#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Identifying the tumor‑progressive gene expression profle in high‑risk papillary thyroid cancer**

 $M$ asahiro Shibata<sup>1</sup> • Takahiro Inaishi<sup>1</sup> • Takahiro Ichikawa<sup>1</sup> • Dai Shimizu<sup>2</sup> • Ikumi Soeda<sup>1</sup> • Yuko Takano<sup>1</sup> • **Dai Takeuchi1 · Nobuyuki Tsunoda1 · Toyone Kikumori1**

Received: 22 July 2020 / Accepted: 31 January 2021 / Published online: 17 March 2021 © Springer Nature Singapore Pte Ltd. 2021

#### **Abstract**

**Purpose** Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) is generally associated with a favorable prognosis. However, some patients have fatal disease, with locally infltrating tumors or progressive distant metastases; yet few studies have investigated the characteristics of the tumor-progressive gene expression profle in advanced PTC. We conducted this study to clarify the gene expression status in advanced PTC and identify candidate molecules for prognostic biomarkers.

**Methods** We analyzed 740 tumor-progressive gene expression levels from formalin-fxed parafn-embedded blocks of samples from six patients with low-risk PTC and six patients with high-risk PTC, using the nCounter PanCancer Progression panel. Then, we investigated the association between the expression levels of focused genes and pathological factors in PTC patients in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database.

**Results** The expression levels of 14 genes in the high-risk PTC specimens were more than two-fold those in the low-risk PTC specimens. In the TCGA database, expression levels of four genes (*CCL11*, *COL6A3*, *INHBA,* and *SRPX2*) were signifcantly higher in patients with advanced PTC. Among the patients with advanced PTC, those with high *SRPX2* expression levels had poor disease-free survival. Univariate and multivariate analyses revealed that high *SRPX2* expression was an independent prognostic factor.

**Conclusion** Based on the fndings of this study, *CCL11*, *COL6A3*, *INHBA,* and *SRPX2* are potential biomarkers that indicate advanced PTC. *SRPX2*, in particular, is considered a prognostic biomarker.

**Keywords** Papillary thyroid cancer · *CCL11* · *COL6A3* · *INHBA* · *SRPX2*

# **Introduction**

Papillary thyroid cancer (PTC) is the most common malignant tumor of the thyroid [[1\]](#page-8-0). As most PTC patients have a favorable prognosis, overdiagnosis and overtreatment are a concern [[1\]](#page-8-0). Two Japanese prospective studies on asymptomatic papillary microcarcinoma (tumor size≤1 cm) showed that nonsurgical observation  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  $[2, 3]$  and active surveillance of low-risk papillary microcarcinoma is a practical strategy

<sup>1</sup> Department of Breast and Endocrine Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine, 65 Tsurumai-cho, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8550, Japan

to avoid unnecessary surgery [[4](#page-8-3), [5](#page-8-4)]. In the United States and Europe, recent guidelines do not recommend aggressive fine-needle aspiration cytology for thyroid tumors  $\leq 1$  cm [[1,](#page-8-0) [6](#page-8-5)]. However, PTCs with invasion of the trachea, esophagus, or recurrent laryngeal nerve, massive lymph node metastases, or progressive distant metastases are defned as "highrisk" in several clinical guidelines, and high-risk PTC can be fatal [\[1](#page-8-0), [4\]](#page-8-3). Although systemic therapies, such as radioiodine and multiple tyrosine kinase inhibitor drugs, are available for patients with progressive distant metastases, PTC in these patients is still difficult to cure  $[4]$  $[4]$ . Thus, there is an urgent need for the development of informative biomarkers that can identify high-risk PTC.

Recent studies have identifed an association between oncogene mutations and prognosis of diferentiated thyroid cancer. For example, the presence of a *BRAFV600E* mutation was associated with a poor prognosis [\[7](#page-8-6), [8](#page-8-7)], and *TERT* promoter mutations were an indicator of clinically aggressive

 $\boxtimes$  Masahiro Shibata m-shibata@med.nagoya-u.ac.jp

tumors and poor prognosis  $[9, 10]$  $[9, 10]$  $[9, 10]$  $[9, 10]$ . Identifying the differences in the gene signature between low- and high-risk PTC will contribute to the prediction of prognosis and may infuence decisions on treatment strategy. Moreover, the genetic profle that characterizes high-risk PTC can be a therapeutic target. Although these oncogenic mutations have been wellstudied, few investigations have focused on the diferences in tumor-progressive gene expression status between lowand high-risk PTC. Therefore, this study aimed to defne the characteristics of the tumor-progressive gene expression profle in low- and high-risk PTC and identify the putative biomarkers in high-risk PTC.

## **Methods**

#### **Sample collection**

Formalin-fxed parafn-embedded (FFPE) samples resected from six patients with low-risk PTC and six patients with high-risk PTC, who underwent surgery at Nagoya University Hospital between 2002 and 2008, with clinicopathological data available, were used in this study. The risk classifcation of each patient was based on the Japanese Clinical Practice Guidelines for Thyroid Tumors [[4\]](#page-8-3). Clinical and pathological TNM classifcations were in accordance with the Union for International Cancer Control (UICC) distribution (8th edition). All procedures, from RNA extraction to expression data analysis, were conducted by Riken Genesis Co. (Tokyo, Japan). To isolate total RNA from each tumor, we used  $10-15$  unstained  $5 \mu m$  slides. The site of each tumor was manually macro-dissected, and total RNA was extracted using the Maxwell RSC RNA FFPE Kit (Promega Co., Madison, WI, USA). The RNA quality of every sample was validated by assessment with the Qubit 3.0 Fluorometer (Thermo Fisher Scientifc, Waltham, MA, USA) and the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). A total of 770 genes (740 tumor-progressive and 30 reference genes) were evaluated with the nCounter PanCancer Progression panel (NanoString Technologies Inc., Seattle, WA, USA). Raw counts were analyzed using nSolver Analysis Software (NanoString Technologies Inc.) and normalized according to the standard protocol using expression levels of reference genes.

## **Public datasets of PTC**

We obtained the gene expression data and pathological and prognostic characteristics of the patients from The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database via cBioPortal for Cancer Genomics (URL:<https://www.cbioportal.org/>).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Diferences in continuous variables between the two groups were evaluated with the Mann–Whitney test. Correlations between the two gene levels were analyzed using the Spearman's rank correlation test. For prognostic analysis, each gene expression level in TCGA was divided into quartiles, and the highest quartile was compared with the remaining quartiles. The Kaplan–Meier method was used to evaluate the disease-free survival (DFS) rate and survival curves were compared using the log-rank test. The association between *SRPX2* expression levels and patient clinicopathological factors were analyzed using the  $\chi^2$  test. Multivariate regression analysis used the Cox proportional hazards model to identify prognostic factors and variables, for which  $p < 0.05$ was entered into the fnal model. JMP 12 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R version 3.6.3 (Vienna, Austria. URL:<http://www.R-project.org/>) were used for the statistical analysis and  $p < 0.05$  was defined as significantly different.

#### **Ethical approval and consent for participation**

This study complies with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the institutional review board of Nagoya University Graduate School of Medicine (reference number: 2019–0019). Written informed consent was obtained from participants for the use of samples and data.

## **Results**

## **Expression profle of the 740 genes involved in cancer progression**

Table [1](#page-2-0) summarizes the characteristics of the 12 PTC patients from whom specimens were analyzed in the panel. The low-risk group comprised six patients (L-1–L-6) with cT1 or cT2 and cN0 disease: three with pT1 and pN0 stage disease and three patients with pT1 or pT2 and pN1a stage disease. The high-risk group comprised six patients (H-1–H-6) with cN1 disease: three with pT4a stage disease that invaded the recurrent laryngeal nerve and three with M1 disease involving the lung. The high-risk patients had larger tumors  $(p=0.025)$  and more lymph node metastases  $(p=0.005)$  than the low-risk patients. None of the patients had metastatic lymph nodes larger than 3 cm or extranodal invasion, which are considered high-risk factors [\[4](#page-8-3)].

The expression levels of the 740 tumor-progressive genes were evaluated using an nCounter PanCancer Progression panel. Every gene expression level in each specimen is listed in Supplementary Table 1. Hierarchical



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1** Clinicopathological characteristics of the 12 patients whose cancer specimens were analyzed in the nCounter analysis

Table 1 Clinicopathological characteristics of the 12 patients whose cancer specimens were analyzed in the nCounter analysis



L low-risk, H high-risk, F female, M male, cN clinical N stage, cT clinical T stage, pN pathological T stage, DTCC Union for International Cancer Control L low-risk, H high-risk, F female, M male, cN clinical N stage, cT clinical T stage, pN pathological N stage, pT pathological T stage, UICC Union for International Cancer Control

clustering of 12 specimens in the 740 genes did not indicate an association with the clinical risk classification (Fig. [1a](#page-3-0)). When each gene expression level in the highrisk group was compared with that in the low-risk group, 14 genes showed significantly higher (>two-fold) expression and one gene expressed less than half (Fig. [1](#page-3-0)b). These 15 genes characterized the gene expression profile of high-risk PTC (Table [2](#page-4-0)).

# **Association between gene expression and pathological factors in the TCGA database**

To identify which of the 15 genes have clinical signifcance, their expression levels in 382 PTC patients were evaluated using the TCGA database. The median age was 46 years (range 17–89 years) and 93 male and 271 female patients were included (data missing for 18 patients). Table [3](#page-4-1) summarizes the TNM stages in the UICC distribution (8th edition). Eleven patients (2.9%) had pT4 stage and 153 patients (40.1%) had lymph node metastasis (pN1 stage).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Expression analysis of 740 genes involved in cancer progression. **a** Hierarchical clustering of 12 tumor specimens using the 740 genes. Each colored square indicates the relative mean transcript abundance for each sample. Classifcation of risk and pathological stage is shown below the array tree. **b** Volcano plots indicate each gene's -log10 (*p* value) and log-twofold change. When each gene expression in the high-risk group was compared with that in the low-risk group, 14 genes were expressed more than twofold (red dots), and one gene was expressed by less than half (blue dot)



Case



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2** Genes expressed at higher or lower levels in the high-risk group

Symbol	Full name	High-risk/Low-risk	
		Fold change (Log2)	<i>p</i> value
THBS4	Thrombospondin 4	2.584	0.006
<i>COL7A1</i>	Collagen type VII alpha 1 chain	1.818	0.025
PLA2G2A	Phospholipase A2 group IIA	1.730	0.045
COMP	Cartilage oligomeric matrix protein	1.693	0.048
COL6A3	Collagen type VI alpha 3 chain	1.366	0.043
TPM2	Tropomyosin 2	1.206	0.007
<i>CDH11</i>	Cadherin 11	1.178	0.034
INHBA	Inhibin subunit beta A	1.173	0.046
POPDC3	Popeye domain containing 3	1.104	0.034
BGN	Biglycan	1.089	0.030
<i>CCL11</i>	C-C motif chemokine ligand 11	1.081	0.003
SRPX2	Sushi repeat containing protein X-linked 2	1.051	0.028
AGT	Angiotensinogen	1.029	0.014
RAMPI	Receptor activity modifying protein 1	1.004	0.027
ADM2	Adrenomedullin 2	$-1.088$	0.040

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Table 3** Clinicopathological characteristics of the 382 patients in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database

Clinicopathological parameter				
Age, median (range)	46 (17–89)			
$<$ 55 years-old	249 (65.2%)			
$\geq$ 55 years-old	115 (30.1%)			
Unknown	18 (4.7%)			
Sex				
Male	93 (24.3%)			
Female	271 (71.0%)			
Unknown	18 (4.7%)			
Pathological T stage				
pT1	105(27.5%)			
pT2	117 (30.6%)			
pT3	129 (33.8%)			
pT4	11 (2.9%)			
Unknown	$20(5.2\%)$			
Pathological N stage				
pN0	172 (45.0%)			
pN1	153 (40.1%)			
Unknown	57 (14.9%)			
Clinical M stage				
M <sub>0</sub>	193 (50.5%)			
M <sub>1</sub>	$6(1.6\%)$			
Unknown	183 (47.9%)			

Pathological stages were classifed using the UICC staging system for the thyroid gland (8th edition)

*TCGA* The Cancer Genome Atlas, *UICC* Union for International Cancer Control

When the expression levels of the 15 genes were evaluated in these 382 patients, *CCL11, COL6A3, INHBA,* and *SRPX2* showed signifcantly higher expression in patients with pT4  $(n=11)$  or pN1  $(n=153)$  stage disease than in those with pT1/T2/T3 ( $n = 351$ ) or pN0 ( $n = 172$ ) stage disease (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)a and Supplementary Fig. 1). No signifcant difference was found in any gene expression level between M1  $(n=6)$  and M0 ( $n=193$ ) stages. Moreover, there was a signifcant correlation in each pair of these four genes (Fig. [2](#page-5-0)b). In particular, the expression level of *SRPX2* was highly correlated with that of *COL6A3* (correlation coefficient: 0.753) and *INHBA* (correlation coefficient: 0.686).

#### **Association between gene expression and prognosis in the TCGA database**

We investigated the association between the expression status of each of the four genes that were highly expressed in patients with an advanced stage of PTC and the DFS of 323 patients who had M0 stage disease with prognostic data available in the TCGA database. Patients with the highest quartile of each gene expression were designated as the "high group"  $(n=82)$ , and the remaining patients were designated as "the others"  $(n=241)$ . Although the DFS of the "high group" with high expression of *CCL11, COL6A3,* or *INHBA* did not differ significantly from that of "the others", the "high *SRPX2* group" had shorter 5-year DFS than "the others" (70.9% vs. 85.0%, respectively;  $p = 0.013$  $p = 0.013$ ; Fig. 3). The high *SRPX2* group had a high proportion of older patients ( $\geq$  55 years,  $p$  = 0.049), more advanced pT stage  $(p=0.035)$ , and pN1 stage  $(p=0.001;$  Table [4](#page-7-0)). These results suggested that *SRPX2* is a prognostic biomarker of PTC.

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 2 a** Association between gene expression levels and pathological stages in The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database. The expression levels of *CCL11*, *COL6A3*, *INHBA,* and *SRPX2* were signifcantly higher in patients with pT4 or pN1 stage disease than in those with pT1/T2/T3 or pN0 stage disease. \**p*<0.05, \*\**p*<0.01, \*\*\**p*<0.001, \*\*\*\**p*<0.0001. **b** Correlation between two of four gene expression levels. There was a signifcant correlation in every pair of these four genes. *r* correlation coefficient



Univariate analysis of DFS, identifed "pN1 stage" and "high *SRPX2*" as significant prognostic factors. Multivariate analysis identifed both "pN1 stage" (hazard ratio: 4.20; 95% confdence interval (CI) 1.35–18.4, *p*=0.012) and "high *SRPX2*" (hazard ratio: 3.12; 95% CI 1.21–8.32, *p*=0.019) as independent prognostic factors (Table [5\)](#page-7-1).

## **Discussion**

In this study, we investigated 740 tumor-progressive genes in six low-risk and six high-risk PTC patients and identifed 14 highly expressed genes and 1 low expression

<span id="page-6-0"></span>



gene in the high-risk patients. Thereafter, in the TCGA database, the expression levels of *CCL11, COL6A3, INHBA,* and *SRPX2* were found to be higher in patients with advanced stage PTC, and *SRPX2* was identifed as an independent prognostic factor.

The development of molecular biomarkers contributes to the risk stratifcation of patients, which assists with deciding on the appropriate therapy. In breast cancer, several commercial multigene expression assays are clinically available to predict patient prognosis and evaluate the necessity of adjuvant chemotherapy [\[11\]](#page-8-10). Studies on diferentiated thyroid cancer have demonstrated the importance of several oncogene mutations including *BRAFV600E* and *TERT* promoter mutations as indicators of poor prognosis; however, little is known about the gene expression profile related to tumor progression in PTC  $[7-10]$  $[7-10]$  $[7-10]$  $[7-10]$  $[7-10]$ . As a frst step to explore candidate molecules in PTC, we used the nCounter PanCancer Progression panel in each group of six patients. This is a robust technique using FFPE samples and does not need RNA amplifcation with polymerase chain reaction, unlike the next-generation sequencing technique. Although the cluster analysis found no tendency between the low-risk and high-risk patients in the expression of all 740 genes, 14 genes were highly expressed, and one gene showed lower expression in the high-risk group.

These 15 genes were considered putative biomarkers that can distinguish high-risk PTC.

The small number of patients in the high-risk group in the nCounter analysis seemed insufficient to represent the whole malignant phenotypes. To compensate this, we evaluated whether these genes were highly expressed in patients with advanced TN stages in the TCGA database. Among 15 genes, *CCL11, COL6A3, INHBA,* and *SRPX2* were highly expressed in patients with pT4 or pN1 disease. Previous studies have identifed the tumor-progressive roles of these four genes. CCL11, an eosinophil-selective chemoattractant cytokine, promotes cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion, and is upregulated in glioblastoma, renal cell carcinoma, and ovarian cancer [[12–](#page-8-11)[14](#page-8-12)]. COL6A3 belongs to collagen type IV, which is the major structural extracellular matrix protein, and the expression of *COL6A3* is associated with poor prognosis in colon, pancreas, prostate, and lung cancers [[15–](#page-8-13)[18](#page-8-14)]. INHBA, a member of the TGF-β superfamily, is a poor prognostic predictor in colon cancer and is involved in the tumorigenesis of ovarian cancer [\[19](#page-8-15), [20](#page-8-16)]. SRPX2, a chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, is overexpressed in various cancers, such as gastric cancer and esophageal squamous carcinoma, by promoting cell proliferation and metastasis [[21](#page-8-17), [22](#page-8-18)]. In this study, the expression levels of the four genes were correlated signifcantly with each other,

Clinicopathological parameter	High SRPX2 group $(n=82)$	Others $(n=241)$	$p$ value*
Age			$0.049*$
$<$ 55 years-old	50	175	
$\geq$ 55 years-old	28	56	
Unknown	4	10	
Sex			0.314
Male	22	52	
Female	56	179	
Unknown	4	10	
Pathological T stage			$0.035*$
pT1/T2/T3	74	229	
pT4	3	1	
Unknown	5	11	
Pathological N stage			$0.001*$
pN0	26	117	
pN1	47	85	
Unknown	9	39	

<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Table 4** Associations between *SRPX2* expression and the clinicopathological characteristics of 323 patients in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) database

Pathological stages were classifed using the UICC staging system for thyroid gland (8th edition)

*TCGA* The Cancer Genome Atlas, *UICC* Union for International Cancer Control

\*Significant in  $\chi^2$  test (*p* < 0.05)

suggesting that they may be regulated by common or close pathways in PTC. Thus far, one study has reported that both *COL6A3* and *INHBA* showed higher expression levels in gastric cancer tissue than normal tissue [[23\]](#page-8-19). Here, the expression level of *SRPX2* showed comparatively high correlation with that of *COL6A3* and *INBHA*. Further mechanistic analysis is warranted to clarify the relationship between these four genes.

Among the four genes, the high expression of *SRPX2* was associated with poor DFS and identifed as a prognostic factor. There have been no reports describing the importance of *SRPX2* expression in thyroid cancer. In prostate cancer, the knockdown of SRPX2 inhibited cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and epithelial-mesenchymal transition through suppression of the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway [\[24](#page-8-20)]. The PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway was also suppressed by the silencing of *COL6A3* [[25\]](#page-9-0), the mRNA expression of which was highly correlated with that of *SRPX2* in this study. Interestingly, one study on osteosarcoma found that SRPX2 promotes tumorigenesis, tumor growth, and invasion by activating YAP1, which promotes malignant phenotypes, expansion of cancer stem cells, and drug resistance and has been noted as a potential therapeutic target molecule [\[26](#page-9-1)]. These results suggest the comprehensive tumor-progressive roles of SRPX2. Based on these and our fndings, *SRPX2* has the potential to be a novel therapeutic target as well as a prognostic marker.

These results have several possible clinical applications. The 14 genes highly expressed in high-risk PTC may contribute to the development of a multigene expression panel in PTC to predict prognosis. Patients predicted to have poor prognosis may require adjuvant systemic therapy, such as radioiodine or molecular targeting drugs, to improve their prognosis. Furthermore, the molecules identifed in this study, especially *SRPX2,* have the potential to be novel therapeutic targets. Although lenvatinib and sorafenib have been used clinically in the treatment of PTC patients with progressive distant metastases, these drugs target multiple tyrosine kinase and can cause adverse events like hypertension, fatigue, proteinuria, and severe hand-foot syndrome [[27,](#page-9-2) [28\]](#page-9-3). Developing drugs that target fewer molecules will provide more efective and safer treatments for patients with advanced PTC.

This study has some limitations. First, because the risk classifcation of each patient in the nCounter analysis was based on the Japanese guidelines [[4](#page-8-3)], the high-risk group

<span id="page-7-1"></span>



Univariate analysis was performed using the log-rank test. Multivariate analysis was performed using the Cox proportional hazards model

*CI* confdence interval, *TCGA* The Cancer Genome Atlas, *UICC* Union for International Cancer Control \*Significant in multivariate analysis  $(p < 0.05)$ 

included patients with stage I or II disease in the UICC distribution. It is necessary to consider that the defnition of "high-risk" varies among guidelines. Second, these results were obtained from gene expression analysis, which does not evaluate protein expression and each molecule's mechanism. Further functional analyses of these molecules are needed to identify their tumor-progressive roles in PTC. Third, there are clinical data missing in the TCGA database. For example, the M status of 183 patients (47.9%) was unknown and data on the age of 4.7% of patients were missing. This might affect the fact that "age  $\geq$  55 years", which is recognized as a poor prognostic indicator in several clinical guidelines [[1,](#page-8-0) [4\]](#page-8-3), was not a signifcant prognostic factor in this study. These results need to be validated in diferent cohorts.

In conclusion, our study identifed gene expression profle characteristics of high-risk PTC. Among 740 tumorprogressive genes, *CCL11*, *COL6A3*, *INHBA,* and *SRPX2* were highly expressed in advanced PTC patients, and *SRPX2* was identifed as a prognostic biomarker. We expect that these fndings will be used for the identifcation of novel prognostic markers or therapeutic targets in PTC.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00595-021-02262-0>.

**Funding** None.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** We do not have any potential conficts of interest associated with this research.

### **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Haugen BR, Alexander EK, Bible KC, Doherty GM, Mandel SJ, Nikiforov YE, et al. 2015 American Thyroid Association Management Guidelines for adult patients with thyroid nodules and diferentiated thyroid cancer: the American Thyroid Association Guidelines Task Force on thyroid nodules and diferentiated thyroid cancer. Thyroid. 2016;26:1–133.
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Ito Y, Uruno T, Nakano K, Takamura Y, Miya A, Kobayashi K, et al. An observation trial without surgical treatment in patients with papillary microcarcinoma of the thyroid. Thyroid. 2003;13:381–7.
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Sugitani I, Toda K, Yamada K, Yamamoto N, Ikenaga M, Fujimoto Y. Three distinctly different kinds of papillary thyroid microcarcinoma should be recognized: our treatment strategies and outcomes. World J Surg. 2010;34:1222–31.
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Ito Y, Onoda N, Okamoto T. The revised clinical practice guidelines on the management of thyroid tumors by the Japan Associations of Endocrine Surgeons: core questions and recommendations for treatments of thyroid cancer. Endocr J. 2020;67:669–717.
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. Sugitani I, Ito Y, Miyauchi A, Imai T, Suzuki S. Active surveillance versus immediate surgery: questionnaire survey on the current treatment strategy for adult patients with low-risk papillary thyroid microcarcinoma in Japan. Thyroid. 2019;29:1563–71.
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. Filetti S, Durante C, Hartl D, Leboulleux S, Locati LD, Newbold K, et al. Thyroid cancer: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-updagger. Ann Oncol. 2019;30:1856–83.
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. Tufano RP, Teixeira GV, Bishop J, Carson KA, Xing M. BRAF mutation in papillary thyroid cancer and its value in tailoring initial treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore). 2012;91:274–86.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>8. Xing M, Alzahrani AS, Carson KA, Viola D, Elisei R, Bendlova B, et al. Association between BRAF V600E mutation and mortality in patients with papillary thyroid cancer. JAMA. 2013;309:1493–501.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>9. Bournaud C, Descotes F, Decaussin-Petrucci M, Berthiller J, de la Fouchardiere C, Giraudet AL, et al. TERT promoter mutations identify a high-risk group in metastasis-free advanced thyroid carcinoma. Eur J Cancer. 2019;108:41–9.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>10. Melo M, da Rocha AG, Vinagre J, Batista R, Peixoto J, Tavares C, et al. TERT promoter mutations are a major indicator of poor outcome in diferentiated thyroid carcinomas. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2014;99:E754–65.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>11. Coates AS, Winer EP, Goldhirsch A, Gelber RD, Gnant M, Piccart-Gebhart M, et al. Tailoring therapies–improving the management of early breast cancer: St Gallen international expert consensus on the primary therapy of early breast cancer 2015. Ann Oncol. 2015;26:1533–46.
- <span id="page-8-11"></span>12. Tian M, Chen L, Ma L, Wang D, Shao B, Wu J, et al. Expression and prognostic signifcance of CCL11/CCR3 in glioblastoma. Oncotarget. 2016;7:32617–27.
- 13. Johrer K, Zelle-Rieser C, Perathoner A, Moser P, Hager M, Ramoner R, et al. Up-regulation of functional chemokine receptor CCR3 in human renal cell carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res. 2005;11:2459–65.
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>14. Levina V, Nolen BM, Marrangoni AM, Cheng P, Marks JR, Szczepanski MJ, et al. Role of eotaxin-1 signaling in ovarian cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 2009;15:2647–56.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>15. Svoronos C, Tsoulfas G, Souvatzi M, Chatzitheoklitos E. Prognostic value of COL6A3 in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2020;24:52–6.
- 16. Duan Y, Liu G, Sun Y, Wu J, Xiong Z, Jin T, et al. COL6A3 polymorphisms were associated with lung cancer risk in a Chinese population. Respir Res. 2019;20:143.
- 17. Liu W, Li L, Ye H, Tao H, He H. Role of COL6A3 in colorectal cancer. Oncol Rep. 2018;39:2527–36.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>18. Xie X, Liu X, Zhang Q, Yu J. Overexpression of collagen VI alpha3 in gastric cancer. Oncol Lett. 2014;7:1537–43.
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>19. Li X, Yang Z, Xu S, Wang Z, Jin P, Yang X, et al. Targeting INHBA in ovarian cancer cells suppresses cancer xenograft growth by attenuating stromal fbroblast activation. Dis Markers. 2019;2019:7275289.
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>20. Li X, Yu W, Liang C, Xu Y, Zhang M, Ding X, et al. INHBA is a prognostic predictor for patients with colon adenocarcinoma. BMC Cancer. 2020;20:305.
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>21. He F, Wang H, Li Y, Liu W, Gao X, Chen D, et al. SRPX2 knockdown inhibits cell proliferation and metastasis and promotes chemosensitivity in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Biomed Pharmacother. 2019;109:671–8.
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>22. Tanaka K, Arao T, Maegawa M, Matsumoto K, Kaneda H, Kudo K, et al. SRPX2 is overexpressed in gastric cancer and promotes cellular migration and adhesion. Int J Cancer. 2009;124:1072–80.
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>23. Yang S, Shin J, Park KH, Jeung HC, Rha SY, Noh SH, et al. Molecular basis of the diferences between normal and tumor tissues of gastric cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2007;1772:1033–40.
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>24. Hong X, Zhao H, He C. Knockdown of SRPX2 inhibits the proliferation, migration, and invasion of prostate cancer cells through the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway. J Biochem Mol Toxicol. 2018;2018:22237.
- <span id="page-9-0"></span>25. Ao R, Guan L, Wang Y, Wang JN. Silencing of COL1A2, COL6A3, and THBS2 inhibits gastric cancer cell proliferation, migration, and invasion while promoting apoptosis through the PI3k-Akt signaling pathway. J Cell Biochem. 2018;119:4420–34.
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>26. Shibata M, Ham K, Hoque MO. A time for YAP1: Tumorigenesis, immunosuppression and targeted therapy. Int J Cancer. 2018;143:2133–44.
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>27. Brose MS, Nutting CM, Jarzab B, Elisei R, Siena S, Bastholt L, et al. Sorafenib in radioactive iodine-refractory, locally advanced

or metastatic diferentiated thyroid cancer: a randomised, doubleblind, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2014;384:319–28.

<span id="page-9-3"></span>28. Schlumberger M, Tahara M, Wirth LJ, Robinson B, Brose MS, Elisei R, et al. Lenvatinib versus placebo in radioiodine-refractory thyroid cancer. N Engl J Med. 2015;372:621–30.

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.