ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Infuence of dynamic neck motion on the clinical usefulness of multi‑positional MRI in cervical degenerative spondylosis

Jong Beom Lee1 · Jong‑ Hyeok Park2 · Jung Jae Lee3 · Ho Jin Lee4 · Il Sup Kim4 · Jung‑Woo Hur5 · Jae Taek Hong5

Received: 21 May 2020 / Revised: 8 December 2020 / Accepted: 2 February 2021 / Published online: 16 February 2021 © The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer-Verlag GmbH, DE part of Springer Nature 2021

Abstract

Purpose The purpose of this study was to fnd out additional indications for multi-positional MRI in cervical degenerative spondylosis (CDS) patients.

Material and methods A total of 63 patients with cervical spondylotic myelopathy that underwent multi-positional MRI and X-ray were included. Muhle's grade, C2-7 angle, and C7 slope were measured. Patients were assigned to the stenosis group (Group S) when Muhle's grades were increased by more than two or maximum grade was reached. Other patients were assigned to the maintenance group (Group M). Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed. Statistical significance was accepted for p values of < 0.05 .

Results A total of 24 patients were assigned to the S group and 39 patients to the M group. Mean C2-7 angle diference in extension (eC27A) between S and M groups was 10.97° ($p=0.002$). The mean inter-group difference between C2-7 angle in extension and neutral positions (e-nC27A) was 14.39° (*p*=0.000). Mean C7 slope diference in neutral position was −6.53° $(p=0.002)$. Based on areas under ROC curves (AUCs), e-nC27A, eC27A, and negative C7 slope had AUCs of 0.934 (95%) CI 0.876–0.992), 0.752 (95% CI 0.624–0.880), and 0.720 (95% CI 0.588–0.851), respectively. The optimal cutof value of e-nC27A was 15.4 degrees, which had a diagnostic accuracy of 88.9%.

Conclusion Multi-positional MRI helps to fnd dynamic cord compressive lesion in CDS patients. The higher eC27A, e-nC27A values and smaller C7 slope were found to increase the likelihood of cervical dynamic stenosis. Among other factors, we recommend multi-positional MRI before surgery especially when a patient's e-nC27A is > 15.4 degrees.

Level of evidence I Diagnostic: individual cross-sectional studies with the consistently applied reference standard and blinding.

Keywords Dynamic neck motion · Multi-positional MRI · Cervical spine · Degenerative spondylosis

 \boxtimes Jae Taek Hong jatagi15@gmail.com

- ¹ Department of Neurosurgery, Chungbuk National University of Korea, Cheongju, Korea
- ² Department of Neurosurgery, Incheon St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Incheon, Korea
- ³ Department of Neurosurgery, Gangneung Asan Hospital, The Ulsan University, Gangneung, Korea
- ⁴ Department of Neurosurgery, St. Vincent's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Suwon, Korea
- ⁵ Department of Neurosurgery, Eunpyung St. Mary's Hospital, The Catholic University of Korea, Tongil-ro, Eunpyeng-Gu, Seoul 102103312, Korea

Introduction

Cervical spondylotic myelopathy (CSM) can be caused by various pathologies, such as disc herniation, spondylosis, congenital canal stenosis, and ossifcation of the posterior longitudinal ligament (OPLL), which may all result in spinal cord impairment and neurological dysfunction [\[1](#page-7-0)[–5](#page-7-1)].

Previous studies have demonstrated several important advantages of multi-positional (fexion–extension) MRI, for example, it enables the identifcation of hidden pathologies, improves diagnostic accuracies, and facilitates understanding of the true natures and extents of pathologies [[6,](#page-7-2) [7\]](#page-8-0).

In a previous study, we showed that spinal canal narrowing, LF thickening, and degree of cervical stenosis are dependent on neck position, cervical spine level, and pathology. These results indicate that dynamic morphometric

evaluations are more meaningful in cervical degenerative spondylosis (CDS) than in OPLL, and significant changes occur in CDS patients on moving from neutral to extension positions, especially from the C3-4 to the C6-7 level [[6](#page-7-2)]. And, reliable recommendations have been regarding the use of multi-positional MRI in CDS patients. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the infuence of dynamic neck motion on the clinical utility of multi-positional MRI and to fnd out additional indications for multi-positional MRI in CDS patients.

Materials and methods

During the period February 2014 to July 2017, 112 patients underwent cervical multi-positional MRI and X-ray at our department due to symptoms of CSM but no clear diagnosis after neutral MRI. In all cases, MRI was performed using a 1.5-T or a 3.0-T superconducting MR unit in neutral, fexion, and extension positions. Multi-positional MRI was performed with as much neck fexion and extension as patients were able to achieve unassisted. The extension and fexion were accomplished by a self-designed posture cushion (Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The angle of extension and fexion was as can be tolerated for the patient during the imaging time. For the multi-positional MRI, the surface coil was removed in order to allow complete fexibility of the neck and of the head in a sagittal plane between fexion (Fig. [1a](#page-1-0)) and extension (Fig. [1b](#page-1-0)).

T1- and T2-weighted sequences were obtained in sagittal and axial planes by neutral MRI, but only T2-weighted images were obtained by multi-positional MRI.

Patients with a congenital anomaly, OPLL, trauma, instability, tumor, previous history of cervical fusion, or ankylosing spondylitis were excluded [[6,](#page-7-2) [8–](#page-8-1)[11](#page-8-2)].

Morphometric analysis was performed in three diferent positions: neutral, fexion, and extension. Muhle's grades were determined in neutral and multi-positional MR images from C2-3 to C7-T1. The four-stage Muhle's classifcation (Table [1\)](#page-1-1) was used to assess degree of cervical stenosis on axial and sagittal T2-weighted MR images [[12](#page-8-3)]. Preoperative lateral standing plain radiographs were obtained in neutral, fexion, and extension positions. The following parameters were evaluated (Fig. [2](#page-2-0)): C2-7 angle (the angle created by a line parallel to the inferior end plate of the C2 body and a line parallel to that of the C7 body was measured on neutral, fexion, and extension position), and C7 slope (the angle between a horizontal line and the superior end plate of C7) [[13\]](#page-8-4). C2-7 angle was measured in the neutral position (nC27A), in the fexion position (fC27A), and in the extension position (eC27A). Diference between C2-7 angles in extension and neutral positions was calculated (e-nC27A).

All parameters were measured and classified using INFINIT PACS (INFINIT Healthcare Co. Ltd., Seoul).

Patients were divided into two groups based on multipositional MRI fndings. Patients were assigned to the stenosis group (Group S) when an increase of more than two Muhle's grades was observed or when maximum Muhle's grade was reached on multi-positional MRI. Other patients were assigned to the maintenance group (Group M). The statistical analysis was performed using SPSS Version 18.0.0 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA), and results are presented as means \pm SDs. The independent sample's t test and the paired t test were used to analyze normally distributed data, and the Mann–Whitney rank test was used for non-normally

Fig. 1 Positions of the cervical spine for the multi-positional MRI examinations. **a** Flexion. **b** Extension

Table 1 Muhle's classifcation

G0	Normal width of the spinal canal, no signs of anterior and posterior suba- rachnoid space narrowing
G1	Partial obliteration of the anterior or posterior subarachnoid space or both
G2	Complete obliteration of the anterior or posterior subarachnoid space or both
G3	Anterior or posterior cord impingement or both

Fig. 2 Cervical sagittal parameter measurements using PACS. **a** Simplifed illustration. **b** Actual measurement

distributed data. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis was performed in order to determine the infuence of dynamic neck motion on the clinical utility of multi-positional MRI. The area under the ROC curve (AUC) was subsequently calculated where a value of 1 represents a perfect ft. Based on these AUCs, the abilities of imaging studies to predict the need for multi-positional MRI were classifed as follows: 0.9–1.0 (excellent), 0.8–0.9 (good), 0.7–0.8 (fair), $0.6-0.7$ (poor), and $0.5-0.6$ (fail) [[14](#page-8-5)]. To measure the diagnostic accuracy, we estimated several kinds of values, sensitivity, specifcity, positive and negative predicative values (PPV, NPV) [[15\]](#page-8-6). Diagnostic accuracy (effectiveness) provides another global measure of diagnostic accuracy and is expressed as the proportion of correctly classifed subjects (true positive + true negative) among all subjects $[15]$ $[15]$.

Statistical significance was accepted for *p* values $of < 0.05$.

Results

After exclusions, 63 of the initially considered 112 patients were included in the study. Overall mean age was 68.0 ± 11.4 years (range 44–87 years). There were 39 males (61.9%) and 24 females (38.1%) enrolled in this study (Table [2](#page-2-1)). There was no statistical diference in age and sex between two groups.

The interobserver reliabilities of morphometric measurements were determined by subjecting the results of 63 patients obtained by two spine surgeons, and the intraobserver reliabilities of morphometric measurements were determined 2 month later by one spine surgeon to

Table 2 Demographic characteristics of patients

Characteristics	Total	S Group	M Group
No of patients	63 (100%)	24 (38.1%)	39 (61.9%)
$Age(years \pm SD)$	68.0 ± 11.4	$67.2 + 13.8$	$68.6 + 9.9$
Sex			
Male	39 (61.9%)	16(66.7%)	23 (59.0%)
Female	24 (38.1%)	8 (33.3%)	$16(41.0\%)$

SD, standard deviation

S Group, Stenosis Group

M Group, Maintenance Group

interrater correlation coefficient analysis. ICCs for inter-(0.852, 95% CI; 0.824 ~ 0.875) and intra- (0.872, 95% CI; 0.848 ~ 0.892) observer agreements for measured Muhle's grades were "excellent." And then, we enrolled 24 patients in the S (stenosis) group by Muhle's grade change on multi-positional MRI. (Fig. [3](#page-3-0)).

In the S group, mean plain radiograph preoperative C2-7 angle was $10.49^{\circ} \pm 11.33^{\circ}$ in the neutral position (nC27A) and was $33.23^{\circ} \pm 12.19^{\circ}$ while in the extension position (eC27A). Mean diference between preoperative C2-7 angles in extension and neutral positions was $22.74^{\circ} \pm 9.32^{\circ}$ (e-nC27A), and mean plain radiograph preoperative C7 slope was $18.06^{\circ} \pm 7.83^{\circ}$.

In the M group, the mean nC27A was $14.01^{\circ} \pm 12.73^{\circ}$, mean eC27A was $22.26^{\circ} \pm 13.42^{\circ}$, mean e-nC27A was $8.35^{\circ} \pm 5.07^{\circ}$, and mean plain radiograph preoperative C7 slope was $24.59^{\circ} \pm 7.45^{\circ}$.

Fig. 3 Twenty-four patients were enrolled in stenosis (S) Group by Muhle's grade change. Yellow box means that more than two grades increase on extension MRI. Green box means that maximum Muhle's grade was reached on extension MRI

	$C3-4(N)$	$C3 - 4(E)$	$C4-5(N)$	$C4 - 5(E)$	$C5-6(N)$	$C5 - 6(E)$	$C6-7(N)$	$C6 - 7(E)$
1	0	0	3	3	$\overline{0}$	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf{0}$	
2	\overline{c}	3		2				
3	O	0						
4	$\overline{0}$	2						
5	0	1		3	٥			
6	$\overline{0}$	\overline{c}		3	\overline{c}	3		
7	2	3	2	2	3	3	\overline{c}	3
8	\circ	2	1	3	3	3	2	
9	\overline{c}	з		2	2	2		
10	\overline{c}	з		2				
11	0			2	2	3	o	
12					3	3	2	3
13			2	2		\overline{c}	$\overline{0}$	2
14		٥	\overline{c}	3	3	3	1	2
15		2	1	3	1	3	\overline{c}	3
16	\overline{c}	3	\overline{c}	2	2	3	$\overline{2}$	2
17	\overline{c}	з		0	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	3		
18	0	O			1			
19	\overline{c}	3		2	\overline{c}	\overline{c}	2	
20				2		2	\overline{c}	3
21			$\overline{0}$	\overline{a}	\overline{c}	3		
22				2		3		3
23	3			3	\overline{c}	3	2	2
24	\overline{c}	3	2	2		3	\overline{c}	3

Table 3 Radiographic parameters

SD, standard deviation

Mean eC27A diference between the S and M groups was 10.97 \degree ($p = 0.002$), mean preoperative e-nC27A difference was 14.39° ($p = 0.000$), and mean preoperative C7 slope in the neutral position difference was -6.53° (*p*=0.002, Table [3](#page-3-1)).

C2-7 angle diferences between plain radiography and MRI in neutral and extension positions were observed in both groups but were not statistically signifcant (Table [4](#page-4-0)).

On increasing eC27A and e-nC27A, C7 slope reduced, and the change in stenosis grade in neutral and extension MR images increased. ROC analysis was used to compare the abilities of C2-7 angle and C7 slope to predict the need for multi-positional MRI. Based on areas under ROC curves (AUCs), e-nC27A had an AUC of 0.934 (95% CI; 0.876–0.992), eC27A had an AUC of 0.752 (95% CI; 0.624–0.880), and a negative C7 slope had an AUC of 0.720 (95% CI; 0.588–0.851) (Fig. [4](#page-5-0)).

We calculated optimal cutoff values derived from maximum sensitivity and specificity values, and we checked the diagnostic accuracies of cervical parameters. The optimal cutoff value for e-nC27A was 15.4 degrees, which had a sensitivity of 75.0%, a specifcity of 97.4%, a positive predictive value of 94.7%, a negative predictive value of 86.3%, and a diagnostic accuracy of 88.9%. The optimal cutoff value of eC27A was 29.1 degrees, which had a sensitivity of 70.8%, a specificity of 76.9%, a positive predictive value of 65.4%, a negative predictive value of 81.1%, and a diagnostic accuracy of 74.6%. Optimal cutoff value of a negative C7 slope was − 22.8 degrees, which had a sensitivity of 75.0%, a specifcity of 59.0%, a positive **Table 4** C2-7 angle change: **Plain radiography versus MRI** C2-7 angle plain radiography versus MRI

Neutral position (°) 14.01 ± 12.73 11.01 ± 11.62 3.00 ± 2.76 0.280 Extension position (°) 22.26 ± 13.42 20.02 ± 9.71 2.24 ± 2.65 0.401

predictive value of 52.9%, a negative predictive value of 79.3%, and a diagnostic accuracy of 65.1% (Table [5](#page-6-0)).

S group

M group

Discussion

Degenerative spinal changes occur over time in all individuals, and it has been reported that spinal canal size decreases with age [\[16](#page-8-7)]. This reduction increases the likelihood of CSM, which is the most common cause of cervical spinal cord dysfunction in the elderly population. Therefore, it is imperative that degrees of canal stenosis and cord compression can be assessed when evaluating CSM patients. In this context, the degenerative causes of spinal cord compression may be more exacerbated under cervical dynamic motion, and multi-positional MRI has recently been reported to be diagnostically useful in CSM patients. [\[17\]](#page-8-8)

In a previous study, mean LF thickness in the CDS patients increased signifcantly on moving from neutral to extension at C3-4, C4-5, and C5-6. Thus, it would appear diferences between segmental motions in CSD patients may explain observed diferences in spinal Muhle`s grades and LF thicknesses, especially in extension. [[6\]](#page-7-2) Furthermore, it has also been reported extension MRI revealed a higher rate of cervical stenosis possibly associated with LF buckling and reductions in subarachnoid space and spinal canal diameter. [\[18](#page-8-9)]

Although multi-positional MRI is being increasingly used to evaluate cervical spine pathologies, it has not been universally accepted. Moreover, little consensus exists regarding the routine application of preoperative multi-positional MRI in clinical situations or its indications [[19](#page-8-10)].

We previously reported that morphologic changes of the stenotic cervical spine difer in patients with CDS and OPLL. [[17](#page-8-8)] Changes in canal diameter and ligamentum favum thickness were signifcantly greater and segmental motion ranges were wider in CDS patients, which may have been caused by segmental immobility due to OPLL progression. Restricted segmental motion in the OPLL group might have explained the smaller changes in LF thickness and canal diameter observed in this group. However, no study

has addressed the relationship between dynamic changes in canal stenosis and cervical alignment, and no consensus has been reached on the indications for multi-positional MRI in CDS patients.

The present study provides data on the infuences of dynamic neck motion and cervical alignment on morphometric changes of the cervical spinal canal based on MR images acquired in the routine neutral, fexion, and extension positions. We observed that grades of stenosis in extension were signifcantly higher than grades in other positions (Fig. [3\)](#page-3-0), and that morphologic changes were signifcantly greater in the S group. In addition, higher eC27A and e-nC27A values and smaller C7 slopes were found to be associated with greater changes in stenosis grades between the neutral and extension positions. These findings suggest that the clinical usefulness of multipositional MRI is patient dependent and provides clues regarding the precise indications for multi-positional MRI in CDS patients.

Case illustrations show representative differences between the S group (Fig. 5) and M group (Fig. 6) with respect to morphologic changes in cervical spinal canals. When the neck was extended in the S group patient, the upper and subaxial cervical spine were generally extended and cervical stenosis increased. Muhle's grade changes were as follows; C4-5 (G0 = > G2), C5-6 (G2 = > G3), and $C6-7$ ($G1 = > G3$). In addition, spinal cord signal intensity changes were marked. For this S group patient, the surgical level should have included C4-5–6-7. In contrast, in the M group patient, when the neck was extended, the upper cervical spine was largely extended, and little change of subaxial motion was observed. So, there were no Muhler's grade changes in this M group patient. The present study shows that performing multi-positional MRI is clinically indicated especially for CDS patients with higher eC27A, e-nC27A and smaller C7 slope angles.

Morphologic changes in CDS patients encompass osteoarthritic degeneration (i.e., cervical spondylosis) and ligamentous aberrations (i.e., hypertrophy of the ligamentum flavum) [\[20](#page-8-11)]. Accordingly, if C7 slope is increased by congenital or degenerative spinal alignment changes, resulting forward bending pressure of neck posture increases and

Fig. 4 Receiver operating curves for utilizing preoperative extension minus neutral C2-7 angle of cervical spine radiographs **a**, extension C2-7 angle **b** and negative C7 angle **c** for the prediction of cervical canal stenosis

promotes cervical spondylosis progression and the rigidity of subaxial cervical extension muscle. As a result, neck extension mobility is reduced, and as shown by our results, eC27A and e-nC27A are decreased.

Based on our ROC analysis, e-nC27A, eC27A, and a negative C7 slope had AUCs of 0.934 (95% CI 0.876–0.992), 0.752 (95% CI 0.624–0.880), and 0.720 (95% CI 0.588–0.851), respectively. These findings suggest that multi-positional cervical MRI has greater ability to find a hidden pathology or accurately determine the number of levels required for surgical decompression especially when the patient's e-nC27A value is > 15.4 degrees. These results provide background data that can be used to determine when to use multi-positional MRI in cervical spine patients.

The present study has several limitations that warrant consideration. First, this was a single-center study conducted with a small sample size, so further larger-scale studies are needed to clarify diferences in characteristics and amounts of dynamic changes in patients with diferent cervical alignments and dynamic neck motions. Second, the dynamic movement in recumbent position does not always reproduce the real dynamic pathology. Care must be taken to interpret the fndings of MRI in recumbent position that cannot always represent the real pathology. The dynamic upright MRI could give some additional information of the actual pathophysiology with gravitational effects of the head. Third, the study was retrospective and non-blinded. Fourth, we only included elderly patients, and thus, our results cannot be generalized. Fifth, we did not analyze signal change and functional change of spinal cord, which may be dependent on each diferent cervical position.

Conclusion

We investigated the cervical stenosis changes between neutral MR and multi-positional MR images according to several radiographic parameters. The higher eC27A, e-nC27A values and smaller C7 slope were found to be associated with the risk of cervical dynamic stenosis and of morphological changes of the spinal canal. Our fndings lead us to recommend multi-positional MRI before surgery especially when a patient's e-nC27A value is > 15.4 degrees.

Table 5 Diagnostic accuracy of cervical parameters

	AUC.	$Cutoff*$	Sensitivity	Specificity	PPV	NPV	Accuracy
Ex-Nx	0.934 (0.876–0.992)	15.4		75.0 (53.3–90.2) 97.44 (86.52–99.9) 94.7 (71.9–99.2) 86.3 (75.9–92.7)			88.9 (78.4–95.4)
Ex	$0.752(0.624 - 0.880)$	29.1	70.8 (48.9–87.3)	76.9 (60.7–88.9)		$65.4(50.2-78.0)$ $81.1(69.2-89.1)$	74.6 (62.1–84.7)
C7 slope	$0.720(0.588 - 0.851)$	-22.8	75.0 (53.3–90.2)	59.0 (42.1–74.4)		$52.9(42.0-63.3)$ $79.3(64.4-88.9)$ $65.1(52.0-76.7)$	

Ex-Nx, Ext.-Neutral C2-7 angle; *Ex*, Extension C2-7 angle; *AUC*, area under the curve; *PPV*, positive predictive value; *NPV*, negative predictive value

*Optimal cutof values were derived from the maximum sensitivity and specifcity values

Fig. 5 Case illustrations of S group patient. **a** and **b** lateral X-rays show C2-7 angle increased from neutral to extension position. And all parameters (e-nC27A, eC27A and negative C7 slope) were included to derived cutof values. As a result, cervical stenosis was progressed. **c** Neutral MRI shows canal stenosis and cord signal change at the level of C5 and C6. (white arrow) **d** Extension MRI shows the degree and levels of canal stenosis are aggravated. (blue arrow)

Fig. 6 Case illustrations of M group patient. **a** and **b** lateral X-rays show C2-7 angle change is not prominent from neutral to extension position. **c** and **d** Cervical stenosis was not progressed. Neutral and extension MRIs demonstrate that when 45,232 neck was extended, the upper cervical angle was mainly changed and changes in subaxial cervical spine are rarely observed

Compliance with Ethical Standards

Conflict of interest None of the authors has any potential confict of interest.

References

- 1. Nouri A, Tetreault L, Singh A, Karadimas SK, Fehlings MG (2015) Degenerative cervical myelopathy: epidemiology, genetics, and pathogenesis. Spine 40:E675-693. [https://doi.org/10.1097/](https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0000000000000913) [brs.0000000000000913](https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0000000000000913)
- 2. Lee HJ, Kim IS, Sung JH, Lee SW, Hong JT (2016) Signifcance of multimodal intraoperative monitoring for the posterior

cervical spine surgery. ClinNeurolNeurosurg 143:9–14. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2016.02.007) doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2016.02.007

- 3. Kim JY, Kwon JY, Kim MS, Lee JJ, Kim IS, Hong JT (2018) Comparison of morphological characteristics of the subaxial cervical spine between athetoid cerebral palsy and normal control. J Korean NeurosurgSoc 61:243–250. [https://doi.org/10.3340/](https://doi.org/10.3340/jkns.2017.0303.011) [jkns.2017.0303.011](https://doi.org/10.3340/jkns.2017.0303.011)
- 4. Lee HJ, Kim JH, Kim IS, Hong JT (2018) Anatomical evaluation of the vertebral artery (V2) and its infuence in cervical spine surgery. ClinNeurolNeurosurg 174:80–85. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2018.09.002) [clineuro.2018.09.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clineuro.2018.09.002)
- 5. Lee HJ, Kim JH, Kim IS, Hong JT (2019) Physiologic cervical alignment change between whole spine radiographs and normal standing cervical radiographs. World Neurosurg 122:e1222– e1227.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.11.019>
- 6. Lee JB, Kim IS, Kwon JY, Lee JJ, Park JH, Cho CB, Yang SH, Sung JH, Hong JT (2018) Diference of dynamic morphometric

changes between in patients with ossifcation of posterior longitudinal ligament and patients with cervical spondylosis: assessment by cervical dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. World Neurosurg. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.11.213>

- 7. Nigro L, Donnarumma P, Tarantino R, Rullo M, Santoro A, Delfni R (2017) Static and dynamic cervical MRI: two useful exams in cervical myelopathy. J Spine Surg 3:212–216. [https://](https://doi.org/10.21037/jss.2017.06.01) doi.org/10.21037/jss.2017.06.01
- 8. Hong JT, Sung JH, Son BC, Lee SW, Park CK (2008) Signifcance of laminar screw fxation in the subaxial cervical spine. Spine 33:1739–1743. [https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181](https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31817d2aa2) [7d2aa2](https://doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e31817d2aa2)
- 9. Hong JT, Kim IS, Kim JY, Lee HJ, Kwon JY, Kim MS, Sung JH (2016) Risk factor analysis and decision-making of surgical strategy for V3 segment anomaly: signifcance of preoperative CT angiography for posterior C1 instrumentation. Spine J 16:1055– 1061.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2016.04.019>
- 10. Kim IS, Hong JT, Lee JJ, Lee JB, Cho CB, Yang SH, Sung JH (2018) A novel technique for cervical laminoplasty fusion: simultaneously enhancing stabilization and decompression in various cervical myelopathies: a technical note and outcomes. World Neurosurg 111:361–366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.01.018>
- 11. Kim IS, Hong JT, Jang WY, Yang SH, Sung JH, Son BC, Lee SW (2011) Surgical treatment of osodontoideum. J ClinNeurosci 18:481–484.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocn.2010.07.114>
- 12. Muhle C, Metzner J, Weinert D, Falliner A, Brinkmann G, Mehdorn MH, Heller M, Resnick D (1998) Classifcation system based on kinematic MR imaging in cervical spondylitic myelopathy. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 19:1763–1771
- 13. Hyun SJ, Kim KJ, Jahng TA, Kim HJ (2016) Relationship between T1 slope and cervical alignment following multilevel posterior cervical fusion surgery: impact of T1 slope minus cervical lordosis. Spine 41:E396-402. [https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0000000000](https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0000000000001264) [001264](https://doi.org/10.1097/brs.0000000000001264)
- 14. Hanley JA, McNeil BJ (1982) The meaning and use of the area under a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Radiology 143:29–36.<https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.143.1.7063747>
- 15. Simundic AM (2009) Measures of diagnostic accuracy: basic defnitions. Ejifcc 19:203–211
- 16. Nagata K, Yoshimura N, Hashizume H, Muraki S, Ishimoto Y, Yamada H, Takiguchi N, Nakagawa Y, Minamide A, Oka H, Kawaguchi H, Nakamura K, Akune T, Yoshida M (2014) The prevalence of cervical myelopathy among subjects with narrow cervical spinal canal in a population-based magnetic resonance imaging study: the Wakayama Spine Study. Spine J 14:2811– 2817. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2014.03.051>
- 17. Lee JB, Kim IS, Kwon JY, Lee JJ, Park JH, Cho CB, Yang SH, Sung JH, Hong JT (2019) Diference of dynamic morphometric changes between in patients with ossifcation of posterior longitudinal ligament and patients with cervical spondylosis: assessment by cervical dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. World Neurosurg 123:e566–e573.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wneu.2018.11.213>
- 18. Tykocki T, du Plessis J, Wynne-Jones G (2018) Correlation between the severity of myelopathy and cervical morphometric parameters on dynamic magnetic resonance imaging. ActaNeurochir (Wien) 160:1251–1258. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0070](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-018-3540-x) [1-018-3540-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00701-018-3540-x)
- 19. Kolcun JP, Chieng LO, Madhavan K, Wang MY (2017) The role of dynamic magnetic resonance imaging in cervical spondylotic myelopathy. Asian Spine J 11:1008–1015. [https://doi.org/10.4184/](https://doi.org/10.4184/asj.2017.11.6.1008) [asj.2017.11.6.1008](https://doi.org/10.4184/asj.2017.11.6.1008)
- 20. Badhiwala JH, Wilson JR (2018) The Natural history of degenerative cervical myelopathy. NeurosurgClin N Am 29:21–32. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nec.2017.09.002) doi.org/10.1016/j.nec.2017.09.002

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.