

# Sharp spectral gaps on metric measure spaces

Yin Jiang<sup>1</sup> · Hui-Chun Zhang<sup>1</sup>

Received: 6 February 2015 / Accepted: 7 December 2015 / Published online: 19 January 2016 © Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 2016

**Abstract** In this paper, we extend the sharp lower bounds of spectral gap, due to Andrews-Clutterbuck (Anal PDE 6(5):1013–1024, 2013), Bakry-Qian (Adv Math 155:98–153, 2000), Chen-Wang (Sci Sin A 37:1–14, 1994) and Chen-Wang (Sci Sin A 40:384-394, 1997), from smooth Riemannian manifolds to general metric measure spaces with Riemannian curvature-dimension condition  $RCD^*(K, N)$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification** 35P15 · 30L99

#### 1 Introduction

Let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space. Given a Lipschitz function  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , its point-wise Lipschitz constant Lip f(x) is defined as

$$\operatorname{Lip} f(x) := \limsup_{y \to x} \frac{|f(y) - f(x)|}{d(x, y)}.$$

In this paper, we are concerned with the spectral gap

$$\lambda_1(X) := \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_X (\operatorname{Lip} f)^2 dm}{\int_X f^2 dm} : f \in Lip(X) \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } \int_X f dm = 0 \right\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where Lip(X) is the space of Lipschitz functions on X.

When M is a compact smooth Riemannian manifold without boundary (or with a convex boundary  $\partial M$ ), the study of the lower bounds of the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  of the Laplace-Beltrami

Communicated by L. Ambrosio.

> Yin Jiang Jiangy39@mail2.sysu.edu.cn

Department of Mathematics, Sun Yat-sen University, Guangzhou 510275, China



**14** Page 2 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

operator  $\Delta$  has a long history. See for example, Lichnerowicz [27], Cheeger [10], Li-Yau [26], and so on. For an overview the reader is referred to the introduction of [6,7,25] and Chapter 3 in book [35], and references therein. In particular the following comparison theorem for  $\lambda_1$  has been established by Chen-Wang [12,13], Bakry-Qian [6] and Andrews-Clutterbuck [5] independently, via three different methods.

**Theorem 1.1** (Chen-Wang [12,13], Bakry-Qian [6], Andrews-Clutterbuck [5]) *Let M be an N-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without boundary (or with a convex boundary). Suppose that the Ricci curvature Ric(M)*  $\geq K$  *and that the diameter*  $\leq d$ . *Let*  $\lambda_1$  *be the first (non-zero) eigenvalue (with Neumann boundary condition if the boundary is not empty). Then* 

$$\lambda_1(M) \geqslant \hat{\lambda}(K, N, d)$$

where  $\hat{\lambda}(K,N,d)$  denotes the first non-zero Neumann eigenvalue of the following one-dimensional model:

$$v''(x)-(N-1)T(x)v'(x)=-\lambda v(x) \qquad x\in\left(-\frac{d}{2},\frac{d}{2}\right), \qquad v'\left(-\frac{d}{2}\right)=v'\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)=0$$

and

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}} \tan\left(\sqrt{\frac{K}{N-1}}x\right) & \text{if } K \geqslant 0, \\ \sqrt{\frac{-K}{N-1}} \tanh\left(\sqrt{\frac{-K}{N-1}}x\right) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

This comparison Theorem 1.1 implies the classical Lichnerowicz estimate [27] for K = n-1 and also Zhong-Yang's estimate [42] for K = 0. Some lower bounds of the spectral gaps have been extended to singular spaces. In [36], Shioya discussed spectral gaps in Riemannian orbifolds. In [31], Petrunin proved the Linchnerowiz estimate for Alexandrov spaces with curvature  $\geq 1$  in the sense of Alexandrov. Recently, Theorem 1.1 has been extended to Alexandrov spaces in [32] using a notion of generalized lower Ricci curvature bounds in [41], and by Wang-Xia [40] to Finsler manifolds.

In the last few years, several notions of "the generalized Ricci curvature bounded below" on general metric spaces have been introduced. Sturm [38,39] and Lott-Villani [28], independently, introduced a so-called *curvature-dimension condition*, denoted by CD, on metric measure spaces via optimal transportation. A refinement of this notion is given in Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [3], which is called *Riemannian curvature-dimension condition*, denoted by  $RCD^*$ . Recently, in two remarkable works, Ambrosio-Gigli-Savaré [1] and Erbar-Kuwada-Sturm [16], they proved the equivalence of the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition and of the Bochner formular of Bakry-Émery via an abstract  $\Gamma_2$ -calculus, denoted by BE. Notice that in the case where M is a (compact) Riemannian manifold. Given two numbers  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \geqslant 1$ , M satisfying the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition  $RCD^*(K, N)$  is equivalent to that the Ricci curvature  $Ric(M) \geqslant K$  and the dimension  $dim \leqslant N$ .

We will consider the spectral gap on metric measure spaces under a suitable Riemannian curvature-dimension condition. Lott-Villani [29] and Erbar-Kuwada-Sturm [16] extended Linchnerowicz's estimate to metric measure spaces with CD(K, N) or  $RCD^*(K, N)$  for K > 0 and  $1 \le N < \infty$ .

In this paper, we will extend Theorem 1.1 to general metric measure spaces. Precisely, we have the following theorem.



**Theorem 1.2** Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $1 \leq N < \infty$  and d > 0. Let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space satisfying the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition  $RCD^*(K, N)$  and the diameter  $\leq d$ . Then the spectral gap  $\lambda_1(X)$  has the following lower bound

$$\lambda_1(X) \geqslant \hat{\lambda}(K, N, d), \tag{1.2}$$

where  $\hat{\lambda}(K, N, d)$  is given in Theorem 1.1.

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on the self-improvement of regularity under the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition (Theorem 2.6) and a version of maximum principle, which is similar to the classical maximum principle for  $C^2$ -functions on manifolds (see Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2).

Remark 1.3 (1) When N > 1 and K = N - 1, the above Theorem 1.2 implies that

$$\lambda_1(X) \geqslant \frac{N}{1 - \cos^N(d/2)}.$$

In particular, this gives that if  $\lambda_1(X) = N$ , then  $d = \pi$ . The combination of this and the maximal diameter theorem in [22] implies an Obata-type rigidity theorem for general metric measure spaces, which is also proved in [23] by Ketterer, independently.

(2) Very recently Cavalletti and Mondino [8,9] have used a differential method to establish a further generalization of this result. They prove the same sharp spectral gap estimates (and some other sharp isoperimetric and functional inequalities) for non-branching *CD*-spaces.

#### 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions and the calculus on metric measure spaces. For our purpose in this paper, we will focus only on the case of compact spaces. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and let m be a Radon measure with supp(m) = X.

### 2.1 Riemannian curvature-dimension condition $RCD^*(K, N)$

Let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space. The Cheeger energy is given in [2] from the relaxation in  $L^2(X, m)$  of the point-wise Lipschitz constant of Lipschitz functions. That is, given a function  $f \in L^2(X, m)$ , the Cheeger energy of f is defined [2] by

$$Ch(f) := \inf \left\{ \liminf_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{2} \int_{X} (Lip f_j)^2 dm \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken over all sequences of Lipschitz functions  $\{f_j\}$  converging to f in  $L^2(X,m)$ . If  $\mathrm{Ch}(f)<\infty$ , then there is a (unique) so-called minimal relaxed gradient  $|Df|_w$  such that

$$Ch(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_X |Df|_w^2 dm.$$

The domain of Ch in  $L^2(X, m)$ , D(Ch), is a Banach space with norm  $\sqrt{\|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \||Df|_w\|_{L^2}^2}$ .

**Definition 2.1** ([2]) A metric measure space (X, d, m) is called *infinitesimally Hilbertian* if the associated Cheeger energy Ch is a quadratic form.



**14** Page 4 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

Let (X, d, m) be an infinitesimally Hilbertian space. It is proved in [3] that the scalar product

$$\Gamma(f,g) := \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \frac{|D(f+\epsilon g)|_w^2 - |Df|_w^2}{2\epsilon} \qquad f,g \in \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Ch})$$

exists in  $L^1(X, m)$ . In the following we denote by  $\mathbb{V}$  the Hilbert space D(Ch) with the scalar product

$$(f,g)_{\mathbb{V}} := \int_{X} (fg + \Gamma(f,g)) dm.$$

The quadratic form Ch canonically induces a symmetric, regular, strongly local Dirichlet form (Ch,  $\mathbb{V}$ ). The regular property of (Ch,  $\mathbb{V}$ ) comes from that X is always assumed to be compact. Moreover, for any  $f, g \in \mathbb{V}$ ,  $\Gamma(f, g)$  provides an explicit expression of the *Carré du champ* of the Dirichlet form (Ch,  $\mathbb{V}$ ). The associated energy measure of f is absolutely continuous with respect to f with density f (f) = f (f)

Denote by  $(H_t)_{t>0}$  and  $\Delta$  the associated Markov semigroup in  $L^2(X, m)$  and its generator respectively. Since X is compact, according to [33], the  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition implies that (X, d, m) supports a global Poincaré inequality. Moreover, the operator  $(-\Delta)^{-1}$  is a compact operator. Then the spectral theorem gives that the  $\lambda_1(X)$  in (1.1) is the first non-zero eigenvalue of  $-\Delta$ . (See, for example, [15].)

We adopt the notations given in [4]:

$$D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta) := \left\{ f \in \mathbb{V} : \Delta f \in \mathbb{V} \right\}$$

and, for every  $p \in [1, \infty]$ ,

$$D_{L^p}(\Delta) := \{ f \in \mathbb{V} \cap L^p(X, m) : \Delta f \in L^2 \cap L^p(X, m) \}.$$

**Definition 2.2** ([4,16]) Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \ge 1$ . An infinitesimally Hilbertian space (X, d, m) is said to satisfy the *condition* BE(K, N) if the associated Dirichlet form (Ch,  $\mathbb{V}$ ) satisfies

$$\int_{X} \left( \frac{1}{2} \Gamma(f) \Delta \phi - \Gamma(f, \Delta f) \phi \right) dm \geqslant K \int_{X} \Gamma(f) \phi dm + \frac{1}{N} \int_{X} (\Delta f)^{2} \phi dm$$

for all  $f \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta)$  and all non-negative  $\phi \in D_{L^{\infty}}(\Delta)$ .

According to [4,16], the Riemannian curvature-dimension condition  $RCD^*(K, N)$  is equivalent to the corresponding Bakry-Émery condition BE(K, N) with a slight regularity. We shall use the following definition for  $RCD^*(K, N)$  (Notice that X is always assumed to be compact in the paper).

**Definition 2.3** ([4,16]), Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \geqslant 1$ . A compact, infinitesimally Hilbertian geodesic space (X, d, m) is said to satisfy the  $RCD^*(K, N)$ -condition (or metric BE(K, N) condition) if it satisfies BE(K, N) and that every  $f \in \mathbb{V}$  with  $\|\Gamma(f)\|_{L^{\infty}} \leqslant 1$  has a 1-Lipschitz representative.

Recall that a (locally) compact metric (X,d) is a geodesic space if the distance between any two points in X can be realized as the length of some curve connecting them. Notice that if (X,d,m) satisfies  $RCD^*(K,N)$  condition then  $d=d_{Ch}$ , where  $d_{Ch}$  is the induced metric by the Dirichlet form  $(Ch,\mathbb{V})$ . For any  $f\in\mathbb{V}$  with  $\Gamma(f)\in L^\infty(X,m)$ , we always identify f with its Lipschitz representative. Moreover,  $H_tf,H_t(|\nabla f|_w^2)$  and  $\Delta H_tf$  have continuous representatives (see Proposition 4.4 of [16]).



Sharp spectral gaps... Page 5 of 14 14

### 2.2 The self-improvement of regularity on $RCD^*(K, N)$ -spaces

Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \le N < \infty$ , and let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space satisfying the  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition.

Let us recall an extension of the generator  $\Delta$  of (Ch,  $\mathbb{V}$ ), which is introduced in [4,34]. Denote by  $\mathbb{V}'$  the set of continuous linear functionals  $\ell: \mathbb{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathbb{V}'_+$  denotes the set of positive linear functionals  $\ell \in \mathbb{V}'$  such that  $\ell(\varphi) \geqslant 0$  for all  $\varphi \in \mathbb{V}$  with  $\varphi \geqslant 0$  m-a.e. in X. An important characterization of functionals in  $\mathbb{V}'_+$  is that, for each  $\ell \in \mathbb{V}'_+$  there exists a unique corresponding Radon measure  $\mu_\ell$  on X such that

$$\ell(\varphi) = \int_X \tilde{\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mu_\ell \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{V},$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a quasi continuous representative of  $\varphi$ . Denote by

$$\mathbb{M}_{\infty} := \Big\{ f \in \mathbb{V} \cap L^{\infty}(X, m) : \exists \; \mu \; \text{ such that } \; -\mathcal{E}(f, \varphi) = \int_{X} \tilde{\varphi} \mathrm{d}\mu \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathbb{V} \Big\},$$

where  $\mu = \mu_+ - \mu_-$  with  $\mu_+, \mu_- \in \mathbb{V}'_+$ . When a function  $f \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$ , we set  $\Delta^* f := \mu$ , and denote its Lebesgue decomposition w.r.t m as  $\Delta^* f = \Delta^{ab} f \cdot m + \Delta^s f$ . It is clear that if  $f \in D(\Delta) \cap L^{\infty}(X, m)$  then  $f \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$  and  $\Delta^* f = \Delta f \cdot m$ .

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N \ge 1$ , and let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space satisfying  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition.

(i) (Chain rule, [34, Lemma3.2]) If  $g \in D(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$  and  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  with  $\phi(0) = 0$ , then we have

$$\phi \circ g \in D(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$$
 and  $\Delta(\phi \circ g) = \phi' \circ g \cdot \Delta g + \phi'' \circ g \cdot \Gamma(g);$ 

(ii) (Leibniz rule, [34, Corollary2.7]) If  $g_1 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$  and  $g_2 \in D(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$ , then we have

$$g_1 \cdot g_2 \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$$
 and  $\Delta^*(g_1 \cdot g_2) = g_2 \cdot \Delta^* g_1 + g_1 \cdot \Delta g_2 \cdot m + 2\Gamma(g_1, g_2) \cdot m$ .

Remark 2.5 We can take  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  without the restriction  $\phi(0) = 0$  in the Chain rule. This comes from the fact that  $1 \in D(\Delta)$  and  $\Delta 1 = 0$ , because X is assumed to be compact.

The following self-improvement of regularity is given in Lemma 3.2 of [34]. (See also Theorem 2.7 of [17]).

**Theorem 2.6** ([17,34]) Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq N < \infty$ , and let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space satisfying  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition. If  $f \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$ , then we have  $\Gamma(f) \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$  and

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta^*\Gamma(f) - \Gamma(f, \Delta f) \cdot m \geqslant K\Gamma(f) \cdot m + \frac{1}{N}(\Delta f)^2 \cdot m. \tag{2.1}$$

A crucial fact, which is implied by the above inequality, is that the singular part of  $\Delta^*\Gamma(f)$  has a correct sign:  $\Delta^s\Gamma(f)$  is non-negative.

Using the same trick as in the proof of Bakry-Qian [6, Thm 6] and [34, Thm 3.4], one can prove the following Corollary of Theorem 2.6 (see [21, Lemma 2.3] for a detailed proof):



**14** Page 6 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

**Corollary 2.7** Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \leq N < \infty$ , and let (X, d, m) be a compact metric measure space satisfying  $RCD^*(K, N)$  condition. If  $f \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$ , then  $\Delta^s f \geq 0$  and the following holds m-a.e. on  $\{x \in X : \Gamma(f)(x) \neq 0\}$ ,

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}\Delta^{ab}\Gamma(f) - \Gamma(f,\Delta f) - K\Gamma(f) - \frac{1}{N}(\Delta f)^{2}\right) \geqslant \frac{N}{N-1}\left(\frac{\Delta f}{N} - \frac{\Gamma(f,\Gamma(f))}{2\Gamma(f)}\right)^{2}.$$
(2.2)

For  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\theta \ge 0$  we denote the function

$$\mathfrak{s}_{\kappa}(\theta) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta), & \kappa > 0, \\ \theta, & \kappa = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta), & \kappa < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 2.8** (Bishop-Gromov inequality, [18,39]) For each  $x_0 \in X$  and  $0 < r < R \le \pi \sqrt{(N-1)/(K \vee 0)}$ , we have

$$\frac{m(B_r(x_0))}{m(B_R(x_0))} \geqslant \frac{\int_0^r \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}(t)^{N-1} dt}{\int_0^R \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}(t)^{N-1} dt}.$$
 (2.3)

*Proof* By Corollary of 1.5 in [18], (X, d, m) satisfies MCP(K, N) condition. The desired Bishop-Gromov inequality (2.3) holds on MCP(K, N)-spaces by Remark 5.3 of [39].

We need also the following mean value inequality in [30]. See also Lemma 2.1 of [14].

**Lemma 2.9** ([30, Lemma 3.4]) Let  $f \in D(\Delta)$  be a non-negative, continuous function with  $\Delta f \leq c_0$  m-a.e.. Then there exists a constant C(K, N, diamX) such that the following holds:

$$\oint_{B_r(x)} f \, \mathrm{d}m \leqslant C(f(x) + c_0 r^2). \tag{2.4}$$

At last, we need the following Sobolev inequality, whose proof is similar to that of Theorem 13.1 of [19]. For the reader's convenience, we include a proof here.

**Lemma 2.10** *Let*  $E \subset X$  *be an m-measurable subset with* m(E) > 0. *Then there exist constants* v > 2 *and*  $\widetilde{C_S}$  *which depend only on* K, N, X *and* E, *such that for any*  $f \in \mathbb{V}$  *with* f = 0 *m-a.e. in* E, *the following Sobolev inequality holds:* 

$$||f||_{L^{\nu}(X)} \leqslant \widetilde{C_S} \left( \int_X \Gamma(f) \mathrm{d}m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof* The above Bishop-Gromov inequality (2.3) implies the doubling property; and by Theorem 2.1 of [33], a Poincaré inequality holds. These two ingredients imply the following Sobolev inequality by Theorem 9.7 of [19]: there exist constants  $\nu > 2$  and  $C_S > 0$ , depending on K, N and diamX, such that for all  $f \in \mathbb{V}$ ,

$$\left(\int_{X} |f - \int_{X} f|^{\nu}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \leqslant C_{S} \left(\int_{X} \Gamma(f) dm\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{2.6}$$

where  $\int_X \Gamma(f) := \frac{1}{m(X)} \int_X \Gamma(f) dm$ .



Note that f = 0 on E, thus we have  $||f - f_X f||_{L^{\nu}(E)} = (m(E))^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \cdot |f_X f|$  and

$$\begin{split} \| \int_{X} f \|_{L^{\nu}(X)} &= m(X)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} | \int_{X} f | \\ &= \left( \frac{m(X)}{m(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \| f - \int_{X} f \|_{L^{\nu}(E)} \\ &\leq \left( \frac{m(X)}{m(E)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}} \| f - \int_{X} f \|_{L^{\nu}(X)}. \end{split}$$

Then, by Minkowski inequality, we have

$$||f||_{L^{\nu}(X)} \leq ||f - \int_{X} f||_{L^{\nu}(X)} + ||\int_{X} f||_{L^{\nu}(X)}$$

$$\leq \left[1 + \left(\frac{m(X)}{m(E)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}\right] ||f - \int_{X} f||_{L^{\nu}(X)}$$

$$\stackrel{(2.6)}{\leq} \left[1 + \left(\frac{m(X)}{m(E)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\nu}}\right] \cdot C_{S} m(X)^{\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{X} \Gamma(f) dm\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Let  $\widetilde{C_S} = C_S m(X)^{\frac{1}{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}} \left[ 1 + \frac{m(X)}{m(E)} \right]^{\frac{1}{\nu}}$ , thus we have completed the proof.

## 3 Eigenvalue estimate for $RCD^*(K, N)$ -spaces

Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $1 \le N < \infty$ , and let (X, d, m) be a compact  $RCD^*(K, N)$ -space. We need a version of maximum principle on X as follows.

**Proposition 3.1** Let  $u \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$  and let  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . If the measure  $\Delta^*u$  satisfies that the singular part  $\Delta^s u \geqslant 0$  on X and that the absolutely continuous part

$$\Delta^{ab}u \geqslant C_1 \cdot u - C_2 \cdot \sqrt{\Gamma(u)} \quad m-\text{a.e. on} \quad \{x : u(x) \geqslant \varepsilon_0\}$$
 (3.1)

holds for some positive constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  (they may depend on  $\varepsilon_0$ ). Then  $u \leq \varepsilon_0$  m-a.e. on X.

Remark 3.2 If X is a smooth Riemannian manifold, and if u is a  $C^2$ -function, then the Proposition 3.1 is a corollary of the classical maximum principle. In fact, if the assertion is false in this case, we assume that u achieves its maximum at point p, where  $u(p) > \epsilon_0$ . By using the maximum principle on  $C^2$ -functions, we have

$$\Delta u(p) \leqslant 0$$
 and  $\Gamma(u)(p) = 0$ .

Hence, by (3.1), we have  $u(p) \le 0$ . This contradicts to  $u(p) > \varepsilon_0$ . In the setting of metric measure spaces, we need a new argument.

*Proof of Proposition 3.1* Since  $u \in L^{\infty}(X, m)$ , we have  $\sup_X u < \infty$ , where  $\sup_X u = \inf\{l : (u - l)_+ = 0, m - a.e. \text{ in } X\}$ .

Let us argue by contradiction. Suppose that  $\varepsilon_0 < \sup_X u$ .



**14** Page 8 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

Take any constant  $k \in [\varepsilon_0, \sup_X u)$  and set  $\phi_k = (u - k)^+$ . Then  $\phi_k \in \mathbb{V}$ . Since the singular part  $\Delta^s u \geqslant 0$ , we have

$$-\int_{X} \Gamma(u, \phi_{k}) \, \mathrm{d}m = \int_{X} \widetilde{\phi}_{k} d\Delta^{*} u$$

$$\geqslant \int_{X} \widetilde{\phi}_{k} \Delta^{ab} u \, \mathrm{d}m$$

$$= \int_{\{x: u(x) \geqslant k\}} \phi_{k} \Delta^{ab} u \, \mathrm{d}m$$

$$\stackrel{(3.1)}{\geqslant} -C_{2} \int_{X_{k}} \phi_{k} \sqrt{\Gamma(u)} \, \mathrm{d}m$$

$$\geqslant -C_{2} \left( \int_{X_{k}} \phi_{k}^{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{X_{k}} \Gamma(u) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where  $X_k := \{x : \Gamma(u) \neq 0\} \cap \{x : u(x) > k\}.$ 

By the truncation property in [37] and  $\Gamma(u, \phi_k) = \Gamma(u) = \Gamma(\phi_k)$  *m*-a.e. in  $X_k$ , we have

$$\int_X \Gamma(u,\phi_k) = \int_{X_k} \Gamma(u,\phi_k) = \int_{X_k} \Gamma(u) = \int_{X_k} \Gamma(\phi_k).$$

The combination of the above two equations implies that

$$\int_{X_k} \Gamma(\phi_k) \leqslant C_2^2 \int_{X_k} \phi_k^2. \tag{3.2}$$

Now we claim that there exists a constant  $k_0 \in [\varepsilon_0, \sup_X u]$  such that

$$m({x : u(x) < k_0}) > 0.$$
 (3.3)

Suppose that (3.3) fails for any  $k \in [\varepsilon_0, \sup_X u)$ . That is,  $m(\{x : u(x) < k\}) = 0$  for any  $k \in [\varepsilon_0, \sup_X u)$ . Letting k tend to  $\sup_X u$ , we get  $m(\{x : u(x) < \sup_X u\}) = 0$ . Thus  $u = \sup_X u$  m-a.e. in X. Now, we have  $\Delta^* u = 0$  and  $\Gamma(u) = 0$  m-a.e. in X. This contradicts (3.1) and proves the claim.

Fix such a constant  $k_0 \in [\varepsilon_0, \sup_X u)$  such that (3.3) holds. Denote  $E = \{x : u(x) < k_0\}$ . For all  $k \in (k_0, \sup_X u)$ , we have  $\phi_k = 0$  *m*-a.e. in *E*. By applying Lemma 2.10, we conclude that

$$\|\phi_k\|_{L^{\nu}(X)} \leqslant \widetilde{C_S} \left( \int_X \Gamma(\phi_k) \mathrm{d}m \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \forall k \in (k_0, \sup_X u).$$
 (3.4)

We shall show that  $m(X_k) > 0$  for all  $k \in (k_0, \sup_X u)$ . Fix any  $k \in (k_0, \sup_X u)$ , the set  $\{x : u(x) > k\}$  has positive measure, because  $k < \sup_X u$ . Hence,  $\|\phi_k\|_{L^{\nu}(X)} > 0$ . By using (3.4), we get  $m(\{x : \Gamma(\phi_k) \neq 0\}) > 0$ . Note that

$$\Gamma(\phi_k) = \begin{cases} \Gamma(u) & m-a.e. \text{ in } \{x : u(x) > k\} \\ 0 & m-a.e. \text{ in } \{x : u(x) \le k\}, \end{cases}$$

we have  $\{x: \Gamma(\phi_k) \neq 0\} \subset X_k$  up to a zero measure set. Thus, we get  $m(X_k) \geq m(\{x: \Gamma(\phi_k) \neq 0\}) > 0$ .



On the other hand, we have

$$\|\phi_{k}\|_{L^{2}(X_{k})} \leq \|\phi_{k}\|_{L^{\nu}(X_{k})} \cdot (m(X_{k}))^{1/2-1/\nu} \leq \|\phi_{k}\|_{L^{\nu}(X)} \cdot (m(X_{k}))^{1/2-1/\nu}$$

$$\leq \widetilde{C}_{S} \cdot \left(\int_{X_{k}} \Gamma(\phi_{k}) dm\right)^{1/2} (m(X_{k}))^{1/2-1/\nu}$$

$$\stackrel{(3.2)}{\leq} \widetilde{C}_{S} \cdot C_{2} \cdot \|\phi_{k}\|_{L^{2}(X_{k})} (m(X_{k}))^{1/2-1/\nu},$$

where we have used that  $\{x: \Gamma(\phi_k) \neq 0\} \subset X_k$  up to a zero measure set again. Note that  $m(X_k) > 0$ , hence  $\|\phi_k\|_{L^2(X_k)} \neq 0$ , for all  $k \in (k_0, \sup_X u)$ , there is a constant C > 0, such that  $m(X_k) > C$  for all  $k_0 \leq k < \sup_X u$ . Recall that  $X_k = \{x: \Gamma(u) \neq 0\} \cap \{x: u(x) > k\}$ , by letting  $k \to \sup_X u$ , we have

$$m(\lbrace x : \Gamma(u) \neq 0 \rbrace \cap \lbrace u = \sup_{X} u \rbrace) \geqslant C.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $\Gamma(u) = 0$  a.e. in  $\{u = \sup_X u\}$  (see Proposition 2.22 of [11]), and proves the proposition.

Let us recall the one-dimensional model operators  $L_{R,l}$  in [6]. Given  $R \in \mathbb{R}$  and l > 1, the one-dimensional models  $L_{R,l}$  are defined as follows: let L = R/(l-1),

(1) If R > 0,  $L_{R,l}$  defined on  $(-\pi/2\sqrt{L}, \pi/2\sqrt{L})$  by

$$L_{R,l}v(x) = v''(x) - (l-1)\sqrt{L}\tan(\sqrt{L}x)v'(x);$$

(2) If R < 0,  $L_{R,l}$  defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by

$$L_{R,l}v(x) = v''(x) - (l-1)\sqrt{-L}\tanh(\sqrt{-L}x)v'(x);$$

(3) If R = 0,  $L_{R,l}$  defined on  $(-\infty, \infty)$  by

$$L_{R} v(x) = v''(x).$$

Next we will apply Corollary 2.7 to eigenfunctions and prove the following comparison theorem on the gradient of the eigenfunctions, which is an extension of Kröger's comparison result in [24].

**Theorem 3.3** Let (X, d, m) be a compact  $RCD^*(K, N)$ -space, and let  $\lambda_1$  be the first eigenvalue on X. Let  $l \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $l \geqslant N$ , and let f be an eigenfunction with respect to  $\lambda_1$ . Suppose  $\lambda_1 > \max\left\{0, \frac{lK}{l-1}\right\}$ . Let v be a Neumann eigenfunction of  $L_{K,l}$  with respect to the same eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  on some interval. If  $[\min f, \max f] \subset [\min v, \max v]$ , then

$$\Gamma(f) \leq (v' \circ v^{-1})^2(f) \text{ } m\text{-a.e.}.$$

*Proof* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $[\min f, \max f] \subset (\min v, \max v)$ . Denote by T(x) the function such that

$$L_{K,l}(v) = v'' - Tv.$$

As in Corollary 3 in Section 4 of [6], we can choose a smooth bounded function  $h_1$  on  $[\min f, \max f]$  such that

$$h_1' < \min\{Q_1(h_1), Q_2(h_1)\},\$$



**14** Page 10 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

where  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  are given by following

$$Q_1(h_1) := -(h_1 - T) \left( h_1 - \frac{2l}{l-1} T + \frac{2\lambda_1 v}{v'} \right),$$
  

$$Q_2(h_1) := -h_1 \left( \frac{l-2}{2(l-1)} h_1 - T + \frac{\lambda_1 v}{v'} \right).$$

We can then take a smooth function g on [min f, max f],  $g \le 0$  and  $g' = -\frac{h_1}{v'} \circ v^{-1}$ .

According to [3, Theorem6.5] (see also [20, Theorem1.1]), we have that f is Lipschitz continuous. Notice that  $\Delta f = -\lambda_1 f \in \mathbb{V}$ . Hence  $f \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$ .

Now define a function F on X by

$$\psi(f)F = \Gamma(f) - \phi(f),$$

where  $\psi(f) := e^{-g(f)}$  and  $\phi(f) := (v' \circ v^{-1})^2(f)$ . Since  $f \in D_{\mathbb{V}}(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$ , by Theorem 2.6, we have  $\Gamma(f) \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$ . According to Lemma 2.4 and Remark 2.5, we have  $\psi(f), \phi(f) \in D(\Delta) \cap Lip(X)$  and  $F \in \mathbb{M}_{\infty}$ . Moreover

$$\Delta^* F = \frac{1}{\psi} \Delta^* \Gamma + \frac{1}{\psi} \left( -2\Gamma(\psi, F) - \Delta \psi F - \Delta \phi \right) \cdot m,$$

where and in the sequel, we denote by  $\Gamma = \Gamma(f)$  and  $\phi = \phi(f)$ ,  $\psi = \psi(f)$ . By using Theorem 2.6 again, we have  $\Delta^s F \geqslant 0$  on X and

$$\Delta^{ab}F = \frac{1}{\psi} \left( \Delta^{ab}\Gamma - 2\Gamma(\psi, F) - \Delta\psi F - \Delta\phi \right) \quad m-a.e. \text{ in } X.$$

Since  $l \ge N$ , the (X, d, m) satisfies also  $RCD^*(K, l)$  condition. Applying inequality (2.2) to f and using  $\Delta f = -\lambda_1 f$ , we have, for m-a.e.  $x \in \{x : \Gamma(x) > 0\}$ ,

$$\Delta^{ab}\Gamma \geqslant -2\lambda_1\Gamma + \frac{2{\lambda_1}^2}{l}f^2 + 2K\Gamma + \frac{2l}{l-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_1f}{l} + \frac{\Gamma(f,\Gamma)}{2\Gamma}\right)^2.$$

Fix arbitrarily a constant  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ . We want to show  $F \leq \epsilon_0$  m-a.e. in X.

Since  $F \leqslant e^g \cdot \Gamma \leqslant \Gamma$ , we have  $\{x : F(x) \geqslant \epsilon_0\} \subset \{x : \Gamma(x) > 0\}$ . Following the argument from line 29 on page 1182 to line 10 on page 1183 of [32], we get:

$$\Delta^{ab} F \geqslant \psi T_1 \cdot F^2 + T_2 \cdot F + T_3 \Gamma(f, F) \quad m-a.e. \text{ on } \{x : F(x) \geqslant \epsilon_0\},$$
 (3.5)

where

$$v'^2T_1 = Q_2(h_1) - h'_1, \quad T_2 = Q_1(h_1) - h'_1,$$

and

$$T_3 = \frac{2l}{l-1} \left( -\frac{g'}{2} + \frac{1}{2\Gamma} \left( \frac{2\lambda_1 f}{l} + \phi' + \phi g' \right) \right) + 2g'.$$

Note that both  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are positive,  $\Gamma$  is bounded on X and  $T_3$  is bounded on  $\{x : F(x) \ge \epsilon_0\}$ . It follows from (3.5) that

$$\Delta^{ab} F \geqslant c_1 \cdot F - c_2 \cdot \sqrt{\Gamma(F)} \quad a.e. \text{ on } \{x : F(x) \geqslant \epsilon_0\}$$
 (3.6)

for some constant  $c_2 > 0$  and  $c_1 = \min_{s \in [\min f, \max f]} T_2(s) > 0$ . By combining with  $\Delta^s F \ge 0$  on X and Proposition 3.1, we conclude that  $F \le \epsilon_0 m$ -a.e. in X.

At last, by the arbitrariness of  $\epsilon_0$ , we have  $F \leq 0$  *m*-a.e. in *X*. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.3.



Let  $v_{R,l}$  be the solution of the equation

$$L_{R,l}v = -\lambda_1 v$$

with initial value v(a) = -1 and v'(a) = 0, where

$$a = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{R/(l-1)}} & \text{if } R > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } R \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

We denote

$$b = \inf\{x > a : v'_{R,I}(x) = 0\}$$

and

$$m_{R,l} = v_{R,l}(b)$$

Note that  $v_{R,l}$  is non-decreasing on [a, b].

Next we show the following comparison theorem on the maximum of eigenfunctions.

**Theorem 3.4** Let (X, d, m) be a compact  $RCD^*(K, N)$ -space, and let f be an eigenfunction with respect to the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  on X. Suppose  $\min f = -1$ ,  $\max f \leq 1$ . Then we have

$$\max f \geqslant m_{K,N}$$
.

*Proof* We argue by contradiction. Suppose max  $f < m_{K,N}$ . Since  $m_{K,l}$  is continuous on l, we can find some real number l > N such that

$$\max f \leqslant m_{K,l} \text{ and } \lambda_1 > \max\{0, \frac{lK}{l-1}\}.$$

Then following the proof of Proposition 5 in [6], we obtain that the ratio

$$R(s) = -\frac{\int_X f 1_{\{f \leqslant v(s)\}} dm}{\rho(s)v'(s)}$$

is increasing on  $[a, v^{-1}(0)]$  and decreasing on  $[v^{-1}(0), b]$ , where the function  $\rho$  is

$$\rho(s) := \begin{cases} \cos^{l-1}(\sqrt{L}s) & \text{if } L > 0\\ s^{l-1} & \text{if } L = 0\\ \sinh^{l-1}(\sqrt{-L}s) & \text{if } L < 0 \end{cases}$$

and L = K/(l-1). It follows that for any  $s \in [a, v^{-1}(-1/2)]$ , since  $v(s) \le -\frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$m(\lbrace f\leqslant v(s)\rbrace)\leqslant -2\int_X f1_{\lbrace f\leqslant v(s)\rbrace}\mathrm{d}m\leqslant 2C\rho(s)v'(s), \tag{3.7}$$

where  $C = R(v^{-1}(0))$ .

Take  $p \in X$  with f(p) = -1. By

$$f - f(p) \ge 0$$
, and  $\Delta(f - f(p)) = -\lambda_1 f \le \lambda_1$ 

The mean value inequality (2.4) implies that

$$\oint_{B_r(p)} (f - f(p)) \mathrm{d}m \leqslant C\lambda_1 r^2$$



**14** Page 12 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

for all r > 0 such that  $B_r(x) \subset X$ . Denote  $C_1 = C\lambda_1$ . Let  $A(r) = \{f - f(p) > 2C_1r^2\} \cap B_p(r)$ . Then

$$\frac{m(A(r))}{m(B_p(r))} \leqslant \frac{\int_{B_r(p)} (f - f(p)) \mathrm{d}m}{2C_1 r^2 m(B_r(p))} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2}m(B_r(p)) \leqslant m(B_r(p)\backslash A(r))$$

$$\leqslant m(\{f - f(p) \leqslant 2C_1r^2\})$$

$$= m(\{f \leqslant -1 + 2C_1r^2\}).$$

By using (3.7) and following the argument from line 1 on page 1186 to line 3 on page 1187 of [32], one can get that there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$m(B_p(r)) \leqslant C_2 r^l$$

for all sufficiently small r > 0.

Fix  $r_0 > 0$ . By Bishop-Gromov inequality (2.3), we have

$$m(B_p(r)) \geqslant \frac{m(B_p(r_0))}{\int_0^{r_0} \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}(t)^{N-1} \mathrm{d}t} \int_0^r \mathfrak{s}_{\frac{K}{N-1}}(t)^{N-1} \mathrm{d}t \geqslant C_3 r^N$$

for any  $0 < r < r_0$ . The combination of the above two inequalities implies that  $C_2 r^{l-N} \ge C_3$  holds for any sufficiently small r. Hence, we have  $l \le N$ , which contradicts to the assumption l > N. Therefore, the Proof of Theorem 3.4 is finished.

Now we are in the position to prove the main result—Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Let  $\lambda_1$  and f denote respectively the first non-zero eigenvalue and a corresponding eigenfunction with min f=-1 and max  $f\leqslant 1$ . By Theorem 4.22 of [16], we have  $\lambda_1\geqslant NK/(N-1)$  if K>0 and N>1. Now fix any R< K, we have

$$\lambda_1 > \max\left\{\frac{NR}{N-1}, 0\right\}.$$

Then we may use the results of Sections 3 and 6 of [6], we can find an interval [a, b] such that the one-dimensional model operator  $L_{R,N}$  has the first Neumann eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$  and a corresponding eigenfunction v with  $v(a) = \min v = -1$  and  $v(b) = \max v = \max f$ . By Theorem 13 in Section 7 of [6], we have

$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \hat{\lambda}(R, N, b - a),\tag{3.8}$$

where  $\hat{\lambda}(R,N,b-a)$  is the first non-zero Neumann eigenvalue of  $L_{R,N}$  on the symmetric interval  $(-\frac{b-a}{2},\frac{b-a}{2})$ . Note that f is continuous, we take two points x and y in X such that f(x)=-1 and  $f(y)=\max f$ . Let  $g=v^{-1}\circ f$ , then g(x)=a, g(y)=b and, by Theorem 3.3,  $\Gamma(g)\leqslant 1$  m-a.e. in X. Hence, we have

$$b - a = g(y) - g(x) \le d(x, y) \le \max_{z_1, z_2 \in X} d(z_1, z_2) := d,$$

where d is the diameter of X. Together with (3.8) and the fact that the function  $\hat{\lambda}(R, N, s)$  decreases with s, we conclude



$$\lambda_1 \geqslant \hat{\lambda}(R, N, d).$$

By the arbitrariness of R, we finally prove the theorem.

**Acknowledgments** The second author is partially supported by NSFC 11571374.

#### References

- Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., Savaré, G.: Bakry-Emery curvature-dimension condition and Riemannian Ricci curvature bounds. Ann. Probab. 43, 339–404 (2015)
- Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., Savaré, G.: Calculus and heat flow in metric measure spaces and applications to spaces with Ricci bounds from below. Invent. Math. 195(2), 289–391 (2014)
- Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., Savaré, G.: Metric measure spaces with Riemannian Ricci curvature bounded from below. Duke. Math. J. 163(7), 1405–1490 (2014)
- Ambrosio, L., Mondino, A., Savaré, G.: On the Bakry-Émery condition, the gradient estimates and the local-to global property of RCD\*(K, N) metric measure spaces. J. Geom. Anal. doi:10.1007/ s12220-014-9537-7
- Andrews, B., Clutterbuck, J.: Sharp modulus of continuity for parabolic equations on manifolds and lower bounds for the first eigenvalue. Anal. PDE. 6(5), 1013–1024 (2013)
- Bakry, D., Qian, Z.: Some new results on eigenvectors via diemension, diameter, and Ricci curvature. Adv. Math. 155, 98–153 (2000)
- Besson, G.: From isoperimetric inequalities to heat kernels via symmetrisation. In: Grigor'yan, A., Yau, S.T. (eds.) Surveys in Differential Geometry IX: Eigenvalues of Laplacians and other geometric operators, pp. 27–51. International Press, Somerville (2004)
- Cavalletti, F., Mondino, A.: Sharp and rigid isopermetric inequalities in metric-measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds. Available at arXiv:1502.0646
- Cavalletti, F., Mondino, A.: Sharp geometric and functional inequalities in metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds. Available at arXiv:1505.0206
- Cheeger, J.: A lower bound for the smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian, Problems in analysis. Symposium in Honor of S. Bochner, pp. 195–199. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton (1970)
- Cheeger, J.: Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces. Geom. Funct. Anal. 9, 428–517 (1999)
- 12. Chen, M.F., Wang, F.Y.: Application of coupling method to the first eigenvalue on manifold. Sci. Sin. (A) 37, 1–14 (1994)
- Chen, M.F., Wang, F.Y.: General formula for lower bound of the first eigenvalue on Riemannian manifolds. Sci. Sin. (A) 40, 384–394 (1997)
- Coding, T., Naber, A.: Sharp Hölder continuity of tangent cones for spaces with a lower Ricci curvature bound and applications. Ann. Math. 176, 1173–1229 (2012)
- Davies, E.B.: Heat kernels and spectral theory, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 92. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1990)
- Erbar, M., Kuwada, K., Sturm, K.: On the equivalence of the entropic curvature-dimension condition and Bochners inequality on metric measure spaces. Invent. Math. 201, 993–1071 (2015)
- Garofalo, N., Mondino, A.: Li-Yau and Harnack type inequalities in metric measure spaces. Nonlinear Anal. 95, 721–734 (2014)
- Gigli, N., Rajala, T., Sturm, K.: Optimal maps and exponentiation on finite dimensional spaces with Ricci curvature bounded from below. Available at arXiv:1305.4849
- 19. Hajlasz, P., Koskela, P.: Sobolev met Poincaré. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 688, 1–101 (2000)
- Jiang, R.: Cheeger-harmonic functions in metric measure spaces revisited. J. Funct. Anal. 266(3), 1373– 1394 (2014)
- Jiang, R., Zhang, H.-C.: Hamilton's gradient estimates and a monotonicity formula for heat flows on metric measure spaces. Nonlinear Anal. doi:10.1016/j.na.2015.08.011
- Ketterer, C.: Cones over metric measure spaces and the maximal diameter theorem. J. Math. Pure. Appl. 103(5), 1228–1275 (2015)
- 23. Ketterer, C.: Obata's rigidity theorem for metric measure spaces. Available at arXiv:1410.5210
- 24. Kröger, P.: On the spectral gap for compact manifolds. J. Differ. Geom. 36, 315–330 (1992)



**14** Page 14 of 14 Y. Jiang, H.-C. Zhang

 Ledoux, M.: Spectral gap, logarithmic Sobolev constant, and geometric bounds. In: Grigor'yan, A., Yau, S.T. Surveys in Differential Geometry IX: Eigenvalues of Laplacians and other geometric operators, pp. 219–240, International Press, Somerville (2004)

- Li, P., Yau, S.T.: Estimates of eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian manifold. In: AMS Symposium on Geometry of the Laplace Operator, XXXVI. Hawaii, pp. 205–240 (1979)
- 27. Lichnerowicz, A.: Gêometries des Groupes des Transformations. Dunod, Paris (1958)
- Lott, J., Villani, C.: Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport. Ann. Math. 169, 903–991 (2009)
- Lott, J., Villani, C.: Weak curvature bounds and functional inequalities. J. Funct. Anal. 245(1), 311–333 (2007)
- Mondino, A., Naber, A.: Structure theory of metric measure spaces with lower Ricci curvature bounds I. Available at arXiv:1405.2222
- Petrunin, A.: Harmonic functions on Alexandrov space and its applications. ERA Am. Math. Soc. 9, 135–141 (2003)
- Qian, Z., Zhang, H.C., Zhu, X.P.: Sharp spectral gap and Li-Yau's estimate on Alexandrov spaces. Math. Z. 273(3-4), 1175-1195 (2013)
- Rajala, T.: Local Poincaré inequalities from stable curvature conditions on metric spaces. Calc. Var. PDE 44(3-4), 477-494 (2012)
- Savaré, G.: Self-improvement of the Bakry-Émery condition and Wasserstein contraction of the heat flow in RCD(K, ∞) metric measure spaces. Disc. Cont. Dyn. Syst. A 34, 1641–1661 (2014)
- 35. Schoen, R., Yau, S.T.: Lectures on Differential Geometry. International Press, Boston (1994)
- Shioya, T.: Eigenvalues and suspension structure of compact Riemannian orbifolds with positive Ricci curvature. Manuscr. Math. 99(4), 509–516 (1999)
- Sturm, K.: Analysis on local Dirichlet spaces. I. Recurrence, conservativeness and L<sup>p</sup>-Liouville properties. J. Reine Angew. Math. 456, 173–196 (1994)
- 38. Sturm, K.: On the geometry of metric measure spaces. Acta. Math. 196(1), 65–131 (2006)
- 39. Sturm, K.: On the geometry of metric measure spaces II. Acta. Math. 196(1), 133-177 (2006)
- 40. Wang, G., Xia, C.: A sharp lower bound for the first eigenvalue on Finsler manifolds. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincare (C) Non Linear Anal. 30(6), 983–996 (2013)
- Zhang, H.C., Zhu, X.P.: Ricci curvature on Alexandrov spaces and rigidity theorems. Comm. Anal. Geom. 18(3), 503–554 (2010)
- Zhong, J.Q., Yang, H.C.: On the estimate of the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold. Sci. Sinica Ser. A 27(12), 1265–1273 (1984)

