SPECIAL ARTICLE



Cancer immunotherapy-related adverse events: causes and challenges

Ada G. Blidner¹ · Jennifer Choi² · Tim Cooksley³ · Michael Dougan⁴ · Ilya Glezerman⁵ · Pamela Ginex⁶ · Monica Girotra^{7,8} · Dipti Gupta⁸ · Douglas Johnson⁹ · Vickie R. Shannon¹⁰ · Maria Suarez-Almazor¹¹ · Bernardo L. Rapoport^{12,13} · Ronald Anderson¹³

Received: 21 April 2020 / Accepted: 20 August 2020 / Published online: 28 August 2020 © Springer-Verlag GmbH Germany, part of Springer Nature 2020

Abstract

Despite the success and ongoing promise of monoclonal antibody-targeted immune checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy of advanced malignancies, in particular, antibodies directed against CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1, the development of immune-related adverse events (irAEs) remains a constraint of this type of therapy. Although rarely fatal, the occurrence of irAEs may necessitate discontinuation of immunotherapy, as well as administration of corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive therapies that may not only compromise efficacy but also predispose for development of opportunistic infection. Clearly, retention of efficacy of immune checkpoint-targeted therapies with concurrent attenuation of immune-mediated toxicity represents a formidable challenge. In this context, the current brief review examines mechanistic relationships between these events, as well as recent insights into immunopathogenesis, and strategies which may contribute to resolving this issue. These sections are preceded by brief overviews of the discovery and functions of CTLA-4 and PD-1, as well as the chronology of the development of immunotheraputic monoclonal antibodies which target these immune checkpoint inhibitors.

Keywords Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) · Ipilimumab · Microbiome · Nivolumab · Programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) · Regulatory T lymphocytes (Tregs)

Introduction

The relatively recent renaissance of cancer immunotherapy undoubtedly represents the most significant development in

Bernardo L. Rapoport bernardo.rapoport@up.ac.za

> Ada G. Blidner adablidner@gmail.com

Jennifer Choi jennifer.choi@northwestern.edu

Tim Cooksley cooks199@hotmail.com

Michael Dougan mldougan@partners.org

Ilya Glezerman Glezermi@mskcc.org

Pamela Ginex pginex@ons.org the treatment of malignant disease to have occurred during the past several decades. This has resulted from major advances and innovations in immunological and biomolecular technologies. These, in turn, have led to the unravelling of various

Monica Girotra girotram@mskcc.org

Dipti Gupta guptad@mskcc.org

Douglas Johnson douglas.b.johnson@Vanderbilt.Edu

Vickie R. Shannon vshannon@mdanderson.org

Maria Suarez-Almazor msalmazor@mdanderson.org

Ronald Anderson ronald.anderson@up.ac.za

Extended author information available on the last page of the article

mechanisms of immune regulation, many of which can be exploited by tumors, enabling their growth and spread. With respect to the impact on the immunotherapy of cancer, the discovery of two members of a family of immunoregulatory proteins, known as immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs), represents the most significant development to date. These proteins, known as CTLA-4 (cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4) and PD-1 (programmed cell death protein-1) and its ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, discovered in the early-to-mid-1990s, are recognized as being intimately involved in suppressing anti-tumor immune responses [1, 2].

CTLA-4

In 1987, the co-inhibitory receptor, CTLA-4, was cloned [3]. This molecule is a protein, which competes with the costimulatory signaling molecule, CD28, expressed on effector T cells for the activation ligands, CD80/CD86 (also known as B7.1/B7.2), expressed on antigen-presenting cells (APCs, predominantly dendritic cells, as well as macrophages). Importantly, the binding affinity of CTLA-4 for CD80/CD86 is approximately 100 times higher than that of CD28, effectively suppressing antigen-activated T cell receptor (TCR) signaling, preventing T cell activation [4]. During the course of an immune response, activated T cells express increasing levels of CTLA-4 as a result of sustained activation due to constant antigen exposure. This scenario is normal in chronic infections and cancer to prevent over-reactivity of immune responses [5]. In this context, the crucial role of CTLA-4 in immune regulation has been convincingly demonstrated in gene knockout mice, in which ablation of CTLA-4 resulted in the development of a lethal lymphoproliferative disorder [6].

In addition to effector CD4 and CD8 T cells, which express CTLA-4 following antigen stimulation, another T cell population, known as regulatory T cells (Tregs), constitutively (spontaneously) express high levels of CTLA-4, enabling these cells to effectively suppress immune responses [7]. Although Tregs are particularly important in preventing the development of autoimmune and autoinflammatory disorders, over-activity of these cells poses the risk of development of cancer and infection. In the setting of cancer, blockade of CTLA-4 enhances anti-tumor immunity not only by releasing the brakes on anti-tumor effector T cells but, perhaps more importantly, by attenuating the potent regulatory functions of Tregs [8].

Following promising results in pre-clinical and clinical trials, originating from the pioneering work of Dr. James Allison's team at the MD Anderson Cancer Center, the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) of the USA approved the therapeutic application of neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) against CTLA-4 for metastatic melanoma in 2011. Although this type of immunotherapy proved successful in only 20–30% of patients, most of these showed long-term positive responses, previously unthinkable for advanced melanoma.

PD-1/PD-L1

Subsequently, the PD-1/PD-L1/PD-L2 axis became the most studied immune checkpoint system in the onco-immunology field. The PD-1 receptor was discovered by Dr. Tasuku Honjo in the 1990s [2]. As the name implies, PD-1 plays a crucial role in promoting programmed death of lymphocytes. However, only after the successful genetic engineering of PD-1 gene knockout murine models, which resulted in the development of a lupus-like syndrome, was the involvement of PD-1 in immune regulation revealed [9]. Later, in collaboration with Dr. Honjo's research team, Dr. Arlene Sharpe and Dr. Gordon Freeman discovered that the PD-1 ligands, PD-L1 and PD-L2, were expressed on tumor cells, corroborating the role of the PD-1/PD-L1/PD-L2 axis in suppressing anti-tumor immunity [10].

Like CTLA-4, PD-1 is expressed on Tregs, as well as on effector T cells, following sustained, antigen-driven T cell activation, as an additional mechanism to control the reactivity of these cells. This immunosuppressive mechanism was first described in the setting of chronic viral infections and later in cancer [11]. Importantly, the PD-1 ligand, PD-L1, is expressed on APCs, cancer cells, and endothelial cells, while PD-L2 is mainly restricted to APCs, although it may also be expressed on tumor cells. Contact between PD-1 and its ligands activates signals which suppress T cell priming and proliferation [12]. In this context, blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 enhances antitumor immunity by reactivating dysfunctional CD4 and CD8 tumor-specific T cells [13].

Although the development of CTLA-4-targeted strategies triggered the revival in anti-cancer immunotherapy, monoclonal antibody-based blockade of PD-1 has become the most prominent type of immunotherapeutic anti-cancer modality, administered either alone or in combination with other therapeutic strategies. In 2014, mAb-based PD-1 blockade was approved for the treatment of advanced melanoma. One year later, as a result of convincing clinical trial data, PD-1-targeted monoclonal antibody-based therapy was approved for nonsmall cell lung cancer and renal cell carcinoma. Later, in 2016, head and neck cancers and Hodgkin's lymphoma were added to the list of approvals, while in 2017, the list was extended to include urothelial carcinoma and all solid tumors with DNA repair machinery deficiencies. In the case of PD-L1-neutralizing monoclonal antibodies, these were approved for the treatment of urothelial and bladder cancer as well as some forms of lung tumors in 2016 [14].

Immunotherapeutic targeting of CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1

Predictably, and as mentioned in the preceding sections of this review, recognition of the key involvement of CTLA-4 and PD-1 (as well as its ligands, PD-L1 in particular, and PD-L2) triggered the pursuit of safe and effective strategies to counter the immunosuppressive activities of these checkpoints in the setting of treatment of advanced malignant disease. This ambition has been realized through innovations in mAb technology, which have enabled the design and production of mAbs, such as ipilimumab and nivolumab/pembrolizumab, both fully human mAbs, of the immunoglobulin G1 (IgG1) and IgG4 isotypes, which target CTLA-4 and PD-1, respectively [15]. Now widely used in the treatment of different types of metastatic disease, the clinical application of these ICI-targeted mAbs does, however, necessitate close monitoring of patients due to the potential for development of a spectrum of side effects known as immune-related adverse events (irAEs) [16, 17]. As described extensively in the accompanying articles in this issue of the "Journal," irAEs affect all major organs and may present as newly diagnosed disorders, or less commonly as exacerbations of pre-existing autoimmune/autoinflammatory diseases.

The development of irAEs associated with ICI-targeted immunotherapy results from attenuation of CTLA-4-/PD-1mediated immunoregulatory constraints, leading to a broadly over-reactive immune system. The immunopathogenesis and prevention of irAEs represent the remaining focus of this brief review.

Mechanisms underpinning the development of immunotherapy-related IrAEs

Administration of ICI-targeted mAbs results in the reactivation of dysfunctional adaptive and innate immunity, which may encompass beneficial therapeutic effects on the antitumor response. On the downside, however, over-reactivity of the immune system also predisposes for development of irAEs.

This contention is supported by a spate of recent publications highlighting the strong correlation between the anticancer therapeutic efficacy of ICI-mediated immunotherapy and the frequency and severity of irAEs [reviewed in 18]. Mechanisms underpinning the immunopathogenesis of irAEs are likely to be multifaceted, encompassing hyperactivation of B cells and augmentation of autoantibody production in diseases such as myasthenia gravis, autoimmune hemolytic anemia, and type 1 diabetes mellitus, while others such as rheumatoid arthritis, colitis, and multiple sclerosis are predominantly T cell–driven disorders.

Intriguingly, although incompletely understood, an increasing number of studies, both pre-clinical and clinical, have linked alterations in immune homeostasis in the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), which accommodates large numbers of Tregs [19], to both the clinical efficacy and immune-mediated toxicities of ICI-targeted mAbs [20]. In this context, a broad expansion of gut-associated, pro-inflammatory CD4⁺ Th17 cells, with both anti-tumor and autoimmune/ autoinflammatory potential, represents a potential mechanism of ICI therapy-associated irAEs [21]. Indeed, the recent identification of the involvement of commensal bacteria of the gut microbiome as prominent determinants of the anti-cancer efficacy of ICI-targeted mAbs is in keeping with the role of the gut-associated immune system in driving anti-tumor immunity, as well as the pathogenesis of some types of irAEs [22, 23]; moreover, different species of bacteria have recently been correlated with responses to anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 therapies [24]. Potential, albeit unproven, mechanisms underpinning this relationship include the following:

- Attenuation of immune constraints imposed by Tregs results in immune recognition of gut commensal organisms, thereby priming dendritic cells for antigen presentation and activation of CD4⁺ and CD8+ effector cells reactive with commensal-derived antigens that are cross-reactive with tumor antigens and/or autoantigens [25].
- Notwithstanding diminished reactivity of Tregs, certain types of gut commensal bacteria appear to be critically involved in the priming of a subset of intestinal dendritic cells necessary for activation and expansion of Th17 cells, which have the potential to migrate to distant anatomical sites [26].

Irrespective of which of these, or any other mechanisms, are operative in the setting of ICI mAb-mediated anti-cancer immunotherapy, disentangling therapeutic activity from development of irAEs clearly represents a very challenging prospect, which may necessitate manipulation of the gut microbiome [26-28], a strategy that is being studied in a myriad of clinical trials [24]. Additional, albeit largely unexplored, approaches include attenuation of the pro-inflammatory activities of Th17 cells. This may be achieved by the administration of monoclonal antibodies that target cytokines which drive expansion of Th17 cells, specifically interleukin(IL)-1ß, IL-6, IL-23, as well as those that directly target IL-17 or its receptor [18, 29]. Alternatively, strategies which increase the therapeutic efficacy of ICI-based immunotherapy may also enable shortening of the duration of treatment, which, in turn, may attenuate the development of irAEs. Such strategies include identification of biomarkers of treatment efficacy, as well as those which augment the anti-tumor efficacy of ICI-targeted mAbs.

Identification of biomarkers predictive of treatment efficacy and possible reduced risk of development of IrAEs

During the last 2 years, analysis of the tumor mutational burden (TMB) has gained prominence, largely due to the findings of several clinical trials which reported good correlations between high TMB and response to ICI-based therapy [30–32]. In this context, a high tumor mutational burden translates into broader tumor antigenicity, resulting in a more intense infiltration of immune cells to the tumor site. On the other hand, it has been reported that broadening of tumor antigen heterogeneity compromises the efficacy of host anti-tumor immune defenses [33, 34], possibly because the expression of fewer, more evenly distributed, tumor antigens elicits a more robust and effective immune response. Clearly, additional research is necessary to accurately establish the relevance of the TMB as a biomarker of the efficacy of ICI-based immunotherapy.

Pre-treatment detection of PD-L1 expression on tumor cells represents an alternative strategy to predict the potential efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy. In this context, expression of PD-L1, even at low levels, on non-small cell lung carcinomas is considered to be a useful predictor of responsiveness to PD-1-targeted monotherapy. In addition, simultaneous expression of PD-L1 on both tumor and infiltrating immune cells in triple-negative breast and bladder cancers may also be predictive of the efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy [35–37]. However, the correlation between PD-L1 expression and response is imperfect within these tumor types, as well as in other cancers (including renal cell carcinoma), indicating that measurement of PD-L1 has minimal/no predictive capacity in these settings.

Potentiation of ICI-targeted anti-tumor immune responses

Resistance mechanisms which impair the efficacy of anti-tumor immunotherapy include (i) impaired T cell migration and infiltration through tumor parenchyma;

 Table 1
 Potential strategies to ameliorate the development of immune-related adverse events during checkpoint inhibitor– based immunotherapy in the setting of retention of therapeutic efficacy
 (ii) low-level presentation of tumor antigens; and (iii) increased recruitment of immunosuppressive cell populations and tumor-derived immunosuppressive factors [38]. To counter these obstacles to successful immunotherapy, personalized screening tests are being developed to determine which of these mechanisms are operative in individual patients. This, in turn, may enable detection of the best combination of immunotherapies to improve response rates and overall survival. These include (i) strategies to attenuate the influx and/or activities of immunosuppressive cell types, including Tregs in particular, as well as myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and M2-type macrophages; (ii) CAR (chimeric antigen receptor) T cell therapies; (iii) cytokine-based therapies; and (iv) combinations of different types of ICI-targeted mAbs [39].

Another potential, possibly more practical and less expensive, strategy is to combine ICI-based immunotherapy with inducers of immunogenic cell death, specifically radiotherapy, targeted therapy, and selected chemotherapeutic agents such as anthracyclines [18]. These agents potentiate localized anti-tumor immune responses via the release of damage-associated molecular patterns (DAMPS) from dead and dying tumor cells, a process known as immunogenic cell death, which may harmonize with ICI-based immunotherapy by stimulating the innate immune response [40].

These various potential strategies to ameliorate the development of irAEs in the setting of retention of efficacy of ICIbased immunotherapy are summarized in Table 1.

Conclusions

ICI-based immunotherapy of cancer, either as monotherapy or as an adjunct to radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and/or surgery, will undoubtedly become a future cornerstone of oncology. Refinements to current immunotherapeutic strategies, however, remain a priority, specifically with respect to improved therapeutic efficacy in the setting of attenuation of

Strategy	Potential benefit
Manipulation of the gut microbiome with biopharmaceuticals	Re-direction of gut-association immune responses to a more favorable, selective tumor-directed phenotype
Cytokine targeting of Th17 cells	Attenuation of the pro-inflammatory activities of Th17 cells
Identification of biomarkers predictive of treatment efficacy	Shorter duration of immunotherapy and possible lesser probability of development of irAEs
Combination therapy with other immunotherapeutic agents or with inducers of immunogenic cell death	Shorter duration of immunotherapy due to potentiation of anti-tumor host defenses and lesser probability of development of irAEs

irAEs. Although useful in controlling irAEs, conventional immunosuppressive agents such as corticosteroids in particular, as well as tumor necrosis factor- α -targeted mAbs (infliximab, adalimumab, golimumab, certolizumab) are certainly not ideal, as these agents may not only counter the efficacy of ICIbased therapy but also pose the risk of development of opportunistic infections. Furthermore promising strategies to ameliorate the risk of development of irAEs in the setting of retention of, or even improved, therapeutic efficacy include beneficial manipulation of the gut microbiome with biopharmaceuticals, as well as attenuation of the pro-inflammatory activities of Th17 cells via mAb-mediated targeting of the cytokine (ixekizumab, secukinumab) or its receptor (brodalumab). Strategies which may enable augmentation of ICI-based antitumor immunity, possibly enabling decreased duration of immunotherapy, include pre-therapy identification of biomarkers of favorable clinical responses, as well as combinations of ICItargeted mAbs with other types of immunotherapy and/or inducers of immunogenic cell death.

Authors' contributions All of the authors contributed equally to the conceptualization of the manuscript; sections on immunological mechanisms were shared equally by AGB and RA, while BLR and DBJ provided clinical input and oversight. All of the authors provided critical appraisal of the manuscript and approve of its submission.

Funding information Professor BL Rapoport is supported by the Cancer Association of South Africa (CANSA) and the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa.

Dr. I. Glezerman is supported by the NIH/NCI (Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA008748)

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest AB, RA, JC, TC, PG, DG, and VRS have no conflict of interest to declare. MC reports grants from Novartis, other from Neoleukin Therapeutics, personal fees from Partner Therapeutics, personal fees from Tillotts Pharma, and grants from Genentech, outside the submitted work. MG reports other from Bristol Myers Squibb (BMS) and other from AstraZeneca, outside the submitted work. IG reports other from Pfizer Inc and personal fees from CytomX Inc, outside the submitted work. DBJ reports other from Array Biopharma, grants and other from BMS, grants from Incyte, other from Jansen, other from Merck, and other from Novartis, outside the submitted work. In addition, DBJ has a patent co-inventor on use of CTLA-4 agonist for IAEs pending. BLR reports personal fees and other from Merck and Co, grants, personal fees, and other from BMS; grants, personal fee,s and other from Roche South Africa; and personal fees and other from AstraZeneca, during the conduct of the study. MSA reports personal fees from Gilead, grants from Pfizer, and personal fees from Abbvie, outside the submitted work. All work with these entities has ended.

References

 Leach DR, Krummel MF, Allison JP (1996) Enhancement of antitumor immunity by CTLA-4 blockade. Science 271(5256):1734– 1736. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.271.5256.1734

- Ishida Y, Agata Y, Shibahara K, Honjo T (1992) Induced expression of PD-1, a novel member of the immunoglobulin gene superfamily, upon programmed cell death. EMBO J 11(11):3887–3895
- Gross JA, St John T, Allison JP (1990) The murine homologue of the T lymphocyte antigen CD28. Molecular cloning and cell surface expression. J Immunol 144(8):3201–3210
- Fife BT, Bluestone JA (2008) Control of peripheral T-cell tolerance and autoimmunity via the CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways. Immunol Rev 224:166–182. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-065X.2008. 00662.x
- Im SJ, Hashimoto M, Gerner MY, Lee J, Kissick HT, Burger MC, Shan Q, Hale JS, Lee J, Nasti TH, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ, Germain RN, Nakaya HI, Xue HH, Ahmed R (2016) Defining CD8+ T cells that provide the proliferative burst after PD-1 therapy. Nature 537(7620):417–421. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature19330
- Khattri R, Auger JA, Griffin MD, Sharpe AH, Bluestone JA (1999) Lymphoproliferative disorder in CTLA-4 knockout mice is characterized by CD28-regulated activation of Th2 responses. J Immunol 162(10):5784–5791
- Crawford A, Wherry EJ (2007) Inhibitory receptors: whose side are they on? Nat Immunol 8(11):1201–1203. https://doi.org/10.1038/ ni1107-1201
- Selby MJ, Engelhardt JJ, Quigley M, Henning KA, Chen T, Srinivasan M, Korman AJ (2013) Anti CTLA-4 antibodies of IgG2a isotype enhance antitumor activity through reduction of intratumoral regulatory T cells. Cancer Immunol Res 1(1):32–42. https://doi.org/10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-13-0013
- Nishimura H, Nose M, Hiai H, Minato N, Honjo T (1999) Development of lupus-like autoimmune diseases by disruption of the PD-1 gene encoding an ITIM motif-carrying immunoreceptor. Immunity 11(2):141–151. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1074-7613(00) 80089-8
- Latchman Y, Wood CR, Chernova T, Chaudhary D, Borde M, Chernova I, Iwai Y, Long AJ, Brown JA, Nunes R, Greenfield EA, Bourque K, Boussiotis VA, Carter LL, Carreno BM, Malenkovich N, Nishimura H, Okazaki T, Honjo T, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ (2001) PD-L2 is a second ligand for PD-1 and inhibits T cell activation. Nat Immunol 2(3):261–268. https://doi.org/10. 1038/85330
- Barber DL, Wherry EJ, Masopust D, Zhu B, Allison JP, Sharpe AH, Freeman GJ, Ahmed R (2006) Restoring function in exhausted CD8 T cells during chronic viral infection. Nature 439(7077):682– 687. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04444
- Boussiotis VA (2016) Molecular and biochemical aspects of the PD-1 checkpoint pathway. N Engl J Med 375(18):1767–1778. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1514296
- Parry RV, Chemnitz JM, Frauwirth KA, Lanfranco AR, Braunstein I, Kobayashi SV, Linsley PS, Thompson CB, Riley JL (2005) CTLA-4 and PD-1 receptors inhibit T-cell activation by distinct mechanisms. Mol Cell Biol 25(21):9543–9553. https://doi.org/10. 1128/MCB.25.21.9543-9553.2005
- U.S. Food & Drug Administration website. https://www.fda.gov/. Accessed 1 May 2019
- Seidel JA, Otsuka A, Kabashima K (2018) Anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 therapies in cancer: mechanisms of action, efficacy, and limitations. Front Oncol 8:86. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2018. 00086
- Postow MA, Sidlow R, Hellmann MD (2018) Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint blockade. N Engl J Med 378(2):158–168. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1703481
- Trinh S, Le A, Gowani S, La-Beck NM (2019) Management of immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: a minireview of current clinical guidelines. Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs 6(2):154–160. https://doi.org/10.4103/apjon. apjon_3_19

- Anderson R, Theron AJ, Rapoport BL (2019) Immunopathogenesis of immune checkpoint inhibitor-related adverse events: roles of the intestinal microbiome and Th17 cells. Front Immunol 10:2254. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02254
- Luu M, Steinhoff U, Visekruna A (2017) Functional heterogeneity of gut-resident regulatory T cells. Clin Transl Immunol 6(9):e156. https://doi.org/10.1038/cti.2017.39
- Buchbinder EI, Desai A (2016) CTLA-4 and PD-1 pathways: similarities, differences, and implications of their inhibition. Am J Clin Oncol 39(1):98–106. https://doi.org/10.1097/COC. 00000000000239
- Knochelmann HM, Dwyer CJ, Bailey SR, Amaya SM, Elston DM, Mazza-McCrann JM, Paulos CM (2018) When worlds collide: Th17 and Treg cells in cancer and autoimmunity. Cell Mol Immunol 15(5):458–469. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41423-018-0004-4
- Elkrief A, Derosa L, Zitvogel L, Kroemer G, Routy B (2019) The intimate relationship between gut microbiota and cancer immunotherapy. Gut Microbes 10(3):424–428. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 19490976.2018.1527167
- Fessler J, Matson V, Gajewski TF (2019) Exploring the emerging role of the microbiome in cancer immunotherapy. J Immunother Cancer 7(1):108. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40425-019-0574-4
- Helmink BA, Khan MAW, Hermann A, Gopalakrishnan V, Wargo JA (2019) The microbiome, cancer, and cancer therapy. Nat Med 25(3):377–388. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0377-7
- Zitvogel L, Ayyoub M, Routy B, Kroemer G (2016) Microbiome and anticancer immunosurveillance. Cell 165(2):276–287. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.03.001
- Tanoue T, Morita S, Plichta DR, Skelly AN, Suda W, Sugiura Y, Narushima S, Vlamakis H, Motoo I, Sugita K, Shiota A, Takeshita K, Yasuma-Mitobe K, Riethmacher D, Kaisho T, Norman JM, Mucida D, Suematsu M, Yaguchi T, Bucci V, Inoue T, Kawakami Y, Olle B, Roberts B, Hattori M, Xavier RJ, Atarashi K, Honda K (2019) A defined commensal consortium elicits CD8 T cells and anti-cancer immunity. Nature 565(7741):600–605. https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-0878-z
- Vétizou M, Pitt JM, Daillère R, Lepage P, Waldschmitt N, Flament C, Rusakiewicz S, Routy B, Roberti MP, Duong CP, Poirier-Colame V, Roux A, Becharef S, Formenti S, Golden E, Cording S, Eberl G, Schlitzer A, Ginhoux F, Mani S, Yamazaki T, Jacquelot N, Enot DP, Bérard M, Nigou J, Opolon P, Eggermont A, Woerther PL, Chachaty E, Chaput N, Robert C, Mateus C, Kroemer G, Raoult D, Boneca IG, Carbonnel F, Chamaillard M, Zitvogel L (2015) Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science 350(6264):1079–1084. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.aad1329
- Routy B, Le Chatelier E, Derosa L, Duong CPM, Alou MT, Daillère R, Fluckiger A, Messaoudene M, Rauber C, Roberti MP, Fidelle M, Flament C, Poirier-Colame V, Opolon P, Klein C, Iribarren K, Mondragón L, Jacquelot N, Qu B, Ferrere G, Clémenson C, Mezquita L, Masip JR, Naltet C, Brosseau S, Kaderbhai C, Richard C, Rizvi H, Levenez F, Galleron N, Quinquis B, Pons N, Ryffel B, Minard-Colin V, Gonin P, Soria JC, Deutsch E, Loriot Y, Ghiringhelli F, Zalcman G, Goldwasser F, Escudier B, Hellmann MD, Eggermont A, Raoult D, Albiges L, Kroemer G, Zitvogel L (2018) Gut microbiome influences efficacy of PD-1-based immunotherapy against epithelial tumors. Science 359(6371):91–97. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aan3706
- Chabner BA, Nabel CS (2018) Canakinumab and lung cancer: intriguing, but is it real? Oncologist 23(6):637–638. https://doi. org/10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0116
- Goodman AM, Kato S, Bazhenova L, Patel SP, Frampton G, Miller V, Stephens PJ, Daniels GA, Kurzrock R (2017) Tumor mutational burden as an independent predictor of response to immunotherapy

🖄 Springer

in diverse cancers. Mol Cancer Ther 16(11):2598–2608. https://doi. org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-17-0386

- Hellmann MD, Callahan MK, Awad MM, Calvo E, Ascierto PA, Atmaca A, Rizvi NA, Hirsch FR, Selvaggi G, Szustakowski JD, Sasson A, Golhar R, Vitazka P, Chang H, Geese WJ, Antonia SJ (2018) Tumor mutational burden and efficacy of nivolumab monotherapy and in combination with ipilimumab in small-cell lung cancer. Cancer Cell 33(5):853–861.e4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ccell.2018.04.001
- 32. Carbone DP, Reck M, Paz-Ares L, Creelan B, Horn L, Steins M, Felip E, van den Heuvel MM, Ciuleanu TE, Badin F, Ready N, Hiltermann TJN, Nair S, Juergens R, Peters S, Minenza E, Wrangle JM, Rodriguez-Abreu D, Borghaei H, Blumenschein GR Jr, Villaruz LC, Havel L, Krejci J, Corral Jaime J, Chang H, Geese WJ, Bhagavatheeswaran P, Chen AC, Socinski MA, CheckMate 026 Investigators (2017) First-line nivolumab in stage IV or recurrent non-small-cell lung cancer. N Engl J Med 376(25):2415–2426. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1613493
- 33. Thorsson V, Gibbs DL, Brown SD, Wolf D, Bortone DS, Ou Yang TH, Porta-Pardo E, Gao GF, Plaisier CL, Eddy JA, Ziv E, Culhane AC, Paull EO, Sivakumar IKA, Gentles AJ, Malhotra R, Farshidfar F, Colaprico A, Parker JS, Mose LE, Vo NS, Liu J, Liu Y, Rader J, Dhankani V, Reynolds SM, Bowlby R, Califano A, Cherniack AD, Anastassiou D, Bedognetti D, Rao A, Chen K, Krasnitz A, Hu H, Malta TM, Noushmehr H, Pedamallu CS, Bullman S, Ojesina AI, Lamb A, Zhou W, Shen H, Choueiri TK, Weinstein JN, Guinney J, Saltz J, Holt RA, Rabkin CE, Network CGAR, Lazar AJ, Serody JS, Demicco EG, Disis ML, Vincent BG, Shmulevich L (2018) The immune landscape of cancer. Immunity 48(4):812–830.e14. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.023
- 34. McGranahan N, Furness AJ, Rosenthal R, Ramskov S, Lyngaa R, Saini SK, Jamal-Hanjani M, Wilson GA, Birkbak NJ, Hiley CT, Watkins TB, Shafi S, Murugaesu N, Mitter R, Akarca AU, Linares J, Marafioti T, Henry JY, Van Allen EM, Miao D, Schilling B, Schadendorf D, Garraway LA, Makarov V, Rizvi NA, Snyder A, Hellmann MD, Merghoub T, Wolchok JD, Shukla SA, Wu CJ, Peggs KS, Chan TA, Hadrup SR, Quezada SA, Swanton C (2016) Clonal neoantigens elicit T cell immunoreactivity and sensitivity to immune checkpoint blockade. Science 351(6280):1463– 1469. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaf1490
- Matusiak M, Dzierżawski J, Jóźwicki J, Starzyński J, Misiak J, Brożyna AA, Jóźwicki W (2019) Expression of PD-L1 in tumor and immune system cells affects the survival of patients with urinary bladder cancer. Med Res J 4(3):142–147. https://doi.org/10. 5603/MRJ.a2019.0026
- Zhao T, Li C, Wu Y, Li B, Zhang B (2017) Prognostic value of PD-L1 expression in tumor infiltrating immune cells in cancers: a metaanalysis. PLoS One 12(4):e0176822. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0176822
- 37. Schmid P, Adams S, Rugo HS, Schneeweiss A, Barrios CH, Iwata H, Diéras V, Hegg R, Im SA, Shaw Wright G, Henschel V, Molinero L, Chui SY, Funke R, Husain A, Winer EP, Loi S, Emens LA, IMpassion130 Trial Investigators (2018) Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. N Engl J Med 379(22):2108–2121. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa1809615
- Rabinovich GA, Gabrilovich D, Sotomayor EM (2007) Immunosuppressive strategies that are mediated by tumor cells. Annu Rev Immunol 25:267–296. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev.immunol.25.022106.141609
- Chen DS, Mellman I (2013) Oncology meets immunology: the cancer-immunity cycle. Immunity 39(1):1–10. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.immuni.2013.07.012
- Rapoport BL, Anderson R (2019) Realizing the clinical potential of immunogenic cell death in cancer chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Int J Mol Sci 20(4):E959. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20040959

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Affiliations

Ada G. Blidner¹ · Jennifer Choi² · Tim Cooksley³ · Michael Dougan⁴ · Ilya Glezerman⁵ · Pamela Ginex⁶ · Monica Girotra^{7,8} · Dipti Gupta⁸ · Douglas Johnson⁹ · Vickie R. Shannon¹⁰ · Maria Suarez-Almazor¹¹ · Bernardo L. Rapoport^{12,13} · Ronald Anderson¹³

- ¹ Laboratory of Immunopathology, Institute of Biology and Experimental Medicine-CONICET, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- ² Division of Oncodermatology, Robert H. Lurie Comprehensive Cancer Center, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, USA
- ³ The Christie & Manchester University Foundation Trust, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK
- ⁴ Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
- ⁵ Renal Service, Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA
- ⁶ Oncology Nursing Society, Pittsburgh, PA, USA
- ⁷ Endocrine Division, Department of Medicine, Weill Cornell Medical College (MG, AF), New York, NY, USA

- ⁸ Department of Medicine, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, USA
- ⁹ Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center and Vanderbilt Ingram Cancer Center, Nashville, TN, USA
- ¹⁰ Department of Pulmonary Medicine, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, USA
- ¹¹ Section of Rheumatology and Clinical Immunology, University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, USA
- ¹² The Medical Oncology Centre of Rosebank, 129 Oxford Road, Saxonwold, Johannesburg 2196, South Africa
- ¹³ Department of Immunology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Box 667, Pretoria, PO 0001, South Africa