#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**



# **Diabetes as a risk factor for pneumococcal disease and severe related outcomes and efficacy/effectiveness of vaccination in diabetic population. Results from meta‑analysis of observational studies**

Giovanni Antonio Silverii<sup>1</sup> · Giovanni Gabutti<sup>2</sup> · Silvio Tafuri<sup>3</sup> · Francesca Sarti<sup>1</sup> · Anna Pratesi<sup>1</sup> · Alessandra Clerico<sup>4</sup> · Riccardo Fornengo<sup>5</sup> · Carla Greco<sup>6</sup> · Concetta Irace<sup>7</sup> · Valeria Sordi<sup>8</sup> · Gian Pio Sorice<sup>9</sup> · Massimiliano Cavallo<sup>10</sup> · MariaChantal Ponziani<sup>11</sup> · Edoardo Mannucci<sup>1</sup> · Ilaria Dicembrini<sup>1</sup> **O** on behalf of the SID-AMD-SItI Working Group **on Diabetes, Vaccines**

Received: 30 October 2023 / Accepted: 23 March 2024 / Published online: 29 April 2024 © The Author(s) 2024

### **Abstract**

**Aims** To collect all available evidence on the efect of diabetes mellitus (DM) as a risk factor for pneumococcal disease incidence and related complications, and on the efficacy/effectiveness of vaccines in patients with DM.

**Methods** Two distinct systematic searches on MEDLINE, Cochrane, ClinicalTrials.gov and EMBASE databases were performed, one for each meta-analysis, collecting all observational (cohort and case–control) studies and randomized clinical trials performed on humans up to June 1st, 2023.

**Results** We retrieved 36 observational studies comparing risk for pneumococcal disease and related complications in people with or without DM, and 11 studies (1 randomized clinical trial and 10 observational studies) assessing conjugated and polysaccaridic vaccines efficacy/effectiveness on preventing such outcomes. People with DM were at higher risk for Invasive Pneumococcal Disease (unadjusted OR 2.42 [2.00; 2.92]); Case-Fatality Rate (unadjusted OR 1.61 [1.25; 2.07], Pneumococcal pneumonia (unadjusted OR 2.98 [2.76; 3.22), and Intensive care unit admission for pneumococcal disease (unadjusted OR 2.09 [1.20; 3.66]). In diabetic individuals vaccinated with conjugated vaccine, incidence of pneumonia specific for vaccine type in a clinical trial (OR 0.237 [0.008; 0.704]), and hospitalization for overall pneumonia during the year following the polysaccharide vaccination in observational studies (unadjusted OR 0.63 [0.45–0.89]) were signifcantly lower in comparison with unvaccinated DM subjects, with no significant differences for other outcomes.

**Conclusions** People with diabetes mellitus are at higher risk for less favourable course of pneumococcal disease and should be therefore targeted in vaccination campaigns; more evidence needs to be collected on vaccination outcomes in people with diabetes.

**Keywords** Diabetes mellitus · Pneumococcal disease · Pneumococcal vaccination

Managed by Massimo Federici .

 $\boxtimes$  Ilaria Dicembrini ilaria.dicembrini@unif.it

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Experimental and Clinical Biomedical Sciences "Mario Serio", University of Florence, Viale Morgagni 50, 50139 Florence, Italy
- <sup>2</sup> Coordinator Working Group "Vaccines and Immunization Policies", Italian Scientifc Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (SItI), Terni, Italy
- <sup>3</sup> Interdisciplinary Department of Medicine, Aldo Moro, University of Bari, Bari, Italy
- <sup>4</sup> Diabetes Unit, Azienda Sanitaria Città di Torino, Turin, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> Diabetes Unit, ASL TO4, Chivasso (Turin), Italy
- <sup>6</sup> Biomedical and Metabolic Department, Modena and Reggio Emilia University, Modena, Italy
- Health Sciences Department, Catanzaro "Magna Graecia" University, Catanzaro, Italy
- Diabetes Research Institute, IRCCS San Raffaele Hospital, Milan, Italy
- <sup>9</sup> Endocrinology Unit, Bari University Hospital, Bari, Italy
- <sup>10</sup> Internal Medicine Unit, Terni "Santa Maria" Hospital, Terni, Italy
- <sup>11</sup> Diabetes Unit, Azienda Sanitaria Novara, Novara, Italy

## **Introduction**

Pneumococcal disease is a leading cause of hospitalization in the elderly and in patients with chronic comorbidities [\[1](#page-8-0)]. Individuals with diabetes (DM) are at increased risk for bacteremic forms of pneumococcal infection with mortality rates as high as 50% [\[2\]](#page-8-1). Nowadays, two types of vaccines are available for protection against pneumococcal infections in adults: polysaccharide (23-valent: PPSV23) and conjugate (13-valent: PCV13; 15-valent: PCV15; 20-valent: PCV20) vaccines, PPSV23 following its approval on 1983 through the demon-stration of its efficacy on reducing bacteremic pneumonia [[3](#page-8-2)], confrmed its efectiveness in adults against Invasive Pneumococcal Disease [\[4\]](#page-8-3). A post-approval clinical trial demonstrated the efficacy of PCV13 vaccination against pneumonia and pneumococcal disease caused by vaccine serotypes in adults aged 65 years and older [\[5](#page-8-4)]. PCV15 and PCV20 have been recently licensed by the Food and Drug Administration [\[6\]](#page-8-5) and the European Medicines Agency [[7,](#page-8-6) [8\]](#page-8-7), and the administration of either PCV20 alone or PCV15 in series with PPSV23 is recommended from 2021 for all adults aged  $\geq 65$  years, and for adults aged <65 years with concomitant medical conditions or other risk factors who have not previously received a conjugate vaccine [[9\]](#page-8-8). According to the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, the vaccine type recommended in the routine immunization schedules in adults is diferent across diferent countries, with PPSV23 and PCV13 currently being the most frequently used, both with single or sequential administration, with conjugate vaccine always preceding polysaccharide vaccine [[10](#page-8-9)]. As for infuenza and other preventable diseases, data from observational studies showed coverage rates for pneumococcal vaccination lower than recommended among adults with DM [\[11,](#page-8-10) [12](#page-8-11)].

Clinical recommendations should be based on the systematic analysis of available evidence from properly designed clinical studies; the aim of this manuscript is to defne the benefts of pneumococcal vaccination in adults with diabetes. For this purpose, we collected all available evidence (observational studies and/or randomized clinical trials) on the efect of DM as a risk factor for the most severe complications of pneumococcal disease as incidence of Invasive Pneumococcal disease (IPD), case-fatality rate (CFR), intensive care unit (ICU) admission, and on the efficacy/effectiveness of specific vaccines in reducing hospitalization, IPD and mortality in patients with DM.

# **Methods**

The meta-analyses followed the criteria of Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta Analyses (PRISMA) guidelines (Table 1S). Review Protocols

were submitted for registration to the PROSPERO website (CRD42023407712 and CRD42023424877 registration numbers, respectively) [[13\]](#page-8-12).

## **Search strategy**

Two distinct systematic searches on MEDLINE, Cochrane, ClinicalTrials.gov and EMBASE databases were performed, one for each meta-analysis, collecting all observational (cohort and case–control) studies and randomized clinical trials performed on humans up to June 1st, 2023. Search terms were reviewed by all collaborators; the full search strings are reported in Table 2S and 3S of supplementary materials. Further studies were manually searched in references from retrieved papers.

#### **Selection criteria**

To be eligible, an item had to be an original report in English of a study enrolling adults with type 1 and/or type 2 DM, assessing selected outcomes.

1. Meta-analysis on Diabetes as a risk factor for pneumococcal disease and related severe complications

 Observational studies of any duration or size were included, provided that they reported data about specifc main and additional outcomes, comparing adults with pneumococcal infection afected by versus not afected by Diabetes.

2. Meta-analysis on Pneumococcal vaccine efficacy/effectiveness in Diabetes

 Studies (either observational studies or randomized trials) were included if data about specifc main and additional outcomes were available, comparing pneumococcal-vaccinated and non-vaccinated diabetic individuals.

## **Endpoints**

1. Meta-analysis on Diabetes as a risk factor for complications of pneumococcal disease

 Diferences between diabetic and not diabetic adults in incidence of Invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD), case-fatality rate (CFR) and intensive care unit (ICU) admission were the main endpoints, whereas secondary outcomes included diferences in incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia, pneumococcal disease, pneumococcal meningitis, pneumococcal septicemia, pneumococcal bacteremia, incidence and length of hospitalization for pneumococcal disease.

2. Meta-analysis on Pneumococcal vaccine efectiveness in Diabetes

 Diferences between vaccinated and not vaccinated diabetic adults in hospitalization for pneumonia and for vaccine-type pneumonia, incidence of IPD and vaccinetype IPD were selected as main endpoints, whereas differences between vaccinated and not vaccinated subjects with diabetes in overall hospitalizations and mortality for any cause and for IPD as were selected secondary endpoints.

### **Data collection**

Titles and abstracts were screened independently by the authors, and potentially relevant articles retrieved in full text. For all published trials, results reported in published papers and supplements were used as the primary source of information. When the required information on protocol or outcomes was not available in the main or secondary publications, an attempt at retrieval was performed consulting the clinicaltrials.gov website. The identifcation of relevant abstracts and the selection of studies were performed independently by all the authors. Data extraction and conficts resolution were performed by two investigators (I.D. and G.A.S.). The Cochrane Risk of Bias tool was used to assess risk of bias in randomized controlled trials (RCTs), and the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale was used to assess the risk of bias in observational studies.

#### **Statistical analyses**

Odds ratios and 95% confdence intervals (95% CIs) were either calculated or extracted directly from the publications. Unadjusted or adjusted odds ratio were meta-analyzed separately. Pre-planned separate analyses were performed for randomized trials, whenever possible.

If data from more than one study on a given outcome were available, a meta-analysis using a random-effects model as the primary analysis was performed. Heterogeneity was assessed by using  $I^2$  statistics. Funnel plots were examined to estimate possible publication/disclosure bias, and Egger test was performed to exclude signifcant publication bias. Sensitivity analyses were performed, whenever possible, if signifcant heterogeneity was detected, including leave-one out analysis, or subgroup analysis for diferent time (before/after 2011, year of PCV13 vaccine introduction) or country of observation.

All analyses were performed using Review Manager Web (RevMan Web version 5.3.5) [[14\]](#page-8-13) and Comprehensive Metaanalysis [\[15](#page-8-14)] software.

Sensitivity analyses were performed, whenever possible, if signifcant heterogeneity was detected, including leaveone out analysis, or subgroup analysis for diferent time or country of observation.

#### **Results**

Diabetes as a risk factor for complications of pneumococcal disease

Study characteristics: Figure 1S, A of Supplementary materials reports the summary flow chart of the metaanalysis. Of the 19,701 items, after removing duplicates, 147 were selected for retrieval of full text. Of those, 111 records were excluded because inclusion criteria were not satisfed (Table 4S). Only 36 studies fulflled the inclusion criteria. Included studies enrolled 7,740,461 and 83,474,510 patients with and without DM, respectively. Main characteristics of the studies and confounding factors used for statistical adjustment in each of included studies are reported in Table [1.](#page-5-0) Risk of bias is reported in Table 5S. Two of the included studies [[16,](#page-8-15) [17](#page-8-16)] reported only subgroups data for diferent age ranges, therefore we analyzed those age ranges as separate studies.

*Incidence of Invasive Pneumococcal Disease (IPD)*. Twelve of the included studies [[2,](#page-8-1) [16,](#page-8-15) [17,](#page-8-16) [19,](#page-8-17) [20,](#page-8-18) [29,](#page-9-0) [32,](#page-9-1) [34,](#page-9-2) [36](#page-9-3), [39,](#page-9-4) [43,](#page-9-5) [44](#page-9-6)] reported data on this outcome. Funnel plot test (Fig. 2S) ruled out publication bias. DM diagnosis was associated with a signifcant higher risk of IPD in respect to adults without DM (unadjusted OR 2.42 [2.00; 2.92];  $p < 0.00001$ , Fig. [1A](#page-3-0)), with high heterogeneity. This association was confrmed when available adjusted odds ratios were analyzed (adjusted odds ratio; OR 1.75 [1.40; 2.20]; *p* < 0.00001). Leave-one out analysis was performed, excluding signifcant infuence of single studies (Table 6S). In subgroup analyses, the efect of DM on risk of IPD was signifcantly greater in studies performed after 2011 when compared to less recent investigations (Fig. 3S); however, the results of studies published after 2011, even when analyzed separately, still showed a relevant heterogeneity. No signifcant diference in results was observed between cohort and case–control studies (Fig. 4S) and between studies performed in diferent countries (Fig. 5S). From three studies with available data [\[16,](#page-8-15) [17,](#page-8-16) [43](#page-9-5)], meta-analysis confrmed a signifcant association between IPD incidence and subjects aged 65 or older (OR 2.83 [2.10; 3.82]; *p*<0.00001, Fig. 6S). Meta-regression analyses were also performed in order to explore possible determinants of the efects of DM on incidence of IPD; an inverse not signifcant association was found with study duration (*r*=−0.0002 [95%CI −0.0003; −0.0000]  $p=0.052$ ; Fig. 7S), whereas an inverse significant association was found with the proportion of enrolled subjects aged 65 or older (*r* = −0.004 [95%CI − 0.004; − 0.003]  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 8S). On the other hand, a positive significant correlation was found with the starting observation year (*r*=0.009 [95%CI 0.002; 0.016] *p*=0.018; Fig. 9S).

				<b>Odds Ratio</b>		<b>Odds Ratio</b>
<b>Study or Subgroup</b>	log[Odds Ratio]			SE Weight IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
<b>Kwak 2015</b>		0.3534 0.1363	6.9%	1.42 [1.09, 1.86]		
Morril 2014		0.4212 0.0457	7.9%	1.52 [1.39, 1.67]		
Watt 2007	0.5324	0.2716	4.9%	1.70 [1.00, 2.90]		
Klemets 2010		0.6092 0.1648	6.5%	1.84 [1.33, 2.54]		
Lin 2019	0.6696	0.105	7.3%	1.95 [1.59, 2.40]		
Inghammar 2013		0.8243 0.0669	7.7%	2.28 [2.00, 2.60]		
Van Hoek 2012 Over 65		0.8524 0.0326	8.0%	2.35 [2.20, 2.50]		
Shea 2014 over 65	0.9266	0.0705	7.7%	2.53 [2.20, 2.90]		
Shea 2014 49-64		0.9488 0.0591	7.8%	2.58 [2.30, 2.90]		
Baxter 2016	1.0888	0.0583	7.8%	2.97 [2.65, 3.33]		
Shea 2014 under 49	1.0919	0.1104	7.3%	2.98 [2.40, 3.70]		
Wagenwoort 2016		1.1223 0.0563	7.8%	$3.07$ [2.75, 3.43]		
Avdin 2023	1.1226	0.4691	2.8%	3.07 [1.23, 7.71]		
Van Hoek 2012 under 65		1.5223 0.0445	7.9%	4.58 [4.20, 5.00]		
Kyaw 2005		1.7573 0.6568	1.7%	5.80 [1.60, 21.00]		
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			100.0%	2.42 [2.00, 2.92]		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.11; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 362.28, df = 14 (P < 0.00001); $P = 96\%$					$\frac{1}{0.05}$	0.2 ĥ.
Test for overall effect: $Z = 9.19$ (P < 0.00001)						Favours Diabetes Favours No Diabetes
				<b>Odds Ratio</b>		<b>Odds Ratio</b>
<b>Study or Subgroup</b>	log[Odds Ratio]			SE Weight IV, Random, 95% CI		IV, Random, 95% CI
Baxter 2016	0.2939 0.0569		26.7%	1.34 [1.20, 1.50]		을
Inghammar 2013	0.4892 0.0779		25.3%	1.63 [1.40, 1.90]		
<b>Kwak 2015</b>	0.8761	0.1528	19.1%	2.40 [1.78, 3.24]		
Kyaw 2005	1.222 0.3236		8.8%	3.39 [1.80, 6.40]		
Lin 2019	0.4233 0.1402		20.1%	1.53 [1.16, 2.01]		
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			100.0%	1.75 [1.40, 2.20]		
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> = 0.05; Chi <sup>2</sup> = 20.75, df = 4 (P = 0.0004); l <sup>2</sup> = 81%						
Test for overall effect: $Z = 4.86$ (P < 0.00001)					0.01	0.1 10 100
						Favours Diabetes Favours No Diabetes

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Difference in risk for invasive pneumococcal disease (IPD) between people with or without diabetes mellitus. (IV=inverse variance, CI=confdence interval, SE=standard error); Panel A, Unadjusted OR; Panel B, Adjusted OR)

*Case-Fatality Rate (CFR):* Nineteen studies [\[17](#page-8-16)[–19](#page-8-17), [21,](#page-8-19) [23](#page-8-20), [24,](#page-8-21) [27,](#page-8-22) [30,](#page-9-7) [31](#page-9-8), [35](#page-9-9), [37](#page-9-10), [38](#page-9-11), [40,](#page-9-12) [43,](#page-9-5) [45–](#page-9-13)[48\]](#page-9-14) reported unadjusted odds ratios for this endpoint; the funnel plot did not suggest relevant publication bias (Fig. 10S). DM diagnosis was associated with a signifcantly higher CFR (unadjusted OR 1.61[1.25; 2.07]; *p*<0.0002, Fig. [2](#page-4-0) A), but the association was no longer confrmed when available adjusted odds ratios were analyzed (Fig. [2](#page-4-0)B). A leave-one out analysis was performed, ruling out signifcant infuence of single studies (Table 7S). Subgroup analyses showed no diference in risk between studies performed in diferent countries (Fig. 11S) and between studies performed after 2004, between 1980 and 2004 and before 1980 (Fig. 12S). Meta-regression analyses suggested an inverse association between increase in risk with DM and the proportion of male individuals enrolled (*r*=−0.04 [95%CI −0.07; −0.01] *p*=0.003; Fig. 13S).

*ICU admission:* Only 2 studies [\[19,](#page-8-17) [30](#page-9-7)] reported unadjusted odds ratio for this endpoint. DM diagnosis was associated with a signifcant higher ICU admission in respect to individuals without DM (unadjusted odds ratio; OR 2.09 [1.20; 3.66]; *p*=0.010, Table 8S).

*Incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia:* Five of the included studies reported unadjusted [\[25](#page-8-23), [31](#page-9-8), [39,](#page-9-4) [42\]](#page-9-15) or adjusted [[25](#page-8-23), [31,](#page-9-8) [41,](#page-9-16) [42\]](#page-9-15) odds ratio for this secondary endpoint. DM diagnosis was associated with a signifcantly higher incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia in respect to individuals without DM (unadjusted OR 2.98 [2.76; 3.22]; *p* < 0.00001, Fig. 14S panel A). The association remained signifcant when available adjusted odds ratios were analyzed (adjusted odds ratio; adj OR 1.73 [1.46; 2.04]; *p*<0.00001, Fig. 14 S panel B).

*Incidence of overall pneumococcal disease:* Unadjusted odd ratios were retrieved in three studies [[26,](#page-8-24) [41](#page-9-16), [49](#page-9-17)]. DM was not associated with a signifcant increase in risk for overall pneumococcal disease in the general population (unadjusted OR 2.02 [0.64; 5.49]; *p* = 0.23, Table 8S), whereas a signifcant association was found in patients aged 65 years or older (unadjusted OR 1.56 [1.44; 1.71]; *p*<0.00001, Table 8S).

*Incidence of pneumococcal bacteremia, septicemia and meningitis:* Two studies [[22,](#page-8-25) [33,](#page-9-18) [39](#page-9-4), [41\]](#page-9-16) reported data for the aggregate of pneumococcal bacteremia, septicemia and meningitis. DM was associated with a signifcant increase of incidence for this composite endpoint in adjusted, but not in unadjusted analysis (unadjusted OR 1.16 [0.56;





<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Diference in risk for case-fatality ratio (CFR) between people with or without diabetes mellitus. (IV=inverse variance, CI=confdence interval, SE = standard error); Panel A, Unadjusted OR; Panel B, Adjusted OR)

2.41]; *p*=0.70, Fig. 18S; adjusted OR 1.48 [1.08; 2.04]; *p*=0.01, Table 8S).

*Incidence and length of stay of hospitalization for pneumococcal disease:* None of the included studies reported data on these outcomes.

Pneumococcal vaccine efficacy/effectiveness in diabetes.

*Study characteristics:* Fig. 1S, B of Supplementary materials reports the summary fowchart of the meta-analysis. Of the 19,701 items, after removing duplicates, 19,611 were selected for retrieval of full text. Of those, 19,518 records were excluded after reading abstract, because inclusion criteria were not satisfed, whereas 82 were excluded after full text examination (reason for exclusion are reported in Table 9S). Only 11 studies fulflled the inclusion criteria overall enrolling 600,074 individuals: 10 observational studies (of which eight performed with PPSV23, one with PCV13, and one including both vaccines) and one clinical trial, performed with PCV13. The risk of bias table is reported in Table 10S; the main characteristics of included studies are reported in Table [2;](#page-6-0) confounding factors used for statistical adjustment in each of included studies are reported in Table 10S.

*Hospitalization for vaccine-type pneumonia:* In the only randomized trial available [[5](#page-8-4)], PCV13 vaccine was associated with a signifcantly lower hospital admission for vaccine-type pneumonia in diabetic individuals aged over 65 years (OR 0.237 [0.008; 0.704]; *p*=0.002), as reported in Fig. 15S. No data from observational studies were available for this endpoint.

*Hospitalization for overall pneumonia:* Six observational studies [[51,](#page-9-19) [53](#page-9-20), [54](#page-9-21), [57](#page-9-22)[–59\]](#page-9-23) reported unadjusted odds ratio for this endpoint, reporting no association between pneumococcal vaccination and risk of hospitalization for overall pneumonia in diabetic individuals (OR 0.89 [0.62; 1.27];  $p = 0.52$ , Fig. [3\)](#page-6-1), with high heterogeneity ( $l^2 = 99\%$ ). A funnel plot did not allow to rule out publication bias, with studies providing more positive results for vaccine having a greater chance of being published (Fig. 16S). No

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 1** Characteristics of the studies included in the metaanalysis on the efect of diabetes mellitus on pneumococcal disease



Numbers in the study of Shea et al. are expressed in patient-years

BMI=body mass index, PORT score=pneumonia severity index, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, MPR=medication possession ratio. CC=Case–control; CH=Cohort; Dur=duration (in weeks) OBS=duration.

diference was found between studies performed in USA and Australia in comparison with those performed in Europe  $(p=0.54;$  Fig. 17S) and between PCV13 and PPV23 vaccines ( $p = 0.89$ ; Fig. 18S).

In three studies with available data 1 year or less following vaccination [[51,](#page-9-19) [53](#page-9-20), [59](#page-9-23)], all performed with PPV23 vaccines, hospitalization for overall pneumonia resulted signifcantly lower in vaccinated versus not vaccinated adults with diabetes (OR 0.63 [0.45–0.89;*p*=0.008; Fig. 19S).

*Incidence of Invasive Pneumococcal Disease (IPD) and vaccine-type IPD:* Only one observational study [[50\]](#page-9-24) reported data on this endpoint, showing an association of PPV23 vaccination with a lower risk of vaccine-type IPD (OR 0.81 [0.25–2.57]). In three studies with available data [[50,](#page-9-24) [52](#page-9-25), [56\]](#page-9-26), PPV pneumococcal vaccination was not associated with incidence of overall IPD (including cases of IPD from serotypes diferent from those targeted by vaccination; OR 0.55 [0.24–1.26]; *p*=0.15; Fig. 20S]. In <span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 2** Characteristics of the studies included in the meta-analysis on the efect of pneumococcal vaccination in people with DM



BMI=body mass index, PORT score=pneumonia severity index, COPD=chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, MPR = medication possession ratio. Case–control = CC; CH = Cohort. Numbers from Mc Donald 2017 are expressed as patient-years. Dur=duration



<span id="page-6-1"></span>**Fig.** 3 Differences in pneumonia hospitalizations between vaccinated or unvaccinated patients with diabetes (forest plot; IV=inverse variance random = random effects CI = confidence interval)

the only study of those reporting odds ratios adjusted for confounders [[56](#page-9-26)], the incidence of IPD was signifcantly reduced in vaccinated versus unvaccinated adults with DM (adj OR 0.85 [0.77–0.93]).

*Overall hospitalizations:* This endpoint was reported only in one study [[56](#page-9-26)], showing a signifcant association of pneumococcal vaccination with a reduction of overall hospitalization rates, in both unadjusted (OR 0.94 [0.91–0.98]) and adjusted (OR 0.96 [0.92–0.99]) analysis.

*Overall mortality.* Two of selected studies reported adjusted odds ratio for this endpoint [[55,](#page-9-29) [58](#page-9-30)], with no signifcant association between vaccination and overall mortality (OR 0.98 [0.93–1.04; *p*=0.55; Fig. 21S).

*Mortality for IPD.* None of the included studies reported data on this outcome.

#### **Discussion**

The present meta-analysis shows that DM is associated with an increased risk of pneumococcal disease and related severe outcomes, with a two–threefold greater incidence of IPD in adults with diabetes in comparison with the general population. This risk remains signifcantly higher also after adjusting for potential confounders. However, results of studies are heterogeneous, prompting further analyses for the assessment of potential moderators. Meta-regression and subgroup analyses suggest that the association may be stronger in the elderly; furthermore, the efect of DM seems to be greater in more recent studies; accordingly, a previously published meta-analysis [[60](#page-10-1)], detected

a weaker, although signifcant, association between diabetes and the risk for IPD and pneumococcal pneumonia. The mechanisms underlying this associations, which are beyond the aim of this paper, may include coinfections with other agents, impairment of immune responses, chronic infammation associated with hyperglycemia and/ or insulin resistance, and other mechanisms [[61](#page-10-2)].

In people with diabetes, a post hoc analysis of the only available randomized clinical trial [[5\]](#page-8-4) showed that pneumococcal conjugate vaccine PCV13 was efective in reducing hospitalizations for pneumonia determined by serotypes targeted by the specifc vaccine used; on the other hand, our meta-analysis of observational studies failed to demonstrate the efectiveness of the pneumococcal vaccines in reducing IPD, hospitalizations or mortality in this population in observational studies, with no signifcant diference detected between PPSV23 and PCV13.

Furthermore, our subgroup analysis of available observational studies suggested the efficacy of PPSV23 vaccine against hospitalization for pneumonia in adults with diabetes one year or less after vaccination, confrming thus a likely reduction in the efficacy of this type of vaccine over the time, which had already been observed with PPSV23, especially in the elderly [\[62](#page-10-3)]. A previous, systematic review exploring diferences in pneumococcal-related outcomes in vaccinated adults with and without diabetes, including a smaller number of studies, also provided conficting results [[63\]](#page-10-4).

The results of observational studies seem to question the efectiveness of pneumococcal vaccines in people with diabetes. On the other hand, the only available randomized trial indicates that vaccination is efective in individuals with diabetes [\[5](#page-8-4)]. Although the result in diabetes derives from a post hoc analysis, with the risk of selective publication of positive results, data from a randomized trial have a higher level of evidence than observational studies. In fact, the ability of observational studies in detecting the true efect of a treatment is severely limited by potential residual confounding, mainly prescription bias: vaccinated individuals with DM may have a higher baseline risk for complications than those who were not vaccinated, which adjustments may not fully address; such impairment could possibly interfere with the estimates of effectiveness [[58\]](#page-9-30). A further possible explanation of the reduced efficacy of vaccination may rely on the increased prevalence, in the population enrolled in the included studies, of pneumococcal non-vaccine serotypes [\[58\]](#page-9-30).

In order to explore the need for promoting pneumococcal vaccination in people with diabetes, a cost-efectiveness analysis is needed. Such analysis should rely on accurate and updated data about incidence and severity of infection due to each pneumococcal serotype; therefore, the serotype determination in IPD should be strongly encouraged. However, the determination of actual infection rates is a relevant

organizational challenge. Notably, the rate of serotype determinations has been decreasing from 2019 [[64\]](#page-10-5), probably due to the consequences of the need for the healthcare system to focus their resources on the COVID-19 pandemic. In order to assess the efficacy of vaccines, more high-quality data are strongly needed, ideally from randomized clinical trials. Although several clinical trials on pneumococcal vaccination have been performed, only one provided separate data for people with diabetes; conversely, subgroup analyses for all the categories considered at higher risk for pneumococcal complications should be performed in all trials, to confrm that comorbidities do not affect vaccine efficacy.

All available evidence refers to the association of pneumococcal disease outcomes with PPSV23 and PCV13 vaccines. PCV15 and PCV20 have been reported to be more effective than previous vaccines  $[6]$  $[6]$ , but no specific data were available in people with diabetes. Further limitations should be considered in the interpretation of this meta-analysis: many results showed a high heterogeneity, which could be only partly explained by factors identifed as moderators. In fact, specifc subgroup data for other variables (i.e., type of diabetes, pharmacologic treatment, glucose control, comorbidities) were unavailable. In many of the subgroup analyses performed, the low number of studies included should be considered as a potential bias regarding the risk evaluation. Moreover, a confounding bias related to previous infuenza vaccination is also possible, since one of the most frequent complications of infuenza is a pulmonary pneumococcal infection.

In conclusion, the present systematic review and metaanalysis shows that: (1) adults with diabetes showed higher risk of pneumococcal disease and severe related complications versus not diabetic individuals and (2) in people with diabetes, pneumococcal vaccination appears to be efective in preventing vaccine-type pneumonia in clinical trials.

**Supplementary Information** The online version contains supplementary material available at<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00592-024-02282-5>.

**Authors' contribution** ID and GAS were involved in design, data collection, analysis and writing manuscript. MC, AC, RF, GG, CG, TI, VS, ST, FS, AP, MCP, GPS were involved in data collection and manuscript revision. EM was involved as the external reviewer of the working group in design and manuscript revision. The manuscript was drafted, revised and approved by all the authors in accordance with ICJME standards for authorship. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had fnal responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

**Funding** Open access funding provided by Università degli Studi di Firenze within the CRUI-CARE Agreement. This research was performed as a part of the institutional activity of the unit, with no specifc funding.

#### **Declarations**

**Conflict of interest** GG declares grants from Sanof Pasteur MSD, GSK Biologicals SA, Pfzer, Sanof Pasteur, MSD Italy, Emergent BioSolutions, Moderna, Novavax and Seqirus for taking part to advisory boards, expert meetings, for acting as speaker and/or organizer of meetings/congresses and as principal investigator and chief of O.U. in RCTs. All the other authors have no confict of interest to disclose directly related to this manuscript.

**Ethical approval** This article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals performed by any of the authors.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

## **References**

- <span id="page-8-0"></span>1. Jain S, Self WH, Wunderink RG et al (2015) Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization among U.S. adults. N Engl J Med 373:415–427.<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1500245>
- <span id="page-8-1"></span>2. Kyaw MH, Rose CE Jr, Fry AM et al (2005) The infuence of chronic illnesses on the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease in adults. J Infect Dis 192:377–386. [https://doi.org/10.1086/](https://doi.org/10.1086/431521) [431521](https://doi.org/10.1086/431521)
- <span id="page-8-2"></span>3. Smith SA, Poland GA (2000) Use of infuenza and pneumococcal vaccines in people with diabetes. Diabetes Care 23:95–108. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.23.1.95>
- <span id="page-8-3"></span>4. Farrar JL, Childs L, Ouattara M et al (2023) Systematic review and meta-analysis of the efficacy and effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccines in adults. Pathogens 12:732. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12050732) [pathogens12050732](https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens12050732)
- <span id="page-8-4"></span>5. Huijts SM, Van Werkhoven CH, Bolkenbaas M, Grobbee DE, Bonten MJM (2017) Post-hoc analysis of a randomized controlled trial: diabetes mellitus modifies the efficacy of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine in elderly. Vaccine 35:4444–4449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.01.071>
- <span id="page-8-5"></span>6. Kobayashi M, Farrar JL, Gierke R et al (2022) Use of 15-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine and 20-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine among U.S. adults: updated recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices—United States, 2022. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 71:109–117. <https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm7104a1>
- <span id="page-8-6"></span>7. European Medicines Agency EU. Apexxnar n.d.
- <span id="page-8-7"></span>8. European Medicines Agency EU. Vaxneuvance n.d.
- <span id="page-8-8"></span>9. World Health Organization. Pneumococcal vaccines: WHO position paper on their use in community outbreak settings n.d.
- <span id="page-8-9"></span>10. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control EU. Vaccine scheduler-pneumococcal disease: recommended vaccinations n.d.
- <span id="page-8-10"></span>11. Van Deursen AMM, Van Houten MA, Webber C et al (2018) The impact of the 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine on pneumococcal carriage in the community acquired pneumonia

immunization trial in adults (CAPiTA) study. Clin Infect Dis 67:42–49. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciy009>

- <span id="page-8-11"></span>12. Janssens A, Vaes B, Abels C et al (2023) Pneumococcal vaccination coverage and adherence to recommended dosing schedules in adults: a repeated cross-sectional study of the INTEGO morbidity registry. BMC Public Health 23:1104. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15939-7) [s12889-023-15939-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-023-15939-7)
- <span id="page-8-12"></span>13. PROSPERO DATABASE.<https://www.crd.york.ac.uk/prospero>) n.d.
- <span id="page-8-13"></span>14. Review Manager (RevMan) (2020) [Computer program]. Version 5.4. The Cochrane Collaboration. n.d.
- <span id="page-8-14"></span>15. Comprehensive Meta-analysis, Biostat 14 North Dean Street Englewood, NJ 07631 USA n.d.
- <span id="page-8-15"></span>16. Shea KM, Edelsberg J, Weycker D, Farkouh RA, Strutton DR, Pelton SI (2014) Rates of pneumococcal disease in adults with chronic medical conditions. Open Forum Infect Dis 1:ofu024. [https://doi.org/10.1093/ofd/ofu024](https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofu024)
- <span id="page-8-16"></span>17. Van Hoek AJ, Andrews N, Waight PA et al (2012) The efect of underlying clinical conditions on the risk of developing invasive pneumococcal disease in England. J Infect 65:17–24. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2012.02.017) [org/10.1016/j.jinf.2012.02.017](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2012.02.017)
- <span id="page-8-26"></span>18. Austrian R (1964) Pneumococcal bacteremia with especial reference to bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. Ann Intern Med 60:759.<https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-60-5-759>
- <span id="page-8-17"></span>19. Aydin MA, Janapatla RP, Chen C-L, Li H-C, Su L-H, Chiu C-H (2023) Microbiological and clinical characteristics of Streptococcus pneumoniae serotype 3 infection and risk factors for severe outcome: a multicenter observational study. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 56:598–604.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2023.01.013>
- <span id="page-8-18"></span>20. Baxter R, Yee A, Aukes L et al (2016) Risk of underlying chronic medical conditions for invasive pneumococcal disease in adults. Vaccine 34:4293–4297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.07.003) [07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2016.07.003)
- <span id="page-8-19"></span>21. Cardinal-Fernández P, García Gabarrot G, Echeverria P, Zum G, Hurtado J, Rieppi G (2013) Clinical and microbiological aspects of acute community-acquired pneumonia due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Revista Clínica Española (English Edition) 213:88– 96.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rceng.2012.12.001>
- <span id="page-8-25"></span>22. Di Yacovo S, Garcia-Vidal C, Viasus D et al (2013) Clinical features, etiology, and outcomes of community-acquired pneumonia in patients with diabetes mellitus. Medicine 92:42–50. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31827f602a) [org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31827f602a](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31827f602a)
- <span id="page-8-20"></span>23. Fedson DS (1983) Previous hospital care and pneumococcal bacteremia: importance for pneumococcal immunization. Arch Intern Med 143:885. [https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1983.0035005003](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1983.00350050039008) [9008](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.1983.00350050039008)
- <span id="page-8-21"></span>24. Feikin D, Schuchat A, Jorgensen JH (2000) Mortality from invasive pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of antibiotic resistance, 1995–1997. Am J Public Health 90:223–229. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.90.2.223) [2105/AJPH.90.2.223](https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.90.2.223)
- <span id="page-8-23"></span>25. Flory JH, Jofe M, Fishman NO, Edelstein PH, Metlay JP (2009) Socioeconomic risk factors for bacteraemic pneumococcal pneumonia in adults. Epidemiol Infect 137:717–726. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268808001489) [10.1017/S0950268808001489](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268808001489)
- <span id="page-8-24"></span>26. Fukuda H, Onizuka H, Nishimura N, Kiyohara K (2022) Risk factors for pneumococcal disease in persons with chronic medical conditions: results from the LIFE study. Int J Infect Dis 116:216– 222.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.12.365>
- <span id="page-8-22"></span>27. Garrouste-Orgeas M, Azoulay E, Ruckly S et al (2018) Diabetes was the only comorbid condition associated with mortality of invasive pneumococcal infection in ICU patients: a multicenter observational study from the Outcomerea research group. Infection 46:669–677. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-018-1169-6>
- <span id="page-8-27"></span>28. Gil-Prieto R, Pascual-Garcia R, Walter S, Álvaro-Meca A, Gil-De-Miguel Á (2016) Risk of hospitalization due to pneumococcal

disease in adults in Spain. The CORIENNE study. Hum Vaccines Immunother.<https://doi.org/10.1080/21645515.2016.1143577>

- <span id="page-9-0"></span>29. Inghammar M, Engström G, Kahlmeter G, Ljungberg B, Löfdahl C-G, Egesten A (2013) Invasive pneumococcal disease in patients with an underlying pulmonary disorder. Clin Microbiol Infect 19:1148–1154. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1469-0691.12182>
- <span id="page-9-7"></span>30. Ishiguro T, Kagiyama N, Uozumi R et al (2017) Clinical characteristics of infuenza-associated pneumonia of adults: clinical features and factors contributing to severity and mortality. Yale J Biol Med 90:165–181
- <span id="page-9-8"></span>31. Jacups SP, Cheng A (2011) The epidemiology of community acquired bacteremic pneumonia, due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, in the top end of the Northern Territory, Australia—over 22 years. Vaccine 29:5386–5392. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.05.082) [2011.05.082](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.05.082)
- <span id="page-9-1"></span>32. Klemets P, Lyytikainen O, Ruutu P et al (2010) Risk of invasive pneumococcal infections among working age adults with asthma. Thorax 65:698–702.<https://doi.org/10.1136/thx.2009.132670>
- <span id="page-9-18"></span>33. Kornum JB, Thomsen RW, Riis A, Lervang H-H, Schønheyder HC, Sørensen HT (2007) Type 2 diabetes and pneumonia outcomes. Diabetes Care 30:2251–2257. [https://doi.org/10.2337/](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-2417) [dc06-2417](https://doi.org/10.2337/dc06-2417)
- <span id="page-9-2"></span>34. Kwak BO, Choung JT, Park YM (2015) The association between asthma and invasive pneumococcal disease: a nationwide study in Korea. J Korean Med Sci 30:60. [https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.](https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2015.30.1.60) [2015.30.1.60](https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2015.30.1.60)
- <span id="page-9-9"></span>35. Leelarasamee A, Dhiraputra C, Hunnangkul S (1999) Severe pneumococcal infection at a Thai hospital. Int J Infect Dis 3:147–152. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1201-9712\(99\)90036-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1201-9712(99)90036-X)
- <span id="page-9-3"></span>36. Lin Y, Kuo H, Wu S, Nong B (2019) Identifying clinical factors associated with invasive pneumococcal disease in Taiwan. Kaohsiung J of Med Scie 35:379–381. [https://doi.org/10.1002/](https://doi.org/10.1002/kjm2.12041) [kjm2.12041](https://doi.org/10.1002/kjm2.12041)
- <span id="page-9-10"></span>37. López-de-Andrés A, De Miguel-Díez J, Jiménez-Trujillo I et al (2017) Hospitalisation with community-acquired pneumonia among patients with type 2 diabetes: an observational population-based study in Spain from 2004 to 2013. BMJ Open 7:e013097. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013097>
- <span id="page-9-11"></span>38. Marrie TJ, Tyrrell GJ, Garg S, Vanderkooi OG (2011) Factors predicting mortality in invasive pneumococcal disease in adults in Alberta. Medicine 90:171–179. [https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31821a5a76) [0b013e31821a5a76](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e31821a5a76)
- <span id="page-9-4"></span>39. Morrill HJ, Cafrey AR, Noh E, LaPlante KL (2014) Epidemiology of pneumococcal disease in a national cohort of older adults. Infect Dis Ther 3:19–33. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-014-0025-y) [s40121-014-0025-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40121-014-0025-y)
- <span id="page-9-12"></span>40. Mufson MA, Kruss DM, Wasil RE, Metzger WI (1974) Capsular types and outcome of bacteremic pneumococcal disease in the antibiotic era. Arch Intern Med 134:505–510
- <span id="page-9-16"></span>41. Seminog OO, Goldacre MJ (2013) Risk of pneumonia and pneumococcal disease in people hospitalized with diabetes mellitus: English record-linkage studies. Diabet Med 30:1412–1419. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dme.12260>
- <span id="page-9-15"></span>42. Vila-Corcoles A, Aguirre-Chavarria C, Ochoa-Gondar O et al (2015) Infuence of chronic illnesses and underlying risk conditions on the incidence of pneumococcal pneumonia in older adults. Infection 43:699–706. [https://doi.org/10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-015-0801-y) [s15010-015-0801-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s15010-015-0801-y)
- <span id="page-9-5"></span>43. Wagenvoort GHJ, Knol MJ, De Melker HE et al (2016) Risk and outcomes of invasive pneumococcal disease in adults with underlying conditions in the post-PCV7 era. Neth Vaccin 34:334–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.11.048>
- <span id="page-9-6"></span>44. Watt JP, O'Brien KL, Benin AL et al (2007) Risk factors for invasive pneumococcal disease among Navajo adults. Am J Epidemiol 166:1080–1087. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwm178>
- <span id="page-9-13"></span>45. Watanakunakorn C, Greifenstein A, Stroh K et al (1993) Pneumococcal bacteremia in three community teaching hospitals from 1980 to 1989. Chest 103:1152–1156. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.103.4.1152) [1378/chest.103.4.1152](https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.103.4.1152)
- <span id="page-9-27"></span>46. Watanakunakorn C, Bailey TA (1997) Adult bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia in a community teaching hospital, 1992–1996. A detailed analysis of 108 cases. Arch Intern Med 157:1965–1971
- <span id="page-9-28"></span>47. Willis R, Heslop O, Bodonaik N, Thame M, Smikle M (2019) Morbidity, mortality and antimicrobial resistance of pneumococcal infections in the Jamaican paediatric and adult populations. HAB 27:155–160.<https://doi.org/10.3233/HAB-180361>
- <span id="page-9-14"></span>48. Thomsen RW, Hundborg HH, Lervang H-H, Johnsen SP, Sørensen HT, Schønheyder HC (2004) Diabetes and outcome of community-acquired pneumococcal bacteremia. Diabetes Care 27:70–76. <https://doi.org/10.2337/diacare.27.1.70>
- <span id="page-9-17"></span>49. Lipsky BA, Boyko EJ, Inui TS, Koepsell TD (1986) Risk factors for acquiring pneumococcal infections. Arch Intern Med 146:2179–2185
- <span id="page-9-24"></span>50. Benin AL, O'Brien KL, Watt JP et al (2003) Efectiveness of the 23-valent polysaccharide vaccine against invasive pneumococcal disease in Navajo adults. J Infect Dis 188:81–89. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1086/375782) [org/10.1086/375782](https://doi.org/10.1086/375782)
- <span id="page-9-19"></span>51. McDonald HI, Thomas SL, Millett ERC, Quint J, Nitsch D (2017) Do infuenza and pneumococcal vaccines prevent community-acquired respiratory infections among older people with diabetes and does this vary by chronic kidney disease? A cohort study using electronic health records. BMJ Open Diab Res Care 5:e000332. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjdrc-2016-000332>
- <span id="page-9-25"></span>52. Butler JC, Breiman RF, Campbell JF, Lipman HB, Broome CV, Facklam RR (1993) Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine efficacy. An evaluation of current recommendations. JAMA 270:1826–1831
- <span id="page-9-20"></span>53. Hsiao A, Hansen J, Timbol J et al (2022) Incidence and estimated vaccine efectiveness against hospitalizations for allcause pneumonia among older US adults who were vaccinated and not vaccinated with 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. JAMA Netw Open 5:e221111. [https://doi.org/10.1001/](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.1111) [jamanetworkopen.2022.1111](https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.1111)
- <span id="page-9-21"></span>54. Davis TME, Kauhanen J, Davis WA (2017) Pneumococcal vaccination and incident hospitalisation for pneumonia in type 2 diabetes: the Fremantle Diabetes Study Phase II. Intern Med J 47:1206–1210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/imj.13569>
- <span id="page-9-29"></span>55. Fisman DN, Abrutyn E, Spaude KA, Kim A, Kirchner C, Daley J (2006) Prior pneumococcal vaccination is associated with reduced death, complications, and length of stay among hospitalized adults with community-acquired pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 42:1093–1101.<https://doi.org/10.1086/501354>
- <span id="page-9-26"></span>56. Kuo C-S, Lu C-W, Chang Y-K et al (2016) Efectiveness of 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine on diabetic elderly. Medicine 95:e4064. [https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000004064) [00000004064](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000004064)
- <span id="page-9-22"></span>57. Skull SA, Andrews RM, Byrnes GB et al (2007) Prevention of community-acquired pneumonia among a cohort of hospitalized elderly: beneft due to infuenza and pneumococcal vaccination not demonstrated. Vaccine 25:4631–4640. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.03.015) [1016/j.vaccine.2007.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.03.015)
- <span id="page-9-30"></span>58. Vila-Corcoles A, Hospital I, Ochoa-Gondar O et al (2020) Clinical efectiveness of 13-valent and 23-valent pneumococcal vaccination in middle-aged and older adults: the EPIVAC cohort study, 2015–2016. Vaccine 38:1170–1180. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.012) [1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2019.11.012)
- <span id="page-9-23"></span>59. Wagner C, Popp W, Posch M, Vlasich C, Rosenberger-Spitzy A (2003) Impact of pneumococcal vaccination on morbidity and mortality of geriatric patients: a case-controlled study. Gerontology 49:246–250. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000070405>
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>60. Torres A, Blasi F, Dartois N, Akova M (2015) Which individuals are at increased risk of pneumococcal disease and why? Impact of COPD, asthma, smoking, diabetes, and/or chronic heart disease on community-acquired pneumonia and invasive pneumococcal disease: Table 1. Thorax 70:984–989. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-206780) [org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-206780](https://doi.org/10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-206780)
- <span id="page-10-2"></span>61. Casqueiro J, Casqueiro J, Alves C (2012) Infections in patients with diabetes mellitus: a review of pathogenesis. Indian J Endocr Metab 16:27.<https://doi.org/10.4103/2230-8210.94253>
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>62. Shapiro ED, Berg AT, Austrian R et al (1991) The protective efficacy of polyvalent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine. N Engl J Med 325:1453–1460. [https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM1](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199111213252101) [99111213252101](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199111213252101)
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>63. Verstraeten T, Fletcher MA, Suaya JA et al (2020) Diabetes mellitus as a vaccine-efect modifer: a review. Expert Rev Vaccin 19:445–453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14760584.2020.1760098>
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>64. EpiCentro*—*Epidemiology for public health. Epidemiological data n.d.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>65. Rueda AM, Ormond M, Gore M et al (2010) Hyperglycemia in diabetics and non-diabetics: efect on the risk for and severity of pneumococcal pneumonia. J Infect 60(2):99–105. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2009.12.003) [org/10.1016/j.jinf.2009.12.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2009.12.003)

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.