the surface of the rock core (Fig. [9](#page-5-0)c). Given that the location of the origin of the hackle plumes is outside the core surface, the fracture around the niche wall must be a tensile fracture that was not induced by drilling. In contrast, core from the section farther than 0.2 m from the niche wall displays different types of fractures. For example, Fig. [9d](#page-5-0) shows a hackle plume component, whose origin was on the surface of the rock core and a twist hackle step, which is also geometrically related to the core boundary. These features represent evidence of drilling/coring/handling-induced fractures. Based on these observations, we consider that the extent of the EDZ in this borehole is 0–0.2 m from the niche wall. The other boreholes shown in Figs. [7](#page-3-3) and [8](#page-4-0) exhibit similar fracture types, distribution trends, and characteristics as borehole H2-1 (Aoyagi et al. [2017\)](#page-15-5).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Fracture distribution observed by BTV surveys and rock core analysis (after Aoyagi et al. [2017\)](#page-15-5). **a** BTV image of borehole H2-1, which is  $0-0.6$  m from the niche wall. An enlarged image of the region 0–0.25 m from the wall is shown above (**a**). **b** Photograph of core from borehole H2-1. **c** and **d** Photographs of the fracture surface at 0.15 and 0.50 m from the niche wall (see text for details)

#### **2.2.2 Convergence Measurements**

To measure the deformation behaviour of the niche during excavation, the horizontal displacement (i.e., convergence) on the wall of the shotcrete after installation of support material was measured in four sections in Niche No. 3 (Figs. [7a](#page-3-3), [8a](#page-4-0)), using a convergence measurement instrument (NH-15F). The resolution accuracy of the convergence measurement is 0.1 mm. The measurement point was attached to the surface of the shotcrete and the measured line was located along the spring line (Fig. [8a](#page-4-0)). The measurement in each section was performed for each face progressively after installation of the measurement points. The measurement was continued until the measured value was the same as the previous value.

#### **2.2.3 Hydraulic Tests**

We measured the change in hydraulic conductivity that was induced by excavation around Niche No. 3. Figure [8](#page-4-0) shows the layout of boreholes H2-1, H3-2, and H4-2, and measured test sections. Boreholes H2-1 and H4-2 were drilled at angles of 15° and 16° below the horizontal. Borehole H3-2 was drilled at 15° below the horizontal from the floor of Niche No. 3, and parallel to the long axis of the niche. The lengths of boreholes H2-1, H3-2, and H4-2 were 10.3, 12.5, and 13.5 m, respectively, and their diameters were all 98 mm. Five test sections in borehole H2-1, one test section in borehole H4-2, and four test sections in H3-2 were isolated by 30 cm-long packers. To avoid relaxation of the packers, we monitored the pressure and held it constant. Note that the pressure measured in the hydraulic tests is a gauge pressure.

We injected water into section 5 of borehole H2-1, sections 3 and 4 of borehole H3-2, and section 5 of borehole H4-2 at a constant flow rate. When the pressure in the test section was stable for more than 1 h, we considered that it had achieved a steady state. The pressure in the section was increased using water injection until it reached a steady state of 0.05–0.10 MPa.

In sections  $1-4$  of borehole H2-1, located  $> 0.6$  m from the niche wall, and sections 1 and 2 of borehole H3-2, located>1.8 m from the floor of the gallery, we injected water at a constant pressure, because it took a long time to achieve steady state, due to the low hydraulic conductivity when injecting at a constant flow rate. The injection pressure in these sections was held at 0.1–0.5 MPa to avoid breaking the borehole wall.

Hydraulic conductivity was calculated using the Hvorslev equation (Hvorslev [1951](#page-15-22)). This assumes a steady-state laminar flow rate in a homogeneous and isotropic media around the borehole, and is formulated as follows:

$$
k = \frac{Q}{2\pi L} \frac{\rho_w g}{p} \ln\left(\frac{2L}{D}\right),\tag{1}
$$

where  $k$  is hydraulic conductivity (m/s),  $Q$  is the injection volume of water per second  $(m^3/s)$ , *L* is the length of the section (m), *D* is the diameter of the borehole (m),  $\rho_w$  is the density of water  $(kg/m<sup>3</sup>)$ , *g* is acceleration due to gravity (9.8 m/  $s<sup>2</sup>$ ), and *p* is the differential pressure between injection pressure and pore pressure (Pa). Relaxation of the packers did not occur during the tests, as the pressure of the packers was monitored and did not fall below 0.7 MPa.

In addition to the hydraulic tests, the pore pressures in all sections of the hydraulic tests were measured to monitor the change in pore pressure in and around the EDZ with time.



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Fracture distribution detected by the BTV survey. Labels are borehole numbers, as indicated in Fig. [7](#page-3-3)

# **3 Hydro‑mechanical Characteristics of the EDZ**

### <span id="page-6-2"></span>**3.1 Extent of the EDZ**

Figure [10](#page-6-0) shows the relationship between the cumulative number of fractures counted on the BTV images of each borehole (Fig. [7\)](#page-3-3) and distance from the niche wall. A significant increase in the cumulative number of fractures was detected within 0.1–0.6 m of the wall. The fractures detected in the ranges by the BTV surveys correspond with the tensile or hybrid fractures observed in the rock cores. Thus, the extent of the EDZ is 0.1–0.6 m from the sidewall of the niche (e.g., Figs. [9](#page-5-0), [12](#page-7-0)a, c).

The distribution of below the niche floor was also investigated in borehole H3-2 by the BTV survey (Fig. [12](#page-7-0)e). Fractures are densely developed up to 1.6 m below the gallery floor, and the extent of the EDZ is 1.6 m below the gallery floor.

#### <span id="page-6-3"></span>**3.2 Convergence Measurements**

Figure [11](#page-6-1) shows the convergence curve during the excavation of Niche No. 3. In this figure, measured diameter is plotted as distance from the excavation face, which is normalized to the diameter of the Niche  $(D=4.0 \text{ m})$ . The convergence increased due to the excavation; once the distance from the excavation face was  $1.5$  to  $2.0 \times D$ , the rate of convergence increase was levelled off (Fig. [11\)](#page-6-1). The maximum convergence in each measured section was 1.6–2.7 mm.



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig. 11** Relationship between measured convergence and distance from the excavation face

### **3.3 Hydrological Characteristics of the EDZ**

#### **3.3.1 Hydraulic Conductivity**

Figure [12a](#page-7-0), b shows the locations of fractures and hydraulic conductivities measured in borehole H2-1 in October 2013, 1–2 months after completion of the excavation of Niche No. 3. In sections 2–4 of borehole H2-1, hydraulic conductivity varies between  $2.88 \times 10^{-11}$  and  $4.06 \times 10^{-11}$  m/s for the sections located farther than 0.6 m from the niche wall, which corresponds to the no-fracture zone (Fig. [12a](#page-7-0)). The presence of fractures at 7.6, 8.1, and 9.0 m from the niche wall increased the hydraulic conductivity in section 1 of borehole H2-1 to  $6.17 \times 10^{-10}$  m/s.

In contrast, hydraulic conductivity is  $3.53 \times 10^{-6}$  m/s in section 5 of borehole H2-1, at distances of 0.06–0.26 m from the niche wall. This section corresponds to the fracturedeveloping zone (i.e., EDZ) detected by the BTV survey (Fig. [12a](#page-7-0)). The hydraulic conductivity is four-to-five orders of magnitude higher than that in sections 1–4 in borehole H2-1.

Figure [12](#page-7-0)c, d shows the locations of fractures and hydraulic conductivities measured in borehole H4-2 in April 2014, 8 months after completion of the excavation of Niche No. 3. The hydraulic conductivity is  $8.43 \times 10^{-7}$  m/s at distances of 0.14–0.74 m from the niche wall. This section corresponds to the EDZ detected by the BTV survey (Fig. [12](#page-7-0)c).

The hydraulic conductivities and fracture locations below the gallery floor (borehole H3-2) are shown in Fig. [12](#page-7-0)e, f. The hydraulic conductivities were measured in October 2013, as for borehole H2-1. Hydraulic conductivities in sections 1 and 2 of borehole H3-2 were  $7.29 \times 10^{-10}$  and  $1.54 \times 10^{-10}$  m/s, respectively, which are one order of magnitude higher than those of sections 1–4 of borehole H2-1, due

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 12** Results of hydraulic tests. **a** Fracture distribution in borehole ▸H2-1. **b** Hydraulic conductivity in borehole H2-1. **c** Fracture distribution in borehole H4-2. **d** Hydraulic conductivity in borehole H4-2. **e** Fracture distribution in borehole H3-2. **f** Hydraulic conductivity in borehole H3-2

to the presence of fractures at depths of 2.2 and 2.5 m below the niche floor. In sections 3 and 4, which correspond to the EDZ detected by the BTV surveys, the hydraulic conductivities were  $6.41 \times 10^{-7}$  and  $1.24 \times 10^{-8}$  m/s, respectively, which are two-to-three orders of magnitude higher than those in sections 1 and 2.

### **3.3.2 Pore Pressures**

Figure [13](#page-8-0) shows the pore pressures measured in the hydraulic test sections after the tests had been conducted. The pore pressure just before the excavation of Niche No. 3 was ca. 2.4 MPa (Mezawa et al. [2017\)](#page-15-23), as shown by the blue dashed lines in Fig. [13](#page-8-0). In section 5 of borehole H2-1, which corresponds to the fracture-developing and high hydraulic conductivity section (i.e., in the EDZ), the pore pressure is ca. 0 MPa (Fig. [13](#page-8-0)a). The pore pressure in section 5 of borehole H4-2 is also ca. 0 MPa. The pore pressures in sections 3 and 4 in borehole H3-2 are ca. 0 MPa, which is the same trend as observed in section 5 of boreholes H2-1 and H4-2. The pore pressures gradually increase with distance from the niche wall and outside of the EDZ (Fig. [13b](#page-8-0)).

# <span id="page-7-1"></span>**4 Numerical Analysis**

We performed hydro-mechanical coupling analysis, using the commercial code FLAC3D (v. 4.00.89) (Itasca Consulting Group [2009](#page-15-24)), to simulate the hydro-mechanical behaviour of a rock mass during excavation. The validity of the analysis results, such as the extent of fracturing, measured convergence, and pore pressure distribution, was verified by comparison with the results of in situ surveys.

### **4.1 Modelling Approach**

# **4.1.1 Initial Stress and Pore Pressure Conditions**

Initial stress conditions were estimated on the basis of the hydraulic fracturing tests performed in the boreholes around the Horonobe URL drilled prior to the excavation (surfacebased investigation) and in the 350 m gallery (Aoyagi et al. [2013\)](#page-15-25). The magnitude of stress around the facility decreased due to excavation, as a result of a pore pressure decrease (Aoyagi et al. [2013](#page-15-25)). It is also reported that the magnitude of the stress within an oil reservoir is reduced as a result of the decrease in pore pressure associated with depletion. This





<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 13** Pore pressures in the hydraulic test sections. **a** and **b** Results of the measurements performed in boreholes H2-1 and H3-2, respectively

can be explained on the basis of linear poroelastic theory (Zoback [2007](#page-16-8)). Thus, in our analysis, the appropriate initial stress conditions took into account this change in pore pressure.

The pore pressure at 350 m depth prior to the exaction was ca. 3.5 MPa, based on pore pressure measurement in the boreholes. However, the pore pressure was ca. 0 MPa when measuring the stress in the 350 m gallery, because there was no water inflow from the measured borehole. The relationship between stress and pore pressure was estimated, as shown in Fig. [14,](#page-8-1) which shows the minimum principal stress  $(\sigma_x)$  and vertical stress  $(\sigma_z)$ , which correspond to the horizontal and vertical stresses acting on the niche, respectively (Fig. [15\)](#page-9-0). As described above, the pore pressure just before the excavation was ca. 2.4 MPa, and as such, the magnitude of initial pore pressure was set to 2.4 MPa in our analysis. The initial stress value corresponding to a pore pressure of 2.4 MPa was determined on the basis of the relationship between pore pressure and stress (Fig. [14](#page-8-1)). The magnitudes of the stresses are shown in the left panel of Fig. [15](#page-9-0).



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 14** Initial stress and pore pressure conditions applied to the model analysis

#### **4.1.2 Analysis Model**

Figure [15](#page-9-0) shows the geometry of the model used for the numerical analysis. Only half of the domain was modelled for symmetry reasons. The niche was 4.0 m wide and 3.2 m high. The installation of shotcrete (width of 0.2 m) and the steel arch rib were also simulated. The rock mass and shotcrete were modelled as solid elements, whereas the steel arch rib was modelled as a beam element. The size of each element was 0.1 m within 1.0 m of the niche wall, and 0.2 m for distances of 1.0–2.0 m from the niche wall. Roller boundary conditions were applied to the left and bottom sides of the model. The pore pressure was fixed at the right and top sides of the model.

#### **4.1.3 Hydro‑mechanical Properties**

Table [2](#page-9-1) lists the properties of the rock, shotcrete, and steel arch rib used in the analysis. The rock properties were determined on the basis of the data in Table [1](#page-3-0). The poroelastic coefficients (i.e., Biot's and Skempton's coefficients) were determined on the basis of the original laboratory tests described by Miyazawa et al. [\(2011](#page-15-26)). The dilation angle is assumed to be 0° on the basis of the post-failure behaviour of rock specimens measured in triaxial compression tests using siliceous mudstone (Yamamoto et al. [2005](#page-16-9)) and previous studies of mudstones (Wileveau and Bernier [2008;](#page-16-10) Xu et al. [2013](#page-16-11)). The hydraulic conductivity of intact rock was determined from laboratory permeability tests (Kurikami et al. [2008\)](#page-15-27). The mechanical properties of shotcrete were determined from the standard specifications of concrete materials (Japan Society of Civil Engineers [2002\)](#page-15-28), and those of steel arch ribs from the Japanese Chronological Scientific Tables (National Astronomical Observatory [2005\)](#page-15-29).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 15** Analysed model and boundary conditions. The initial stress condition is shown at left, and an enlarged view of the model around Niche No. 3 is shown at right



#### **4.1.4 Failure Criterion and Post‑failure Behaviour**

The failure criterion for the rock mass was determined from the stress conditions under which a crack initiates along a developing failure within a specimen, as observed in laboratory tests. Crack initiation (CI) stress is defined as that when the volumetric or lateral stress–strain curve deviates from linearity, and this stress condition corresponds to the initiation of a wing crack (Bieniawski [1967;](#page-15-30) Lajtai [1974](#page-15-31); Perras and Diederichs [2014](#page-15-32)). In these previous studies, the magnitude of CI stress was  $\sim$  30–50% of the peak strength.

When the tangential stress exceeds the value of the CI stress in hard crystalline rock, visible tensile fractures

<span id="page-9-1"></span>**Table 2** Properties of the rock, shotcrete, and steel arch rib used in the model analysis

Properties	Rock	Shotcrete	Steel arch rib
Elastic modulus (GPa)	1.82	20	210
Poisson's ratio	0.17	0.2	0.3
Cross-sectional area $(m2)$			$4.72 \times 10^{-3}$
Moment inertia $(m4)$			$2.0 \times 10^{-5}$
Apparent cohesion at the time of crack initiation (MPa)	2.37		
Apparent frictional angle at the time of crack initia- tion $(°)$	17.5		
Dilation angle $(°)$	$\Omega$		
Tensile strength (MPa)	1.83		
Porosity	0.4		
Density $(kg/m3)$	1840	3150	
Skempton's coefficient, B	0.83		
Biot-Willis coefficient $(\alpha)$	0.92		
Hydraulic conductivity (m/s)	-11 $1.0 \times 10$		

develop and result in macroscopic spalling failure (Diederichs [2003,](#page-15-13) [2007](#page-15-14)). In galleries excavated in soft sedimentary rock formations, such as the Opalinus clay in the Mont Terri rock laboratory in Switzerland and in the siliceous mudstone formation in the Horonobe URL, tensile fractures develop when the tangential stress exceeds the CI stress (Yong et al. [2010,](#page-16-5) [2013](#page-16-6); Aoyagi et al. [2017](#page-15-5)). Thus, in our study, the failure criterion based on the CI stress was determined and applied in estimating the extent of the EDZ.

To determine the failure criterion for the CI stress, we carried out ten uniaxial compression tests and 22 consolidated–drained triaxial compression tests using rock cores obtained from boreholes around the Horonobe URL and its galleries (Ota et al. [2010](#page-15-33); Aoyagi et al. [2015](#page-15-34)). The CI stresses were determined by detecting the point at which the volumetric or lateral stress–strain curves deviated from linearity. Mohr circles corresponding to the stress conditions at CI obtained in the uniaxial and triaxial compression tests are shown in Fig. [16](#page-10-0). Following the ISRM suggested method (International Society for Rock Mechanics [1983](#page-15-35)), the values of apparent cohesion *c′* and apparent friction angle  $\phi'$  were calculated to be 2.37 MPa and 17.5°, respectively, as indicated in Table [2](#page-9-1). Using these values, the Mohr–Coulomb criterion corresponding to CI stress employed with tension cutoff at the tensile strength  $\sigma_t$  (1.83 MPa; Table [1](#page-3-0)) was determined (Fig. [16](#page-10-0)).

When the Mohr circle of each element intersects the failure criterion, post-failure behaviour is simulated, according to the plastic potential function described by Vermeer and de Borst ([1984](#page-16-12)) and Itasca Consulting Group Inc [\(2009\)](#page-15-24) (i.e., the non-associated flow rule). In addition, the pore pressure is reduced to 0 MPa, on the basis of the result that the measured pore pressure in the EDZ was ca. 0 MPa (Fig. [13\)](#page-8-0).



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 16** Mohr stress circles corresponding to CIs obtained from uniaxial and triaxial compression tests. The straight line indicates the determined Mohr–Coulomb criterion corresponding to CI stress with tension cutoff

#### **4.1.5 Analysis Procedure**

The workflow of the analysis procedure is shown in Fig. [17.](#page-10-1) In the analysis, initial stress and pore pressure conditions were simulated prior to the excavation analysis (Step 1). Excavation analysis was accomplished by reducing the forces that were required to maintain equilibrium with the initial stress state (i.e., equivalent excavation force on the excavation surface). Prior to the installation of the support, 78% of the equivalent excavation force was reduced to simulate the situation that corresponds to the advance of excavation faces 1.5 m from the representative section (Step 2). Subsequently, the shotcrete and steel arch rib were installed, and then, 100% of the equivalent excavation force was reduced (Step 3).

In a previous study, extensional EDZ fractures were observed in the Horonobe, Bure, and Mont Terri URLs, which were directly caused by short-term excavationinduced unloading (i.e., undrained elasto-plastic behaviour; Blümling et al. [2007](#page-15-36)). These phenomena can be observed in low-permeability formations (Martin and Lanyon [2003](#page-15-37); Rutqvist et al. [2009\)](#page-15-9). Therefore, in Steps 2 and 3 (i.e., the period of excavation), the excavated wall was set to be in an undrained condition.

After Step 3, the EDZ and excavated wall were set to a drained condition (i.e., the pore pressures of the nodes corresponding to the EDZ and excavated wall were decreased to 0 MPa). The analysis was then continued for 85 days, which corresponds to the period between the completion of the



<span id="page-10-1"></span>**Fig. 17** Workflow of the analysis procedure

excavation and completion of the hydraulic tests (Step 4). The analysis results, such as extent of the EDZ, convergence, and pore pressure distribution, were then compared with the in situ measurements to confirm the model validity. Of note, when fluid flows through a porous medium, the solid weight, buoyancy, and seepage force should be considered to explain the force equilibrium (Wang  $2000$ ). These forces are taken into account in our analysis.

After the analysis, the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ was estimated using the MSI model (Step 5), as described in section 5, and then compared with the results of the hydraulic tests.

# **4.2 Analysis Results**

Figure [18](#page-11-0) indicates the failure region or the distribution of the EDZ around Niche No. 3 after excavation (i.e., Step 3). The maximum extent of the EDZ is 0.9 m in the sidewall, 0.3 m at the crown, and 1.3 m below the floor. This result is largely consistent with the maximum extent of the EDZ detected by the in situ tests (sidewall: 0.6 m, floor: 1.6 m; Sect. [3.1](#page-6-2)).

The extent of the EDZ shows a marked increase at Step 3 (i.e., end of the excavation). In Step 4, the extent of the EDZ is unchanged from Step 3. This indicates that the EDZ developed due to the stress concentration and undrained behaviour of the niche wall during excavation.

Figure [19](#page-12-0) shows the distributions of the maximum and minimum effective principal stresses in Steps 3 and 4. In the figure, the compressive stress is taken to be positive. In Step 3, the magnitude of the maximum effective principal stress increased around the niche wall due to the stress concentration produced by the excavation (Fig. [19a](#page-12-0)). The minimum effective principal stress (Fig. [19c](#page-12-0)) around the gallery wall



<span id="page-11-0"></span>**Fig. 18** Extent of the EDZ around Niche No. 3 (Step 3)

exhibits tensile stress because of the undrained nature of the rock. Thus, the tensile stress and stress concentration around the niche led to the development of the EDZ around the niche. In Step 4, the concentration of the maximum effective principal stress was mitigated (Fig. [19b](#page-12-0)) and the tensile stress of the minimum effective principal stress decreased due to the drainage behaviour of the rock (Fig. [19d](#page-12-0)). These stress conditions mitigate rock mass failure, and the EDZ does not develop after excavation. In a previous study, it was reported that the measured hydraulic conductivity in and around the EDZ has not changed for 2 years since the excavation (Aoyagi et al. [2017](#page-15-5)). This result indicates that the EDZ does not further develop after excavation, and this trend is consistent with that of the stress analysis.

Figure [20](#page-12-1) compares the measured and modelled convergence. In the analysis, the deformation of shotcrete at the site corresponding to the location of the measured point (i.e., along the spring line indicated in Fig. [8a](#page-4-0)) in Niche No. 3 was applied for comparison with the measured convergence. As the excavation analysis was conducted in 2D, the change in convergence with progressive excavation could not simulated. However, the model result (1.97 mm) is similar to the measured maximum convergence (1.6–2.7 mm; Sect. [3.2](#page-6-3)).

Figure [21](#page-13-0) shows the pore pressure distribution around the gallery in Step 4. The pore pressure decreased over a wide area due to the drained nature of the rock around the EDZ. The pore pressure profiles in the sidewall and below the floor in boreholes H2-1 and H3-2 are shown in Fig. [22,](#page-13-1) along with measured results for comparison. The trends of pore pressure reduction obtained from numerical analysis after excavation of the niche are generally consistent with the measured results. The modelled results of the extent of the EDZ, convergences, and pore pressure distribution around the gallery are also consistent with the in situ surveys. As such, the numerical analysis reliably models the observed results.

# **5 Discussion: Estimation of the Highest Potential Hydraulic Conductivity**

Ishii ([2015](#page-15-11)) proposed that spatial–temporal predictions of the highest potential transmissivities of fractures in natural fault zones could be made on the basis of mapping or predicting the spatial distribution of the MSI. The MSI is the ratio of the effective mean stress  $(\sigma'_{m})$  to the tensile strength of intact rock  $(\sigma_t)$ , as follows:

<span id="page-11-1"></span>
$$
MSI = \left(\frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_3}{2} - P\right) / \sigma_t = \frac{\sigma'_{m}}{\sigma_t}.
$$
 (2)

Ishii ([2015\)](#page-15-11) analysed the MSI values and transmissivities of flow anomalies in fault zones at six sites (Horonobe URL,

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 19** Distribution of the maximum and minimum effective principal stresses around Niche No. 3. **a** and **b** Maximum effective principal stress after the installation of the support material (Step 3) and after the drainage analysis (Step 4), respectively. **c** and **d** Minimum effective principal stress after the installation of the support material (Step 3) and after the drainage analysis (Step 4), respectively





<span id="page-12-1"></span>**Fig. 20** Comparison of the modelled and measured convergence results

Wellenberg in Switzerland, Forsmark in Sweden, Olkiluoto in Finland, northern Switzerland, and Sellafield in UK; Fig. [2a](#page-1-1)), and then formulated the relationship between MSI and transmissivity (*T*) considering the fault zone heterogeneity as a standard error, as follows:

<span id="page-12-2"></span>
$$
\log T = -3.51 \log \text{MSI} - 6.54 \text{ (standard error = } 1.25 \text{ in } \log T).
$$
\n(3)

This empirical power law relationship (MSI model) enables estimation of the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in a fault zone, with maximum errors of about two orders of magnitude, when taking into account fault zone heterogeneity (Fig. [2](#page-1-1)). This relationship is also applicable to estimation of the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in discrete shear fracture systems (Ishii [2017b\)](#page-15-15). In particular, there is a strong correlation between transmissivity of flow anomalies in fault zones and MSI in mudstone formations, as indicated



<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Fig. 21** Pore pressure distribution after the drainage analysis (Step 4)

in Fig. [2b](#page-1-1), which enhances the reliability of the model for estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity of the EDZ in the mudstone formation.

In this study, we assumed that the transmissivity derived from Eq. ([3](#page-12-2)) is the transmissivity of one fracture detected by the BTV image in the EDZ. The estimation of the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ was made on the basis of the numerical analysis, as described in Sect. [4,](#page-7-1) whereas the MSI value was calculated on the basis of Eq. [\(2](#page-11-1)). The effective mean stress can be calculated from the effective stress distribution (Fig.  $19c$ , d). The tensile strength was obtained from Brazilian tension tests. The average and standard deviation of the tensile strength were 1.83 and 0.37 MPa, respectively (Table [1\)](#page-3-0). Thus, we set the tensile strength in the MSI calculations to between 1.46 and 2.20 MPa, considering the range in tensile strength of the siliceous mudstone.

We investigated the MSI values in the analysis mesh that corresponds to the locations of the EDZ detected by the BTV survey. The estimated transmissivity was assumed to be the sum of the transmissivity of fractures in the EDZ within the hydraulic test section, as follows:

$$
T_e = \sum_i T_i \bigg|_{x = x_i} = \sum_i 10^{\left(-3.51 \text{ log MSI}_i\big|_{x = x_i} - 6.54\right)},\tag{4}
$$



<span id="page-13-1"></span>**Fig. 22** Pore pressure profiles estimated from model analysis and measured in the hydraulic test sections along the sidewall (**a**) and floor (**b**) of the niche (Step 4)

where  $x_i$  is the fracture location,  $MSI_i$  is the MSI value in the analysed mesh corresponding to the fracture location, *Ti* is the estimated transmissivity at the fracture site, and  $T_e$  is the transmissivity in the hydraulic test section expressed as the sum of  $T_i$ . The estimated highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the hydraulic test section  $(k_e)$  was determined by dividing the estimated transmissivity over the length of the test section (*L*), as follows:

<span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
k_e = \frac{T_e}{L}.\tag{5}
$$

The estimated hydraulic conductivities in each hydraulic test section in the EDZ, as obtained from Eq. ([5\)](#page-13-2), were then compared with the in situ test data. The estimated maximum and minimum hydraulic conductivities were calculated considering the standard error of the transmissivity, as described in Eq. ([3](#page-12-2)).

The estimated ranges of the highest potential hydraulic conductivity corresponding to the hydraulic test sections in the EDZ are shown along with the hydraulic test results in



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 23** Comparison of the range of the highest potential hydraulic conductivities estimated from the MSI model with the hydraulic conductivities measured in the EDZ

Fig. [23.](#page-14-0) The estimated range is 1–3 orders of magnitude, as the MSI model considers the intrinsic heterogeneity of hydraulic conductivity among fractures (Fig. [2\)](#page-1-1). The estimated ranges of hydraulic conductivities overlap with the measured results on both the sidewall and below the floor of the niche.

Multiple factors such as excavation method, excavation geometry, support pattern, distribution of natural (pre-existing) fractures, and stress field can affect the failure behaviour of the EDZ. In the Horonobe URL, mechanical excavation was performed, and the effect of blasting damage can be ignored. Furthermore, there were no or few natural fractures according to the fracture map on the sidewall of the niche and the distribution of fractures obtained from BTV images. This suggests that the effect of natural fractures can be ignored. Thus, for the conditions that the rock mass is relatively homogeneous and artificial damage such as that from blasting can be ignored, the MSI model is likely to be applicable in estimating the spatial distribution of the highest potential hydraulic conductivity around the facility.

In this analysis, the fracture locations based on the BTV surveys were used to estimate the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ. For this methodology to be applied to the construction and safe excavation of a facility, the spatial distribution of fractures around the tunnel needs to be estimated in advance. This requires the future development of techniques to estimate the fracture density in the EDZ. In the present study, the number of hydraulic conductivity determinations was relatively small. Therefore, we will in future examine the relationship between transmissivities/hydraulic conductivities in the EDZ using

MSI values under different MSI conditions, to improve the reliability of our methodology. These are important avenues for future research.

# **6 Conclusions**

We have developed a method for estimating the hydromechanical characteristics of the EDZ induced around a gallery in the Horonobe URL, Japan. The hydraulic conductivity, pore pressure, and extent of the EDZ were investigated using in situ surveys and a hydro-mechanical coupling analysis. We demonstrated the applicability of the method to estimating the highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ based on the MSI model.

BTV surveys, rock core analysis, and hydraulic tests were performed on the sidewall and below the floor of Niche No. 3 in the Horonobe URL. The in situ tests and surveys showed that the EDZ extent in the niche sidewall and below the niche floor were  $\leq 0.6$  and  $\leq 1.6$  m, respectively. The hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ is 2–5 orders of magnitude higher than that around the EDZ. The numerical analysis yielded the EDZ extent of 0.9 m in the sidewall, 0.3 m above the crown, and 1.3 m below the floor. These model results are broadly consistent with the in situ survey data. Modelled pore pressure changes due to the excavation of the niche are also generally consistent with the measured results. Therefore, we conclude that the model results are consistent with the observed results.

The highest potential hydraulic conductivity in the EDZ was estimated on the basis of the empirical relationship between MSI and transmissivity (i.e., the MSI model). The estimated ranges of the highest potential hydraulic conductivities are comparable with the measured results. If the rock mass is relatively homogeneous and artificial damage such as blasting can be ignored, then the MSI model is likely to be applicable in estimating the spatial distribution of hydraulic conductivity around the facility. Our methodology potentially provides a novel means of assessing radionuclide migration around an HLW disposal site.

**Acknowledgements** Takayuki Motoshima, Mitsuyasu Shirase, and Sumio Niunoya of the Taisei–Obayashi–Mitsuisumitomo Joint Venture Group planned and managed the in situ experiments conducted at the URL. Seiji Kikuyama of Asano Taiseikiso Engineering conducted the hydraulic tests in the URL. Junji Kita of Raax conducted the BTV surveys in the URL. Kentaro Sugawara of Geoscience Research Laboratory kindly supported our analysis. We appreciate their assistance and valuable comments. We also thank three anonymous reviewers for helpful comments and the Editor-in-Chief Giovanni Barla for editorial handling of the manuscript.

# **References**

- <span id="page-15-25"></span>Aoyagi K, Tsusaka K, Tokiwa T, Kondo K, Inagaki D, Kato H (2013) A study of the regional stress and the stress state in the galleries of the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory. In: Proceedings of the 6th International Symposium on In-Situ Rock Stress. Sendai. Japan. pp 331–338
- <span id="page-15-4"></span>Aoyagi K, Tsusaka K, Nohara S, Kubota K, Tokiwa T, Kondo K, Inagaki D (2014) Hydrogeomechanical investigation of an excavation damaged zone in the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory. In: Proceedings of the 8th Asian Rock Mechanics Symposium, Sapporo, Japan. pp 2804–2811
- <span id="page-15-34"></span>Aoyagi K, Ishii E, Kondo K, Tsusaka K, Fujita T (2015) A study on the strength properties of the rock mass based on triaxial tests conducted at the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory. Technical Report. Japan Atomic Energy Agency, JAEA-Research 2015-001
- <span id="page-15-5"></span>Aoyagi K, Ishii E, Ishida T (2017) Field observation and failure analysis of an Excavation Damaged Zone in the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory. J MMIJ 133:25–33
- <span id="page-15-6"></span>Armand G, Leveau F, Nussbaum C, Vaissiere RL, Noiret A, Jaeggi D, Landrein P, Righini C (2014) Geometry and properties of the excavation-induced fractures at the Meuse/Haute-Marne URL drifts. Rock Mech Rock Eng 47:21–41
- <span id="page-15-3"></span>Baechler S, Lavanchy JM, Armand G, Cruchaudet M (2011) Characterisation of the hydraulic properties within the EDZ around drifts at level—490 m of the Meuse/Haute-Marne URL: a methodology for consistent interpretation of hydraulic tests. Phys Chem Earth 36:1922–1931
- <span id="page-15-30"></span>Bieniawski ZT (1967) Mechanism of brittle fracture of rock, parts I, II and III. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 4:395–430
- <span id="page-15-36"></span>Blümling P, Bernier F, Lebon P, Martin CD (2007) The excavation damaged zone in clay formations time-dependent behavior and influence on performance assessment. Phys Chem Earth 32:588–599
- <span id="page-15-1"></span>Bossart P, Meier PM, Moeri A, Trick T, Mayor JC (2002) Geological and hydraulic characterization of the excavation disturbed zone in the Opalinus Clay of the Mont Terri Rock Laboratory. Eng Geol 66:19–38
- <span id="page-15-2"></span>Bossart P, Trick T, Meier PM, Mayor JC (2004) Structural and hydrogeological characterization of the excavation-disturbed zone in the Opalinus Clay (Mont Terri Project, Switzerland). Appl Clay Sci 26:429–448
- <span id="page-15-10"></span>Chen Y, Hu S, Zhou C, Jing L (2014) Micromechanical modeling of anisotropic dmage-induced permeability variation in crystalline rocks. Rock Mech Rock Eng 47:1775–1791
- <span id="page-15-13"></span>Diederichs MS (2003) Rock fracture and collapse under low confinement conditions. Rock Mech Rock Eng 36:339–381
- <span id="page-15-14"></span>Diederichs MS (2007) Mechanistic interpretation and practical application of damage and spalling prediction criteria for deep tunneling. Can Geotechn J 40:1082–1116
- <span id="page-15-7"></span>Guayacan-Carrilo L-M, Ghabezloo S, Sulem J, Seyedi DM, Armand G (2017) Effect of anisotropy and hydro-mechanical couplings on pore pressure evolution during tunnel excavation in lowpermeability ground. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 97:1–14
- <span id="page-15-22"></span>Hvorslev M (1951) Time lag and soil permeability in ground-water observations: waterways, experiment St. Corps of Engineers. U.S. Army Bull 36:49
- <span id="page-15-8"></span>Inoue J, Kim H-M, Horii H (2005) Estimation of hydraulic property of jointed rock mass considering excavation-induced change in permeability of each joints. Soils Found 45:43–59
- <span id="page-15-16"></span>International Society for Rock Mechanics (1978a) Suggested methods for determining tensile strength of rock materials. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 15:99–103
- <span id="page-15-17"></span>International Society for Rock Mechanics (1978b) Suggested methods for determining sound velocity. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 15:53–58
- <span id="page-15-18"></span>International Society for Rock Mechanics (1979a) Suggested methods for determining the uniaxial compressive strength and deformability of rock materials. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 16:135–140
- <span id="page-15-19"></span>International Society for Rock Mechanics (1979b) Suggested methods for determining water content, porosity, density, absorption and related properties and swelling and slake-durability index properties. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 16:143–151
- <span id="page-15-35"></span>International Society for Rock Mechanics (1983) Suggested methods for determining the strength of rock materials in triaxial compression: revised version. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci Geomech Abstr 20:285–290
- <span id="page-15-11"></span>Ishii E (2015) Predictions of the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in fault zones from rock rheology: preliminary results. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 120:2220–2241
- <span id="page-15-12"></span>Ishii E (2016) Far-field stress dependency of the failure mode of damage-zone fractures in fault zones: Results from laboratory tests and field observations of siliceous mudstone. J Geophys Res Solid Earth 121:70–91
- <span id="page-15-20"></span>Ishii E (2017a) Preliminary assessment of the highest potential transmissivity of fractures in fault zones by core logging. Eng Geol 221:124–132
- <span id="page-15-15"></span>Ishii E (2017b) Estimation of the highest potential transmissivity of discrete shear fractures using the ductility index. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 100:10–22
- <span id="page-15-24"></span>Itasca Consulting Group Inc (2009) FLAC3D Fast Lagrangian analysis of continua in 3 dimensions user's guide. Itasca Consulting Group Inc., Minneapolis
- <span id="page-15-0"></span>Japan Nuclear Cycle Development Institute (2000) H12: project to establish the scientific and technical basis for HLW disposal in Japan—report 3 safety assessment of the geological disposal system. Technical report. JNC TN1410 2000-004, Tokai-mura, Japan
- <span id="page-15-28"></span>Japan Society of Civil Engineers (2002) Standard specifications for concrete structures—2002, materials and construction. JSCE Guidelines for Concrete, Maruzen
- <span id="page-15-21"></span>Kulander BR, Dean SL, Ward BJ Jr (1990) Fractured core analysis: interpretation, logging, and use of natural and induced fractures in core. In: AAPG methods in exploration series 8. AAPG, Oklahoma
- <span id="page-15-27"></span>Kurikami H, Takeuchi R, Yabuuchi S (2008) Scale effect and heterogeneity of hydraulic conductivity of sedimentary rocks at Horonobe URL site. Phys Chem Earth 33:537–544

<span id="page-15-31"></span>Lajtai EZ (1974) Brittle fracture in compression. Int J Fract 10:525–536

- <span id="page-15-37"></span>Martin CD, Lanyon GW (2003) Measurement of in-situ stress in weak rock at Mont Terri Rock Laboratory, Switzerland. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 40:1077–1088
- <span id="page-15-23"></span>Mezawa T, Mochizuki A, Miyakawa K, Sasamoto H (2017) Groundwater pressure records by geochemical monitoring system in the Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory. Technical Report. JAEA-Data/Code 2017-010
- <span id="page-15-26"></span>Miyazawa D, Sanada H, Kiyama T, Sugita Y, Ishijima Y (2011) Proelastic coefficients for siliceous rocks distributed in the Horonobe area, Hokkaido, Japan. J MMIJ 127:132–138
- <span id="page-15-29"></span>National Astronomical Observatory (2005) Chronological scientific tables 2005. Maruzen, Tokyo
- <span id="page-15-33"></span>Ota K, Abe H, Kunimaru T (2010) Horonobe Underground Research Laboratory Project Synthesis of Phase I Investigations 2001–2005 volume "Geoscientific Research". Technical Report. JAEA-Research 2010-068
- <span id="page-15-32"></span>Perras MA, Diederichs MS (2014) A review of the tensile strength of rock: concepts and testing. Geotech Geol Eng 32:525–546
- <span id="page-15-9"></span>Rutqvist J, Börgesson L, Chijimatsu M, Hernelind J, Jing L, Kobayashi A, Nguyen S (2009) Modeling of damage, permeability changes

and pressure responses during excavation of the TSX tunnel in granitic rock at URL, Canada. Environ Geol 57:1263–1274

- <span id="page-16-1"></span>Sato T, Kikuchi T, Sugihara K (2000) In-situ experiments on an excavation disturbed zone induced by mechanical excavation in neogene sedimentary rock at tono mine, central Japan. Eng Geol 56:97–108
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>Shao H, Schuster K, Sönnke J, Bräuer V (2008) EDZ development in indurated clay formations—in situ borehole measurements and coupled HM modeling. Phys Chem Earth 33:5388–5395
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>Shen B, Stephansson O, Rinne M, Amemiya K, Yamashi R, Toguri S, Asano H (2011) FRACOD modeling of rock fracturing and permeability change in excavation damaged zones. Int J Geomech 11:302–313
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>Souley M, Armand G, Kazimerczak JB (2017) Hydro-elasto-viscoplastic modeling of a drift at the Meuse/Haute-Marne underground research laboratory (URL). Comput Geotech 85:306–320
- <span id="page-16-7"></span>Suko T, Takano H, Uchida M, Seki Y, Ito K, Watanabe Y, Munakata M, Tanaka T, Amano K (2013) Research on validation of the groundwater flow evaluation methods based on the information of geological environment in and around Horonobe underground research area. JNES (Japan Nuclear Energy Safety Organization) RE Report series JNES-RE-2013-9032
- <span id="page-16-0"></span>Tsang CF, Bernier F, Davies C (2005) Geohydromechanical processes in the excavation damage zone in crystalline rock, rock salt, and indurated and plastic clays in the context of radioactive waste disposal. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 42:109–125
- <span id="page-16-12"></span>Vermeer PA, de Borst R (1984) Non-associated plasticity for soils, concrete and rock. Heron 29:3–64
- <span id="page-16-13"></span>Wang HF (2000) Theory of linear poroelasticity with applications to geomechanics and hydrogeology. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- <span id="page-16-10"></span>Wileveau Y, Bernier F (2008) Similarities in the hydromechanical response of Callovo-Oxfordian clay amd Boom Clay during gallery excavation. Phys Chem Earth 33:S343–S349
- <span id="page-16-11"></span>Xu WJ, Shao H, Hesser J, Wang W, Schuster K, Kolditz O (2013) Coupled multiphase flow and elasto-plastic modelling of in-situ gas injection experiments in saturated claystone (Mont Terri Rock Laboratory). Eng Geol 157:55–68
- <span id="page-16-9"></span>Yamamoto T, Aoki T, Joh M (2005) Investigation on time-dependent behavior of sedimentary rock (Phase3). Technical Report. JNC TJ5400 2005-002
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>Yong S, Kaiser PK, Loew S (2010) Influence of tectonic shears on tunnel-induce fracturing. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 47:894–907
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>Yong S, Kaiser PK, Loew S (2013) Rock mass response ahead of an advancing face in faulted shale. Int J Rock Mech Min Sci 60:301–311
- <span id="page-16-8"></span>Zoback MD (2007) Reservoir geomechanics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.