

Radial solutions of Neumann problems involving mean extrinsic curvature and periodic nonlinearities

Cristian Bereanu · Petru Jebelean · Jean Mawhin

Received: 15 October 2010 / Accepted: 10 November 2011 / Published online: 29 November 2011
© Springer-Verlag 2011

Abstract We show that if $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an annulus or a ball centered at zero, the homogeneous Neumann problem on \mathcal{A} for the equation with continuous data

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}} \right) = g(|x|, v) + h(|x|)$$

has at least one radial solution when $g(|x|, \cdot)$ has a periodic indefinite integral and $\int_{\mathcal{A}} h(|x|) dx = 0$. The proof is based upon the direct method of the calculus of variations, variational inequalities and degree theory.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 35J20 · 35J60 · 35J93 · 35J87

1 Introduction

The study of quasilinear differential equations involving ϕ -Laplacian differential operators

$$[\phi(u')] = f(x, u, u')$$

Communicated by A. Malchiodi.

C. Bereanu
Institute of Mathematics “Simion Stoilow”, Romanian Academy, 21, Calea Griviței, Sector 1,
010702 Bucharest, Romania
e-mail: cristian.bereanu@imar.ro

P. Jebelean
Department of Mathematics, West University of Timișoara, 4, Boulevard V. Parvan, 300223 Timișoara,
Romania
e-mail: jebelean@math.uvt.ro

J. Mawhin (✉)
Mathématique et Physique, Université Catholique de Louvain, 2, Chemin du Cyclotron,
1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique
e-mail: jean.mawhin@uclouvain.be

submitted to various boundary conditions has been the source of many contributions. Most of them deal with the case where $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing homeomorphism and the paradigm is the p -Laplacian associated to $\phi(s) = |s|^{p-2}s$ with $p > 1$. References can be found in [15]. Another class of problems, motivated by the curvature operator associated to $\phi(s) = s/\sqrt{1+s^2}$, corresponds to homeomorphisms $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (-a, a)$. One can consult for example the papers [2,3,12,9,8,14] and their references. Finally, the class of ϕ we shall deal with here is that of homeomorphisms $\phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ motivated by the relativistic acceleration, for which $\phi(s) = s/\sqrt{1-s^2}$. This class already appears in [11], where nonlinearities depending upon the derivative are treated, and in [7] in the general case and Neumann boundary conditions. Slightly more general classes of equations, corresponding to the radial solutions on a ball or an annulus of quasilinear partial differential equations associated to the mean extrinsic curvature in Minkowski space [1], have been first considered in [4].

In a recent paper [6], the authors have used topological degree techniques to obtain existence and multiplicity results for the radial solutions of the Neumann problem

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}} \right) + \mu \sin v = h(|x|) \text{ in } \mathcal{A}, \quad \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{A}, \tag{1}$$

on the ball or annulus

$$\mathcal{A} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : R_1 \leq |x| \leq R_2\} \quad (0 \leq R_1 < R_2)$$

i.e., for the equivalent one-dimensional problem

$$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + r^{N-1} \mu \sin u = r^{N-1} h(r), \quad u'(R_1) = 0 = u'(R_2).$$

They have proved the existence of at least two radial solutions not differing by a multiple of 2π when

$$2(R_2 - R_1) < \pi \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{N}{R_2^N - R_1^N} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} h(r) r^{N-1} dr \right| < \mu \cos(R_2 - R_1),$$

and the existence of at least one radial solution when $2(R_2 - R_1) = \pi$ and

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} h(r) r^{N-1} dr = 0. \tag{2}$$

Condition (2) is easily seen to be necessary for the existence of a radial solution to (1) for any $\mu > 0$ and a natural question is to know if condition

$$2(R_2 - R_1) \leq \pi \tag{3}$$

can be dropped.

In the analogous problem of the forced pendulum equation

$$u'' + \mu \sin u = h(t)$$

with periodic or Neumann homogeneous boundary conditions on $[0, T]$, it has been shown that the corresponding necessary condition

$$\int_0^T h(t) dt = 0 \tag{4}$$

is also sufficient for the existence of at least two solutions not differing by a multiple of 2π . But, in this case, all the known proofs are of variational or symplectic nature (see e.g., the survey [13]).

Recently, it has been proved in [10] that the “relativistic forced pendulum equation”

$$\left(\frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + \mu \sin u = h(t)$$

has at least one T -periodic solution for any $\mu > 0$ when the (necessary) condition (4) is satisfied. The approach is essentially variational, but combined with some topological arguments. The aim of this paper is to adapt the methodology introduced in [10] to the radial Neumann problem for (1) and prove that, for the existence part, condition (3) can be dropped.

The results are stated and proved, like in [10] but in a slightly different functional framework, for the more general class of equations of the form

$$[r^{N-1}\phi(u')] = r^{N-1}[g(r, u) + h(r)], \quad u'(R_1) = 0 = u'(R_2) \tag{5}$$

where $\phi : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a suitable homeomorphism and g belongs to some class of functions 2π -periodic with respect to its second variable.

2 Hypotheses and function spaces

In what follows, we assume that $\Phi : [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following hypothesis:

(H $_{\Phi}$) Φ is continuous, of class C^1 on $(-a, a)$, with $\phi := \Phi' : (-a, a) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an increasing homeomorphism such that $\phi(0) = 0$.

Consequently, $\Phi : [-a, a] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is strictly convex.

Given $0 \leq R_1 < R_2$, the function $g : [R_1, R_2] \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following hypothesis:

(H $_g$) g is continuous and its indefinite integral

$$G(r, x) := \int_0^x g(r, \xi) d\xi, \quad (r, x) \in [R_1, R_2] \times \mathbb{R}$$

is 2π -periodic for each $r \in [R_1, R_2]$.

We set $C := C[R_1, R_2]$, $L^1 := L^1(R_1, R_2)$, $L^\infty := L^\infty(R_1, R_2)$ and $W^{1,\infty} := W^{1,\infty}(R_1, R_2)$. The usual norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is considered on L^∞ and $W^{1,\infty}$ is endowed with the norm

$$\|v\| = \|v\|_\infty + \|v'\|_\infty \quad (v \in W^{1,\infty}).$$

Each $v \in L^1$ can be written $v(r) = \bar{v} + \tilde{v}(r)$, with

$$\bar{v} := \frac{N}{R_2^N - R_1^N} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} v(r) r^{N-1} dr, \quad \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \tilde{v}(r) r^{N-1} dr = 0.$$

If $v \in W^{1,\infty}$ then \tilde{v} vanishes at some $r_0 \in (R_1, R_2)$ and

$$|\tilde{v}(r)| = |\tilde{v}(r) - \tilde{v}(r_0)| \leq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} |v'(t)| dt \leq (R_2 - R_1) \|v'\|_\infty. \tag{6}$$

We set

$$K = \{v \in W^{1,\infty} : \|v'\|_\infty \leq a\}.$$

K is closed and convex.

Lemma 1 *If $\{u_n\} \subset K$ and $u \in C$ are such that $u_n(r) \rightarrow u(r)$ for all $r \in [R_1, R_2]$, then*

- (i) $u \in K$;
- (ii) $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ in the w^* -topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$.

Proof From the relation

$$|u_n(r_1) - u_n(r_2)| = \left| \int_{r_2}^{r_1} u_n'(r) dr \right| \leq a|r_1 - r_2|,$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$|u(r_1) - u(r_2)| \leq a|r_1 - r_2| \quad (r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2]),$$

which yields $u \in K$.

Next, we show that that if $\{u'_k\}$ is a subsequence of $\{u'_n\}$ with $u'_k \rightarrow v \in L^\infty$ in the w^* -topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$ then

$$v = u' \quad \text{a.e. on } [R_1, R_2]. \tag{7}$$

Indeed, as

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} u'_k(r) f(r) dr \rightarrow \int_{R_1}^{R_2} v(r) f(r) dr \quad \text{for all } f \in L^1,$$

taking $f \equiv \chi_{r_1, r_2}$, the characteristic function of the interval having the endpoints $r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2]$, it follows

$$\int_{r_1}^{r_2} u'_k(r) dr \rightarrow \int_{r_1}^{r_2} v(r) dr \quad (r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2]).$$

Then, letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ in

$$u_k(r_2) - u_k(r_1) = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} u'_k(r) dr$$

we obtain

$$u(r_2) - u(r_1) = \int_{r_1}^{r_2} v(r) \, dr \quad (r_1, r_2 \in [R_1, R_2])$$

which, clearly implies (7).

Now, to prove (ii) it suffices to show that if $\{u'_j\}$ is an arbitrary subsequence of $\{u'_n\}$, then it contains itself a subsequence $\{u'_k\}$ such that $u'_k \rightarrow u'$ in the w^* -topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$. Since L^1 is separable and $\{u'_j\}$ is bounded in $L^\infty = (L^1)^*$, we know that it has a subsequence $\{u'_k\}$ convergent to some $v \in L^\infty$ in the w^* -topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$. Then, as shown before (see (7)), we have $v = u'$. □

3 A minimization problem

Let $h \in C$ and $\mathcal{F} : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$\mathcal{F}(v) = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{ \Phi[v'(r)] + G(r, v(r)) + h(r)v(r) \} r^{N-1} \, dr \quad (v \in K).$$

On account of hypotheses (H_Φ) and (H_g) the functional \mathcal{F} is well defined.

Proposition 1 *If $\bar{h} = 0$ then \mathcal{F} has a minimum over K .*

Proof Step I. We prove that if $\{u_n\} \subset K$ is a sequence which converges uniformly on $[R_1, R_2]$ to some $u \in K$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[u'_n(r)] r^{N-1} \, dr \geq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[u'(r)] r^{N-1} \, dr. \tag{8}$$

By virtue of (H_Φ) the function Φ is convex, hence for all $y \in [-a, a]$ and $z \in (-a, a)$ one has

$$\Phi(y) - \Phi(z) \geq \phi(z)(y - z). \tag{9}$$

This implies that for any $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ it holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[u'_n(r)] r^{N-1} \, dr &\geq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[\lambda u'(r)] r^{N-1} \, dr \\ &+ \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \phi[\lambda u'(r)] [u'_n(r) - \lambda u'(r)] r^{N-1} \, dr. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From Lemma 1 we have that $u_n' \rightarrow u'$ in the w^* -topology $\sigma(L^\infty, L^1)$. Since the map $r \mapsto r^{N-1}\phi[\lambda u'(r)]$ belongs to $L^\infty \subset L^1$, using (10) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[u_n'(r)] r^{N-1} dr &\geq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[\lambda u'(r)] r^{N-1} dr \\ &\quad + (1 - \lambda) \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \phi[\lambda u'(r)] u'(r) r^{N-1} dr. \end{aligned}$$

As $\phi(t)t \geq 0$, for all $t \in (-a, a)$, we get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[u_n'(r)] r^{N-1} dr \geq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \Phi[\lambda u'(r)] r^{N-1} dr,$$

which, using Lebesgue’s dominated convergence theorem, gives (8) by letting $\lambda \rightarrow 1$.

Step II. Due to the 2π -periodicity of $G(r, \cdot)$ (see (H_g)) and because of $\bar{h} = 0$, we have

$$\mathcal{F}(v + 2\pi) = \mathcal{F}(v), \quad \forall v \in K.$$

Therefore, if u minimizes \mathcal{F} over K , then the same is true for $u + 2k\pi$ for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that we can search, without loss of generality, a minimizer $u \in K$ with $\bar{u} \in [0, 2\pi]$. Thus, the problem reduces to minimize \mathcal{F} over

$$\hat{K} = \{v \in K : \bar{v} \in [0, 2\pi]\}.$$

If $v \in \hat{K}$ then, using (6) we obtain

$$|v(r)| \leq |\bar{v}| + |\tilde{v}(r)| \leq 2\pi + (R_2 - R_1)a.$$

This, together with $\|v'\|_\infty \leq a$ shows that \hat{K} is bounded in $W^{1,\infty}$ and, by the compactness of the embedding $W^{1,\infty} \subset C$, the set \hat{K} is relatively compact in C . Let $\{u_n\} \subset \hat{K}$ be a minimizing sequence for \mathcal{F} . Passing to a subsequence if necessary and using Lemma 1, we may assume that $\{u_n\}$ converges uniformly to some $u \in K$. It is easily seen that actually $u \in \hat{K}$. By Step I we obtain

$$\inf_{\hat{K}} \mathcal{F} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{F}(u_n) \geq \mathcal{F}(u),$$

showing that u minimizes \mathcal{F} over \hat{K} . □

Remark 1 If $\{u_n\} \subset K$ and $u \in C$ are such that $u_n(r) \rightarrow u(r)$ for all $r \in [R_1, R_2]$, then by Lemma 1 and the reasoning in Step I of the above proof we have that $u \in K$ and (8) still holds true.

Lemma 2 *If u minimizes \mathcal{F} over K then u satisfies the variational inequality*

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} (\Phi[v'(r)] - \Phi[u'(r)] + \{g[r, u(r)] + h(r)\}[v(r) - u(r)]) r^{N-1} dr \geq 0$$

for all $v \in K$.

Proof The argument is standard. See for example Lemma 2 in [10]. □

4 An existence result

We show that the minimizers of \mathcal{F} provide classical solutions for the Neumann boundary value problem

$$[r^{N-1}\phi(u')] = r^{N-1}[g(r, u) + h(r)], \quad u'(R_1) = 0 = u'(R_2), \tag{11}$$

under the basic assumptions (H_ϕ) and (H_g) . Recall that by a *solution* of (11) we mean a function $u \in C^1[R_1, R_2]$, such that $\|u'\|_\infty < a$, $\phi(u')$ is differentiable and (11) is satisfied.

Let us begin with the simpler problem

$$[r^{N-1}\phi(u')] = r^{N-1}[u + f(r)], \quad u'(R_1) = 0 = u'(R_2). \tag{12}$$

Proposition 2 *For any $f \in C$, problem (12) has a unique solution \widehat{u}_f and \widehat{u}_f satisfies the variational inequality*

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} (\Phi[v'(r)] - \Phi[\widehat{u}'_f(r)] + \{\widehat{u}_f(r) + f(r)\}[v(r) - \widehat{u}_f(r)]) r^{N-1} dr \geq 0 \tag{13}$$

for all $v \in K$.

Proof The existence part follows from Corollary 2.4 in [5]. If u and v are two solutions of (12), then

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{r^{N-1}[\phi(u'(r)) - \phi(v'(r))]\}'[u(r) - v(r)] dr = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} [u(r) - v(r)]^2 r^{N-1} dr$$

and hence, integrating the first term by parts and using the boundary conditions we obtain

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{[\phi(u'(r)) - \phi(v'(r))][u'(r) - v'(r)] + [u(r) - v(r)]^2\} r^{N-1} dr = 0.$$

The monotonicity of ϕ yields $u = v$.

From (9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{\Phi[v'(r)] - \Phi[\widehat{u}'_f(r)]\} r^{N-1} dr \\ & \geq \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \phi[\widehat{u}'_f(r)][v'(r) - \widehat{u}'_f(r)] r^{N-1} dr \\ & = - \int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{r^{N-1}\phi[\widehat{u}'_f(r)]\}'[v(r) - \widehat{u}_f(r)] dr \\ & = - \int_{R_1}^{R_2} [\widehat{u}_f(r) + f(r)][v(r) - \widehat{u}_f(r)] r^{N-1} dr, \end{aligned}$$

showing that (13) holds for all $v \in K$. □

Theorem 1 *If hypotheses (H_Φ) and (H_g) hold true, then, for any $h \in C$ with $\bar{h} = 0$, problem (11) has at least one solution which minimizes \mathcal{F} over K .*

Proof For any $w \in K$ we set

$$f_w := g(\cdot, w) + h - w \in C.$$

By Proposition 2, the unique solution \widehat{u}_{f_w} of problem (12) with $f = f_w$ satisfies the variational inequality

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{\Phi[v'(r)] - \Phi[\widehat{u}_{f_w}'(r)] + [\widehat{u}_{f_w}(r) + f_w(r)][v(r) - \widehat{u}_{f_w}(r)]\} r^{N-1} dr \geq 0 \quad (14)$$

for all $v \in K$. Let $u \in K$ be a minimizer of \mathcal{F} over K ; we know that it exists by Proposition 1. From Lemma 2, u satisfies the variational inequality

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} \{\Phi[v'(r)] - \Phi[u'(r)] + [u(r) + f_u(r)][v(r) - u(r)]\} r^{N-1} dr \geq 0 \quad (15)$$

for all $v \in K$. Taking $v = \widehat{u}_{f_u}$ in (15) and $w = v = u$ in (14) and adding the resulting inequalities, we get

$$\int_{R_1}^{R_2} [u(r) - \widehat{u}_{f_u}(r)]^2 r^{N-1} dr \leq 0.$$

It follows that $u = \widehat{u}_{f_u}$. Consequently, the minimizer u solves (11). □

Corollary 1 *For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in C$ with $\bar{h} = 0$ the problem*

$$\left(r^{N-1} \frac{u'}{\sqrt{1-u'^2}} \right)' + r^{N-1} \mu \sin u = r^{N-1} h(r), \quad u'(R_1) = 0 = u'(R_2)$$

has at least one solution.

Corollary 2 *For any $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h \in C$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} h(|x|) dx = 0,$$

the problem

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1-|\nabla v|^2}} \right) + \mu \sin v = h(|x|) \text{ in } \mathcal{A}, \quad \partial_\nu v = 0 \text{ on } \partial \mathcal{A}$$

has at least one classical radial solution.

Proof Indeed, going to spherical coordinates, we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{A}} h(|x|) dx = \frac{2\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2)} \int_{R_1}^{R_2} h(r) r^{N-1} dr.$$

□

Remark 2 If \mathcal{D} is a bounded domain with sufficiently smooth boundary, a necessary condition for the existence of at least one solution to the Neumann problem

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla v}{\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v|^2}} \right) + \mu \sin v = h(x) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}, \quad \partial_\nu v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D} \quad (16)$$

for any $\mu > 0$ is that condition

$$\int_{\mathcal{D}} h(x) \, dx = 0 \quad (17)$$

holds, as it is easily seen by integrating both members of (16) over \mathcal{D} and using divergence theorem and the boundary conditions. It is an open problem to know if condition (17) is sufficient. A proof of the existence of a minimum for the functional

$$\mathcal{G}(u) = \int_{\mathcal{D}} \left[-\sqrt{1 - |\nabla v(x)|^2} + \mu \cos v(x) + h(x)v(x) \right] dx$$

on the closed convex set

$$K := \{v \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathcal{D}) : |\nabla v(x)| \leq 1 \text{ a.e. on } \mathcal{D}\}$$

can be done following the lines of the proof of Proposition 1, but our way to go from the variational inequality to the differential equation seems to be specific to a one-dimensional situation, i.e., to the radial case.

Acknowledgements Support of C. Bereanu from the Romanian Ministry of Education, Research and Innovation (PN II Program, CNCSIS code RP 3/2008) is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- Bartnik, R., Simon, L.: Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **87**, 131–152 (1982/83)
- Benevieri, P., do Ó, J.M., de Souto, E.M.: Periodic solutions for nonlinear systems with mean curvature-like operators. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1462–1475 (2006)
- Benevieri, P., do Ó, J.M., de Souto, E.M.: Periodic solutions for nonlinear equations with mean curvature-like operators. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **20**, 484–492 (2007)
- Bereanu, C., Jebelean, P., Mawhin, J.: Radial solutions for some nonlinear problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidian and Minkowski spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **137**, 161–169 (2009)
- Bereanu, C., Jebelean, P., Mawhin, J.: Radial solutions for Neumann problems involving mean curvature operators in Euclidean and Minkowski spaces. *Math. Nachr.* **283**, 379–391 (2010)
- Bereanu, C., Jebelean, P., Mawhin, J.: Radial solutions for Neumann problems with ϕ -Laplacians and pendulum-like nonlinearities. *Discret. Cont. Dynam. Syst. A* **28**, 637–648 (2010)
- Bereanu, C., Mawhin, J.: Nonlinear Neumann boundary value problems with ϕ -Laplacian operators. *An. Stiint. Univ. Ovidius Constanta* **12**, 73–92 (2004)
- Bereanu, C., Mawhin, J.: Periodic solutions of nonlinear perturbations of ϕ -Laplacian with possibly bounded ϕ . *Nonlinear Anal.* **68**, 1668–1681 (2008)
- Bonheure, D., Habets, P., Obersnel, F., Omari, P.: Classical and non-classical solutions of a prescribed curvature equation. *J. Differ. Equ.* **243**, 208–237 (2007)
- Brezis, H., Mawhin, J.: Periodic solutions of the forced relativistic pendulum. *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **23**, 801–810 (2010)
- Girg, P.: Neumann and periodic boundary-value problems for quasilinear ordinary differential equations with a nonlinearity in the derivatives. *Electron. J. Differ. Equ.* **63**, 1–28 (2000)
- Habets, P., Omari, P.: Multiple positive solutions of a one-dimensional prescribed mean curvature problem. *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **9**, 701–730 (2007)

13. Mawhin, J.: Global results for the forced pendulum equation. In: Cañada, A., Drábek, P., Fonda, A. (eds.) *Handbook of Differential Equations. Ordinary Differential Equations*, vol. 1, pp. 533–590. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2004)
14. Obersnel, F., Omari, P.: Existence and multiplicity results for the prescribed mean curvature equation via lower and upper solutions. *Differ. Integr. Equ.* **22**, 853–880 (2009)
15. Rachunková, I., Staněk, S., Tvrđý, M.: *Solvability of Nonlinear Singular Problems for Ordinary Differential Equations*. Hindawi Publishing Corporation, New York (2008)