ORIGINAL PAPER



# **Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic subduction–collision history of the Southern Neotethys: new evidence from the Çağlayancerit area, SE Turkey**

**Ahmet Can Akıncı1 · Alastair H. F. Robertson2 · Ulvi Can Ünlügenç1**

Received: 30 January 2015 / Accepted: 16 May 2015 / Published online: 11 June 2015 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2015

**Abstract** Evidence of the subduction–collision history of the S Neotethys is well exposed in the frontal part of the SE Anatolian thrust belt and the adjacent Arabian continental margin. The foreland succession in the study area begins with Eocene shelf carbonates, ranging from shallow marine to deeper marine, without sedimentary input from the Tauride continent to the north. After a regional hiatus (Oligocene), sedimentation resumed during the Early Miocene with terrigenous gravity-flow deposition in the north (Lice Formation) and shallow-marine carbonates further south. Clastic detritus was derived from the Tauride continent and oceanic accretionary material. The base of the overriding Tauride allochthon comprises ophiolite-derived debris flows, ophiolite-related mélange and dismembered ophiolitic rocks. Above this, the regional-scale Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange (an olistostrome) includes blocks and dismembered thrust sheets of metamorphic rocks, limestone and sandstone, which include Late Cretaceous and Eocene foraminifera. The matrix is mainly strongly deformed Eocene–Oligocene mudrocks, hemipelagic marl and sandstone turbidites. The thrust stack is topped by a regionally extensive thrust sheet (Malatya metamorphic unit), which includes greenschist facies marble, calcschist, schist and phyllite, representing Tauride continental crust. Beginning during the Late Mesozoic, the S Neotethys subducted northwards beneath a backstop represented by the Tauride microcontinent (Malatya metamorphic unit).

 $\boxtimes$  Ahmet Can Akıncı acakinci@cu.edu.tr Ophiolites formed within the S Neotethys and accreted to the Tauride active margin. Large-scale sedimentary mélange developed along the Tauride active margin during Eocene–Oligocene. On the Arabian margin, a sedimentary hiatus and tilting (Oligocene) is interpreted to record initial continental collision. The Early Miocene terrigenous gravity flows represent a collision-related flexural foreland basin. Southward overthrusting of the Tauride allochthon took place during Early-Middle Miocene. Associated regional uplift triggered large-scale alluvial deposition. The foreland folded and faulted in response to suture zone tightening (Late Miocene). Left-lateral strike slip characterised the Plio-Pleistocene.

**Keywords** Tauride continent · Arabian foreland · Late Cretaceous · Cenozoic · Melange · Subduction · Collision

# **Introduction**

There is a consensus amongst geologists that the E–W belt of thrusting, folding and ophiolites (emplaced ocean crust) in SE Turkey records the suture zone of a regionally important Neotethyan ocean basin, generally known as the Southern Neotethys (Şengör and Yılmaz [1981;](#page-22-0) Robertson and Dixon [1984](#page-21-0); Yılmaz [1993](#page-22-1); Yılmaz et al. [1988,](#page-22-2) [1993a,](#page-22-3) [b](#page-22-4); Elmas and Yılmaz [2003](#page-20-0); Robertson [2000,](#page-21-1) [2002](#page-21-2); Robertson et al. [2004,](#page-21-3) [2006,](#page-21-4) [2012](#page-21-5), [2015a,](#page-21-6) [b\)](#page-21-7). However, there have been few studies of the suture zone that link the geological development of the overriding plate, represented by the Tauride continent, with the foreland, represented by the Arabian continental margin. Palaeotectonic maps suggest that the Southern Neotethys subducted northwards, associated with the genesis and emplacement of ophiolites of supra-subduction zone type (e.g. Robertson et al. [2012](#page-21-5)).

<sup>1</sup> Jeoloji Mühendisliği Bölümü Balcalı, Mühendislik Mimarlık Fakültesi, Çukurova Üniversitesi, 01330 Adana, Turkey

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Grant Institute, University of Edinburgh, James Hutton Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FE, UK

However, the processes and timing of ocean closure are debateable (Hall [1976;](#page-21-8) Aktaş and Robertson [1984](#page-20-1); Yιlmaz et al. [1993a](#page-22-3), [b;](#page-22-4) Beyarslan and Bingöl [2000;](#page-20-2) Okay et al. [2010](#page-21-9); Robertson et al. [2015a](#page-21-6)).

The Southern Neotethyan suture zone extends eastwards throughout S Turkey and Cyprus, SE Turkey ('Bitlis suture') and Iran ('Zagros suture'). Three main alternatives have been proposed, which envisage collision during: (1) Late Cretaceous (Karig and Kozlu [1990](#page-21-10); Kozlu [1997](#page-21-11); Beyarslan and Bingöl [2000\)](#page-20-2); (2) Late Eocene (Boulton et al. [2006;](#page-20-3) Boulton [2009](#page-20-4)); (3) Late Oligocene–Early Mio-cene (Aktaş and Robertson [1984;](#page-20-1) Yιlmaz et al. [1993a](#page-22-3), [b](#page-22-4); Robertson [2000;](#page-21-1) Robertson et al. [2004](#page-21-3), [2006,](#page-21-4) [2012,](#page-21-5) [2015a](#page-21-6); Okay et al. [2010\)](#page-21-9). There is a comparable debate in Iran, where a wide range of ages for the closure of the eastward extension of the Southern Neotethys into this region have been proposed (e.g. McQuarrie and Hinsbergen [2013\)](#page-21-12). A Late Oligocene–Early Miocene timing of collision is supported by sedimentary evidence from the Arabian foreland in Iran, northern Iraq and south-eastern Turkey (see Robertson et al. [2015a](#page-21-6) for a literature review).

The Arabian foreland near the suture zone and also the toe of the overriding Tauride allochthon are excellent locations to study the subduction–collision history of the Southern Neotethys. There have been several attempts to link the tectonic-sedimentary development of the Arabian foreland to the emplacement of the Tauride allochthon (Yılmaz

[1993](#page-22-1); Yιlmaz et al. [1993a](#page-22-3), [b;](#page-22-4) Robertson et al. [2004](#page-21-3), [2006,](#page-21-4) [2015a;](#page-21-6) Boulton et al. [2006;](#page-20-3) Boulton [2009\)](#page-20-4). However, there are some practical difficulties. Near the suture zone, the Late Cretaceous–Neogene foreland succession is commonly obscured by Plio-Quaternary sediments or volcanics or disrupted by Plio-Quaternary strike-slip faulting. Also, in many places, the leading edge of the Tauride allochthon is poorly exposed, disrupted by landslipping or largely restricted to older rift/passive margin-related units (Robertson et al. [2015b](#page-21-7)). The area discussed here, around the town of Çağlayancerit in northern Kahramanmaras¸ Region (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)), is exceptional because the Arabian foreland succession and the overriding Tauride allochthon are both well exposed and their mutual relationships are clearly displayed. This allows a detailed interpretation of the processes and timing of subduction and collision, with implications for the suture zone as whole. The Çağlayancerit area was previously overlooked because it was incorrectly mapped, as discussed below.

Our work builds on previous knowledge concerning the Southern Neotethyan suture zone and the Arabian foreland in the northern Kahramanmaras region. During the 1970s–1990s, regional mapping was coupled with stratigraphical, palaeontological and structural studies (Rigo de Righi and Cortesini [1964;](#page-21-13) Polat [1970;](#page-21-14) Sungurlu [1974](#page-22-5); Perinçek [1979,](#page-21-15) [1980](#page-21-16); Gözübol and Gürpınar [1980](#page-20-5); Önalan [1985/](#page-21-17)1986, [1988;](#page-21-18) Derman et al. [1996](#page-20-6)). During the



<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Regional geological map of south-eastern Anatolia (modified after Yılmaz [1993\)](#page-22-1). Note the study area marked by the *red box*. *Inset left* location of the study area relative to the tectonic zonation of Turkey (from Robertson et al. [2012](#page-21-5))

early 2000s, the northern Kahramanmaras¸ region began to be interpreted in terms of geotectonic processes as currently understood. The sedimentology of the foreland basin around Kahramanmaras, to the west of our main study area, was studied in detail and a tectonic-sedimentary model was proposed related to closure of the Southern Neotethys (Gül [2000;](#page-20-7) Gül et al. [2003a](#page-20-8), [b](#page-21-19), [2011,](#page-21-20) [2012](#page-21-21)). The Kahramanmaras region, together with the Andırın Range and the Misis Range further west, was included in a regional study leading to an interpretation involving northward subduction and suturing of the Southern Neotethys (Robertson et al. [2014\)](#page-21-22). In addition, the Late Cretaceous to Pliocene sedimentary-tectonic development of the Arabian foreland has recently been investigated in the Adıyaman area and used to support an interpretation involving Late Cretaceous to Oligocene northward subduction and Late Oligocene–Early Miocene continental collision (Robertson et al. [2015a\)](#page-21-6).

# **Regional setting**

The Tethyan orogenic belt in southeast Anatolian is traditionally divided into three main segments: (1) the nappe zone, (2) the imbricate zone and (3) the Arabian foreland (Yιlmaz et al. [1993a](#page-22-3), [b;](#page-22-4) Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The imbricate zone represents the leading edge of the Tauride allochthon and, as such, is a key to an understanding of the subduction–collision history of the Southern Neotethys. The imbricate zone is exposed approximately E–W, forming the southern margin of the allochthon, including the present study area (MTA [2002](#page-21-23)), easternmost Turkey as a whole and also Iran. The imbricate zone is widely interpreted as the result of Late Mesozoic–Cenozoic northward subduction and clo-sure of the Southern Neotethys (Aktas and Robertson [1984](#page-20-1); Yılmaz [1993](#page-22-1); Yılmaz et al. [1993a](#page-22-3), [b;](#page-22-4) Robertson and Ünlügenç [2001](#page-21-24); Robertson et al. [2004](#page-21-3), [2006](#page-21-4), [2007](#page-21-25), [2015a\)](#page-21-6).

One of the most important units exposed within the imbricate zone in many areas is a chaotic unit of inferred Eocene–Oligocene age, which was first termed the Bulgurkaya Formation (Kozlu [1987](#page-21-26)) and later renamed the Bulgurkaya Olistrostrome (Kozlu [1997](#page-21-11)). This unit has been interpreted as a type of accretionary complex related to subduction or early-stage collision (Robertson et al. [2004\)](#page-21-3) and is critical to an understanding of the convergence history.

During the Pliocene to Pleistocene, the Arabian foreland and, in places, the adjacent Tauride allochthon were transected by left-lateral strike-slip faults related to the westward 'tectonic escape' of Anatolia towards the Aegean region (Şengör et al. [1985;](#page-22-6) Herece [2003](#page-21-27); Duman et al. [2013](#page-20-9)). This deformation must be taken account of any interpretation of the region including the study area.

During this work, a new geological map was made of the northermost part of the Arabian foreland and the adjacent southernmost part of the Tauride allochthon (Figs. [1,](#page-1-0) [2](#page-3-0)) in the vicinity of the town of Çağlayancerit. Previously, important outcrops of ophiolites and ophiolite-related mélange in this area were incorrectly shown as a granitoid body (Herece [2008\)](#page-21-28). During this work, detailed sedimentary logs were measured of representative successions within the foreland, coupled with sedimentological and petrographic analysis. Lithological and structural data were also obtained from the overriding allochthonous units. The sedimentary units were also studied palaeontologically wherever possible.

# **Tectono‑stratigraphy**

The following main tectono-stratigraphical units are present in the area studied from the base upwards:

- 1. *Arabian foreland succession* The succession (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) begins with Eocene shelf carbonate rocks, represented by the Hoya Formation and the Gaziantep Formation in different areas (Durkee [1961;](#page-20-10) Rigo de Righi and Cortesini [1964](#page-21-13)). The overlying formations differ between the north and the south of the area (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). In the north, shallow-marine carbonates, termed the Çağlayancerit Formation, pass upwards into siliciclastic sediments and marls of Miocene age. The formations pass southwards into shallow-water carbonate rocks (Figs. [3,](#page-4-0) [4](#page-4-1)), represented by the Fırat Formation and the Karaisalı Formation (Tuna [1973](#page-22-7); Perinçek [1978\)](#page-21-29). The Miocene formations as a whole are unconformably overlain by Late Miocene to Pliocene alluvial, fluvial-deltaic and lagoonal deposits that area variously known as the Selmo Formation (Önem [1966](#page-21-30)) or the Lahti Formation (Duran et al. [1988,](#page-20-11) [1989\)](#page-20-12).
- 2. *Tauride allochthon* The following units are recognised in the study area from the base upwards:
- (i) *Igneous*-*derived clastic sedimentary rocks* The lowest structural unit of the Tauride allochthon is a discontinuous sequence of coarse conglomerates and sandstones including abundant ophiolite-derived detritus.
- (ii) *Ophiolite*-*related Meydan mélange* This is an assemblage of dismembered thrust sheets and blocks of ophiolitic rocks, limestones, volcanogenic rocks and matrix-supported conglomerates. The term ophioliterelated mélange, rather than ophiolitic mélange, is preferred here because the unit includes lithologies (e.g. marble), which are not part of an ophiolite pseudostratigraphy. The ophiolite-related mélange is named after Meydan town, 17 km northeast of the inset map (Fig. [1](#page-1-0)), where similar rocks are more widely exposed.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Geological map of the area studied; extensively modified following previous mapping by MTA, as compiled by Herece [\(2008](#page-21-28))

The ophiolite-related rocks are undated in our study area but are inferred to be of Late Cretaceous age in the type area (Nurlu et al. [2015](#page-21-31)).

- (iii) *Meydan ophiolite* Ophiolitic rocks, which are exposed c. 2 km north of Çağlayancerit (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0), are named after a much larger exposure of ophiolite rocks further east, near Meydan Village. The Meydan ophiolite is correlated with the Koçali ophiolite, which is widely exposed throughout SE Turkey (Sungurlu [1974;](#page-22-5) Sungurlu and Arpat [1978;](#page-22-8) Yıldırım [1989](#page-22-9); Uzunçimen et al. [2011](#page-22-10); Yıldırım et al. [2012](#page-22-11); Robertson et al. [2015b](#page-21-7)).
- (iv) *Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange* This unit includes blocks and dismembered slices of shallow-marine carbonates, conglomerates and metamorphic rocks, set in a matrix of terrigenous shales, marls and low-metamorphic-grade phyllite (Fig. [4](#page-4-1)). We use the term *Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange* because this unit has a recognisably sedimentary origin (equivalent to a traditional

olistostrome), although it is strongly deformed (Fig. [5](#page-5-0)). The type area, Bulgurkaya, is located c. 60 SW km of our study area, near Bulgurkaya village (Kahramanmaras¸ Region). Local sequences within this unit have been compared with Late Maastrichtian shallow-marine carbonates of the Harami Formation (Erdoğan [1975](#page-20-13); Herece [2008](#page-21-28)) and with Eocene clastic sediments of the Gözlü Formation (Yılmaz et al. [1987\)](#page-22-12), elsewhere in eastern Turkey. The Bulgurkaya unit was previously described as a flysch-like body of rocks, intercalated with volcanic rocks (Güzelbeyli volcanics) (Yılmaz and Gürer [1996](#page-22-13)) although this relationship was not observed within our study area.

The Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange occurs elsewhere in the Engizek Mountains and in the Andırın Range to the southwest (as the Alacık Formation of Erdoğan [1975,](#page-20-13) part of the Andırın Formation of Bilgin et al. [\(1981\)](#page-20-14) and the Demiroluk Formation



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 3** Columnar stratigraphic sections (not to scale) of the region studied. **a** Northern part of the area. **b** Southern part of the area. See text for data sources



<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Fig. 4** Geological cross section of the investigated area. See Fig. [3](#page-4-0) for lines of section

<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 5** Local cross sections: **a** tectono-stratigraphic relations at Daztepe, north of Çağlayancerit town. Note the deformation in the allochthon and the unconformity between Eocene–Early Oligocene (?) and Early Miocene units; **b** stratigraphic relations of the Miocene foreland succession, as well exposed from Öksüz Dağ to Zeynepuşağı; **c** N–S section showing the local relation of the allochthon to the foreland



of Perinçek and Kozlu [\(1984\)](#page-21-32)). A similar sedimentary mélange has been described from the Misis Mountains further west (I˙salı Catastrophic Facies of Schmidt [1961](#page-22-14)). An Eocene–Oligocene to possibly Early Miocene age was suggested for the sedimentary melange throughout the region as a whole (Gökçen et al. [1988](#page-20-15); Kozlu [1997](#page-21-11); Robertson et al. [2004\)](#page-21-3). However, dating is difficult, especially as many microfossils may be reworked.

(v) *Malatya metamorphic unit* This forms a regionally extensive overlying thrust sheet made up of greenschist facies metamorphic rocks, including marble, phyllite, calcschist and micaschist (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). These units are undated locally but are likely to range from Late Palaeozoic through Mesozoic (e.g. Çayderesi and Yoncayolu formations) based on correlations with other areas (Perinçek and Kozlu [1984;](#page-21-32) Yazgan [1984](#page-22-15); Bedi et al. [2012](#page-20-16)). A detailed study of the Malatya metamorphic unit is, however, outside the scope of this work.

# **Arabian foreland succession**

We now focus on the sedimentary facies, provenance, age and structure of each one of the formations of the Arabian foreland, with particular reference to the processes and timing of emplacement of the Tauride allochthon.

#### **Eocene Hoya Formation**

The stratigraphically lowest unit exposed in the area studied is made up of shallow-water carbonates (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0), rich in Middle Eocene (Lutetian) large foraminifera, e.g. *Nummulites* sp. (Fig. [6a](#page-6-0)). Outcrops are restricted to the lower levels of deep valleys and canyons. These sedimentary rocks are strongly deformed, including spectacular chevron folds.

#### **Mid‑Late Eocene Gaziantep Formation**

The Hoya Formation is depositionally overlain by finegrained carbonate rocks of the Gaziantep Formation



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 6** Photomicrographs of microfossils in the Mid-Eocene Hoya Formation (**a**) and the Mid-Late Eocene Gaziantep Formation (**b**–**d**): **a** *Nummulites* sp., **b** *Acarinina bullbrooki,* **c** *Morozovella crassatus,* **d** *Globigerinatheka* sp.

(Fig. [7a](#page-7-0)). This formation (>100 m thick) is dominated by thin- to medium-bedded micritic limestones. Several thin (tens of cm) layers of brownish yellowish claystone occur spasmodically throughout the succession. There are also numerous variably persistent layers and nodules of diagenetically formed chert, individually up to several metres thick.

During this work, fine-grained hemipelagic limestones and clayey carbonates of the Gaziantep Formation yielded planktic and benthic foraminifera of Mid-Late Eocene age. The taxa include Globigerinidae, *Nummulites* cf. *N. gallensis* Heim, *Subbotina* sp., *Globigerinatheka* sp., *Acarinina praetopilensis* (Blow) 1979, *Morozovelloides crassatus* (Cushman) 1925, *Globigerinatheka* sp., *Pseudohastigerina* sp., *Morozovelloides coronatus* (Blow) 1979, *Chiloguembelina* sp., *Acarinina* sp., *Subbotina* sp. and *Acarinina bullbrooki* (Fig. [6b](#page-6-0)–d).

The Gaziantep Formation is strongly folded on an outcrop to kilometric scale (Figs. [5a](#page-5-0), [7](#page-7-0)a). The folding is interpreted as being related to a much larger-scale whaleback-type structure, namely the Ahırdağ, north of Kahramanmaras (c. 15 km west of our study area).

#### **Early Miocene Ça**ğ**layancerit Formation**

The Gaziantep Formation is depositionally overlain by coarser-grained carbonate rocks known as the Çağlayancerit Formation (Gül [2000](#page-20-7)). The base of the formation is characterised by a low-angle unconformity, as seen in the upper part of Daztepe valley (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). The formation is dominated by 10–15 m of brownish to greenish brown fossiliferous calcarenite together with clayey to sandy limestone (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). The Çağlayancerit Formation is best exposed on Daztepe, just north of Çağlayancerit and also west of Oruçpınarı Village, where it is relatively thin (5–8 m). In the south, the formation is depositionally overlain by the Lice formation, as seen from northwest of Boylu Village (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)).

No age-diagnostic fossils could be obtained from the Çağlayancerit Formation in the area studied. However, 10 km west of Adıyaman (Karagöl and Kartaltepe areas) the formation is reported to include planktic foraminifera representative of the *Globigerinoides altiaperturus*–*Catapsydrax dissimilis* and the *Globigerinoides trilobus* biozones of Early Miocene (Late Aquitanian–Burdigalian) age



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 7** Field photographs of the Arabian foreland succession; **a** pelagic limestones of Mid-Late Eocene Gaziantep Formation; **b** turbiditic clastics of the Early Miocene Lice Formation; **c** slump structures in the lower part of the Lice Formation; **d** shelly fossils in the neritic carbonates of the Early Miocene Fırat Formation; **e** transgres-

sive contact between the Miocene Lice Formation and the later Miocene Karaisalı Formation (Reef Limestone), with the Selmo Formation unconformably above; near the Küçüküngüt village; **f** oligomict matrix-supported conglomerates of the Şelmo Formation (Pliocene?)

(Işık and Hakyemez  $2010$ ). In addition, benthic foraminifera in these sections indicate an Early Miocene age (Isik and Hakyemez [2010](#page-21-33)). The *Globoquadrina dehiscens*–*Globigerinoides altiaperturus* sub-zone of inferred Early Aquitanian age was also tentatively identified near Kahramanmaras¸ (i.e. Soğukpınar area).

#### **Early Miocene Fırat Formation**

In the south of the area, the Çağlayancerit Formation is transitionally overlain (over several metres) by shallow-water carbonates of the Firat Formation (Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0), as extensively exposed around the southern flanks of Öksüz Dağ and near Küçüküngüt köy (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). The Fırat Formation is dominated by medium- to thick-bedded bioclastic limestones, with abundant, variably reworked, pelecypods, gastropods, coral, echinoids, red algae and polyzoans (bryozoa).

The Fırat Formation is dated as Early Miocene (Aquitanian–Burdigalian) over large areas of SE Turkey (Duran et al. [1988](#page-20-11), [1989](#page-20-12)). The following benthic foraminifera were identified from the study area: *Miogypsina* sp., *Europertia* sp., *Lepidocyclina* sp., *Textularia* sp., *Miogypsinoides* sp., *Spherogypsina* sp., *Rotalidae* sp., *Sorites* sp., *Archeas* sp., *Austrotrillina* sp., *Peneroplis* sp., *Miliolidae* sp., *Amphistegina* sp., *Hetereostegina* sp., *Operculina* sp., *Boralis* sp.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Photomicrographs of fossil content in the Fırat Formation (**a**–**b**), the Early Miocene Lice Formation (**c**–**f**), and the Karaisalı Formation (**g**–**i**): **a** *Rhapidionina* sp, **b** *Heterostegina* sp., **c** *Borelis* sp.,

and *Rhapidonina* sp. (Fig. [8](#page-8-0)a, b). This assemblage encompasses Late Oligocene to Early Miocene ages, although it is assumed that the Oligocene taxa are reworked since the formation post-dates the underlying Çağlayancerit Formation.

#### **Early Miocene Lice Formation**

In the north of the area, the Gaziantep Formation is depositionally overlain by strongly contrasting terrigenouscarbonate sediments of the Lice Formation. The contact is a low-angle unconformity  $(3^{\circ}-5^{\circ})$ , as exposed near Çağlayancerit town centre and along the northern slope of Öksüz Dağ. The Lice Formation as a whole is well exposed in an E–W trending zone around Çağlayancerit town, along the Gölbası road and south of Öksüz Dağ (Figs.  $1, 2$  $1, 2$  $1, 2$ ).

The Lice Formation is a sequence of thin- to mediumbedded mudrocks (shale), siltstones, sandstones, marls and limestones (Fig. [7](#page-7-0)b). The maximum intact sequence in the study area is approximately 80 m (Fig. [9](#page-9-0)), although the

**d** *Lepidocyclina* sp., **e** *Globigerinoides* sp., **f** *Archeas* sp., **g** *Austrotrillina* sp., **h** *Borelis* sp., **i** *Austrotrillina* sp. (*left*) and *Peneroplis* sp. (*right*)

formation is very much thicker in adjacent areas (e.g. Gül et al. [2012\)](#page-21-21). The sandstone beds are characterised by normal grading, bottom structures (e.g. groove and flute casts), bioturbation, microcross lamination and local convolute lamination. Bouma sequences (Bouma [1962](#page-20-17)) are rare, although B–D divisions occur within graded sandstones in the lower part of the succession. The tops of some beds are characterised by ripple marks, which are locally orientated approximately east–west. Marls and limestones containing planktic foraminifera are interbedded with the siliciclastic sediments in the lower to mid-parts of the sequence. Slumpdeformed strata are present as 1- to 1.5 m-thick units near the base of succession, directly north of Çağlayancerit. The local slump-fold vergence is suggestive of southward sediment displacement.

Throughout SE Turkey the Lice Formation is dated as Early Miocene (Duran et al. [1988\)](#page-20-11). During this work, the following benthic foraminifera were identified: *Peneroplis* sp., *Borelis* sp., *Archeas* sp., *Austrotrillina* sp.,



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Fig. 9** Sedimentological log of the Early Miocene Lice Formation; measured near Çağlayancerit town centre

*Miliolidae*, *Globigerinoides* sp., *Sphaerogypsina globulus* (Reuss), *Globigerina* sp., *Globorotalia* sp, *Rotaliidae* sp. In addition, a rich assemblage of planktic foraminifera was identified, including *Globigerina venezuelana* (Hedberg 1937), *Globigerina praebulloide* (Blow 1959)*, Globigerina sellii* (Borsetti 1959), *Églobigerina binaiensis* (Koch 1935), *Catapsydrax dissimilis* (Cushman and Bermudez 1937*), Catapsydrax unicavus Bolli* (Loeblich and Tappan 1957), *Globorotaloides suteri* (Bolli 1957), *Paraggloborotalia nana* (Bolli 1957), *Ammonia* sp., *Amphistegina* sp., *Asterigerina* sp., *Lenticulina* sp. and *Gibicidoides* sp. The overall age range of the benthic and planktic foraminifera determined is Late Oligocene to Middle Miocene. However, several taxa indicate a specific Early Miocene age (Fig. [8c](#page-8-0)–f); the Oligocene microfossils are assumed to be reworked.

Petrographic study of the sandstone revealed the following main grain types, in decreasing order of abundance: ophiolitic rock fragments (mostly serpentinite) (25–35 %), carbonate rock fragments (20–25 %), volcanic rock fragments (locally with plagioclase microlites) (15–20 %), intrusive igneous rock fragments (including large feldspar and quartz crystals) (10–15 %), feldspar grains  $(3-8 \%)$ , metamorphic-rock fragments (2–5 %) and quartz (1–3 %).

Representative samples of fine-grained sandstone (3 samples), medium-grained sandstone (7 samples) and coarse-grained sandstone (4 samples) were point counted using the method described by Dickinson et al. [\(1983](#page-20-18)). This



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 10** Petrographic point-count data for sandstones (using the methods of Dickinson et al. [1983](#page-20-18) and Ingersoll et al. [1984\)](#page-21-34). **a** Early Miocene Lice Formation; **b** Pliocene Şelmo Formation; **c** Bulgurkaya

approach, known as the Gazzi–Dickinson method, maximises the source rock data while minimising the effects of grain-size variation (Ingersoll et al. [1984\)](#page-21-34). This method considers quartz  $(Q =$  monocrystalline and polycrystalline), feldspar  $(F =$  plagioclase and alkaline feldspar) and lithic fragments (L) as having sedimentary, metamorphic or igneous sources, respectively. The available data, when plotted on a Q–F–L diagram, indicate that the sandstones are strongly lithic, mostly plotting within the recycled orogen field (Fig. [10a](#page-10-0)).

The Lice Formation as whole is folded on a large (up to kilometric) scale, together with the underlying succession. In addition, the formation is highly deformed in its upper part near the overlying allochthon, where low-angle thrusts, high-angle reverse faults, oblique-slip faults and both asymmetrical and overturned folds are present.



sedimentary mélange matrix (sandstone blocks); **d** Meydan ophiolitic mélange (sandstone slices and blocks). See text for discussion

#### **Early Miocene Karaisalı Formation**

Early Miocene shallow-water bioclastic carbonates, known as the Karaisalı Formation, conformably overlie the Çağlayancerit Formation. This formation is exposed in an E-W belt to the south of Öksüz Dağ. The underlying Lice Formation is unusually thin in this area, especially around Küçüküngüt (Fig. [7](#page-7-0)e). The Karaisalı Formation reaches an estimated maximum thickness of 25–30 m near Zeynepuşağı (Fig. [5b](#page-5-0)) and then thins eastwards over c. 10 km, until it disappears near Bayırlı (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). The limestones are medium to thick bedded and include coral, pelecypods, calcareous algae and echinoderms, set in a sparry calcite cement. Reef limestones (patch reefs) are locally developed.

The Karaisalı Formation was previously dated as Burdigalian in other areas (e.g. Kahramanmaraş Basin) (Işık and

<span id="page-11-0"></span>



Hakyemez  $2010$ ). During this work, the benthic foraminifera *Textularia* sp., *Asterigerina* sp., *Peneroplis* sp., *Austrotrillina* sp., *Boralis* sp., Miliolidae and Textularidae were identified from Fig. [8g](#page-8-0)–i, consistent with an Early Miocene age.

The Karaisalı Formation is deformed into a discontinuous broad (c. 1 km) syncline around Zeynapusağı (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). The fold limbs typically dip at 30–40° (e.g. around Zeynepuşağı) but are steeply dipping, vertical or even overturned in places (e.g. near Küçüküngüt).

# **S¸elmo Formation**

The southern part of the area studied is dominated by poorly lithified, coarse-grained, terrigenous sediments of the Şelmo Formation (Fig. [3](#page-4-0)). This major depositional unit unconformably overlies Miocene units to the south of an imaginary line between Bozlar, Küçüküngüt and Zeynepuşağı (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0). A Late Miocene–Early Pliocene age has been inferred from plant spores and pollen (Çemen et al. [1990\)](#page-20-19), although reworking is likely.

The Selmo Formation is dominated by alternating conglomerates and sandstones, with an estimated total thickness of >130 m. Individual conglomerate packages are lenticular, typically several metres thick. The conglomerates are relatively massive, whereas cross-bedding is commonly well developed in the sandstones. The conglomerates are mostly clast supported, with a sandy matrix. The clasts are typically rounded to well rounded. Where observed, clast imbrication generally indicates southward palaeoflow. The clast composition is heterogeneous and includes limestone, ophiolitic rocks (e.g. serpentinite, extrusive rocks) and metamorphic rocks (e.g. schist, marble, phyllite; Fig. [7](#page-7-0)f). Three samples of sandstone were point counted and these plot within the recycled orogen field (Fig. [10](#page-10-0)b).

The Şelmo Formation is deformed into several largescale (c. 1 km) symmetrical fold structures along E–W axes. In the west, the fold limbs dip at up to 30–40°. Eastwards, the folds become tighter with the fold limbs reaching dips of 80–85°, to overturned (e.g. around the Küçüküngüt village; Fig. [7](#page-7-0)e). Several E–W trending faultbounded highs composed of reddish conglomerates and sandstones (e.g. Kandili Dağ) are covered by Plio-Quaternary alluvial deposits.

# **Tauride allochthon**

We now consider the individual units of the imbricate zone (Fig. [11](#page-11-0)) of the Tauride allochthon, moving structurally upwards.

#### **Igneous‑sourced coarse clastic sedimentary rocks**

The lowest allochthonous lithologies above the Miocene foreland succession (Lice Formation) are conglomerates and sandstones (up to 50 m thick), dominated by igneous rock detritus. A dismembered thrust sheet can be traced laterally for c. 600 m north of Çağlayancerit (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). Additional very small outcrops occur north of Erince Dağ. The conglomerates are massive to very thick bedded and are matrix-supported, with mostly sub-rounded clasts set in a



**Fig. 12** Field photographs of the Tauride allochthon; **a** view of the Meydan ophiolite-related mélange, c. 2 km north of Çağlayancerit; **b** gabbroic rocks of the Meydan ophiolite, c. 2 km northwest of Çağlayancerit; **c** granitic intrusion within gabbroic rocks of the Meydan ophiolite; c. 2 km northwest of Çağlayancerit; **d** carbonate rock block in the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange; north of Erince Dağ; **e** block of recrystallised carbonate rock within a phyllitic matrix of the

<span id="page-12-0"></span>fine to medium-grained sandy-silty matrix. The detritus is mostly serpentinised ultramafic rocks, mafic intrusive igneous rocks (e.g. diabase, gabbro, diorite) and altered extrusive igneous rocks (e.g. basalt, andesite). The sandstones include Eocene (Lutetian?) *Nummulites* sp., although these microfossils may be reworked.

Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange; c. 1 km northeast of Küçükcerit village; **f** block of conglomerate with metamorphic-rock clasts and Eocene *Nummulites* (*highlighted by box*) within the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange, c. 1.5 km west of Küçükcerit; **g** pinkish–reddish coloured neritic carbonate block within the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange, c. 3.5 km northwest of the Helete; **h** foliated marble of the Malatya metamorphic unit; c. 2 km north of Engizek village

### **Eocene–Oligocene Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange**

The Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange is dominated by blocks (typically up to tens of metres in size) and dismembered thrust sheets (up to hundreds of metres long by 10 s of metres thick), within a relatively fine-grained

sedimentary matrix (Fig. [12d](#page-12-0), e). Both metamorphosed and unmetamorphosed rocks are present. The metamorphic rocks are mostly marble, calcschist, micaschist and phyllite, whereas the unmetamorphosed rocks are mostly limestone, marl, conglomerate, sandstone and shale (Fig. [12](#page-12-0)h).

Within the area mapped (Fig. [2\)](#page-3-0), the sedimentary mélange differs in structure and composition from the north to the south of its outcrop. In the north, close to the overriding Malatya metamorphic thrust sheet, the unit has many of the characteristics of a broken formation (Fig. [5a](#page-5-0), c). It is difficult to recognise any sedimentary matrix between what are effectively faulted and folded thrust sheets. In contrast, in the south, the exposure is characterised by isolated blocks and dismembered thrust sheets within a relatively fine-grained sedimentary matrix.

Local sedimentary sequences are recognisable within some of the larger blocks and dismembered thrust sheets. For example, reddish coloured, poorly stratified conglomerates with abundant metamorphic-rock pebbles (e.g. marble, calcschist, Fig. [12f](#page-12-0)) are present within the upper part of the mélange. These conglomerates are interbedded with reddish coloured limestone and marl.

In the east of the area, where well exposed (e.g. in quarries), some of the relatively fine-grained material in the melange is seen to comprise well-bedded claystone–siltstone–fine sandstone alternations, up to tens of metres thick and traceable several hundred metres laterally. On the other hand, local outcrops, as little as 20 m apart, commonly dip at different angles without evidence of folding.

The mélange includes at least one large block/sheet of schist–psammite–marble, several hundred metres long by tens of metres thick, which directly underlies the Malatya metamorphic unit (near Küçükcerit; Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). This body of rocks lies within the uppermost part of the mélange in the west but is in direct fault contact with the Malatya metamorphics in the east. Within this unit, the metamorphic rocks are unconformably overlain by crudely stratified, unmetamorphosed conglomerates (c. 40 m thick). The conglomerates are composed of sub-angular to sub-rounded clasts (up to 0.4 m across) of metamorphic rocks, typical of the Malatya metamorphic unit. This local outcrop is important because it preserves a fragment of the original post-exhumation sedimentary cover of the Malatya metamorphic unit. Blocks of conglomerate of similar composition, but without preserved underlying metamorphic rocks are common within the mélange as a whole.

The Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange has a relatively fine-grained matrix of shale, marl, phyllite and sandstone, which is abundant in the south, although rarely well exposed (Fig. [12](#page-12-0)e). The sandstones are normally-graded and are inferred to be gravity flows. Igneous-derived grains are abundant (>30 %), many of which are ophiolite derived (e.g. serpentinite, serpentinised harzburgite, gabbro). Six sandstone

samples were point counted using the previously described method, indicating a Lithic recycled provenance (Fig. [10c](#page-10-0)).

Some of the limestone blocks were found to include the Foraminifera *Ruguglobigerina rugosa* (Plummer), *Globotruncanita* sp., *Contusotruncana fornicata* (Plummer), *Pseudotextularia elegans* (Rzehak) and *Pseudotextularia elegans* (Rzehak) fossils (Fig. [13](#page-14-0)c–f), indicative of a Maastrichtian age. In addition, some other carbonate units contain *Spherogypsina globula* and *Nummulites* sp. (Fig. [13](#page-14-0)a, b) of Eocene age. The reddish coloured matrix of the interbedded conglomerates also includes *Nummulites* sp.

In previous studies, a key locality (Tülücüler) 40 km SE of Andırın/Kahramanmaras¸ yielded an Eocene foraminiferal assemblage: *Globigerina linaperta, Globorotalia centralis, G. cerroazulensis, G. pomeroli, G. cocaensis, G. increbencens, Hastegerina micra*, *Pseudohastegerina micra* (Kozlu [1997](#page-21-11)). In addition, an Oligocene foraminiferal assemblage is also present in the same area, based on: *Epidocyclina dilatata*, *Globigerina angulisytyralis*, *G. rohni*, *G. ciperoensis*, *G. ampliapertura*, *G. sellii*, *Globorotalia increbescens*, *G. opima* and *Globorotalia sp.*

In summary, the known age of deposition of the sedimentary blocks and dismembered thrust sheets of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange is Late Cretaceous and Eocene. The matrix is likely to be Eocene–Oligocene.

#### **Late Cretaceous(?) Meydan ophiolite‑related mélange**

The structurally overlying ophiolitic mélange (Figs. [2](#page-3-0), [3\)](#page-4-0) is dominated by dismembered thrust sheets and blocks of extrusive igneous rocks (basalt, spilite, andesite), sheeted dykes and gabbroic rocks (e.g. troctolite) (Fig. [12a](#page-12-0)). The structurally lower part of the mélange in the south is dominated by serpentinised harzburgite, whereas extrusive rocks are relatively more abundant at higher levels, further north. The igneous rocks represent a dismembered ophiolite, which is locally cut by tiny  $(0.5-2 \text{ m})$  granitic intrusions. Much larger granitic intrusions cut more intact ophiolitic rocks of inferred Late Cretaceous age directly east of the study area (Nurlu et al. [2015\)](#page-21-31). There are also numerous blocks of pelagic limestone, redeposited limestone (calcarenite), neritic limestone, marble, volcanogenic sandstone and matrix-supported conglomerate.

Some of the conglomerates contain clasts of metamorphic rocks (e.g. marble, schist, phyllite), whereas others are rich in limestone clasts. The sandstones include the detritus of carbonate rocks, volcanic rocks, radiolarian chert and serpentinite, set in carbonate cement. These clastic sedimentary rocks include large Foraminifera of Eocene age (e.g. *Discocycline* sp., *Asterigerina sp., Rotula sp.*, *Textularia sp., Nummulidae*; Fig. [13c](#page-14-0)). Six sandstones were point counted, with the results indicating a Lithic recycled source area (Fig. [10d](#page-10-0)).



<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 13** Photomicrographs of foraminifera from the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange. **a**, **b** From block of Eocene age (~Seske Formation); **c–f** from blocks of Maastrichtian age (~Harami Formation).

The Meydan ophiolite-related mélange is variably sheared and commonly strongly altered. The blocks and dismembered thrust sheets, especially in the structurally higher part of the mélange, are separated by discontinuous, low-angle to high-angle thrusts and reverse faults (Fig. [11](#page-11-0)). A weak schistosity is developed along some tectonic contacts but otherwise brittle deformation dominates. Where present, the tiny granitic intrusions are sheared and faulted together with the surrounding mélange.

#### **Late Cretaceous(?) Meydan ophiolite**

The ophiolite-related mélange is overlain by the Meydan ophiolite, a unit of sheared and dismembered ophiolitic

**a** *Spherogypsina globula*, **b** *Nummulites* sp., **c** *Orbitoides medius*, **d** *Contusotruncana fornicata* (Plummer) 1931, **e** *Orbitoides medius*, **f** *Pseudotextularia elegans* (Rzehak) 1891

rocks. In the type area of c. 15 km east of Çağlayancerit, similar ophiolitic rocks are inferred to be Late Cretaceous, based on the radiometrically determined ages of crosscutting granitic intrusives (Nurlu et al. [2015](#page-21-31)). The Meydan ophiolite includes extrusive rocks (basaltic, andesite, silicic rocks), sheeted dykes, both massive and layered gabbro and serpentinised ultramafic rocks (Fig. [12b](#page-12-0)). In places, the volcanic rocks are cut by felsic dykes (Fig. [12c](#page-12-0)). In some areas (e.g. north of Çağlayancerit), the outcrop is dominated by sub-vertical slices of ophiolitic rocks, mostly less than 8 m wide. Elsewhere, larger intact exposures are present, e.g. serpentinite (2 km NW of Çağlayancerit). Many of the ophiolitic lithologies are strongly sheared, especially close to tectonic contacts.

#### **Discussion and interpretation**

The tectono-stratigraphy was assembled from the structural top downwards, i.e. from the Malatya metamorphic sheet at the top to the Arabian foreland at the base, as discussed below.

#### **Tauride continental units**

The Malatya metamorphic unit is interpreted as part of the Tauride continent, of Late Palaeozoic–Mesozoic age (Özgül [1981](#page-21-35); Perinçek and Kozlu [1984;](#page-21-32) Yazgan [1984](#page-22-15); Yılmaz and Yıldırım [1996](#page-22-16); Yılmaz [1999;](#page-22-17) Robertson et al. [2004](#page-21-3), [2006;](#page-21-4) Bedi et al. [2005](#page-20-20), [2012\)](#page-20-16). The Malatya metamorphic unit rifted from Gondwana during the Triassic to form a microcontinent within the Southern Neotethys (e.g. Yılmaz et al. [1993a,](#page-22-3) [b](#page-22-4); Robertson et al. [2012](#page-21-5), [2015b\)](#page-21-7). The Malatya continental rocks were metamorphosed to at least greenschist facies during the Late Cretaceous as the result of deep burial. Exhumation took place during latest Cretaceous–Palaeogene time based on the age of the oldest sedimentary cover in different areas. Details of the timing and processes of metamorphism and exhumation are, however, outside the scope of this work.

#### **Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange**

The origin of the Eocene and Late Cretaceous sequences represented by the blocks and dismembered thrust sheets requires explanation, as does the setting of the formation of the melange matrix.

Many of the dismembered thrust sheets and blocks are composed of relatively fine-grained, mixed carbonatesiliciclastic sediments, which contain Middle Eocene *Nummulites.* These lithologies are similar to the Seske Formation, of which the type area is located further east in the Elazığ Region (Erdoğan [1975\)](#page-20-13). The Seske Formation unconformably overlies the Malatya metamorphic rocks in many areas to the north of our study area (e.g. Robertson et al. [2006](#page-21-4); Bedi et al. [2009\)](#page-20-21). In addition, in the southern part of the Engizek Mountains, adjacent to our area, the lowest part of the Malatya metamorphic cover includes conglomerates known as the Gözlü Formation (Yılmaz et al. [1987](#page-22-12)). Recently, the Gözlü Formation was correlated with the lowest part of the Seske Formation and termed the Göllüpınar Yayla Member (Bedi 2009). This is important because in our area the locally observed, unit of Malatya metamorphic rocks at the highest level of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange includes transgressive metamorphicrock-derived conglomerates. In our study area, the Malatya metamorphic rocks were transgressed by metamorphicrock-derived conglomerates and are then likely to then

passed upwards into Eocene shelfal marls and limestones (~Seske Formation). The Eocene blocks and dismembered thrust sheets are therefore interpreted as the remnants of an upper-plate sedimentary sequence, which later underwent mass wasting and incorporation into the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange.

The Late Cretaceous limestones and marls in the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange can be correlated with a thrust sheet of similar rocks beneath the Malatya metamorphic unit, directly east of our study area (Erdoğan [1975](#page-20-13); Nurlu et al. [2015](#page-21-31)). These Late Cretaceous carbonate rocks have been correlated with the Harami Formation, for which the type area is in the Elazığ area of SE Turkey, c. 200 km to the east of our study area. The Harami Formation is made up of Late Maastrichtian shallow-water marls and limestones that unconformably overlie Late Cretaceous ophiolitic rocks, comparable with the Meydan ophiolite (Yılmaz et al. [1992](#page-22-18), [1993a](#page-22-3), [b](#page-22-4); Robertson et al. [2006](#page-21-4); Bedi et al. [2009\)](#page-20-21). It is, therefore, possible that the Late Cretaceous shallow-water carbonates and marls of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange originated similarly as the sedimentary cover of an ophiolite. Such cover sediments would have accumulated after an ophiolite was accreted to the overriding plate and uplifted during latest Cretaceous time. Any such underlying ophiolite was, however, later structurally removed because intact ophiolitic rocks (as opposed to ophiolite-related detritus) are not exposed within the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange in the area studied. In summary, whatever the local setting, the Eocene and Late Cretaceous mainly shallow-water carbonate rocks were derived from the overriding plate of the subduction zone.

The matrix of the sedimentary mélange is mostly mudrock of inferred distal terrigenous origin, hemipelagic marl and relatively rare sandstone turbidites. The finegrained calcareous sediments have been dated as Eocene– Oligocene in several areas (Yılmaz and Gürer [1996](#page-22-13); Kozlu [1997](#page-21-11); this study).

The Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange has been interpreted as a type of subduction complex related to the later stages of northward closure of the Southern Neotethys, with the Tauride continental unit (Malatya Metamorphics) as the backstop to the north (Robertson et al. [2004,](#page-21-3) [2006,](#page-21-4) [2007](#page-21-25)). However, the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange is unusual for a subduction complex because most of the exotic material appears to have been derived from the overriding plate rather than the subducting plate (Robertson et al. [2004\)](#page-21-3). On the other hand, the fine-grained matrix sediments (Eocene– Oligocene) accumulated in a relatively deep-water setting, probably a subduction trench, followed by accretion within the sedimentary mélange. Where present, the low-grade phyllite could have resulted from intense shearing along décollement surfaces during the subduction process.

There are several possible explanations for the origin of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange. (1) The subduction décollement was located at a relatively high position in the subduction trench such that the oceanic crust and its deep-sea sedimentary cover entirely subducted. However, this by itself cannot explain the origin of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange; (2) oversteepening and collapse of the leading edge of the overriding plate as a result of a change in subduction wedge geometry (e.g. Platt [1986](#page-21-36)), for example, resulting from a change in the rate of subduction, the direction of convergence or the dip of the subducting slab, although such parameters are difficult to define in a suture zone; (3) subduction erosion is known from several modern active continental margins, notably the Middle America trench (e.g. von Huene et al. [2004](#page-22-19); Clift and Vannucchi [2004\)](#page-20-22). The locus of bending of the downgoing oceanic slab can migrate continentwards with time causing net removal of the overriding continental backstop. Subduction erosion could explain the apparent absence of the inferred continental or ophiolitic basement of the Eocene and Late Cretaceous sedimentary sequences. However, large-scale subduction erosion would be expected also to remove all accretionary material (including ophiolites), which is not observed. Also, rollback, rather than rollforward, of the subducting plate would be expected during late-stage subduction when cold, old oceanic crust is likely to have entered the trench; (4) collision—during early-stage collision, the Tauride backstop began to be dismembered and thrust southwards. The leading edge of the allochthon collapsed to form the sedimentary melange. However, collision was, at most, incipient during Eocene–Oligocene, whereas large-scale thrust emplacement over the Arabian foreland mainly was delayed until the Early-Mid-Miocene. In all of the above options, the sedimentary melange was dominated by upper-plate material, allowing little opportunity for underlying oceanic crust and pelagic sediments (e.g. Cenozoic oceanic sediments) to be accreted. This may explain why there is no known evidence of accretion of Neotethyan oceanic crust after Late Cretaceous time.

In the regional context, model 2, a change in the accretionary prism geometry, is a promising explanation for the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange. A comparable sedimentary melange is located on strike to the west in the Kyrenia Range of northern Cyprus, known as the Kalograi-Ardana Formation (~Baçeli-Ardahan Formation), where it is well dated as Middle Eocene in age (Robertson et al. [2014](#page-21-22)). This sedimentary melange (~olistostrome) includes blocks of Late Palaeozoic–Mesozoic shelf carbonates, Palaeogene pelagic carbonates, mafic volcanics and also volumetrically minor ophiolitic rocks. Although the composition and age of the two sedimentary melanges are not identical, their origins may be similar. The north Cyprus sedimentary melange formed during or very soon after the suturing of the

I ˙zmir–Ankara–Erzincan ocean in central Anatolia. As a result, the entire Africa–Eurasia plate convergence became focussed along the Southern Neotethyan active continental margin for the first time. In response to accelerated subduction and possible oceanic plate rollback, the northern continental margin oversteepened and repeatedly collapsed to form sedimentary melange (Robertson et al. [2014\)](#page-21-22). A similar mode of formation may apply to the Bulgurkaya Formation, although its formation appears to have been more long-lived (Eocene–Oligocene).

# **Oceanic‑derived units**

The Meydan ophiolitic rocks, which are exposed beneath the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange, are interpreted as oceanic crust and mantle that formed by spreading above a subduction zone during the Late Cretaceous. This is based mostly on mineralogical and geochemical evidence from more widely exposed and intensively studied outcrops further east (Nurlu et al. [2015\)](#page-21-31). Geochemical studies of the correlative Koçali ophiolite further east in the Adıyaman area indicate a supra-subduction zone origin (Yıldırım et al. [2012](#page-22-11)). Within our study area, the ophiolitic rocks are locally cut by small bodies of granitic rocks. In the more easterly outcrop, similar, but larger, granitic rocks were recently dated as Late Cretaceous (92.9  $\pm$  2.2– 83.1  $\pm$  1.5 Ma; Cenomanian–Campanian) by the U–Pb isotopic method, using extracted zircons. The granites are interpreted as representing part of a Late Cretaceous magmatic arc (Nurlu et al. [2015\)](#page-21-31).

The above age results imply a Late Cretaceous (or possibly older) age for the genesis of the Meydan ophiolite. The underlying Meydan ophiolite-related mélange contains a similar range of ophiolitic rocks to the Meydan ophiolite and is, therefore, interpreted as highly deformed lithologies related to the same ophiolite.

The Eocene shallow-water limestones, sandstones and conglomerates of the Meydan ophiolite-related mélange are interpreted as the remains of a cover unit, similar to that inferred for the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange (see above). The source of the sandstones includes intrusive ophiolitic rocks, deep-sea sediments (radiolarites) and volcanic rocks of uncertain provenance. In addition, the marble blocks are likely to have been sourced from the Tauride continent, represented by the Malatya metamorphic unit. The larger outcrops of ophiolitic rocks and ophioliterelated mélange that are exposed directly east of the study area include Middle Eocene transgressive volcanogenic sandstones and *Nummulites*-rich limestones (Robertson et al. [2006;](#page-21-4) Nurlu et al. [2015\)](#page-21-31). Some of the *Nummulites*bearing sandstones and limestones in the mélange could have a similar origin.

It is likely that the Meydan ophiolite-related mélange has a composite origin, including fragments of a suprasubduction zone ophiolite, its Eocene cover and accretionary material. The presence of abundant metamorphic-rock debris in Eocene sediments suggests that the melange had accreted to the Tauride continental backstop by this time. The shearing and imbrication resulted from the combined effects of initial accretion along the Tauride active margin, incorporation into the Tauride thrust stack and final emplacement onto the Arabian foreland.

The coarse clastic sediments at the base of the allochthon could represent a fragment of the Early Miocene Çüngüs¸ Formation, which is interpreted as a northerly (proximal) facies equivalent to the Miocene Lice Formation (Perinçek [1979](#page-21-15)). These deposits would have accumulated on the foreland before being accreted to the base of the overriding allochthon.

#### **Arabian Foreland succession**

The Eocene *Nummulites*-bearing neritic limestones record shallow-water carbonate deposition throughout southeast Turkey (Perinçek [1978](#page-21-29); Görür et al. [1981](#page-20-23); Çemen [1986](#page-20-24)). The low-angle unconformity beneath the Mid-Late Eocene hemipelagic carbonates (Gaziantep Formation; Fig. [3\)](#page-4-0) could reflect a change in regional convergence (e.g. accelerated subduction) that affected the southern margin of the Southern Neotethys (Robertson et al. [2015a\)](#page-21-6) during the time when the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange was forming along the northerly, active margin of the Southern Neotethys. The Gaziantep Formation records submergence and relatively deep-water carbonate accumulation in a setting of high organic productivity that favoured diagenetic chert formation. A major stratigraphic hiatus followed during the Oligocene. Low-angle tilting took place prior to the resumption of shallow-marine deposition, marked by the Early Miocene Çağlayancerit and Lice Formations.

The northern part of the foreland submerged strongly during the Early Miocene to form a relatively deep-water basin, which infilled with gravity flows, mostly thin- to medium-bedded turbidites and hemipelagic shales/mudstones (Lice Formation). Facies evidence is suggestive of a submarine fan setting sediments in a relatively sedimentstarved part of the foreland basin. A very much thicker and more diversified submarine fan complex is present in the Kahramaranmaras¸ Basin further west (Gül et al. [2003a,](#page-20-8) [b](#page-21-19)). The petrographic evidence from our area indicates that the clastic material was derived from the overridding thrust sheets, including the ophiolite-related rocks and the Malatya metamorphic unit. In addition, sparitic carbonate intraclasts (<10 % by volume) could have been derived from uplifted foreland units (e.g. Gaziantep or Hoya



<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Fig. 14** Palaeogeographical map for the Mid-Eocene. Note that the Southern Neotethys (~Bitlis ocean) is largely subducted but not yet sutured. Other ocean basins within Turkey are by then sutured causing all of the convergence of the Eurasian and African plates to be accommodated along the northern active continental margin of the Southern Neotethys. This was the regional setting for the development of the large-scale Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange (~olistostrome)

Formations). The Early Miocene basin was tectonically unstable resulting in slumping, mostly near the base of the succession. The basin shallowed upwards overall because the interbedded marls show an incoming of neritic biota towards the top of the succession. Further south, bioclastic carbonates accumulated contemporaneously, represented by the Early Miocene Karaisalı Formation.

During the Early Miocene, the northerly, leading of the Arabian foreland subsided strongly to become a peripheral foreland basin (Fig. [14](#page-17-0)a). In the north, bioclastic shallowwater carbonates initially accumulated (Çağlayancerit Formation). This was followed by the accumulation of terrigenous gravity flows derived from the overriding Tauride allochthon (Lice Formation).

Final suturing of the Southern Neotethys is interpreted to have occurred during the Early to Mid-Miocene when the Tauride continent (Malatya Metamorphic unit) overrode the Arabian foreland (Figs. [14b](#page-17-0), [15](#page-18-0)a). The footwall, represented by the upper part of the Lice Formation, was strongly deformed. Faulting and folding extended downwards into the older foreland succession near the thrust front.

<span id="page-18-0"></span>**Fig. 15** Development of the collision zone. **a** Mid-Miocene thrusting of the Tauride allochthon over the Early Miocene foreland basin (Lice Formation); **b** Late Miocene post-suture tightening of the foreland; thrusting had by then ended and was followed by regional-scale folding of the foreland and the allochthon together



After emplacement ended, the Miocene units were covered by the mainly fluvial sediments of the Late Miocene– Pliocene? Şelmo Formation. These sediments and their substratum were folded, faulted and uplifted along approximately east–west axes, probably during Late Miocene– Pliocene time (Fig. [15](#page-18-0)b). The resulting structures include large-scale Zagros-type whale-back-type folds in the north and smaller-scale more open folds, coupled with highangle faults, further south (Fig. [2](#page-3-0)). The Plio-Quaternary was characterised by fluvial and lacustrine deposition in the south of the study area (e.g. near Gölbaşı; Fig. [1\)](#page-1-0). The Late Miocene–Pliocene sediments were truncated and displaced by strike slip related the East Anatolian Transform Fault (Arpat and Şaroğlu [1972;](#page-20-25) Şengör et al. [1985](#page-22-6); İmamoğlu [1993](#page-21-37); Herece [2008](#page-21-28); Duman et al. [2013](#page-20-9)).

# **Tectonic model**

The assembled information and interpretations can be used to develop a tectonic model for the Late Mesozoic–Cenozoic subduction and collision history of the Southern Neotethys in the area studied, which may be applicable to SE Turkey and beyond (Fig. [16](#page-19-0)).

Subduction is inferred to have taken place beneath the Tauride continental margin during the Late Cretaceous (Fig. [16a](#page-19-0)). The ophiolitic rocks are assumed to have formed by spreading above an intra-oceanic subduction zone, although the processes involved are outside the scope of this study (see Nurlu et al. [2015](#page-21-31)). The suprasubduction zone ophiolites are inferred to have accreted to the Tauride active margin during latest Cretaceous time, while the Southern Neotethys remained partially open to the south.

During the Eocene–Oligocene, further northward convergence lead to the development of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange as a type of subduction complex (Fig. [16b](#page-19-0)). The exotic material was mostly derived by the gravitational collapse of the backstop, represented by the already exhumed Malatya metamorphic rocks and their inferred Late Cretaceous–Eocene cover sediments. In addition, some of the exotic material (e.g. detrital radiolarian



<span id="page-19-0"></span>**Fig. 16** Tectonic model for the development of the south-eastern Anatolian thrust belt, based on evidence from the study area and the adjacent region. **a** Late Cretaceous. Two subduction zones are active, one within the Southern Neotethys related to genesis of the Meydan ophiolite and one along the southern margin of the Tauride continent giving rise to arc magmatism, **b** Northerly oceanic crust accreted to the Malatya continent while southerly oceanic crust still subducted, **c** development of the Bulgurkaya sedimentary melange above the melange and the ophiolitic units, **d** flexural subsidence of the Arabian foreland and formation of the Lice foreland basin

chert) was probably derived from subducting oceanic crust (Fig. [16c](#page-19-0)).

The Arabian foreland underwent shallow-water deposition during the Eocene (Hoya Formation), followed by gentle tilting, possibly in response to pre-collisional flexural deformation, then submergence and hemipelagic carbonate deposition (Gaziantep Formation). A period of non-deposition and gentle tilting followed, probably during the Oligocene, resulting in emergence and non-deposition. The pre-Early Miocene low-angle unconformity can be explained by southward migration of a flexural forebulge (Robertson et al. [2004](#page-21-3); [2015a](#page-21-6)). This was caused by the collision of the Arabian continent with the northerly, active (Tauride) continental margin of the Southern Neotethys (Robertson et al. [2015a](#page-21-6), [b](#page-21-7); Fig. [16](#page-19-0)d). The Early Miocene foreland basin is, therefore, interpreted as the product of the collision of the Tauride and Arabian continental units (Robertson et al. [2015a](#page-21-6); Fig. [15](#page-18-0)a, b).

During and after soon after collision, the Tauride allochthon was uplifted and subaerially exposed, giving rise to huge volumes of alluvial sediments. Further compression during Late Miocene–Pliocene resulted in large-scale E–W folding and faulting of the Arabian foreland and the adjacent Tauride allochthon. The compressional stress is assumed to have ceased prior to the left-lateral strike-slip, which accompanied the westward 'tectonic escape' of Anatolia along the East Anatolian Transform Fault Zone.

In summary, the new data and interpretations presented here for the Çağlayancerit area support and develop the interpretation of the Southern Neotethyan suture zone. However, no one area can supply all of the necessary information for the suture zone as a whole and additional evidence is needed from several areas including easternmost Turkey and NW Iran.

# **Conclusions**

The area studied in SE Turkey, around Çağlayancerit, provides excellent evidence of the later stages of the subduction, accretion and collision of the Southern Neotethys during Late Cretaceous–Cenozoic time.

- An imbricate stack of thrust sheets was finally emplaced southwards over the collapsed northern margin of the Arabian continent during the Early Miocene.
- The thrust stack is interpreted to have developed in response to northward subduction of the Southern Neotethys beneath a backstop represented by the Tauride microcontinent (Malatya metamorphic unit).
- The oceanic crust of the Southern Neotethys in the area studied is represented by a dismembered ophiolitic thrust sheet and an underlying ophiolite-related mélange.
- A sedimentary mélange (Bulgurkaya sedimentary mélange) developed along the Tauride active continental margin during Eocene–Oligocene time. The main process of formation was gravity collapse of the continental backstop and its sedimentary cover, while oceanic crust subducted.
- Tilting of the Arabian foreland during the Oligocene reflects early-stage collision of the Tauride and Arabian continents.
- As collision intensified, the Arabian shelf subsided to form an Early Miocene flexural foredeep (Lice Formation). Upflexed highs to the south were colonised by coral reefs.
- Final collision (Mid-Miocene) was followed by emergence and erosion of the Tauride thrust stack to form widespread alluvium. Post-suture shortening (Late Miocene–Pliocene) resulted in large-scale Zagros-type folding and faulting of the foreland.

**Acknowledgments** A. C. Akıncı acknowledges the financial support of the research fund of Çukurova University for fieldwork (Project No: MMF2012D6). He also thanks Alican Ziya Ayyıldız, Fırat Yalnız, Can Ekin Yıldız and Ekrem Özcan (undergraduate students of Çukurova University, Adana) for assistance in the field. A.H.F. Robertson acknowledges the financial support of the DARIUS programme for travel to and from the field area on three occasions. We are very grateful to Niyazi Avsar, Kemal Taslı and Atike Nazik for carrying out the determinations of the microfossils listed in the paper. We also thank Nusret Nurlu for help with the igneous rocks. The manuscript benefitted from constructive comments by Prof. Vladamir Trifonov and an anonymous reviewer.

# **References**

- <span id="page-20-1"></span>Aktas G, Robertson AHF (1984) The Maden Complex, SE Turkey: Evolution of a Neotethyan continental margin. In: Dixon JE, Robertson AHF (eds) The Geological Evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean, vol 17. Geological Society, London, Special Publications, pp 375–402
- <span id="page-20-25"></span>Arpat AE, Şaroğlu F (1972) Doğu Anadolu Fayı ile ilgili bazı gözlemler ve düşünceler. MTA Dergisi 78:44-50
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>Bedi Y, Usta D, Özkan MK, Beyazpirinç M, Yıldız H, Yusufoğlu H (2005) Doğu Toroslar'da (Göksun-Sarız-Elbistan) Allokton istiflerin tektonostratigrafik özellikleri. TJK Bildiri Özleri 58:262
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>Bedi Y, Yusufoğlu H, Beyazpirinç M, Özkan MK, Usta D, Yıldız H (2009) Doğu Torosların jeodinamik evrimi (Afşin-Elbistan-Göksun-Sarız dolayı). Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel Müdürlüğü, Jeoloji Etütleri Dairesi, Rapor no. 11150, Anakara, 388 p
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>Bedi Y, Yusufoğlu H, Usta D, Okuyucu C (2012) The presence of the Aladağ and Yahyalı Nappes in the Eastern Taurides (Afsin-Malatya) and their tectonostratigraphic characteristics. In: Yalçın MN, Çorbacığlu H, Aksu Ö, Bozdoğan N (eds) Paleozoic of Northern Gondwana and its Petroleum Potential. Turk Assoc Petrol Geol Spec Publ, Vol 6. Ankara, pp 24–25 **(Extended Abstracts)**
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>Beyarslan M, Bingöl AF (2000) Petrology of a Supra-Subduction Zone ophiolite (Kömürhan-Elazığ-Turkey). Can J Earth Sci 37:1411–1424
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>Bilgin AZ, Elibol E, Bilgin ZR, Beğenilmis¸ S (1981) Ceyhan-Karatas¸ Yumurtalık-Osmaniye-Haruniye-Kadirli dolayının Jeolojik Raporu. General directorate of mineral research and exploration, MTA Report No 7215 (unpublished)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>Boulton SJ (2009) Record of Cenozoic sedimentation from the Amanos Mountains, Southern Turkey: implications for the inception and evolution of the Arabia–Eurasia continental collision. Sed Geol 216:29–47
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>Boulton SJ, Robertson AHF, Ünlügenç UC (2006) Tectonic and sedimentary evolution of the Cenozoic Hatay Graben, Southern Turkey: a two-phase, foreland basin then transtensional basin model. In: Robertson AHF, Mountrakis D (eds) Tectonic evolution of the eastern mediterranean, vol 260. Geol Soc, London, Spec Publ, pp 613–634
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>Bouma A (1962) Sedimentology of some flysch deposits: a graphical approach to facies interpretation. Elsevier, Amsterdam
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>Çemen I˙ (1986) Structural geology of the western part of the Araban tectonic block: implications concerning petroleum potential of the region: TPAO Arama Grubu, Rapor No. 2239
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>Çemen I˙, Perinçek D, Ediger VS¸, Akça L (1990) Güneydoğu Anadoludaki Bozova doğrultu atımlı fayı: üzerindeki ilk hareket ters faylanma olan faylara bir örnek. Türkiye 8. Petrol Kongresi, Jeoloji Bildirileri: 169–179
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>Clift P, Vannucchi P (2004) Controls on tectonic accretion versus erosion in subduction zones: implications for the origin and recycling of the continental crust. Rev Geophys 42:2001. doi:[10.102](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003RG000127) [9/2003RG000127](http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/2003RG000127)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>Derman AS, Akdağ K, Gül MA, Yeniay G (1996) Maras¸ Miyosen basenindeki sedimantasyon ile Tektonik arasındaki ilişki. Türkiye Petrol Kongresi Bildiriler Kitabı 11:91–102
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>Dickinson WR, Beard IS, Brakenridge GR, Erjavec JL, Ferguson RC, Inman KF, Knepp RA, Lindber FA, Ryberg PT (1983) Provenance of North American Phanerozoic sandstones in relation to tectonic setting. Geol Soc Am Bull 93:222–235
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>Duman TY, Emre Ö, Karakaya-Gülmez F, Özalp S, Elmacı H, Olgun Ş, Çörekçioğlu Ş, Çan T, Şaroğlu F (2013) Türkiye Diri Fayları veri tabanı, TBBOB Coğrafi Bilgi Sistemleri Kongresi 2013
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>Duran O, Şemşir D, Sezgin İ, Perinçek D (1988) Güneydoğu Anadolu'da Midyat ve Sivan gruplarının stratigrafisi, sedimentolojisi ve petrol potansiyeli (Stratigraphy, sedimentology of the Midyat and Silvan Groups and their hydrocarbon potentials, southeast Turkey). Türkiye Petrol Jeologiları Derneği Bülteni 1(2):99–126
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>Duran O, Semsir D, Sezgin İ, Perinçek D (1989) Güneydoğu Anadolu'da Midyat ve Sivan gruplarının stratigrafisi, sedimentolojisi ve paleocoğrafyası, paleontolojisi, jeoloji tarihi, rezervuar ve diyajenez özellikleri ve olası petrol petrol potansiyeli. TPAO Arama Grubu, Rapor No. 2563
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>Durkee EF (1961) Proposed stratigraphic nomenclature, District VI, Southeast Turkey, vol 6. Petroleum Administration Publications, Ankara, pp 38–46
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>Elmas A, Yılmaz Y (2003) Development of an oblique subduction zone—tectonic evolution of the tethys suture zone in southeast Turkey. Int Geol Rev 45:827–840
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>Erdoğan T (1975) Gölbaşı yöresinin jeolojisi (Geology of the Gölbaşı Region). Turkish Petroleum Company Field Group Report, Ankara, 229
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>Gökçen SL, Kelling G, Gökçen N, Floyd PA (1988) Sedimentology of a Late Cenozoic collisional sequence: the Misis Complex, Adana, southern Turkey. Sed Geol 59:205–235
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>Görür N, Akkök R, Yöntem F, Sarıdas¸ B (1981) Sabunsuyu-II ölçülmüs¸ kesiti. Türkiye Petrolleri Anonim Ortaklığı Arama Grubu, Arsiv No: 9244
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>Gözübol AM, Gürpınar O (1980) Kahramanmaras¸ Kuzeyinin Jeolojisi ve Tektonik Evrimi. 5th Petroleum Congress of Turkey, pp 21–29
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>Gül MA (2000) Kahramanmaras¸ yöresinin jeolojisi. Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Doktora Tezi
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>Gül M, Gürbüz K, Cronin BT, Aiken E (2003a) Multiple deep water slope feeder channel evolution of submarine fan system in the Maras¸ Basin (Miocene, S Turkey). In: Hodgson D, Edwards C, Smith R (eds) Submarine slope systems: processes, products and prediction. Conference Abstract Volume, p 46
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>Gül M, Gürbüz K, Cronin BT, Aiken E (2003b) Tektonik olarak aktif bir havzada (Miyosen, Kahramanmaraş) denizaltı yelpaze sisteminin (Tekir Sistemi) sedimentolojik özellikleri. Mersin Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Fakültesi, Jeoloji Mühendisliği Bölümü 10. Yıl sempozyumu:16
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>Gül M, Gürbüz K, Cronin BT (2011) Provenance of the Northern Part of the Kahramanmaras; Peripheral Foreland Basin (Miocene, S Turkey). J Asian Earth Sci 40(2):475–495
- <span id="page-21-21"></span>Gül M, Cronin BT, Gürbüz K (2012) Confined deep water system development on the accretionary wedge (Miocene, Kahramanmaras¸ Foreland Basin, S Turkey). Earth Sci Rev 114(3–4):195–217
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>Hall R (1976) Ophiolite emplacement and the evolution of the Taurus suture zone, South-east Turkey. Geol Soc Am Bull 87:1078–1088
- <span id="page-21-27"></span>Herece E (2003) Doğu Anadolu Fayı. Türkiye Jeoloji Kurultayı Bildiri Özleri 56:309–312
- <span id="page-21-28"></span>Herece E (2008) Doğu Anadolu Fayı Atlası MTA Özel Yayın Serisi No: 13
- <span id="page-21-37"></span>İmamoğlu MŞ (1993) Gölbaşı (Adıyaman)-Pazarcık- Narlı (K.Maraş) arasındaki sahada Doğu Anadolu Fayı'nın neotektonik incelemesi. Ankara Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Jeoloji Mühendisliği Anabilim Dalı Doktora Tezi, (Unpublished), Ankara
- <span id="page-21-34"></span>Ingersoll RV, Bullard TF, Ford RL, Grimm JP, Pickle JD, Sares SW (1984) The effect of grain size on detrital modes: a test of the Gazzi-Dickinson point-counting method. J Sediment Petrol 54:103–116
- <span id="page-21-33"></span>Işık U, Hakyemez A (2010) Integrated Oligocene-Lower Miocene Larger and planktonic Foraminiferal Biostratigraphy of the Kahramanmaras¸ Basin (Southern Anatolia, Turkey). Turk J Earth Sci 20:185–212
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>Karig D, Kozlu H (1990) Late Palaeogene–Neogene evolution of the triple junction region Near Maras¸, south-central Turkey. J Geol Soc Lond 147:1023–1034
- <span id="page-21-26"></span>Kozlu H (1987) Misis-Andırın dolaylarının stratigrafisi ve yapısal evrimi. Türkiye Petrol Kongresi Dergisi 7:104–116
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>Kozlu H (1997) Doğu Akdeniz Bölgesinde yeralan Neojen Basenlerinin (İskenderun, Misis-Adırın) tektono-stratigrafi birimleri ve bunların tektonik gelişimi. Doktora Tezi
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>McQuarrie N, van Hinsbergen DJJ (2013) Retrodeforming the Arabia-Eurasia collision zone: age of collision versus magnitude of continental subduction. Geology 41:315–318
- <span id="page-21-23"></span>MTA (2002) Geological Map of Turkey, 1:500,000. Maden Tetkik ve Arama Genel Müdürlüğü (General Directorate of Mineral Research and Exploration) Ankara
- <span id="page-21-31"></span>Nurlu N, Parlak O, Robertson AFH, Quadt A (2015) Implications of Late Cretaceous U–Pb zircon ages of granitoid intrusions cutting ophiolitic and volcanogenic rocks for the assembly of the Tauride allochthon in SE Anatolia (Helete area, Kahramanmaras¸ Region, Turkey). Int J Earth Sci (in this volume). doi[:10.1007/](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-015-1211-1) [s00531-015-1211-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00531-015-1211-1)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>Okay Aİ, Zattin M, Cavazza W (2010) Apatite fission-track data for the Miocene Arabia–Eurasia collision. Geology 38:35–38
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>Önalan M (1985/1986) Kahramanmaras¸ Tersiyer istifinin sedimanter özellikleri ve çökelme ortamları, İstanbul Üniversitesi Mühendislik Fakültesi Yayınları Yer Bilimleri Dergisi 5: 39–78
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>Önalan M (1988) Kahramanmaras¸ Tersiyer kenar havzasının jeolojik evrimi. Türkiye Jeoloji Bülteni 31:1–10
- <span id="page-21-30"></span>Önem Y (1966) Çelikli ile Gölap Belaşa sahaları 1965 yazı saha çalışmalarına dair jeolojik rapor: TPAO Arama Grubu, Rapor 339: 20p
- <span id="page-21-35"></span>Özgül N (1981) Munzur dağlarının jeolojisi. MTA Reports (unpublished), 6995 (In Turkish)
- <span id="page-21-29"></span>Perinçek D (1978) V-VI-IX. bölge (Güneydoğu Anadolu otoktonallokton birimler) jeoloji sembolleri. TPAO, Rapor: 6657
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>Perinçek D (1979) Hazro, Korudağ-Çüngüs¸, Maden, Hazar, Elazığ, Malatya dolayının jeolojisi (The geology of Hazro, Korudağ-Çüngüs¸, Maden, Hazar, Elazığ, Malatya Area) TPAO Arama Grubu. Rapor 1395:62
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>Perinçek D (1980) Arabistan Kıtası Kuzeyindeki Tektonik Evrimin, Kıta Üzerinde Çökelen İstifteki Etkileri Türkiye Petrolleri Kongresi Tebliğler, 77–93
- <span id="page-21-32"></span>Perinçek D, Kozlu H (1984) Stratigraphy and structural relations of the units in the Afşin-Elbistan-Doğanşehir region (Eastern Taurus). In: Tekeli O, Göncüoğlu MC (eds) Geology of Taurus Belt. Internat Symp Proc, Maden Tetkik ve Arama Enstitüsü, pp 181–198
- <span id="page-21-36"></span>Platt JP (1986) Dynamics of orogenic wedges and the uplift of highpressure metamorphic rocks. Geol Soc Am Bull 97:1037–1053
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>Polat H (1970) Armutlu-Esmepuru-Yukarı Bağdın (K.Maraş) alanının jeolojisi. MTA derleme rapor 4985: 36p (in Turkish with English abstract)
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>Rigo de Righi M, Cortesini A (1964) Gravity tectonics in foothills structure belt of southeast Anatolia. Am Ass Petrol Geol Bull 48:1911–1939
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>Robertson AHF (2000) Mesozoic–Tertiary tectono-sedimentary evolution of a South Tethyan oceanic basin and its margins in southern Turkey. In: Bozkurt E, Winchester JA, Piper JDA (eds) Tectonics and Magmatism in Turkey and Surrounding Area. Geol Soc, London, Spec Publ 173: 97–138
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>Robertson AHF (2002) Overview of the genesis and emplacement of Mesozoic ophiolites in the Eastern Mediterranean Tethyan region. Lithos 65:1–67
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>Robertson AHF, Dixon JE (1984) Introduction: aspects of the geological evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean. In: Dixon JE, Robertson AHF (eds) The Geological Evolution of the Eastern Mediterranean, Geol Soc London, Spec Publ 17:1–74
- <span id="page-21-24"></span>Robertson AHF, Ünlügenç UC (2001) Tectonic evolution of Neotethys in the Easternmost Mediterranean (an Adana perspective) In: 4th International Turkish Geology Symposium, 24–28 Sept. Çukurova University, Adana, Abstract, p 14
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>Robertson AHF, Ünlügenç UC, İnan N, Taşli N (2004) The Misis– Andırın Complex: a Mid Tertiary mélange related to late-stage subduction of the Southern Neotethys in S Turkey. J Asian Earth Sci 22:413–453
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>Robertson AHF, Ustaömer T, Parlak O, Ünlügenç UC, Taslı K, İnan N (2006) The Berit transect of the Tauride thrust belt, S Turkey: Late Cretaceous-Early Cenozoic accretionary/collisional processes related to closure of the Southern Neotethys. J Asian Earth Sci 27:108–145
- <span id="page-21-25"></span>Robertson AHF, Parlak O, Rızaoğlu T, Unlügenç U, İnan N, Taslı K., Ustaömer T (2007) Tectonic evolution of the South Tethyan ocean: evidence from the Eastern Taurus Mountains (Elazığ region, SE Turkey). In: Ries AC, Butler RWH, Graham RH (eds) Deformation of the continental crust: The Legacy of Mike Coward. Geol Soc, London, Spec Publ, 272, 231–270
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>Robertson AHF, Parlak O, Ustaömer T (2012) Overview of the Paleozoic–Neogene evolution of Neotethys in the Eastern Mediterranean region (southern Turkey, Cyprus, Syria). Petrol Geosci 18(381):404
- <span id="page-21-22"></span>Robertson AHF, McCay GA, Taslı K, Yıldız A (2014) Eocene development o the northerly active continental margin of the Southern Neotethys in the Kyrenia Range, north Cyprus. Geol Mag 151:692–731
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>Robertson AHF, Boulton SJ, Taslı K, Yıldırım N, İnan N, Yildiz A, Parlak O (2015a) Late Cretaceous-Miocene sedimentary development of the Arabian continental margin in SE Turkey (Adıyaman Region): implications for regional palaeogeography and the closure history of Southern Neotethys. J Asian Earth Sci
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>Robertson AHF, Parlak O, Yıldırım N, Dumitrica P, Taslı K (2105b) Triassic rifting and Jurassic–Cretaceous passive

margin development of the Southern Neotethys: evidence from the Adıyaman area, SE Turkey. Internat. J Earth Sci

- <span id="page-22-14"></span>Schmidt GC (1961) Stratigraphic nomenclature for the Adana region petroleum district VII, vol 6. Petroleum Administration Bull, Ankara, pp 47–63
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>Şengör AMC, Yılmaz Y (1981) Tethyan evolution of Turkey: a plate tectonic approach. Tectonophysics 75:181–241
- <span id="page-22-6"></span>Şengör AMC, Görür N, Şaroğlu F (1985) Strike-slip faulting and related basin formation in zones of tectonic escape: Turkey as a case study. Soc Econ Paleont Mineral, Spec Publ, pp 227–264
- <span id="page-22-5"></span>Sungurlu O (1974) VI Bölge kuzey sahalarının jeolojisi (Geology of northern part of petroleum district-VI). Türkiye Petrol Kongresi Tebliğler Dergisi 2:85–107
- <span id="page-22-8"></span>Sungurlu O, Arpat E (1978) Türkiye doğu kesiminin jeolojisi ve beklenir kabuk yapısı (Geology of the Eastern Turkey and structure of the crust). Türkiye Petrolleri Arama Grubu Raporları 104:1–8
- <span id="page-22-7"></span>Tuna D (1973) VI. Bölge litostratigrafi birimleri adlamasının açıklayıcı raporu: TPAO. Rapor no. 813, 13s, Ankara (unpublished)
- <span id="page-22-10"></span>Uzunçimen S, Tekin UK, Bedi Y, Perinçek D, Varol E, Soycan H (2011) Discovery of the Late Triassic (Middle Carnian–Rhaetian) radiolarians in the volcano-sedimentary sequences of the Koçali Complex, SE Turkey: correlation with the other Tauride units. J Asian Earth Sci 40:180–200
- <span id="page-22-19"></span>Von Huene R, Ranero CR, Vannucchi P (2004) Genetic model of subduction erosion. Geology 32:913–916
- <span id="page-22-15"></span>Yazgan E (1984) Geodynamic evolution of the eastern Taurus region (Malatya-Elazığ area, Turkey). In: Proceedings of the International Symposium, Geology of Taurus Belt, MTA, Ankara, pp 199–208
- <span id="page-22-9"></span>Yıldırım M (1989) Kahramanmaras¸ kuzeyindeki (Engizek-Nurhak dağları) tektonik birimlerinin jeolojik, petrolojik incelemesi.

İstanbul Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü (Doktora Tezi) (TPAO Arama Grubu, Rapor: 2970

- <span id="page-22-11"></span>Yıldırım N, Parlak O, Robertson AHF (2012) Geochemistry and tectonic significance of the Koçali ophiolite and the related Koçali mélange, Adıyaman region, SE Turkey. 62th Geological Congress of Turkey, April 2012, Ankara, Abstract
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>Yılmaz Y (1993) New evidence and model on the evolution of the southeast Anatolian orogen. Geol Soc Am Bull 105:251–271
- <span id="page-22-17"></span>Yılmaz H (1999) Geology of the Sürgü Area (Doğanşehir-Malatya) in the Eastern Taurus. Bull Fac Eng Cumhur Univ 16:95–106
- <span id="page-22-13"></span>Yılmaz Y, Gürer ÖF (1996) The Geology and evaluation of Misis– Andırın Belt, around Andırın (Kahramanmaras). Turk Jo Earth Sci 5:39–55
- <span id="page-22-16"></span>Yılmaz Y, Yıldırım M (1996) Geology and evaluation of the Nap Region (the Metamorphic Massifs) of the Southeast Anatolian Orogenic Belt. Turk J Earth Sci 5:21–38
- <span id="page-22-12"></span>Yılmaz Y, Gürpınar O, Kozlu H, Gül MA, Yiğitbas¸ E, Yıldırım M, Genç C, Keskin M (1987) Maras¸ kuzeyinin jeolojisi (Andırın-Berit-Engizek-Nurhak-Binboğa Dağları) yapı ve jeolojik evrimi. I ˙stanbul Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Fakültesi
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>Yılmaz Y, Gürpınar O, Yiğitbas¸ E (1988) Tectonic evolution of the Miocene basin at the Amanos Mountain and the Maras¸ region. Turk Assoc Petrol Geol Bull 1(1):52–72
- <span id="page-22-18"></span>Yılmaz A, Bedi Y, Uysal S¸, Yusufoğlu H, Atabey E, Aydın N (1992) Doğu Toroslarda Uzunyayla ile Beritdağı arasının jeolojisi. MTA Rapor No: 9543
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>Yılmaz A, Bedi Y, Uysal S¸, Aydın N (1993a) Doğu Toroslarda Uzunyayla ile Beritdağı arasının jeolojik yapısı. Türkiye Petrol Jeologları Derneği Bülteni 5:69–87
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>Yılmaz Y, Yiğitbaş E, Genç ŞC (1993b) Ophiolitic and metamorphic assemblages of southeast Anatolia and their significance in the geological evolution of the orogenic belt. Tectonics 12:1280–1297